

My condolences on your forthcoming ban by Reddit

October 1, 2019 | 880 upvotes | by [ultra-royalist](#)

I saw the new rules and thought, "I see, it's just in time for the election."

Every time the Left -- including corporate sponsors like ShareBlue and Google -- starts losing ground when an election is coming up, Reddit revises its rules to enable it to ban any resistance to the Borg.

Despite all these glorious new rules, organized Leftist brigades continue to operate with impunity, as do the large echo chambers of politics, pics, and news where suspicious sock accounts that may be tied to Reddit admins recycle content and mass-upvote politically important articles. Remember that Reddit has never claimed that the voting here is fair and representative, and has never answered the question when asked how much of the content is still posted by admins.

Now they will ban you just like they banned other subs for no reason, so: I feel your pain. It is not fair and not right. You are doing the smart thing by running your own site, outside the probing fingers of the FAANG companies or their slightly slow little brother, Reddit. The important thing to keep in mind is that despite appearing to be about morality, these bans are not about morality; they are about one side trying to win over the other.

I say this as someone with no real connection to The Red Pill. I dig the general concept, which is that "people are biology" and the best guide to how to interact with other humans comes from *The Discovery Channel*. If you follow this concept, it will lead you to extremist cynics like Fred Nietzsche and Tom Wolfe, who see humans as simply "talking monkeys with car keys."

Poor Reddit. It so badly wants to be cool like Facebook, but here it is, stuck with this old-style internet software and attitudes toward Open Discussion and free expression. Every time the admins implement new rules and cut out more unruly nonconformists such as yourselves, more people leave the site. And not just any people, the interesting ones. Reddit is systematically destroying the one advantage it had over other social media.

You might have noticed that Reddit keeps losing rank on Alexa. Not surprisingly, the nu-Reddit -- censorship, safe spaces, hipsters, SJWs -- is not doing as well as the old. This means that over time this problem will correct itself, but only after something that was once good is vandalized into obscurity, which seems to be Reddit's fate. It is following MySpace into doom by driving away the interesting users, keeping the inoffensive ones, and then wondering why fewer people want to visit.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

TheRedPike[M] [score hidden] 1 October, 2019 08:56 PM stickied comment

There were a number of posts that were well meaning and appreciate it but didn't meet the requirements for a post around here. Since it looks like we are staying for now, I removed them but did not lock.

I'm going to leave this one up because it has the most information already and can be a catch all for this discussion.

DYELFORCEONE 1 points 1 October, 2019 02:34 PM **[recovered]**

Isn't reddit open source, why not just copy

cupshadow • 88 points • 1 October, 2019 03:55 PM

This is an issue of brand, not website technology.

doobwashere • -20 points • 1 October, 2019 08:44 PM

i prefer www.theredpill.com ... **BEST SITE EVER!**

EggOfDelusion • 40 points • 1 October, 2019 06:27 PM

You'll never get an app approved for it by apple or google, so you lose on day one. Then no payment processors, ads or DDoS protection will be allowed. So easy!

[deleted] • 10 points • 1 October, 2019 09:27 PM

This is the solution:

<https://youtu.be/R1ccwyP6fjc>

Decentralized internet, and everyone needs to do their part. Like, all the same faggoty propaganda they use for climate change but for reals. As OP seems to suggest, these companies are amoral power grabbers posing as liberal moralists. It won't be good for anyone if we don't fight back, and doing so with regulation almost gives them more power in a sense. We need to defeat them with alternatives and real competition

Standgrounding • 8 points • 1 October, 2019 10:14 PM

So, like Old reddit. Or better yet, 4chan, which has basically no rules.

Affini7y • 1 point • 5 October, 2019 03:26 AM

Except the average viewpoint of 4chan's is just a tad different than trp's.

radixaf • 7 points • 1 October, 2019 09:43 PM

Red pill engineer here, climate change isn't propoganda. Read the technical IPCC report from 2013, I would recommend reading the technical summary. Im not a scientist but it's sound to my eyes and it's peer reviewed and all that. Please let me know if you find any systematic, human, or random errors in their data which is all available online via the databases tab in the link below

<https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/>

wanderer779 • 5 points • 3 October, 2019 04:05 PM

Caveat: I know next to nothing about this and don't have a strong opinion one way or another. But one objection I've seen is that the climate scientists have picked a convenient start time for their measurement of sea ice, and that prior to the 70s the amount of sea ice was actually lower than it is now. It appears they're doing the same in this report: " Arctic sea ice extent (annual, multi-year and perennial) decreased over the period 1979–2012 "

I don't have time to read this report but that seems like a red flag for me. Another bigger red flag is that when you bring up objections like this, instead of calmly explaining where I'm off track, people will attack you. If I was in physics class and I questioned something, my teacher would be able to explain why I was wrong. And we would both enjoy the process.

radixaf • 3 points • 3 October, 2019 07:18 PM

Noted. I will process what you said and assimilate it into my next approach. I thank you for your courtesy and honesty. My only ask is in the future if you're interested look into it and maybe you'll find answers to your questions. I'm not the best source to be speaking to about this, I'm not a scientist, I'm an engineer who designs pipe networks and roadways, I'm familiar with the principles but not the application of climate science.

wanderer779 • 3 points • 3 October, 2019 07:42 PM

The trouble is I only have so much time to spend. I do a little googling from time to time.

It is hard to find someone who will calmly reply to the objections. Someone posted a report by some other scientists countering the accepted view that this is a big, manmade problem. If I could find it I'd post it.

I'm a dude with kids who is somewhat worried but also skeptical cause I know there's a lot of money and power at stake and I know how people act when you have that dynamic. And the way the dialogue is being conducted, on this and many other issues, is pretty suspicious to me.

radixaf • 3 points • 4 October, 2019 02:52 AM

I understand. I have kids myself and if it wasn't for college and my background I'd be in the same boat. The news and media is terrible at informing people

lala_xyz • 11 points • 1 October, 2019 11:01 PM

but the propaganda is real, like the "global warming" stuff that we've been fed with until they realized it's stupid because winters are getting harsher, and now the meaningless "climate change" is the new catch phrase. We get it, anthropogenic climate change is real, but its effects are far overblown and it's being used to push a carbon credit system that the globalists will use to control population. they can all fuck off

radixaf • 5 points • 2 October, 2019 02:48 AM

I only ask, please read the report. It's not propoganda when it's true! Guys! I'm an engineer who works in construction in Dallas Texas, I make no money saying this. Don't politicize science and please just read the technical summary. Draw your own conclusions instead of regurgitating talking points. The only thing that's true is we don't know how exactly bad it's going to be bc how do you build a model for something that hasn't happened before you can only make predictions

lala_xyz • 8 points • 2 October, 2019 08:48 AM

From your study:

In some aspects of the climate system, including changes in drought, changes in tropical cyclone activity, Antarctic warming, Antarctic sea ice extent, and Antarctic mass balance, confidence in attribution to human influence remains low due to modelling uncertainties and low agreement between scientific studies.

There you go.

lala_xyz • 9 points • 2 October, 2019 09:26 AM

OK I've skimmed the entire technical report. To make things clear: I don't think those are *that* important issues. We will adapt. Clean water can be easily obtained from the sea and atmosphere. If need be, CO2 can be reduced using carbon capture. Year ago I crunched some numbers and it took like 0,1% of world GDP to cut global CO2 emissions by something like 30%. Personally I think that 10 times more pressing issues are the extinction of various species (particularly macrofauna) and the pollution (primarily plastics). Climate will become a pressing issue when it starts visibly hurting everyone. Until that, most won't care. That is the sad truth. And the proposed "fixes" for climate change that we read in the media like not eating meat, not having children (but only if you're in the West), extra taxes on already heavily taxed gasoline, carbon credits, that autistic Swedish kid appealing to emotions etc - none of these are viable solutions and are just being pushed to manipulate and control.

radixaf • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 11:16 AM

I agree, but I just get triggered when someone says climate change is "faggy propoganda". And to your other points I agree, it'll have to get worse before people care, like more extreme weather. Also, the media likes to take the most extreme possibilities and state them like fact. Bc ratings are so important nowadays, "free news" is basically the worse news. ...Thank you for skimming the report

macktheknive • 3 points • 2 October, 2019 04:35 PM

You get 'triggered'?

Think about how easy it is to manipulate people like that.

radixaf • 0 points • 2 October, 2019 10:37 PM

We all have moments of weakness.

throwaway_ind_div • 2 points • 2 October, 2019 04:29 AM

I would suggest visiting India from April to June next year and going to interior rural areas to see for yourself.

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 October, 2019 11:56 PM

Yes, what you said.

Here of all places I'd think I wouldn't get pounced on for that. Climate change propaganda = propaganda. None of the predictions are meaningful and all of the solutions require harsh austerity measures and trillions of dollars. It's fucking retarded

radixaf • 2 points • 2 October, 2019 02:58 AM

You're not wrong but you're not right. We don't know how bad it's going to get, but we don't know how much we can stop the change either. What you're suggesting is running an experiment, is very very dangerous. Please read the report a, it's all open data if you can find an error you will be doing the world a service

BrownGummyBear • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 11:53 PM

saying the Earth is a sphere is obviously propaganda as well! ##FlatEarth

afterthe_fapocalypse • 6 points • 2 October, 2019 12:21 AM

the issue isn't whether the weather is changing, but whether civilization is responsible for it. course-correction at this stage will mitigate by fraction of degrees centigrade. it's unrealistic, and for the money poured into it to enrich otherwise lazy bureaucrats ... it's stupid. 40 years ago we were going to experience an ice age imminently. now it's all fire.

climate change is real. but so is the propaganda. and I'm not a fan of paying more taxes or hurting the business class here just so some fat suited fucks somewhere can get funding for nothing.

radixaf • 3 points • 2 October, 2019 02:55 AM*

False. Up until 2010 we didn't know for certain if the increase in the average global surface temperature was caused by humans. After that we did bc the IPCC was able to complete a 30 year study to determine the source of CO2 in the atmosphere through tracer molecules. For instance if CO2 was put in the air through a volcanic eruption it would have trace molecules containing sulfur isotope every so parts per billion (ppb). If the co2 was produced through internal combustion engines it would have a different isotope of sulfur every so ppb. Take enough samples around the globe and you're able to deduce with a gaussian distribution the probability to 99.73% the sources of CO2, which is either in the 2010 or 2009 IPCC study.

Edit: to your other point about the predictions the world was going to get colder, that's true! But the reason the IPCC was created back then was bc no one was sure what was going to happen. There was a theory that bc of the wide spread use of aerosols in production and consumer use it would cause a cooling effect, then there was the global warming theory which goes back all the way to the late 1800s with the industrial revolution.

afterthe_fapocalypse • 2 points • 3 October, 2019 02:40 AM*

you're saying the p-value was what? you can talk probability all day but that only works if A: your assumptions were correct, and B: you didn't overfit your data. That probability you just furnished is like an R-squared of 1. It fits your training data well, but won't count for shit in the future because of high variance/flexibility. You've mapped the data well, but you cannot forecast with that low a bias. The climate is incredibly complex. People who think they can map it and simulate it are ... ignorant of how data actually works. There is a classic trade-off between variance and squared bias, not dissimilar from Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle in quantum physics. You have to go somewhere more middle of the road.

And maybe I should throw in a part C there about how your IPCC study conveniently (to borrow a word from Gore) ignores 1940-1970 when we were in a period of global cooling, and periods before industrialization where we were warming, and then periods before that when it was an ice age, and etc. etc. because temperatures FLUCTUATE naturally.

Anything anthropogenic is a drop in the bucket and only alarmists who want tax dollars will feed you with convenient 'data' like the sham study you exhumed from the trash heap. which I've already mentioned, and which your study doesn't take into consideration. ergo, propaganda. your sword tip is dulled.

radixaf • 1 point • 3 October, 2019 03:06 AM

You're reacting with malice bc you're spitting the same talking points I've heard Ben shapiro spout, who by the way is funded by oil money out of West Texas. The certainty I stated isn't in future predictions bc like I said you can't know how accurate your predictions are for something that has never occurred on this planet before. The certainty comes from chemistry and the knowledge that the CO₂ added into the atmosphere is with a 99% accuracy man made. To your second point the cooling period was not ignored and was taken into account. Maybe not in that years IPCC, report but it was studied and recorded in the 80s. The temperature got cooler bc of aerosols containing sulfates which have the effect of scattering light from the sun lowering the energy entering the system (earth). In an earlier comment I went into detail about this cooling theory, which is an actual thing. But unfortunately those same aerosols also rained a molecule with the nickname CFC which also destroy O₃ (Ozone). The widespread use of these aerosols had a short term cooling but long term increase in UV rays. There's still a hole in the ozone above Australia, don't ever visit Australia without sunscreen...the Earth's climate is complicated and there's a lot to digest. The 2013 report, if you read the technical summary, goes into great detail on the changes we will probably see and explains the methods used to determine this. Please read it.

afterthe_fapocalypse • 3 points • 3 October, 2019 03:58 AM

malice? now why did you go there? is that how your family talks? Is that how think you're going to set frame? the only malice here is in your imagination. if you want a discussion I suggest you stop playing Dr. Phil or I'll walk away. I don't have time to deal with armchair psychologists who theorize others' moods on reddit. that's part of the propaganda, little ad hominem skirmishes like that intended to derail discussions when your fact ammo is running out.

a central piece of the propaganda surrounding this gradual shift in temperatures revolves around prediction. it's humble bragging to downshift by telling me that predictions don't matter, when they totally do and are central to the meaningfulness of the data. if you can't predict, what's the point of analysis? And finally, if you can't predict, then why the fuck are you overfitting your data? When a system is complex and there are a lot of unknown unknowns, THIS IS PRECISELY WHY YOU AVOID AN R-SQUARED OF 1. It ruins your predictive value and yields uninterpretable results. any data scientist knows that when you get results like this, they're not real. they're not useful. you're using too many parameters, or something else is off.

The problem with overfitting also is that you confuse correlation with causation. You can't tell the difference, because you've lost your anchor. And finally, it leads to unfalsifiability. If the climate cools, then the business-class haters will just say we took steps in time and need to keep raising taxes. If it warms, they say oh well we told you so, and then they'll try to raise taxes again. they'll run another study

when they're precious test data destroys the validity of their assumptions in the training data, and then come forward proudly with a re-vamped R-squared back to one. you can't win against these people. they're liars. they don't do science. they don't listen. and they all think that just because research is funded by business interests that it's biased. that's like saying that academic researchers because they're funded by government are biased toward the administration's agenda. Calumny is a revolving door.

you still haven't answered my question about the p-value.

Finally, I don't know if you made this point, but aerosol pollution from volcanic activity is far more contributory to cooling (James Hansen) than the spray bottles that The Donald uses in his bathroom every morning.

party_dragon • 5 points • 1 October, 2019 07:24 PM

I've never used the app. Not even the mobile website. Both are overrated...

EggOfDelusion • 41 points • 1 October, 2019 07:29 PM

Aren't you a special snowflake. I guess you should let these companies know building an app is pointless then, since you don't use it.

The target audience does use the app and it's immensely popular. Not having an app for a social media website is death. It improves engagement significantly when you can push notifications.

it-is-on • -10 points • 1 October, 2019 08:29 PM*

the target audience would be whoever is interested in the content and cares more for free speech than an app. if you need an app, stay with snapinstabook; who cares?

i feel like you are the special snowflake here

ThrowayButNvrForgten • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 12:20 PM

Im throwing this idea out there. I know someone will figure out how to make an app VPN to let apps not allowed by google publish. I hope that person monetized it.

DantesInferno91 • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 08:44 PM

You don't HAVE to be approved by google though. You can install android apps freely

666Evo • 2 points • 2 October, 2019 02:23 AM

You don't HAVE to be approved by google though

You do if you want the vast, vast majority of people with Android phones to use your app.

I_Dont_Type • 11 points • 1 October, 2019 06:06 PM

To function, reddit or a reddit-like absolutely *needs* a large audience of posters and content creators. It's very tough to get the ball rolling. It's the reason why the move to voat failed several years ago- voat didn't have enough content so people never truly swapped.

rorrr • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 06:11 PM

You can't copy all the traffic.

bakamoney • 8 points • 1 October, 2019 04:07 PM

Same weakness here would be exploited on the "mirror"

LateralThinker13 • 39 points • 1 October, 2019 04:31 PM

The weakness isn't hardware/software, it's wetware (the humans). The humans running the place allow biased and opaque rulings from mods/devs, blatant discrimination, etc. in their treatment of different subs. That's the cancer.

patrixxx • 14 points • 1 October, 2019 05:00 PM

The cancer is that Reddit is owned by the Nutwork as practically all media and media is almost exclusively propaganda and they've been lying about everything. Cluesforum.info

bakamoney • 8 points • 1 October, 2019 05:39 PM

Yup. But still the up/down vote system .

The way "new" "rising" and "hot" works are easily gamed though.

TFWnoLTR • 7 points • 1 October, 2019 06:08 PM

They do it because the advertisers tell them to. They're tailoring an audience to increase its value to those looking to exploit the userbase for advertisements and market research.

It's not some hidden agenda or secret conspiracy. They're straight up and open about it.

The sort of demographics that make up communities like TRP are too difficult to appeal to with advertisements directed at a more general audience, and the true but unpopular narrative common here can harm the reddit brand if it ever got amplified again by social media warriors looking for something to vilify.

Now is a perfect time to quietly delete this sub because it hasn't been in any spotlight for a while. We all knew this was coming when reddit explicitly announced their intentions of sanitizing this site for advertisers.

frankzanzibar • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 06:35 PM

It's not open source anymore, but that's not really the issue. There lots of ways to duplicate the functions of Reddit, it's not rocket science. There are issues around scale: when Voat launched after Reddit began cracking down on dissident views, it got an enormous boost in visitor volumes it couldn't handle.

Basically you'd need something that could start small and grow steadily, without being taken over by leftists. We'll see what happens with Thinkspot, it might work.

Sendmeloveletters • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 06:26 PM

That's a solid idea actually how do I get the code for a Reddit on my server?

Such_Individual 1 points 1 October, 2019 04:45 PM **[recovered]**

Reddit is going the way of Digg.com. It is selling out. Absolute free speech is not profitable so they are turning reddit into a propaganda machine for Corporatocracy.

[deleted] • 24 points • 1 October, 2019 05:58 PM

They want people to buy into collectivism & socialism. They only way they can do it is make it seem like only the government can solve society's problems and that the free market is to blame. And unfortunately,

despite literal historical evidence of the failings of socialism, people eat that bullshit up because they cant emotionally deattach themselves from the empty promises of free shit. It appeals to the Sloth in everybody, overriding the logical skeptic that is not valued in todays society.

Such_Individual 1 points 1 October, 2019 08:40 PM [recovered]

Corporations are the opposite of socialism. Corporations are tools of capitalism. What you should be mad about is any authority that says "this is bad for you, you can't consume or produce that product/media" What we are witnessing here is authoritarian capitalism which says "You can compete with each other and have free speech, but only within these boundaries."

PURE_ARYAN_GENETICS • 8 points • 1 October, 2019 11:46 PM

yet it is the corporations that push SJW ideals the hardest. sure, they don't want full blown communism(I think), but they want a *dependent* consumer base

57ashdot 1 points 2 October, 2019 12:06 PM* [recovered]

And the only way they can defend themselves without having to actually compete is to utilize the power of government overreach. All those regulations that get passed? Big companies love that shit. It guarantees that startups will never get off the ground, because the insane amount of money required to comply with testing, licensing, fees, etc. That is pocket change to mega corps.

Such_Individual 1 points 2 October, 2019 07:42 PM [recovered]

the insane amount of money required to comply with testing, licensing, fees, etc. That is pocket change to mega corps. It eliminates the need to innovate and compete, a fundamental cornerstone of the free market.

Under capitalism winners get decided and the winners keep growing more powerful in a feedback loop. It's inevitable. You can't just have constant competition because the world is limited and scarce, it's inefficient use of time and resources to have so many businesses competing with each other. For large companies with large operations and scale, sometimes there can be only one winner because no one else can do it. Often the illusion of competition is in capitalism when in reality there are very few corporations that control the market like the media and the food industry.

57ashdot 1 points 2 October, 2019 12:07 PM [recovered]

Authoritairan capitalism is an oxymoron. Only the government retains the right to use physical force against people without reproccussion.

xjx545 • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 10:26 PM

Corporations are the opposite of socialism. Corporations are tools of capitalism.

They are the same thing with different names. A corporation which has no competition, an infinite amount of VC and no accountability is not going to respond to the free market the same as a company which has to deliver a product to customers.

Chaddeus_Rex • 1 point • 9 October, 2019 02:01 PM

espite literal historical evidence of the failings of socialism

to be fair socialism was tried for the first time in human history a few times in the last hundreded years.

Capitalism has been the default since the dawn of civilization and failed every time - just look at all the failed empires in the trashbin of history.

57ashdot 1 points 10 October, 2019 02:47 PM [recovered]

You are confusing economy planning with governing method. Capitalism allowed mass empires to rise. It's only when the government became an issue that they collapsed under the strain they imposed on the people.

Chaddeus_Rex • 1 point • 12 October, 2019 06:51 PM

If that's the case, how did communist empires rise? Or Empires like Sparta that did not have a capitalist system and were more of a military dictatorship/communist hybrid?

Onein1024th • 7 points • 1 October, 2019 08:10 PM

Absolute free speech is not profitable

funny thing is... it could be. there's a hunger for it in the consumers

bsutansalt • 3 points • 2 October, 2019 01:10 PM

Sadly there isn't an alternative that's pretty enough to use and people are lazy. TRP.RED should hopefully change that once the growing pains are overcome.

commander_zoidberg • 4 points • 1 October, 2019 09:59 PM

Unlike the days of Digg or LiveJournal or MySpace I think they will be able to keep it going. Look at all these silicon valley tech companies that lose millions of dollars every year and have never made any profit. Those that do like Alphabet and Facebook mainly do so off the back of advertising and Amazon are predatory monopolists, Apple makes shit with Chinese slave labour and sell it for 10X what it's worth. Most of the advertising these companies are doing is fraudulent. A lot of it is probably driven by clickfarming operations. Many of these companies' sole asset is their users but with platforms like Twitter most of their users will be sock accounts at this rate.

They have something that wasn't around 15 years ago. Zero percent interest rates or negative rates (pretty soon). There is just endless free money to keep all these zombie companies going because at this rate they will take over every aspect of our lives.

Today you get banned from Facebook for having the wrong opinion. Tomorrow your thermostat won't work, your car will refuse to drive you. Your fridge will refuse to cool your milk and Amazon will disable your door lock because you have the wrong opinions.

alsuqyadiq • 114 points • 1 October, 2019 02:11 PM

Thank u internet stranger for this self important post, bury me at wounded knee

420KUSHBUSH • 19 points • 1 October, 2019 03:59 PM

I will pour one out for you and the rest, may you all rest easy

[deleted] 1 points 1 October, 2019 07:56 PM

[permanently deleted]

TheRedPike • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 01:27 AM

Do me a favor and send me a "hi, theredpike told me to do this" in modmail. I'll explain later.

GearaltofRivia • 18 points • 1 October, 2019 03:33 PM

Nietzsche is far from a cynic but otherwise good post

pras[] • 44 points • 1 October, 2019 03:32 PM

Let's start an alternative to Reddit, using reddit software.

420KUSHBUSH • 118 points • 1 October, 2019 04:00 PM

"Let's make our own Reddit with blackjack and hookers"

The_Best_01 • 14 points • 1 October, 2019 05:30 PM

In fact, forget the reddit!

PickUpScientist • 7 points • 1 October, 2019 05:44 PM

and coke. don't forget the mounds of coke.

420KUSHBUSH • 11 points • 1 October, 2019 05:46 PM

I do not condone the use of illicit substances, I'll just take the blackjack thank you

sipsofsake 1 points 1 October, 2019 06:43 PM **[recovered]**

You don't have to condone using illicit substances to use them, people spend a lot of time doing things they say not to. Haha.

_Icarus_Reborn_ • 8 points • 1 October, 2019 06:55 PM

I think he's messing.

Check the username.

420KUSHBUSH • -1 points • 1 October, 2019 09:10 PM

I made this username since I wanted something edgy and ironic. Have never smoked and don't want to

420KUSHBUSH • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 09:11 PM

True that

Chaddeus_Rex • 3 points • 9 October, 2019 02:04 PM

blackjack and hookers

If that actually became reality the userbase would explode.

Onein1024th • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 08:09 PM

"Let's make our own Reddit with blackjack and

hotties.

anyone who's been here awhile shouldn't be paying for something they can get for free

ReformSociety • 14 points • 1 October, 2019 11:25 PM

Just enjoy the joke, Chad McChad.

Radioactivebun 1 points 1 October, 2019 05:16 PM **[recovered]**

The problem is finding a domain service that'll host you once they realize you're a "Nazi". The free market ISNT providing, is the problem.

CryptoViceroy • 9 points • 1 October, 2019 08:14 PM

Yeah just look what happened to Gab.

PickUpScientist • 14 points • 1 October, 2019 05:46 PM

amazon web services. jeff bezos don't give a fuck.

MoDuReddit • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 06:08 PM

Look into blockchain and torrent-based tech. It's the best we got against the tech oligopoly.

CryptoViceroy • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 08:16 PM

Yeah ZeroNet is a fantastic P2P network.

PURE_ARYAN_GENETICS • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 11:41 PM

try services in countries with far-right governments like poland, russia or hungary

Radioactivebun 1 points 2 October, 2019 06:31 PM **[recovered]**

"Far-right." You mean normal?

PURE_ARYAN_GENETICS • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 09:34 PM

what is far right now was far left a hundred years ago

DEVomay97 • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 06:01 PM

Raddle

SouloftheVoid • 2 points • 2 October, 2019 03:51 PM

TOR hidden services will likely be the last refuge.

porn-chicken • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 07:57 PM

You, like every other person that said the same, will realise that it's not the tech, it's the users.

Like in physics, a large mass pulls smaller objects towards it. It's the same here. Reddit just has too large a userbase to cause a significant shift. Unless a 2 or 3 major front-page subs perform a mass exodus, it'll never change.

There's 100's of Reddit clones and these platforms never truly take off because it's small niches that migrate. Raddle and Voat were the two significant ones and they failed horrendously because it was where scorned leftist and right-wing Redditors made their new homes. It turns out, you need more than just one subreddit's worth of users to make a platform attractive.

Reddit won't die, but it'll fade into irrelevance when the next generation come up with their own thing. Personally, I'd rather see single topic forums come back around.

commander_zoidberg • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 09:48 PM

I miss the old days of the forums. It is unfortunately why i still have a Facebook account because several of my hobbies the groups for them are pretty much on Facebook only. The forums died years ago or are basically dead.

Imperator_Red • 80 points • 1 October, 2019 02:14 PM

I say this as someone with no real connection to The Red Pill.

Thanks for your support, but maybe you should join us. We offer nothing but truth and opposition to monstrous tyranny.

MedicalNothing 1 points 1 October, 2019 02:22 PM* **[recovered]**

This, redpill is the ultimate selfimprovement no matter what and how much you take from it. Even proper eating alone ditching soy, processed food and over/undereating will put you above mediocrity.

ultra-royalist[S] • 33 points • 1 October, 2019 02:29 PM

Understanding how sexual signaling -- I got this from Tom Wolfe -- underlies most human behavior is also highly useful, *especially* in the workplace and politics.

Sendmeloveletters • 10 points • 1 October, 2019 06:26 PM

I'm gonna follow this thread. Where do you recommend I start reading with him?

ultra-royalist[S] • 14 points • 1 October, 2019 07:13 PM

He is primarily an essayist, but also writes fiction that takes some patience to understand but is worth discovering. For that reason I would recommend two things:

1. "The Painted Word," perhaps his most famous essay (excerpt)
2. *I Am Charlotte Simmons*, in my view his best book

flipdoggers • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 05:45 PM*

Nothing wrong with soy (actually pretty healthy) except in exorbitant amounts, which no one would consume in practice. Based on the research I've seen at least. It contains phytoestrogens which aren't the same as estrogen.

Edit: lol at the ppl downvoting even though I posted evidence below. Open to counter-evidence. But don't downvote just because you wanna keep calling people "soyboys" without knowing you're likely wrong.

MoDuReddit • 10 points • 1 October, 2019 06:06 PM

It contains phytoestrogens which aren't the same as estrogen.

Yeah, but our cells react as if they **were** estrogens.

It is also true that you can ealthily eat soy, but as a man, you can't your protein from soy, otherwise you really do become a soy boy. I suggest tuna and chick pea.

The same reason women are very sensitive to small doses of testosterone, men are very sensitive to small estrogen-like receptors.

CryptoViceroy • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 08:12 PM

Also worth remembering that soy isn't the only source of phytoestrogens.

Tin cans, anything wrapped/packaged in plastic, shampoos, soaps etc.

You have to be *very* diligent to avoid phytoestrogens.

flipdoggers • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 07:36 PM*

Meta-analysis on beneficial health effects of soy on cholesterol / risk of cardiovascular disease:

"We found that the consumption of soy protein rather than animal protein significantly decreased serum concentrations of total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides."

Also, from the introduction: "Ingestion of vegetable protein in place of animal protein appears to be associated with a lower risk of coronary heart disease: this effect may reflect decreases in serum cholesterol concentrations. The cholesterol-lowering effects of soy protein as compared with animal protein have been recognized in animals for more than 80 years."

Another study: "Soy consumption did not suppress the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis in males. Thus, it seems that the vascular, lipid, and hormonal effects of soy and estrogen differ."

Another study: "There was a significant difference between the two groups in terms of changes in **serum estrone concentrations, which tended to decrease in the soy-supplemented group** and increase in the control group over time."

This was from a few minutes of research right now, where at most I found studies saying results are inconclusive, and at best I found studies citing positive effects of soy on men (and humans in general) WRT cancer and cardiovascular disease risk, and, as seen in the last study above, even estrogen in men.

EvelynnSpoiler • 3 points • 2 October, 2019 12:30 AM

Nice propaganda. Those studies don't prove shit. Comparing a Veganism to the typical Western diet is a no-brainer. The average vegan is far more likely going to make health-based decisions than the person who throws any shit down their throat. Correlation \neq causation.

That being said, the Vegan diet isn't much better of an alternative. Dietary cholesterol has been proven many times to have little connection to the cholesterol in your arteries.

Furthermore, one of the primary building blocks of the Sex hormones, is dietary cholesterol. Add estrogen-like molecules to the equation and you've got yourself a Soy boy. Veganism doesn't tell you what you can eat, but what you can't. Any wonder why there are so many fat Feminist Vegans; do you really think they're going to live much longer?

Just like dating and politics, the food industry has it's own "redpill" alternative. For many, it's the Carnivore diet. Those autoimmune diets claim it's been a miracle for all inflammation-related problems from arthritis to brain fog. Haven't tried it as of yet, removing a significant amount of plant-based foods (grains, legumes, sugar) has helped manage my own autoimmune problems.

Sugar/high glycemic foods are the enemy. Not only are they the most addictive foods, but they'll rot your insides and screw up your microbiota.

Compare the average Vegan to the average person on a Paleo diet, and not only will you see a difference in physical capabilities and wellbeing but also in intelligence.

flipdoggers • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 05:35 PM

Did you look at the studies? None of them mentioned veganism, you just got triggered. If the studies I found don't prove anything, provide your own studies. We were talking about

the effects of soy and none of the studies were done exclusively on vegans; the last one, showing a **decrease** in estrogens in men, was done on Japanese men.

flipdoggars • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 08:14 PM

Also, there's a lot of strawmen and ad-hominems in your post. Just cause some feminists are vegan and fat doesn't mean veganism is unhealthy, just like how Zyzz dying young from steroid use doesn't mean having muscles is unhealthy. There are countless healthy and jacked vegan dudes out there, with and without steroids.

You've taken, IMO, the wrong red pill in the food industry. The real blue pill is being raised to think that eating burgers made from mass-tortured animals is normal, while being like "ya of course slavery is bad, fuck racists... but animal abuse is totally fine!" And also the constant mob-mentality of people shitting on veganism and saying vegan food tastes bad, when there's actually a lot of awesome vegan food and dishes out there that people just haven't exposed themselves to because they eat bacon everyday.

Research more about veganism (and more importantly, watch a documentary like Earthlings so at the very least you know what you're eating, even if you stick to a carnivore-diet) cause it really doesn't sound like you know much about it or have been exposed to positive vegan sources (which are abound, you just gotta avoid Facebook).

I did some research right now and it looks like you're right that dietary cholesterol isn't strongly linked to CHD. And correlation \neq causation, for sure. But one of the most convincing studies to me was the series of Adventist studies where they took this population, known for good health and good lifestyle habits (not drinking, not smoking, etc) and within this already-healthy group, compared disease-risk-factors across different diet groups. The vegans were by far the healthiest and had lowest morbidity risks across every single factor, and it was a linear progression: vegan > vegetarian > pescatarian > omnivore. The studies didn't involve comparing healthy vegans to unhealthy nonvegans because the whole Adventist population had healthy lifestyle patterns (which is why scientists wanted to study them—they're some of the healthiest people around—and also because there was a fairly high vegan %, like 33% or something).

EvelynnSpoiler • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 09:43 PM

Why would I need to research Veganism when I'm already aware of the dangers of grains, legumes, lectins, and sugar/high GI? Take all of those things out of a Vegan's and out and what have you get left? Fucking yams?

Boo-fucking-hoo about the animals. Sure I don't agree with the torture but do you really think consuming soy as opposed to eating meat is going to sort the problem?

Better I look up to my cousin who hunts his own meat and grows his own vegetation while breeding his hot wife, half his age.

Once labgrown meat is affordable and in the mainstream, then we can look at doing a complete switchover. The irony is that animals will still get relentlessly slaughtered to save your little cuckbeans plantations.

flipdoggars • 2 points • 3 October, 2019 09:01 PM

Dude you're acting like you know everything and you clearly don't. The dangers of legumes... lmao... ya, hummus will totally just destroy your body... lentils and peas, so unhealthy...

Boo-fucking-hoo about the animals. Sure I don't agree with the torture but do you really think consuming soy as opposed to eating meat is going to sort the problem?

Lol seriously watch Earthlings and then say "boo hoo" with a straight face. You'll puke first. People (including me before I stopped eating meat) can only eat meat by not thinking much about where it comes from—factory farms i.e. torture houses.

And no, I'm not saying eating vegan will solve the problem, cause you're just one person. Personally I don't wanna participate in those atrocities, and I assume factory farms will be one of those things humans look back on like slavery, like "god damn how did our ancestors do that shit... fuckin' psychopaths". And eating vegan could easily improve your health (especially long-term, but even short-term it'll probably encourage you to eat more fruits and vegetables, which are huge for both physical recovery and mental health).

Better I look up to my cousin who hunts his own meat and grows his own vegetation while breeding his hot wife, half his age.

Yes dude hunting is so much better than the average meat diet. Your cousin sounds fine. Better than bluepill citizens going day after day to pick up their tortured cow flesh pumped with hormones and pretending nothing's horribly wrong about that picture.

And seriously man you're so wrong thinking veganism is unhealthy. The world is filled with anti-veganism, pro-meat propaganda fucking everywhere and you've accepted it point-blank. You've then carried it on yourself, starting this veganism conversation when the original conversation was literally just about the effects of soy on men (and providing relevant scientific evidence) and you completely got triggered, didn't read / misread my comments, and made this a veganism-bashing conversation out of nowhere.

Red pill yourself, homie. Realize the conditioning you've gone through and at the very least think critically for yourself without blindly saying things like "your studies don't prove shit" without doing any proper research of your own or even looking at the studies you're randomly disregarding. That's not how you have a rational conversation.

Chaddeus_Rex • 1 point • 9 October, 2019 02:09 PM

Dietary cholesterol has been proven many times to have little connection to the cholesterol in your arteries.

Facts.

Source: Med student who just finished his metabolism module.

Cholesterol can be synthesized in the liver. If you eliminate cholesterol in diet, the liver will simply upregulate cholesterol production. The solution for hypocholesteremia is usually statins (block HMG COA reductase) or bile resins (if you can handle the unpleasant side effects).

The best intervention though is lifestyle factors - increasing exercise, decreasing saturated fats, increasing monounsaturated fats, decreasing low glycemic index sugars.

Dreamcatcher4044 • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 06:17 PM

This was my understanding as well. I think it gets a bad rap ie "soyboys"

ultra-royalist[S] • 21 points • 1 October, 2019 02:28 PM

Thank you for the offer. You might consider me a kindred spirit, since Nietzscheans are -- if they read him correctly -- inherently a bit Red Pilled.

[deleted] • 34 points • 1 October, 2019 03:14 PM

Nietzscheans are -- if they read him correctly -- inherently a bit Red Pilled.

Are you joking? TRP is simply Nietzsche lite.

ThaBard • 19 points • 1 October, 2019 03:47 PM

TRP- How to apply Nietzschean Principles on your quest to become a Chad!

[deleted] • 33 points • 1 October, 2019 04:01 PM

If you're trying to be funny.....that was pretty funny.

If your intention was to mock (sorry, too tired to sort it out), then.....yeah, it's all about having a look at the sexual marketplace as it is.

If the sub is, in fact, banned, two sentences will stick with me the rest of my life:

Women don't care about the struggle, they hang out at the finish line and fuck the winners.

Spinning plates is a rational response to open hypergamy.

ThaBard • 11 points • 1 October, 2019 04:02 PM

Funny lol, I love this sub dude.

[deleted] • 5 points • 1 October, 2019 04:12 PM

lol thought so, fun user name, too.

Sucks that it's being shut down....odd that there's this much lead time, though.

If I was Reddit, I would have simply deleted the sub on the day it was quarantined.

Onein1024th • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 08:08 PM

jokes on them. the struggle is the fun part, finish line is boring

guyau • 11 points • 1 October, 2019 03:23 PM

Everything important here was already said by Nietzsche in his aphorisms on women anyway

jackandjill22 • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 04:21 PM

I wouldn't blame him if he didn't there's a lot of stigma around us & judging how insane SJW's are these days
I could see how a normie wouldn't want to take the risk.

noobish_senpai • 15 points • 1 October, 2019 03:08 PM

Where do I find the content if the sub gets deleted?

johncillo • 28 points • 1 October, 2019 04:23 PM

TRP.Red is the website created for this

Dionysus-VIOLENCE • 19 points • 1 October, 2019 03:31 PM

somebody already archived the whole subreddit. try to search for it

Ballyop • 11 points • 1 October, 2019 04:54 PM

This should be put as a meta post so that we can all prepare for the inevitable.

ultra-royalist[S] • 6 points • 1 October, 2019 03:27 PM

I don't know. The right way to do this would be to write a script that sucks it out via the Reddit API and then deposits it in a neutral form, whether database or PDF.

Neutral_User_Name • 12 points • 1 October, 2019 04:17 PM

whether database or PDF

PDF is the absolute worst way to deal with data!!

just sayin'

ultra-royalist[S] • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 07:45 PM

PDF sucks for raw data, but if you want people to read it as an ebook, it's the preferred form (works across most devices).

[deleted] 1 points 1 October, 2019 03:56 PM

[permanently deleted]

TheRedPike • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 03:09 AM

Dont' hotlink any sub including our own. There is no way to white list our subs in automoderator.

MillionaireSexbomb • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 03:24 PM

trp.red

[deleted] 1 points 1 October, 2019 03:56 PM

[permanently deleted]

TheRedPike • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 03:10 AM

Dont' hotlink any sub including our own. There is no way to white list our subs in automoderator.

mette13 • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 05:13 PM

forums.red

CainPrice • 13 points • 1 October, 2019 06:24 PM

The first time or two something like this happened and everyone said it was because of the election and leftist politics, I snorted, waved my hands and said that it was a silly conspiracy theory. Nobody is trying to eliminate non-liberal speech on some tiny corner of the internet that doesn't even matter politically to keep Trump out of office. Nobody's that stupid. They're just reacting to complainers and their PR advisors.

But this keeps happening around election time. I think the Reddit administration is so self-important that they

really do believe Reddit influences elections, and having conservative people gathering on their platform on the internet actually influenced the 2016 results.

I don't think that actually happened. But I think the Reddit administration actually thinks it did, and that liberal politics and the upcoming election are the impetus behind this and other similar moves. I didn't think that previously, but there's too much of a pattern now.

ultra-royalist[S] • 6 points • 1 October, 2019 07:14 PM

I think the Reddit administration is so self-important that they really do believe Reddit influences elections, and having conservative people gathering on their platform on the internet actually influenced the 2016 results.

They may also be receiving orders from above, including through Google, without whom their search engine juice disappears.

TwentyEighteen • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 10:52 PM

I think the Reddit administration is so self-important that they really do believe Reddit influences elections

But it does... the influence might not be huge, but it's there and it counts, same with all media. Media has a huge influence on how people think.

[deleted] • 35 points • 1 October, 2019 04:17 PM*

I'm libertarian and no stranger to leftist (and even alt right) brigades to shut down free speech. Election years are a fucking nightmare. Shill accounts all over the sub trying to confuse and discredit people.

I peruse this sub on occasion and, although I don't agree with everything, a different perspective is never a bad thing, and this sub has fair points when it comes to just understanding basic, biology driven impulses. The fact that it makes people uncomfortable that we are smart monkeys doesn't justify any sort of ban and frankly, it's only a matter of time before other subs with political dissent (me) or anybody calling for a different approach to any problem that doesn't involve the Oppression Olympics is closed. My condolences to all you shitlords. I may not agree with everything, but I will stand by your right to say it.

SparkyMcBiff • 27 points • 1 October, 2019 05:06 PM

There's almost nothing good left on Reddit.

EVERY subgroup that I had come here for has now been wiped out as the fascist SJW totalitarians ramp up their censorship.

(Heck I even got banned from The_Donald when I pointed out the evidence of Israeli involvement in 9/11).

People in real life now are actually being imprisoned for "opinion crimes".

We are entering a new dark age that will not turn out well at all.

ThatMidJuneNostalgia • 4 points • 1 October, 2019 08:14 PM

What if an alternative could pop up from somewhere in Asia?

Also for Israel comment, I read about a book which talked about Israel's involvement in 9/11, would you know about that?

incelchad • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 08:59 PM

How ugly are you. I gotta know

[deleted] • 1 points • 2 October, 2019 02:44 AM

[permanently deleted]

TheRedPike • 2 points • 2 October, 2019 03:10 AM

Do't hotlink any sub including our own. There is no way to white list our subs in automoderator.

its_meKnightSwolaire • 9 points • 1 October, 2019 08:55 PM

This is a test. The real ban they care about is The Donald

Software_Programmer • 7 points • 1 October, 2019 06:54 PM

In the coming years I see more and more communities moving away from "social media" to their own platforms/web sites to regain their freedoms of speech and communications.

So long and thanks for all the fish.

Onein1024th • 6 points • 1 October, 2019 08:11 PM

u/spez can choke on a dick. u/AaronSW forever

bsutansalt • 5 points • 2 October, 2019 01:08 PM

You might have noticed that Reddit keeps losing rank on Alexa. Not surprisingly, the nu-Reddit -- censorship, safe spaces, hipsters, SJWs -- is not doing as well as the old.

Of course. Any time a company rolls hard left it suffers serious financial hardship if not dies completely.

RightHandWolf • 3 points • 3 October, 2019 06:44 PM

All together now . . .

Get woke, go broke! Get woke, go broke! Get woke . . .

HighOnLife • 5 points • 1 October, 2019 06:58 PM

Been here for probably 6 years or so. It's been a pleasure.

freemale101 • 11 points • 1 October, 2019 04:36 PM

Cucked males everywhere...and here's our dear OP on his high horse lamenting the disaster thats almost upon us. Feeling our pain...yet when disaster strikes, our OP will trot off, back over the hill, albeit with a tear in his eye. Won't join us in the battle; however he has some timely and sage advice; without any skin in the game. What a noble man! "Garrison!!...bring my daughter forth to be fucked by this MAN!!!"

the_green_grundle • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 05:59 PM

I think it's great that people become aware of this. The sub is already quarantined. Chances are OP, like many others, will soon jump ship to an alternative.

freemale101 • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 04:58 AM*

What are some 'alternatives'?...[PM if you like]

the_green_grundle • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 05:44 AM

Saidit is the only one I know of right now that's not full of actual neonazis. There are others but I avoid them.

pras[] • 5 points • 1 October, 2019 03:33 PM

>> extremist cynics like Fred Nietzsche and Tom Wolfe, who see humans as simply "talking monkeys with car keys."

Can you please share some articles on this? I am aware of their books but its far too deep in my To Read list.

ultra-royalist[S] • 12 points • 1 October, 2019 03:39 PM

To me the key to understanding Nietzsche was "On Truth and Lies in a Non-Moral Sense". For Tom Wolfe, I would read "The Human Beast" first. Both of these are short and significant, after which it makes sense to move on to *The Genealogy of Morals* from Fred Nietzsche and of course *Hooking Up* from Tom Wolfe.

nobody_thinks 1 points 1 October, 2019 06:44 PM [recovered]

Fred Nietzsche

lol only a gay would write something like this.

You claim to be opposed / "beyond" TRP when in fact Nietzsche would have agreed with 60+% of red pill content. Nietzsche was a misogynist by modern standards and close friends with Wagner who was a master PUA (classical equivalent of a pop star).

But go ahead and keep hiding behind your pseudo-intellectual trans-humanist delusions.

ultra-royalist[S] • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 07:10 PM

opposed / "beyond" TRP

No, neither.

close friends with Wagner who was a master PUA (classical equivalent of a pop star)

Wagner is the shit, but they later had a falling out (see *Nietzsche Contra Wagner*).

Wagner was closer to Schopenhauer or Aurelius; Nietzsche was very similar, and therefore they clashed over details.

nobody_thinks 1 points 1 October, 2019 07:18 PM [recovered]

No, neither.

then why don't you take an actual position instead of playing the middle like spineless eunuch?

ultra-royalist[S] • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:19 PM

I think I have. What am I waffling on?

nobody_thinks 1 points 1 October, 2019 07:20 PM [recovered]

state your position on TRP then.

ultra-royalist[S] • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 07:24 PM

I do not think I have one, other than to say that understanding the biological and sociological motivations behind human behavior, instead of (say) taking ideology at face value, is vital understanding. People present themselves as demigods, when in fact they are "talking monkeys with car keys."

nobody_thinks 1 points 1 October, 2019 07:29 PM [recovered]

People present themselves as demigods, when in fact they are "talking monkeys with car keys."

this is just projection. Pythagoras said you cannot know what intelligence is unless you have it. You should think about that.

I do not think I have one

lol just spineless cuckery.

ultra-royalist[S] • 5 points • 1 October, 2019 07:33 PM

Pythagoras said you cannot know what intelligence is unless you have it

Dunning and Kruger restated that.

lol just spineless cuckery.

Some people come to the internet looking for power by degrading others. Must be an odd way of life.

nobody_thinks 1 points 1 October, 2019 07:14 PM [recovered]

oh wow I didn't fucking know that dipshit.

the point is he was around wagner long enough to understand how game works (wagner even stole the love of his life).

fuck off with your supercilious condescension.

ultra-royalist[S] • 4 points • 1 October, 2019 07:20 PM

And you with your willful misreading of a sympathetic source.

frooschnate • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:44 PM

Someone come get your mans

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:11 PM

I've always seen TRP as Nietzsche lite.

nobody_thinks 1 points 1 October, 2019 07:13 PM [recovered]

exactly. OP is a pseudo intellectual cuck throwing shade b/c he thinks he is superior.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:16 PM

Yep.....reminds me of PPD, too. I'm guessing that they'll remain.

NYCMusicMarathon • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 07:35 PM

Reddit. It so badly wants to be cool like Facebook

Is this true? or just an opinion?

I thought FaceNook was less than Reddit.

i.e. Reddit > FaceNook

Learn something new every day. Oh Well.

bobloblawblogyal • 5 points • 2 October, 2019 12:14 AM

The CEO after aquisition is quoted as saying it wants to make it the next Facebook. This was "coincidentally" when all the censorship really started. Also look up the warrant canary. It may be deeper than most believe.

HelloTims • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 08:11 PM

Reddit isn't doing things to be leftist or evil, it's doing what will make it money. It's a bit ironic supporting the anti-corporation party is profitable, but that's the way it goes.

PURE_ARYAN_GENETICS • 4 points • 1 October, 2019 11:57 PM

Reddit isn't doing things to be leftist

lol. yeah it's just a coincidence that they're banning harmless subs with hundreds of thousands of subscribers(people to advertise to) just like this one and a hundred others right?

Illkill[] • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 08:44 PM

I've moved away from here for a while not but I recognize my roots when it is needed. That being said it is so embarrassing that redditors are constantly mocking China for their lack of "freedom" on the internet. Yet here we are, a sub that has never threatened any physical harm or presented any racist ideology. Yet it is getting deleted. Are we any different than how they censor their internet? it is merely one step behind. It is also a gradual process like how China does it. First quarantine, then removal.

bammrrm • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 09:23 PM

I've been meaning to ask this, but no thread up till now seemed appropriate.

Is there a plan to maintain continuity if/when this sub shuts down? (i.e. Archiving all old posts, complete with comments, links, etc? As well as the sidebar material (FAQ, Glossary, New Here?, Theory links, etc — everything in the sidebar)

I just found out about <https://www.trp.red/feed/>, but I haven't had a chance to check if it's a mirror of this subreddit or if it's just a new place to meet if/when this sub gets shut down.

Brickles09 • 3 points • 2 October, 2019 12:09 AM

Reddit is imploding itself, I love it!!! It's our sputnik moment, we will have to reivent ourselves and come back stronger on another platform!

We will be back, and for good.

[deleted] • 3 points • 2 October, 2019 12:34 AM

Man, I'm so done with this fucking site

mindplaybyneo • 3 points • 3 October, 2019 06:25 AM

The thing is they will never be able to take down redpill. Even the extreme left are curious to our narratives. This is why we are quarantined. They don't want people to know how many men actually follows this sub, or give everyone the idea that people follow us. The more people see this sub has followers the more it goes back to the traditional roles.

Brodin69 • 6 points • 1 October, 2019 03:13 PM

Is this guy a monarchist? I can get along with that #longlivethequeen.

ultra-royalist[S] • 6 points • 1 October, 2019 03:26 PM

Monarchist and traditionalist here.

MoDuReddit • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 06:10 PM

LONG LIVE THE KING!

\the king of my country is barely a celebrity, let alone has any control of government, it's just preserving a 800 year old tradition.*)

unfeeling2 • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 07:27 PM

hey i explain human behaviors by biology too and it was from personal experience(third world country) do you have any books for me ? caue any other place will be bias.

ultra-royalist[S] • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:32 PM

Things Fall Apart by Chinua Achebe is one of the best analyzes of human motivations that I have read. I would also recommend *Lucifer's Hammer* by Larry Niven and Jerry Pournelle as a great study in civilization.

unfeeling2 • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:50 PM

this is my first conversation on reddit and maybe the last cause im only here to see the redpill getting pussified but im glad its gone and as i see it the strong will survive natural selection im not* worried about "feminist taking over and soy boys" all it takes is dadicated male to control the world assuming its not already controlled by smart males that see your struggle as kids g ame (any way not the best english i dont practice at all. sue me)

on another note i was thinking about reading ride the tiger.

ultra-royalist[S] • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:51 PM

reading ride the tiger.

Do it, but I would start with *Revolt Against the Modern World* first.

BadDad01234 • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 08:04 PM

I definitely feel like Im a better person thanks to you guys. I didnt post her much but lurker and learned

_Benny_Lava • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 11:37 PM

Where is the backup in case this sub is shut down?

afterthe_fapocalypse • 2 points • 2 October, 2019 12:18 AM

it's exactly what's happened with churches. you turn a place into a cult of niceness, and you're left with ... you're left with a bunch of fucking simp women

ultra-royalist[S] • 2 points • 2 October, 2019 02:16 AM

you turn a place into a cult of niceness, and you're left with ...

Sweden?

San Francisco?

Austin?

afterthe_fapocalypse • 1 point • 3 October, 2019 02:45 AM

haha don't get me started brotha

NarrowBath7 • 2 points • 8 October, 2019 05:29 AM

hey...you should join our club. You and your friend.

xytrooo • 2 points • 19 October, 2019 11:00 AM

So why is TRP still mainly on reddit when it can branch to any platform that allows correspondence?

TRP.red is lame , nobody would regularly use it the way it is set up.

Why not shift 30% of activity (using various means) to one of the hundreds of different platforms? the bots can repost anything of value anyway.

Dank_Memegod • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 09:42 PM

Stop blaming the left for shit. It's just common sense that if you say shit that might piss off people, you're gonna generally lose supporters/viewers, so it makes sense that these corporations operate like that. Their goal is to make money.

PURE_ARYAN_GENETICS • 4 points • 1 October, 2019 11:59 PM

people

leftists. no right winger is triggered by trp

simplecountry_lawyer • 4 points • 1 October, 2019 11:05 PM*

I think when you say "leftist" you really mean "corporatist."

Progressive leftists don't care at all about the identity politics and feminist imperative schlock that the establishment Democrats are using to control narratives in the MSM or here on Reddit. We just want to see progressive economic policy implemented that would benefit everyone, not just the billionaires. The establishment hates progressive leftists just as much if not more than conservatives/libertarians for the sole fact that we are talking about combating inequality.

Identity politics is a tool of the establishment to keep us fighting amongst ourselves over meaningless bullshit while the elite continue to rape working people for their money and the planet for its resources. They want us fighting about who's a redpill and who's an incel and who's a TwoX'er. They want us to fight about race, gender and pronouns and left vs right all day because if we're fighting then we're not working together. It's classic divide and conquer writ large and strange for the online era.

I understand the knee jerk reaction to associate conservatism with rebellion against the 'left controlled system' in today's day and age and to some extent I agree with such a reaction. I just wish so called "leftist ideology" didnt all get painted with the same brush. In case you weren't aware, the political left is currently waging a civil war within its own ranks. Corporate establishment vs progressive political revolutionaries. And again, to reiterate, we on the progressive side of things loathe identity politics and censorship just as much as any conservative or libertarian.

So yeah... Let's try to see things through a different lense than just a simple "left vs right" paradigm. We're all on the same side at the end of the day. We hate the establishment.

Ecpandy • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 11:11 PM

You speak with truth but I fear the responses you get will fall under the sphere of "shut up you leftist we work for the individual" or something like that.

simplecountry_lawyer • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 11:23 PM

Those are the types of responses I'd expect. After all, the right wing has its own establishment, and they're ultra focused on making sure the republican party is seen as the party of independent, dissident thought and rebellion against the system. That's the banner Trump is waving and his base has efficiently united under it.

As satisfying as it was to see Trump sock the donor class in the jaw in 2016, we don't have much progressive policy to show for it. His victory wasn't outright despised by progressive leftists for the simple fact that it revealed a significant chink in the establishment's armor, an actual weakness in their otherwise complete hegemonic domination of the political realm for the first time in decades. Trump was the first to expose it and for that reason alone he commands a modicum of respect even among those of us who are supposed to be opposed to him.

The progressive left doesn't want to wag their finger at Trump for his manners or his dialogue. We care only for policy.

Bridgeboy777 • 0 points • 2 October, 2019 02:05 AM

Progressivism is defined by the belief that equality is the cardinal virtue. You can't be a progressive and be red pill'd. They're mutually exclusive.

Zone_Box • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 05:52 PM

I find this sub to be pretty nonpartisan. Improving yourself, gaining confidence and being viewed as higher value by the opposite sex doesn't need a party.

Thanks, OP, but we're good. Run along now.

MoDuReddit • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 06:17 PM

I dig the general concept, which is that "people are biology" and the best guide to how to interact with other humans comes from *The Discovery Channel*.

How can you that with no pause for consideration, when we get stuff like this daily.

Thank you The Red Pill - for saving my life and putting me back better than ever.

[deleted] 1 points 2 October, 2019 02:40 AM

[permanently deleted]

TheRedPike • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 03:10 AM

Dont' hotlink any sub including our own. There is no way to white list our subs in automoderator.

lestrategie • 1 point • 2 October, 2019 05:11 AM

So are we going back to Digg?

chakamaki • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 03:08 PM

You are absolutely correct.. I got banned from many communities by just posting more then "allowed" times of the day.. like I may be posted 4-5 in day where they may have rules for 1-2... however I never see this rule even

though they told me reason for being banned is because I “flooded” the sub... what childish.. as you guessed correctly.. I already left those subs... and I am planning to leave this SM too...

incelchad • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 08:55 PM

What does redpill have to do with google or the left?

Alex jones motherfuckee

cji25 • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 09:01 PM

Wow. Reddit is censoring us.

Poor us that are ruled by this evil, fascist mods.

Where will I express my unique, counter-cultural ideas now?

Rooster1981 • -7 points • 1 October, 2019 03:52 PM

Maybe if this sub focused on self improvement like it used to, and not become right wing as fuck while the community is flooded with incel losers, this wouldn't be a problem.

Drekalo • 9 points • 1 October, 2019 04:18 PM

Lol, top 3 posts right now are:

Women dont want you to say sorry, take action

Top 3 quotes that helped me on my journey

How to apply the 80/20 rule

Still looks self help to me.

Rooster1981 • 0 points • 1 October, 2019 04:34 PM*

There is still some good posts here, there is also a large contingent of angry right wing edgelords from TD. You know that group of insecure, sexually frustrated edgelords who can't get laid if their life depended on it, and the absolute antithesis of masculinity. This thread is clearly from one of those idiots, if you're unable to recognize what's in front of you, then you've missed the point.

MoDuReddit • -1 points • 1 October, 2019 06:12 PM

The reason you only get weirdo right-wingers, is because the left wingers are so deep into bluepill, there's no chance they'll seek self-improvement. At least some right-wingers try, instead of just keep seeping soy lattes and becomming a rapey creep.

Rooster1981 • 5 points • 1 October, 2019 06:22 PM

It sounds like you get all your "facts" from right wing meme subs. How do you debate someone so far up their own ass and devoid of facts and logic? Good luck to you kid, life is gonna be full of surprises when you realize the world isn't the strawman your right wing sources told you. What a shame it is for a man to be given all the tools required to be successful, a brain capable of absorbing vast amounts of knowledge and you go and waste it on your idiocy and bitching about soyboy cucks. You're a sad excuse of a man, insecure to the very end.

MoDuReddit • -1 points • 1 October, 2019 06:28 PM

Cool, have fun in your tribal wars.

Rooster1981 • 4 points • 1 October, 2019 06:41 PM

How old are you? I can see you didn't learn proper communication from your parents or school, but imagine the shame your grandfather would feel, you acting like a little snot calling people soyboys because you have chosen to indulge in right wing propaganda. Why anyone would chose the comforting lie to sooth yourself from the truth, that is the most beta thing imaginable, too scared of reality so you sooth yourself with lies. Fucking weak.

toplelkekfag • 10 points • 1 October, 2019 04:22 PM

Realizations come hand in hand. The current consensus trance fits a certain narrative. One that your comment displays as well. It is against everything that is truly masculine.

You call people right wing and even losers just because they don't fall for the hivemind? I bet you call people racist and sexist all the time as well. I don't need to call you any names, cause it doesn't serve anybody. Best of luck to you man, you seem bitter and confused.

Rooster1981 • -2 points • 1 October, 2019 04:35 PM

How do you reconcile posting in this sub, and posting in MGTOW?

MoDuReddit • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 06:12 PM

Good question, since MGTOW is science denying.

SparkyMcBiff • 5 points • 1 October, 2019 05:10 PM

I found the fascist.

("Only Leftist approved speech is allowed under "free speech")

Rooster1981 • -2 points • 1 October, 2019 05:20 PM

You post to TD and MGTOW, your opinion means as much as the mentally ill crackhead yelling at people on the corner.

VinterBot • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 07:08 PM

Omg you post in Trumgret and pointlesslygendered your opinion is invalid and also you're gay and also you're a homo. Also you like dick. /s

Rooster1981 • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:11 PM

The source matters. Why would you listen to some chump who hates women, can't get laid, can't take personal responsibility for their shitty lives, and their political ideology consists of rage and to troll the libs? What useful information could such an excuse for a man provide? Beyond an example of what not to do.

VinterBot • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 07:31 PM

You don't seem to realize it applies to you as well.

Rooster1981 • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:47 PM

I don't have a history of indulging in right wing propaganda, I probably have a history of going to a lot of right wing subs to discuss various issues, and as you can imagine, a lot of those discussions go off the rails, because it's very difficult debating with trolls who's entire purpose is to frustrate and mislead and laugh at the seriousness of discourse.

Libertarian is probably the best of the bunch, only half infested with TD kids, and mostly respectful of facts and discourse, despite some disagreements.

incelchad • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 08:59 PM

Lots of reasonable centrists agree

Turkerthelurker • 4 points • 1 October, 2019 04:53 PM

There's an obvious correlation between being right-leaning, and valuing the responsibility of the individual to improve themselves.

Rooster1981 • 0 points • 1 October, 2019 04:56 PM

Do you have a source on that? Blue states are by far more educated and have a higher gdp than red states which are welfare states. Personal responsibility begins with knowledge, seems like you're holding on to old fashion myths that never had much basis in facts.

Turkerthelurker • -1 points • 1 October, 2019 05:12 PM

On the left you have collectivism, hence the focus on minority groups and proponents of social services. On the right you have individualism, hence the focus on constitutional rights. On the left you have way more major-city dwellers (a collection of people), and on the right you have more suburban and rural (value of personal space at the expense of social programs).

You can argue all day on if those labels actually produce the results they claim to support, but yeah, its pretty self-evident: somebody that values the responsibility of the individual to improve themselves is going to lean more to the right, in vice versa.

Which is why you'll find a lot of rp'ers identify as libertarian - at least as an ideal. All of these ideas get murky if you were to dissect the political parties.

Rooster1981 • 2 points • 1 October, 2019 05:58 PM*

That's such a simplistic and easily disproven myth that I don't even know if its worth it to start. Your description sounds like a truck commercial, an image you love and believe, but not based on any tangible reality beyond a few exceptions. For the most part, small town folks are born in small towns, like their daddy and their daddy before them, born into rural lifestyle and never leaves, it's all they know. Statistically small towns are completely economically depressed and have a huge problem with opiate abuse, are way behind in education, and by far collect more taxes for their welfare programs than blue states, who are contributors instead of takers. Sounds like it's red state simple folks leaching off the hard work and taxes paid for by Blue states. Who's really the real man here, the red state rural folks armed to the teeth and afraid of their own shadow while contributing very little to his community, or someone who moved to where the resources are available, acquired the necessary knowledge required to be successful, and became a productive member of society while having the financial freedom to enjoy the greater things in life. For such strong individuals, they sure suck at keeping their communities desirable and taking responsibility for their shortcomings.

Turkerthelurker • 0 points • 1 October, 2019 06:00 PM

Yeesh what an emotional response.

Rooster1981 • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 06:41 PM

Seems like you have nothing to back up your disagreement. Provide a proper rebuttal or fuck off back to the sidelines.

Dreamcatcher4044 • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 06:23 PM

Libertarian is not republican friend. They may hold some similar values but it is not the same.

lickerofjuicypaints • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 04:47 PM

Unfortunately the intended audience tends to bring their stink with them

IRunYourRiver • -1 points • 1 October, 2019 04:47 PM

I say this as someone with no real connection to The Red Pill.

You didn't need to say that. We could tell. Your infatuation with mommy Matrix rather than developing your own frame is a dead giveaway.

MoDuReddit • 3 points • 1 October, 2019 06:14 PM

Yeah, you can tell from the writing that he still denies the **realities** that TRP presents (with peer review articles to support it).

nobody_thinks 1 points 1 October, 2019 03:54 PM [recovered]

its grounds for celebration not condolences.

I say this as someone with no real connection to The Red Pill. I dig the general concept, which is that "people are biology" and the best guide to how to interact with other humans comes from The Discovery Channel

lol this is not the red pill.

MoDuReddit • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 06:15 PM

lol this is not the red pill.

From a total bluepill, it's a healthy step forward just to imagine reality is not what you've been told.

Redpills are bitter, give him time.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:13 PM

its grounds for celebration not condolences.

Got people's attention, that's for sure.

Too many of us (speaking for myself) have used Monk Mode as a crutch even though we've been attending the Iron Church and getting IOI's.

Time to implement, I suppose.

nobody_thinks 1 points 1 October, 2019 07:16 PM [recovered]

bro reddit is like the most fucking cucked space in the history of mankind.

TRP does not belong here.

this day of divorce is grounds for celebration.

Also, we must demonstrate to these cucks for the 10 millionth time that they have no power, they cannot silence us, they are just shrieking NPC cuckold faggots.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:26 PM

I am in agreement.

I came across the sub in 2016. It really has helped me a lot.

As a community, though, we've not been able to scrub the stench of the incels out.

I set up a handle on trp red as soon as the quarantine happened....it's a bit of a crossroads. I could probably use to spend less time online.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 07:54 PM

bro reddit is like the most fucking cucked space in the history of mankind.

Also, I had a "gone wild" show up on my main feed.....I went in there and commented about how pathetic the men there were, and how I hoped that if I was banned, I'd never again have to see the gone wild sub....

The admin who banned me positively gloated over the fact that just being banned didn't stop the sub from showing up on /r /all.

nobody_thinks • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 11:13 PM

lol weak men bring hard times

let's get this ball fucking rolling

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 October, 2019 11:22 PM

Fuck yeah. Off to the Iron Church.

I used to think "do you even lift?" was so stupid and trite. Now, it's shorthand for "do you do even the bare minimum to qualify as being a man?"

(manual laborers obviously exempted, of course)