

Woman Used Sperm From Oral Sex To Get Pregnant, Gets Child Support

August 25, 2015 | 765 upvotes | by [mister_barfly75](#)

A short one here:

tl;dr: Woman Used Sperm From Oral Sex To Get Pregnant, Gets Child Support

Main Body: Here's a [fucked up story I stumbled upon in TIL](#)

Basic story, a doctor has an affair with physician. They never actually have sex, but she goes down on him. One night she stores the cum in her cheeks, spits it into a test tube, and uses it to impregnate herself. Fast forward two years and she files for child support and **fucking wins** because: *his sperm was given to her as a "gift," "an absolute and irrevocable transfer of title to property from a donor to a donee,"*

Lessons learnt: Keep your jimmy wrapped, take your condoms home with you or make sure she fucking swallows. Some women are just devious, selfish bitches out to separate you from your wallet and will willingly use kids, even ones she conceived in shady circumstances, as weapons against you.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

popthatpill • 322 points • 25 August, 2015 03:44 PM

Remember: men have no reproductive rights.

Stayinghereforreal • 97 points • 25 August, 2015 04:18 PM

You can often request a paternity test, depending on the local laws.

Note that, gentlemen: **often**. Not always.

Pretty much says it all right there.

Primemale • 8 points • 25 August, 2015 06:14 PM

Often? Why not always? you could just say I don't believe it's mine or we didn't have sex etc.

csehszlovakze • 58 points • 25 August, 2015 08:06 PM **[recovered]**

If you're in Germany, France or Israel, the results of a paternity test will be ignored and you'll be fined/imprisoned. Fun, eh?

Primemale • 45 points • 25 August, 2015 08:47 PM **[recovered]**

THAT,IS,FUCKING,OUTRAGEOUS.

This essentially gives a woman a free pass, under the guise of "keeping the families together" any info on how this came into play?

ioncloud9 • 33 points • 25 August, 2015 11:58 PM

Its all for the ~~ehild~~'s state's benefit

wordjedi • 18 points • 26 August, 2015 01:59 AM **[recovered]**

One of these days they're going to start running bachelors down in the street, arresting them, randomly assigning them a child in the expensive foster care system, and forcing them to pay child support. Virgins, gay men, everybody. Have dick? *You must pay.*

trpftw • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 11:41 PM

The state doesn't benefit. It's feminists that benefit and they go out and vote and pressure politicians. You guys don't.

ioncloud9 • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 12:38 AM

Sure they do! Now YOU have to pay for welfare for that little whelp. They dont have to pay a dime.

trpftw • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 02:43 AM

The state only cares about welfare because the voters want it.

They care about corporate welfare because corporations want it. Poverty welfare because the middle class and poor want it. feminist welfare because the feminists want it.

pink_ego_box • 11 points • 26 August, 2015 09:16 AM

It's only illegal to do it by yourself outside a court-ordered decision.

In France it's illegal to take someone's DNA by your own initiative and give it to a private company that will analyze it. Court-mandated paternity test are of course authorized under the authority of a judge in a procedure of paternity contestation. If the result show that you're not the biological father you're exempt from any responsibility and alimony and the biological father, if identified, becomes the legal father.

How did this came into play? Bioethics laws that say "maybe companies shouldn't have access to a child's DNA without any regulation on sample and data usage and retention"

Next time read the article you reply to until the last paragraph.

MattyAnon • 8 points • 26 August, 2015 03:49 AM

any info on how this came into play?

Because in France, proving paternity when it first became possible (80's I guess) would MAJORLY fuck up the country, due to the ... how can I put it... "war brides"/"war babies" from the 1945 German Invasion.

Also - feminism and legalised open hypergamy. "For the family" (ie for the woman).

It's fucked up - welcome to TRP.

pink_ego_box • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 09:18 AM

You couldn't be farther from the truth. Don't try to pass your opinions as facts.

MattyAnon • 0 points • 26 August, 2015 02:49 PM

You couldn't be farther from the truth. Don't try to pass your opinions as facts.

Do you have any sort of counterpoint, reasoned argument or logic ?

pink_ego_box • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 03:22 PM

"war bride bullshit blahblah"

Why would the paternity of people who were 40 year old at that point matter?

Women who slept with Germans were known. They were publicly shaved and humiliated at the Liberation.

Some degree of paternal testing was available since the 1920's with blood typing.

"Also - feminism and legalised open hypergamy. "For the family" (ie for the woman)."

Lol. It's not about women. It's about bioethics. Paternity test are only authorized through court orders, in a judiciary process that involves state-owned labs, and not by shady-ass companies that are not regulated and keep the DNA samples and data indefinitely, like in the US. That's all. It's not a feminist conspiracy, dude. Just a bioethics decision to protect genetic privacy.

MattyAnon • -3 points • 26 August, 2015 04:02 PM

Why would the paternity of people who were 40 year old at that point

matter?

Of course it would matter. It always matters. If it doesn't matter, why make it illegal? They didn't say "oh, but you can do paternity testing after the age of 18 if you're curious, when child support doesn't matter any more". Nope - it's illegal at all ages. For a reason. And that reason is legalised paternity fraud committed by women and supported by the state.

Women who slept with Germans were known. They were publicly shaved and humiliated at the Liberation.

Not all were known, they couldn't possibly be. Not all pregnancies were known. With so much shame at stake, there is an incentive for any woman who suspects she is pregnant to find a French guy to fuck and blame, and do it *fast*.

Some degree of paternal testing was available since the 1920's with blood typing.

Yes, and it's illegal in France.

Lol. It's not about women. It's about bioethics.... hamster hamster shady companies hamster hamster not a feminist conspiracy hamster hamster bioethics again hamster hamster genetic privacy.

Bioethics - sure, like all the other ethics that doesn't involve biological entities.

This is about ethics and best interests of *women*. It's only men who want paternity testing, and it's these "male ethics" that are thrown out of the window.

Men have a right to know if the child they are raising and paying for is actually their own. This is a right you are saying they should be denied.

Now you're entitled to your viewpoint lady. You can certainly say "men have no rights to know if they are the father of their supposed children", as and indeed you are.

But don't confuse this with "bioethics" and "privacy" and all the other smokescreens you're putting up, in standard female "distract from the basic argument by throwing shit at the problem in the hope of burying it".

Men have a right to know if the children they are raising and paying for are their own, and for the love of god that should be the fucking end of it.

OldPinkertonGoon • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 01:55 PM

Germany invaded France in 1940. The US and UK invaded in 1944. It would be my guess that a lot of French kids born during that time did not have French fathers.

unassumingusername7 • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 05:29 PM

And by 1980 when paternity testing came around, those babies were 36 - 40. Child support ends at 18. I'm not sure why paternity testing is less available in France, but I don't think it has much to do with Nazi war babies.

[deleted] • 9 points • 26 August, 2015 01:19 AM

Wow that's pathetic, a woman can literally lie and get a check for nearly two decades as a reward, a reward for *lying*.

It's no wonder simple morals are going out the window

marty2k • 4 points • 26 August, 2015 04:56 AM

Even if this wasn't women's natural prerogative, society sure as shit is still trying it's do hardest.

[deleted] • 4 points • 26 August, 2015 11:38 AM

Hah. In Israel, it really it a competition to see who can out-jew who.

federalfarmer7 • 11 points • 25 August, 2015 06:27 PM **[recovered]**

You could be on the hook for a lot of reasons. Your name could be on the birth certificate, for example.

Turkerthelurker • 31 points • 25 August, 2015 06:39 PM **[recovered]**

This is worth highlighting.

Guys, if you put your name on the birth certificate, you are volunteering to be legally responsible for the baby.

If you are going to get a paternity test (and why the fuck would you not?), do it before you sign ANYTHING.

forgtmnot • 27 points • 25 August, 2015 07:17 PM

If the **mother** puts your name on the birth certificate, you are legally responsible in a number of states.

MadeSomewhereElse • 8 points • 25 August, 2015 09:54 PM

Christ, can I find a list of these states so I can not live there?

popthatpill • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 05:29 AM

Texas is probably one of the states.

nillotampoco • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 09:10 PM

Do you know of any articles or periodicals that discuss these sorts of man-traps?

Hsrock • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 11:54 PM

If that's true, why don't the **crazy** women haphazardly put down the names of rich famous people like Elon Musk, Trump, Steve Jobs, etc. when they don't know the father? I can see something like that setting a precedent, if it were to happen, but why hasn't it yet?

[deleted] • 18 points • 25 August, 2015 11:59 PM

Almost certainly because it would be easier for someone with that kind of means to easily squash it in a legal battle.

nillotampoco • 5 points • 26 August, 2015 02:34 AM

Women aren't crazy when it comes down to securing resources for their offspring, women who don't prioritize that have been heavily naturally selected against.

They put their best bet down putting the name of some far & away rich person is just preparing to fail.

forgrmnot • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 12:59 AM

The articles were posted either here or in men's rights a few months ago. I didn't save the links unfortunately.

NecroticFury • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 11:51 PM

its not a legal document binding you if you dispute it. you can sue her as well as the state directly.

Lt_Muffintoes • -1 points • 26 August, 2015 09:26 AM

What's more expensive, 20 years of child support, or a hitman? Hell, do it yourself and make it look like a burglary gone wrong.

Once you've had a paternity test, eliminate the mother, and you can raise your progeny right, preventing the corrupt whore from destroying the kid's soul through single motherhood

Newdist2 • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 11:49 AM

Cops aren't stupid.

OK, some cops are stupid. But the guys who investigate murders typically are smart enough to take a long hard look at any man who's fucked the murder victim, and especially at her estranged ex who is paying her child support.

Lt_Muffintoes • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 01:04 PM

The vast majority, over 90%, of crimes go unsolved, and criminals are *morons*.

Primemale • 7 points • 25 August, 2015 06:37 PM

Yeah but even so I can think of NO SITUATION where I would pay child support without a paternity test, (outside of a relationship) regardless of what the local law says. America is (particularly) fucked up.

Tingly_Fingers • 15 points • 25 August, 2015 06:48 PM

Generally you only have 30 days to contest being put on a birthday certificate....and if you didn't know you were named the father? Well now you're fucked.

GainzdalfTheWhey • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 11:28 PM

So any women I know could file paternity and not let me know and after thirty days in liable to it? There's nothing I can do? What?

Tingly_Fingers • 7 points • 25 August, 2015 11:44 PM

Some states have laws in place to prevent a mother from lying on. The birth certificate. California is one such state. California law will not allow a father to be named on the birth certificate unless he is present to sign the official document. A lot of states do not

do this. Many states allow the mother to just name the father and after the birth certificate becomes an official government document it is hard to overturn and refute. In the time between finding out and going through court trying to prove your NOT the father you will be held responsible for child support. You can be put in jail while going to court fighting the birth document.

GainzdalfTheWhey • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 12:06 AM

After you manage to prove it's fake after being sent to jail, can you sue her and have her go to jail?

BigDiggerNick74 • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 12:17 AM

Hahaha no. I mean you could, but you'd probably just end up having to pay her court fees.

Tingly_Fingers • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 03:47 AM

Honestly that is the extent of my knowledge on the subject. Maybe you could but there's a lot of loop holes in favor of women.

Tingly_Fingers • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 03:47 AM

Honestly that is the extent of my knowledge on the subject. Maybe you could but there's a lot of loop holes in favor of women.

NecroticFury • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 11:55 PM

no women are not held accountable. If you want retribution, sue in family court. With a good lawyer you can take her for a ride but other than that you have no legal recourse.

If you want her dead you'll have to do it with your own hands. If she wants you dead, she can tell someone else to do it. Dont like it? Leave.

[deleted] • 1 point • 28 August, 2015 10:04 AM

No, it's not a criminal case.

You can sue her for monetary damages but if you're paying child support chances are she doesn't have much to give you anyway.

TyPerfect • 1 point • 30 August, 2015 07:52 AM

So, lets say I'm going to be a father in CA. I am there for the birth of my child and sign the Birth Certificate. Can I then do a private paternity test and not end up on the hook for the next 18 years?

Tingly_Fingers • 1 point • 30 August, 2015 02:38 PM

I suppose so since it is your child But you may still be on the hook because you voluntarily signed up for being the kids father. I live in illinois where the mother can put anyone on the birth certificate so I don't know the whole of California paternity law. I just Googled paternity laws.

Primemale • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 09:07 PM

So you are saying they can put you on there, without you being notified? then if you are lucky enough to be psychic, you have 30 days to say you didn't fuck this dumb bitch? I would send them a letter back saying you have 30 days to prove I am the father

Tingly_Fingers • 3 points • 25 August, 2015 11:46 PM

Depends on the state. California doesn't allow this. I replied to another commenter. I'm on mobile so read that. But that shit happens. Google "baby Jessica custody case 1993"

nzgs • 26 points • 25 August, 2015 05:04 PM

There are no such things as rights. To acknowledge any right is to deny self-ownership and to defer ownership to the state.

Women do not have "reproductive rights", they have government entitlements.

NoFatChicks88 • 3 points • 25 August, 2015 08:38 PM

i always laugh my ass off when women complain about their reproductive rights. have to remind them of what you said.

prodigy2throw • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 11:18 PM

I think the real take away here is don't stick your dick in crazy or impoverished women.

transitivecurves • 84 points • 25 August, 2015 04:46 PM **[recovered]**

his sperm was given to her as a "gift," "an absolute and irrevocable transfer of title to property from a donor to a donee,"

Such fucking bullshit. If what she did was okay because it was a gift, then he should be absolved of what she does with it.

BallisticTherapy • 83 points • 25 August, 2015 05:18 PM **[recovered]**

If I give someone a knife and they kill someone with it, I'm not responsible; but if I give someone and nut and they birth someone with it, I'm on the hook.

So if she used my sample to impregnate a thousand women in a secret lab without my consent or knowledge, I'm responsible for every birth that happens as a result. That doesn't look anything like a property rights issue to me.

dr_warlock • 31 points • 25 August, 2015 07:59 PM

So if she used my sample to impregnate a thousand women in a secret lab without my consent or knowledge, I'm responsible for every birth that happens as a result.

Dude, that's diabolical. That's pure evil.

CHAD_J_THUNDERCOCK • 22 points • 25 August, 2015 10:50 PM

similar but different:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cecil_Jacobson

At least seven instances were identified in which Jacobson was the biological father of the patients' children, including one patient who was supposed to have been inseminated with sperm

provided by her husband.

DNA tests linked Jacobson to at least 15 such children, and it has been suspected that he fathered as many as 75 children by impregnating patients with his own sperm.

[deleted] • 9 points • 26 August, 2015 10:43 AM

and he got 5 years for it XD

marty2k • 17 points • 26 August, 2015 04:59 AM

Notice how this doesn't apply to women? A woman sends you a nude pic, you are not allowed to put it anywhere. That seems much more like a "gift" than some cum in some sluts mouth. Then again, having her nude pictures out there signifies her as a slut and goes against women's main objective, being able to pull this shit works for a woman's objective, so of course it's law.

spicedncoke • -1 points • 26 August, 2015 11:14 AM **[recovered]**

Because of privacy laws. Other thing being if its someone under 18 than it's considered child porn (and rightly so).

EDIT: You can post nudes but you can not identify her and/or her location

marty2k • 7 points • 26 August, 2015 06:41 PM **[recovered]**

Yet when a woman had sex an underage boy and committed statutory rape, they still made him pay child support.

And don't get me wrong, I'm not saying you're wrong and do believe it should be illegal to put a woman's nude pictures to you online, I'm just pointing out the ridiculous lengths governments will go to push their pro-woman, anti-male agenda.

NecroticFury • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 11:58 PM

its impossible to argue that men have it anywhere near equal, this whole thing is fucking laughable.

marty2k • 1 point • 28 August, 2015 12:09 AM

It's impossible for men to do it because we naturally think logically. Women have absolutely no problem.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 05:29 PM

[permanently deleted]

Peter_Principle_ • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 06:09 PM

No, but the government hasn't found a way to emotionally justify scamming 500 billion dollars out of men every year over horse sales.

HappyScribe • 69 points • 25 August, 2015 08:23 PM

OT, but by storing the sperm in her cheeks, she's literally hamstering.

libglip • 2 points • 27 August, 2015 03:30 PM

Man, I practically heard the rimshot when I read that.

But, she's not "literally" hamstering if a literal hamster is not involved somehow. (If it was, I don't wanna know.)

[deleted] • 54 points • 25 August, 2015 04:25 PM

This reminds me of that movie "Bad teacher", where she says that the NBA players she was hooking up with, would take the used condoms with them.

[deleted] • 68 points • 25 August, 2015 05:13 PM*

They had to, or flush them down the toilet. Because some women fished the condoms out of the trash and impregnated themselves, and the courts still made the men pay CS. The NBA had to put out a letter to all their players about it.

theredspill • 15 points • 25 August, 2015 06:08 PM

Hell I always take mine with me and I have no where near the smv of a pro athlete

fucktales • 38 points • 25 August, 2015 07:13 PM

It's not about SMV, it's about your bank account value.

ThrowyMcGruder • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 11:28 PM

Your bank account doesn't even necesarily come into it.

If a certain woman wants those sweet government benefits, she can fuck an unemployed guy, get pregnant, and get them.

She'll get the benefits and it's up to him to make the child support payments from there.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 03:17 AM

I understood male SMV to be different then female SMV. It encompass all sorts of features not just how good looking he is. Job, social ability, political power, house, bank account, and appearance....

fucktales • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 02:47 PM

It does encompass all those things, but in this case the bank account is the only part that matters

Whisper • 36 points • 25 August, 2015 06:41 PM

the court rejected that stance, saying his sperm was given to her as a "gift," "an absolute and irrevocable transfer of title to property from a donor to a donee," according to the court decision.

Heh. Okay, fine. Then anything she does with it is her responsibility, and he doesn't have to pay a dime.

I'm okay with that. Either sperm becomes her property when I shoot it into her, and she's on the hook, not me... or it remains my property, and she has no right to make it into a child without my permission.

[deleted] • 18 points • 25 August, 2015 07:45 PM

Seriously this logic makes no sense. If I give a hunting knife to someone as a gift and they stab someone with it, I'm on the hook?

Fucking femnazi judges man...

Redasshole • 9 points • 25 August, 2015 09:17 PM

How can an educated person not see Whisper's logic above? I mean, really. They are clever, they must understand that.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 03:22 AM

[permanently deleted]

genericusername348 • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 05:18 AM

considering a lot of the fat feminists take to blaming mcdonalds and such for making them fat rather than themselves for eating too much. i would not be surprised to see some of them try to sue for that in the future.

[deleted] • 5 points • 26 August, 2015 04:14 AM

That's fat shaming dude, just wow

zxDanKwan • 33 points • 25 August, 2015 07:15 PM

Interesting, though not surprising... if you read enough of the comments, you find women saying things like "he willingly had sex with her at the time, and gave her his sperm. You can't retroactively revoke consent."

Why didn't our ancestors ride hamsters into battle? They are ferocious and cunning beasts, capable of insane reality-warping feats.

NotUpToAnythingGood • 21 points • 25 August, 2015 09:02 PM

Correction: You cannot retroactively revoke consent unless you are a woman.

This has been shown by multiple instances in the news and media.

Renoir_24 • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 03:50 AM

Also in the courtroom unfortunately.

Tarnsman4Life • 134 points • 25 August, 2015 04:32 PM

Are we to the point where I have to grab a woman by the throat after I am done face fucking her and make sure she swallows like some defiant child trying to cheek a pill?

People wonder why men hate the idea of getting married and having kids.

[deleted] • 47 points • 25 August, 2015 06:07 PM

you have stroke it down her throat like when you give a dog pills

BlackHeart89 • 12 points • 25 August, 2015 08:03 PM

I actually push their heads down or have them continue blowing me for a bit after I cum to make sure its a done deal. But I suppose choking them might work. Be careful of that grip though.

jerry_rigger • 8 points • 26 August, 2015 12:35 AM

Wait, are we not suppose to choke them?

BlackHeart89 • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 03:17 PM

Lol good point.

Guy: *cums and chokes her till she swallows yeahhhh, you like that? thinks to himself, "you better swallow bitch! You won't catch me slipping"*

Woman: I love him!

jerry_rigger • 5 points • 26 August, 2015 03:19 PM

Have you been watching through my bedroom window?

Talon1212 • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 09:16 PM

I've noticed you perform better when you have an audience.

jerry_rigger • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 10:10 PM

I do. Thanks, BTW, I appreciate it.

jerry_rigger • 4 points • 26 August, 2015 12:33 AM

Are we to the point where I have to grab a woman by the throat after I am done face fucking her and make sure she swallows like some defiant child trying to cheek a pill?

Wait... Are you saying this isn't normal?

If this is wrong then, good sir, I do not want to be right.

[deleted] • -17 points • 25 August, 2015 06:23 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 64 points • 25 August, 2015 03:25 PM

This is why I'm getting a vasectomy on my first paycheck.

RageLife • 43 points • 25 August, 2015 04:40 PM

Still waiting on that vasalgel.

[deleted] • 11 points • 25 August, 2015 05:21 PM

I want something permanent.

RedPillMat • 21 points • 25 August, 2015 05:59 PM

Vasalgel supposedly lasts easily 10 years, and is a quick injection. That's a long time. I'd have no problem getting a quick injection every 8 years to be safe.

grewapair • 21 points • 25 August, 2015 07:04 PM

You'll be 100 years old by the time the FDA approves it, if it works at all.

aguy01 • 50 points • 25 August, 2015 07:55 PM

Imagine the lawsuits for PTSD when women unknowingly date infertile men.

jerry_rigger • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 12:36 AM

How the fuck would that work?

I mean I have no doubt they'd try bi it doesn't make sense to me.

tallwheel • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 07:27 AM

Hell, that's like, practically rape, amirite? /s

Ovadox • 6 points • 26 August, 2015 02:18 AM

All they need to do is legalize it in one country. If they drag ass on approving it here it's black market time bitches.

SaiHottari • 0 points • 26 August, 2015 03:28 AM

An equivalent injection called RISUG (if I remember correctly) has been in use in India for quite some time now. Vasalgel is based on RISUG.

Ovadox • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 11:06 AM

Based on the Wikipedia page it seems to still be in clinical trials.... since 2011.

RedPillMat • 6 points • 25 August, 2015 08:30 PM

Point 1. I don't live in the US (thank fucking god).

Point 2. Even if I did, I can always travel to a country where it's approved Kappa

suuupreddit • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 02:28 AM

if it works at all.

What are you talking about? It **does** work.

Primemale • -5 points • 25 August, 2015 06:28 PM

You guys are fucking crazy playing around with your fertility, I googled the product and it has known toxic ingredients, (although they claim that when combined are "non toxic") I wouldn't take the risk if it was me. anything that destroys your sperm, or damages them so that you cannot impregnate a woman, will be damaging to your health in some way, at least with the current technology and likely will be that way for sometime.

TattedGuyser • 12 points • 25 August, 2015 07:04 PM

If only there was a process that existed for testing whether it had harmful effects... something like testing on animals first, then some kind of clinic trials? Who knows, with our current technology we may be able to come up with some kind of process.

Primemale • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 09:01 PM

facetious remark aside, have you never heard of fraud in the pharmaceutical industry? if not I suggest you read because its rife with fraud, from misrepresentation of data, studies funded by the pharma company or close ties, small sample studies, insufficient time periods to see effects, ignoring negative effects. Remember THEY ONLY CARE ABOUT MONEY.

I am actually genuinely shocked how many people downvoted my comment and several others comments, who disagree that these procedures and drugs to inhibit male fertility might not be (regardless of what is SAID) completely healthy for men. In the same way that the pill is not optimally healthy for women, giving them more oestrogen and putting their hormones out of BALANCE, it also stops them having periods. (which may

potentially have negative effects)

DannyDemotta • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 03:11 AM

Uh, thats cool and all, but again, multiple countries/regulators will be looking into this thing. It wont be a case where one individual study leads to 258 countries approving it at once. Especially with how "anti-women" it will be framed as, this thing will be gone over with a fine tooth comb.

i_forget_my_userids • 6 points • 25 August, 2015 11:07 PM

Sodium reacts violently in moisture, and chlorine is extremely toxic. How do you feel about table salt?

Primemale • 0 points • 25 August, 2015 11:33 PM

It's funny I was waiting for someone to give this VERY EXAMPLE. well apart from the fact it is usually mixed with anti-caking agents and being refined and unhealthy (as opposed to natural unrefined salt) this aside I get your point that some compounds are toxic in one instance and not (potentially) in another. I did state in my point that they are "considered" non toxic. Again anything that makes you infertile for ten years in injection form is going to be bad for you I GUARAN-FUCKING-TEE you, anything that goes against the bodies natural state (for the most part) creates imbalances and problems because the body is like a web, its all connected and inter-related, now the benefits might outweigh the risks for you and that's fine, just don't put your head in the sand.

bluedrygrass • 8 points • 25 August, 2015 10:41 PM

People downvoting you are hamstering, plain and simple.

what you say is perfectly true.

Dumbasses, do you really think you can take someone able to poison your nuts so much to keep them infertile for ten. fucking. years. Without no drawbacks at all?

You know that shit must be dangerous. But you don't want to admit it to yourself.

And so comes the hamstering, and the downvoting to not hear anyone popping your bubbles.

Exactly like the women refusing to read about health related risks and permanent infertility or subfertility as consequence of taking the pill.

Take your fucking responsibilities! You want to do it? Fine, but don't downvote someone with a little common sense in his head only because you don't like what he has to say.

Fucking ridiculous.

patches93 • 4 points • 26 August, 2015 01:24 AM

It doesn't "poison your nuts". It forms a gel like barrier in the vas deferens that prevents sperm from passing through. Now, I don't know as much as I should about my nuts and it's kind of weird to think about all that sperm building up in there but it definitely doesn't "poison your nuts"

Primemale • 0 points • 25 August, 2015 10:47 PM

Someone who can actually critically think!

>Dumbasses, do you really think you can take someone able to poison your nuts so

much to keep them infertile for ten. fucking. years. Without no drawbacks at all?

FUCKING THIS.

Although, at least these morons will be out of the gene pool.

GainzdalfTheWhey • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 11:37 PM

It destroys the sperm physically not chemically

deltron80 • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 11:27 PM

I'm guessing you're "anti-GMO" also

Primemale • -1 points • 25 August, 2015 11:43 PM

I am not in favour (for the most part) of GMO'S either, there seems to be a wealth of evidence that GMO'S are cancer causing (in rats) and they seem to be used basically so that more weedkillers (such as monsanto's "roundup") can be used in ever more copious amounts and not kill the crop, therefore they enable even more pesticides into the food supply. Why do you think so many countries have either banned various forms of GMO or outright banned them like Russia? One of the only countries not to label them is america, go figure.

csehszlovakze • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 08:08 PM

They also forget that it can be reversed and you can still freeze sperm if the reversion fails. Too bad it's illegal here so I have to get abroad first.

[deleted] • 3 points • 25 August, 2015 08:46 PM

It's also a safeguard against myself, I try to be RP and MGTOW af but I know that if the "right girl"(no such thing) walked into my life, my lizard brain might take control and direct me into making some dumb decisions. For me, a vasectomy is an ultimatum, a private statement to myself declaring that I will never have kids or get cuckolded!

Redasshole • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 09:14 PM

Exactly. Never under estimate women. They can fuck with your brain to no extent. But anyway, with time you will be less and less likely to get fooled.

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 09:16 PM

Yes, plus there is that dangerous chemical cocktail that flows and makes them seem perfect even though they could be a total wreck. Scary stuff!

Lumby • 7 points • 25 August, 2015 05:01 PM

It's been two years since I got mine. Totally worth it. My recommendation would be to get it at a place that specializes in men's health.

legedu • 6 points • 25 August, 2015 06:28 PM

I got lucky. They brought in a 70+ year old doc that only does vasectomies. Barely felt a thing.

ChiangRai • 11 points • 25 August, 2015 04:05 PM

Got min scheduled for Sept 1. Pretty excited. Reminds me. Gotta pick up some more frozen veggies peas and corn for the jiblets.

wdarea51 • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 05:29 PM

Hopefully they hook you up with some oxymorphone or something good.

fortifiedoranges • 6 points • 25 August, 2015 06:40 PM

I was told on hear that it's rather painless, just a sore feeling for a couple of days. I think it's a same day thing with just local anesthetic.

PanzerBatallion • 23 points • 25 August, 2015 07:22 PM

Mine has been daily pain for 4 months now.

Listen carefully kids - nothing is risk free. For 990 out of 1000 guys, their vasectomies will go fine and they will be back to work in a couple of days.

For a small percentage though, they will be impotent after. And for another small percentage they will have something called post vasectomy pain syndrome that the doctors don't know what causes it and don't know how to stop it. They 'think' it will go away on its own. Eventually. But that's all they can do.

Source - vasectomy in april. In the ER the weekend after. Ejaculated blood a month later, and 3 urologists later, still no closer to understanding why I'm in daily pain.

TL:DR - Everything you do is a risk. Understand them fully before you jump.

aguy01 • 22 points • 25 August, 2015 07:57 PM

And that's why I don't want people slicing my balls open.

[deleted] • 7 points • 26 August, 2015 12:09 AM

Thank you for shedding light on the dangers of vasectomies, I've read a lot of horror stories when I was looking into it. Make sure you get your testosterone tested, low T is common with it.

onbakeplatinum • 8 points • 25 August, 2015 07:39 PM

I had a vasectomy 8 years ago and can tell all about the experience.

They put you in the abortion chair. The one with the stirrups that holds your legs apart. He also tapes your dick to your pubes so he won't have to deal with it swinging around when he's working.

I was afraid of the shot. They stick the needle right at the base of your dick where smooth meets wrinkles. It was actually no big deal whatsoever.

What I didn't know is that they use a clamp to expose the vas deferens. The clamp causes that sick to your stomach balls feeling you get when you sit on a bike wrong. You just have to deal with it until he's done. He does this for each testicle.

After he was done, he untaped my dick. I was stuck in that uncomfortable ball feeling the entire time and was also afraid during the surgery. I looked down and my dick was so shrunked that if the tape wasn't there, it would have gone inverted.

The next day, I took off the bandage. There was a huge bruise on my ball sack that hurts just thinking about. They say you can go to work within 3 days. This is not true if you go down stairs.

Each step is like a kick in the nuts. Getting in and out of the car is also very scary and painful. Again with that sick to the stomach feeling.

Finally I got over it. I don't even know where he cut in, the entry point is hidden within the wrinkles. I have had no side effects and am glad I had it done.

Kenny_Twenty • 11 points • 25 August, 2015 11:56 PM

Jesus. Reading that made me cringe.

boredepression • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 11:37 PM

Your doc musta sucked. I was up no problem the next day walking round. Never even needed any of the oxy.

user_none • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 01:01 AM

Agreed. I walked right out of the doc's office, went home and a few hours later the wife (ex-wife now) and I had sex. Crazy, right?

I've been snipped since July '08 and to this day I believe it's one of the best decisions I've made.

Elodrian • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 07:34 PM

I walked right out of the doc's office, went home and a few hours later the wife (ex-wife now) and I had sex.

/facepalm

Did the doctor not tell you that you won't be sterile until your plumbing has been flushed thoroughly? How much would it suck to get yourself snipped then immediately go and knock up your wife...

user_none • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 07:51 PM

Had sex. Did not ejaculate in her.

Yes, the doctor explained the whole leftover swimmers thing, and cumming around 20 times before going in for a test to check for their presence.

ChiangRai • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 06:52 AM

Thanks all for the replies. Forgot I'd posted this. Yea I'd read about the small percentage of pain cases and fortunately I work at a desk in my home so commute is non existent and I can take care of my guys while they heal. Entertaining stories all ty

Sir_Distic • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 05:18 PM

It's changed Alot. Mine was two small incisions. One in each side of the sack. Like pea size. 1 Valium Pill. Made me give no Fucks. I even hit on the Dr's nurse and she was 5 months preggo. I didn't know. Or care.

Two weeks of pain but I had it done on Thursday and back to work Monday.

I still get tingles at the incision sites when I shiver from cold. Like a tiny electric shock. Kinda neat.

But took 20 minutes. Easy.

bitterRedpills • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 09:00 AM

My supervisor had a vasectomy after his third kid.

Something went awry and after a day or so at home and in agonising pain each of his bollocks swelled up to 'twice the size of a tennis ball' and his sack went strange colours.

Went back to his doctor who basically threw him into an ambulance for emergency surgery.

Fucking blasts the shit out of his surgeon but has 0 regrets about getting it done.

vezokpiraka • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 07:35 PM

I still want to have children when I'm older. And a vasectomy is way too permanent. What if when I'm 40 I decide I really want to have kids?

tropicalpolevaulting • 6 points • 25 August, 2015 08:13 PM

Sperm banks. I know it's not manly to impregnate your woman with a turkey baster but it beats getting ass raped with alimony from a one night stand where you were too drunk to cum on her face...

Ball_shan_glow • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 01:24 PM

And how messed up is that, that it has to be done in self defense. What if you actually want kids later?

transitivecurves • -11 points • 25 August, 2015 04:44 PM [recovered]

I wouldn't; it'll emasculate you with time.

ChairBorneMGTOw • 6 points • 25 August, 2015 06:18 PM [recovered]

Horseshit. The testosterone is still produced, and when it travels down the vas deferens it reaches the cut point, and enters the interior of the scrotum. It is there absorbed into your body, just add androgel is absorbed through skin.

Don't post about things you don't understand.

ThisBozoAbove • -6 points • 25 August, 2015 07:52 PM [recovered]

It's interesting that this meme has successfully propagated on TRP, despite being clearly maladaptive by almost any metric - other than TRP's limited scope of pleasure sex. This mind virus actually convinces men to willingly kill their own genetic line. It preys on male innate hedonistic desire to infect the host who shortsightedly gives up his most important asset: his ability to reproduce.

This vasectomy virus is similar - though much less extreme - to another male parasitic mind-virus that infects it's host with a promise of future hedonism but actually tricks it's host into acting in the most maladaptive way imaginable: Jihad.

LetsGoAllTheWhey • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 10:15 PM [recovered]

vasalgel

You're overlooking the group of men that I'm a part of. I have a child, all the children I want, and I don't want to get tricked or trapped into having any more. For me, and men like me, this makes all the sense in the world.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 11:33 PM

Who cares? Those who don't procreate will dissappear from the genetic pool and things will get back to

normal. A genetic line is of zero value to an individual.

ferengiprophet • -24 points • 25 August, 2015 04:44 PM*

How can you so easily trust your doctor to do right by you? A neurosurgeon in this country deliberately killed and paralyzed his patients for the hell of it, while a dentist extracted all 32 teeth of man who only wanted to have only 3 removed.

Vasectomies are a good idea for those who don't want to have kids, but the risks of trusting your body to a doctor in this country are too high. Our society doesn't punish sociopaths with enough severity to prevent the aforementioned incidents from occurring.

I wouldn't have a surgical procedure done here; I would save my money and go to Canada, Scandinavia, Germany, or Israel where there's less "dog-eat-dog" culture and more emphasis on consumer protections.

[deleted] • 10 points • 25 August, 2015 04:58 PM

That's a bit paranoid. Do you also not cross the road because you might not run over. These examples are less than 1 in a million.

ghostbrainalpha • -5 points • 25 August, 2015 05:41 PM

Also we have this thing called Yelp now. Helps weed out the sociopath doctors.

ferengiprophet • 10 points • 25 August, 2015 06:03 PM

Yelp has a reputation for extorting money from businesses for favorable reviews to show up first.

ghostbrainalpha • -1 points • 25 August, 2015 06:29 PM

I have seen that too, but has that ever been confirmed by a reputable source or journalist?

I work in Tech and have friends at Yelp, so I am not neutral, but that accusation always seemed a little made up to me.

ferengiprophet • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 06:42 PM

<http://www.sfgate.com/news/article/Yelp-can-give-paying-clients-better-ratings-5731200.php>

<http://www.businessinsider.com/court-rules-yelp-can-manipulate-reviews-2014-9>

<http://consumerist.com/2014/09/19/yelp-swears-it-doesnt-manipulate-reviews-even-though-its-allowed-to/>

ghostbrainalpha • 6 points • 25 August, 2015 07:58 PM

Ok, props to you.

I was definitely wrong on that one.

ferengiprophet • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 08:18 PM

I am an eternal optimist and I hate it when my cynical side turns out to be right.

ferengiprophet • -8 points • 25 August, 2015 05:09 PM

I'm relaying this from personal experience. Take the warning and protect yourself.

tuxedoburrito • 13 points • 25 August, 2015 05:31 PM

Go back to watching Fox News and leave us alone

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 07:09 PM

What's your personal experience?

ferengiprophet • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 07:58 PM*

I went to a top 5 hospital for an issue I had been suffering from for 7 years. At 19-years-old, I consulted with the Chief of General Surgery about the procedure and was told by him point-blank that there would be no side-effects after the surgery. I was extremely sheltered back in those days and believed him since he was a very well accomplished physician with numerous accolades and worked at one of the best hospitals in the US. He was a charming man with a type A personality and had extremely good interpersonal skills.

After the surgery, I started having symptoms and discussed it with people online and realized that he had deliberately lied to me. I'll take responsibility for my actions: I should have done my own research regarding the procedure but I was so desperate to get relief back in those days that I was all too ready to believe in someone who claimed to have a solution.

Shame on me for not doing my own research and shame on him for concealing vital information that could have saved me from what I'm now experiencing.

TL;DR 19-year-old me made a terrible decision to trust a doctor with my best interests. I will never make that mistake again.

ferengiprophet • -4 points • 25 August, 2015 06:10 PM

Educate yourself

The new numbers, which total about **180,000 deaths a year**, were presented in a report by the Office of Inspector General at the Health and Human Services Department. They support findings of a landmark Institute of Medicine report in 2000 that said up to **98,000 Americans died every year because of medical errors**.

Source

[deleted] • 7 points • 25 August, 2015 07:01 PM

Medical errors are completely different to fucking up people's surgery on purpose.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 12:12 AM

Not sure why you got downvoted, considering there's a poster in this thread describing how he's been in constant daily pain for four months due to a botched vasectomy. There are a lot of horrible stories about vasectomies out there, because there's really no safe way to slice up testicle tubes.

Mine has been daily pain for 4 months now.

Listen carefully kids - nothing is risk free. For 990 out of 1000 guys, their vasectomies will go fine and they will be back to work in a couple of days.

For a small percentage though, they will be impotent after. And for another small percentage they will have something called post vasectomy pain syndrome that the doctors don't know what causes it and don't know how to stop it. They 'think' it will go away on its own. Eventually. But that's all they can do.

steviamies • 146 points • 25 August, 2015 03:54 PM [recovered]

That shit should be classified as sexual assault.

leftajar • 54 points • 25 August, 2015 07:37 PM [recovered]

At least one man has gone to jail for poking holes in a condom.

He was convicted of -- you guessed it! -- sexual assault.

nuesuh • 119 points • 25 August, 2015 05:32 PM

More like fraud... I don't see how this is sexual assault.

Hydris • 15 points • 25 August, 2015 10:43 PM

Because telling a women you are wearing a condom and having sex with her when you aren't wearing one can get you arrested for rape.

[deleted] • 32 points • 25 August, 2015 06:44 PM

It's a bit of a stretch, but you could make the case that women are so terrified of sexual assault because it may force them to have a child against their will. Well, this too forces someone to have a child against their will.

Viewed in this light, you could see "oops I forgot my pill and got pregnant, tee hee" as sexual assault too.

Peter_Principle_ • 28 points • 25 August, 2015 06:02 PM

Only if you expect feminists to be consistent.

Overkillengine • 15 points • 25 August, 2015 10:11 PM

I expect them to consistently try to screw men over, regardless of facts or reason.

Peter_Principle_ • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 11:20 PM

I expect you to not be disappointed with that expectation.

25russianbear25 • 8 points • 25 August, 2015 06:06 PM

so much equality around us!

bacdafucoff • 15 points • 25 August, 2015 05:22 PM

That is some crazy value.

It's hilarious he wouldn't put his dick in her. It scandalous what she did and it's criminal what the court did.

That woman and judge should be facing some repercussions.

TheRealMouseRat • 12 points • 25 August, 2015 06:42 PM

"All gone?"

"Aaaall goooone"

darthskids • 10 points • 25 August, 2015 09:26 PM

At the point that that happens, off she goes to a shallow grave in the desert.

ProspectiveQuant • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 01:43 PM

I always wonder how that doesn't happen more often...

At that point doesn't it make financial sense to pay some guys to take her out there?

And by pulling something like this I imagine it removes all negative feelings even a normal person might have for killing someone else.

I, personally, would not hesitate to higher some goons to make a woman disappear in such circumstances.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 03:36 PM

This is the kind of thing you shouldn't say on the internet, or in public. It's okay to think it, but maybe not so safe to say it.

[deleted] • 2 points • 28 August, 2015 10:21 AM

Probably fine to say on the internet unless your reddit username is your full name.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2015 06:30 PM

I meant if he were ever in that situation, and was a subject, they would check his browser history using his ISP.

ProspectiveQuant • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 03:40 PM

Probably watching too much CSI...

But who knows I guess.

NecroticFury • 1 point • 28 August, 2015 12:03 AM

Not watching enough news. Its the NSA and their ability to invalidate your privacy that you need to be worrying about.

ProspectiveQuant • 1 point • 28 August, 2015 03:47 AM

I haven't been arrested yet...

darthskids • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 04:41 PM

I think it's the mindset. She pretty reasonably believes the sperm donor isn't going to do shit so she's happy to pull that stunt. I don't think she would've done the same thing if it had been a different guy. Lesson learned, don't let women push you around or think that they're in charge.

andres7832 • 8 points • 25 August, 2015 05:54 PM

If you wear a condom, just go to the sink, rinse it, toss in trash. You hate the smell of old condoms if she asks why, then start looking for someone else if she starts acting fishy...

Nebulose11 • 6 points • 25 August, 2015 10:27 PM

If roles were reversed this would be called rape. A guy going down on a chick and spitting in a shot o'cum with the intent to get her pregnant. Especially if it's some other dudes seed.

Neuermann • 8 points • 26 August, 2015 06:51 PM

"Mommy, where do babies come from?"

Well dear, when a woman looves a man's money very much, but doesn't know how to make him stay with you, she squirrels him by storing his nut in your cheeks, then you take a test-tube and impregnate yourself. Then you

wait two years and take the man to court.

JohnnyElBravo • 23 points • 25 August, 2015 03:52 PM

This is like saying, don't get out of your house because you might get robbed or hit by a car. This is incredibly unlikely to happen, much more real dangers from unprotected blowjobs include: Herpes, hepatitis or straight up AIDS. So, either use a condom or be more selective. Women using your sperm for child support is the least of your issues.

[deleted] • 17 points • 25 August, 2015 04:42 PM

but...flush your condoms and get rid of the sperm

MightyYetGentle • 6 points • 25 August, 2015 08:58 PM

ill be doing this but still think this is gonna suck for a lot of plumbers. rip

[deleted] • 13 points • 25 August, 2015 05:10 PM

The likelihood of contracting HIV as the recipient of a blow-job is very low indeed. There's nearly no chance, although a more realistic figure is about 1 in 50,000 per blow-job, and that obviously only applies when the person giving has HIV. So, you need to be unlucky enough to first meet somebody who is HIV positive (1 in 100, probably less in developed parts of the world?), and then be unlucky enough to be infected (1 in 50,000ish).

Sperm-jacking should probably be higher on your list of concerns.

ProspectiveQuant • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 01:44 PM

1 in 100? No fucking way that many people have HIV... maybe in Somalia I guess? But in a place like the USA??

JohnnyElBravo • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 05:33 PM

Thanks for the stats. I always thought that, even though HIV is unlikely, other diseases are likely to be transmitted by blowjob. So I always use condoms for oral. Does anyone know what are the most common diseases to get from bjs?

MUSTY_illegal_shit • 10 points • 25 August, 2015 07:44 PM **[recovered]**

How the fuck do you cum from a blow job through a condom? How do you even stay hard?

[deleted] • 6 points • 25 August, 2015 05:40 PM

Gonorrhoea, Herpes and Syphilis are the three you need to be most careful of. Gonorrhoea is one that doesn't always present in women, in terms of symptoms, so the woman on the end of your penis might not know she has it. Men, on the other hand, generally do have symptoms.

holybad • 9 points • 25 August, 2015 07:13 PM

the likelihood of my school burning down was incredibly low too but that didn't stop the state from demanding a fire extinguisher be in every dam hallway and classroom now did it?

fucktales • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 07:12 PM

No one gets AIDS from a blowjob. You're more likely to get struck by lightning twice right after winning the lottery.

pcadrian • 40 points • 25 August, 2015 03:42 PM **[recovered]**

As a doctor, he can easily relocate to a different country, work there, and leave the bitch hanging.

leftajar • 11 points • 25 August, 2015 07:38 PM **[recovered]**

He got hit for \$800/month. For a working doctor, that's not atrocious, and almost certainly not worth expatriating.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 12:15 AM

Holy shit, maybe I've been working minimum wage for too long but \$800 a month is fucking insane.

leftajar • 10 points • 26 August, 2015 12:20 AM

That's about 10 G's a year, or 15 G's before taxes. If you're a physician making \$130,000, it's not the end of the world.

Now, if you're a minimum wage worker earning \$25,000/year, losing 10 G's of that would be life-ending.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 02:40 PM

Not the end of the world but after taxes loans etc etc it's still not fun.

Elodrian • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 07:36 PM

Is that all doctors make in the US?

leftajar • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 08:42 PM

Depends on your specialty, but yes. A brain surgeon, for instance, could make upwards of \$250k. A resident, on the other hand, starts at about \$60k.

[deleted] • 1 point • 28 August, 2015 10:15 AM

That's pretty common for doctors without specialties.

99639 • 9 points • 26 August, 2015 01:10 AM

That's not how MD's work at all dude. He would have to be accepted to a residency training program in the other country and complete years of training before being allowed to practice.

ProspectiveQuant • 4 points • 26 August, 2015 01:46 PM

That is very weird. Why isn't an MD globally transferable?... Is medicine that different country to country?...

Elodrian • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 07:38 PM

Yes. For example, in England homeopathy works. That's why it receives government funding.

[deleted] • 9 points • 25 August, 2015 04:56 PM

Do you have any idea what you are talking about?? the answer is no, just in case and if you think this is either easy or logistically doable, please tell me how. wow.

DannyDemotta • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 03:15 AM

Wow, indeed, what a useful fucking post. By you i mean.

I especially liked the part where you bothered to suggest he might be able to move to third-world countries A, B and C but that more Developed countries like X, Y, and Z wouldnt work because they wouldnt allow him to dodge US child support law.

Thank you for taking the time to do all that glorious research and not to just be a cunt.

[deleted] • -2 points • 26 August, 2015 02:17 PM

" As a doctor ".

Unless you are a US physician his is where you shut your trap.

I don't need to do the research as you so eloquently suggest. I am aware of student loan laws that he most likely has and that given the current state of medicine , medical pay structure and ability to self support in those countries as a foreign physician.

I am also aware that given his likely loan burden that can't go away with something as simple as relocation to almost any country the inability to support himself in that situation.

So your one liner just showed assumption after assumption regarding doctors.

Good job on assumptions. Great job thinking before rancid shit leaves your mouth.

CNileDotA • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 09:50 PM

Wow you're an angry cunt. Calm the fuck down.

[deleted] • -1 points • 27 August, 2015 12:16 AM

yes I can see why you would say that. Arrogance and anger did get me pretty far in life.

DannyDemotta • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 06:04 AM

What are "those countries", you fucking autistic?

You sound like an idiot, insisting on generalizing and bushitting instead of getting SPECIFIC.

You dont get to claim a position of authority when you havent done any goddamned research and are just shooting off at the mouth. We have countries that wont extradite motherfucking child rapists, and you sit there like a belligerent incel and insist there isnt a country on earth that wouldnt take a Doctor in?

Fucking shitstain.

[deleted] • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 01:19 PM

I didn't say they wont take a doctor in.

so that its clear, in most countries you have to go through their vetting process (residency, language exams, etc and then comparably shit salary to US physicians.) in the meanwhile credit agencies / banks from which you get loans for school dont go away.

I am not inclined to give you a fucking list because if you are curious you can do the research yourself. I did a long time ago and ruled out most first world countries where I would like to live as possible due to salary disparity and the fact that I would need to get "educated" again for years before earning a similar position to here.

Just because they wont extradite child rapists, due to the fact that the crime did not occur on their land, doesnt mean that they will welcome and pay most US docs.

and I am being general because asshole I was responding to a general statement that a doc can just "get up and go"

your inability to hold a conversation without saying deep and meaningful shit like shitstain and incel tells me more than I need.

my qualifications : US trained MD who has worked in other countries and has field experience with the process.

whats yours?

[deleted] • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 01:21 PM

again I asked a question : tell me about the logistics of how its done. Educate me oh wise one

rtf111 • 0 points • 26 August, 2015 09:58 PM **[recovered]**

Most doctors are arrogant quacks.

fukewe • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 05:00 PM

Yeah, Not so easy to do. He might be able to go to Canada but just beacuse you're a doctor doesn't mean you can just work in any country in the world

American citizen and Medical student in Sweden ;)

Hamilton950B • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 12:28 AM

I know a few people who were doctors in their native countries and are driving cab or similar in the US because the license to practice medicine does not transfer.

jaimewarlock • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 07:29 PM

My thought exactly. In fact, I would consider being a doctor to be the best profession for finding work in another country.

Even if his pay dropped, his standard of living would probably go way up.

hikiru • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 09:08 PM

As a welder, I have to professionally disagree. Tradesmen are valued world over.

razorwan • 4 points • 25 August, 2015 11:31 PM

This sounds weird-- I thought sperm die quickly in an environment like the mouth which is slightly acidic and has a number of enzymes working on it.

How could this have worked unless she like instantly spit it into a test tube?

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 07:10 AM

The mouth is actually slightly alkaline

razorwan • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 09:20 PM

Saliva is alkaline, but I was under the impression that the average mouth is slightly acidic (though I guess it ultimately depends on what you've eaten/drunk)

Readleavesbluesguy • 4 points • 26 August, 2015 12:25 AM

Phillips sued Irons, claiming he has had trouble sleeping and eating and has been haunted by “feelings of being trapped in a nightmare,” court papers state. Irons responded that her alleged actions weren’t “truly

extreme and outrageous” and that Phillips’ pain wasn’t bad enough to merit a lawsuit. The circuit court agreed and dismissed Phillips’ lawsuit in 2003.

http://www.nbcnews.com/id/7024930/ns/health-sexual_health/t/sperm-gift-keeps-giving/#.Uu_sxaulEA

TheDialecticParadox • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 08:45 AM

"Dafuq you whining about boi? Be a man and suck it up!"

Seriously this made me want to punch a hole in my screen. Men are pretty much fucking spat on if they try and engage in any sort of claim for sympathy, even if it's well deserved.

jace81 • 1 point • 29 August, 2015 04:05 PM

The part about the "the 5 year old boy, how would he feel if he knew his FATHER was ill because of his birth" ARE YOU FUCKING FOR REAL? How would he feel when he finds out his mother was so incredibly devious that she spat cum into a tube then shoved it into herself to deliberately get herself knocked up to fuck over his father.

[deleted] • 6 points • 26 August, 2015 12:47 AM

Goddamn this makes me want Vasalgel ASAP.

Or perhaps I should just get snipped... Not really my favorite option.

bea5tly • 11 points • 25 August, 2015 03:33 PM

Vasectomy is key. Never trust a women with birth control. And if you use condoms make sure to rinse them out in the sink afterwards and take them with you. The laws are to shitty against males you need to take precautions.

[deleted] • 12 points • 25 August, 2015 03:46 PM

you do know many condoms come with spermacide right?

They do a pretty good job of killing them

grewapair • 3 points • 25 August, 2015 07:11 PM

you do know many condoms ~~come~~ *used to come* with spermacide right?

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 07:18 PM

you can't buy it in a tube anymore, or have the condom pre lubed?

Don't make me feel old, why in gods name would they not be available. I can pick them up like that, but the handful at work if I want

grewapair • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 07:30 PM

You can still buy it in a tube, but it irritates the vaginal lining causing more urinary tract infections and a higher rate of transmission of STDs, particularly AIDS.

So the condom manufacturers all dropped it, in spite of the fact that the studies that pounded it were from prostitutes using it many times per day. I think there was also an issue that it didn't really make much difference in contraception. They were originally added because it was thought they protected against HIV, but that turned out not to be the case.

[deleted] • 4 points • 25 August, 2015 07:34 PM

Whatever. I eat gluten, I like saturated fats, and I won't worry about simple shit.

If it's available, use it. it's there for a reason. If the girl you're with gets irritation because you're jon #5 that day, then it's not my problem

[deleted] • 7 points • 25 August, 2015 04:30 PM

[permanently deleted]

phillip42069 • 3 points • 25 August, 2015 06:13 PM

I usually use a mixture of bleach and ammonia. Bleach does the job though

Enchantelope • 9 points • 25 August, 2015 07:31 PM

Bleach and ammonia gives you the authentic smell of WW1 trench warfare.

[deleted] • 3 points • 25 August, 2015 05:31 PM

Adding tabasco or bleach is a pretty good safeguard. If you are just going out the door and you see your girl running past you down the street naked and yelling, it should give you a clue.

OrpheusV • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 10:22 PM

I use flashbang hot sauce, it's really more effective (shit's like 10x hotter than ghost peppers)

my_sfw_alias • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 06:52 PM

Or just be poor. Aint nobody trying to steal my little guys for a nonexistent paycheck.

[deleted] • 1 point • 28 August, 2015 10:23 AM

The paycheck will exist, or you will go to prison.

zag83 • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 04:32 PM

Is there something wrong with flushing them?

Workformoney • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 04:49 PM

Not good on your septic system, I suppose.

Papahoff25 • 4 points • 25 August, 2015 06:02 PM

Used to do plumbing in high school with a friend's dad, many a condom were found in clogged pipes.

SupALupRT • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 05:53 PM

just rinse em out and chuck in the trash, thats what I do

JerkyMcGee • 3 points • 25 August, 2015 06:19 PM

I didnt even know this was possible. I thought that once sperm was exposed to air and a certain temperature that it starts to die.

3rt41 • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 07:00 PM

This is a myth that will put you in trouble. Sperm is a bitch.

Sir_Distic • 3 points • 25 August, 2015 06:52 PM

Vasectomy. Winning all the way down her throat.

RedSugarPill • 3 points • 25 August, 2015 10:39 PM

Well, I guess it's time to get comfortable with snowballing.

shadowq8 • 3 points • 26 August, 2015 05:42 AM

So a woman brought a life in this world through cheap methods, just to have child support...

I bet her kid is really gonna love his/her mom.. WOW MOM YOU HAD ME THROUGH A BLOW JOB JUST SO YOU CAN GET PAYCHECKS ?

anyways anyone got sources on how authentic that story is?

unassumingusername7 • 2 points • 27 August, 2015 05:50 PM

It'll be the first thing to come up if the kid ever Google's his mom's name.

NineInchPitchfork • 3 points • 25 August, 2015 05:09 PM

This is an older case: Phillips v Irons, 883 N.E.2d 1151 (2005) that adopted a treatment of sperm as property approach. Many other courts have viewed this situation from a contractual perspective, i.e. did the man intend or risk that his sperm would be used for procreation? That approach leads to more favorable results for men, for example:

<http://caselaw.findlaw.com/pa-supreme-court/1033446.html>

If you are concerned about this sort of thing, the use of a spermicide within the condom would assist. For blowjobs, I guess you could make sure she has a drink of some liquid prior to leaving your sight. Fuck Illinois and that stupid precedent.

FarmerWolfie • 7 points • 26 August, 2015 02:42 AM

Illinois. Explains everything. She bought the verdict. Probably had to suck some more cock for best results.

etemplin • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 05:33 AM

As a male Illinois resident, fuck that woman and fuck the court system and crook county. But figuratively fuck the woman of course. Don't want to be giving my entire paycheck away

MisterMojoMan • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 01:54 AM

You know society is in deep shit when actual news stories start to become confused with The Onion news stories.

xaphody • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 03:47 AM

Should be considered rape. He didn't give consent!

theobro • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 04:42 AM

This is one of my worst nightmares.

squirtmasterd • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 08:37 AM

Didn't she maintain that they also had sex, and that the child was conceived normally?

MrShoeguy • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 12:03 PM

If the sperm was a gift then it was *her* sperm, which makes her the father. But who gives a fuck?

foldpak111 • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 02:14 PM

That description is the reason I give up.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 03:44 PM

It's a good story, and a worst case scenario.

Keep in mind, this is the top 1% of crazy, and no one in here will experience anything like this. More likely, you'll get your very standard hypergamy... By nature, women are not going to go too far outside the norm. It's a good story to knock your unicorn off its pedestal, but I would probably not use this example for your MAP.

The lesson here is solid, do your due diligence. The example? Not so much.

remember, IUD's are there, and they are hands down, the closest you get to the snip. No forgotten pills, no muted mood swings based on the pill, basically removing human error

RageLife • 5 points • 25 August, 2015 04:44 PM

Keep in mind, this is the top 1% of crazy, and no one in here will experience anything like this.

Don't we have like 100,000 users on this subreddit or something?

I think someone in here might experience something like this.

[deleted] • 12 points • 25 August, 2015 05:36 PM

I think someone in here might experience something like this.

I did with one of my first serious gf's, back when I used to think that was a good idea

I fucked her in the ass one day after class, went home. The next day she's happy and tells me like it's a funny story how she waited for some cum to come out of her ass so she could put it in her pussy and we could have a baby

from that day forth, I knew bitches be cray.

Overkillengine • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 10:16 PM

Jesus, weapons grade crazy. High risk of getting a UTI or worse doing that just to try baby trapping someone.

OrpheusV • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 04:51 PM

Don't we have like 100,000 users on this subreddit or something

Top 0.1% might be realistic, I don't think AWALT applies as much here, this is some next-level shit. Actually, it does. I rescind the previous statement about that.

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 05:17 PM

1 in a million. You can win big putting all your money on 00 in roulette, doesn't mean it's smart to plan for it

[deleted] • 3 points • 25 August, 2015 05:38 PM

no one in here will experience anything like this

i did, i wrote it in the other reply to you

you may not experience my story or the OP story, but the point is they will do some crazy shit at some point

which will blow your mind and take you by surprise if you're not expecting it. Whether it's claiming rape, trying to say she was hit by you, poking holes in condoms, etc

They do insane shit. AWALT

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 06:30 PM

yeah, a worse case scenario. I've seen my share of crazy, but this is an outlier, or it wouldn't be news.

Take heed, take precautions, but the guys in here talking about bleaching their condoms are missing the point, just CYA

no_usernames_wtf • 1 points • 26 August, 2015 10:44 AM [recovered]

Not so fast here with the "safety" of IUDs. You know that with a little effort they can be removed by the girl. Google it and I'd say never under any circumstances trust women with anything.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 August, 2015 12:42 PM [recovered]

I trust my ability to vett crazy.

Someone willing to do these things are crazy. If she's not on a pedestal, and you are observant, you'll see red flags.

Even cheaters give very clear warning signs. Its just unicorn syndrome has guys hamstering it away

lantern55 • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 06:17 PM

fuck no, don't give them any ideas

AaronKClark • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 07:00 PM

Or just get a vasectomy. Problem Solved.

BlackHeart89 • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 07:46 PM

I recently started this thing where I tell women I just stock on the weekends and go to school.

The reason for this is because I don't want them thinking, "Ooooh. He has money! Beta bucks potential!"

Its brand new, so I don't know how it'll work for me given my situation.

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 08:08 PM

And now I'm an incel again, this time by choice.

valtazar • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 10:16 PM

I once heard something similar happened to some NBA player on All-Star Weekend. They've done the deed, he dropped the condom in the bin and walked away. Woman took the condom from the bin, extracted the sperm, took it to a fertility clinic and you can guess the rest of the story. Gold-digging bitch got off scot-free, of course. It wasn't an isolated case either. It went that far that the League had to issue a warning for players to flush the used condom down the toilet after one night stands on the road. This fucking world...

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 10:44 PM

There is a precedent in the legal system that a criminal is not supposed to PROFIT from a crime. But of course with womyyynnn that goes out the window.

bluedrygrass • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 10:33 PM

That's like the most retarded sentence i think i've ever heard of.

Like, i don't even, uh... what the actual fuck?! It breaks like a gazillion ethical stances.

The judge that came out with that monstrosity would easily find a way to demonstrate right all the most devious things that happened in history, Mao, Pol Pot, the nazis. Easy stuff, compared to this one, on the logical plane.

After this, there's nothing true, and nothing false. Seriously, if you can spin around facts, rights, centuries of legal and human common sense like that, nothing is true anymore, and nothing is false.

"The sleep of reason produces monsters."

bama79rolltide • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 11:18 PM

My father has paid over \$100,000 in tax free money in child support.

Had my vasectomy last Easter weekend. No kids for this guy.

Sour_J • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 11:44 PM

Why not just flush the condom down the toilet?

PeluzahT • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 04:19 PM

Not wise. Sometimes they do come back. Happened to me when I was a teenager, and know what? My mother found it. Just throw away what's inside, and you're totally sure it's ok.

Hamilton950B • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 12:30 AM

She is apparently not a very good doctor, has one-star reviews: healthgrades.com

themanwhosaid • 1 points • 26 August, 2015 02:21 AM **[recovered]**

So has anyone actually brought this story up with any women? I mean seriously if you have what were their responses? If you haven't what do you think the response would be?

Operating off the premise that your genetic material is something *you created* you should be entitled to it. Anyone know the laws regarding the sale or use of eggs? If the same laws don't apply to men then it can be argued that we could literally be stripped of our own creation and therefore our own property.

"But a women would never do anything like this" right? Wrong! Look blue pillers, no one is saying all women pull this shit. However that's not to say that some women do. Honestly if I were to bring this up in conversation with a feminist they would outright deny a women would actually do it. The fucking moral high ground women get is astonishing.

PeluzahT • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 04:22 PM **[recovered]**

I did. They all told me it's impossible as semen can't be preserved well. None of them told me "hey that's fucked up" first. That was probably the third or fourth sentence they said... if they said it at all.

radicaldreameredward • 1 points • 26 August, 2015 02:43 AM **[recovered]**

Is this a joke? *Seriously*, this is a joke right?

In reality this situation would not be over until that woman is legally obligated to take full responsibility for her actions.

bumbuff • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 03:21 AM **[recovered]**

It's a real story. During court she said, "He's lying about only doing oral". So it comes down to he-said, she-said. It's stupid. The court won't be able to find ANY evidence to support the oral-only relationship. Sadly, it

comes down to "does your dna match?"

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 04:27 AM

Now I can't even get a bareback BJ? For fucks sake.

Neuermann • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 05:22 AM

If only we could get DRM protection on our sperm.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 01:26 PM

This makes me want a vasectomy. Never know when a hamster will try to cash in.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 August, 2015 08:11 PM

Nothing is safe but vasectomy.

thereddespair • 1 point • 27 August, 2015 10:29 PM

Why a doctor would have to do this is beyond me. I wouldnt think its about the money. If it was some sick scientific experiment to see if it would work, I guess I can get that. Does say its an affair, so just to screw him over?

Crazy people everywhere

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2015 07:03 AM

I might be naive but does this shit only happen in the USA?

I'm in Asia so I'm safe from this... Right?

[deleted] • 0 points • 25 August, 2015 06:13 PM

[permanently deleted]

Philhelm • 0 points • 25 August, 2015 07:07 PM

It's a woman's nature, so if this upsets you you haven't *really* swallowed the pill. /s

What a cunt, not to mention the cunts within the court system.

nharan • -9 points • 25 August, 2015 05:20 PM [recovered]

This is certainly a fake story. A doctor doesn't need to file for child support.

Peter_Principle_ • 2 points • 25 August, 2015 06:27 PM [recovered]

Most women don't "need" child support, but extra money is extra money.

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 August, 2015 06:20 PM

A doctor doesn't need to file for child support.

Nor do they need to take bribes from pharmaceutical companies. And yet they do.