

gekkozor ARCHIVE

compiled by /u/dream-hunter

May 15, 2023

www.TheRedArchive.com

Table of Contents

Banned from /r/AskWomen. Here were the three posts which made that happen.	4
I've lost my best friend to a single mother.	5
Young woman starts having second thoughts about her marriage, pushes husband off cliff. Pleads "not guilty."	6
A girl you're interested in asks, "do you have a girlfriend?" You don't. How do you respond indicating that you're available without looking beta?	7
I think I've found the world's biggest hamster... ..	8
Yet another hot mamma under attack from the femisphere for looking great after a baby	9
It's a cliché outside the sub that we're only here because we're "bitter." For me, this is not true - since taking the pill, I am so much goddamn happier.	10
/r/AskMen thread asks what experiences men have had sleeping with older women. RedPill truths abound.	11
A new "realistic" (read: fat) Barbie is proposed. But as usual, hamplanet feminists cannot be appeased.	12
Great post from Reason.com about the state of feminism in 2013	13
You've got to watch Woody Allen's "Blue Jasmine." Pure TRP from start to finish.	14
Recently learned about the sex life of a militant feminist I know. Thought it was too priceless not to share with you all... ..	15
TV critic asks Lena Dunham a valid question regarding her gratuitous onscreen nudity. Gets torn apart for being sexist by her, Apatow, and the media at large.	16
Kansas judge rules sperm donor is legal father, owes child support	17
Why women put up a purity shield	18
A 17-year-old psychopath murdered his mother and sister. So, predictably, he now has a sizable female fanbase.	20
University fines Men's Rights speaker Karen Straughan (AKA GirlWritesWhat) a \$1600 "security fee"	21
"We Are Women" shared this on Facebook. Your daily WTF.	22
A quote from Aristotle which I live by.	23
The "Test Breakup" - something apparently hundreds of Redditors have experienced.	24
So, the Men's Rights movement got some mainstream exposure on SNL last night. It went about exactly as well as you would expect... ..	26
"11 Reasons Why The Fitness Culture On Social Media Needs To Be Stopped"	27
I wonder if women who complain about "impossible beauty standards" would be willing to adopt this particular fashion accessory they usually love to hate: Burqas.	28
Showing is a thousand times better than telling.	30
Yahoo CEO Marissa Mayer: "I don't think that I would consider myself a feminist"	31
If a woman doesn't date short guys, that's okay because that's a preference. But if a man doesn't date obese girls, he's shallow.	32
So apparently the fact that George Clooney's marrying a lawyer makes the Princeton Mom wrong.	33
In which a Redditor recounts the epic tale of a fat woman on /r/okcupid, and an asshole who did want to date her because her pictures were deceptive	35

Prediction: feminists' next "big cause" will be to de-stigmatize polygamy.	37
Another take on why TRP is loathed by women: AF/BB has to be a secret.	39
Social media has created a culture where everything's a competition to see who can live the best life.	41
Can't even believe this story I just heard on the radio...	43
Occidental Expels Student for Rape Under Standard So Low That the Accuser Could Have Been Found Guilty, Too	45
Miss Nevada, a black belt in taekwondo, was asked about what can be done to prevent rape on campus. She suggested learning self-defense. Wait for it, waaait for it...	46
An outsider observes how disposable the average male appears to be in American weddings.	47
Thanks to that EMSK post, The Red Pill has experienced its second biggest membership spike ever and is now a trending subreddit.	48
I Saw a Man Get Arrested For a Sex Crime Because He Made a Scheduling Error	49
Relationships are like hotdogs.	50
A woman attacks a man with a weapon. The man trips her in self-defense. The man is portrayed as the attacker.	52
"50 Shades of Abuse Romanticized"	53
When 'Preventing Rape Promotes Rape', You're Doing Feminism Wrong	55
Oh AskHamsters... you're always good for a laugh.	56
She wants to know your SMV, and she will try to figure it out by getting you to reveal it. Don't let her. Stay mysterious.	57
California Gov. Jerry Brown Signs Bill Telling College Kids Where, When to Have Sex	59
Even feminist shithole Slate agrees: "choreplay" doesn't get you laid.	60
There's a new gender gap that's building in America: women have surged ahead of men in obesity.	62
The Sexodus in action: millennials are having less sex.	63
A male birth control trial was cancelled for being unsafe to men. Feminists beta-shame and find a way to make it all about themselves.	65
Anon goes undercover to Hillary HQ with predictable results...	66
It's so nice having the experience of a nagging housewife chirping in my ear straight from my Facebook feed. These headlines don't make me grimace with annoyance at all!	67
I have seen a fair bit of suspicion and doubt in this forum regarding Cassie Jaye's "The Red Pill." I support this film whole-heartedly - let me tell you why.	68
6'4"	70

Banned from /r/AskWomen. Here were the three posts which made that happen.

1 upvotes | August 12, 2013 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

I've lost my best friend to a single mother.

16 upvotes | September 5, 2013 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

I know this guy... let's call him Nate.

Nate and I been tight since early childhood. I think I first met him when I was 7 and he was 8. We went to middle and high school together, and did a bit of community college together, too. We've always been tight, and I reckon he's probably the best friend I've ever had.

Last year around February he started dating a single mother. She's a few years older than him and has two kids.

I thought nothing of it at first... until I realized that this was going to be his life from now on.

We used to hang out at least a couple times a week, but it's been all year, and I haven't seen him hardly even once.

It's nothing personal, I know. Even his sister, who he (technically) lives with, almost never gets to see him.

He completely put his education on hold. He's still a couple years away from graduation, and he's simply working his shitty minimum wage restaurant job in the meantime when he isn't taking care of these two kids who aren't even his.

He's an awesome dad to those kids, and they are lucky to have him. But they're a full time job for him. He's freaking 23 years old, and he's already consumed with childcare responsibilities as if he were an actual father... without being an actual father.

It's so blue pill, it makes me legitimately sad.

Meanwhile, I'm living the RP life. I've never had a legitimate relationship (I simply don't find them to be worth the expenditure of effort) but I get more than enough sex to stay satisfied.

I don't waste my money on valueless hamsters. I spend my money on me and me alone, and as a result, my tuition is almost completely paid for, and I still have enough left over to live a comfortable life.

I don't waste my time on hamsters either. I've always been perfectly willing to put effort into my education rather than futile relationships, and as a result, I'm about to graduate in December. I have a dual degree in information systems and economics, and if all goes according to plan, I'll have a 3.6 at the end of it.

So... TLDR: my friend and I started out in the same place in life. He's wasting his time on a much older single mother like a textbook beta, and his life is going nowhere, fast. I'm keeping it alpha, and I'm going places in life.

I know he's entitled to his own life choices and all, but it just really makes me sad that he can't be bothered to be a little more selfish with his time and energy. He's wasting his potential.

I miss my best friend Nate.

Young woman starts having second thoughts about her marriage, pushes husband off cliff. Pleads "not guilty."

34 upvotes | October 5, 2013 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

A girl you're interested in asks, "do you have a girlfriend?" You don't. How do you respond indicating that you're available without looking beta?

21 upvotes | October 17, 2013 | /r/askTRP | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

I mean, I guess you could always say something like "Nah, I like the bachelor lifestyle" or "I'm too free spirited" or something like that, but it's hard to say "I'm not in a relationship and happy about it" without sounding like you're giving *excuses*, rather than *reasons*, for why you're single.

Thoughts?

I think I've found the world's biggest hamster...

65 upvotes | October 24, 2013 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pzdDhp1rlv0>

First you will rage, then you will get a huge justice boner.

This should serve as a good reminder that if you're having a phone conversation of a serious nature with a crazy girl, **record that shit.**

Yet another hot mamma under attack from the femisphere for looking great after a baby

96 upvotes | December 8, 2013 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Hey TRP, not sure if anyone's posted this yet, but here it is.

<http://shine.yahoo.com/parenting/mom-under-fire-post-baby-body-selfie-215700858.html>

This is either the third or fourth time has happened, and I love how this is becoming a trend. Fitness model has a kid but keeps a great body, the picture explodes with popularity, and hamplanet feminists let loose with their usual round of jealous outrage.

Some of these quotes are pure TRP gold.

"This is not a selfie. This is an act of war. This whole situation has become ludicrous. The competition for women to give birth and then immediately remove any trace from their their bodies that they ever carried a child is OBSCENE. There is no other word for it."

Yes, this is war. How DARE you pollute the SMP with your high-value body. All women are beautiful and all, but stop being beautiful for real. You're making us look bad.

Some Tweets called her "intimidating," "unhelpful," "obviously a freak," and "unfair to all women."

Many women are offended at the idea that they should have to work hard to be attractive: "Women are sick of this omnipresent pressure to get our bodies back. And when you're juggling a newborn's needs, running on no sleep and trying to take care of yourself, that's a toxic message."

So there you have it, folks. Modern feminism summarized in one massive fit of envious hamster-rage.

Back in our grandparents' day, being a feminist meant being Rosy the Riveter: they started wearing pants, they did hard manual labor to keep the bills paid while their husbands were at war, and they fought for their right to vote.

But now that we're in the third wave of it, the shoe is completely on the other foot. Now the women who work hard are oppressors for daring to have a nice, tight body which we dogs like to drool at. The new focus of the movement is to allow women to be as lazy as possible while still holding all the power in the SMP.

It's a cliché outside the sub that we're only here because we're "bitter." For me, this is not true - since taking the pill, I am so much goddamn happier.

124 upvotes | December 10, 2013 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

In my early college years, I used to be a hardcore beta. When I got friendzoned and girls overlooked me for bad boys with big muscles and tatoos, it legitimately upset me. I'd look in the mirror wondering what I was doing wrong, how I could get my life on track, what I could do to get girls to like me.

Some months into being a regular of this sub, and all that angst has vanished. When I see girls going for Dark Triads, I shrug and say "it's her nature. NEXT."

When I see beta orbiters, I see what I used to be, and rather than be sad to see my brothers falling into that age old trap, I inwardly laugh at them for being that way. I embrace the schadenfreude of watching them fail.

And, of course, I can see so much more clearly what I need to be to have SMV, and it's gone up by leaps and bounds since then.

It's like... girls, relationships, sex, and everything in between makes sense now. That fact doesn't make me bitter. Quite the contrary, it makes me smile.

/r/AskMen thread asks what experiences men have had sleeping with older women. RedPill truths abound.

108 upvotes | December 23, 2013 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Redditlog here: <http://redditlog.com/snapshots/59201>

Obviously the top rated stuff is all watered down PC bullshit ("ooh it was such a great experience, I learned so much, I should have stayed and helped her take care of her kid, blah blah blah"), but there's some content in here worth noting.

The comment made the most by a large margin was "they didn't play games."

Which makes perfect sense. Fertile young women with tight bodies need to play games (read: deliver shit tests) so she can weed out the betas and find the alphas who deliver the tingles by not putting up with her bullshit antics.

Wallhitters don't have that luxury; they're still clinging to what shreds of value they can glean from younger men. So they can't afford to screen for alphas, lest it raises her price by too high a margin.

Same deal with this guy: "There was no guesswork involved in regards to what she wanted and absolutely nothing was off limits."

This one was especially telling:

"Watch out for the head cases, which is what I had. She was mid 40's and I was mid 20's and well it was just terrible. She said she wanted FWB, no strings attached which was complete BS. After a few weeks the angry texts started (not paying attention to her, not texting or hanging out enough, I "led" her on) and once I got the vibe that things were going south I black listed her and I now avoid her like the plague."

Textbook. She starts by saying "this is a no strings attached deal, just a quick and dirty thing, I have easy sex just like men do hurr durr feminism." Then she try's to hook you as a beta provider.

So yeah, same shit different day. Women hit the wall, lose their looks, and start clinging desperately to their dissipating beauty by preying on the young and alpha. In other news, grass is green and the earth continues to revolve around the sun.

A new "realistic" (read: fat) Barbie is proposed. But as usual, hamplanet feminists cannot be appeased.

17 upvotes | December 27, 2013 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://health.yahoo.net/articles/womens-health/plus-size-barbie-gets-slammed-inaccurate-portrayal-curvey-women>

Honestly, I can't tell what these people want. It sounds like they want a Barbie who has an "average" body size, but is still fun to look at. "Curvey," I suppose.

But if they were to make a Barbie who was *actually* curvey, that'd be slammed as unrealistic too - for looking too good. Having a sexy body is supposed to be unattainable, right? So we can't have that.

So I guess they want... Fat, but not *too* fat?

One of these days, these people are going to realize that beauty standards can't be "redefined" to include the obese. Men like skinny women, and girls will always want to be skinny so that men will enjoy being looking at them. And that's all there is to say about that.

Great post from Reason.com about the state of feminism in 2013

33 upvotes | January 5, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

You've got to watch Woody Allen's "Blue Jasmine." Pure TRP from start to finish.

2 upvotes | January 6, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

It's great a comedy/drama from legendary writer and direction Woody Allen, and if you've been an RPer for any amount of time you will recognize truths which we talk about all the time being demonstrated here. It's impossible to pin it down to one single RPism - it's got a ton of them. It explores themes such as:

- Female hypergamy
- Male polyamory
- Male orbiting
- Females seeking capable providers (aka golddigging whores who don't want to work for themselves and go for rich and high-status men)
- Females seeking bad boy alphas
- Females cranking the hamster wheel up to 10 to rationalize their shitty behavior
- Females seeking petty revenge on males who have wronged them (basically divorce rape, but it's presented differently here; can't tell you how 'cuz it's a spoiler)

Great fucking movie; will almost certainly clean house when Oscar season starts. It feels good seeing a critically acclaimed genius such as Woody Allen recognizing the aspects of human behavior which normally only Red Pill takers such as ourselves dare to point out.

Recently learned about the sex life of a militant feminist I know. Thought it was too priceless not to share with you all...

154 upvotes | January 7, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Okay, so there's this chick I knew in high school, we'll call her E. She's about a 7 and way too irritating for most guys to stand, so she has had very few experiences with dating and romance. She's a die hard militant feminist - the Tumblr type who really cranks it up to 10 and is constantly barraging Facebook with shitty Salon articles about how boys should be taught not to rape, women are super objectified by the media, all that drivel.

Well, I got together with a bunch of friends this weekend, and she came along. And the first thing out of her mouth as soon as we were sitting at a table is some hardcore bragging about how she's hooking up with this guy, L.

L is, by the sounds of it, a total dark triad alpha. Basically unemployed except for odd jobs, major "bad boy" red flags, dominator in bed, a vast history of sexual experiences, etc. Basically, he just gets all the sex he wants from girls.

And here's the thing: he's in a totally open relationship with this girl M. And it's not the classic situation you'd normally expect where the woman takes cocks left and right while the poor beta male struggles to find other sexual encounters. No. This guy only goes for girls who are ugly enough (6-8 range, I don't think he has any 9's) such that HE's the one getting laid all over the place, and due to the mad preselection bonus that gives him, it's all an upwards spiral and these girls are all completely worshiping at his alter.

So even though L and M are "in a relationship," E is driving from Richmond, VA to Charlottesville, VA every friggin weekend. That's a full hour drive there and back, and she's still using her parents' minivan, so that's a shit ton of gas and road time just to take an alpha cock, *which she has to share with God knows how many other women*.

Anyway, I find this whole situation highly amusing. I mean, here we have a "death to all men" feminist giving a highly masculine alpha the kind of rabid fangirl love that I didn't even know was possible.

Kinda makes sense, though. It's like how you sort of expect the "God hates fags" redneck homophobes to be maybe a little bit secretly gay deep down. Same situation here. E is always loudly and obnoxiously preaching of the evils of masculinity, and yet here she is taking some hardcore masculinity up the cunt as often as she can get it.

I am eagerly awaiting the part where she falls madly in love with him (if she hasn't already), gets upset about all the other women, and tries to domesticate him into a stable boyfriend/provider figure. In doing so, he will either laugh and make her suck his dick, or kick her ass straight to the curb faster than you can say "NEXT."

Anyway, just thought you all needed to hear. I'll update if the story progresses; I will most assuredly be monitoring the situation closely.

TV critic asks Lena Dunham a valid question regarding her gratuitous onscreen nudity. Gets torn apart for being sexist by her, Apatow, and the media at large.

13 upvotes | January 10, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://tv.yahoo.com/news/judd-apatow-lena-dunham-mad-asking-why-she-025943887.html>

Let me give you fair warning, to those of you who don't know what Lena Dunham looks like and/or haven't seen all the nude scenes in question: don't look them up. It's not a pleasant sight; this chick is a 4 or 5 tops and these visuals will melt your boner and shrivel your balls. Steer fucking clear.

So anyway, this guy asks the Lena (a militant feminist) why she has to be so goddamn naked all the time. Not that there's anything especially wrong with being naked... it's just that for her, it's happening all the friggin time.

He said it best here:

"“Girls” has more nudity by its lead character than any show, well, ever. But my girlfriend and I don’t understand the reason for it. We’re cool with nudity, and if Dunham wants to be naked, great. I’m not offended by it. I don’t like it or not like it. I just don’t get the artistic reason for it, and want to understand it, because I’m a TV critic.”

Instant feminist butthurt ensued. How dare you question a hippo-shaped woman's right to be beautiful and admired for millions of viewers to see. As if suggesting that not wanting to see an ugly person naked is nothing short of criminal.

Now, as a GOT fan, I'm all about female onscreen nudity. I'm not some kind of prude or censorship nazi or whatever. But I've always been irked by Lena Dunham's way of going about it. Like, "look at me, I'm naked again, I dare you to call me ugly because if you do I get to call you sexist!"

And more broadly, I am annoyed by Lena Dunham in general - she's always been an extremely irritating feminist cunt, and this is just the latest version of that.

I mean, read some of the exchanges this guy had with people after his series of questions. He asks, "what did I do wrong?" Judd Apatow, who is apparently (sadly enough) something of a white knight, says "read your question again, it's totally sexist." The critic asks, "how so?" Apatow gives no friggin answer whatsoever, and just keeps saying "read your question again," as if he can play that line as a get out of logic free card.

This is yet another demonstration of the shit we've seen time and time again. All girls are beautiful, and if you even HINT that an unattractive girl is not fun to look at, you're a pig. If you ask for reason or logic to justify this stance, all you get is "fuck you, that's why."

Kansas judge rules sperm donor is legal father, owes child support

115 upvotes | January 23, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Why women put up a purity shield

21 upvotes | January 25, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Something I was asking myself earlier: if we're living in the age of third-wave feminism, where women are encouraged to ride the carousel and all those who judge her for it are "insecure assholes stuck in the 50's", why is it that many women will occasionally click into "purity mode" and put up LMR, and/or lie about their past promiscuity in order to appear pure and innocent?

This is an issue which gets discussed here occasionally, and I think it merits an official discussion.

"To slut or not to slut" is a question raging in every woman's mind. There is a tug-of-war going on in their minds, pulling them in many different directions.

On the one hand, you have feminist blogs and media saying "sleep around, have fun, live life! And no one gets to call you promiscuous for it, because if they do, they're anti-progress!"

Then in addition to that, you have the fact solipsism makes women think that preselection applies to men's mind's the same way it applies to women's.

If I, as a man, can demonstrate to a woman that I have banged 20 chicks, she'll think I'm alpha as fuck and she'll be more inclined to want to get into bed with me. After all, at least 20 chicks have already prescreened me, and determined that I am genetically pure enough to be a father-candidate for their children.

Solipsist women often assume that this same thing works in reverse: if a woman can demonstrate to me that she's taken 20 different cocks in various different orifices, surely that means that I'll be inclined to think more of her, right? Surely that will make her more attractive?

Of course not. For us guys, our attraction is much more basic. We see, we like, end of story. But something a lot of women fail to grasp, seeing as they expect all people to think the same way as them.

So these factors are pulling women's minds in one direction, saying "be a slut."

Then we have factors pulling in the other direction.

If I'm an alpha, and I'm trying to choose between whether woman A or woman B is the higher quality woman, and worthy of my valuable seed and commitment, one of the things I'm going to consider is, how FEW cocks has she taken?

If that answer is "very few" or even better, "none," I'm all about that. It'll be nice and tight for me; get me the hell in there.

On the other hand, if the answer is "all of them," I don't want a "throwing a hot dog down a cave" situation. I will value one who does that less.

Therefore, women have a part of their brain that instinctively knows that if she wants to score a proper high-value male, she has to maybe not take so many cocks, and save her snatch for the really good ones.

Aaand that's where the purity shield kicks in. She needs the alpha to know that she totally doesn't give it up easy, and she has to demonstrate it properly. Thus the fact that she'll put up LMR, or she'll try to hide just how much of a carousel-riding life she's been living.

So, you have factors pulling one way, saying "be a slut" and then you have factors pulling the other

way saying "but don't let the alphas know about it." Where she chooses to land on the slut vs. virgin scale depends entirely on what the situation is and what she wants others to think of her.

Just my observations.

A 17-year-old psychopath murdered his mother and sister. So, predictably, he now has a sizable female fanbase.

184 upvotes | January 25, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://welovejakeevans.tumblr.com/post/33256884397/more-evangelists-supporters-you-are-not-alone>

We've seen this situation dozens times before: a good-looking Dark Triad commits an egregious crime, and immediately gains a following of amorous fangirls.

We saw the same thing happen to the [Boston Bomber](#). And before that, let's not forget the time [girls were begging Chris Brown to beat the shit out of them](#).

This is a scientifically observed phenomena - "Hybristophilia," AKA "Bonnie and Clyde Syndrome." <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hybristophilia>

The same thing happened to Jeffrey Dahmer, Ted Bundy, and Charles Manson. Edit: others have pointed out that it happened with others too, including James Holmes and Aaron Hernandez.

Interestingly, this is one of the few paraphilias almost unheard of in men.

University fines Men's Rights speaker Karen Straughan (AKA GirlWritesWhat) a \$1600 "security fee"

151 upvotes | February 3, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

"We Are Women" shared this on Facebook. Your daily WTF.

95 upvotes | March 6, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

https://fbcdn-sphotos-f-a.akamaihd.net/hphotos-ak-ash3/t1/1975076_490386174398590_383269643_n.png

So as you can see, women aren't responsible for men being viewed as disposable dumb brutes, it's our shadowy masculine overlords who decided that. Because somehow men stand to benefit from that...?

And patriarchy says that it's okay to be a savage, animalistic brute who catcalls and rapes indiscriminately. Thank goodness feminism taught us that women are more than just fuckable sacks of meat.

Also, you know how it is how you're attracted to fit, fertile young women with long hair and firm tits? Biology didn't make you that way. The patriarchy told your boner what to get off on, and you believed its lies.

People honestly believe this shit.

A quote from Aristotle which I live by.

136 upvotes | March 9, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

"We are what we repeatedly do. Greatness then, is not an act, but a habit."

- Aristotle

You know those people who clog the gym for the first two weeks after New Years? The ones who say "I'm going to get in shape this year! For real this time!" Then totally forget their gym membership and go back to potato chips and Real Housewives reruns? They're the ones who need to hear this the most.

If you're going to be a great man, you have to do more than just one day say "I'm going to do something BIG today."

You have to do something big EVERY day, to the point that it becomes a natural part of who you are as a person.

When people hear about my intense, four-day-a-week exercise schedule, they assume I must be disciplined and driven. And I was at first. But now that it's part of my weekly routine, it feels like nothing. It's just what I do. It's a habit. I can't imagine a world where I DON'T spend at least 8 hours a week running and lifting.

Same goes for my education. I graduated Cum Laude with a dual degree in Information Systems and Economics. That took a shit ton of work, but honestly, I don't feel like I accomplished anything. All I did was make my studies a deeply ingrained part of my daily routine, to the point that it became a habit. It reached a point where getting C's made me feel like a failure, and I'd be mad at myself for weeks. Once again, committing seriously to academics was something that became a natural part of my life.

The stoners and frat bros who were just there to party but ended up dropping out or downgrading to an easy yet worthless degree could have learned from Aristotle's words. Education isn't something you just one day up and do, it's something you have to seriously commit to, consistently and determinedly, for years on end.

Same goes for gaming women. At first, you hundreds of noobs who just subbed here (welcome, by the way) will struggle to maintain frame when you're in the presence of a 9 or 10. You're going to trip and stutter over your words, say some stupid shit, expose your inner beta, and lose the battle. But after you spend some time maintaining frame normally in all your important social interactions, you'll become a natural at it.

Something to think about. If you don't have any healthy, productive habits which are building your value as a man, get some.

The "Test Breakup" - something apparently hundreds of Redditors have experienced.

160 upvotes | March 9, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://redditlog.com/snapshots/344053>

The OP describes, in a meme, a classic situation we've seen many, many times before: the "test breakup." The woman says she's not feeling it and their relationship needs to end. The male is upset but accepts it. The woman comes back crying a week later, demanding *"why didn't you fight for me?"* After a little while, a relationship stops being a source of validation for a woman. She needs more. She needs to see her value proved more definitively by making a man grovel before her.

I checked the comments expecting that he wasn't the only one. Sure enough, there are many, many Redditors who have experienced this phenomena themselves:

"I had a girl do that once. We dated for a little under a month before she tried, and when I just sort of accepted it and moved on she starts blowing me up a week later asking why I didn't text or call her. I was completely dumbfounded."

"I had one of those. When i said "Oh, Okay" and she saw how indifferent I was to her she actually regretted it."

"My crazy sister-in-law got pissed at her boyfriend for not taking off work when she got sick. She packed his stuff up and put it at the door so he'd see it when he got home. I think in her mind he'd freak out and beg for her forgiveness. Instead he got home, saw his things, put them in his car, and left."

"I had one of those once. It's better off done. Next will be "I only cheated on you to see if you would cheat on me out of revenge!"

"My ex-wife "test fucked" other people for the same reason. This must be a thing."

"Oh man, you're telling me I wasn't the only one that this happened too? I never fought too. I respected her decision to break up, walked out, deleted her phone number and changed mine and never contacted her again. It was pure awesomeness. Plus the fact that she kept tabs on me because she thought that I was/would/did cheat on her despite me working three jobs at the time was getting a little ordinary too."

"My husband once dated a girl who said she cheated and then claimed she read in Cosmo that he would only be upset if he really cared. That relationship did not last and I got to marry an awesome man. Her loss, my gain!"

"Dude my friends wife did that to him and the ass went back."

"You dating my ex wife? I'll tell you how this story ends. If you wind up marrying her you'll lose your house, car, and half your shit. Get out now..."

"Friends girlfriend did this. She wanted to get married and didn't feel he was on the same page. So told him she wanted out of the relationship. They lived together at the time. He was upset but said he understood. She then got very upset, how dare he do this and so on. Gave him the ultimatum to get married or she would leave for good. He told her to leave. Ended up marrying the next girl he went out with"

"I moved in with an ex. Well about another year goes by and we were fighting a lot. She told me to get out. Well that was the end of that. I called a buddy with a van. She left for work. And I took my shit and left. She then was angry after work because she expected me to "stay and fight for her". Fuck that noise. That 2 year long relationship taught me thouroughly the wisdom "dont stick your dick in crazy""

"My (now former) wife pulled that one on me about 3 weeks ago. I've got a phone call from my (now former) mother-in-law telling me that, "whatever happens", she doesn't want her daughter back..."

"Literally just happened to me today.."

So, the Men's Rights movement got some mainstream exposure on SNL last night. It went about exactly as well as you would expect...

186 upvotes | March 9, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://www.hulu.com/watch/606113>

Like many of us, I'm not active in the MR sub anymore because I don't really give a shit. TRP is about empowering the individual man, rather than men as a collective, so most of us don't have much need for that stuff anymore.

Even so, this shit makes me rage. Like all feminist attacks, this one consisted entirely of strawmen and ad hominem, and had absolutely zero logic or rationality backing up its premise.

In the sketch:

- The MRA himself is presented as being a scrawny virginly dweeb (note that he's being shamed for his body type and sexual history - something which is apparently only sexist when the genders are flipped).
- Apparently MR's want to shut down Planned Parenthood. I've been a member of the manosphere for a while now, but this is the absolute first I've ever heard of this. Since when was ending abortion on the agenda? O_O
- MR's are presented as fighting for "the right to earn more than women." Reeceally, SNL? How about phrasing that "the right to earn a salary that you deserve, regardless of gender"? Or is that too unbiased? Of course it is.
- Something about feeding turtles
- Ad homs about how he's only an MR because he had his heart broken and he's angry that it's so hard for him to get laid. Because you can't have a good anti-MR diatribe without the classic Charge of Rationalization (Code Purple).
- ACTUAL men's issues that were not discussed: alimony/child support/other forms of divorce rape, prison rape, less parental rights, false rape/abuse accusations, receiving harsher penalties for the same crimes, earlier death, lower college/high school graduation rate, higher likelihood to commit suicide, less medical research, and just generally being considered the disposable gender by society.

I could go on, but I guess the moral of the story is... you're a man and no one gives a shit about you. So lift, maintain frame, make money, never marry, etc, because at the end of the day, that's all you can do for yourself. Society will laugh in your face if you suggest you've been marginalized in any way because of your gender.

"11 Reasons Why The Fitness Culture On Social Media Needs To Be Stopped"

1 upvotes | March 14, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://elitedaily.com/life/culture/reasons-why-the-fitness-culture-on-social-media-needs-to-be-stopped/>

This was posted in [/r/fatlogic](#); I thought it belonged here.

TLDR:

- If your body starts looking good and you get extra attention for it, that's not fair because you don't deserve to get that attention.
- Some people like inhaling gallons of Rocky Road while crying over The Notebook. Just because YOU'RE disciplined enough to eat solid, healthy meals is no reason to make these people feel bad.
- Girls with big muscles aren't sexy.
- Posting social media messages telling people to get motivated and go to the gym is annoying.
- It's annoying when these stupid fit people cheer each other on for living a healthy lifestyle.
- Eating healthy is depressing.
- Apparently people who look good only date other people who look good, so fit people only date each other.

I wonder if women who complain about "impossible beauty standards" would be willing to adopt this particular fashion accessory they usually love to hate: Burqas.

83 upvotes | March 23, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Sure, the Burqa is typically used to represent everything that is wrong with a Patriarchal society. The men who rule the culture are forcing rules upon women and all that.

But let's look at things another way: suppose women, of their own free will, were to adopt this particular standard for themselves?

I mean, it would accomplish exactly what they say they want to accomplish already, right?

We, as men, aren't supposed to judge women for their style of hair, facial structure, cup size, or body type. If we say we "have a type," we're being sexist. We're supposed to see them as *people*. With thoughts and feelings; dreams and ambitions. Judging them for their hair length or dress size is, as we've been told a hundred times before, "shallow."

If they have wisdom, maturity, dedication, a college education, a career, etc, we're supposed to be attracted to those sorts of things, because those are positive characteristics which they value in a male, so we're supposed to feel the same way about them. Total equality and all that.

Well then, with that being the case, why don't we set up our culture the same way they do in some parts of the Middle East, where womens' beauty is hidden specifically so that they will not be judged on whether they do or do not have it.

The romantic scene would be *exactly* as they purport to want it. We will look upon a women with her black veil draped over her face, and we will say to her, "you are beautiful." Not because of her physical characteristics, but because she has a solid A+ personality, and besides, all women are beautiful anyway, right?

Competition for looks among women would become a zero sum game. No one woman gets to look better than another. All are visually identical; even Kate Upton will be playing on the same playing field as the angsty SJW's of Tumblr.

Instead of competing to have the best visual aesthetics, competition among women would become a battle to see who can be the best walking, talking personality she could possibly be.

Yeah, I'm just going to state the obvious here and say... women would hate that. Like, a lot. Even the fat ones and the ugly ones who have trouble scoring high value males already.

This perfectly competitive economy, in which they have to compete to be a high quality person (something most of us men struggle with already) would force them to work themselves to the bone to achieve said quality. And we know how women get when you force them to actually have to be held to the same standard of accountability as men. They hate it. A lot. See also: alimony, child support, paying for dinner/drinks, working to make the family's bacon, being the one who makes the approach, being convicted of a crime... the list goes on infinitely.

When women complain about "impossible beauty standards" in the media, they aren't actually complaining about beauty standards for womenkind as a whole. Each one is simply trying to absolve the pressure on themselves, as individuals, to be aesthetically pleasing.

So, TLDR: women are solipsists. Also, the grass continues to be green, and the world continues to revolve around the sun.

Showing is a thousand times better than telling.

29 upvotes | March 27, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

So, a friend of mine recently went through a tough breakup. I know this guy, and he's a great catch for any lady. Tall, good looking, decent shape.

The girl he was dating was a good point and a half lower than him. I'd say he's an 8.5 and she's a 7.

Recently the two of us were sitting at a bar, talking about life. I asked him about what went wrong in the relationship, hoping I could use some of the cheat codes you all have given me to get him through it.

He said that what ultimately did it was that she thought he had "too many options available to him," so she was just going to move on to someone else.

That puzzled me. Preselection is supposed to be an advantage which works in your favor, not a limiting factor. Even if a woman DOES think her man can easily up and leave her for someone else, that should just makes her all the more eager to cling to him like cellophane, because she can't just give up on a high value man like that. Desirable alphas need to get fucked, no matter how many sluts they have in their harem.

Upon probing deeper, I discovered the problem. I asked him how he demonstrated to her that he could fuck other girls if he wanted to. Apparently, all he did was tell her that he could. He did not SHOW it. He just SAID it. He was all talk and no walk, and she saw right through it.

If you can get IOI's from a 10 and there are witnesses present to see you work your game, that is an infinitely more effective DHV than if you loudly and drunkenly yell to your group, "I could fuck a 10 if I wanted."

Even if you're 100% telling the truth and could land Jessica Alba if you so chose, people would either a) think you're full of shit and desperate for their validation, therefore lowering your DHV to them, or b) know you're telling the truth, but think you're a needy, validation-requiring asshole for explicitly trying to draw attention to your good qualities, to the same effect.

If you are to truly be an alpha male, you should never seek to validate yourself using merely your own tough-talking words. That's not to say there isn't value in having charisma and being able to talk yourself out of a tight spot, but ultimately, your alpha traits are something that need to be DEMONSTRATED on the battlefield, rather than bragged about in the bar.

People are much more like to believe things if they feel they have arrived upon a conclusion of their own free will.

Yahoo CEO Marissa Mayer: "I don't think that I would consider myself a feminist"

33 upvotes | April 6, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Read the Slate butthurt about it here and here:

http://www.slate.com/blogs/xx_factor/2013/02/27/marissa_mayer_says_she_s_not_a_feminist_in_pbs_makers_documentary.html

http://www.slate.com/articles/double_x/doublex/2013/03/marissa_mayer_is_not_a_feminist_is_the_term_useful_anymore.html

Her objection seems to be mostly about style, which she summarizes as “militant drive and sort of the chip on the shoulder.” When thinking about women, “there’s more good that comes out of positive energy around that than negative energy.”

If a woman doesn't date short guys, that's okay because that's a preference. But if a man doesn't date obese girls, he's shallow.

979 upvotes | April 21, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://imgur.com/a/7KN3T>

This was posted in [/r/Tinder](#), and I thought it belonged here.

So apparently the fact that George Clooney's marrying a lawyer makes the Princeton Mom wrong.

1 upvotes | May 2, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

So, as many of you recall, a couple months ago a Princeton mother wrote an [article](#) suggesting that if young women want to score commitment from a high value man, they should considering passing on the career and wifing up early.

Predictably, the femisphere retaliated with furious butthurt, and have been soiling their panties with rage ever since.

Here's the latest bit. Keep a barf back handy, because this one reeks of hamster.

<http://levo.im/R45xFh>

So here's the TLDR. George Clooney is a handsome, charming 52-year-old who's aged like a fine wine and represents the epitome of female desire. He has previously sworn off marriage and entertained himself with the company of whatever nubile young HB10's were willing to be used as his personal cumrag.

But recently, much to the delight of this author, the news has come out that he is now settling down with a 32-year-old lawyer.

The author uses this as irrefutable evidence that if a woman chooses the Strong, Independent Career Wimmin path and doesn't settle for the wife/kids deal till she hits wall-nearing age, she'll score her dreamy high-value alpha and everything will work out perfectly.

Apparently she's never heard of concepts like [false positives](#) and [outliers](#). Isn't it entirely possible that things worked out well for this *one wall-hitting career women*, this *one time*, and that if many or even most women try out this strategy, they will not be met with such a successful outcome?

Considering how feminists have reacted with such intense delight over this situation, that implies a scarcity of this sort of situation... which, as we've all seen far too many times here at TRP, implies that the latter outcome is entirely the case.

But, hamster's gonna hamst. Women will share this horseshit on Facebook, it will perpetuate rapidly, and women will take this as full permission to ride the shit out of the carousel till they take it straight into the wall. Same shit, different day.

And not a single one of them will realize the obvious: that the same demographic that this author comes from - the wallhitters who can no longer score alpha commitment like they once could - would benefit from this arrangement. The less women there are committing early, the more high value men there are available for those same wallhitters. They do not see this author for what she really is - a wolf in a really convincing sheep costume.

These women aren't actually looking out for each other. It's aaaall about what's best for ME, ME, ME, as demonstrated by this gem of a comment:

I wish them all the best. However, as a divorced 54 year old, I would have been more encouraged if he had found someone closer to his age. Yes, I want to know that men want to date strong, accomplished women like me who are not at least 15 years younger. That would have made me even happier. Sorry to rain on the parade.

I would like to conclude by pointing out this particular comment, who summarized the whole Princeton Mom debacle much more eloquently than I could:

Wow, Ms. Mackenzie Dawson thinks that the outlier and the exception can prove her rule: hold out for the one in a million chance that you might marry George Clooney or some Clooney wannabe.

I don't know about all the stuff in Susan Patton's book. If Ms. Dawson's example that Ms. Patton advocates "get[ting] plastic surgery in high school....", I'm sure we would need to examine the book more closely. However, I'm sure Ms. Patton's point was for both men AND women to decide what is really important in life: a career or family. And that isn't to say one cannot attain some level of both. But, to do very well in one endeavor, one must usually compromise parts of other pursuits.

Time is only commodity one cannot get more of. When one is old, most of the trappings of youth become less important. Both men and women must decide: is it more important to build up career and attain a bunch of physical stuff that you cannot take with you when you die, or is it more important to build a family?

Each person must decide for themselves.

In which a Redditor recounts the epic tale of a fat woman on /r/okcupid, and an asshole who did want to date her because her pictures were deceptive

233 upvotes | May 2, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://www.redditlog.com/snapshots/494225>

It's a long read, but I assure you it's worth your time.

Since I don't want this to get deleted by our new moderator, I'll try to put some actual effort into this post.

So, a woman comes onto /r/okcupid seeking validation about her latest encounter. She's got a bone to pick - apparently, all men are assholes and all that.

She was messaging back and forth with a guy, and they had a great connection. They both really liked where this thing was going, so they agreed to meet.

On the date, so says the woman, the guy was being a complete asshole. Complaining that she was about 15 pounds heavier now than she was in her photo, which was a few months old.

A discussion breaks out. Generally /r/OKC is a pretty pro-"female's side of the story" subreddit, so most of the people are with her. A man who would throw away a good romantic spark like that because of a few pounds is surely an asshole, everyone agrees.

She updates the story later, saying that this asshole lured her into thinking he was giving her a second chance by having sex with her, then dropping all communication with her shortly thereafter. Now /r/OKC is unanimous; surely he is an asshole if he's going to just pump and dump her like that.

Then there's a plot twist. The asshole himself discovers the thread, and tells his own side of the story. According to him, the difference between the woman's actual weight and her weight in her pictures was much more extreme than she was letting on. It was like he was looking at two completely different people.

He tells her straight up that he's not feeling this, he's not physically attracted to her, and it's just not happening. He politely does the full date, then wraps it up and leaves.

Later that night, the woman starts drunkenly blowing up his phone. Telling him he's an asshole for denying their potential for True Love™ for something as shallow as her weight. She begs him for a one night stand, assuring him they'll do just the one fuck then that will be the end of it forever. He knows it's a bad idea, but goes with it anyway.

Predictably, she didn't actually have any interest in that being their one and only fuck. She keeps blowing up his phone. He keeps blowing her off.

Having been given two sides to the story, Reddit breaks into open warfare. A battle breaks out between Team Gurl, saying weight doesn't matter, and Team Dude, saying you should be honest with your profile.

And then in the final third-act plot twist, an internet detective discovers the woman's OKC profile. And surprise surprise, the weight difference is not even close to 15 pounds - it's more like 75 pounds. The asshole was right - the real woman and the woman she was pretending to be on her profile were two completely different people.

MORAL OF THE STORY

Hamsters gonna hamst. This story highlights some components of female behavior which we've all seen many times before:

1. Deception: she hid the "real her" and put forward a better version to lure in a high value male
2. Gaslighting + trickle truth: somehow 75 pounds became 15
3. Female herd mentality: women will always come to bat for Team Gurl, no matter how wrong she is
4. Validation seeking: it wasn't enough for her to just have a bad date and move on with her life, she had to get on the internet and have everyone assure her that she's totally in the right here and this dude was totally a shallow asshole

And that's basically all there is to say about that.

Sure, everyone catfishes their online dating profile a little bit. You have to sell yourself well, and sometimes that means putting forward a version of you that's a little better than the actual you.

But there's a second part to that sale. After you've put forward your sales pitch and you score a date, you have to then properly be the high value commodity you claimed you were in your marketing. So if you make out on your profile that you're a really cool and interesting guy, but the woman you go out with isn't feeling that the real you isn't really all that cool or interesting, tough break. You failed the sale. You can't just expect someone to see an ad for a product, buy the product, and then buy another product when it turns out the product is actually a piece of shit which doesn't even fucking work.

But this is an epidemic which plagues the online dating system. Women who are actually 6's will Myspace-angle, makeup, and Instagram themselves up to 9's, and it's impossible for men to figure out who's faking and who's the real deal.

Then hamsters like this one will go to their support group, making the stereotypical "all men are assholes" claim because men dare to prefer one type of woman over another.

How much you want to bet that these same chicks don't message anyone unless they're at least 5'11" and are making \$50,000+?

Anyway, end rant. Take from this story what you will.

Prediction: feminists' next "big cause" will be to de-stigmatize polygamy.

136 upvotes | May 8, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

So, what with women having so much equality these days, it seems that the Fem Elite are running out of things to complain about. Just the same old "everything is rape, pay us more, creepers should be neutered, fat people are sexy (unless they're men)," etc. It's all getting stale. They just keep getting what they want. Sooner or later, they're going to have to pick up a new Great Cause; one that's so outlandish and unreasonable that even THEY won't be expecting to get it (until they do).

I have a prediction. I think the next thing they're going to demand is de-stigmatized polygamy.

We're already seeing a lite version of it with the anti-slut shaming movement. "Women should be aloud to take as much alpha cock as they can stand and no man should ever dare to judge her as being less commitment-worthy for it!"

In other words, "there shouldn't be a tradeoff between fux and bux! I want as much as I can get of BOTH!"

But what about those who've found the perfect boyfriend or husband? Sure, she gets her bux from that, and some fux to, but not nearly enough. So the next thing that feminism needs to make happen is that women in committed relationships should be allowed to have some fun on the side while they're being provided for.

"For too long, our vile, wretched patriarchy has enforced the notion that a relationship is a partnership in which one man owns one woman as his property. As if a free spirited, strong, independent woman could ever find true happiness while shackled to a single penis."

It will be packaged and sold as a sexual orientation.

"How long ago was it that people gasped at the thought of a man loving a man, or a woman loving a woman? How long ago was it illegal for a person of color to marry a Caucasian? Love is love! You should never let your bigotry get in the way of someone else's happiness. So what if a person wants to love many different people at once, that is her right. To deny that to her is Monogamy Privilege."

Disallowing a woman the ability to take any cock she wants will be akin to straight up homophobia or racism. Something men only when they're crippingly insecure and whiny. You have to let her do whatever she wants, with whoever she wants, whenever she wants, or you're one of those backwards "Red Pill" woman-hating assholes.

"She's Not Your Property" will be the t-shirt slogan. It's a common rallying cry already which feminists use to shame men who establish relationship boundaries.

"So what if she wants to go to the club and get sloshed and rub her ass on some strangers' dick? So what if she's been mysteriously texting her coworker and won't let you see the conversation? So what if in her past she's taken enough pipe to cross the Atlantic? You don't own her. She's not your property. If you're a Real Man™, and you really really love her with True Love™, you will support her for doing the things that she loves."

Slate, ThoughtCatalog, Jizzabel, and all those other rags will run heartfelt pieces from women who were shamed and dumped by their partners for daring to suggest that "their love could not be a universally shared experience."

"I assured my husband that it was a mutually beneficial arrangement! He could go and have sex with whoever he wanted. We could both have all the sexual experiences we haven't had access to, while our love would still stay as strong as ever."

The fact that sex is something which most women can be freely given but most men have to struggle to attain will be casually dismissed and ignored.

But women are not oblivious to this fact. As stories like this demonstrate, women who suggest polygamy are acutely aware of how easily it would work in their favor, are fully willing to exploit that fact, and will be very disappointed in the event of a backfire.

Sure, even the lowliest of betas would be extremely not okay with this type of arrangement.

But it's amazing what stigmas women can eliminate or enforce just by complaining loudly and persistently enough. I mean, look at all the politically incorrect Red Pill truths we discuss here that are CLEARLY truths, but cause the rest of the world to recoil in horror, because how dare you point out the elephant in the room that women declared that nobody's allowed to talk about.

Of course, the proper alpha 20%ers will refuse to allow this bullshit in their relationships.

But there's still a looot of beta 80%ers out there who STILL think that the best way to get women to fuck them is to be as supplicative as possible. They cave to every insane and irrational feminist whim, and like a man trying to escape a Chinese finger trap by pulling harder, they will wonder why it's not getting them fucked. So they'll pull even harder and harder.

"You want to fuck other people? Sure dear, so long as you promise you'll give me EXTRA sex stuff in exchange!"

And such will begin an era where wallhitters and single mothers will continue to marry betas when they're at the end of the carousel, but also have an out to get a little strange dick when they can get it. The men will get older, whinier, and less attractive, till eventually the strange dick is the only dick these women are getting while their balding, boring old husband continues to do 40+ hours a week to pay the mortgage and the bills, all at the knife point of a divorce rape.

Thus there will be more miserable and sexless marriages than ever, more AF/BB than ever, and eventually as beta males wake up to how shitty they have it, they'll start MGTOWing on a massive scale. The nuclear family model will get nuked.

I could be wrong. It'd take an extreme societal shift for de-stigmatized polygamy to actually happen. I get that.

Maybe this is a Bridge Too Far. Maybe this is one of those things that the Womens' Lobby fights for, but the Mens' Lobby grows a pair for once and strikes down.

But never underestimate the Womens' Rights movements' ability to get what they want when they ban together and fight for something en masse, especially when it's something that maximizes their bux/fux yield.

Imagine what our grandparents' generation would say if they saw the modern fat/slut acceptance movements. Would they ever believe that something like this could happen in a million years?

Sometimes the extremists win.

If I'm right, God help us all, the gradual descent towards the Decline will become a plummet. Stock up on canned goods and ammo while they're still on the market.

Another take on why TRP is loathed by women: AF/BB has to be a secret.

325 upvotes | May 8, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Everyone has their own theory about why TRP is Reddit's favorite source of jimmie-rustles. Here's mine.

First of all, the reason which TRP is hated in general is just because of pure groupthink. The average Redditor needs to have other people do his thinking for him, so when The Everyone Conglomerate says "TRP is just bunch of women hating rapists, you need to hate them," he obeys without question, without actually bothering to learn our philosophy or anything about us.

But the hate had to start somewhere. For some reason, in the early days of our sub, some women had to drop in and see what we were all about, freak the fuck out about something in our message, and declare to the world that we're the worst thing since the Holocaust.

I think I've figured out what that something is. Alpha Fux/Beta Bux only works if the betas don't realize how badly they've been lied to.

I recall there was a time when a TRPer showed his sister this sub and asked her to read over our content to see what she thought. "This isn't right, none of this is right," she kept saying. "How so?" the guy asked. Eventually she came around to the truth.

"You guys shouldn't know this stuff."

We have unravelled many of the mysteries of the female mind. One of the most significant is that women want to get fucked by weightlifting frat bros who give them dark triad gina tingles, and want to get flowers, money, emotional support, and post-wall commitment the weaker men who don't have so many sexual options.

So think about what happens when those betas start to discover this sub.

"Wait a minute," they say. "You mean that when I was composing her love letters, buying her plane tickets so she could visit her boyfriend on the other side of the country, being her shoulder to cry on when she got dumped by her boyfriend, holding her purse while she was getting fucked in the bathroom stall in the club, assuring her she was beautiful and perfect like a wish wrapped in a dream, and being her faithful orbiter all those years... she didn't ever have any intention of having sex with me?"

And just like that... the veil is lifted, and the bux stop.

It's like a horse is following the apple dangling from the string... and then suddenly it realizes that it's not actually getting any closer to the apple by walking forward, so it just stops walking, and is then of no benefit to the rider.

Some people have pointed out that TRP can be beneficial to women, because we can learn how to properly be the kind of man she is attracted to.

That's useful if she wants our fux.

But she still needs those bux just as bad, maybe even moreso. Free meals, free drinks, emotional support, companionship, validation, and most importantly, unconditional commitment even though she's halfway through her thirties with a beer gut and kid to take care of.

A beta male may be as sexually appealing as a piece of driftwood, but he can certainly have a purpose. He can provide some really vitally necessary services which women cannot live without. And if these scrawny, sexually useless betas ever wake up to the fact that these bux they're providing aren't doing anything to get their dicks wet and cancel these bux accordingly, that's bad. That's really, really bad.

Thus the fact that AF/BB is kept as an Area 51-grade classified top secret.

And here we are yelling these facts from the street corner - thus the fact that women protest against this sub with the rage of a starving tiger.

And that's my \$0.02 of the day.

Social media has created a culture where everything's a competition to see who can live the best life.

323 upvotes | June 3, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Any of you check Facebook or Twitter anymore?

I've mostly sworn them off, but I'll still indulge myself if I'm bored at work or whatever, just to see what everyone's up to.

Go check them now. I guarantee you, five to eight of the first 10 posts you see will be someone's attempt to demonstrate how great their life is and why you should be super jealous of them. Many of those posts will be overlayed with a cheesy Instagram filter making this person's life appear even more enviously wonderful.

Examples of what's on your newsfeed probably include:

- "Look at the commitment token I just scored!
- "Look at my baby pictures! I have a baby, guys, I totally have offspring. I'm so fertile."
- "I'm out #LivinWithMyLadies everyone, I'm so social you should be know how outgoing I am! I'm popular!"
- "This is my body in a bikini! I hope my friends who are dark triad alphas see this."
- "Check out how totes cray cray adorbs my pet is! He's making such a silly face."
- "Look at my 900 selfies! The like button is right down there, please validate meeee!"

We all want people to believe we're living a good life, and we're all occasionally guilty of putting out subtle forms of evidence proving that things are going great for us. It began with our cave-dwelling ancestors painting proof of their last totally awesome hunt on rocky walls, and it's been a facet of human nature ever since.

Social media is a bane on society which takes this urge and accelerates it by 10,000%.

I am convinced that a large reason why we are barreling towards the Great Decline as rapidly as we are is due to this phenomena. Women get on Facebook and see the latest grand adventure her girlfriends have been out on, and her internal desire to be better than everyone kicks in and she finds the next "big thing" her life needs to make it "more complete." Often this "big thing" is another cock on the carousel. Preferably a bigger, badder, darker triad alpha male who's capable of delivering the great kind of tingles.

Alpha males see their Facebook feeds full of nice bodies and drunken female adventures and say "I need to spin more plates," increasing the availability of these sexual encounters to women. Beta males see all this and get even more frustrated of their lack of sexual success and start to MGTOW, reducing womens' post wall provider options.

Female hypergamy intensifies, and society crumbles a little more. If and when the decline arrives, I believe that social media will be a huge part of the reason for it.

The reason I'm making this post is because I don't want you to fall victim to this trap. Improve yourself as TRP always preaches, but don't play the in the "good life" olympics that everyone else is on social media. The things you see on your Facebook and Twitter feed are your friends' lives' highlight reels - the best parts of it which they're putting out there specifically for the purpose of

making you think that their lives are the best. It's like trying to judge a movie purely by watching the trailer - these are just the topmost awesome parts of the full experience which they want you to see. You shouldn't let it, alone, be a reference of judgment for their entire human experience. You don't need to play this silly game, and you don't need to let that bullshit affect you. Validation can be achieved through more fulfilling means.

Can't even believe this story I just heard on the radio...

54 upvotes | June 5, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

So I'm listening to a guilty pleasure of mine, the Elvis Duran Morning Show, and they mention this story from the New York Post:

<http://nypost.com/2014/06/04/two-women-drugged-playboy-then-robbed-his-home/>

A wealthy NY playboy thinks he's living the dream when two hot babes come back to his apartment with him and they all start showering together. Then the girls make him a drink (with a little something extra in it), and next thing he knows, everything goes black and he wakes up discovering that he's now more than \$20,000 poorer.

Now, this story embodies the absolute worst elements of female nature. Women knowing the power they can leverage over men by using their sexuality, and using it to rape them financially. Obviously these women do not represent womankind at large, but the female response to this story was quite telling.

When they brought up this story on the show, the women on the show acknowledge that this was a generally shitty thing to do and that we'd be outraged if the genders were flipped and that this sort of behavior is wrong and sociopathic on so many levels.

Just kidding! As soon as this story was read, all the girls gave a unanimous "YOU GO GIRLS" and celebrated that this was such a clever and brilliant ploy, and plus since this guy is probably a playboy douchebag so he probably deserved this for other things he's done.

The men on the show argued the other side, of course, and it didn't take them long to get the girls to acknowledge that this was a shitty thing to do. But the girls made it perfectly clear that even while their logical sides knew this was wrong, their animalistic side thought this was savagely brilliant.

Women continued to call in and text in, with "you go girl" continuing to be the nearly universal response. They had all kinds of hamsters for why it might be okay: it was argued once that since men have committed so many grievances against womankind in the past, this is our comeuppance. It was argued once that if a guy is going to go to bed with two women at once (who both fully consented) he's a sleazy douchbag. The phrase "he was asking for it" was used unironically. Yes, that's right, the same phrase which feminists famously despise when used against rape victims is totally okay when used against someone with a penis.

I was reminded of a video on a female talkshow someone posted a little while ago. I can't remember which show it was so I can't find it (if someone can help me that'd be great). It featured an all-female audience, and one woman in the audience announced that since her husband promised her initially that he'd give her a baby but then changed his mind later, she was going to spermjack him, and the whole audience erupted into hamster applause. Edit: [Ask Wendy, I Want Another Baby](#).

Feminists are always demanding that misogyny needs to end RIGHT NOW. Maybe if they're really serious about that objective, they should take a look in the mirror and figure out exactly *why* some men can be so untrusting of female nature.

Imagine if we lived in a world where domestic abuse was just a little bit destigmatized. It's generally accepted, in this alternate reality, that if a woman's being too big of a bitch, a man should be able to give her a hard enough punch that it puts her back in her place. When an image of a man smacking a woman comes up on TV, all the men cheer and clink their glasses together in victory. "She was being

a huge slut, she had this coming," some of them say. "Sure, I know it's not right," says another, "but I just can't help but to like it a little when a good manly man shows some cunt what's up. You go guy!" If this were the case, would women not develop a fear and loathing for mankind at large? So it is when the shoe is on the other foot. Many of us have experienced various acts of sadistic female manipulation in our lives, and as the saying goes, fool me twice, shame on me.

Occidental Expels Student for Rape Under Standard So Low That the Accuser Could Have Been Found Guilty, Too

45 upvotes | June 5, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Miss Nevada, a black belt in taekwondo, was asked about what can be done to prevent rape on campus. She suggested learning self-defense. Wait for it, waaait for it...

20 upvotes | June 9, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

An outsider observes how disposable the average male appears to be in American weddings.

138 upvotes | June 10, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://www.redditlog.com/snapshots/703681>

The two weddings I attended couldn't have been more different. Wedding one was pretty much your stereotypical Midwestern wedding. Church ceremony, limo ride to the reception hall, big dinner, and a dance. I can't even count the number of times I heard that it was the bride's special day, and that we need to make sure she's happy. I was a groomsman in this wedding and when I asked the groom why we had to wear bow ties he told me because the bride said so. It felt like the groom had very little say in things. Even at the reception when people were giving speeches there were constant jokes at the groom's expense. If you didn't know people were joking you'd think he was entering a life of indentured servitude from the way they were talking.

Wedding number two was much different in its presentation, but there were similar undertones. It was a much smaller wedding. It felt more personal and you could tell that the groom did get to have some say in how things were done. However people still made the same jokes and comments about how his life was over and the wife was the boss.

The OP never said where he's from, but presumably he comes from some culture outside the Western world, and was accordingly startled to find what a firm grip feminism has on the balls of the average man.

My experience with weddings has been more or less the same. The bride is this object of celebration held up high on the pedestal for all to worship, and the groom is the disposable grunt lucky enough to have the honor of having her "lifetime" (50% chance of failure) commitment.

Pre-RP, I never questioned this. It just felt like the natural way things should be. Such was my cultural programming.

Post-RP, it's funny seeing how our culture of the archetypal Princess Snowflake is rampantly out of hand, and having this outsider's perspective sheds some light on the extent of how much that is the case.

I always kind of got a vibe from the groom at any given wedding that his masculinity was leaking out of him. Like, even though we were at this grand, expensive ceremony and everyone seemed so happy, this was the end of his good days.

At any rate, I'm certainly glad that "that guy" will never be me.

Thanks to that EMSK post, The Red Pill has experienced its second biggest membership spike ever and is now a trending subreddit.

821 upvotes | July 1, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://redditmetrics.com/r/TheRedPill>

Man, this is going to rustle a LOT of jimmies.

This all started because some poor sap in [/r/allmenshouldknow](#) got his panties in a twist over the fact that us TRPers don't believe in Unicorns and True Love™.

We've added ~~761~~ **965 new members** today alone, and **1,119** between today and yesterday. We have only received a spike bigger than this on 1/4 of this year when we added 1,027 members in one day, and this day isn't over yet.

Update: we are now up to 1,206 new subscribers, making this the single greatest TRP subscriber spike of all time.

This is why we don't advertise ourselves at all. We don't have to. Even as furiously as Reddit has been jerking itself off today about how awful we all are, their collective groupthink can't stop some curious souls from wondering why the stuff we're saying makes so much god damned sense.

It is worth mentioning that however popular TRP gets, it will NEVER be accepted by the mainstream. Don't even bother trying - at the end of the day, women control most communication channels, and women will never let a way of thinking such as ours become socially acceptable.

But YOU have TRP, and that's all that matters. TRP is not a social movement aimed at changing society, TRP is about empowering the individual man to be the best person he can possibly be.

So don't let anyone's opinion of us change your mission.

I Saw a Man Get Arrested For a Sex Crime Because He Made a Scheduling Error

128 upvotes | July 17, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Relationships are like hotdogs.

47 upvotes | July 20, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

The more I learn about what goes into them, the less I want one.

In economics, the term "market failure" applies to a situation where a free market exchange does not produce efficient results. One example of a market failure is imperfect information - one party knows more about a product or service than the other party, allowing the more knowledgeable party to set the price at an inefficient level.

The classic example of an asymmetrical market transaction is a used car sale. The salesman may know that the car he's selling is a piece of shit, but he's got commission to consider and a monthly quota to fill, so he's got to sell this thing at all costs, at the highest possible price. So he tells you that it's a perfect peach and gets you to buy it at a price that far exceeds its blue book value, and you don't realize how bad you got ripped off until it craps out on you on in the middle of the highway. You paid too much for a shit car because of imperfect information.

In this same way, marriage is a market failure based on asymmetric information.

Suppose you were to show your average Joe Sixpack what his relationship was going to look like in five to ten years - do you think he'd actually sign up for it? Semiannual sexual contact after months of begging, a nagging hamster of a wife demanding shit he can't afford, expenses he has to work unreasonable hours to keep up with, and worst of all, the fact that he gets example zero respect for any of it. To his wife, he is nothing but a work mule, slaving his days away to maintain her perfect state of haaaappiness.

And what does he get if he wants out of this arrangement? Half his shit taken, while the woman enjoys riding the alimony pony as far as she can take it. It's either that, or he just has to live out this miserable existence for as long as his wife's haaaappiness is maintained well enough.

If information were perfect in this market, of course he wouldn't in his right mind sign this contract. He'd tear it to bits and run the other way.

As I continue to browse this sub or talk to my friends and hear what has become of so many previously great men for taking those unholy vows and throwing their life away, the information is becoming so much more symmetrical. So it is for you, too. The veil is lifted. We do not see women as being the perfect snowflake princesses which we have to supplicate at all costs. We see The Real.

And I know many of you can and have managed to maintain successful non-marriage relationships through LTR game. Props to those of you who've managed that. But honestly, I have a hard time finding my bachelorhood as something I'd want to sacrifice. For one thing, sure, I may be able to hold on to some chick for a while, but eventually a bigger Chad Thundercock is going to come along, and as soon as he does, I'll be gone and forgotten before the week is over.

For another, being a bachelor is kind of fucking awesome. I can fuck who I want, spend money how I want, eat what I want, drink what I want. I don't have to take care of anyone. I don't have any financial obligations to anyone but myself. Basically, I can just generally live my life however I how I want to live it without have to constantly counter shit tests and deal with hamstering.

Maybe some day I'll "grow up" and settle down with some chick. I dunno.

But this is why TRP is so super important for men. We are kicking this asymmetrical information

problem in the ass, if only for ourselves.

A woman attacks a man with a weapon. The man trips her in self-defense. The man is portrayed as the attacker.

122 upvotes | August 4, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QOiRQld9j34&feature=youtu.be>

Remember, the answer to the question "who is the victim?" is always "the woman."

It's painfully obvious that the bus warden is just defending himself, and that he only exerted enough force to placate the woman who was attacking him. But nope, women are special princesses and men are disposable, so in every man v. woman showdown, the man is the assaulter until proven innocent.

My favorite part is "The mom hasn't decided to press charges." Hm, I wonder why.

"50 Shades of Abuse Romanticized"

4 upvotes | August 5, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

A rapid SJW I know shared this post from another rabid SJW on Facebook.

https://scontent-b-iad.xx.fbcdn.net/hphotos-xpf1/t31.0-8/10446073_10152156208791512_5786054985954811125_o.jpg

The intention of the post was to show women what kind of men they should avoid, but of course, an unplugged individual might hasten to point out that the fact that 100 million copies of this book were sold worldwide suggests that maaaaybe, just maaaaybe, this is the sort of men that women are seeking.

Here is the post in its entirety:

Wanna know the BDSM mantra? Safe, sane, consensual.

So let me explain why this book was devoid of all three of these things.

Safe - In the first few chapters of the novel, Christian Grey tracks Ana's cell phone to find her at a club. Takes her home when she's drunk, changes her when she's so intoxicated she doesn't remember him doing so, and informs her he will be keeping tabs on her for her own benefit. This is not the behaviour of a respectable Dominant. This is the behaviour of a power hungry, abusive asshole who really can't take no for an answer.

Sane - One of the most important parts of BDSM is aftercare. Scenes can be extremely traumatizing and intense for the submissive. Aftercare is anything from petting to cuddling to holding to sweet talking, whatever degree of gentleness a bottom would need to pull them out of "subspace". How does Christian provide aftercare? He submits Ana to a traumatizing first time spanking experience AND THEN FUCKING LEAVES. AND GETS MAD THAT SHE DIDN'T TELL HIM SHE WAS UPSET. He's the one who should fucking know better! That, again, is not the act of a responsible Dominant. It's the act of a selfish abuser.

Consensual - Did I mention he undressed her when she was belligerently drunk? Tracked her phone to locate her? He also buys her a new car despite her saying no countless times. Now, consent is important for any kind of sexual activity at all. Consent means informed, consent means enthusiastic. Informed, enthusiastic consent. This is crucial in a BDSM setting. Scenes can be extremely intense, especially for the bottom. What is Christian's form of obtaining consent? Handing Ana a fucking contract highlighting all the things he wants to do her asshole and asking her to sign it. She was a virgin (Don't even get me fucking started.) who had never before been exposed to BDSM. Entering in that kind of relationship takes a gargantuan amount of trust and knowledge so you know exactly what you're getting into. Not reading a list of kinks on a piece of paper and signing your rights to say no away. Christian didn't offer her resources, he didn't offer her information. He gave her an ultimatum. That is not the sort of consent a responsible Dom/me would seek from their submissive.

So there you go folks, there's your step-by-step guide on how to make 100 million women across the globe drool over you like a sex god.

Also, as a slight and completely unnecessary side note, the way you're picturing this chick in your head is basically exactly what she looks like. Let's just say that I can't imagine she's been very popular with boys, and anything she says in here about what "she wants from a partner" is not necessarily coming from personal experience.

When 'Preventing Rape Promotes Rape', You're Doing Feminism Wrong

27 upvotes | August 26, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Oh AskHamsters... you're always good for a laugh.

444 upvotes | September 6, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

So, there was a thread in AskWomen a couple days ago. Redditlog doesn't seem to be working right now, but you can search for it. It's called "What is something about male sexual desire for women that you just don't understand?"

A relatively common answer was "What is the deal with men fetishizing youth?" Eg schoolgirls, lolitas, Baby Spice, or [this bit from the show Community](#). Why is it that so many male fantasies involve younger women, or in some cases, teens who are bordering on illegal?

"It's what they're being forced by society," one male hamster answered. "Every time they go shopping at the mall, they're barraged by images of young women in lingerie. They just can't find the beauty in an older woman because *society* has been programming them not to."

Meanwhile, I'm scrolling, scrolling, scrolling, trying to find the no-shit-Sherlock balls-in-your-face obvious answer, fertility. Women are better baby making material when they're young, and as the walls near and their ovaries shrink, that becomes less the case. And since sex is all about babies... well, I don't need to explain this to you. You're an RPer, you're smart.

Finally, I see a few [deleted]'s and a relatively recent comment responding to one of them, saying, "Yes, you're probably right, fertility probably does have something to do with it."

Aaaaand that's when I realized. If you make a statement in AskHamsters about how youth and fertility relate, the mods will delete your fucking comment.

This represents a hilarious trend I've been noticing from feminism lately. They're so used to getting their way by using language control and powertalk to bend weak men to their wills, now they're trying to use language control and powertalk *at their bodies*. They're trying to *convince* biology to convert to feminism *with their words*.

These chicks need to wake the fuck up and realize that mother nature isn't a beta male. They have no control over her.

She wants to know your SMV, and she will try to figure it out by getting you to reveal it. Don't let her. Stay mysterious.

284 upvotes | September 13, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

The Hamster can be pretty annoying sometimes, right? She'll fuck her ex after having a glass of wine, and say she "was drunk so it was rape." Or she'll eat a half a tub of ice cream and say she "deserves it because she was going through a tough time." Or she'll be in a relationship with a dark triad bad boy and say "he's secretly a good guy deep down because he Cares About Me (TM)."

But a woman's capacity to rationalize doesn't have to be a loathesome thing all the time. Quite the contrary, you can use it as a deadly weapon to work in your favor.

Women will constantly ask questions intended to reveal your SMV if you answer them honestly. Don't.

I'm not saying lie. I'm saying if she asks a question which you sense is intended to quantify your value as a man, plead the fifth.

Anytime she asks you a question which has the potential to raise or lower her perception of your market value, don't give her a straight answer. Give her a sly, cocky, roundabout non-answer.

...

"So what's your partner count? Have you slept with a lot of girls?"

"Probably one more than Jesus likes."

"No, seriously. Have you been with many women?"

"Let's just say that if things go well tonight, you could be the lucky vagina number who wins any of the stuffed animals off the top shelf."

...

"Do you make good money at your job?"

"I don't know, I'll ask my butler."

"No, seriously. How much money do you make?"

"Let's just say that my refrigerator box is the envy of the entire hobo community."

...

"So are you a feminist? You believe in equality, right?"

"I have a dream that one day men and fish will have equal rights."

...

"So do you have many friends?"

"Are you kidding? I have all 10 seasons! Joey is my favorite."

...

A woman will constantly demand that you show all the cards in your SMV deck. She will tell you that she doesn't want you to play games and that it would be better if you just put them down on the table as an act of mutual surrender.

She's lying. Whether consciously or subconsciously, God only knows, but in either case, your SMV

drops no matter how you answer, if you actually answer. If she asks for your partner count and you say "not a lot," she thinks you're a loser and thinks less of you. On the other hand, if you brag and say "yeah, I've fucked dozens of sluts, too many to count," you sound like a validation-starved braggart who is trying to get her validation, and once again, she thinks less of you.

However, if you casually and bemusedly dismiss her questions and refuse to show your hand, her hamster will not be able to help but to imagine you're holding a royal flush.

The hamster is a remarkable weapon that can be used for good or evil. Feed it, and it can work wonders for you.

California Gov. Jerry Brown Signs Bill Telling College Kids Where, When to Have Sex

39 upvotes | September 29, 2014 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Even feminist shithole Slate agrees: "choreplay" doesn't get you laid.

261 upvotes | March 16, 2015 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

A broken clock is right twice a day, I suppose.

Still archiving because Slate is still a feminist shithole, regardless.

<https://archive.today/jsx4d>

Moments in my life when I wished I weren't female have been rare. One of them happened this week, when I noticed the word choreplay was entering the lexicon. It came up prominently in a New York Times op-ed co-written by Sheryl Sandberg and Adam Grant about how men also benefit from gender equality. The authors cite the dubious study showing that couples who share chores also have more sex. Sandberg says that she advises men who want to please their wives to skip buying the flowers and instead do a load of laundry.

"A man who heard this was asked by his wife one night to do a load of laundry," she writes. "He picked up the basket and asked hopefully, 'Is this Lean In laundry?' Choreplay is real." I don't fully understand what this man toting the basket of "dirty" clothes was hoping for. Is "lean in" now a sexual term? Did men use to say these are "pretend to be my secretary" flowers? Did that couple "choreplay" right there on the laundry room floor, or does the innuendo carry you deep into the night? Either way, I really, truly do not relate.

Women - assuming they have any social awareness whatsoever and don't have some form of female autism, know they can use the power of their pussy to trade for things from weak-willed men. Easy validation hits, free lunch, free rides, maintenance on her car or computer, homework help, or even a backup boyfriend if she needs one. Or, in the case of marriage - free household chores. Just promise there could potentially maybe be a little sexy something in it for them later and bam, she gets free shit. And then she just doesn't give them anything sexy. What, you didn't think she's *that* kind of girl, did you?

Feminist agitators like Sheryl Sandberg have encouraged this deception en masse, saying that "women should start encouraging men to participate in household chores in exchange for sex!" which is a thinly veiled way of saying "women should start manipulating men into thinking they'll get sex if they do household chores!"

Ask anyone in [/r/deadbedrooms](#) what they think of "choreplay." Spoiler alert: it's a punchline. You make women want to fuck you by being a badass. Not by folding clothes into neatly organized piles.

Hanna Rosin of Slate, in a rare moment of feminist honesty, agrees. She even cited the study which has definitively proven this: <https://archive.today/nmts9>

For eons we described men's sexuality as urgent, ungovernable, rooted in pure physical pleasure. Women, by contrast were cuddlers, more interested in securing the nest than getting it on. Then in the last few years, sex researchers have figured out that this is utter bullshit.

Exactly. Traditional knowledge says that if you want to gain a woman's interest, you have to "court" her. Buy her pretty things, give her your genuine Disney fairytale love for the rest of your life, and promise her the safety and security of a marriage contract, for example.

But as we've all seen firsthand, while women may genuinely want these things, there isn't necessarily as much connection between providing these things and being women's favorite sex partners.

Daniel Bergner's book, *What Do Women Want?*, is an examination of the weird and surprising things that turn women on.

"Weird and surprising," only if you aren't a member of a certain 100,000 member strong rape club. For example,

The idea that for women desire and domestic comfort are linked turns out to be not so straightforward, for example.

Beta bux...

As researcher Marta Meana found, a recurring type in women's fantasies is something like a gentleman predator, someone who wants them so much he loses control, but not so much that he actually hurts them. It's a narcissistic fantasy about being so irresistible that you throw the ordered universe out of balance, not a tame one involving Downy and perfect creases. Did we suffer through *Fifty Shades of Grey* and learn nothing?

Alpha fux.

TLDR: don't listen to feminist lies. Doing the dishes and vacuuming the living room won't get you pussy. Even Slate acknowledges this.

There's a new gender gap that's building in America: women have surged ahead of men in obesity.

1144 upvotes | June 8, 2016 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

It's no secret that we Americans are a bunch of fatties. Well, I say "we," but that doesn't include me. I eat reasonably and work out five times a week, so I'm in decent shape. Unlike me, however, many Americans are a bunch of irresponsible, fast food eating cunts who shovel shit down their throats and never work out and are surprised when they end up looking like whales.

In recent years, women have been inflating faster than men.

https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/to-your-health/wp/2016/06/08/troubling-shift-in-american-obesity-women-surge-ahead-of-men-40-percent-now-obese/?hpid=hp_regional-hp-cards_rhp-card-national%3Ahomepage%2Fcard

According to an analysis of the latest government statistics, a staggering 40 percent of women now meet the criteria for being obese. That means that their body mass index, or BMI, is 30 or higher. So, a 5-foot-9-inch woman would weigh more than 203 pounds.

40 fucking percent, TRP. That's what we've been reduced to here in the Western world. Almost half of our women are unfuckably huge. Kill us now, Islam. Just kill us all.

What's leading to the gender gap, then? Why are women filling up faster than men? Well, oddly enough, the Huffington Post of all places has the answer.

<http://archive.is/fXc4V>

It's right there in the headline:

"In Fight Against Obesity, The U.S. Is Failing Women"

See what they did there?

Women have been *failed* by the Big Daddy Government! It is Obama's job to keep women thin. Not the individual woman's. No, certainly not. Women don't have any responsibility to take care of their own health. Women have no agency.

Not to mention the fact that these are the same groups that are bossily telling us that our dicks should get hard when we see landwhales blubbering about. Because fat people are beautiful. Why should I bother getting thin when Jezebel tells me that I am entitled to a high quality man's attraction despite my fat rolls and multi-chins???

(It is worth noting that these same thinkpiece bloggers femsplaining to us that big women are beautiful have probably never rubbed their clam while thinking about a fat *man*, but that is neither here nor there).

Anyway, this is why women are becoming fatties at a rate that should alarm even the cuntiest of feminists. Because of this very attitude from the mainstream left that nothing bad that happens to women is their own fault. You put people in this mindset where they have no accountability for their own wellbeing, don't be surprised when they end up treating themselves poorly in the long run.

I make this post just to make this point, even though I know it's been made a thousand times before here. This particular statistic is a sobering reminder of what happens when you submit to the Cult of the Eternal Victimhood. You become a fat fuck and you die of premature cardiac failure.

The Sexodus in action: millennials are having less sex.

1241 upvotes | August 3, 2016 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

A new study has revealed that despite the hookup culture created by Tinder et al, young people are actually having *less* sex than the generation before them. A quick google search reveals journalists desperate to explain this phenomena in a sensible and politically correct manner.

<http://qz.com/748655/millennials-arent-having-sex/>

<http://time.com/4435058/millennials-virgins-sex/>

<http://www.cnn.com/2016/08/02/health/millennials-less-sex-than-gen-x/>

<https://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2016/aug/03/millennials-dont-have-sex>

I skimmed all of these articles. They blame various factors: they say we're too busy, they say it's because we're living at home, they say we're more aware of the dangers of STDs, and they even suggest that women are afraid of "slut shaming." None of these piss poor excuses come even remotely close to providing satisfying explanation for why an animal species would willfully abstain from spreading its genes to the next generation. I looked through all of these, seeking the elephant in the room. None of them used the "f" word. Page searches for "feminism" returned zero results.

Which brings us to the amusing truth. Milo Yiannopolous, always ahead of everyone else, predicted and explained this sexual exodus years ago.

<http://www.breitbart.com/london/2014/12/04/the-sexodus-part-1-the-men-giving-up-on-women-and-checking-out-of-society/>

<http://www.breitbart.com/london/2014/12/09/the-sexodus-part-2-dishonest-feminist-panics-leave-male-sexuality-in-crisis/>

The truth of the matter is incredibly simple. Feminism has ruined the Western woman.

Tumblr, the blogosphere, and the MSM tell men that in order to win a woman's affections, you must become a goony beta weenie with no self respect who does everything that women tells him to do. This creates a short supply of good men.

Meanwhile, these same sources tell women that men are a bunch of animals who want to savagely beat them and rape them. Bitter older women past the wall rant about how men are the enemy who must be hated, creating an anti-male circlejerk. In order to conform to the groupthink, as women so often do, younger women pile on and agree to their man-hating sentiments. In solidarity with their unfuckable foremothers, they cut their hair short, get fat, and become equally unfuckable, somehow convincing themselves that this is what they "want." This flies in the face of what women *really* want - to be protected and nurtured in the company of high-quality men. The Feminine Imperative has eaten its own tail. In trying to create a world where women have everything, it's created one where many of them have nothing.

Meanwhile, feminism has ruined things on the other side of the aisle, too. Men are looking at the product of this cultural virus and saying "yeah, these people aren't worth fucking," and sticking to their porn and video games. Here's the secret about us dudes; most of us really do want the company of women, just as much as they want ours. But a simple cost/benefit analysis of the dating market reveals a whole lot of the former, significantly overshadowing the latter. Maybe you'll really hit the anti-lottery and get a false rape claim and have your life ruined. Maybe you'll play the long game, get

married, and lose everything in a divorce. Or, even on a smaller scale, maybe you'll just discover that these fucking cunts aren't any fun to be around.

The result of this loser's game is that lots of desperate young people are ending up alone. Men become unfuckable beta weenies. Women become unfuckable, neurotic cunts. Everyone loses.

TLDR: millennials are fucking less. Feminism is to blame. Don't be a beta weenie. Don't surrender your life to a neurotic cunt who doesn't appreciate you.

A male birth control trial was cancelled for being unsafe to men. Feminists beta-shame and find a way to make it all about themselves.

1165 upvotes | November 2, 2016 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://heatst.com/world/that-male-birth-control-trial-youre-all-laughing-about-left-people-infertile/>

One man was rendered infertile, and another eight were not back to normal sperm counts after a year. Based on these results, WHO deemed the study unsafe and promptly cancelled it.

Because feminists are capable of making anything about their two favorite subjects, themselves and man-hating, feminists promptly made this all about themselves and man-hating. For example, here's a "comedian" calling men "little bitches" for backing out, despite the fact that it wasn't the men who backed out, it was WHO because the fucking study was unsafe. <http://archive.is/lGc5v>

If you've been on Facebook at all over the past day, I'm sure you've seen details of this already. Mostly it's women complaining that "we have to deal with these side effects all the time!" and "well they should just man up and accept the mood swings!" They were. 75% of the participants were fine with the side effects and were willing to continue the study regardless. It was not their decision to cancel the study - it was WHO.

I'm just putting this out there because I'm annoyed with all these fucking cunts and their anti-man yammerings. If you need to drop any red pills to shut them the fuck up, that Heat Street article is a great resource.

Anon goes undercover to Hillary HQ with predictable results...

284 upvotes | November 10, 2016 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)



It's so nice having the experience of a nagging housewife chirping in my ear straight from my Facebook feed. These headlines don't make me grimace with annoyance at all!

30 upvotes | December 5, 2016 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)



I have seen a fair bit of suspicion and doubt in this forum regarding Cassie Jaye's "The Red Pill." I support this film whole-heartedly - let me tell you why.

63 upvotes | December 23, 2016 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

So in another thread about a screening of Cassie Jaye's documentary on the men's rights movement, suspicions and doubts were voiced about the nature of the film. Rollo, most notably, is quite against it, as he seems to think it's just another case of female bullshit being given too much attention.

Let me just say that even though I don't give a fuck about MRM stuff, I do support this film entirely and I hope it does well, and I don't think these concerns some of you have are necessarily warranted. Allow me to go beta real quick and white knight for Cassie here.

"It isn't going to represent our community well at all!"

It's not about our community. It's about the manosphere as a generalized conglomerate. The title only refers to the popular metaphor and has nothing at all to do with this sub. She started the project in 2013, well before TRP the sub had any kind of mainstream exposure.

"It's just another solipsistic female on a 'journey of self-discovery.'"

Yes, that is exactly what it is. And that is a journey I *absolutely* want to follow her on.

If our society is ever going unfuck itself and the relationship between the genders is going to come back to a good place, one of the things that is going to have to happen is that feminists are going to have to have a "going clear" moment where they realize and accept that they are a member of a toxic, hateful cult that has zero interest in their own well-being. It tells women that men are the "enemy" who must be "fought," that being fat is hot, that being a cunt is okay, they don't want kids and should let their ovaries dry while working instead, etc, etc. This mindset can only bring them misery. They need to recognize the cultural programming that they're enslaved to and unplug from the machine - just like we have.

Cassie did exactly this. She was a hardcore feminist, and there is proof of this; this is not just a claim she made. She was a hard-left Hollywood liberal. She couldn't have possibly been farther from understanding the mindset of the manosphere. When she began this project in 2013, it was intended to be agitprop in favor of the MSM's narrative about the manosphere being a rape-filled hate chamber full of Nazis and death threats. What she found instead were reasonable people that... actually kind of made sense?

And then spoiler alert, yeah, the ultimate conclusion of the project was that Cassie determined that feminism has gone too far as a movement, and that she can no longer in good conscious wear that label.

How is this not interesting as fuck to you?

If you're going to be hooking up with a broad in any capacity, whether it's longterm or just for fun, wouldn't you prefer if she was free from that cancerous anti-male mindset? Doesn't it fascinate you that there's at least one lady out there who managed to unplug from it, and aren't you interested in knowing exactly how she got there? I sure as fuck am.

If Western society is EVER going to unfuck itself, we really need women to start embarking upon the

voyage of personal discovery that Cassie herself did. Maybe if this movie can make its way into the world of normies, the average woman can relate with Cassie's solipsism and begin to take this journey for herself.

"She isn't *really* an anti-feminist, though. She's just social signalling for status and positive attention!"

This is the dumbest anti-Cassie argument I've seen yet. Do you realize what happens when you break from the cult of feminism? In particular, do you realize what happens when an attractive female breaks from the cult of feminism? Their entire strength is in their monopolization of the Holy Pussy. When one breaks rank, she's dead to them. Her female privilege status is revoked. She's a pariah. She gets picketed and harassed, taking accusations of being a neo-nazi sympathizing woman-hater who supports rape. If anything, disagreeing with feminism is anti-social-signalling. It's a dangerous thing to do. And that's exactly what Cassie did. I think that takes extreme courage and I believe this to be an admirable quality. For this, I have the utmost respect for everything Cassie is doing right now.

"But this is basically just the opposite of mansplaining, right? It's just a woman explaining the manosphere, like I actually need to have it explained to me."

It's not for you, dummy. It's for normies. And yes, it is very much from her female perspective, which is *exactly what gives it merit*. It's one thing to be a dude and say yeah, this shit makes sense. It's another thing entirely to come at it from a female perspective - which is especially useful for female members of the audience. Women have a huge ingroup preference for other women, so coming from one of their own will make the message so much more meaningful to them. Essentially Cassie's using her female privilege to shine light on male-centric material that normally wouldn't get any exposure at all.

"Do you really think this is going to change anything in our society? We're too far gone. This will probably do nothing to make things right."

Probably, yeah.

But hey, you never know. Baby steps happen. Cultural shifts happen all the time. Look at any given decade in Western history, and note how radically different it is from the one before it. Perhaps our society can slowly trudge back in the right direction, and perhaps Cassie's film can be some part of that movement, however slight. All we can do is wait and watch.

I'm not saying this movie is some kind of miracle pill which will right all of society's wrongs. I'm just saying that as far as elements of current cultural wars go, I believe that this film is a step in the right direction. Be suspicious if you want, but I, for one, am quite willing to entertain the potential usefulness of this project as a means of bringing truth to a society that is becoming increasingly reliant on delusion and lies.

6'4"

164 upvotes | March 23, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

