

In a rare moment of honesty, AskWomen admits they are disgusted/insulted by beta guys asking them out.

January 20, 2015 | 734 upvotes | by [needsomehelp3211](#)

<http://i.imgur.com/HwLov2f.jpg>

I found this link from ForeverAlone, where it's been circulating for a while now. It struck me as a particularly red-pill truth that surprisingly came out from AskWomen, the home of political correctness.

If you read the link, the question asked was whether girls react differently when hot and confident guys (read: alpha) pay them attention rather than ugly guys. Now, we at RedPill are aware that no shit, of course they do. Girls flock to alphas.

But AskWomen, which usually nurses and encourages the Nice Guy mentality, couldn't lie to themselves about this one.

I feel mean admitting it, but sometimes a compliment from a guy I am not attracted to is like a job offer from a company I am not interested in. It's not really all that flattering, and depending how it's done, it can feel a bit insulting.

and...

When unattractive men have hit on me I have honestly been insulted. It's sort of like... someone seriously asking you if you want a minimum wage job.

This illustrates a pretty core redpill reality, which is that women are not only unattracted to beta guys - they are actively repulsed by them. They feel a sick sensation in their gut when they see an emasculated man. They feel an emotion similar to when someone steps in dog poop.

So remember this next time someone starts parroting about how nice guys and soft/gentle men win out in the end. She may marry you, but that don't mean she likes you.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

4_YRT512 points 20 January, 2015 10:02 PM [\[recovered\]](#)

women are actually offended when a low SMV male hits on them. Women define their self-worth based on the quality of the man she has sex with. When a low-SMV male makes a pass at a woman he's basically insulting her by saying "I think I'm good enough for you."

If you're a low- SMV guy and you want to have some fun, go to a club with lots of attractive women and hit on them. You'll ruin their night, and probably their week while they struggle to figure out what's wrong with them.

DevilishRogue • 230 points • 20 January, 2015 10:12 PM

If you're a low- SMV guy and you want to have some fun, go to a club with lots of attractive women and hit on them. You'll ruin their night, and probably their week while they struggle to figure out what's wrong with them.

Don't try this at home, kids! You'll probably end up getting thrown out violently by some white knighting bouncer.

ioncloud9 • 131 points • 21 January, 2015 12:45 AM

Just make sure you can handle that amount of rejection first. Low-SMV guys can get slammed pretty hard by the cold shoulder and ridicule.

[deleted] • 136 points • 21 January, 2015 01:36 AM

Sure, but if the object is to have fun trolling hot women and not actually *landing* hot women, the nastier the rejection the more fun you can have.

jeffwingersballs • 112 points • 21 January, 2015 02:00 AM

This could be an effective strategy for getting over social anxiety. If you emotionally detach yourself from the result, you can get out there carefree.

[deleted] • 63 points • 21 January, 2015 06:10 AM

Sounds like it could be a funny movie. Ugly guy gets rejected so much he decides to troll hot women for personal entertainment and then one time he actually gets lucky...and you write the rest!

Daisy_DukeNukem • 26 points • 21 January, 2015 08:25 AM

Starring Ben Stiller as... the not so hot male model.

Tom_The_Human • 27 points • 21 January, 2015 12:55 PM

I reckon Jonah Hill would be the perfect protagonist.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 05:39 PM

I wanna see DiCaprio as well, then. Just because these 2 have such good chemistry.

Pornography_saves_li • 1 point • 22 January, 2015 04:26 AM

Starring Ben Stiller as...The Troll.

IVlaskerade • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 09:57 PM

The rest of the story is just his increasingly-ridiculous attempts to get rid of her, all to no avail.

RICCledm • -1 points • 21 January, 2015 03:28 PM

ye betas would love to fantasize

piojosso • 43 points • 21 January, 2015 06:22 AM

I've been saying this to guys with low confidence for years. Go to a club, hit on women, get rejected a lot, detach yourself from the outcome. If you are successful... Congratulations! Instant confidence booster! If you aren't... Well, that's just you improving your resilience through hard work. I discretely followed a friend of mine once while he did this. We were 17yo. He got rejected by 47 girls in one night. In one place. He didn't even care. Just got to the next one. He got lucky on the 48th.

AmIStonedOrJustStupi • 0 points • 22 January, 2015 02:15 AM

He got lucky on the 48th.

No woman is worth this kind of effort.

nzgs • -3 points • 21 January, 2015 11:07 AM

I think this is bad advice. It isn't emotional detachment, it's having such low self-esteem that rejection is expected and trivial. Better for guys to avoid clubs (toxic environments for all men without very high SMV) and instead work on personal improvement until they are able to more convincingly "fake it".

[deleted] • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 12:32 PM

While I personally stay away from clubs (I couldn't dance if my life depended on it.), I don't think it's bad advice. If you've ever had a job where you approach people (sales, etc.) I think it's comparable to the angst you have when you start working, which then tends to fade away once you get used to it.

piojosso • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 02:36 PM*

In clubs nobody works with a 100% success ratio. Even out of them. It just can't be like that. It's not for everyone, it's an exercise specifically for guys new to the game, with fairly high success possibilities but pretty low confidence, guys who couldn't talk to a woman if their life depended on it.

EDIT: oh I forgot to make a point. You don't work with 100% odds, so you gotta learn to take rejection. A certain amount of it is expected even. If you're always nervous about that you will never be able to talk to women, no matter how high your smv could be.

ilphae • 9 points • 21 January, 2015 12:56 PM

This technique was popularized by psychologist Albert Ellis in his defining methodology, Rational Emotive Therapy. Yes, it works for most people.

feelinglazy • 2 points • 23 January, 2015 12:19 AM

Any more links for further reading?

ioncloud9 • 18 points • 21 January, 2015 02:00 AM

Sure but it can be difficult to handle it at that level if you aren't prepared.

[deleted] • 30 points • 21 January, 2015 02:06 AM

Yesss... but you can hardly be unprepared if that was the goal at the start the evening, can you?

Am I the only one who's messed with a hot chick because she expected beta and I was bored?

vengefully_yours • 12 points • 21 January, 2015 06:31 AM

No, you're not. I mess with anyone, hot or homely, skinny or fat, just because I can. What difference does it make to me if she says no, someone else will say yes.

IVlaskerade • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 09:59 PM

The whole point of that particular scenario is that you *are* completely prepared. Heck, you'd probably be thrown more if one *didn't* reject you, because you're going for a 100% rate.

foldpak111 • 8 points • 21 January, 2015 11:07 AM

Trolling chicks downtown in Chicago with friends as a teen was the most fun I've ever had in my life.

AnonymousOctopus1 • 1 point • 28 April, 2015 01:36 AM

I used to play a game with friends saying. Who can get rejected by the most girls the fastest. It was a win-win and made us not scared for when we actually tried because failure was nothing. You win the game of having the most balls, or a girl is like "why the fuck does he care so little about me" and plays along with your fucked up pick up line and you get to put your penis in her vagina. Some of the lines used were "what is your spirit animal", "you have the sexiest nostrils I've ever seen" ... just retarded embarrassing stuff.

pounds_not_dollars • 7 points • 21 January, 2015 05:03 AM **[recovered]**

Most low SMV guys are invisible anyway.

Hyperian • 8 points • 21 January, 2015 06:59 PM

And they dare making themselves visible by talking to them.

How dare you force yourself into my consciousness, i feel raped

Entrefut • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 05:26 AM

Unless your goal is to go in to get rejected and ruin their week. Then the harder they deny you the better it is.

my_name_is_the_DUDE • 6 points • 21 January, 2015 04:38 AM

If they actually had this much confidence though wouldn't that make them alpha though? I mean I'm a bit confused by what we define as low smv? Are we talking about actual looks/fitness, confidence,

wealth? I'm sorry I've had a fairly redpilled outlook on the world since childhood, but I'm kind of new to this sub.

vengefully_yours • 10 points • 21 January, 2015 06:35 AM

Short, fat, no muscle, seriously goofy looks, acne, desperate. I've fucked with girls since grade school, simply because I could, and I used to be very low smv. Now I can play desperate loser Rob Lowe at will just to fuck with them, then switch to calm cool, confident Rob Lowe and confuse the fuck out of them. Attitude is everything.

[deleted] 21 January, 2015 02:38 PM

[permanently deleted]

IVlaskerade • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 10:01 PM

Because for parts of TRP, specifically those related to casual pickup, casual interaction and making a swift connection *do* have a lot of cross-over with the techniques of seduction. Y'know, just in case that went over your head.

He's endorsed because he makes a lot of good-quality posts that contribute the the furthering of the sub. He does not, for example, waste time bitching about the actions of others in this sub.

vengefully_yours • 2 points • 22 January, 2015 05:10 AM

Easily, and in context it's correct. If you have a shit attitude, you will get shit in return.

piojosso • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 06:25 AM

SMV is your value as a guy. That includes looks (both genetics and gained through hard work), money, confidence, sense of humor and everything that gives women the tingles.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 10:51 AM

There comes a point when you are misanthropic and antisocial enough you do not give any fucks anymore what others think of you.

This is when you come out to play.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 08:10 PM

Myself, it took the actualization that my happiness, security, financial success, familial relations, personal relations, and self worth have nothing to do with any of the random women and men I may meet. So who gives a flip what they think. Give zero fucks.

IVlaskerade • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 09:56 PM

Being visibly unaffected by their rejection also makes them wonder what they're doing wrong - they're usually good at rejecting guys, so if this one doesn't care, it must be *them* who's doing something wrong.

You're just giving the hamster a boost at that point.

AirWolf412 • 28 points • 21 January, 2015 06:49 AM

Not saying it won't happen, but part time bouncer here. There is almost no one in the club I personally hate more than the self entitled 'hot chick' who thinks she gets everything for free, that the staff has to

follow her every whim, that it's ok to dance on the speaker stack after I told her not too, that I give a shit about some guy hitting on her.

I for one would never throw a guy out for hitting on a hot chick, but then again I don't work in LA or Vegas so we aren't letting girls in based on their hotness.

DaegobahDan • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 03:31 PM

I can't recall who, but a fairly famous comedian told a story about this happening to him.

[deleted] • 8 points • 21 January, 2015 03:34 PM*

About getting kicked out or about hating the self entitled hot chicks?

No idea what it's like to work at one of the clubs that has a velvet rope and lets in the girls based on looks. It would probably happen there since the hot chicks are what brings in the people who spend money.

We get all kinds of fat chicks, hot chicks, skanky chicks, but there's pretty much a guy for every type of girl that comes in and they all buy alcohol which is what the club wants. I hate working the door and having to listen to some girl tell me "what do you mean I have to pay the cover too?"

DaegobahDan • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 06:38 PM

He was talking to a girl and the bouncer white knighted him.

[deleted] • 7 points • 21 January, 2015 06:48 PM

That sucks. Shouldn't be like that, but doesn't surprise me. I've often kicked a guy out because a girl claimed he did something inappropriate like grabbing on the her or something... 9 out of 10 times we kicked the guy out so that he wouldn't get beat up by her male friends or some did white knighting trying to impress the girl. Did the guy actually do it? Who knows... does it matter at the time, no not really. Is it right? Not at all.

froggyfreshrap • 1 point • 21 February, 2015 09:42 PM

We need more bouncers like you.

INV0K3R • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 02:22 PM

Shit man this happens even if you are attractive and you piss off a less attractive girl by brushing her off but her friend just happens to be a white-knight bouncer.

I've been kicked out of every bar downtown where I live due to ignoring some girl or not being a footstool but she just happens to have the bouncer in orbit.

IVlaskerade • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 09:56 PM

It's not white-knighting if it's his job. Of course, if he throws you out because "what you're doing is wrong" then it *is*, but if he's giving you the boot because "we're having complaints about you", no foul. It's his job to make sure the people who spend the money (or get others to spend it) are the ones who're happy.

[deleted] • 36 points • 20 January, 2015 10:18 PM

Us men have been trained to self select on their behalf. How dare any BP chump buck the rules.

Mouthpiece • 78 points • 20 January, 2015 10:59 PM

Also, compliments from a low SMV Guy are deemed to be insincere, because they are assumed to be seeking something in return. Compliments from a high SMV guy, who is implicitly drowning in pussy, are more likely to be sincere and he is less likely, in their minds, to be fishing for something more.

[deleted] • 48 points • 20 January, 2015 11:36 PM

Which is funny because the high SMV guys are more likely to want something in return and the low SMV guys are more likely to become orbiters satisfied with friendship.

RojoEscarlata • 82 points • 20 January, 2015 11:47 PM

Nah, both seek to get their dicks wet, its just that a beta is too much of a pussy to make their intentions clear.

[deleted] • 21 points • 21 January, 2015 12:12 AM

True, but high SMV guys are less likely to stick around and waste their time on a "friend" (someone who expects them to orbit them, who I wouldn't call a true friend.)

[deleted] • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 01:08 AM

This is known. This is also me circa 1 year ago.

[deleted] • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 01:26 AM

Going through this now, I'm trying to stay away from her now to move on (and you never know what could happen if I meet her again when I have higher SMV, but it's best not to focus on that). Luckily it's not that hard because we don't have any classes together.

[deleted] • 9 points • 21 January, 2015 01:30 AM

Man, I'm sorry you fell into that trap. Just remember, TRP totally works, and if she comes back to you, you have to be careful to discern between attempts to put you back into orbiter status and attempts to sleep with you after perceiving your rise in SMV.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 01:42 AM

Thanks, luckily I have quite a way to go for a good SMV so I have some time to read about game along the way (and maybe learn guitar, which I am excited about).

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 01:51 AM

Ayy I just picked up guitar a while ago as well. We'll be ladykillahs.

douguncensored • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 01:53 AM

See this all the time. Guy does a good job of cutting off communication and getting his shit together, then when a girl reaches back out the guy throws everything away by jumping back in head first.

I used to tell my buddies to reply to reach-out texts with one or two word answers and wait at least 10 minutes to reply each time. The short answers and long wait times really ramp up the girl's perception of an increase in SMV.

Kill_Your_Ego • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 08:33 PM

I typically take a day to respond and send two sentences. Then if they respond back I may respond within an hour. Then ask them to meet me for whatever thing I want to do and want company for. If they refuse they have to send me two texts before I'll reply again. If they don't do that then I never talk to them again. Win win situation.

ioncloud9 • 9 points • 21 January, 2015 12:48 AM

When I was younger in my teens and early 20s I was like that. Not willing to let your intentions known or make a move. That behavior is just painful to think about nowadays. Especially with its abundance in movies and culture. The trope of the awkward guy not willing to let his intentions be known or make a move getting the hot girl over the high-SMV guy who does.

Unpopular_But_Right • 10 points • 21 January, 2015 02:42 AM

Yep, this was me a number of years ago, too. I was the 'best friend' and we spent every day together. She even broke up with her fiance because, in her words, she had more fun hanging out with me than him and felt that if she was meant to marry someone, she would want to be with them all the time. Didn't want to make her marry me, though - or even date me.

[deleted] • 8 points • 21 January, 2015 03:25 AM

That's fuckin brutal. I feel your pain

elruary • 7 points • 21 January, 2015 01:46 AM

Yep I'm that pussy, kind of getting over it tbh, getting better I think.

Gym, not giving a fuck, working on one self I think will get the trick done eventually.

MHOOD01 • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 01:27 AM

Hence 'don't let the pussy turn you into a pussy'.

John_MayerMaynot • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 04:27 AM

Wait, isn't he making his intention clear by paying her compliments just like the good looking guy that gets laid all the time would be doing?

[deleted] • 6 points • 21 January, 2015 06:38 AM

paying her compliments

You're using compliments wrong.

Let's make this a video game analogy. Well received compliments might get you some bonus points, but that's not going to get you past the level. The only way to do that is to move forward while avoiding fatal mistakes.

If you do nothing but sit there and get bonus points then you're just going to run out the timer and then it's player 2's turn.

RojoEscarlata • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 05:12 AM

Doesn't matter if they say the exact same thing, what matters really is the honesty of your intentions, your capability to be honest (vulnerable), not being needy and depend on the reaction of the girl, a male with high status with options doesn't get attached to the outcome

and it shows. Really this topic should be a post by itself.

There was a post a few months ago about a YouTube video of a guy approaching a girl with a geek look and using beta body language who got rejected HARD, and then returning with a tough look (the girl didn't recognize him) and hit on her and even got her to give him a handy.

Bitches make me laugh so hard.

Casual_Tits • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 05:15 AM

I see you've been reading Models too.

RojoEscarlata • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 05:33 AM

Its a great book regarding game, although I don't agree 100% with Mark. Highly recommended should be essential for every man taking the pill.

MattyAnon • 4 points • 21 January, 2015 07:48 AM

Compliments are supplication, and are not interpreted by women as clear intentions.

Clear intentions = escalation. ie actually doing something to make sex happen, rather than handing out compliments and hoping she'll reciprocate [ie weak in her eyes].

John_MayerMaynot • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 04:07 PM

I would agree with that, but the above commenters act like it does.

hairaware • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 03:26 PM

Sometimes I like to just compliment people with no intentions of taking it any further. If its a girl then unless she jumps on my dick afterwards then I dont take it any further. Sometimes its just a good idea to make people feel better about themselves and maybe they help you out if you need them to etc. Takes almost 0 effort on your part and can really help you out down the road.

RojoEscarlata • 1 point • 22 January, 2015 02:24 PM

What is given freely eventually losses value.

throwawayday555fay • 1 points • 21 January, 2015 04:10 PM **[recovered]**

No, that's just the stupid shit you say to justify turning "nice guys" into the devil like the feminist cunt you are.

Mouthpiece • 2 points • 20 January, 2015 11:44 PM

But not really. They all secretly harbor desires, especially for women who are out of their league. Still though, funny to think about.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 12:11 AM

True, but guys with higher SMV have more options and don't tend to stick around if they know they can get the same thing from another woman. A guy with low SMV (especially one who hasn't realized rejection) might stick around as an orbiter with the hope of "maybe someday."

rebuildingMyself • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 05:22 AM

It's hamstering. She wants to fuck him so will rationalize his behavior as good

exit_sandman • 6 points • 21 January, 2015 10:43 AM

Also, compliments from a low SMV Guy are deemed to be insincere, because they are assumed to be seeking something in return. Compliments from a high SMV guy, who is implicitly drowning in pussy, are more likely to be sincere and he is less likely, in their minds, to be fishing for something more.

Yeah, already explained that to some girl at PPD who wondered how TRP explains how her "beta friend" (beta as in: supper-compliant, nice, accomodating etc.) happened to get laid all the time. Oh, he also was super-gorgeous.

My answer:

So do you have some sort of beta allowance scale? Like if you're this sexy, then you can be this beta if you want to get laid?

Pretty much. My take on it:

If you're already "high value" (whether the value is power, wealth, looks, status, genuine charme or any mix thereof), people assume that you're used to be treated as if the sun shines out of your ass - if you're kind, nice, accomodating and forthcoming from that position, it may actually count in your favor (unless people get used to that kind of special treatment) because it implies that despite you having been dealt a winning hand (and therefore being well able to get away with being an asshole without having to fear serious repercussions), you'll still go out of your way to show that you value other people. Basically, by being special, some of that specialness (subjectively) rubs off on them. Read *Primary Colors* and the description of how ~~Bill Clinton~~ Jack Stanton is described as awesome at pretending to be genuinely interested in the people he has around him and how that is responsible for his leadership charisma.

This by the way is also the problem when women say how much they'd appreciate being treated like a princess: they don't imagine Joe Everyman doing that but Brad Pitt, i.e. a guy that checks all their other boxes and finally is nice and forthcoming as a cherry on top. However, they usually omit that part (which is also the reason why TRPs take on women's advice is "pay attention to what they do, not what they say").

Now imagine the opposite - a low value person (whether it's being low status, weak, unattractive, introvert or any mix thereof) who is your stereotypically "nice guy": when dealing with that kind of people, the average person knows (a) that they could at the very least inconvenience them without too many problems if they wanted to (think of your average pupil joining the picking on the unpopular guy/girl, or your average citizen being considered trustworthy over a homeless guy by default) and (b) that these people may probably hope to gain something by being nice, and, more importantly, gain more out of any relationship they establish that way than the other, "higher value" person (whether or not that's true doesn't matter, it's the perception that counts). In that situation, niceness isn't seen as something special, but as something one easily takes for granted in the best case and groveling with ulterior motives in the worst case; and it may also exacerbate the perception of being of higher value than the nice person ("well, if he is *that* forthcoming, he is probably more desperate than I thought").

Ironically, being effective at being aggressive or an asshole *may* (not necessarily *has to*) counter the effect of being low status because on the one hand it takes the person being treated in such a manner

down a notch, and on the other (if played right) it projects a devil-may-care attitude and can come over as genuine confidence even when there's nothing to back it up. There's a reason some dark triad people can get away with so much stuff even if they're, realistically seen, for all intents and purposes absolute lowlives.

SwissPablo • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 04:18 PM

This by the way is also the problem when women say how much they'd appreciate being treated like a princess: they don't imagine Joe Everyman doing that but Brad Pitt, i.e. a guy that checks all their other boxes and finally is nice and forthcoming as a cherry on top. However, they usually omit that part (which is also the reason why TRPs take on women's advice is "pay attention to what they do, not what they say").

I was talking with a friend recently and trying to express this, but you've managed it really well in a paragraph. A man has to assume that a woman is talking about a guy she's already attracted to when she talks about ideal traits in that man. Many men, including myself, have fallen down at that hurdle.

Cyralea • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 02:17 PM

I doubt many women would admit it, but I think this is the core of "Nice guys aren't really nice".



zephyrprime • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 08:47 PM

They actually believe this even though it's so obviously bs as a man. They're both looking for something or else they wouldn't have taken any action.

trpSenator • 24 points • 21 January, 2015 12:32 AM

It's like college. Colleges rank their value based on how many and who they can reject. If a school is only able to reject really shitty students and is very rarely accepting quality students, it looks bad on them.

SwizzyDangles • 0 points • 21 January, 2015 01:41 AM

Yes and no. Some schools will let anyone in because they get more money. They may be ranked low academic wise but they don't care since they have a high enrollment and are laughing to the bank.

t21spectre • 19 points • 21 January, 2015 04:57 AM

Debate 101. You don't argue the analogy.

redpillschool • 12 points • 21 January, 2015 08:47 AM

Thank you for this. Seriously.

trpSenator • 15 points • 21 January, 2015 01:57 AM

I'm using an analogy here. In terms of prestige, those schools who let just about any one in aren't considered very good. You could say the same about women, "She'll fuck anyone, and she charges them and she's laughing all the way to the bank."

The point is, girls that aren't able to reject decent men, realize that they themselves aren't what would be considered quality.

[deleted] • 43 points • 21 January, 2015 04:09 AM

I used to make a habit out of being trashed at the bar, approaching a small group of ladies and shouting "YOUR FRIEND IS HOT!"

Ever seen 4 chicks all analyze each other at the same time? It's even better shithoused.

SwissPablo • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 04:22 PM

I hope you smiled, walked away and let them argue it out - the daggers come out.

[deleted] • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 04:30 PM

I walk away laughing like one of the hyenas from the Lion King. Pretty much all I'm capable of when I'm drinking.

IVlaskerade • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 10:04 PM

I prefer yelling to my friend (so that they can hear) "Fuck no, I'm not hitting on the ugly one! No deal!" and watch them *instantly* lose a shit-ton of confidence.

[deleted] • 0 points • 22 January, 2015 12:10 AM

I'm stealing this shit for sure

thrway1312 • 16 points • 21 January, 2015 01:43 AM

Reminds me of a game I read about a while back -- go out to the bar with some friends and *intentionally try to get shot down*.

Lets you see how much you can get away with and toughens your skin.

TattedGuyser • 14 points • 21 January, 2015 04:29 AM

It's fun, but you have to limit restrictions. Anyone can just go up and be a dumb-ass, you have to do it subtly. When my friends and I played, we each picked a 'role' to take up to see how awesome we could do it. I picked a high school nerd, even dressed the part, and followed through for the entire night. What a fantastic night, so many disgusting looks.

StarDestinyGuy • 4 points • 21 January, 2015 01:21 AM

If you're a low- SMV guy and you want to have some fun, go to a club with lots of attractive women and hit on them. You'll ruin their night, and probably their week while they struggle to figure out what's wrong with them.

That sounds fun. I kind of want to dress really shitty and try that.

IVlaskerade • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 10:10 PM

Seriously. Just a small change in posture (shoulders forward, hunch over a bit, keep eyes downcast) and they'll think you're a low-SMV guy even if you're a solid 8/10 when you stand up.

You don't have to be low SMV to *look* low SMV.

gopher_glitz • 4 points • 21 January, 2015 05:35 AM

If you're a low- SMV guy and you want to have some fun, go to a club with lots of attractive women and hit on them. You'll ruin their night, and probably their week while they struggle to figure out what's wrong with them.

I've never thought about it in that way before, this actually sounds kinda fun. The trick would be what is the best response when getting shot down? I'm guessing it would be best if the less you seemed to care the better.

DawnoftheShred • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 03:11 PM

Is this why the girl walking around NYC thing that went nuts a few months ago pissed off so many women?

nzgs • -1 points • 21 January, 2015 11:13 AM

You aren't ruining anything by hitting on a hot girl as a low SMV male. This is very egotistical thinking. Women simply do not care about your existence and if you try to talk to them they will move away or more likely just ignore you and keep talking to their friends. I'm sure in your mind you're some kind of alpha male who doesn't give a shit but in reality you're just another desperate loser paying his entrance fee to have his self-esteem smashed up some more and rationalising it by believing you are somehow trolling girls who don't even see you.

darkstout • 206 points • 20 January, 2015 10:11 PM*

tl;dr Women only enjoy being "sexualized" by men who turn them on. Everyone else is "creepy."

So much unwanted sexualization

The last comment is a woman complaining about the hordes of men who want to have sex with her. How dare these men have sexual desires for her! Stop oppressing her and know your place--as a sexless beta orbiter!

SenorPuff • 86 points • 20 January, 2015 11:55 PM **[recovered]**

There's a broader point here, probably missed by some, later in her paragraph: if you want to have sex with a woman, fucking go for it, or hold your peace. If you *let* yourself get put into the friendzone, by not gaming her and trying to fuck her, by being a little bitch and hiding your intentions, you *are* her beta orbiter by *your own choice*. In very rare circumstances are you going to be able to, after your first or second meeting with a woman, be able to go from not directly pursuing her to directly pursuing her, let alone pussyfooting around asking her out by making it 'a joke' or some other bullshit. You have one chance.

If you don't immediately take it, next her, for the love of God. There should be three categories of people in your relational life: family/close male friends, suitable fucks, and nobodies. If she's not your mother or your sister and you aren't fucking her, she better be on the same tier as that homeless guy on the corner or the beer belly looser at work. If she's anything else, *you* let it happen.

That's why you're a creep. You didn't just try to fuck her, you tried to trick her into fucking you. You should be ashamed of yourself, because that shady shit is gross.

treefrog24 • 35 points • 21 January, 2015 03:06 AM

I have no problem getting girls but I actually like having a few girls as friends. No it's not because I can't have them. I have a few female friends that want to get with me but I chose otherwise. My reason is because I'm just not that into them and they are a good way in with other girls they are friends with. I've ran through entire squads of girls because I was a good friend with one I never wanted to fuck. I'm all about TRP except this one aspect which is that it's impossible to be friends with a female. I'm not a beta orbiter but have chosen many times to not have sex with a girl when I see it won't benefit other situations I would prefer. Sorry but I prefer quality over quantity and banging any average chick I know I can stick my dick in.

RobertCarraway • 22 points • 21 January, 2015 05:38 AM

I second this.

In addition to your reasons, I actually genuinely prefer talking with women when the topic is people/social situations. Here at TRP, we talk about things that women see constantly too, only they have an intuitive understanding of it.

It is fucking dull to talk to BP men about people. They're fucking idiots. It's just like trying to talk to your average women about highly rational topics. Forget it; you're better off sitting by yourself.

SenorPuff • 8 points • 21 January, 2015 03:32 AM

You can do what you want. Remember, AWALT.

Choosing to not have sex with a particular girl is sometimes wise; don't stick your dick in crazy or gross. Nowhere do I even suggest you should. You determine which girls are suitable to be around *you*, which ones are good enough for *you* to fuck.

I'm also not against social game. Having female acquaintances you use for social proof and not fucking is acceptable, just like using social connections to further yourself in your job. That doesn't mean they are friends, or fuck buddies, they're in your life purely for what they offer you.

[deleted] • 19 points • 21 January, 2015 06:51 AM

if females really have nothing to offer you other than sex and more sex, i suggest you enter different cliques. Friends are friends. Not everything has to be about business or money or sex.

let_terror_reign • 0 points • 21 January, 2015 07:32 AM

There are people who'd do gross? Wow.

[deleted] • 9 points • 21 January, 2015 03:53 AM

I kind of disagree with the 3 category thing to be honest.

I have plenty of women in my life on the back burner.

I have no intention of having sex with them, nor do I pursue active friendships with them, they just kind of exist around me.

But on a rainy day/if I'm drunk enough, hey anything could happen.

thepastIdwell11 points 21 January, 2015 01:08 AM **[recovered]**

It's so sad that we live in a world where socialization has to be a fucking science.

How I wish it was just "I like you, let's hang" "OK cool :D" instead.

MattyAnon • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 08:03 AM

Yeah... I'd love it to be that simple too.

But both genders cannot simultaneously maximise their reproductive benefit, sexual priorities and social preferences.

What's good for one is bad for the other. Sure, there is a lot of common ground, but there is a lot of stuff where women and men cannot simultaneously win due to different preferences.

For you "let's hang out" makes sense. Low investment, potential large rewards, zero consequences. For her it doesn't. Low investment from a guy with no social credit nor visible options = poor genes and no support.

And from a more practical modern viewpoint - are you better than her other options? Are you

dangerous? What if you turn out to be low social value and she is seen with you? Sure, she might not care.... but she sure fucking cares that her friends care. And they will. And she knows it.

Get yourself into the top 5% of men and I bet "let's hang out" works just fine without even the "I like you" part.

tyofwa • 6 points • 21 January, 2015 01:22 AM

This is known as the "Anger" phase.

thepastIdwell15 points 21 January, 2015 01:51 AM [recovered]

Then it must be eternal, because I swallowed TRP before this sub existed. But it's still depressing. I'm never going to be "OK" with it. I've accepted it, sure, but I still think it sucks.

Daisy_DukeNukem • 10 points • 21 January, 2015 08:32 AM

And the waitress is practicing politics

As the businessmen slowly get stoned

Yes they're sharing a drink they call The Red Pill

But it's better than drinking alone

Cheers mate. Shit's better with friends.

gregd28 • 0 points • 21 January, 2015 01:36 AM

It's not sad at all. It's simply how it is.

Unpopular_But_Right • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 02:45 AM

I do have a couple female friends that don't fall into those categories, but that's because they're in committed, long-term relationships and because I have no sexual interest in them. So in that sense it's basically just like being a close male friend.

SenorPuff • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 03:35 AM

Remember, AWALT. These women may be decent people because of their mates, but don't forget for a second that under the right circumstances they will abandon any and all social contracts to get a leg up. For some, due to how they were raised, religion, whatever, those right circumstances might be more extreme, but there exists a tipping point for every woman.

esperanzablanca • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 08:14 AM

I use to go to the club with a hot female friend that we know since for ever. She is like a magnet for other girls, is like they see me with this girl and they think I'm interesting or something.

Red_August • 14 points • 21 January, 2015 12:07 AM

"...WAY too many relationships have been destroyed..." she says when the feeble beta attempts to capitalise, and sexualise what she overtly intended to be a one-way orbiter-attention-providing relationship. Why else would she allow it? He's trying to hit above his station, and should know his place, and privilege to even be there.

elruary • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 01:47 AM

The thing is, both sides of the parties are pathetic, that bitch not realising how primitively egotistical she's being and beta guys who are just plain pussies.

AFPJ • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 03:58 AM

only enjoy being "sexualized" by men who turn them on. Everyone else is "creepy."

This is true & much more pronounced in women ...but honestly, how do you feel when a 6/10 makes a pass on you?

I get a creepy ass feeling of desperation, being too eager is a turn-off for guys too ...unless the chick is attractive.

As guys its no big deal because we don't judge our self worth based on that, but it's still an unpleasant interaction.

tallwheel • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 07:14 AM

Meh. Nah. It's a little bit pathetic and sad sometimes, but still can be a little flattering nonetheless. I would never say it's actually a *negative* experience for me, even if she is a nasty hambeast.

MattyAnon • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 08:14 AM

Yeah, generally it's fairly flattering or at least amusing. So long as they take the hint it isn't going to happen and don't go mental. But I'd also not care if I was offered a minimum wage job (referring to the OP analogy). It's like being offered \$500 for your [decent] car. Or \$10k for your house. Who cares?

People are free to lowball their offers to me - I do not define my worth by these things, I define my worth TO OTHERS by the highest of the offers I get, not the lowest. Can't blame people for trying it on, just don't waste my time.

Ahhhh but women do not think like this. Low value [to her] men are supposed to see her as out of their league and not even try. How dare they think they have a chance, which implies they think she is at their [low] level and therefore it is an insult. AND she gets all the delicious attention from her indignation.

Hell I'd do the same thing if I had no self respect and anybody would listen ;)

Cyralea • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 02:22 PM

As someone who experiences this regularly, it gets old, but I'll be completely honest. It feels fucking great. Most people would enjoy the validation.

Women are lying when they say they hate it.

AFPJ • 0 points • 21 January, 2015 06:53 PM

Women are lying when they say they hate it.

I suppose the context of women was the discussion here, agreed. Getting hit on is almost an evolutionary need on some limbic level because it indicates their ability to garner the protection/provision of a man & therefore survive.

CatInMikrowave91 • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 12:53 AM

What, covered in his own bodily fluids?

Cyralea • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 02:27 PM

In a perfectly ideal feminized world, only 8+ men would be allowed to have sexual drive and the rest would

be content to be sexless and silent. This is what women want, but they know they can't ask for it outright. Instead they accomplish this via Feminism. Only weak betas listen to what they demand. "Don't approach women in public! Don't intimidate her! Listen to everything she says!". Dominant alphas plow right through those requests.

It's a perfect setup that scares weak men from approaching, without making it overtly seem as such.

rymdsylv • 76 points • 20 January, 2015 11:33 PM [recovered]

a while ago, my ex told me that an unattractive guy was staring at her and her friend when they were working out at the gym. her friend said "yuck, I wish that ugly guy would stop staring." I asked my ex if it would've been ok if he was attractive and with no hesitation she said "yeah it would", while looking at me like I was retarded. so yet again we have it confirmed. it also feels great to not only read about stuff like this, but actually experience it first hand.

redpillbanana • 24 points • 21 January, 2015 07:50 AM

I asked my ex if it would've been ok if he was attractive and with no hesitation she said "yeah it would", while looking at me like I was retarded.

Notable here is the lack of introspection or any other kind of thought on the matter. This is pure stimulus-response.

bluedrygrass • 8 points • 21 January, 2015 09:54 AM

And then they pretend we men are the impulsive ones. Always flipping the tables.

robostanleys • 92 points • 21 January, 2015 01:14 AM

I think this kind of touches on what I hate about women and believe men are superior in.

If total honesty was given:

Man - I don't like that ugly woman staring at me. I'd be fine if she was attractive, I'd want that, but I'm not going to demonize this ugly girl for looking at me. If she talks to me I'm not going to be insulted, or angry. I may try to end the talk fast, but I'm not going to shit all over her. I wish it wasn't like this, but whatever.

Woman - Ew, why is that creepy loser staring at me. What the fuck. He's probably a rapist. Oh, look at that hunk staring at me, maybe I'll stretch a little for him. Why doesn't he come over here and talk to me?

No introspection, no objectivity, no sensitivity, and if you suggested to them they should feel those things they'd be astounded.

SwissPablo • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 04:24 PM

Women simply lack class when it comes to rejecting unattractive men.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 02:58 PM

It's all sorts of crazy talk to me. Hot or ugly chick is staring at me or hits on me and I'm flattered. Actually fuck that, hot or ugly anybody staring or hitting on me.

I've been hit on by fat chicks, hot chicks, in shape dudes, out of shape dudes, old dudes at the gym, and grandmotherly old ladies that have a strange gleam in their eye after they say something old people generally can get away with saying and people think nothing of it.

froggyfreshrap • 1 point • 21 February, 2015 09:48 PM

Why doesn't he come over here and talk to me?

Another thing, they expect everything to come at them *smh*

ametalshard • -6 points • 21 January, 2015 09:57 AM

I've had women let me down exactly how you described the men. NAWALT.

redbluepillng • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 11:49 AM

like this?

try to end the talk fast, but I'm not going to shit all over herhim.

External politeness is different from the internal monologue.

AWALT.

ametalshard • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 05:29 PM*

How the fuck does that matter to you or anyone? What a massive double standard. Not to mention the fact he is being upvoted for saying nothing more than he hates women for some subjective, perceived reason about what he thinks they think.

I thought this was fucking TRP, but I guess it isn't. This thread is full of disgusting BP theory.

[deleted] 20 January, 2015 10:49 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 21 January, 2015 12:17 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 21 January, 2015 02:15 AM*

[permanently deleted]

JOE_ROGAN_UFC_VOICE • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 02:31 AM

A furry? What does that mean?

[deleted] 21 January, 2015 02:32 AM*

[permanently deleted]

chillaxbrohound • 98 points • 20 January, 2015 11:31 PM

I used to think like this.

The truth is that men are ugly too in some ways.

If you want to call something ugly, and simultaneously make an important point, call feminist dogma ugly. That's what makes men become weak, depressed, and endlessly frustrated when the reality they're forced to conform to (feminism) doesn't match the way things actually work.

Men want sexy women. Women want sexy men. The only difference is that men don't have a bullshit ideology they aggressively force and intimidate everyone into believing despite any simple evidence to the contrary.

[deleted] • 52 points • 20 January, 2015 11:42 PM

Sadly, there's really no "master-race" that has no flaws. There are a lot of shitty men, a lot of shitty women, and the vast majority of people have flaws which may or may not be dealbreakers to you. One of my most memorable experiences was just after getting a hang for the Red Pill ideas, and I realized that a girl I'd had oneitis for for a long time wasn't actually relationship material. A harsh wakeup, but liberating.

[deleted] • 54 points • 21 January, 2015 12:11 AM

Sadly, there's really no "master-race" that has no flaws.

/r/pcmasterrace disagrees, I imagine.

ioncloud9 • 36 points • 21 January, 2015 12:50 AM

can confirm. filthy console peasants.

Dev_on • -1 points • 21 January, 2015 05:13 PM

Console = sofa, PC = chair

the potato isn't the better machine, it has the better system in place. Unless you don't like people

Hrodrik • 0 points • 22 January, 2015 04:34 PM

What if I tell you that I have my pc connected to a 50 inch TV and play modded games from my sofa?

Dev_on • 0 points • 22 January, 2015 04:41 PM

I have both, I will say this. When the SO and I are playing together, or me and guests/friends from across the country, we are on a console. When I'm playing something alone to unwind, I'm on the PC.

widec • 8 points • 21 January, 2015 02:13 AM

That weak local multiplayer though, I have to say that is the biggest flaw of PC gaming

[deleted] • 11 points • 21 January, 2015 04:01 AM

Eh, it matters less for adults. Once you're older than 18 and all of your buddies live more than a couple of blocks away, the local multiplayer doesn't compare too well to online multiplayer. More often than not, when the rare occassion pops up for local multiplayer, my PC is used for emulating old SNES games rather than going for an actual console. YMMV.

PanqueNhoc • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 06:01 AM

Playing old Mario Party games is still awesome. Could make a fun drinking game as well.

[deleted] • 4 points • 21 January, 2015 12:47 AM

One of my most memorable experiences was just after getting a hang for the Red Pill ideas, and I realized that a girl I'd had oneitis for for a long time wasn't actually relationship material.

Along a similar vein, my original path down TRP was years ago (before this sub existed) in pusuit of a oneitis who was IMO the ultimate woman. Once I got myself in order I realized I didn't want her. I could have her, even today, and I still like the girl, but I friendzoned her. She's smart, cute, funny,

sexy, crazy, manipulative, self centered... I understand what makes her tick now and those realizations made her not very attractive to me.

Dev_on • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 05:12 PM

theres a nice fuzzy grey area thats 'good enough'

I stopped caring about looks, once I started realizing all the hottest women I knew and had dated really do have fairly serious character/physical flaws. There really are no 10s...

kind of freed me. Unfortunately 90% of women can't have a conversation without me wanting to stab myself in the neck with a pen. I've pretty much had to expose my 7 year SO with museums, TED talks and reading just to train her to be good conversation.

Paid off in spades

[deleted] 21 January, 2015 10:29 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 12:55 AM

Don't forget about the weakness of beta men. It takes two to tango in this game.

Dev_on • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 05:10 PM

bout as ugly as anyone else.

I find it funny that, for all the talk of taking women off the pedestal; how is it we are surprised when we find out that the ratio of female assholes is about the same

DookyParasite • -3 points • 21 January, 2015 08:30 AM [recovered]

Maybe you're all just bad people who continually attract bad people that keep reaffirming your belief that women are bad people.

[deleted] • 45 points • 20 January, 2015 10:18 PM

If a compliment has no sexual meaning behind it (an old man tells a girl she has a nice dress) then the girl will like it.

If there is any sexual meaning behind it (10 hours walking in NYC) then the girl will be turned off.

Of course, if you have an objective SMV higher than the woman then she will like it.

theproudbanana • 27 points • 21 January, 2015 12:05 AM

This. The video about the girls walking he got pissed because low SMV's were the ones hitting on her.

TattedGuyser • 11 points • 21 January, 2015 05:24 AM

Well didn't one chick do the same thing in Australia? If memory serves the girl got mad because none of the hot Australian men didn't even do a double take n her. She wasn't worth the time of day to those guys who could easily pull a chick much hotter then her.

coolbird1 • 9 points • 21 January, 2015 05:56 AM

Yep her boyfriend also hit on her in a train/subway like that but he had a higher SMV so she liked it and they hooked up.

goodguy29 • 56 points • 20 January, 2015 10:49 PM

women are not only unattracted to beta guys - they are actively repulsed by them. They feel a sick sensation in their gut when they see an emasculated man.

I just imagine how I feel when I come into contact with a masculine, overbearing, controlling woman. It's repulsive to me. I believe women feel the same way when looking at a thoroughly beta man.

smile_e_face • 6 points • 20 January, 2015 11:47 PM

Thanks for this bit of insight. It makes much more sense when put this way.

[deleted] • 10 points • 20 January, 2015 11:07 PM

If a masculinized dyke approached me and tried to hit on me, I would be very, very uncomfortable. If she said anything or did anything even remotely sexual toward me, I'm walking away. Same thing with a fat chick, I don't have a BBW fetish, sorry babe.

Most women are straight, and they are attracted to men, not women in a man's body. Yuck.

goodguy29 • 26 points • 20 January, 2015 11:18 PM

Even a woman who's not necessarily the butch/dyke type, though. Women who are controlling, domineering, bitchy, bossy, and **loud**. Those traits are just hideously unattractive, and on a woman with an already low SMV, they're flat out intolerable.

[deleted] • 17 points • 20 January, 2015 11:39 PM

It's no surprise to me that the friends of mine that identify as a feminist are still single and about to hit the wall. Their masculine attitudes are just plain unattractive.

Areimanes • 4 points • 21 January, 2015 09:31 AM

Only betas would stick with a girl like that.

I know a girl that fits that bill to a T, is slim with a very nice chest but a below average face. She's the only girl that hangs around in a group of five low SMV men (comp.sci, mathematics, engineering) one of whom is her boyfriend.

She (physically) flirts with the other guys a bit - even when her boyfriend is there and they lap up the attention.

No man with a high SMV would tolerate that.

goodguy29 • 1 point • 22 January, 2015 07:02 PM

Hey now. Just because a guy is in engineering doesn't automatically make him low SMV. Maybe those guys tend to be more BB, but it's a respectable and high earning profession.

But yeah, you're right, a man with high SMV absolutely would not tolerate that crap.

WerewolffWS • 17 points • 21 January, 2015 01:34 AM

Also, to add, I bet they feel insulted when an attractive male fails to give them attention.

Blake55 • 17 points • 21 January, 2015 12:03 AM

When I was in school (about 15/16), one of the girls was sobbing hysterically one afternoon, like a relative had died unexpectedly or something. She hadn't had a bf before. Turns out there wasn't a death in the family, but

instead a low SMV guy had asked her out. It really was the ultimate insult, and there was nothing she could do about it... I don't know what her friends were saying to comfort her, probably something along the lines of 'don't worry, your SMV is way higher gurrll'.

Austintvtious • 31 points • 20 January, 2015 10:28 PM

What the hell was that last bitch rambling about

complex21 • 31 points • 20 January, 2015 10:41 PM*

basically saying that it gets old when she goes out and get's dolled up and no good looking guy that she's "trying" to attract will notice her/talk to her, and only the guys that she doesn't find attractive will be the one to notice/compliment her.

cocaine_face • 55 points • 20 January, 2015 11:33 PM

Well, then she clearly isn't as attractive as what she feels, is she.

I find this behavior most frequently in lower SMV girls that have fucked a few higher end guys, but can't get them to commit to them.

thecajunone • 23 points • 21 January, 2015 12:34 AM

That, and things like Tinder where girls receive constant validation from thirsty betas has raised the price of pussy.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 04:05 AM

The Dutch tulip market has nothing on this bubble.

redbluepillling • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 12:00 PM

I'm investing in bitcoin and pussy.

tallwheel • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 07:20 AM

Well, then she clearly isn't as attractive as what she feels, is she.

Pretty much all Western women have this problem. Even considering women can usually bag a guy 1 or 2 points above them, they still overestimate their own SMV. (ie, 6's only looking for 9's or above)

ToonTheShed • 73 points • 20 January, 2015 10:51 PM

Cya guys later I'm off to the gym!

FuckyouAvast • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 06:39 PM

Off to the gym? Ha! I'm way ahead of you, I already went to the gym yesterday.

ToonTheShed • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 09:16 PM

Yeah I go 4-6 days a week I just meant I was off to the gym again after reading this lol

froggyfreshrap • 1 point • 21 February, 2015 09:50 PM

Disregard females and get shredded!!!

LyricBaritone • -8 points • 21 January, 2015 07:22 AM

Why is this upvoted? This comment adds nothing valuable to the discussion.

Spacemage • 11 points • 20 January, 2015 11:48 PM

To be honest, I've had women I think are unattractive tell me they think I look good, or would like to talk, and I feel the same way.

theDarkAngle • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 07:09 AM

It's true. If they're hideous or really fat, I'm just like... "How dare you."

FuckyouAvast • 7 points • 21 January, 2015 06:41 PM

Shit man, at least ugly guys can get fit and make money and raise their value. Ugly women? Not a whole lot they can do.

Not-a_hobbit14 points 20 January, 2015 11:52 PM **[recovered]**

To be fair, this woman is specifically indicating that she feels insulted by an unattractive man hitting on her. This is not the definition of a beta. To me, it shows how shallow the woman is...not that she's repulsed by a beta. Damnit but there are some unattractive alphas out there....I guarantee you that if that unattractive man whipped out his black card, she'd never have written this bit.

edit: English is hard...

cover20 • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 01:32 AM

True. All you've got to do to spare the girl's feelings is to do a bit of acting, say you're a doctor or something. Makes her feel better, you'll do better: win-win!

tallwheel • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 07:44 AM

there are some unattractive alphas out there

I think we agreed earlier on this sub that the definition of an alpha was someone who gives women the tingles, so by definition there aren't any unattractive alphas. (Though one particular woman might certainly find a particular alpha unattractive on a certain day.)

Not-a_hobbit • 1 points • 21 January, 2015 12:23 PM **[recovered]**

Sure, I'll engage you on Semantics. No, alphas are not unattractive. However, one's a fool to suggest that physical appearance is all that women find attractive. It's a very narrow minded way of thinking. I think the idea that this sub actually propagates is that alpha is defined by several factors including:

-Carriage and demeanor -Charisma -Intellect -Physical appearance -Social status -Education level - Economic status

My point was just to say that this woman writes off the fellas she finds PHYSICALLY unattractive. That's fine. But OP suggested that that means they're betas. Excellent faulty logic. Try this: They're alphas because they meet several of the other criteria. Because they're alphas, they're not chasers, so when they see the woman make a hasty judgement, they stop their advances and the woman never finds out that they're a patent attorney, a doctor, an architect, an engineer, etc. All of which would/could have swayed her opinion heavily in the other direction.

So yes, we can agree that an alpha is "attractive" by definition. But no, we cannot agree that being physically unattractive makes you a beta. And if you hold that opinion, it will hold you back because you will sell yourself short against other men whom you might outstrip in any number of areas, but you believe are superior to you because of how they look.
don't do that Tallwheel.

tallwheel • 1 point • 22 January, 2015 01:15 AM

OK. So basically when you used the word "unattractive" in the first post above, you meant unattractive in a strictly physical sense. We would be in complete agreement, then.

I think you need to be careful, though, when using the word "attractive" in reference to males. A man's attractiveness is obviously not determined by his physical traits alone. Should be qualified as "physically attractive".

_the_shape_ • 48 points • 20 January, 2015 10:30 PM

"Looks don't matter!! Only how confident you are and how much game you have matters!!"

Riiiiiiight.....

Try picking up a girl with this face -

http://assets.nydailynews.com/polopoly_fs/1.99805.1313909065!/img/httpImage/image.jpg_gen/derivatives/gallery_635/gal-gn-johnmatuszak-1-jpg.jpg

Looks don't matter THAT much, but they certainly do matter. This PUA nonsense about looks being immaterial needs to be stamped out already.

isthatyourdaughter • 72 points • 20 January, 2015 10:59 PM [recovered]

Whatever, brah that dude's a movie star

_the_shape_ • 8 points • 20 January, 2015 11:04 PM

@ isthatyourdaughter - lol he's got high value to override his trainwreck of a face

cocaine_face • 12 points • 20 January, 2015 11:40 PM

To be fair, most men are going to be near the center of the bell curve on attractiveness.

So to the -average- man, game WILL increase his sexual situation dramatically.

Plus, the PUA nonsense is obviously intended for beginners. Does attractiveness matter? Of course. Will a guy, any guy, PROBABLY be better off if he acts like it doesn't matter at first? Yeah, probably. He'll have higher confidence and do things he otherwise wouldn't have, talk to hotter girls than he ever has before. He can figure out what his actual SMV from observing how the market reacts to him.

I'd say I'm average to slightly below average SMV based on physical appearance (I'm short), and I remember going out with a buddy when we first started out, and just him and I shouting at each other at one point, "THIS SHIT WORKS!!!!", because we were so excited at the reaction. I thought attractiveness didn't matter - and my average attractiveness level kept skyrocketing for months and months as I kept realizing I could do better.

[deleted] • -2 points • 21 January, 2015 01:10 AM

This is kind of my point. Sure, guys like Channing Tatum or Leo DiCaprio are what you would consider conventionally attractive. But, just like with female celebrities, I can find guys in my hometown that would likely match up.

I just don't think the discrepancy between what is a good looking man and what is an average looking man is all that significant. I think it's mostly in our heads. The guys with the looks might have a slight advantage but once they get some momentum going, they just run with it. Then, all of a sudden we see good looking guys with beautiful women and high status and we assume it's due to their looks. However, correlation does not equal causation and it's likely just the fact that they played the game better.

[deleted] 21 January, 2015 03:47 AM

[permanently deleted]

redbluepillling • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 11:57 AM

I'll just add my opinion onto your point... there's certainly a significant difference. It's drastically easier for the *good looking* man. But, the take home message for the average guys should be...you can compete. You might never make it to the NFL, but you still may play some top tier, d1 college ball, even if it's just special teams. Occasionally you'll get out there and play at a high level.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 12:02 PM

Yes, just because I try to think outside of the echo chamber that is TRP then I must be delusional.

[deleted] 21 January, 2015 02:39 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 02:59 PM

All you've said is that I'm delusional and I'm falling for a sham. I'm trying to have an honest conversation about my point of view and you're giving me no reason to think otherwise.

What's the most attractive quality in a man? Is it height? Muscles? A masculine face? or is it confidence?

I contend that it is always confidence above everything else.

amazing_h19 points 20 January, 2015 11:11 PM* [recovered]

dude that's a useful lie.

Using the 0 to 10 scale. assuming that people's attractiveness is normally distributed around the mean=5, for the sake of argument let's assume a standard deviation of 2.

The Chebychev inequality tells us that 95% of the population falls between 1 and 9 and 66,6% falls between 4 and 6.

Sumoning the experience of Good Looking Loser who states that a tight game can lead you up to 3 points above your attractiveness.

You can see that 2/3 of the population can (using game alone) attract women who are 7 and above.

If you add to that factors that are emphasized a lot in this place, lifting, money, and an interesting life. for the majority of people it's achivable. Hence the useful lie. without contradicting what you said, it's not the case of the majority of guys here so why bother.

[deleted] • 3 points • 20 January, 2015 11:38 PM

Change what you can change, don't worry about what you can't. If you look like Mickey Rourke don't despair, you can still probably bag a 5 or 6. It all feels the same with the lights off, and if she isn't blindingly beautiful chances are you're less likely to do something stupid like put her up on a pedestal.

[deleted] 20 January, 2015 11:03 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 27 points • 21 January, 2015 12:52 AM

I disagree completly. Good looking losers are frustrated as all hell. Looks can get your foot in the door, but if you are a low confidence annoying dweeb it won't get you much farther. That's what shit tests are for: once the guy makes the cut in the looks department, the shit test filters out the good looking losers from the breeding stock. You need both.

tallwheel • 11 points • 21 January, 2015 07:26 AM

This was me. Girls would approach me, and quickly lose interest as soon as they figured out I was an awkward beta loser. It didn't take long for them to figure that out either. My body language upon being approached would give me away immediately.

So, obviously, both looks and game are important. If either one is completely lacking you are fucked either way. Even if you excel at one, the other must be at least an acceptable level.

[deleted] 21 January, 2015 01:04 AM

[permanently deleted]

Subtleterious • 4 points • 21 January, 2015 01:41 AM*

Disagree on a couple of points.

Looks aren't binary. Men have a scale of physical attractiveness just like women. Therefore there is no magical standard where other male traits aren't important.

Shit-tests never stop.

Gyissan • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 02:46 AM

And you really think most guys know how to not be annoying or awkward?

SwizzyDangles • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 01:44 AM

I agree with this. If you're good looking anything you say can be considered attractive.

rpkarma • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 03:53 AM

Nah. If you turn up the beta faggotry shit, they'll grow to despise you despite your attractiveness. It does certainly give you 100x more leeway than an average dude however. As usual, the truth lies somewhere in the middle of the two extremes, "looks don't matter" vs "looks are all that matter".

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 06:12 AM

I would say the truth is the middle but more on the looks matter side though.

cocaine_face • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 01:56 PM

Will a 1 male ever get a 10 female?

No, not unless he's paying for it or so absurdly wealthy, or in some cultures violent and high status (think rich, fat drug dealer) that the girl is willing to rationalize to herself what she's doing. But even then, it's not going to be any but a small minority of 10s even willing to do that.

But the average guy is, by definition, a 5. Half of his competition is below him or at his level of attractiveness, and as people doing the calculations measured, about 70% is within 1 SMV point of him, and tight game will beat out inferior game a lot of the

time.

If you add lifting, social status, money to this 5, you're doing better than almost everyone except the natural 10s. Girls, even girls who aren't just looking for a BB are looking for more of a package deal for everything other than ONS.

Cyralea • 0 points • 21 January, 2015 02:30 PM

Not true at all. If you act like an unconfident loser they will still end up rejecting you. This was me in my early 20's.

Game is absolutely vital.

[deleted] • 5 points • 20 January, 2015 10:57 PM

That's quite an extreme example.

Take a guy who's of average height and build and looks become inconsequential when a man has status, money and game.

_the_shape_ • 15 points • 20 January, 2015 11:01 PM

That's why I added that looks don't matter THAT much, but they do in fact matter. The PUA industry tries to peddle this patently false notion that looks are completely inconsequential, that the guy must focus entirely on his game, when the fact of the matter is that girls DO factor in looks. The PUA industry runs parallel to red pill thinking, but it avoids areas that do not benefit (\$) them. Not every guy must look like a spitting image of David Beckham, but it does happen often that a girl rejects a guy because she simply is not into his 'look', irrespective of what he might be able to offer outside of that.

AlCapown3d • 3 points • 20 January, 2015 11:24 PM

Couldn't have said it better. Things are rarely black and white.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 01:41 AM

If looks *really* didn't matter, you wouldn't have to work out. Of course looks matter.

But by the same token, if you keep your weight down and have reasonably defined arms you're gonna pretty much be at least a 6 no matter what your face looks like. That's the upside to obesity in half your competition.

dhump • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 07:58 AM

This x 1 million. When over half your competition is out of shape or obese, being fit alone will carry you far.

Especially in the 30-ish crowd. Late 20's to early 30's seems to be the range the majority of suckers have given up.

FuckyouAvast • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 06:46 PM

I wouldn't say that obese people are competitors at all. Go to a bar where women are, and most guys there will be reasonably fit.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 09:27 PM

Sure, but that's because the fatties don't show up, not because they *wouldn't* show up if they were thin. If you mean "they're not even competition" in the dismissive sense, then yeah. But

imagine how much harder things would be for you if every time you went out the club had twice as many men.

rpkarma • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 03:54 AM

The PUA industry tries to peddle this patently false notion that looks are completely inconsequential

Of course. That's how they make \$\$\$\$

[deleted] • -7 points • 20 January, 2015 11:46 PM

I'm not entirely sold on that line of thinking. If we go back to our caveman days, what purpose do looks serve? They do not make you stronger or smarter. They do not increase your utility.

I think of looks as an asset and not so much a core feature of masculinity. Good looks provide a halo effect which improves your sociability. However, game could certainly overcome this as well as pure brutality.

However, it's unmistakeable that looks play a role as conventionally attractive people seem to have an easier time with women.

I just want to explore the topic further.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 12:58 AM

Going back to our cave-man days, looks are everything. "Handsome" is synonymous with looking healthy and virile. Overweight, diabetes ridden, downs syndrome, low muscle tone, low testosterone males get rejected because they don't look like healthy men capable of protecting, providing and producing healthy offspring. "Game" is a secondary attractor because it measures intelligence and confidence. Confidence is an indicator that not only do these good looks make this man capable of competing, but their confidence indicates that successfully competing is routine for them, otherwise they would be a depressed timid beta male.

esco_ • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 12:46 AM

serious?

Good looks signal good genes.

A strong, masculine face/frame signals high testosterone. testosterone is known to suppress the immune system.

Therefore, a strong looking high T man who *looks healthy* probably has a great immune system.

In short, a male that looks good is a good sign that his offspring will be healthy and viable.

[deleted] • -2 points • 21 January, 2015 01:13 AM

Fair enough. However, I don't think the discrepancy between a good looking man and an average looking man is all that great. To make the claim that good looking people have better immune systems than us average folk would require strong evidence.

esco_ • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 06:37 AM

you've probably never used tinder.

I'm an average looking guy based on my photos on facebook

In the flesh, im 6ft (tall enough), good body, good posture, confident etc. On facebook i

swipe right on almost everyone and get maybe 1 match for every 100 i swipe. Its usually a gross chick

I dont think im overestimating my looks as i always had low self confidence etc until a couple years ago. Im prob a 6/10 or 7/10 on a good day. Cant get a look in on tinder

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 12:13 PM

I do use tinder.

I'm 5'4. I get a decent match every couple days. I've only been successful with one so far but I'm still learning.

I attribute most of my success to my tag line. When I've scrolled through other guys who give a lengthy description talking about what they're looking for and what they do for a living while mine is just short and sweet. I changed it before and got literally zero matches.

What's that tell you?

esco_ • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 06:39 AM

There is plenty of evidence for testosterone suppressing immune system dude.. The rest is just inference that makes sense according to logic

theproudbanana • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 12:03 AM

Back in the caveman days then height would be almost a must. But short guys get girls.

cocaine_face • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 02:10 PM

Humans are pack animals. Leadership ability, competence and resource-provisioning has always been selected for among humans.

Let's say you take a stone age equivalent of Napoleon or Vladimir Putin - obviously a crazy smart, ruthless man who is in a short body. These are men who are obviously far to the right on the bell curve of intelligence, and they would certainly pop up in our ancestral environment as well.

This man is able to, either through manipulation or pure ability to realize things about the natural environment, procure more food than his competitors, and to convince others to be his body guards. We even see this today in some parts of the world enough that it's something of a trope in movies - the smaller but smart drug dealer (mad scientist, politician, etc) and his gigantic body guard. In this case, the higher status of the men commanding the physically fitter men is a cue to breed - if he is so small and yet still able to command huge bruisers and get many resources, there is SOME quality about him that makes him worthy to breed.

[deleted] • -2 points • 21 January, 2015 12:54 AM

Not necessarily. Our capacity for domination and violence lies mainly in our weaponry and associated skill-set. Think David and Goliath.

CryptoOrchid • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 12:56 AM

Going back to caveman days, women that have wider hips were probably more attractive for biological purposes. I've heard the theory that breasts developed the way they did when we began to walk upright. (Reason being that it would show easier that a woman was ready for mating or

something like that.)

Males were probably more attractive for other reasons like muscular build.

Some tribes around have painful rituals that show which men can take the most pain and that makes them more attractive in their tribe.

Nowadays, scientifically speaking, symmetry in someone's face controls a lot of attraction.

How that makes sense from an evolutionary standpoint, I'm not sure. But standards of beauty have changed over time. Hell, in the Middle Ages being a fat woman was ideal beauty. (Well perhaps not morbidly obese, but you get the idea..)

TheLife_ • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 12:43 AM*

Looks are literally your genealogy expressing itself (phenomology.) Things like a chiseled jawline, the X body shape (broad shoulders and legs, skinny waistline,) a deep voice and being tall are all attractive genetic traits you can only manipulate to a certain extent. These affect your ability to be socially dominant, and more intimidating in a conflict situation.

Attractiveness would most definitely have been relevant back in caveman days.

Then there's mastery of your environment, dress style and other categories of SMV improvement.

theproudbanana • 4 points • 21 January, 2015 12:01 AM

Average height? As in no short. You added something that puas tell that doesnt matter. It does.

Radibomsadoodmanoid • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 12:44 AM

Bitches love the Sloth.

Fun fact - For those of you who remember the movie Caveman (yeah, with Ringo Starr, that one)... Sloth was "Tonda" in that movie.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 04:07 AM

I agree that looks are a huge part of getting attractive women, but when you're a 6 or 7 it's possible for confidence to make you an 8. Unwavering confidence is an energy/pheromone that women can instinctively pick up on. It's amazing how far it can take you.

livelongandprosper69 • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 04:21 PM

This PUA nonsense about looks being immaterial needs to be stamped out already.

Every PUA i've known about has ALWAYS said looks matter....

[deleted] 21 January, 2015 12:38 AM

[permanently deleted]

SlothFactsBot • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 12:38 AM

Did someone mention sloths? Heres a random fact!

The algae in a three-toed sloths fur supplements the diet of the sloth. This algae growth would not be possible without the help of a species of moth which lays eggs in the sloths fur! Woah!

Kellermann • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 12:55 AM

Thank you for subscribing to SlothFacts.com !

complex21 • 34 points • 20 January, 2015 10:34 PM*

From the youtube video of the Girl that was walking in NYC getting hit on. Look at her face, each time a guy makes a comment that she's beautiful.

Each time she looks extremely repulsed by all the guys, be it old or young that are telling she's beautiful

Now, imagine if Leo Dicaprio or George Clooney had walked along her and told her shes pretty, it would of made her entire year.

LMS_THEORY_ • 10 points • 21 January, 2015 02:43 AM

If you have low SMV, you're invisible to women. It's like you don't exist

cocaine_face • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 02:29 PM

The same is true for women and men respectively. That's the point of the SMV measure.

You don't see anyone (outside of very specific fetishes), dating 400 pound women.

LMS_THEORY_ • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 08:24 PM

And yet, if the 400 woman offered free blow jobs and sex she'd get 10 guys instantly. No 400 male would have his offer accepted of free cunnililis and dick.

Not real affection I know. But just driving home the fact men of low SMV = invisibility. Women of low SMV will still get plate status in worst case scenario

cocaine_face • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 08:45 PM

That's true of virtually all guys though, except for perhaps the hottest of the hot men - free offers of sex are meaningless to women.

And a 400 pound woman and a 400 pound man are not necessarily exact equals in SMV. A 400 pound man could date a below 400 pound woman (though still almost certainly obese) if he had everything else going on in his life. If he had nothing going on in his life (as he probably doesn't), then yes, his SMV is lower than the woman's.

Baseline SMV for a woman is higher than it is for a man of equivalent weight/attractiveness.

SILENTSAM69 • 10 points • 21 January, 2015 04:04 AM

I remember explaining this to some women in University. I was trying to tell them that the feeling they get when they find a guy hitting on them "creepy" is the same way men feel when a fat girl hits on us.

They didn't seem to like the idea that it wasn't the guys fault for being creepy, and that it was obviously my fault for my feelings toward the fat girl. I was laughing that they couldn't see the double standard.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 05:22 AM

they must see it how can they not, surely they're not just trying to control frame?

GenericHusband • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 11:49 AM

It's really hard to see someone else's perspective when you're busy pretending any perspective outside your own doesn't exist.

SILENTSAM69 • 1 point • 23 January, 2015 02:18 AM

Yeah, they must have seen it yet tried pretty hard to explain how it was different. The girls there could be pretty crazy.

t21spectre • 17 points • 20 January, 2015 11:00 PM

That's the difference between flirting and harassment. If she finds you attractive (i.e. would fuck you).

[deleted] • 7 points • 20 January, 2015 10:18 PM

what I am wondering is:

how MUCH difference does your approach make on whether you are the "how dare you to talk to me" guy she is not attracted too and the "wow you can say and do what you want to me and I'll still say yes" attractive guy?

Is your fate already sealed from before you open your lips? How much wiggle room do you have?

I am asking because I see plenty of vanilla guys with a poor approach still elicit a positive response (with the girl saying "he barely talked to me! he was looking away from me! it must mean he was not interested as a rationalization for what is in fact beta behavior) and plenty of average looking guys/ ugly guys saying the right things but still eliciting a poor response.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 04:38 AM

On top of everything else, the 60/40 principle still applies: peoples' reaction to you is 60% them, 40% you. I think I learned about it from an RSD video, but it's written about here:

<http://www.thesocialman.com/why-your-conversations-are-falling-flat/>

In Fearless I coined the 60/40 Principle of Human Reaction which states that when interacting with a woman (or anyone, really), 60% of her actions (or reactions) are based on factors that were present before you ever walked into her life and factors that you usually can't do anything about.

What are some of these factors? How's her day been? How outgoing/shy is she? How talented of a conversationalist is she? How many drinks until she feels comfortable interacting with a complete stranger? Does she have a boyfriend/husband? Was her heart recently broken? Does she feel fat in that dress? Was her boss a dick today? Is she having a bad hair day? You get the point. You don't tend to do this with people you're not attracted to, but throw a pretty girl in front of you and all of the sudden you act like her actions are saying a lot more about you than they do about her.

Example:

If a woman you're not attracted to isn't responding much in the conversation, you may write her off as being boring. A woman you find attractive isn't responding much, all of a sudden you're not interesting enough, you didn't say the right thing or ask the right question, there was something you could have done differently that would have gotten a different reaction, etc. All of a sudden the burden of the conversation's success or failure shifts squarely to your shoulders, and you even go as far as justifying her less-than-glorious behavior as being acceptable or even positive because of the way she looks.

Zanford • 8 points • 21 January, 2015 01:26 AM

The minimum wage job comparison is illuminating. Women are offended by the beta's overture b/c it scares them into worrying their SMV is low enough he might be rational for thinking he had a chance. 99.9% of the time it is this. 0.1% of the time it is b/c they are actually worried about their physical safety.

Louis CK's "Louie" show did this in reverse...a fat chick tells Louis that dumpy guys like him avoid flirting with fat chicks, but hot guys don't mind, b/c they are not worried anyone will think they are actually on the same level.

RPthrowaway123 • 6 points • 21 January, 2015 03:44 PM

This is the most perfect confirmation of a red pill truth. And the women on askwomen are nasty hags so imagine what the actually attractive women think.

Keep working on yourselves gentlemen, because women are ruthless.

ETH_Zurich20 points • 20 January, 2015 10:08 PM [recovered]

I remember when I was in grade school and tried to talk to this crush I had for a while. At the beginning, I was nervous but eventually went for it. I approached her and gave her a light touch. Before I could open my mouth, she said "It makes me sick to my stomach when you touch me." This concept was never really new to me, but I was very, very naive and BP. It's like other people saw the world, adapted and moved on.

In the grand scheme of things, it's not that important because chikky now seems to be on NutriFast and munching on Grapenuts since she evidently lacks any self control.

Dragonsword • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 06:39 PM

You should hit on her again and report back the results.

redbluepillling • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 12:05 PM

Childhood scars running deep I see.

BorMato • 12 points • 20 January, 2015 10:02 PM

Do you guys feel that the value of compliments from women shift depending on how attractive the woman is? Just curious.

Personally I don't. To do otherwise is to pedestalize women in my opinion.

DevilishRogue • 23 points • 20 January, 2015 10:12 PM

It's about the sincerity of the compliment, not the attractiveness of the woman giving it.

ManRAh • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 12:34 AM

Unless a woman is giving off a really odd vibe, I generally take them all at roughly the same level. Although I would say there are distinct differences between women who compliment for something in return, women who compliment just to be genuinely nice (platonic), and those who compliment as a display of interest. Generally equally flattering though.

4_YRT12 points • 20 January, 2015 10:30 PM [recovered]

The only complements that I internalize are from married woman that I'm otherwise not attracted to. Because they have no reason to complement me unless it's true. If a single, attractive woman complements me (outside of a situation where I'm flirting with her), I just assume she's trying to get something from me.

kick6 • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 06:47 PM

I take the exact opposite approach: I completely discount compliments from married women as they're "safe" from the sexual market should you take their compliment as an IOI; they have a built-in soft rejection.

justmanthings • 9 points • 20 January, 2015 11:20 PM

No. Hot women almost never compliment, and when they do compliment it's usually from a perspective of condescension.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 01:04 AM

It depends on the compliment.

If some hambeast says they like the shirt I'm wearing, then sure, that's just as good as a supermodel telling me that. However, that hambeast complimenting the curvature of my ass in my jeans has a significantly different guttural reaction in me than the supermodel. Anything that makes me picture this girl/dude putting their mouth on my body is going to cause an emotional reaction in me proportional to the extent I want that mouth on my body.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 01:35 AM

I assume when women complement me they're pursuing an agenda. It's been my experience, anyway.

greystofblue • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 02:57 AM

Attractive girl gives compliment: Either I think she's humoring, patronizing me, or setting up to manipulate me.

Unattractive women gives compliment: She's hitting on me. Kind of feel bad because I want to be nice a reciprocate, but don't want to lead her on.

Kyfhomyoba • 1 point • 25 January, 2015 09:05 PM

I always take the nature and content of the complement into consideration. For example, if someone complements me on my vocabulary or some other aspect of intelligence or education, I accept it as genuine, as many others have given similar complements. If a woman tells me I have a nice ass, I'm immediately suspicious. (White guy, mom was on large doses of Noasatol during pregnancy). Given the dual nature of female sexual strategy, a man would be wise to view all interest from women with at least some suspicion.

DumpyLips • 7 points • 21 January, 2015 02:49 AM

this should put the war on "street harassment" into perspective.

[deleted] • 6 points • 21 January, 2015 02:23 AM*

hmm, i dont see how that relates to nice guys (i get your point, and partially agree with you though)

the women are going on about "attractive"-ness. not nice-ness. if you're rich/handsome and a social disaster you're a cute nerd. if you're rich but not handsome she'll convince herself she sees the real you. etc, etc. we know this all too well.

attractive means different things to a woman at the different phases of her life.

young -> alpha big john.

wall -> good guy tom builder

they are more brutal about it than men are though, is what i find. but then again, they would be. nothing wrong with that too i might add! a good dose of truth to the face is good to wake this slumbering couch potato of a male generation that we have these days.

so aye, be attractive, don't be unattractive. lift and improve. always.

drieszz • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 08:41 AM

I told my (now ex-)girlfriend that she was beautiful on occasion, so I didn't overdo it but she didn't really care, but when a random drunk, good looking dude said to me "Your girlfriend's hot!" when my ex was with me, she was so happy and flattered. I hate that bitch

TekkomanKingz • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 06:56 PM

They should put a label on Women: Third-party certification required for maximum enjoyment and pleasure.

FuckyouAvast • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 08:06 PM

Should she not have been happy and flattered? Put yourself in the reverse situation.

drieszz • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 08:16 PM

No, I have no problem with her being flattered with that stranger's compliment, but she didn't care when I did

FuckyouAvast • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 08:53 PM

That's because your compliment is pretty much already known, I mean you were dating her after all. It's a bit ridiculous to think a good looking stranger going out of their way to give her a compliment, (which is also indirectly a compliment to you btw) would give her no more flattery than one she hears from her bf. Do you get more excited when your mom compliments you than a neighbor who goes out of his way to?

McDurdur • 12 points • 21 January, 2015 01:24 AM*

The top comment

No. I always appreciate compliments, but honestly they have more weight when they're coming from attractive people.

Is written by this woman:

<http://i.imgur.com/xZk0WGx.jpg>

Hypergamy much?

Edit: Updated pic: <http://i.imgur.com/ScLSKZ3.jpg>

spicy_fries • 11 points • 21 January, 2015 02:07 AM

but honestly they have more weight

Weight?! She said "weight"?! Bahahahahaha!

TomHicks[] • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 06:03 PM

Aww its deleted. What was it?

McDurdur • 1 point • 22 January, 2015 06:03 AM

Not the same one, but similar: <http://i.imgur.com/ScLSKZ3.jpg>

darkrood • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 03:26 AM

Holy, how did you get that pic?

sehrah • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 03:55 AM

I bet it's right there in her post history. The nerve of her, having opinions with that disgusting face!

sehrah • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 03:59 AM*

Ugh what a bitch. Because *clearly* that one comment can be extrapolated to mean "I appreciate comments

more from attractive people, therefore I am preoccupied with finding the most attractive (and richest, and most hung) man to spermjack marry"

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 05:21 AM

Chill out son. Why get mad at normal human nature? Hell even I'd appreciate it more if a bombshell model appreciated my muscles vs some fat old hag

[deleted] 20 January, 2015 11:09 PM

[permanently deleted]

khxddd • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 12:20 AM

Can confirm. I matched with a woman whose first photo hid her obesity on Tinder, and felt repulsed when she messaged me. I wasn't able to have a neutral reaction (didn't take it out on her, of course).

[deleted] 21 January, 2015 12:52 AM

[permanently deleted]

cover20 • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 01:29 AM

You do? I didn't. There was nothing wrong with her asking, even though it put me in an awkward spot.

jbreindlinger6152 • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 04:03 AM

its fine because at like 35 they are gonna be alone and divorced and some of these beta males will have money, women are twats just gotta deal with it

SolidFisher • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 06:48 AM

That's it. I'm gonna stop shaving, always have a beer can on hand and start speaking my mind... unfiltered and all that shit.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 11:58 AM

thats the way to go in any case... I dont see why you didn't do it before

cock_pussy_up • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 12:25 PM

Women are insulted when men who they think are beneath them show interest in them. This is because they judge their own SMV by the types of men who show interest.

They don't realize that a lot of men will try to sleep with all kinds of different women, from ugly to attractive. Men will go for women who are "above their league" and women who are "below their league".

So some women will get approached by men who are out of their league and it'll make them think their SMV is higher than it really is. Or they'll be approached by unattractive men and it'll hurt their self-esteem because they then feel unattractive.

cock_pussy_up • 4 points • 21 January, 2015 12:32 PM

She may marry you, but that don't mean she likes you.

The equivalent for women is "he may fuck you, but that don't mean he likes you."

MyNewAccount9 • 4 points • 21 January, 2015 05:29 PM

Also, note how fucking heartless they're being toward the OP. Forget about content: They are not just speaking truth, they are saying it in a cruel way to a really nice guy who asked them for help.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 07:07 PM

Interesting how in both examples the women relate it to an unwanted job offer. Really drives in the marketplace analogies we use here regarding SMV

sterlinghtsmi • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 02:10 AM

A great line to say to an attractive older woman, say in her mid 30's or so, who has that shit attitude of, "I'm hot and I know it" is, " I would love to date you.....if you were 10yrs younger" or "you're so pretty.....not like you were a few years ago but still, not bad for an older gal" Just be sure to duck if she swings.

Kyfhomyoba • 1 point • 25 January, 2015 08:44 PM

And if she's in her early 20s, go with "... too bad you're so immature/cunty/narcissistic/such a princess/etc."

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 04:37 AM

tbh this really does go with both genders. When an ugly girl flirts with me there is a pang of disgust as well, but I treat them nicely enough.

Forty_Duce • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 03:39 PM

I take it in stride. Attractive or ugly, women are women. So even if a woman I am not attractive to, and there is no way I'm going to let anything escalate past that moment, I'll still go with the conversation. My conversation skills aren't the greatest so I just see it as another opportunity to enhance my skills. Afterwards, I go about my day and life.

GregariousWolf • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 04:08 PM

Handle it with aplomb and take comfort in this piece of knowledge: if women below your league are approaching you, the you are doing something right. You are sending the right signals out to all women. You should be able to pull in your league and with a little work punch out of your current bracket into a higher one.

[deleted] • -1 points • 21 January, 2015 05:22 AM

the men civilize the women not the other way round

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 05:27 AM

i'm not following how what you just said fits into the context of what I said.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 05:35 AM

you treat them nice enough but you don't go kicking and screaming sexual harassment which is what women do if the male is below her expectations, I am saying you are an example of how men are the civility and not the women.

[deleted] • 7 points • 21 January, 2015 01:06 AM

And we're not repulsed by horrendously unattractive women hitting on us?

Having a fat black chick hit on me on okcupid is like getting a job offer for cleaning toilets at McDonald's when I have an engineering degree.

Work on yourself so the mere act of talking to a woman does not bring her into existential despair. Work on yourself so your okcupid inbox doesn't reinforce how much you suck.

cover20 • 8 points • 21 January, 2015 01:28 AM

No I am not actively repelled. I remember a fat girl wanted to go out with me in high school.

I didn't want to, but I didn't know how to tell her "no" and spare her feelings either, especially because I suspected she would not get many chances with other boys either.

I flaked on the date as I recall. But I just felt stuck, it's not that I disliked her or anything.

graduallywinning4 points 21 January, 2015 04:05 AM [recovered]

Now have that happen to you once a week for 5 years and we'll see how accommodating you are.

GenericHusband • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 11:46 AM

If you're the kind of person that cares if your actions genuinely hurt other people, emotionally or otherwise, I imagine you would still be pretty accommodating, if not more direct.

I don't justify women or give them a pass for being rude, just because they have to "deal" with people wanting to fuck them a lot. Poor them. I don't care if it's your 10,000th rejection that week, if you think you can just shit all over someone's heart and it's ok because you're "tired" of "dealing" with it, go fuck yourself right out of my life, thanks.

cocaine_face • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 02:43 PM

There's only so much time in the day. This is why celebrities come off as douchebags. They just literally don't have the time to deal with humans at a certain level.

GenericHusband • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 08:09 PM

Except they do have the time. Saying they don't have the time is a weak cop out.

For instance, assume someone runs up to Mark Wahlberg on the street and asks for an autograph. In the time it would take him to say "Fuck off, dude." he can say, "I'm sorry, I can't right now."

My point is this: If they have the time to be rude, they had the time to be kind. They simply chose not to.

This whole scenario reminds me of a quote from Warcraft 3. For context: The demon Kil'jaeden is climbing Mount Hyjal, set to destroy the World Tree and the world itself shortly thereafter. The only possible way the races of the world can survive the onslaught is to set a trap for Kil'jaeden, something Malfurion Stormrage sets off to do. By some fantasy logic, according to Tyrande Whisperwind, setting this trap would sap the Night Elf race (Stormrage and Whisperwind are Night Elves) of their powers of nature and their immortality. Setting this trap, successfully saving the world would cause the Night Elves to face their mortality for the first time since they came to exist. Not only that, they would dwindle in strength over time. A difficult choice, to which Malfurion states, "If pride gives us pause, my love, then perhaps we have lived long enough already."

All in all, you are NEVER in such a position, state or status that you cannot act with kindness to someone above or below you by any metric. To pretend that your treatment of others is somehow anything but your responsibility is nonsense.

cock_pussy_up • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 12:21 PM

Personally I don't really care if women I'm not attracted to show interest in me. I only get annoyed if unattractive women are too aggressive and persistent. If an unattractive woman looks at me on the street, I don't really care. If she follows me around for 6 months, and spreads rumors to stop me from getting with other women, then I'll be annoyed.

deadlee_ • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 02:56 AM

I get offended when fat girls hit on me, but I take their number anyway because Jaegermeister.

Kose2kose • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 02:35 PM

Women are so fuckin evil it's disgusting

TekkomanKingz • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 06:54 PM

You know, sometimes I think that too. But you have to think with a cooler head and repeat after me:

" This is apart of evolution"

" For whatever reason, attractiveness (which does not intimidate predators from another species, natural threats such as rock slides or discourage potential aliens from zapping us) is viewed as genetically superior and therefore desirable from an evolutionary standpoint"

" I ask my body to grant me the strength to accept the things I cannot change and the courage to change the things which I can"

thereddespair • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 05:10 PM

Lololol yes, women can be so much more than that.

And there are many kinds. You probably haven't met the psychopaths yet, to consider that as evil.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 January, 2015 11:44 PM

that is awesome, referring to a beta as a minimum wage job. whats even better is that she has no idea how much she let the cat out of the bag

paracog • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 04:12 AM

And this is why the universe in its infinite wisdom, created hitting the wall.

ex_astris_sci • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 06:49 AM

Wait I thought they were referring to unattractive men, not "soft" men. Obviously, the latter are by no means chosen over alphas but I don't think they'd say they are disgusted by them.

DexiAntoniou • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 08:50 AM

I never understood how this is controversial, or a hidden truth. Hell, even I get a bit upset when an ugly chick makes a pass at me. Not the attention I want, I keep myself good looking for more than this.

Can't imagine how maddening it must be for women...

davidmoore0 • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 10:34 AM

This is a very small example. I applaud the poster, but the responses are similar to that of a "we cured cancer!" news article in which everyone doesn't ask for sources.

OilyB • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 05:54 PM

Isn't this just about the problem women - in general - have with saying 'Please leave me alone' out loud? Where men - in general - have less of a problem with it, we're even seldom in that situation!

We think it's a cruel thing to say but we still do, but women will go along, further into the conversation and then get frustrated about how to get out of the situation, externalize the responsibility over the situation and blame lame guys for their own inability to cut a convo with the lame guy short.

"I didn't like being approached by him and he should have *smelled* that.."

dicklord_airplane • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 06:04 PM

ugly, older, masculine, and fat women experience the same thing. we are hardly any different from all other mammalian species.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 06:11 PM

MEN DEEMED "unattractive" BY A BUNCH OF KUNTS ON ASKWOMEN != BETA.

Mark_D_Aardvark • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 07:42 PM

When Women ask me to be just their friend, I have honestly been insulted. Following your analogy, it's sort of like having an amazing degree and work experience, and someone seriously asking you if you want a minimum wage job.

robostanleys • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 01:02 AM

Only what women don't realize is that just because someone will ignore the fact that you only have an associates degree in bullshit, they'll still offer you jobs worth 6 figures that require ph.ds. Then, after they take the job, they get fired after one day. Then when someone comes along with another offer them 40K a year and a 401K they still think they're worth the 6 figure job and have fooled themselves into thinking they have a ph.d. or equivalent. Is this analogy getting stretched enough?

Gold_Mouth • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 12:30 AM

This shit makes me feel terrible because I've seen women treat men this way. I've actually female friends get mad at other guys for saying almost the exact same thing I said, and then when they're called out on it, they turn around and say "Well, it's different when Gold_Mouth says it". I'm not bragging, but everyday I thank God or whoever's running this shit that I pretty much won the genetic lottery. My social skills weren't always the greatest, but fortunately, that can be learned.

[deleted] • 6 points • 21 January, 2015 01:07 AM

Straight up, hotness is the cureall.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 12:28 PM

No homo, but can you dedcribe yourself?

Gold_Mouth • -1 points • 21 January, 2015 02:18 PM

Physically? I'll try.

I'm 5'11", paper bag colored skin. I have dimples, thick lips, and a sharp jawline. My body adds muscle pretty quickly and fat pretty slowly, so I'm a skinny guy with muscle.

I'm also the pretty boy type, if that matters. I get my haircut every weekend, I like to dress nice, shit like

that.

[deleted] 20 January, 2015 11:06 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 4 points • 20 January, 2015 11:25 PM

... Were you born in '78?

Did you feel this way 15 years ago?

emezeekiel • 1 point • 20 January, 2015 11:45 PM

It doesn't matter how hot or ugly you think you are, but whether the guys is uglier than you.

Every1sGotAThrowaway • 0 points • 21 January, 2015 05:50 AM

Damn you guys are dumb. Ugly doesn't equal "beta".

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 10:40 AM

Sounds like asking random women out is win-win.

At worse you insult a bitchy entitled brat.

At best you go out with her.

cocaine_face • 3 points • 21 January, 2015 02:36 PM

Well of course. You didn't think shaming men for this behavior was in *YOUR* benefit, did you?

[deleted] • -1 points • 21 January, 2015 04:33 PM

Your comment doesn't even fit with context. Drop the dope, try again.

All-DayErrDay1 points 20 January, 2015 11:36 PM* [recovered]

I don't understand the logic of people who assume looks don't matter honestly thinking a fat slob who wears disgusting clothes could go out and slay. But what if your face isn't attractive but you're really ripped and well dressed?

Transmigratory • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 09:36 PM

But what if your face isn't attractive but you're really ripped and well dressed?

Depends who you'll ask.

Some here will say that being ripped is enough. Others will say being well dressed and ripped is sufficient enough.

Then you'll have those special few in this sub who'll say you'll always lose to the guy who has all those things plus an attractive face.

This is one of those things where you honestly should decide what's right. Part of taking TRP is knowing no-one, not even this sub, will give you solid answers based on fact. They're view will be just as much opinion as yours.

ioncloud9 • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 12:41 AM

Im about 99% sure the last one would implode or do something like go out in public and scream at the top of her

lungs why nobody is paying attention to her if you were suddenly to take away all the attention and praise she gets in her life. She just couldn't handle it. None of them could.

Overzealous_BlackGuy • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 02:12 AM

I've always found asking women to give the red pill truth, when there's a genuine question that doesn't seem to oppose anything. Ask reddit and twox is a different scenario.

The_Lobster • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 09:27 AM

I feel like we just reached the pinnacle of being the opposite of SRS.

BlackHeart89 • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 02:18 PM*

This is pretty much a given. If you're ugly, don't try to pick up the hot chicks. No surprise there. I think most dudes know that being a "gentlemen" isn't enough. You have to also be attractive.

Now had they said something about how a guy can walk up and basically be rude, but attractive, and still get their number, that would be news. Or if the guy was ugly, but his confidence and game was on point and that caused them to happily give him their number.

EDIT: Similarly, if an ugly chick thought you were attractive, it wouldn't mean anything to you...

Kyfhomyoba • 1 point • 25 January, 2015 08:38 PM

If you're ugly, don't try to pick up the hot chicks.

Unless you're uber confident and have some charm. Then you should go for it.

Game. And. Frame. Are. Everything.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 04:03 PM

Sometimes it's all in how you present the info.

kevkos • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 05:49 PM

A few things.

One, this works both ways. Ever done online dating? Ever see the women who email you? Yes, not a pretty situation. It feels a bit...insulting.

Two, this isn't "all women". This is a certain level of attractive women. 5's and 6's are likely happy if ANYONE compliments them. So this article doesn't apply.

Three, beta/alpha is a sliding scale. Just by approaching a woman, you are sliding towards alpha. Saying these guys are "beta" is missing the point.

TRPsubmitter • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 06:02 PM

This is a powerful redpill principle. I posted a field report awhile back illustrating this: Women are disgusted by Betas and cheat with alphas

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 08:07 PM

I used to feel insulted that fatty's really liked my swag. That was "child" me.

CastratedBetaOrbiter • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 10:58 PM

The truth hurts, but I often see low SMV guys read too much into a girl being "nice" to them as "they're

interested in me! awesome!"

So girls now just shun and outright ridicule low SMV guys. It feeds into their bitch egos

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 April, 2015 04:55 PM

How do you talk to girls? Is this where I can get advice?

[deleted] • 0 points • 20 January, 2015 11:41 PM

Hahahaha

it's sort of like having an amazing degree and work experience, and someone seriously asking you if you want a minimum wage job.

Women *actually* feel that their good looks and sexuality are comparable to actual social value that a man can provide! Sorry, honey, but you're *just* pretty. Nothing more.

[deleted] • 5 points • 21 January, 2015 12:26 AM

Uhm, looks are definitely part of social value.

cover20 • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 01:33 AM

Only if enough men think so and overreact to them.

[deleted] • -3 points • 21 January, 2015 12:35 AM

How so? What do looks do for society?

IllimitableMan • 4 points • 21 January, 2015 01:54 AM*

They show good genetics, people want to breed with people who have good genetics. Attractive people make your brain's reward centre activate. There's something called "The Halo Effect" google it. Of course make-up makes women look like they have better genetics than they really do and immeasurably pumps up female SMV. Women know this and that's why some of them won't even leave the house without it. A girl who is a 5 but turns into an 8 with make-up WILL NOT leave the house without painting herself first. She knows the world would be a lot harsher on her if she went out looking like a 5 rather than an 8.

We judge people a lot on the quality of their skin, make-up makes women seem healthy/supple who actually aren't. This is also why obesity is ugly - it indicates to others you have bad genetics. Attractive people have an easier life, good genes are king. It's an evolutionary survival of the fittest type deal. Only way to override it is to hoard resources/demonstrate extreme accomplishment. That doesn't make it immediately obvious your genes are good, but it is thought if you can accomplish something magnificent, despite bad looks you must have genes that make you good at earning money, or maths, or whatever it is that brought you your success. That assumes you're a man. Women are more forgiving of less than spectacular looks. Women can't really make up for being ugly. Men are obsessed with beauty/fertility/youth in women.

[deleted] • 0 points • 21 January, 2015 03:12 AM*

I understand your argument, but a woman feeling accomplished because she is pretty is absolutely ridiculous. She hasn't *done* anything for society. She only has "good" genes.

Whereas, men actually *do* things to improve society.

I'm not saying women don't do this, but we need to eradicate the women who sit around being

pretty all day. Maybe then a woman can say,

it's sort of like having an amazing degree and work experience, and someone seriously asking you if you want a minimum wage job.

Edit: no, honey, you don't have an amazing degree, you're just pretty.

tallwheel • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 07:42 AM

It *is* pretty ridiculous that women act as if they *earned* their looks and popularity, but there is no getting around the fact that women's value *is* determined by their genes and youth, unlike men's. That's just the way it is, as IllimitableMan explained.

ametalshard • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 10:14 AM

She hasn't done anything for society. She only has "good" genes. Whereas, men actually do things to improve society.

Sorry, a man making money, no matter what he does for that money, no matter how immoral... that's doing things to improve society?

When did this have to be about improving society? It never had anything to do with improving anything. Likewise, many women put a lot of effort into looking good. Diet and exercise, mostly. There are plenty of 10's out there who are actually 5's because they're 60 pounds overweight.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 11:28 AM

She hasn't done anything for society. She only has "good" genes.

Breeding attractive children with big chances to succeed in life is a pretty big thing for society, isn't it? Especially nowadays.

JahRussian • -1 points • 21 January, 2015 06:11 PM

Everyone should downvote op's post.

The subset of people (users of Askreddit) used to back up his points is extremely unrepresentative of the population:

1. Most guys browsing reddit are not "alpha". They are actually "beta". This explains the men's collective low partner count.
2. Most girls browsing reddit are ugly or socially awkward or broken and need to fuck guys to feel worth. This explains their collective high partner count.

Conclusion? Subsets formed from reddit (especially Askreddit) do not represent the population well at all.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 07:21 PM

you are saying that women not caring for compliments from men they find unattractive doesn't represent the general population?

seems pretty accurate to me.

xcallide • 0 points • 21 January, 2015 05:36 PM

for those angry at this... just gotta live with it. you like sexy chicks too, right? not fat ones? same brutality from both sexes. better get attractive and advertise your genes as best as possible. good luck

[deleted] • -5 points • 21 January, 2015 01:54 PM

All they said was that ugly guys hitting on them made them uncomfortable. And like a true red piller, you twist it around to support your views, thus proving once again that the biggest problem with The Red Pill is not the Pill itself, but the pillers.

Forty_Duce • 2 points • 21 January, 2015 03:27 PM

Well no, because most women go around saying the typical, "Well personality matters more than looks," crap. There was nothing twisted. Hell, it confirms what has been said outside of this subreddit. And that's that pretty people are treated better just because.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 03:42 PM

Well yes, because what had been said is that these women don't like being hit on by people they're not interested in physically. Here, this guy makes it into a personality issue saying that girls don't go for nice, doormat, beta guy. It's like saying to a fat girl the reason guys don't like her is that guys don't go for needy girls.

Forty_Duce • 1 point • 21 January, 2015 10:36 PM

Except that guys do go for needy girls because they're easy ass.