

Ex-wife who received billion dollar divorce settlement -- and appealed because she said it wasn't enough -- has her appeal dismissed due to greed.

April 28, 2015 | 678 upvotes | by [\[deleted\]](#)

Many of you may recall the story of Sue Ann Hamm. She is the ex-wife of oil billionaire Harold Hamm. Sue Ann filed for divorce against Mr. Hamm, and attempted to fully divorce rape him. In the end, Sue Ann received just over \$1 **billion** in the divorce decree against Mr. Hamm. And she said that **still** wasn't enough, so she appealed the divorce judgment claiming she deserved billions of dollars more of the fortune Mr. Hamm had put together building his company, Continental Resources.

In the meantime while the appeal was pending, Mr. Hamm complied with the court's judgment and paid the \$1 billion or so he owed to his ex wife, by check. Rather than holding onto the check and not depositing it (as she should have done), Sue Ann got greedy and deposited the check in her account. This was a massive strategic error. By depositing the check, it opened the door for Mr. Hamm's lawyers to argue that Sue Ann had accepted the benefits of the divorce judgment, and therefore she could not legally attack the validity or sufficiency of the judgment in an appeal.

Shortly after depositing the check, Sue Ann's lawyers advised her of the mistake. They attempted to argue that she just *had* to deposit the check. That not being able to do so was "intrusive" on her lifestyle and well-being ("intrusive" being a legal term of art, in this particular instance).

Today, the Oklahoma Supreme Court came down with a decision entirely dismissing Sue Ann's appeal. The court first cited this maxim of law: "A party to an action who voluntarily accepts from [her] adversary the benefits accruing to [her] under a judgment cannot question the validity of such [j]udgment in this court on appeal, and thus deny the rights flowing to such adversary under said judgment." The court then held:

Respondent [Mr. Hamm] conveyed to Petitioner [Sue Ann Hamm] all court-ordered marital property. Petitioner took possession or title of the real property and deposited the check for property-division alimony. The parties acceded to the district court judgment and now have the benefits flowing from that judgment. The judgment is now satisfied. Respondent's motion to dismiss Petitioner's appeal is hereby granted.

There is no legal road for her to further appeal this matter (it is not appealable to the US Supreme Court). The case is over. Although one billion dollars is still a whopper of a divorce judgment, it's still nice to see that Sue Ann's attempt to appeal the judgment and get more money was foiled by her own greed and stupidity.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[deleted] • 326 points • 29 April, 2015 01:15 AM

gentlemen yoy are looking at the worlds first billionaire prostitute

krakosia • 103 points • 29 April, 2015 05:09 AM

So if this guy would have got an A grade hooker for say 10k a night instead of marrying he would spend 182.5 million for 50 years getting a quality experience every night and still saving ~1 billion dollars.

[deleted] • 72 points • 29 April, 2015 05:25 AM*

A grade hooker at 10k a night would cost him \$3.65mil per year and would have taken 274 years to spend a billion dollars on her but with compound interest he would have multiplied his money

RiseAboveRuin • 37 points • 29 April, 2015 08:25 AM*

Are we being honest with each other? Let's up the ante to say; three grade A hookers at 30k a night. I would be perfectly okay with that, you know. Taste *alllll* the rainbow - Until my dick fell off, I went into orgasmic induced cardiac arrest or died from aids I guess.

[deleted] • 31 points • 29 April, 2015 09:00 AM

30k per night that's \$10.950mil per year still take you 91 and a bit years to get through a billion dollars and by that time with compound interest he would have multiplied his billion

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 April, 2015 01:55 PM

The thing that I am wondering is that for a mil, you could prosit have her taken out

[deleted] • 1 point • 9 May, 2015 03:21 PM

died from aids I guess.

Then you're not getting the right hooker

[deleted] • 3 points • 30 April, 2015 03:13 AM

When you do the math it's absurd that anyone would get 1 billion dollars from a divorce. Just goes to show that the US empire is crumbling. There is no way a healthy society / judicial system would allow divorce rape to happen.

relationshipdownvote • 5 points • 29 April, 2015 01:41 PM

It's cheaper than that just take them on vacations and shopping

DoxasticPoo • 2 points • 2 May, 2015 09:37 PM

did you consider inflation? A 10k hooker today isn't the same as a 10k hooker 50 years from now

krakosia • 1 point • 3 May, 2015 08:31 PM

The returns on his income will also go up proportionately over time

EDIT: Negating out the cost increase.

PlebDestroyer • 14 points • 29 April, 2015 03:08 AM

Lol I literally just said that to my sis.

rich_ripped_redneck • 38 points • 29 April, 2015 07:57 AM

This whore has more capital than the entire continent of Africa. WTF.

rebuildingMyself • 6 points • 29 April, 2015 02:46 PM

Not after China is done with it.

driverx22 • 4 points • 29 April, 2015 01:39 PM

I hope this is a joke right?!

[deleted] • 0 points • 29 April, 2015 05:40 PM

Can't really say if that's a joke really, but the average yearly income of an African person is the equivalent of \$400-\$500 USD last time I checked the world distribution of wealth for a college class like 4 years ago. I'm sure that drastically changes too (a person living in a city like Casablanca in Morocco working some skilled labor job will probably be earning more than a guy toiling in some fields in Botswana).

driverx22 • 4 points • 29 April, 2015 07:57 PM

What are you talking about? Nigeria alone's economy is worth 510+ Billion USD...never mind the 50 other countries on the continent. Smh

[deleted] • 0 points • 29 April, 2015 08:04 PM

[permanently deleted]

driverx22 • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 08:27 PM

I understand where you're coming from but just don't take everything you hear at face value...remember even pluto was once considered a planet. And yes the Continent of Africa has capital greater than 1 billion USD.

GenericProtein • 1 points • 29 April, 2015 01:42 PM [recovered]

Do you have any source for this statistic because I find this absolutely mind blowing? I've tried googling it but my search was fruitless. Cheers :)

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 05:41 PM [recovered]

The GDP of Africa was ~\$1,594,777,000,000 in 2010. Source

GenericProtein • 1 points • 29 April, 2015 05:50 PM [recovered]

anything on actual capital reserves?

[deleted] • 232 points • 28 April, 2015 11:33 PM

[permanently deleted]

Rooibosisboss • 106 points • 29 April, 2015 01:20 AM

it seems unfortunate that this is a "win" that a woman needing more than a billion and still getting to keep

that billion is a "win", it still sucks and says so much about what's wrong. Still I guess it is nice to see the courts strike her down.

I like to think this guy is just bad-ass.

"Fuck it here is a billion, I still have billions left, you're still a cunt. hit the bricks bitch and may god have mercy on the soul of the next man you try to leach"

alreadypiecrust • 24 points • 29 April, 2015 05:14 AM

Leach? Pffftt. She has a billion bucks.

Diarrhea_Van_Frank • 16 points • 29 April, 2015 06:44 AM

She'll find a way to blow it all within 10 years. Guaranteed.

[deleted] • 31 points • 29 April, 2015 07:17 AM

Doubtful unless she literally gives it all away to charity. Blowing ten million is doable. With a Billion you literally run out of things to spend it on. You can have a building full of hookers 24/7 and a fleet of Ferraris in your huge mansion with your private jet and you still can't dent that shit.

ShibaHook • 24 points • 29 April, 2015 07:21 AM*

Many people underestimate just how much a billion is.

If she spent a dollar a second it would take her a year to spend approximately \$31m

And approximately 33 years for \$1 billion

Sixaintnine • 14 points • 29 April, 2015 08:24 AM

Yeah. Actually visualizing a billion is pretty astonishing. And to think that this woman has all this money. I'd just take a few stacks and all my problems would be solved, yet she wouldn't even notice she's missing something.

InfiniteBauer • 6 points • 29 April, 2015 01:49 PM

Now chew on this: the size of the U.S. national debt is over 18 trillion dollars.

UmphreysMcGee • 7 points • 29 April, 2015 11:00 AM

It would take even longer considering the tens of millions per year in interest she is earning on that billion.

LateralThinker • 3 points • 29 April, 2015 12:16 PM

At 1% interest (yes, 1%) the interest would be \$10,000,000 a year. Forever. And at a peasley tax rate.

NeoSamwell • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 07:31 AM

math is op, a billion dollars is a lot of money, but a billion dollars today will almost always be more than a billion dollars tomorrow.

ghost_of_crazytrpr • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 06:46 PM

A fools and their money are easily separated. It can be pretty easy to blow 1 billion if she is such a fool.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 07:52 PM

That's a challenge I'd like to take, I'm gonna setup a kickstarter to raise a billion just so I can see how hard it is to spend all of it. I'll report my findings here!

Fuckin_Salami • 8 points • 29 April, 2015 10:22 AM

I like this place you speak of. One day I shall have my own hooker Ferrari mansion.

exit_sandman • 3 points • 29 April, 2015 08:42 AM

Maybe she wants to star in the real life gender-flipped version of Brewster's Millions.

AnotherLostCause • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 10:12 AM

Bad investing can cost you a lot of money fast, just ask any former pro sports star.

Rathadin • 3 points • 29 April, 2015 04:37 PM

True, but they're only dealing in millions, not a billion.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 01:28 PM

Can I come visit your mansion?

exit_sandman • 9 points • 29 April, 2015 08:41 AM

it seems unfortunate that this is a "win" that a woman needing more than a billion and still getting to keep that billion is a "win", it still sucks and says so much about what's wrong. Still I guess it is nice to see the courts strike her down.

This was my first idea as well. Besides, the problem with all that "used to a certain lifestyle"-stuff in that case is that there's *no way in hell* you need 1 billion dollars to keep up with *any* lifestyle, and if you do, you should be euthanized for being a drag on society.

Rathadin • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 04:39 PM

In actuality, if you're spending \$1 billion over the course of even a few years (thus necessitating the need for half of Hamm's fortune - \$9 billion - to 'maintain her lifestyle') you're actually a huge boon to society.

The ridiculous shit you buy isn't made by other billionaires, its made by the poor and the middle class. Sure, the shit she would buy is less of a boon to the economy as say, a new iPhone or Galaxy S, but she's still funding jobs, even if its a much smaller amount of jobs than a large-scale consumer like the middle class.

Embracing_the_Pain • 3 points • 29 April, 2015 03:26 PM

Honestly, it sounds very similar to a moment on Mad Men from a few weeks ago. Instead of dealing with a bitching ex-wife always try to get a little more money out of him at a time, Don Draper just gives her a million dollars to fuck off and stay out of his life.

Rooibosisboss • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 09:31 PM

Ha funny you bring that up, I was thinking the same thing. Which as a side note, the episodes so far this season have been decent, but it's the final season, they don't seem to be going anywhere, but I'm sure they'll wrap it up in a way I'm satisfied with, they have good writers.

Surf_Or_Die • 60 points • 29 April, 2015 02:18 AM

I don't know about their life. Don't know if they have kids. I don't know what their life was like. Surely he could part with one or two million dollars. It's nothing to him. The fact that she got a billion is an absolute disgrace. How the fuck does anyone deserve a billion in a divorce?! She's entitled to her old life style?! What the fuck does that mean?! Does that mean that he's entitled to her pussy?! Fuck marriage.

Halfjor • 55 points • 29 April, 2015 02:43 AM

Even if she got \$50-100 million it would be somewhat tenable (in context). The guy has \$11.4 billion, so the assumption would be that she needs that much to maintain her lifestyle.

A whole BILLION though? People do incredible things, push groundbreaking ideas, and change the world. Geniuses. The 1% of the 1% of achievers. The majority of them don't even get close to earning one billion dollars. This woman got a billion just for spreading her legs for a few years.

Fuck man. There should be a legal limit on how much someone can get from a divorce settlement. More than 1% of multiple state GDPs seems pretty fucking excessive.

zxsteven • 55 points • 29 April, 2015 03:25 AM

Maybe now she can join the top 20 richest women who didn't earn their money.

krakosia • 30 points • 29 April, 2015 05:03 AM

There was a mention of this earlier. Somewhere close to 90% of the richest women in the world have either inherited it from their father or got it as part of a marriage.

[deleted] • 18 points • 29 April, 2015 06:15 AM

These are the exact kind of statistics you won't see on any mainstream media, ever. Too busy jerking off over an imagined wage gap, gotta push that bullshit narrative down our throats.

zxsteven • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 12:32 PM

Yeah, I was referring to that one.

krakosia • 2 points • 30 April, 2015 02:46 AM

Meet the world's richest women worth a combined \$220 BILLION (and not one of them made it herself)

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2970659/Meet-world-s-richest-women-worth-combined-230-BILLION.html#ixzz3YkytfsUX>

zxsteven • 3 points • 30 April, 2015 03:29 AM

1, inherited from father 2, married into the family 3, inherited from father 4, inherited from grand father 5, inherited from husband

Rathadin • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 04:47 PM

Man, please tell me you guys have a link for this. I'd love to read that article.

krakosia • 1 point • 30 April, 2015 02:44 AM

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2970659/Meet-world-s-richest-women-worth-combined-230-BILLION.html>

Meet the world's richest women worth a combined \$220 BILLION (and not one of them made it herself)

PlebDestroyer • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 03:08 AM

Fuck. Well no one said life is fair...

Philhelm • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 10:13 PM

Maybe the scales of justice should be tipped. I, for one, would peel the screaming flesh from her bones for only a mere \$1,000,000.

Iupvoteforknowledge • -6 points • 29 April, 2015 04:18 AM

Blows my mind people are so rich. A thousand dollars right now would literally change my god damn life for so much better.

AlwaysBulking1 • 10 points • 29 April, 2015 05:17 AM [recovered]

Have you tried marrying a Billionaire?

Iupvoteforknowledge • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 06:56 AM [recovered]

I don't think they know I exist. I've never even seen someone above my class level.

HerculestheRed • 3 points • 29 April, 2015 09:58 AM

Maybe you have. A lot of millionaires and even some billionaires who do a good job of not being public figures blend in well.

The richest man I ever met had more than two commas for a fact, and he drove a fucking Camry because flaunting wealth just leads to people treating you differently or putting a big "FUCKING ROB ME OR ASK ME FOR MONEY, BRO" sign on your back.

RedPope • 25 points • 29 April, 2015 05:41 AM

An extra \$1000 would change your life?

Then get the fuck off Reddit and go earn it. You've made over a dozen posts in the last 24 hours alone. How many hours does that represent? A tiny fraction of the time you've wasted on this site. You could've been finding shit to sell. Or working an extra shift. Or learning a skill to get a better job.

Blows my mind people are so lazy.

Iupvoteforknowledge • -8 points • 29 April, 2015 07:01 AM*

Dude. First off, fuck you and the people upvoting you without knowing shit about me or my situation. I just got laid off yesterday. I've been sending resumes out all day today. I don't have a car. A thousand dollars right now would buy me a cheap used one. I've been reading, writing, redditing, and Craigslisting apartments and jobs today while simultaneously packing my stuff up because I'm in the middle of moving out my house because my roommates bailed on me after my landlord raised our rent. I just very recently studied and passed my personal training certificate and hope to move into the fitness industry now.

Don't just assume everyone on reddit is a lazy fuck. I'm in the middle of my entire life changing right now and I'm doing my best to get a job and earn my money.

Ker_Splish • 4 points • 29 April, 2015 11:04 AM

Don't take it personally man. Nobody on the internet knows you from a hole in the dirt, and they don't care. You're a couple of sentences on a website, not even a human really.

If it makes you feel any better, none of the shit you're going through is going to matter in 5 years anyway; it's gonna suck for now but it will fuel your desire, your HUNGER for success in years following if you apply yourself and get that skrilla. Best of luck dood.

Iveneverseenanocelot • 10 points • 29 April, 2015 07:25 AM

you do realize you're making excuses to 15 completely anonymous people that you will likely never meet in your life.

HerculestheRed • 4 points • 29 April, 2015 09:55 AM

I was in similar shoes to yours before. 2 pieces of advice: 99.99~% of people on the planet won't ever know your story, or that you're working in the dark where they can't see. All they know you as is what they currently see and what they can get from you. That's just how it is.

2)You're getting your life right, and relatively young compared to how much time you COULD have wasted. So you're going to need thick skin. Who gives a fuck what RedPope, or me, or anyone else thinks? His advice is solid for how much of you that he knew (what he saw). You know you're more than that, so his advice shouldn't hurt your feelings.

Get thicker skin man. His advice is solid from what he knew of you, but if you know better what the fuck do you care? If Carlos Slim Helu puts on raggedy clothes and stands next to an alley and someone gives him money, all they're going to see is what they see: a poor homeless guy.

Yet Carlos knows that he has billions in net worth. Do you think he's going to get upset at the guy who saw that?

If you're getting your life straight, great man. Thick skin in the future will help. If 20~ people agreeing with a comment about how a situation 'looks' gets your feelings hurt, you need 2 balls, not a thousand bucks.

soccerplusaviation • 5 points • 29 April, 2015 07:34 AM

true. if one billion dollars is not enough for you, you don't deserve it at all. It's not like she is going to put the money to good use anyways.

BadgerBurger • 40 points • 29 April, 2015 02:12 AM

She's gonna sue her lawyers for malpractice for failing to advise her of the consequences of cashing the check. She'll get a settlement out of that firm and their insurers on top of the \$1B.

notmyuzrname • 27 points • 29 April, 2015 04:20 AM

She herself is a lawyer. She has a JD from University of Tulsa. God this woman is a nightmare.

OttoRocketWoogidy • 6 points • 29 April, 2015 03:06 PM

And she was still stupid enough to cash the check?! Wow what an idiot.

IllimitableMan • 9 points • 29 April, 2015 03:02 AM

Are you serious? I can't tell here. Sounds pretty fucked up.

putsch80 • 35 points • 29 April, 2015 03:13 AM [recovered]

He is serious. And she is very likely to sue her attorneys. Not just for this fuckup, but for a bigger one that happened earlier in the case. Mr. Hamm apparently offered Sue Ann something like \$4-5 *billion* as a settlement. Her lawyers allegedly advised her against taking it, telling her she would get more at trial. Instead, she only got \$1 billion. That is probably where the bigger part of the malpractice claim will come into play (assuming that it is true).

The good news is that she won't collect much of any malpractice judgment. I would be surprised if the attorneys are insured for more than \$10 million apiece. So, even if the insurance companies settle for the full policy amount, that would leave Sue Ann something like \$3.95 billion short of what she could have received in the settlement from her ex-husband.

mainst • 32 points • 29 April, 2015 04:22 AM [recovered]

Mr. Hamm apparently offered Sue Ann something like \$4-5 billion as a settlement.

That's so awesome. I wonder if she heard the story of Icarus. Greedy little bitch!

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 April, 2015 11:05 AM

Well if you put that into perspective... 1 billion is fucking nothing compared to that shit lol, greedy whore.

ztsmart • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 06:24 PM

Guys get married thinking they are going to spend their life with a girl they love, and time after time the girl turns around and uses the legal system to take everything the guy has spent his lifetime acquiring.

And yet they keep getting married...

Claude_Reborn • 83 points • 29 April, 2015 02:32 AM

This was never about the money, and always about punishing him.

Most divorces are.

trplurker • 22 points • 29 April, 2015 03:17 AM [recovered]

Yep which is why men need to take the lead (in a divorce), prepare and file first. First strike is critically important in order to force the women into a defensive mindset where she is trying to protect herself vs an offensive one where she is trying to attack the man.

BowsNToes21 • 19 points • 29 April, 2015 04:52 AM [recovered]

During a spring internship a coworker told me, "Talk to all your divorced friends and coworkers about how much they were screwed over. Whoever got bent over the most you need to hire the attorney his ex wife used."

fuck_da_haes • 4 points • 29 April, 2015 08:53 AM

First strike should be either a) not getting married b) having flawless prenup

Frobenioid • 14 points • 29 April, 2015 12:40 PM

Sadly prenups get thrown out regularly. Here are some recent examples:

1. Judge throws out prenup bride-to-be was forced to sign
2. Long Island Woman Wins 'Groundbreaking' Prenup Battle despite cheating on her husband: N.Y. Prenup Battle Winner 'Destroyed' Family With Affair, Cousin Says
3. Judge Tosses Out Prenup: Dodgers ownership still undecided
4. U.K. Court May Throw Out Heiress's Pre-Nuptial Agreement
5. Lost in translation! Wife in \$15million divorce battle with gallery guru wins right to have pre-nup thrown out because it was written in German (**she was raised in a German-speaking household, by the way**).
6. Just because Cynthia signed a prenup does not mean a judge won't throw it out, divorce attorneys told ABC News. Airtight prenuptial agreements are rare, and a number of factors can give an angry spouse the means to get out of it.

Et cetera. Just be careful.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 07:08 PM

2, im getting a headache from rage.

fuck_da_haes • 0 points • 29 April, 2015 01:03 PM

Yep, I got a lawyer in close family and I do not live in US, so ... I have actually a small chance to not be raped in court, small ...

trplurker • -7 points • 29 April, 2015 08:56 AM **[recovered]**

This is assuming marriage has already taken place, otherwise there would be no need for a divorce. Your logic can be boiled down to the following To avoid all problems your first strike should be to not be born.

Now be quiet because adults are speaking.

gymgoer205 • 4 points • 29 April, 2015 10:09 AM **[recovered]**

You're an unbelievably stupid man aren't you ?

[deleted] • 0 points • 29 April, 2015 12:15 PM

Why the hell would any man want to get married in the first place?

[deleted] • 33 points • 29 April, 2015 01:00 AM

I wonder if he framed it and hung it on his wall?

Xealous • 17 points • 29 April, 2015 01:50 AM **[recovered]**

Just imagine being able to write something like that.

putsch80 • 24 points • 29 April, 2015 03:09 AM **[recovered]**

The best part is where he actually has to spell out the dollar amount and take it all the way through two lines because it's such a big fucking number.

Cmon_Just_The_Tip • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 11:49 AM [recovered] [recovered]

And he still has 10 times that left in his account

[deleted] • 31 points • 29 April, 2015 01:47 AM

What an amazing "Fuck you" it must have been to just cut a check and move on to other things.

[deleted] • 7 points • 29 April, 2015 11:16 AM

i think bill burr did a bit about it

Edit: Bill Burr - Wife Turned Down \$975 Million Divorce Check

Philhelm • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 10:16 PM

"For" was, sadly, left blank.

InfiniteBauer • 1 point • 30 April, 2015 04:51 AM

Just think of all the things he could have written.

[deleted] • 21 points • 29 April, 2015 03:08 AM

She still got an undeserved billion. She didn't make that money. He made it, she leeches it.

RedBigMan • 6 points • 29 April, 2015 04:25 PM

What don't you know her vag is made out of solid gold he was totally lucky to be busting a nut in that or something. Not like any other woman has vag at cheaper prices. /s

NaughtyFred • 3 points • 29 April, 2015 11:32 PM

She was also able to deepthroat at 300rpm and hold her breath for 20 minutes

[deleted] • 28 points • 29 April, 2015 12:20 AM

She still has a billion. I wonder if any of that will be going to charity?

putsch80 • 48 points • 29 April, 2015 12:27 AM [recovered]

Probably not a penny. She said she wanted to appeal because a billion dollars was unfair and that she and her kids couldn't live on that.

[deleted] • 116 points • 29 April, 2015 12:55 AM [recovered]

Anyone who can't live off of that doesn't deserve to live.

chinawinsworlds • 6 points • 29 April, 2015 12:35 PM

He should have hired a very expensive assassin to save 1 billion dollars.

Cell-i-Zenit • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 02:46 PM

my go to tbh.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 07:09 PM

or my stupid ass. nobody would suspect me!

Philhelm • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 10:17 PM

Shit, I'd consider killing her free of charge just for being the embodiment of every cunt that ever existed.

RedBigMan • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 04:10 PM

Anyone who can't live off a billion dollars should buy some 22 calibre asprin and apply directly to the forehead

Squeezymypenisy • 23 points • 29 April, 2015 01:16 AM

What that translates too is they can't live the lifestyle she had when she was still married. As its no longer an income, but a stagnant amount. Unless she invests it.

Surf_Or_Die • 28 points • 29 April, 2015 02:21 AM

How the fuck do you even spend that money? The interest alone allows you to live a fucking James bond life style.

Independentmale • 34 points • 29 April, 2015 03:18 AM

Invested at a paltry 2% rate of return and you could completely blow \$20M a year and never touch the principal. \$1.6M every fucking month to piss away on whatever you want, next month another \$1.6M will be there waiting for you.

I can't even imagine such a lifestyle. It's almost unfathomable. Things like vehicles and houses that most of us work our asses off for years to afford become token impulse purchases.

[deleted] • 8 points • 29 April, 2015 03:59 AM

Vasectomy. Travel the world in luxury. Fuck sloots. Learn new things.

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 April, 2015 05:39 AM

No shit. I tell myself this every time I hear about some retard blowing all their lottery earnings in 4 to 5 years. If you invested HALF of your winnings (lump sum, monthly for 20 years, whatever) you could still live a life style that, as you said, I seriously can't imagine. I do pretty well on my middle class note. House at 25, nice truck, all the toys I can think of but having literally 100x that a month? I would probably waste more time sitting on my ass THINKING of all the ways instead of actually spending it.

RedBigMan • 3 points • 29 April, 2015 04:23 PM

If I was making \$1.6M a month while sitting on my fucking ass I'd be giving so much away... I mean think about it $\$1.6M / 30\text{-}31 \text{ days} = \$50K$ a day to give away.

You can't take it with you after all.

PlebDestroyer • 10 points • 29 April, 2015 03:11 AM*

With a very conservative 5.3% interest rate, having a billion saved nets you a \$53M return yearly. What.the.fuck

Talisk3r • 7 points • 29 April, 2015 04:25 AM

No need to risk it like that, real estate prices in San Francisco go up 20% per year, just buy a few city blocks, you are nearly guaranteed to crush any bond or stock yields for vastly lower risk.

Edit meant to post to another comment but leaving anyway :p

PlebDestroyer • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 01:04 PM

I want to learn more about this and real estate. Recommendations?

alach11 • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 04:33 PM

Lol you're delusional if you think real estate appreciation is "guaranteed" or "lower risk".

Talisk3r • 1 point • 1 May, 2015 06:35 PM

Lower risk is relative, look at a historical chart of real estate prices in San Francisco vs investments in the stock market

http://www.paragon-re.com/20_Year_Investment_Analysis

alach11 • 1 point • 1 May, 2015 09:07 PM

In hindsight you're right, SF real estate would have been a better choice. But it's hard to predict where home prices will rise or fall. You're taking the best-case scenario and comparing to average stock performance. I could just as easily take the best-case stock choice and compare it to average real estate performance.

Talisk3r • 1 point • 1 May, 2015 09:39 PM

sure real estate everywhere wont go up, but certain areas have such high economic demand backing them up that you can make a well placed bet.

for San Francisco Silicon Valley would have to collapse entirely for the housing prices to decline. Not just One or two companies.

I wasn't really intending to offer others investing advice but if I had a billion dollars I know there are a few great places outside of the stock market where I would put money. I've dealt with the stock market most of my life and I have stock investments like most people but if I had real money I wouldn't bother with the stock market I think there are much better investments out there.

Squeezymypenisy • 5 points • 29 April, 2015 04:19 AM

You'd be surprised what a woman can do with money.

choikwa • 9 points • 29 April, 2015 04:53 AM

You'd be surprised what a woman can do **to** money.

oldredder • -5 points • 29 April, 2015 03:08 AM

You haven't seen interest rates lately... they are actually quite low unless you toss it to the market-casino and frequently it goes wrong very fast and is eaten by trading fees too.

bicepsblastingstud • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 12:07 PM

Brother, \$1B is not going to be eaten by trading fees.

oldredder • 1 point • 30 April, 2015 05:41 AM

No but it will be eaten by markets when not insider trading. Literally all the gains in the markets today are made by insider trading and HFT (illegal) quote-spoofing.

<https://twitter.com/nanexllc>

If you're not the inside-trader you're the sucker. It's that simple.

1independentmale • 21 points • 29 April, 2015 03:10 AM

I'm so tired of this "lifestyle she's accustomed to" horse shit. That lifestyle comes with a man attached to it. He made the money, that's his lifestyle. Lose the man, you should lose the lifestyle.

Don't wife (or knock) them up and these things play out much more logically.

Squeezymypenis • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 04:20 AM

Calm bro. I was not defending her thinking or her choices. I was simply saying why she kept suing. And its different when your wealthy. That guy who ran for vp with romney married into wealth. His wifes father owned a massive brewery. When your very wealthy marriage is used to inherit more assests and interests.

1independentmale • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 01:54 PM

Oh, I know you weren't defending it. My comment was directed at the women who believe this and the family courts that support them.

Cmon_Just_The_Tip • 6 points • 29 April, 2015 11:57 AM

Had she been allowed to go ahead with the appeal, it would have been great if the judge imposed one condition: having to volunteer at 10 different homeless shelters, and at the end of her shift say these exact words to those people. Explain to them how she can't get by on a billion she got by spreading her legs. Fucking rotten whore

krakosia • 3 points • 29 April, 2015 05:12 AM

Jeezus. A billion dollars is an unimaginably large amount of money and there are very few things in life that you can do to even exhaust that amount if you are not doing crazy business ventures like mining an asteroid or something.

UmphreysMcGee • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 11:04 AM

She did not seriously say that...

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 11:08 AM

If someone went to her mansion and shot her 50 times no one would blame the person who did it

Redpillthriller • 25 points • 29 April, 2015 01:32 AM

Charities are a scam. Very little actually goes to those in need.

TheOneTrueMagnet • 5 points • 29 April, 2015 02:25 AM **[recovered]**

The secondary definition for charity is tax-deductible party for the rich and powerful. I imagine that a lot of that money will go to charities with the right people and fun parties.

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 April, 2015 06:45 AM **[recovered]**

Some are some aren't. I am part of a men's charity that gives back 90% to the cause of sending inner city kids to summer camp. We do this by throwing parties for the elite of the city, but we raise over 1.5

million a year this way helping over 2500 kids. It's a charity all run by Men and it's very well run and gets shit done.

It's a beacon of hope in a city that is so full of bleeding hearts that it just quietly does its work to make the next generation better. All of the work is done on a volunteer basis but being a volunteer is a blast and the networking into the old boys club is great.

Seriously look at your local service orgs, lions, rotary, elks, moose etc and learn from the older men there about life and making your community a better place.

TheOneTrueMagnet • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 05:16 PM [recovered]

So you..... throw tax-deductible parties parties for the rich and powerful.

I am well aware that some charities do good things. That doesn't mean that the "lady" in the OP won't go to charity events to show off her money.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 09:10 PM [recovered]

If she wants to come to our event, and have her money do some good, I won't have an issue with that. I would rather it be used for that then go to buy her latest handbag.

ucfgavin • 13 points • 29 April, 2015 05:06 AM

hopefully she hooks up with some random young dude that takes all of her money.

iopq • 14 points • 29 April, 2015 06:50 AM

That's not how that works. That's not how any of this works.

ucfgavin • 5 points • 29 April, 2015 07:15 AM

i know :(the world sucks sometimes

redkick • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 10:29 AM

It is possible. Not by divorce, but people like that are sometimes very gullible (not having needed to fight to survive in the past). A decent con artist could take the money and “invest in very promising endeavors”.

...aaand it's gone

SoldierGenerale • 39 points • 29 April, 2015 02:26 AM

Never get married, kids.

Unless your wife is a billionaire, ofcourse.

putsch80 • 23 points • 29 April, 2015 03:07 AM [recovered]

It is worth noting that Mr. Hamm neglected to get a prenup. Even though he was well into his adulthood and had an established business when he married Sue Ann. Oh, and Sue Ann was his **second wife**.

The system is already not in a man's favor. No need to give yourself self-inflicted wounds on top of it.

UmphreysMcGee • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 11:19 AM [recovered]

Prenups almost never hold up in court anyway.

putsch80 • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 12:04 PM [recovered]

This is simply no true. I'm a lawyer. A prenup being invalidated is the rare exception. Really, the only time previous get invalidated is when: (1) you don't give your fiancé the opportunity to have their lawyer examine it before they have to sign it, or (2) when the prenup deals with things affecting future kids like custody or child support (and even then they are only invalidated to the extent of those provisions dealing with kids), or (3) you have provisions dealing with dividing up assets earned during the marriage rather than before the marriage.

HS-Thompson • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 12:09 PM [recovered]

That #3 is a pretty damm huge exception it should be noted.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 11:11 AM

He probably couldn't give a fuck with 11 billion.. holy shit

LuckyLuke23 • 8 points • 29 April, 2015 05:09 AM

i've seen this happen before

people do this NOT for the money, it's so the other person has nothing. marriage is a world wind of emotions and when the women gets scorned all hell break loose....

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 07:10 PM

how come every divorce case has the man "just not wanting to fight her and still loving her" and the woman being a total fucking cunt every time?

LuckyLuke23 • 1 point • 30 April, 2015 03:33 AM

yeah that CAN happened... ou just never hear about it

VanityKing • 7 points • 29 April, 2015 04:22 AM [recovered]

I really don't understand how anyone can justify giving her 1 BILLION dollars of her husbands hard earned company, but to ask for more? I mean really now, why is society letting these sort of things happen?

news • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 06:42 PM [recovered]

She was chancing it. She knew she could probably get more so why not try? Bitches don't think fairly or rationally in the same way as us. 'Fair' to her is her getting access to maximum resources with minimal effort.

mathis5332 • 3 points • 29 April, 2015 07:58 AM

The sad thing is, that she "only" "lost" because of a strategy error. Chances are, had it not been for that error, she would have received more.

NightsuN • 4 points • 29 April, 2015 07:14 AM [recovered]

\$1B

if it was me, i'd consider hiring a hitman for that, it's nothing personal about the ex-wife anymore but \$1B is \$1B lol

how much is a good hitman worth, like max 10 mil ?

shit like this almost supports murder imo, she didn't do ANYTHING NEAR the amount of work to get \$1B

[deleted] • 4 points • 29 April, 2015 11:12 AM [recovered]

Fucking hell dude, I'd let you hire me for 1 fucking million even to stab a bitch like that with a fork

Cell-i-Zenit • 3 points • 29 April, 2015 02:50 PM

the price is for an *accident*.

Viklove • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 11:23 AM

A good hitman wouldn't leave a trace. He's not exactly buying your willingness.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 12:33 PM

Well I didn't say anything about good did I? ;)

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 07:12 PM

haha be very gentle and make it super painless;)

edwardhwhite • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 02:10 AM

Epic blunder. Give the lawyer who came up with that plan a partnership.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 05:59 AM

[permanently deleted]

redzorp • 7 points • 29 April, 2015 06:20 AM

Have you been living under a rock the past 40 years?

It doesn't matter if SHE filed for divorce. In the Cuntocracy we live in, the rules are "no fault" (she could have had 3 million adulterous affairs and snorted coke all afternoon - makes no difference) and that the higher earning spouse (97% of the time, the man) has to fork over alimony to the lower earning spouse to maintain the lifestyle she is used to. End of story.

putsch80 • 3 points • 29 April, 2015 11:57 AM [recovered]

Divorce is a "no fault" situation. Doesn't matter who files. Under the old fault-based divorced regime, the party who filed (usually the woman) still got big alimony and property divisions. The filer would have to prove the non-filing spouse was at fault for the marriage not working (such as abuse, abandonment or adultery).

Dr_HoaxArthurWilmoth • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 06:23 AM

Apart from Redpill observations, who the fuck was her legal team? What happened is equivalent to a first-baseman hiding the ball and waiting for the runner to step off the plate, and successfully tagging him out.

A paralegal's sandwich could have told her to not accept the check, let alone cash it. Those idiot lawyers just cost their firm hundreds of billable hours representing this vile creature, milking that greed ridden prostitute for all they could get.

UmphreysMcGee • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 11:23 AM

I'm pretty sure they did and she just got greedy. It took her a while to cash it, and right after the ruling the news reports were saying she wasn't going to. She's an attorney too, so there's no way she can claim ignorance on the matter.

Dr_HoaxArthurWilmoth • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 11:46 AM

What the average American makes in one month, she was making in one hour.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 10:58 AM

I wonder what she did besides suck a dick to get all that money? Sucking his dick is probably the hardest she has ever worked in her life...

Stopher • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 11:36 AM

Meh. I'm not shedding a tear for anyone in this story including the guy and if walking away with a billion dollars is losing sign me up to be the Washington generals.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 11:47 AM

Sigh... This is what happens when you aren't careful with who you marry. Of course being a cynical person I personally would never marry, but if you intend to do so, just make sure you know what you're getting yourself into. If not, you may end up just like this man, getting his money squandered by a manipulative gold digger.

tat_zizzy • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 11:58 AM

Wouldn't a prenup have saved him from all this trouble? Doesn't a well made prenup guarantee that the ex wife gets absolutely nothing when the couple divorces? Please educate me on this.

shockwave_dave • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 12:35 PM

How do they even work out the wealth that should be transferred from one spouse to the other on divorce? Or where was the precedent to set the rulings? Seems in the majority of cases to be 50%, which is ludicrous given that I'd imagine in the majority of cases, the person filing for divorce has nowhere near anything to do with the said 50% that the other person earns

putsch80 • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 01:17 PM **[recovered]**

Take a look through the comments. I posted a link to a PDF of the actual divorce decree. It goes through great detail of all the evidence the court heard and how it came up with the property division. It's around 100 pages though, so expect a long read.

For most people, the reason a 50-50 split occurs is because the husband and wife don't have any separate pre-marital property at the time of divorce. This could be because they really didn't have shit when they got married, or because they converted their separate property (what they individually owned before marriage) into marital property, such as by putting it in a joint bank account, spending it on a car titled in both their names, or investing it in the house they both live in. Marital property is presumed by courts to belong to both parties equally (hence the 50-50 split) unless there is some compelling evidence to the contrary.

shockwave_dave • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 09:12 PM **[recovered]**

Cheers, will have a look at it

redpillbanana • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 01:27 PM

Admittedly, if someone handed me a billion dollar check, I'd be pretty tempted to deposit it as well, if only to see the look on the bank teller's face.

"Please put half of this in checking and half in savings. And can I get \$10 back in quarters? Those coin laundry machines are so damn expensive."

Still...I wouldn't have the nerve to ask for more than a billion, especially if I didn't do anything to deserve it.

Hennez • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 03:36 PM*

A billion is still too much for practically doing nothing, just putting her vagina during 20+ years (I think it was around 40 but I don't recall now) and having a life of not doing actually nothing to increase the company's value. That amount is insane. Even a hundred million is insane "for living". Come on, if an average TRPer had 100.000.000 with only 1.000.000 would give himself the life of a god and had the other 99.000.000 invested earning him money without actually doing anything.

Picture this: I think she should be around 50 y.o, if a spoiled woman like her spends 2.000.000 each year it would take 500 years to spend it. Her life expectancy should be at around 80 years (just a figure I came up with), that's 30 years of spending and is not even close to spend the whole 1.000.000.000.

Absolutely fucking insane hamster spinning on behalf of that woman to demand more!

putsch80 • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 04:13 PM [recovered]

If you look at the divorce decree (PDF linked elsewhere in the comments), the court does go through some of the work Sue Ann did at Continental Resources (the source of most of their wealth). It starts at paragraph 161. She is a licensed attorney and at various points served as Vice President of the company.

I'm not justifying that her work was worth billions, but she also wasn't just a worthless hole laying around to be fucked. She no doubt deserved less than billions, but the court (I believe rightly) found she certainly played at least some small role in the success of the company.

Hennez • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 04:21 PM [recovered]

Oh, I see. Didn't know that fact and reading through all of that was out of the question for time's sake. The amount is still insane.

carnage_panda • 0 points • 29 April, 2015 09:25 AM

Harold Hamm has a shit ton of money. Earlier during this case he had so much money that he lost \$5 billion of net worth due to falling gas prices.

He only had to pay out \$1 billion to his ex-wife to get rid of her. While that's a staggering amount of money to the normal Joe Schmo, it's unlikely Hamm gives two fucks. If I had a net worth of over \$10 billion and had to only give \$1 billion of it away to get rid of someone I was married to that I just couldn't fucking stand anymore, I'd do it. It's called cutting your losses.

I'm actually more surprised that there's a billionaire living in a shithole like Oklahoma.

putsch80 • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 12:00 PM

There's actually several billionaires who live in the shithole I call home. There's oil here.

carnage_panda • 1 point • 29 April, 2015 06:38 PM

I'm aware. I was born there. My grandfather inherited oil monies from his mother.

The state is still a shithole.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 01:28 PM

He is not the only billionaire that lives here. There is far more money in Oklahoma than most people realize.

<http://okc.about.com/od/factsandinformation/tp/The-Wealthiest-People-In-Oklahoma.htm>

[deleted] • -5 points • 29 April, 2015 11:15 AM

Or you know, hire the best hitman you can find with all dat money n fuck the bitch

[deleted] • -6 points • 29 April, 2015 08:01 AM*

The thing you geniuses don't understand is that a guy will occasionally build a strong empire with no small guidance & work from his first wife. Some wives deserve alot because they did alot. Some of you guys have almost nothing relatively to speak of & pretend you wouldn't work your ass off for your ideal woman (if she proved her loyalty to you.) to build something together. Sometimes people will work harder for their loved ones than they would have for themselves. Nobody builds a huge life by themselves.

-Edit.... Alot of guys wrote me the hate saying dumb shit like "He is already divorced!!!" Believe me I understand that part. I would never say this woman deserved more of a settlement after the fact.

I was referring to the numerous attitudes here that pretend "I don't need any bitches, I'm going to build it myself."

That may be true, but there are alot of alpha guys that are married & their wives had no small part in their success. To pretend ALL women are mostly fucked up losers is weak minded.

If you only know tramps & thieves.....you need to experience life more.

Men & women are more separated & weak than ever, but there are still tons of people that actually like good behaviour alongside strong characteristics. I understand redpill is about waking up to the reality of now, but the reality is not all people are bad, materialistic & whores. Many are, but not all.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 April, 2015 11:42 AM

True. his wife was with him when he wasnt so filthy rich. too bad that they divorced but she wasn't a dumb bimbo.

\$1 billion. man, why fight that?