

Sex Positivity Does Nothing For Men.

July 21, 2015 | 665 upvotes | by [\[deleted\]](#)

Synopsis:

In this post I'm calling out this sex positive bullshit that gets sold to betas. Feminists have been spending 60 years parroting that they're the holy bringers of moist vaginas. *"No betas, you're not unattractive, the women just aren't slutty enough yet. Help us destroy the patriarchy and you'll get your dick wet. We promise."* Fact is, the whole thing's a con act just like everything else in the feminist repertoire. So here's your comprehensive analysis of how feminists sell sex to betas, why it's a scam, and how we're here to help.

How Feminists Sell Sex:

Feminists have power because they sell sex to betas by promising that [if we get our women all slutted up then betas will get some](#) [(courtesy of [/u/dr_warlock](#))]. The truth that betas never see is that sluts never fuck down. Sluts can get a man a full order of magnitude more attractive than a good girl, they just don't get his commitment. It's like how a man can orbit a girl who's an order of magnitude hotter than he can fuck; he just won't get sex. They say: *"Men and women are equal! All you have to do is support feminism and women will fuck down just like men do!"* Why do you think feminists try to take the credit for the sexual revolution when anyone with two braincells knows that the pill is itself enough to get people fucking?

It supports a narrative: *"The girls actually do want to fuck you but we've socially constructed all of these barriers between you and getting laid. Trust me, if you help us destroy the patriarchy then you'll be drowning in pussy."* The guys buying this horseshit are the same ones who think the woman he orbits isn't fucking him because she's confused. Sex isn't confusing. Dick goes in, dick comes out. The patriarchy's not stopping women from fucking these men. They're not fucking these men because these men aren't fuckable. Weak men don't want to believe that a woman would shove a cactus into her cunt before his dick so feminists just feed the hamster: *"You're totally fuckable bro. In fact, you're SO fuckable that if you're not getting laid, it's because of a society wide conspiracy."*

The Check

Chad Thundercock will happily take a woman's sex just like Slutty Sally will happily take a beta's time but why the fuck would Slutty Sally have sex with Benjamin Beta if she can have sex with Chad? Is it supposed to be in her interest? No, of course not. The answer on every beta's mind whether he wants to admit it or not is that feminists wrote him a sex check. They made a contract with him that if he cuts his balls off and follows instructions then he'll get sex and now he wants them to pay up. They tricked them into believing that he can [negotiate desire](#).

Now that he's trying to cash his check, feminists scramble to invalidate it by letting him know that no woman is ever under any obligation to fuck any man. *"What, is it my fault that no woman's gonna fuck Benny over there? I personally have a Chad preference and that's my right!"* Well when it turns out that EVERY woman has a preference for Chad, Benny gets upset and the feminists use morals, shaming, and public flogging to make him shut the fuck up on places like [Niceguys](#). It's a perfect system. They bait

them in with sex and shut em out with morals. Benny needs to realize that sex positivity isn't about him; it's about spending more time with Chad.

Team Red Pill

At Team Red Pill, we're not be stupid enough to fall for this shit. Feminism's entire existence is predicated on this bad check and what terrifies feminists is that we're not the Niceguys™ who try to cash it but rather we're the skeptical associate telling men not to even let those women write the damn thing. It might be a woman's right not to make good on her deal but it's a man's right not to accept a deal with a shady mobster. Women have always had a monopoly on deciding when sex happens and feminism is nothing more than an abuse of that. They've never had any competition and they didn't set up their business model in a way that can handle any.

Team Red Pill is their worst nightmare because we've figured out how to sell sex and we've destroyed that monopoly. Rather than provide a gynocentric business model about investing in women and letting them choose, we've told men how to invest in themselves and take what they want. Our model comes free with knowledge, understanding, and the time of people who *actually* want to see YOU succeed and who don't want anything more from you. We outcompete them because our contributors want to see you get laid rather than wanting you to support some political dogma. We're gonna take their only product and we're gonna drive those fuckers right out of business. We're not a social movement, we're just strong competitors who can beat their business model.

Shout out to [/u/dr_warlock](#) and [/u/burgundycarpet](#) for some critical input.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

slothsenpai • 163 points • 21 July, 2015 01:53 PM

The real kick in the balls is that whilst betas are effectively being wiped from the gene-pool (or casual sex pool rather since they're gonna be supplicating cucks), they're also being told that exhibiting sexual desire is seen as vile, objectifying, predatory as well where it even extends to admiring CGI game characters. Ironic how they're against slut-shaming yet virgin shame betas, treat them like dirt if they dare express sexual interest or shame alphas as womanising assholes for not committing.

When I'd finally got into lifting, swallowing the red pill and had my first sexual successes, I was still the sweet boy at heart. I was initially never properly the whole "asshole" type to women but I definitely had to diverge from the bullshit feminist principle of not objectifying women or liking them for their bodies. Once you've gained a high enough value (or at least physically attractive), women love being noticed for their looks and bodies, where I'd always point out how hot it was that their tits were soaked in the rain or something along the lines.

Feminism is sex positive for women but extremely sex demonizing for men (good luck if you're a complete, utter beta).

[deleted] • 94 points • 21 July, 2015 02:18 PM

They virgin shame AND tell boys that it's okay to be a virgin. To his face they'll shame him, in writing they'll say it's okay. What this does is make him slightly comfortable in his incel state and keep him afraid to speak out. You don't speak out unless you're very uncomfortable so it's really a very good silencing tactic.

At red pill, we've taken a different approach where we just try to help him anonymously and do what we can to make the men successful. We have some men openly identifying anonymously online as low value incels which allows them to get the help they need. A man telling feminists that he's low value is like telling wolves that you're an injured deer. A man telling red pill the same thing is like a patient telling a doctor his symptoms.

[deleted] • 46 points • 21 July, 2015 03:35 PM

This is why TRP is a force of good, if you subscribe to morality. If it weren't for this place imparting knowledge onto the men here, we would have more Elliot Rogers type characters running around out in the world.

[deleted] • 58 points • 21 July, 2015 04:10 PM

I really don't care at all if some psycho wants to shoot up a sorority house. Deaths from mass shootings are basically negligible just like violence against women. It just doesn't seem like a problem worth thinking about to me. What bothers me is the countless men who go through life unhappy, dissatisfied, and unfulfilled. That's a serious problem that I'm out to solve and solving that problem is what makes RP a force for good.

[deleted] • 31 points • 21 July, 2015 05:27 PM

Yeah, exactly. A big part of RP is a rejection of the slave morality that permeates western culture. The "noble man" creates his own morality based on his own spontaneous experience of "Good." I have experienced that good here. This place has improved my life like nothing else ever has. My personal conception of morality is about spreading the "good" that was given to me.

Snivellious • 11 points • 21 July, 2015 06:43 PM

Somehow I've never run into a summary of master/slave morality. That's *really* useful stuff.

The consequence/intention dichotomy (or personal responsibility/social pressures, or independence/collaboration, or any of 100 other formulations) is at the heart of American social conflicts at the moment. We frame a huge number of different debates between independence and collaborative good, and I never realized why.

My takeaway for today: collective morality is for people who can't cope with individual morality.

vandaalen • 9 points • 21 July, 2015 07:37 PM

If you haven't already, read *The Manipulated Man* to understand the average male slave mindset.

Snivellious • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 07:45 PM

I have not read that yet. It was on my list once and then I lost track of it. Time to set in, I guess.

vandaalen • 5 points • 21 July, 2015 07:57 PM

Go for it. It's not very long and it will change a lot for your perception of society. She wasn't heavily beaten up by four young lesbian feminists for nothing.

cariboo_j • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 02:31 AM

She wasn't heavily beaten up by four young lesbian feminists for nothing.

Bahahaha. Too bad they didn't have the Violence Against Women Act back then...

exit_sandman • 1 point • 24 July, 2015 02:02 PM

Yup. When I first stumbled over the morality dichotomy after being exposed to TRP, this immediately came to my mind.

autoNFA • 17 points • 21 July, 2015 04:23 PM

He killed more men than women.

through_a_ways • 12 points • 21 July, 2015 06:15 PM

Those men were also Asian, lol. And that half Asian dude was also extremely racist towards minorities.

The amount of willful ignorance regarding that case was so thick you could cut it with a knife.

Elodrian • 0 points • 21 July, 2015 06:22 PM

It's not like either are in short supply. Look, wait a sec:

There, back up to baseline population. Like it never happened.

interestedplayer • 8 points • 21 July, 2015 08:51 PM

If you saw Atlas, the giant who holds the world on his shoulders, if you saw that he stood, blood running down his chest, his knees buckling, his arms trembling but still trying to hold the world aloft with the last of his strength, and the greater his effort the heavier the world bore down upon his shoulders - What would you tell him?"

I...don't know. What...could he do? What would you tell him?"

To shrug.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 04:50 PM

That's pretty cold-hearted to not give a fuck about mass-shootings.

It's an ironic twist the public doesn't understand that TRP helps men to not be like Elliott Rodgers.

[deleted] • 16 points • 21 July, 2015 05:46 PM

Women don't give one fuck that tons of men die every year for nothing. I think it just means more men are over playing cpt save a ho. As it should be. A minimum of 50% of men have no purpose in supporting society. There's just nothing in it for them. That's bad for society and a backlash is coming. It won't be pretty. But this is what happens when you undermine the foundation of society.

RP15 • 11 points • 21 July, 2015 06:14 PM

Straight white men in particular have no incentive to continue to serve the society that they built as it demonizes them at every turn and actively promotes those who lead the charge.

[deleted] • 6 points • 21 July, 2015 06:49 PM

Logic says more and more of those men will disengage over time. Who will take their place? No one from what I can see. I can envision a million or more men dropping off the grid and living in vans and tents over the next decade. I don't think society is ready for it.

RP15 • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 07:22 PM

I think the whole purpose behind the progressive left is to relegate straight white men to vans and tents while they occupy the hall of power in the nation we built

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 09:16 PM

The problem always was that those men are in the minority. I think liberalism is a gov backed power play to neutralize their greatest threat. The only people who pose any challenge to gov are the men with the guts to build the country in the first place. Once things were set up, and the most powerful gov in the history of the world was in place, they were a liability. So they had to be minimized. And thats whats been happening since the 60's. Liberals, women, the majority of minorities, theyre all great for gov. None of them see whats happening or care. More debt, more consumption, less freedom, no problem.

mr_one_liner • 0 points • 21 July, 2015 06:51 PM

Big difference between not serving society and advocating (or not caring about)

murder.

RP15 • 6 points • 21 July, 2015 07:11 PM

It's not just the police shootings, it's everywhere else. It's racial quotas, it's the demonization of christianity, it's the constant stream of feminist shit that blames men for all of women's problems, and it's the never-ending racial grievances that make no fucking sense and are not grounded in reality

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 July, 2015 12:18 AM

Have you read the fate of nations by John Glubb?

If not, do.

It's a short essay on, unsurprisingly, the fate of nations. Written in the seventies he compared to rise and fall of great civilisations from historical and contemporary times and accounted the similarities he saw between them.

It's extremely prescient for what you're seeing here.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 06:18 PM

I agree with you that women think weak men are disposable.

However, I see nothing wrong about caring for our fellow men. I would help my brothers and male friends out if they were in need and weren't taking advantage of me.

Just because "women don't give one fuck" about us, doesn't mean we should hold each other down.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 06:45 PM

It's not about men. The concept was that elliot Rodgers would kill women. And that wouldn't shock me. That might not be the reality of it though.

through_a_ways • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 06:18 PM

Women don't give one fuck that tons of men die every year for nothing.

"Women are wonderful"

Men < Women < Children < Puppies

lindependentmale • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 06:38 AM

That's pretty cold-hearted to not give a fuck about mass-shootings.

That's not what I got out of his comment. I read it as mass-shootings are so uncommon and account for so few deaths that they simply aren't worth concerning yourself with.

I agree. Just to use one example, over 41,000 people committed suicide in 2013 alone; 79% were men. If you're going to worry about deaths to the point that you attempt to do something about it, this would be a far more effective area of concern to target your outreach efforts.

Mass shootings (I hesitate to even use the word mass, as most of these sick fucks really only manage to kill a handful of people) claim very few victims by comparison.

[deleted] 22 July, 2015 02:36 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 3 points • 22 July, 2015 02:38 AM

You do realize that it's giving a fuck about what outsiders think is what killed reddit and mensrights, right?

mr_one_liner • -3 points • 21 July, 2015 06:49 PM

Sorry, no, I'm not going to advocate being okay with murder.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 06:54 PM

And that's perfectly fine because here at the red pill, we're not trying to sell you any path to moral righteousness. You can decide murder's wrong, I can keep cooking women and eating them, and at the end of the day we can still be friends!

mr_one_liner • -2 points • 21 July, 2015 07:27 PM

Only on paper. I know it's done to show that TRP is amoral. But in reality, advocating murder doesn't fly.

Hyperbole? Fine. To prove a point? Fine. Thought experiment? Fine. Trolling? Fine. But not IRL.

TRP (and its members) would do itself a disservice by saying such things truly. It's political suicide.

[deleted] • 2 points • 4 August, 2015 05:10 PM

yep, this is correct to the max. I am an incel, a low smv guy that is not helped by the fact that all of the guys around me are chads, and the women who are average are 10s compared to American women, and the women who are below 5 can still go for men who are at least more good-looking and taller than what I am.

I was expressing my life experiences on the purple debate sub when women began to be harsh to me, telling me that I have never gotten laid because I go for women who are 10/10 blonde nymphs, and when I told them no they called me a liar.

And I had one woman who kept on harrasing me, insulting me, calling me a tiny little man with no value to society and to women because I can't protect them. Then she began to make fun of me, literally trash-talking me for being disabled, as if it was my fault somehow that I was born to become a short man, and a man with a sunken chest.

I am used to being either ignored by women with hatred in their eyes even though I don't look at them. I don't hit on them. I mind my business, but it was eye-opening to see how cruel, barbaric and savage women are to men who are of low smv when they are behind a monitor and nothing can happen to them.

oh, and the moderators did nothing about her harrasing me despite the fact that I reported her. Society in itself cares only about Alphas, and the omega males like me would probably have been killed as soon as possible by women, if they ever had the means to do it without going to jail.

exit_sandman • 69 points • 21 July, 2015 03:34 PM

Ironic how they're against slut-shaming yet virgin shame betas, treat them like dirt if they dare express sexual interest or shame alphas as womanising assholes for not committing.

Yeah, that's the funny thing about feminism - it's about women always getting what they want and not letting men deprive her of that.

she sleeps around and gets shamed? Men are at fault because of the patriarchy.

she sleeps around, just wants to have fun, but men fall for her? Men are at fault because they're so immature and clingy.

she sleeps around with bad boys, but falls for them, and gets treated badly/dumped? Men are at fault because they're so immature and self-centered and won't change for her.

she sleeps around with an actually quality man but he decides against her? Men are at fault because they won't commit.

Always remember - whenever your priorities don't align with hers, you are the one who is at fault.

ITranscendRaceHombre • 26 points • 21 July, 2015 04:55 PM

This is one of the most hilarious things about feminism to me. Under the guise of "empowering" women to be "strong" and "independent", it removes all agency from them. It treats them like children while simultaneously brainwashing them into thinking they are as just capable or even better than men. Another perfect example for your list:

she is intoxicated and has sex with an equally drunk man. She has regrets and claimed she was raped. Man is at fault because she was too inebriated to consent.

through_a_ways • 11 points • 21 July, 2015 06:21 PM

It treats them like children while simultaneously brainwashing them into thinking they are as just capable or even better than men.

Feminism doesn't treat women like children, **people** treat women like children.

This is because they're physically neotenous.

Google "women are wonderful"

Feminism is just a logical extension of male emotion. It wasn't "designed" from the ground up, but rather uses already inherent phenomena to get something wanted. Kind of like a gravity slingshot around Jupiter.

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 August, 2015 05:30 PM

I agree with this, the women are wonderful effect is real and its caused by their childish physical make-up. Everyone knows this. That's why they put women selling stuff to men, or how it is always young, attractive women approaching men on the street to ask the men to buy whatever it is they are selling, or to donate money to charities.

Women are aware of this, the effect they cause on men when men look at them. That's why I never look at women if they approach me(to sell me stuff ofc) and I say thank you but I'm not interested. They quickly thank me for my time and they stop talking to me, because they know that if I don't look at them they won't be able to convince me to donate money or to buy what they are selling.

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 August, 2015 05:15 PM

I honestly believe that women get sexually off when they complain, when they have drama to talk about and live through. If you show interest at them, as a beta or an omega male - they hate you, make a whole fuss about it, but you don't look at women, and if you don't pursue them even if you are just an omega male they will still whine and complain that guys aren't attracted to them.

That way they can create drama and get attention from men and from women who'll go "those shallow pigs don't realize how attractive you are" etc. I've had very average and even below average spit on me, literally spit on me just because I said hello, and I've women who were stunning call me a faggot to my face because I wouldn't even look at them.

Shit's crazy, yo.

HeinousFu_kery • 23 points • 21 July, 2015 02:17 PM

It's called relativism and is the underpinning of how modern marketing keeps women of all ages furiously spending someone else's money.

interestedplayer • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 08:54 PM

Relativism is for women, objectivism for men.

CopperFox3c • 50 points • 21 July, 2015 03:34 PM

NEVER listen to what a woman says. Watch what she does.

That's rule #1.

tuxedoburrito • 14 points • 21 July, 2015 04:20 PM

Since I started implementing this, my success has gone way up.

Cute girl at work, I say, "can I get some fries with that shake?"

She laughs. Says "stop it!" And touches my arm.

So I keep flirting with objectifying comments. I'm even honest about it. Talking about someone else and that someone else asks what I'm talking about, "oh we're just objectifying you. You're a babe." They look surprised at how forward I am, but even if they tell me to stop they keep smiling and coming up to me to talk.

Or also the whole "look I'm not going to fuck tonight"

I didn't say anything about that. And yeah, you are. Almost every time.

[deleted] • 22 points • 21 July, 2015 08:33 PM

Don't shit where you eat. If she changes her mind about you (after your done with her or vice versa) you will be on extremely thin ice with HR.

[deleted] • 8 points • 21 July, 2015 11:09 PM

This. Same with customers/clients.

ramot1 • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 03:55 AM

I upboat this comment, but know this: I did it too. When that one finished, she sent somebody to take her place. Amazed I was!

through_a_ways • 5 points • 21 July, 2015 06:23 PM

Replace "woman" with "person", really

razorwan • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 10:20 PM

Very true, but I guess in this context he means it within male-female relationships and the tendency for them to lie about even the most trivial things.

kogsworth • 35 points • 21 July, 2015 01:59 PM

Yeah, sexualizing women is just fine if you're of high enough value. If you're too low though, you're seen as the most vile and disgusting thing ever. "that beta thinks that he can have me? Just the fact that he thinks that lowers my own value"

[deleted] • 9 points • 21 July, 2015 03:15 PM

Sometimes the red pill makes me seethe with anger.

I wish more were aware. I really do.

zymurgy3927 points 21 July, 2015 04:07 PM **[recovered]**

It's not the red pill that has you seething with anger. It is the truth you are seeing underneath all the lies we've been sold.

through_a_ways • 22 points • 21 July, 2015 06:25 PM

It's the hypocrisy that makes people mad.

Men aren't mad that women have it easier and are given an unfair advantage in nearly every facet of life.

Men are mad that despite these advantages, people still want women to have **more**.

cariboo_j • 12 points • 21 July, 2015 07:15 PM

Men are shamed and constantly reminded to feel guilty about how advantaged they allegedly are.

It's like some weird 1984esque matrix of double think.

Epoh • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 06:09 AM

It scares me being in this sub, it feels far too cultish and group-minded sometimes but I also can't resist reading because I intrinsically recognize the truth of much of the discussion from my own experience. Then the question I keep coming back to is how do I stop being such a beta bitch?

tuxedoburrito • 6 points • 21 July, 2015 04:23 PM

It's just a stage. I'm in that stage as well. Anger is good. Means you're a man, means you're alive and it means you're thinking.

[deleted] • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 05:10 PM*

Aye. Same here. But don't be angry at TRP. Be mad at women, and yourself, but realise it's also a first phase on your way to improving yourself.

These things take time, reading, self-introspection, and practice.

The way I see it, after some time, practice, and success, we're going to look back and realise how much all this pays off. You see it all the time in TRP success stories.

And those denying TRP just makes it better, because it's more women to fuck, and more BPs to take women away from.

doveenigma13 • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 04:32 PM

Some men just can't bear it.

erplaint • 7 points • 21 July, 2015 06:46 PM

Feminism is sex positive for women but extremely sex demonizing for men

And this is the big problem. Sex positivity is not the devil. Just think about the fact that women of today will do far more kinky stuff compared to women of say 50 years ago. It's only a problem if you don't apply it evenly. Same reason why I don't share what appears to be the prevalent view on TRP about porn. Porn, in fact, allowed men to go on strike and retreat from society, it was a major step in showing how pussy should not be put on a pedestal and there's a reason why feminists hate it: it breaks their control over male sexuality. Sex positivity in and of itself is not bad for men. It only becomes harmful when it's applied in a lopsided manner like it is in today's society.

DuncanMonroe • 2 points • 22 July, 2015 08:43 AM

Never let a woman shame you for having a sexuality.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 05:14 AM

I was out with a plate a few nights ago at a bar. This one guy worked up the confidence to approach the ugliest girl out of her friends and say something like "I like your tattoos" (lame line, I know) but he was pretty beta (hunched posture, chubby, dressed like shit, pretty "basic" townie dude overall) and the girl just ripped him apart, despite being nothing special herself. I bet you \$1000 that if that guy hit the gym for like 3 or 4 months HARD, worked on his posture, got a new style that fit his personality, and worked on his own life a little bit he would have had a much better outcome despite having a cheesy cheap common pick up line.

What society doesn't tell you is that this is what happens when you're a man and you put no time or effort into your appearance and lifestyle. Girls don't like you, employers don't like you, no one wants to hangout with you etc.. Have you ever had some annoying gross land whale hit on you or try to talk to get your number? Happened to me at school a few times and it's seriously super uncomfortable. Now imagine if they kept persisting like those "nice guys" who keep texting chicks or sending them FB messages.. I would probably tell her to fuck off and get a life.

I feel bad for those guys. Especially since in my beta days I knew how it felt to be a pussy starved 21 year old virgin who's listening to all his roommates have sex while laying alone in bed.. But if they can't take the step forward in bettering their own life and becoming desirable then it's their own fucking fault.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 05:01 PM

Isn't the whole concept of alpha fucks beta bucks the idea that women rather settle down with a beta then chad? Pretty sure this means Chad will be wiped from the gene pool. If you consider the way the economy is going (towards nerdier professions like technology), then this is a good thing. Ltr are not bad things. Also, in my experience, more explicitly feminist girls put out earlier and are freakier in bed.

[deleted] • 8 points • 21 July, 2015 05:52 PM

If anything it means that chads will father the first borns. Women's end game is false paternity. It always has been.

GunsGermsAndSteel • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 05:38 PM

Uhh, the Chad types won't be wiped from the gene pool- it's just that the Beta guys will continue raising Chad's kids.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 06:27 PM

Chad's sperm will get blocked by the birth control, more often than not, because he is fucking her during the younger, hotter years when women are typically on birth control. The sperm of the (greater) betaboy she allowed to marry her gets through to fertilize. (Cuckolding, while an intrigue, doesn't really add up to big numbers.) Mostly it's lesser betas and omegas who will be wiped from the gene pool. Probably a lot of you nerds and rejects reading this, lol. Just kidding.

GunsGermsAndSteel • 10 points • 21 July, 2015 06:59 PM

You're assuming she isn't fucking Chad after she marries Benny Beta. Believe me, she's still fucking Chad.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 07:17 PM

I did mention cuckolding.

And no, let's be realistic, not paranoid. What you are saying happens far less often than you must be thinking.

GunsGermsAndSteel • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 07:47 PM

My feeling on it is that it happens often enough that no, the Chads of the world would not be removed from the gene pool at all.

[deleted] • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 08:36 PM

The depth to which women pursue hypergamy in plentiful conditions (like today) stands in direct opposition to thinking Chad will die out.

BallisticTherapy • 1 point • 23 July, 2015 05:55 AM*

Chad's sperm will get blocked by the birth control

She will let Chad raw dog & bust inside her and demand that the guy she's LTR/married with to wear a condom because she wants to appropriate his superior genetic material and not the that of the inferior beta, who she only values for his resources. When she gets knock-up confirmation, she'll let him stop using condoms to make it look good.

What you are saying happens far less often than you must be thinking.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Acp23ERkks>

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 05:39 PM

Do you really believe that? You realize you can test fathers.

GunsGermsAndSteel • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 05:47 PM

I also realize that unless the "father" has specific reason to suspect that he is not, in fact, the biological father, he will generally never get a paternity test. Why would he?

RedBigMan • 1 point • 23 July, 2015 03:14 PM

Because every man should make 100% certain he's the father before getting on the hook for child support down the line.

ATP - Always Test Paternity

GunsGermsAndSteel • 2 points • 23 July, 2015 05:08 PM

While I agree with you, most men do not, which proves my point.

[deleted] 21 July, 2015 02:16 PM

[permanently deleted]

popthatpill • 17 points • 21 July, 2015 02:53 PM

It makes her sad.

Just not, you know, sad enough to fuck a beta.

Lohengren • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 04:51 PM

ugh I hope that guy doesn't shoot up a school or something

popthatpill • 15 points • 21 July, 2015 04:57 PM

If he does, the press will reliably inform us that the guy was an MRA.

[deleted] • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 05:27 PM

After seeing Betas say this many times on the internet (and having said it myself once or twice back in the day), I always wondered how to go about being a sexist asshole, and also why to be a sexist asshole.

That was back when I thought men and women were alike.

MasherusPrime • 192 points • 21 July, 2015 01:26 PM [recovered]

Being sex positive in the very beginning is the only way to extract the number out of a slut.

[deleted] • 189 points • 21 July, 2015 01:43 PM

I agree -

You: "Don't worry I'm pretty open with sexuality in general, I'm not going to judge you on how many partners you've had before me"

Her: "Ummm, I've been with about 20 guys."

You: "Cool. Have your stuff gone in the next few hours."

PlanB_pedofile • 27 points • 21 July, 2015 02:29 PM

You: "Don't worry I'm pretty open with sexuality in general, I'm not going to judge you on how many partners you've had before me"

Her: "Ummm, I've been with about 20 guys."

You: "Cool, I'll be your 21"

Face the reality. in the sexual field your #4 #8 will be her #20 #40. Just don't ask her to move in or hit up for a LTR.

[deleted] • 24 points • 21 July, 2015 02:32 PM

A high partner count isn't disgusting (within reason), it just instantly disqualifies you from being anything other than a fuckbuddy.

through_a_ways • 25 points • 21 July, 2015 06:12 PM

A high partner count isn't disgusting (within reason), it just instantly disqualifies you from being anything other than a fuckbuddy.

Honestly, it's pretty gross to think about, and the risk of STDs goes up dramatically.

If the *average* girl has a 20% chance of being positive for herpes, what chance does a 25+ y.o. girl with 20+ sexual partners taking birth control have?

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Epidemiology_of_herpes_simplex#United_States

Also keep in mind that those stats are from almost a decade ago, so everything is actually higher than listed.

TreePlusTree • 8 points • 22 July, 2015 03:37 AM

Sex positivity relies on the assumptions STDs do not exist.

Queef-_-Latina • -3 points • 21 July, 2015 11:24 PM [recovered]

If the average girl has a 20% chance of being positive for herpes, what chance does a 25+ y.o. girl with 20+ sexual partners taking birth control have?

I... you... that's not how statistics work.

fewforwarding • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 11:58 PM

<http://imgur.com/gallery/ESuGIVw>

at least according to this chart, marrying anyone other than a virgin is a huge mistake.

fake7272 • 3 points • 22 July, 2015 06:09 AM

STD STD STD STD STD STD STD. yes its fucking disgusting. any girl who has over 10 sexual partners is just a hole for me to fuck, with a condom, and ill still visit the doctor the next day for a penicillin shot

[deleted] 25 July, 2015 04:45 AM

[permanently deleted]

fake7272 • 1 point • 25 July, 2015 01:49 PM

i could be wrong about the type of shot but most STD's are curable with a shot and you are fine. for women having an STD hurts fertility as well as other things even if cured

[deleted] 21 July, 2015 02:05 PM

[permanently deleted]

Heizenbrg • 110 points • 21 July, 2015 02:20 PM

it really is ridiculously high, you recognize the ones who fucked hard through college, they behave like they're some kind of sexual goddesses, getting better and better with more dick exp

awalt_cupcake • 131 points • 21 July, 2015 02:56 PM

I hooked up with a girl who told me after "she really loved sex". My response "I do too." To which she asked "why do I have sex with every guy I want to be friends with?" I didn't respond but in my head I'm thinking *cause you're a dumb slut*.

As experienced as she claimed she was a fuck pillow. Didn't even try to stimulate me. She was a bona-fide 9 but the worst fuck I have ever had. I got more enthusiasm from a fatty 6 and a 7 LTR than this dumbass.

Slutty does not mean good. Slutty just means spoiled and over used.

Kill_Your_Ego • 31 points • 21 July, 2015 06:17 PM

I've found that the sluts are more disengaged during sex. I can use them harder but on the spectrum between wild ravishing girl scratching my back up and fucking for a few hours and basically a sex doll that I just use it's the really high n number girls who are like dolls.

The n~5 ones still have enthusiasm if I fuck them right. The n~20 ones have quite a bit less.

awalt_cupcake • 13 points • 21 July, 2015 07:23 PM

So I have to work even harder for a fun fuck? No thanks. Sounds boring.

mechdemon • 24 points • 21 July, 2015 08:52 PM

and people think I'm crazy when I say jerking off feels better.

Adolf_ghandi • 6 points • 21 July, 2015 08:56 PM

Sometimes it really does :D

[deleted] • 11 points • 21 July, 2015 10:14 PM

I find sluts are actually on both extremes..

I've had a couple that laid there like a rock..

And two strippers that rocked my fucking world

Evolved_Red • 13 points • 21 July, 2015 07:44 PM

It's ironic how the 8's/9/10s are often the worst lay. Again the result of entitlement: she never had to work in order to get sex, so she never really puts in the effort besides spreading her legs.

awalt_cupcake • 25 points • 21 July, 2015 08:01 PM

Then there are daddy issues girls who are easy 8/9 and still fuck like they need it.

Heizenbrg • 13 points • 21 July, 2015 03:18 PM

That is your point of view though, their's is overblown and most likely think it's the best sex you've ever had.

blacwidonsfw • 62 points • 21 July, 2015 03:27 PM

Fatties can never be above A 4

awalt_cupcake • 73 points • 21 July, 2015 05:15 PM

I dunno man she gave me a boner

vandaalen • 96 points • 21 July, 2015 07:33 PM

That's the spirit.

Fuck the HB10-scale and switch to binary. 0 = unfuckable, 1 = fuckable.

LikeViolence • 30 points • 21 July, 2015 08:28 PM

My friends and I use the area code method: 1-9 body 0-would not fuck 1-would fuck 1-9 face

So maybe the six fatty would be something like a 417 to that guy.

MelodyMyst • 5 points • 22 July, 2015 12:28 AM

Amazing how a pretty, smiling face can change a Fatty 5 to a doable 6/7...

king_of_red_alphas • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 04:58 AM

I like this. Stealing.

Dragodar • 1 point • 27 July, 2015 04:22 AM

Is there such thing as a 909? Or would that just be like a crazy bitch who isn't worth it

awalt_cupcake • 23 points • 21 July, 2015 08:02 PM

My dickie needed a quickie and I was like ye ye

Radibomsadoodmanoid • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 09:12 PM

That's how I do it, with a twist: 0 - nope, 1 - absolutely, ½ - with sufficient alcohol

phx-au • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 04:06 AM

Pint scale.

Number of pints required.

Toucan_Play_At_This • -5 points • 21 July, 2015 06:18 PM

Sounds pretty desperate. I've done butterfaces but a fatty no thanks they don't register on the scale for me.

cashmunnymillionaire • 7 points • 21 July, 2015 06:37 PM

I'm the opposite, to each his own.

Toucan_Play_At_This • -1 points • 21 July, 2015 06:41 PM

I don't understand this mentality at all. Aren't fatties naturally low value in TRP philosophy?

awalt_cupcake • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 07:25 PM

She wasn't white. She was one of those races that are nicely rounded when fat not rolls and flaps.

Either way. Don't rock it until you've tried it.

RP15 • 9 points • 21 July, 2015 06:08 PM

I'm partial to binary...1 or 0

ActuallyARaptor • 16 points • 21 July, 2015 03:56 PM

maybe she wasn't full fatty but fat enough to warrant a 6

[deleted] 21 July, 2015 04:51 PM

[permanently deleted]

Kill_Your_Ego • 20 points • 21 July, 2015 06:21 PM*

If you put the women on a more objective scale it doesn't mean that she could be a 6. It just means that in America 60% of the women are 4's or below. And what? Like 30% are obese. So they don't even count. They aren't even women. This throws the balance off for the male/female ratio, IMHO. Especially if you won't fuck a fat girl. Suddenly 60% of the women are gone.

And then all of these women target the same top 20% of us men. It's pathetic getting online dating messages from fat women and then comparing them to the women you're already fucking. Fat women are delusional.

[deleted] 21 July, 2015 06:55 PM

[permanently deleted]

Kill_Your_Ego • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 07:02 PM

I used to use a scale like you are describing but was talked into using a logarithmic scale instead. Still after spending time in a culture where fat women are rare and then coming back to fat land and seeing these monstrous whales walking around like this is somehow normal?

I don't think that there has to be 10% of the female population that are 10's. Rather more like 2% are HB10s. Statistically there are more non fat women of dating age in the Philippines total then the same in America.

And yeah fat women are like betas. You can use them for emotional support and free stuff as well.

Independentmale • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 06:16 AM

This means the bottom 1-10% are 1s, 11-20% are 2s, etc until you get to the top 91-100% being the 10s.

No, it doesn't work like that. At all. 10% of women are not tens. The real number is likely less than 1%. A dime piece is a perfect body in every way. These women are extremely rare.

The number in the rating has nothing to do with the % of women who fit that number. There is simply no correlation. Notice how nobody uses the % symbol when talking about this? Nobody says, "Damn, girl is in the top 90%." That's not what "she's a 9" means. We aren't talking percentages and the numbers are not a direct comparison with other women as a whole. It's simply a judgment on how I perceive her. It's effectively, "On a scale from 1 to 10, how likely am I to fuck this bitch?"

When I say a girl is a 6, I'm not saying she's better than average. I'm saying, "she needs to lose a few pounds, do something with her mediocre hair, she should probably try to dress better and either replace those goofy glasses with

something more fashionable or just get lasik already."

It's also very subjective. My 6 might be another man's 8.

RecQuery • 5 points • 21 July, 2015 06:10 PM

Only after leaving your country and going somewhere without an obesity epidemic do you realise how fat most women are.

Even women you would have previously considered thin look chubby at best.

redestofthereds • 0 points • 21 July, 2015 10:14 PM

They give some damn good head though that's for sure.

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 July, 2015 01:38 AM

Quality is not looks and relationships tend to have more intimate and safer sex.

Myrpl • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 07:05 AM*

Back in college days, 2 of the hottest girls from my class had a very vocal dispute inside a packed cafeteria over who fucked more men. Not in the slut shaming way but in the "I'm fucking more men than you, idiot. You got nothing on me" way.

You might be ironically calling it dick exp, they're not. One of my friends had this 7/10 girl (think "secretary type", glasses and all) and one day she admitted him that she has a diary with the men that she slept, along with what they did. He was baffled as to why the fuck did she showed him that.

But we were naive back then, and considered our luck bad because we keep running in sluts all the time.

HellbillyDeluxe • 13 points • 21 July, 2015 03:43 PM

Wow. I guess I did not realize how much things had changed in America. Anything more than 5 including me for a woman post college (25-35) would give me that terrible gut sensation.

99639 • 23 points • 21 July, 2015 04:10 PM

Most girls I know who use tinder have around 3 current guys they are fucking, which lasts for a couple weeks each guy. They're always rotating new guys in and out but each week they generally fuck their set of guys each a different night.

It doesn't bother me enough not to fuck these girls myself, even right after they tell me about their tinder exploits.

IGoYouStayTwoAutumns • 15 points • 21 July, 2015 08:04 PM

Any girl who's using Internet or mobile dating (OKC, Tinder etc) usually has 3 regular guys she's hooking up with, and then 1 to 3 backups as well (so 4 to 6 really, although they always report "3", as anything more than 3 regulars at any one time would be totally slutty behavior, am I right guys??). Every 2 weeks to 2 months one of the guys is changed out, so fresh Alpha meat is being brought in pretty much all the time, with the losers ghosted as soon as there's a slightly better prospect on the horizon. Doesn't matter how much of a badass you are, you can be the perfect 10 but the new guy who may be a 9 gets a temporary +2 SMV hike for being the unknown quantity, and thus now he's an 11--he wins, you lose (and are dropped from the

rotation accordingly), the end.

Gotta say Roosh definitely called this one a little while ago: the advent of the smartphone (along with mobile apps like Tinder making "instant cock delivery" possible) has made monogamy obsolete.

HellbillyDeluxe • 6 points • 21 July, 2015 04:24 PM

I say fuck away, but never see them as anything beyond that.

99639 • 17 points • 21 July, 2015 04:38 PM

Absolutely. I have always been anti-marriage, childfree, MGTOW, whatever you want to call it, but this present reality confirms it. There is absolutely no way in hell someone can go from fucking three new guys every few weeks for *years* to being content with complete monogomy for a life time. Not gonna happen. That unhappiness will build into resentment against you and will poison the relationship.

HellbillyDeluxe • 17 points • 21 July, 2015 05:09 PM

I would not want to have to come up in today's world for young men. Don't get me wrong there where whores and feminists when I was in HS and College. There are still plenty of whores (some married) and feminists around my social sphere today. But to me the difference between 15-20 years ago and today is that the whores were not celebrated and the culture was not so anti men/anti tradition as it is today. I feel a bit ridiculous even saying that because I am just in my thirties. I grew up in the country so that likely heavily skewed my view of the world so who knows, but either way I look at it it seemed easier to navigate the social waters before tinder and SJW's became the norm.

ImperialDoor • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 09:33 PM

That's what every generation says.

[deleted] • 7 points • 21 July, 2015 05:36 PM

Monogamy isn't the norm for humans anyway. It just isn't.

Overkillengine • 15 points • 21 July, 2015 10:17 PM*

Fair enough, but something had to be implemented in order to get out of the mud hut tribal stage.

Those that didn't eventually got conquered by societies that implemented socialized mate guarding. Because those societies were able to get far more participation & productivity out of all their men.

People should be careful about conflating "normal" or "natural" with "effective" or "constructive".

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 10:27 PM

Serial monogamy is the typical solution. Not necessarily the only one, but probably the most successful.

[deleted] 21 July, 2015 03:48 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 12 points • 21 July, 2015 05:14 PM

They'll get a beta to pay for dinner before ditching him for tinder dick. Shit is fucked up.

PlanB_pedofile • 19 points • 21 July, 2015 02:40 PM

sometimes you can get lucky and find a girl who hasn't discovered the CC yet and has a count of maybe 1 or 2. Usually due to multi year LTR.

but once they hit #4 they become aware of the CC and soon they'll be up to 20, 40, to sky's the limit.

Then sex becomes boring to them and want to settle with a beta bux whom they may fuck once every 3 months.

[deleted] • 10 points • 21 July, 2015 05:18 PM

Yeah. If a girl in her mid 20s has been sexually active since 16 with let's assume 3 new partners a year, that's about 30 right there. Very realistic considering women are offered dick every day.

[deleted] • 7 points • 21 July, 2015 08:50 PM

For an average american, 20 is very high.(keyword average) for a college girl, it really depends. I myself in college have a very very diverse social circle(because I'm a huge nerd and a party animal), and there's alot of girls who never go out. We never see them because they never go out, and these are the girls who sleep with like 5 people in their lifetime. then there's an equal amount who always go out every weekend and these girls easily hit 40 without even trying. Usally men who go out only interact with the latter group so it's easy to think all girls are over 20.

evoblade • 6 points • 21 July, 2015 05:43 PM

Well after you multiply by 2.2 to convert from metric...

[deleted] • 20 points • 21 July, 2015 02:07 PM

Wishful thinking on my part I guess. 2 or 3 would be my personal maximum.

[deleted] 21 July, 2015 02:11 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 21 points • 21 July, 2015 02:18 PM

Yeah, I didn't say it was realistic. Torn on whether to abandon all hope of a low count girl and a proper relationship, or day game girls at volunteering and the like to find a 'high quality' girl.

mojo_juju • 54 points • 21 July, 2015 02:28 PM

Abandon hope, all ye who enter

[deleted] • 39 points • 21 July, 2015 03:30 PM

...would make a good tramp stamp.

through_a_ways • 12 points • 21 July, 2015 06:07 PM

Abandon all hope, but taketh all herp

HellbillyDeluxe • 9 points • 21 July, 2015 03:50 PM

That is how I found my LTR. We were volunteering with a local charity, there are high quality women out there from good families but you ain't going to find them at the Thundercock Saloon at 3 in the morning, on Tinder, or any other natural slut habitat. But keep in mind partner number is just one way of identifying a "slut", watch a woman's actions that is where you can truly asses her sluttiness. Like my Pa always told me, "Don't take any wooden nickels".

[deleted] 21 July, 2015 02:38 PM

[permanently deleted]

GunsGermsAndSteel • 8 points • 21 July, 2015 05:28 PM

constantly appear of great value to her.

I get sick of seeing this on RP.

I know this is probably being a little picky, but: Don't "appear" to be of high value. Be so obviously high value that she cannot help but recognize it.

CrustyGrundle • 8 points • 21 July, 2015 05:47 PM

Its absolutely possible. Upbringing is huge. My girlfriend was raised in a strict Catholic family and she had only slept with one other guy when I met her. My good friend is about to get married to a really attractive girl who was also raised in a strict conservative Christian household, and he took her virginity.

These girls don't dress slutty or show much, and they are pretty reserved. They do things like volunteering, going to church, and other things like that. I met my girlfriend as she was helping on her uncle's food truck for no pay. Don't lose hope.

[deleted] • 3 points • 22 July, 2015 12:59 AM

So you'll marry and impregnate the little unicorn, right?

CrustyGrundle • 3 points • 22 July, 2015 01:29 AM

Yea, maybe. I know lots of happy, older married couples. Both sets of my grandparents, and my parents were. It may be risky, but I'll have a solid prenu. I'll take the risk because I want to have family, especially as I get older.

99639 • 7 points • 21 July, 2015 04:04 PM

It depends on how old you are. Personally I don't find it wise because even if you find one of these unicorns she will probably run off in 10 years with half your money for the rest of your life. Then you're right back where you started but minus half your paychecks. Good luck gaming girls being a broke ass 40 year old.

GunsGermsAndSteel • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 05:29 PM

Haha, I'm 41, mostly broke, and I get more ass than a toilet seat.

ramot1 • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 03:36 AM

Sorry, I know exactly what this feels like. It's worse at 60.

Source: Alimony for life.

RealRational • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 05:11 PM

Get a library card and hang out there. If you live near a major populous area you can do meetups. Just google it, there are multiple companies that do it. They have them for singles and for couples and just for everyone.

But the library thing is my favorite. I won't even plate sluts. But I have gotten a few good one's from the library. Might even keep one or two of them around for a while.

Overkillengine • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 10:22 PM

Be aware a woman from a "good" background also has extreme incentive to lie or otherwise throw a man under the bus in order to keep her social standing.

Some of the biggest freaks wear a crucifix. The harsh reality is a man will never be able to entirely relax in a relationship while society is in its current state- one will always have to be in vetting mode; and even then his chances are only as good as his skills at uncovering lies.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 05:31 PM

You can find plenty of low count girls. They are all 15 though.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 05:46 PM

Have you considered adoption?

BroChapeau • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 08:00 PM

Village girls overseas. It's about the last bastion.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 01:24 AM

Old man advice, they're *all sluts* but maybe *not for you*.

Sure, do the LTR thing, but never ever be afraid to walk or kick her to the curb. Never put yourself in a position where you have to live with her if shit goes south. A bud of mine has his ex living there while looking for a new place to live.

HeinousFu_kery • 6 points • 21 July, 2015 02:24 PM

Those girls' numbers are always 0, no matter what their number really is.

ThrowyMcGruder • 32 points • 21 July, 2015 02:47 PM

I've only ever been with one die-hard religious girl and she was just about the sluttiest girl I've ever met.

Blow job within an hour of meeting her, the first girl I ever tried anal with (I was 17), hand jobs left, right, and centre, titty jobs galore.

She loved nothing more than a mouthful of cock.

However, vaginal penetration was off limits and she stuck to that.

I never actually had vaginal sex with her. Came on her face, on her tits, on and up her ass, for about a year.

She absolutely got married as a virgin in her mind, but I bet she never told her husband anything more than that.

HeinousFu_kery • 22 points • 21 July, 2015 03:24 PM

Like most things, the minute you clearly define "bad" (no PIV sex before marriage) then everything else is "not-bad" which rapidly becomes "good".

This is a very human trait, whether you're dealing with religious dogma or political contributions.

king_of_red_alphas • 6 points • 22 July, 2015 05:37 AM

I never really watched "that 70's show" but I always remember a line I heard Ashton Kutcher's character said once as I was channel surfing by :

"Man.. I love Catholic school girls. They don't know what *not* to do."

Soarinc • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 06:55 PM

Yes that's why a thin white membrane exists because not all women are liars. :-)

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 05:30 PM

Uh no. If you think there's less of anything unpleasant on that site, you're dreaming.

[deleted] • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 08:57 PM

Totally possible, just look for them in the right places(less bars/clubs, more libraries and volunteering) there's plenty of them out there. I recommend you read mark mason's "models" and specifically the chapter called "demographics" for your case

bluedrygrass • 0 points • 21 July, 2015 05:16 PM

Impossible. Simply impossible for any girl remotely attractive older than 18.

[deleted] • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 06:09 PM

That's not true at all. Unlikely but not impossible

partybro69 • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 06:36 PM

Hate to break it to ya but it's totally possible. You would be surprised

bluedrygrass • 0 points • 18 August, 2015 03:37 PM

Then you shouldn't be sorry for that. But it's practically impossible.

wakethfkupneo • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 05:07 PM

You're supposed to multiply it by 3

JollyO • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 06:15 PM

I saw some graph illustrating divorce rates based on number of partners. The top of the graph was 11+. Most people have been with fewer than 7.

too_long_didnt_read • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 02:10 PM **[recovered]**

Not ANY, but certainly those with high SMV. Those bitches are going to hit the wall HARD though.

real-boethius • 34 points • 21 July, 2015 02:16 PM

Those bitches are going to hit the wall HARD though.

Wishful thinking. Many of them have a nice beta-assisted soft landing.

dr_warlock • 9 points • 21 July, 2015 04:46 PM*

Many of them have a nice beta soft landing.

The FDA approval of birth control in 1960 has created the casual sexual market place. The highly classified operation AF/BB has been initiated. However, there's a giant moat , a beta vacuum if you will, between females and the cock carousel. In an effort to negotiate desire, beta's piled themselves in the giant hole like zombies in *World War Z* to cushion the fall.

Betas compete for casual sex by laying down hand in hand on the jungle floor, ready to catch females who lose their grip when leaping to the next branch. Through positive reinforcement (claiming promiscuity would trickle down), women felt that they could hump Douchebag Steve, get tossed off the ride, and land gracefully on the Beta Tempur-Pedic.

BrunoOh • 18 points • 21 July, 2015 02:34 PM

No. This will just spike their ASD for the next guy. Be nice and pass on the non-judgemental attitude.

You: "Hey I like you and I love spending time with you but I'm not really looking for a relationship right now" *guess I need to fine tune my slutdar better.*

bluedrygrass • 16 points • 21 July, 2015 05:20 PM

Tell her you need to find yourself, and you can't give her what you don't own.

Hamster fusion.

[deleted] • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 02:37 PM

Probably the best idea - also doesn't piss her off or make her vengeful either.

PMME_YOUR_TITS_WOMAN • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 08:12 PM

Be nice and pass on the non-judgemental attitude.

Did you mean pass on the judgemental attitude?

Also, is the goal here to keep her slutty? I'm not sure how that's a good thing.

BrunoOh • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 09:53 PM

What it means is that a consequence of OP telling her "Lol ur a slut gtfo" is that the next time she'll be less honest and hide her past.

Also, is the goal here to keep her slutty? I'm not sure how that's a good thing.

No, the goal is to not accidentally commit to a slut.

Kyfh0Myoba • 2 points • 22 July, 2015 11:42 PM

You: "Cool. Have your stuff gone in the next few hours."

That's still telegraphing your punch, and these bitches will talk. Better to slowly fade away ... "Things

just didn't work out. I'm sure it was all my fault. She's an awesome girl, just personality differences...."

[deleted] • 5 points • 21 July, 2015 03:09 PM

It also gets rid of the slut-shield. It does make her more likely to sleep with you. Though admittedly slightly

GunsGermsAndSteel • 6 points • 21 July, 2015 05:25 PM

...Dude. If you genuinely only regard her as some slut, why do you care AT ALL how many guys she's fucked? Completely irrelevant unless you're considering an LTR.

TheBadGod • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 06:14 PM

Before I discovered TRP, I was living TRP. I stopped asking for or even caring about the Number. I even stopped counting my own.

Is getting the Number something folks still do? It's highly reminiscent of my early days.

Hell, before I LTR'd, when girls asked how many I'd been with, I'd say, "I don't know."

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 09:39 AM

I did this inadvertently before TRP, its a great way of actually getting the truth.

[deleted] • 55 points • 21 July, 2015 05:22 PM

Such a good post. There are a few lines in here that are fucking golden.

It's a perfect system. They bait them in with sex and shut em out with morals.

YUP. The amazing thing about this trick is that it often takes decades to come to fruition. By the time the Betas are being shamed about their nice-guy tactics, *they have forgotten why they adopted those tactics in the first place*. They have forgotten that it's not actually a normal human behavior to be submissive to everyone all the time, and that they only started doing it because they thought it would be an effective strategy to get laid.

By pretending that their nice-guy ways are innate and unchangeable (when in reality they are artificial and socially-conditioned), the Betas avoid the one thing they can never face: accountability for their own actions.

In reality, being a nice-guy Beta Faggot is a CHOICE that men are TRICKED INTO MAKING at a very young age by EVERYONE... their parents, their friends, the media. It is fucking evil TBH.

By the time they realize they're playing a losing strategy, their artificially-constructed nice-guy persona has become so deeply engrained in their minds that they FORGET IT'S AN ARTIFICIAL CONSTRUCT. They confuse the map for the territory.... and the only map they've got to go on is really fucking shitty.

Rather than provide a gynocentric business model about investing in women and letting them choose, we've told men how to invest in themselves and take what they want.

Exactly. On a fundamental level, BP vs. RP is about *where you put your energy*. BPers invest that energy into women, and when they get dumped they suffer the sunk cost fallacy and then.... they do it again. And again.

RP is about investing your energy into yourself. It's like a stock buy-back program. We are buying back all the stock we unknowingly sold to the people around us and investing that shit back into ourselves. Then WE reap the dividends.

Fulp_Piction • 1 point • 31 July, 2015 12:58 AM

Hit the nail on the head there my friend.

Morals and political correctness are merely an over-exaggerated social contract spiralling out on control

since the original 'I won't kill you if you won't kill me' from the earliest civilisations.

[deleted] • 50 points • 21 July, 2015 04:47 PM

Great topic and insightful post.

Beta males are the lifeblood of any society. A society's treatment of its Betas determines its productivity.

Prior to the pill and modern feminism, assortive mating was the norm. Men and women matched up according to SMV, got married, had kids and stayed married. Good deal for Betas and society because they got lifetime pussy from a woman who hadn't ridden the cock carousel and children whom he could reasonably expect to be his. For this deal, Betas had a legitimate incentive to be productive to support their families and contribute to society.

It was also a good long-term deal for women because they got lifetime provisioning and companionship, even after they hit the wall. Being petulant children, however, they had to fuck it all up because they didn't want to lock down their pussies during their prime SMV years.

Enter a few decades of the pill and you-go-gurrll feminism, and we have the current situation where women would rather douche with HCl than lock down with a beta during her prime years.

That's what feminists mean by the "patriarchy": assortive mating and Marriage 1.0 reinforced by law and societal pressures. So by buying into and white-knighting for modern feminism, chump-ass Beta male feminists are actually working to tear down a system that used to work for them.

Modern womyn still need Betas though, to fix their laptops, activate their smartphones, install and fix shit, move boxes, etc. Women being women, rather than create a legitimate system of incentives to motivate Betas to do this stuff for them, they resort to sexual trickery and con them into doing them favors without putting out.

The end result of this: Betas withdraw from society, play Xbox 24/7, shoot shit up, etc.

Not a good time to be a Beta. Team Red Pill is the only way to go.

[deleted] • 22 points • 21 July, 2015 06:11 PM

As the betas go, so goes society. They are the backbone of society. Chads sure aren't going to do it. And women can't do it. Betas can bring society down by withdrawing. With marriage being the first to go. As they should.

MattyAnon • 14 points • 21 July, 2015 06:54 PM

It's like girls never spotted the compromise, and believed they could get an always have alpha cock, beta bucks, divorcerape and all this was their birthright.

Men opt out, and they revert to shaming men for saying fuck that for a game of monkeys ("grow up men, and follow the feminine imperative to your destruction!")

XCowboyLowkesx • 17 points • 21 July, 2015 01:53 PM

From now on I'm definitely gonna use 'Benny' as the poster child name of the beta just like chad for the alpha.

[deleted] • 62 points • 21 July, 2015 01:40 PM

Great post. It's so fucking true, you see this videos of pathetic guys on youtube propagating feminism, and you know they're thinking this will get them laid.

And it's like: this was NEVER about you. You really think these girls will be selfless and fuck YOU? Lol yeah right. This is absolutely so she can fuck Chad and not get shamed for hopping from Chad to Chad.

MattyAnon • 48 points • 21 July, 2015 02:19 PM

This is absolutely so she can fuck Chad and not get shamed for hopping from Chad to Chad.

It's about fucking Chad1, dumped by Chad1, fucking Chad2, dumped by Chad2, then being supported loved and adored by Billy Beta, who will raise ChadJunior before being divorceraped so she can live happily ever after with Chad3*.

*12 cats and a box of wine.

rebuildingMyself • 20 points • 21 July, 2015 03:44 PM

If she doesn't grab ChadX's seed before marrying Billy Beta, she can always shame Billy into allowing her to name her firstborn after her best lay.

[deleted] • 11 points • 21 July, 2015 05:20 PM

I feel bad for those cats man. They can't even understand what humans are saying, yet will probably still have to listen to these post-wall womens' bullshit, assuming there isn't a BB around.

trpfieldreport • 8 points • 22 July, 2015 12:23 AM

I actually know a dude named Billy who just proposed to a 24 year old girl who's already had a kid and been divorced.

He's already adopted the other man's spawn as his own.

Good game, Billy Beta! We need more like you!

MattyAnon • 8 points • 22 July, 2015 12:47 AM

You go Billy! You're a *good man*.

DoesNotMatterAnymore • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 10:31 PM

Or Billybeta will raise little Billy how is actually named after mommy's deceased exChad. Maybe this story was fake, but I treat it like anything on the web, maybe it's true, maybe it's fake, who knows, especially, who cares?

Denswend • 33 points • 21 July, 2015 03:20 PM

"It's a fact, I mused to myself, that in societies like ours sex truly represents a second system of differentiation, completely independent of money; and as a system of differentiation it functions just as mercilessly. The effects of these two systems are, furthermore, strictly equivalent. Just like unrestrained economic liberalism, and for similar reasons, sexual liberalism produces phenomena of absolute pauperization. Some men make love every day; others five or six times in their life, or never. Some make love with dozens of women, others with none. It's what's known as "the law of the market". In an economic system where unfair dismissal is prohibited, every person more or less manages to find their place. In a sexual system where adultery is prohibited, every person more or less manages to find their bed mate. In a totally liberal economic system certain people accumulate considerable fortunes; others stagnate in unemployment and misery. In a totally liberal sexual system certain people have a varied and exciting erotic life; others are reduced to masturbation and solitude"

Michel Houellebecq, Extension du domaine de la lutte

"It is interesting to note that the "sexual revolution" was sometimes portrayed as a communal utopia, whereas in fact it was simply another stage in the historical rise of individualism. As the lovely word "household" suggests, the couple and the family would be the last bastion of primitive communism in liberal

society. The sexual revolution was to destroy these intermediary communities, the last to separate the individual from the market. The destruction continues to this day."

Michel Houellebecq, Les Particules élémentaires

The funny thing is that the same socialists who defend regulation in the economic market because of "muh inequality" defend the laissez-faire in the sexual market regardless of the inequality it generates.

*Oh, and before you go all "not all socialists like that", please [see this image](#). We can argue that all masculine leftists like Che, Fidel, Stalin, Lenin (notice a pattern here?) were pretty much patriarchal and authoritative despite the beta-ish writings of Marx and Engels. But we don't have any masculinity in leftism anymore.

Now, one of the most important mistakes you can make while in a dialogue with a woman is take her own words/ideas out of context and apply them universally - or if you were to generalize the principle behind her ideas to make it more coherent. When a woman says "equality" chances are she's not saying it to protect actual "equality" but the woman herself - or her gender in general. (*Strange that they have this hivemind when they themselves cannot cooperate in any meaningful way*). Women use ideas to benefit themselves, and men use ideas to benefit those ideas - a crude approximation, certainly not absolute, but a useful heuristic in a man-woman confrontations. Say "women are not slots you put commitment in and get sex" and get lauded. Say "men are not slots you put in sex and get commitment" and you're only marginally better than Hitler. We even had that fucking thread on 2X to show us.

Female Imperative owes no obligation to anything but itself. It is the embodiment of Briffault's Law. It is something that permeates every ideology that is swayed or spearheaded by women and creatures who have characteristics of women. That is why GamerGate and Sad/RabidPuppies or what have you exist. It is much like a parasite which infects the host, and then devours it completely. A banal example - Videogames becomes Videogames (Female Friendly) becomes Female Friendly. I believe there was a good post on Female Imperative a while back. We at the Dork Enlightenment call it "Conquest's Second Law" - any organization not explicitly right wing will eventually become left wing. Apply this principle to feminism and you will see that any organization not explicitly anti-feminism will eventually become feminist. Lacking a cultural barrier for the Cultural AIDS, it becomes a suitable host. A common argument against TRP isn't the ideas, but the way these ideas are presented. The way our ideas are presented is the cultural barrier to SJW degenerates - people who make the "tone it down a bit" argument know exactly that once you police the tone you police the content.

So once you realize that, and I mean really realize that - not just say "okay, this is true" then go back to believing what they tell you much like a creationist who concedes to facts of evolution but just sheepishly goes back to creationism, you will be even more cynical of women in general. For a good measure.

Everything they do, and I do mean everything related to politics is only to the extent of that they themselves can benefit from - either materially or psychologically by masturbating over their altruism for poor and the oppressed - and altruism that is nothing more than talk, mind you.

Then the reason women support socialism because "muh inequality" and then proceed to support liberalisation of sexual market despite "muh inequality" is clear.

They're in it for themselves. They don't care for you.

TRP is amoral because it makes you see that. Logical conclusion - perhaps even my personal opinion, one which TRP does not explicitly share (as it is amoral), of any morally sane person is that you do not have moral obligation to the people who don't have moral obligation to you.

Take from that what you will.

dr_warlock • 23 points • 21 July, 2015 05:02 PM

A common argument against TRP isn't the ideas, but the way these ideas are presented. The way our ideas are presented is the cultural barrier to SJW degenerates - people who make the "tone it down a bit" argument know exactly that once you police the tone you police the content.

Our tough love and direct and harsh tone is the 'holy water' waterfall over our entrance. SJW's are the vampires. "Could you lower the flow rate of the waterfall just a bit so more of us (vampires) could fit in?" TRP: no, fuck off. Go suck value out of someone else. Go back to your coffin.

[deleted] • 16 points • 21 July, 2015 08:43 PM*

I fucking love the fact that we go out of our way to be non-PC.

Language structures thought. As soon as you let someone control what words you use, you are letting them control what thoughts you think.

I talked in this exact non-PC manner throughout my teens, and then around 18 it got beaten out of me (temporarily, thank god). For me, embracing the brusque language of the Red Pill is returning to my truest self. When there are no faggots and cunts around, its totes chill to say faggot and cunt.

There is literally no reason not to use those words. If someone is offended by words, that is solely their problem for being thin-skinned little faggot-cunts. They are actually just offending themselves because they are projecting a meaning onto those words and then getting offended by them. When I say those words (faggot and cunt) I don't mean "gay people" and "women", I actually mean "feminine men" (of any sexuality) and "inconsiderate pricks" (of any gender). If you are offended by my use of these words, you are only offending yourself.

Tone-policing is thought control.

[deleted] • 10 points • 21 July, 2015 06:22 PM

If you ever want to see r melt down, suggest sexual socialism sometime. Watch the bans roll in. Women have no concept for something like this. All they can come up with is it's rape. You point out that no, its like paying taxes; still rape. Women would never consider sex with a male they felt wasn't advantageous to themselves.

cariboo_j • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 02:38 AM

Can you recommend anything else by Michel Houellebecq?

Denswend • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 02:50 AM

The only thing I've read was Elementary Particles, and this was so long ago that I can't even remember what it was about.

But I do hear his Submission is well-received.

If you are wondering about the quotes, it's something I picked up (including the All Socialists Are Like That, but it was a bitch finding the image to fit) over the net.

Blutarg • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 05:18 AM

Where can I read more about the Dork Enlightenment?

Schrodingersdawg • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 08:09 PM

| We even had that fucking thread on 2X to show us.

Can i get a link to that please? Thanks.

cover20 • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 12:01 AM

| *Oh, and before you go all "not all socialists like that", please see this image.

This is brilliant.

In fact the whole post is brilliant.

GayLubeOil • 86 points • 21 July, 2015 03:04 PM

All right fuckers sit down. Im Professor LubeOil and Applied Slut Economics 103B is in session. You've taken CisWhiteMalestorm's class Theoretical Slut Economics 103A, so today im going to teach you how to apply this information.

The first thing you need to understand is that Betas are the most valuable resource on the planet, they generate almost all of its value. The next thing you need to understand is betas readily give up their value in the presence of sluts.

The value of a slut isn't her vagina. A slut vagina in and of itself is of low value because more often then not its attached to an emotionaly damaged woman. Slut vagina derives its value from its ability to extract value from betas.

Night clubs make money by making betas pay for the opportunity of being around slut vagina. By becoming a broker of slut vagina you can tax betas on their homework, money, valye and time.

Hey bro I know this amazing girl from the Nursing School down the street. Hey bro can I see your Constitutional Law outline that you spent 50 hours on?

blacwidonsfw • 31 points • 21 July, 2015 03:38 PM

One time I was at a bar with 2 girl friends (one was a plate) and was meeting up with some work friends. As the girls were at the bar getting a drink my work friend sees me and says hi and stuff. We are standing there and he notices my 2 friends getting a drink and makes a comment about how hot the women are here. I just smile and nod. 5 min later the girls turn around and hand me a drink and introduce themselves to my friend without me saying a word. My work friend just gives me a dumfounded look and I just smile and nod. The guy went from being my boss to being my bitch in 5 mins and I didn't even get him laid. The attention was enough to excite him...

GayLubeOil • 38 points • 21 July, 2015 04:02 PM

You need to aim higher then \$15 drinks. Multimillion dollar contracts are sealed with beta thirst.

blacwidonsfw • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 09:04 PM

yeah good point. I actually don't work in a sales type role its more of a techincal gig so I haven't had any problems climbing the ladder on my skills alone. However, once I move up towards the top it will become more about selling business and I definitely see how being the guy that gets others laid is way more valuable to a beta than someone who does good work. (because it is literally life changing for them to bang a hot slut- I mean if they get that excited over a slut saying hi, im sure his penis would explode if he actually got to touch her)

Do you have some slutty old plates you throw at clients or do you straight up have escort/pimp connections you call on? Need to work on my pokedex.

GayLubeOil • 10 points • 21 July, 2015 10:58 PM*

Before I was a Red Pill Superstar, I was a frat star. In my fraternity your value was pretty much determined by how many hot girls you brought to parties.

Well I got into a serious three year relationship in college and throughout its duration I continued bringing out girls to our parties. So thats how the whole thing started.

If your an attractive dude usually there are women who are attracted to you who you shouldn't fuck. Your friends ex girlfriend, your friends best friends ex girlfriend, the nice chubby girl who you study with, your client, your girlfriends friends maybe a female coworker. All of these women you friend zone and throw them on betas.

cashmunnymillionaire • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 06:44 PM

Speak truth to power, bruh.

[deleted] • 34 points • 21 July, 2015 03:22 PM

One RPer put it the most succinct way I ever saw it.

"We told the betas promiscuity would trickle down!" (Insert picture of feminists laughing).

Sex positive is trickle down economics in the SMP. It has the same effect that Reaganomics had in the economy.

All of the wealth/pussy is accumulated at the top of the food chain. There is more sex going on, but it's all going to the top men at the expense of the bottom 90% of men.

Time was a woman would maybe have a couple of boyfriends and then find some guy with a reasonable alpha/beta mixture then marry and have kids.

Now that the stigma of promiscuity is gone these girls ride that CC never settling down with that 6/10 they could reasonably bond with.

Now these are the facts. You can't change the system so the only answer is to get into that top 10% of men or the top 1% of the economy and it will work in your favor.

Of course that's easier said than done but if you don't make it into the top 1% of wealth holders or the top 10% of the SMP you will be nothing.

dr_warlock • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 11:00 PM

I created the meme, but the message was orginally said here by /u/iBrokeRSA. It's just a different version of this

[deleted] • 5 points • 22 July, 2015 12:09 AM

Yeah and I'll say again its fucking perfect. It fits so well.

MattyAnon • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 10:40 PM

Now these are the facts. You can't change the system so the only answer is to get into that top 10% of men or the top 1% of the economy and it will work in your favor.

Knowledge is power, and there are more answers than this.

Top 10% to be solid AF, if you can and if you want to.

Or be Top 25% BB, date women over 25, enjoy an exclusive relationship for months or years, and then get a new one when she starts prattling on about marriage, knowing that she'll be deeply resentful about her

"investment" in you and preparing your exit accordingly.

Or put no effort in at all, date in whatever manner you like, but knowing how women are you can pretend to be falling for her charms while knowing how the game is played and being ready to run before the claws get too deep.

But you'll never: marry a woman and end up divorceraped and alone in wage slavery to her. Exclusively date someone who is simultaneously banging half the football team. Be a male feminist. Accept cuckoldry. Live in the eternal future of "you'll have your day someday". Etc. etc. None of these things for you.

Every man benefits from TRP once the pain of swallowing or borne.

cover20 • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 11:52 PM

Or look beyond our national borders. That has worked well for a lot of men, though there are issues to be aware of before allowing commitment.

Sir_Shitlord_focker • 26 points • 21 July, 2015 02:05 PM

Wow, fantastic post, it's exactly my story, I was one of these feminist enlisted betas pushing feminism to get laid. Literally. Took me years to figure out I never would.

GunsGermsAndSteel • 12 points • 21 July, 2015 05:24 PM

Way to hit me in the head... I feel like I just got punched by the wrathful fist of reality.

vandaalen • 9 points • 21 July, 2015 07:44 PM

That's the stuff why I keep coming here. Exceptionally good post.

If anybody wants to read what happens in a feminists mind, once the beta tries to cash in, here you go:

<https://archive.is/6kUG9>

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 08:56 PM

To be fair, the dude in that story sounds like a total ass.

vandaalen • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 09:11 PM

Granted.

I am taking her description with a grain of salt though.

Besides the fact that women will twist and tweak until something fits into their narrative, you should also keep in mind that they have been friends for a reasonable time and such a complete and sudden flip in behaviour is not that usual.

Nebulose11 • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 03:45 PM

Matt totally was getting out of the friend zone. He was either getting laid or going nuclear. Seems like someone told Matt what/how to do it and Matt followed along not knowing the whys of the whats.

afroose • 46 points • 21 July, 2015 01:39 PM

That's a real post. you'll never see a slut fuck down if she does it's a "rape".

popthatpill • 29 points • 21 July, 2015 02:46 PM

1) Upvoted just based on the title, the content didn't disappoint

2) This is the sort of quality post that used to be commonplace here a year ago before this place got overrun with shitposting

3) My rule of thumb on this is: *the sluttier a woman is, the LESS likely she is to have sex with a beta* (or "fuck down", or whatever you want to call it): a woman who can't control her promiscuity probably can't control her hypergamy, either.

Anyway, great post.

Mans_Right_To_Choose • 19 points • 21 July, 2015 02:52 PM

They bait them in with sex and shut em out with morals.

The whole post is good but this line rang true with me especially. Its a sexy beckoning finger finished up with a chastity belt. But it appears that chastity belt's lock opens for any dude's key but yours, poor beta. What sanctimonious, hypocritical whores to scream Slut with every fiber of their being and every stitch of their skirt but say Prude with their lips. Oh well. That's just the pill gagging me a little on the way down. Moving on.

MattyAnon • 13 points • 21 July, 2015 02:33 PM

Great article about yet another beta/white-knight pussy trap.

Sex positivity does a lot for Alpha males though. No more are women saying "no sex before marriage"

JumpXVI • 13 points • 21 July, 2015 02:42 PM

Rather than provide a gynocentric business model about investing in women and **letting them choose**, we've told men how to invest in themselves and take what they want.

Here's the thing about the shitty business model this feminist imperative is.

Women think they're this ATM of the most coveted, moist vagina a man could want. And they are an ATM alright, but not in the way they think.

See, women don't want a man who wants **them** to write any sort of check so men can get something of value from them. A woman wants a very high value man who strides up to her and confidently swipes his hard platinum card down her slot, and for **deposits only**.

Why deposits only? Because the man is the one bringing value to the transaction. He is the prize, and a woman wants to feeeeeeel lucky that such a valuable man would choose her of all ATMs to deposit his immense value into.

(ps thanks for the "Benjamin Beta" reference /u/CisWhiteMaelstrom lol)

BarbellFlies • 5 points • 21 July, 2015 02:40 PM

An order of magnitude is ten times.

SwissPablo • 5 points • 21 July, 2015 06:53 PM

Sex positivity is just another way to keep control on sex for women whilst giving the impression it benefits everyone, just like third-wave feminism in general.

Drogoe12 points 21 July, 2015 02:09 PM [recovered]

This is very true and very salient. It should be pointed out that's there's *just enough* trickle down pussy (a very small amount really) for betas from sex positivity to keep the illusion from being exposed. If betas *never* got laid from sluts, the illusion could not be maintained. Lower betas and omegas are completely fucked though--or won't be, to be more accurate.

MattyAnon • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 10:46 PM

It should be pointed out that's there's just enough trickle down pussy (a very small amount really) for betas from sex positivity to keep the illusion from being exposed.

Another factor is that having embraced the niceguy/beta strategy and then asking "where is my sex?" he'll be misdirected. "You're not nice *enough*" or "You're not my type, but I'm sure you're perfect for all the other girls" or "I need more romance and commitment and other shit from you first".

Most girls don't break girl-frame and outright say "I don't want a nice guy" (although with more recent open hypergamy, some now do).

Lower betas and omegas are completely fucked though--or won't be, to be more accurate.

There were fucked anyway. Only now they're fucked and fighting on the feminist side.

cats_or_get_out • 12 points • 21 July, 2015 03:25 PM

The sex positive movement makes everyone into losers. Not only does it hurt men (good post), it also hurts women--a lot.

We're certainly not raising our daughter to believe that sleeping around is harmless and fun. There are real consequences for women.

[deleted] • 10 points • 21 July, 2015 06:35 PM

Women will probably be the biggest losers. They are just too dumb to realize it.

[deleted] • 9 points • 21 July, 2015 06:38 PM

That's actually true. For the first time since the 70s, women report less happiness than men both in relative and absolute terms.

[deleted] • 7 points • 21 July, 2015 06:42 PM

There's no free lunch. The only winner is the pos government.

[deleted] • 9 points • 21 July, 2015 06:19 PM*

This is essentially another take on Rollo's recent series of articles on Free Love. The beta males of Baby Boomer and 70's Hippy generation acquiesced with women of their generation in lifting of societal norms about promiscuity in the hope of that they will get some of the action. Women, of course, paid a lip service to these men, but as we all know here, more 'liberated' and 'egalitarian' a society is, more skewed the sexual marketplace becomes - which mean Pareto principle (90% of fun is being had by 10% men and 90% men are in competition for rest 10%) kicks in in it's extreme. And it is going to get worse, as we embrace more 'sex positivity' and become more 'progressive' (just look up Amy Schumer on YouTube, I don't know of any other mainstream celebrity who embraces 'sex positivity' more than she does).

Remember folks, there may be some males in the society who benefit from the promiscuous behavior of women (top 10%), but promiscuity doesn't trickle down the SMV hierarchy (like males of Free Love generation believed) - which means (plenty of) top tier women promiscuously mate with (handful of) top tier men only. So, if you sit lower on the SMV graph (which, you most likely do because you are on this subreddit) - raise your profile. Hence the advice of lifting, personality development, social game and putting yourself at the center of your efforts instead of women and other people.

And even if you finally fully realize your potential and become a top tier man, you may still never find a quality, unspoiled woman and may even get divorce raped. Brace for the reality, folks. These are the times we have to navigate.

chasemyers • 5 points • 21 July, 2015 09:05 PM

Damn. Between the post and comments, this is pure gold. I love this fucking place.

tilmitt • 5 points • 21 July, 2015 10:50 PM

TL;DR Women want to get fucked by men who pay the iron price.

sardinemanR • 10 points • 21 July, 2015 03:34 PM

It's pretty simple. If anyone believes in family and commitment, they have to oppose feminism.

Anyone that is only interested in non-commitment sex should support feminism.

Since the vast, vast majority of men will never be able to get non-commitment sex, they should be opposed to feminism. Any woman that wants to be a mother and raise children in a stable family environment should also be opposed to feminism.

However, oddly enough, despite the fact that feminism is contrary to the interests of the vast majority of men and women, most men and women still support it. Go figure, huh?

I think it's akin to Millennials voting Democrat and getting hit with policies like high student loans, Obamacare and the like, despite being the primary victims of those policies.

[deleted] • 8 points • 21 July, 2015 06:33 PM

Women can't help it. It's like an all you can smoke crack buffet. It destroys their lives and society in general, but it's so much fun they can't say no. Any society where men aren't in command is doomed.

BallisticTherapy • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 03:58 PM

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TcbL_fa52yY

[deleted] • 5 points • 21 July, 2015 08:19 PM

Most people lack the intellectual capacity and the classical education (not the modern bullshit that is college nowadays) to think beyond "equality!!!!" and "fairness!!!" and "women deserve better!!!" and see the big picture. Going along with feminism appeals to your instinct to protect women. It was a worthwhile endeavor in the days of old to protect women. No longer. The last time women started getting the status in society they have today is the Roman empire. You know how that turned out.

sardinemanR • 11 points • 21 July, 2015 08:26 PM

That's not the last time actually. You have an incomplete knowledge of history probably, as it's happened time and time again.

The last time was in the Ottoman Empire, I believe the Caliphate of Baghdad. If you read some of the quotes at the time, women were basically taking over there too, and some of the men openly wondered what business women had in law, politics, scholarship etc. There were also reports of "lute" players that would enter cities and sing about sexual immorality, which apparently the women really loved.

So this is a feature of the cycle of civilization, pretty much every empire we know of has been brought down by feminism. Even going as far back as Babylon.

tomysotomayorfluxboys • 4 points • 22 July, 2015 11:00 AM

Sex positivity =

Women can do whatever they want sexually and shouldn't be slut-shamed by the patriarchy (even though the slut-shamers are mostly women who don't want the pussy-price to drop or other girls to "steal their man").

Every type of sexual behavior other than heterosexuality is celebrated for men- homosexuality, transsexuality, etc.

Betas are shamed for being virgins, for showing interest in sex (creeeeeeeepy, raaaaaaapey), for paying for sex, for jacking off to porn, for not wanting to marry used up post-wall women who gave all their youth to Chads and now feel entitled to a beta supporting them and their baggage.

favours_of_the_moon • 7 points • 21 July, 2015 03:12 PM

It might be a woman's right not to make good on her deal but it's a man's right not to accept a deal with a shady mobster. Women have always had a monopoly on deciding when sex happens and feminism is nothing more than an abuse of that.

That's true. They can slut it up all they want, but that doesn't mean we HAVE to give them commitment.

They've legislated that we have to pay for THEIR decisions (often times even if we're not the father) and now they're trying to legislate that we HAVE to like them even if they're fatties. But most of us are smart enough not even to play their game.

tuxedoburrito • 9 points • 21 July, 2015 05:02 PM

I was on askmen and they were asking what we are and aren't attracted to. How important is fitness.

Almost every answer was about the girls weight and her fitness level. One guy felt shame explaining that he thought he was shallow for not liking what's on the inside; and I explained what's on the inside is portrayed on the outside. Her insecurity, lack of discipline, lack of self control and motivation have all led to her weight and exercise problem (with some medical exceptions but those are fucking rare).

The backlash I got from some women who troll askmen was intense but the men all supported me. Truth hurts sweetheart, if you don't like it, fucking start working out and dieting and you will probably see why it works.

Wolfwoodd • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 05:10 PM*

Interesting post. I agree about the lack of trickle-down effect to betas. I just never really thought about it before. Sex positivity works to the benefit of promiscuous alpha males (like me). I'm a non-monogamous swinger in an open marriage. Sex positivity is awesome as it directly benefits my sex life. From my perspective, slut-shamers are cock-blocks.

[deleted] • 11 points • 21 July, 2015 06:27 PM

Slut shaming is cock blocking by design. It was created by women to control other women who left the vagina scarcity reservation. Sluts are the scabs of the sexual union. Men aren't opposed to Sluts, we won't marry them, but our goals line up. Women hate Sluts because it lowers their market value through saturation.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 05:48 PM

I bow down. Great post. Wow. So glad I found this place.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 July, 2015 09:48 PM

At team redpill we're not be stupid

[deleted] • 3 points • 22 July, 2015 02:53 AM

I am glad to see more truly red pilld posts on here as opposed to the degenerate "fuck a ton of sluts" fixation that has been prevalent for the past while.

dbtng9 points 21 July, 2015 02:09 PM **[recovered]**

That was well written, brother. I've been spending time in twoXX and mensrights, getting lots of downvotes. Its amazing how many people just don't get it. Simple economics explains things well.

[deleted] • 23 points • 21 July, 2015 02:24 PM

TwoX wants you to believe that morals, loyalty, and compassion run the SMP. TRP makes a turn and says that enticement does. At every single action there has to be a reason. The friendzone exists because men expect loyalty and compassion. They don't realize that from an enticement point of view, the woman's got everything they have to offer and sex isn't enticed.

jeffwingersballs • 5 points • 21 July, 2015 02:23 PM

What gets you down voted on mensrights?

[deleted] 21 July, 2015 02:52 PM*

[permanently deleted]

jeffwingersballs • 7 points • 21 July, 2015 03:17 PM

Ah, right! That makes sense. I've seen some of that. Sort of a tit for tat mentality and a lot of losing frame as an everyday function of men's rights.

foople • 6 points • 21 July, 2015 08:22 PM

Mensrights is agree and amplify applied to feminism.

MorCowbell • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 04:02 PM

Oh god, female-male singularity. Fuckin kill me before this happens.

[deleted] • 9 points • 21 July, 2015 06:02 PM

If you drop knowledge at MR you will hear lots of "get that sexist shit out of here your making us look bad." I even get trolled by feminists on there sometimes. If she pays a little lip service while Trojan horsing, the up votes roll in.

fortifiedoranges • 7 points • 21 July, 2015 06:53 PM

Calling Bruce Jenner a tranny.

dbtng • 3 points • 22 July, 2015 04:54 AM **[recovered]**

Um ... I might be guilty of that.

Nebulose11 • 3 points • 22 July, 2015 03:23 PM

Dude has a fucking mental disorder and we are calling him a hero.

WTF is going on with my America?

mechdemon • 4 points • 21 July, 2015 07:59 PM

MR does not like red pill coming in and discussing red pill. They suffer from the same mistake a lot of people make by not checking things out for themselves. Red Pill is nowhere near as bad as people make it out to be.

Luckyluke23 • 6 points • 21 July, 2015 02:26 PM

The truth that betas never see is that sluts never fuck down. Sluts can get a man a full order of magnitude more attractive than a good girl, they just don't get his commitment. It's like how a man can orbit a girl who's an order of magnitude hotter than he can fuck; he just won't get sex.

This is something I have been having a hard time grappling with...

at one point i was like like... " fuck those bitches. They are ugly has hell. they should just be thankful i'm looking at them"

what i didn't realize is, if I'm not not in tip top shape, I'm getting nothing. Why? because girls get sex offers all day every day. I'm just ONE OF MANY GUYS, If I'm not in the top % I'll have an uphill battle. Why not make it easy for myself.

he can negotiate desire.

david d Angelo - attraction is not a choice

SwissPablo • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 06:47 PM

"I'm a radical feminist, me. Honestly, you have to be these days to get your end away" -Paul Calf.

Kite23 • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 07:47 PM

Spot on about the beta expecting sex as a payment that is owed

JDiculous • 2 points • 22 July, 2015 12:04 AM

This is why we need legalized prostitution.

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 July, 2015 05:02 PM

At Team Red Pill, we're not be stupid enough to fall for this shit

OP please fix, the irony is dangerously high.

But other than that, solid post.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 05:41 AM

We have come not for your leaders, but for ourselves. And ourselves alone.

Eph • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 06:22 AM

They're equating an individual issue with a societal one. Women's decision to not fuck betas has nothing to do with a patriarchy, it has to do with beta tendencies not triggering the proper evolutionarily ingrained responses of attraction in women. They aren't making a choice to not fuck a beta because of norms and rules, they may say that but it's a lie. Individual dynamics are completely distorted through sociological-based thinking.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 06:43 AM

The girls actually do want to fuck you but we've socially constructed all of these barriers between you and getting laid.

That's quite insightful actually

mikkiebobbie • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 03:10 PM

Briffault's Law states :

Past benefit provided by the male does not provide for continued or future association.

Any agreement where the male provides a current benefit in return for a promise of future association is null and void as soon as the male has provided the benefit (see corollary 1)

Which makes this whole ideology of a sex check, completely erroneous.

87GNX • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 09:25 PM

This should be an infomercial.

sailorJery • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 11:26 PM

It's really a brilliant bit of propaganda. "Sex-positive" implies that anything that isn't their brand of fucking with the slightest of restrictions on safety and consent is "sex-negative". Funny, humanity seemed to be surviving just fine with all the implied negativity you could imagine before feminism came in and saved women.

[deleted] • 0 points • 21 July, 2015 03:34 PM

Outstanding, and well written. My only regret is that I have one upvote to give.

RICCledm • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 11:25 PM

I don't get why TRPers bother with this. Are you a beta SJW who is going to suffer because of this? Realize you are on the other side now and enjoy your Alpha privilege, for the fuck's sake.

MentORPHEUS • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 03:27 PM

TIL that thanks to Feminism, women always fuck up.

yummyluckycharms • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 04:16 PM

Good write up - I would add that what women practice is based off the wider concept of the disposable male in society. A society needs its betas to work hard for less pay, to consume without question, and to sacrifice themselves without hesitation. Essentially betas are orbiters to women and society at large.

prodigyx[] • 1 point • 21 July, 2015 08:59 PM

Who the FUCK is still buying reddit gold? Are you guys retarded?

Glenbert • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 12:07 AM

I haven't even read this post and upvoted it on title alone. It perfectly sums up what I was trying so hard to articulate in my own head, years ago from the ages of 16 to about 22.

Then I turned 22 and stopped giving a fuck and everything got better.

It gets better.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 July, 2015 04:56 AM

I'm 100% sex positive with the women I date. Why? Because what's shaming them going to get you? It's not going to get you laid.

I'm not going to commit to a woman who fucks a new guy once a week or is non-monogamous but I'm not going to shame her for it. This is a free country, she can do what she wants.. I'm just not going to partner up with her. She ain't no trap queen if she gives it up that easy, but I don't think it makes her a shitty person either.

Seriously, why not be "sex positive"? It's easier to get women to open up and be truthful about themselves and their intentions than to tell her "I don't date sluts" so she lies to you about her sexual past. You can even make up some bullshit like mentioning you're into really weird freaky shit, so you get her to start talking about her deepest darkest sexual adventure and get her spilling the beans so you know what you're getting into for real. Think like an interrogator about it or something. Good cop bad cop.

user6580 • 0 points • 22 July, 2015 02:02 AM

"Don't worry white knights, the sex trickles down"

alreadyredscool • 0 points • 22 July, 2015 11:54 AM*

So why again shouldn't we support sex positivity? I just don't get it.

[deleted] • -4 points • 22 July, 2015 01:59 AM

That's it's you faggots finally convinced me to in-sub. Respect to the OGs here who aren't constantly worried about what pussy is trying to do, not getting caught up with beta bitch here mentality and just doing shit instead of talking it

Fuck you, TRP. You used to be about something.