

# Your struggle and pain? Women neither understand nor give much of a fuck.

October 2, 2015 | 1080 upvotes | by [IllimitableMan](#)

---

Got a bunch of requests to make a comment of mine in another thread into a post, so here it is.

---

Some men are prone to thinking "if women could just understand, they'd work with us." No. Just no. Stop. That's wishful thinking. Women will never work with us, because what they want and what we want are similar but different. The way we think, the way we want to do things, **the fact women want to constantly fight you for control whilst secretly subconsciously hoping they don't win means [we're in a perpetual state of war](#)**. (war = maxim 6)

In the **["men aren't taught to be attracted, women are born into it" thread](#)** the OP sounds a little purple pill. Don't get me wrong there's a lot of gold in there, but because he's trying to logic a girl there's a lot of "meet me halfway here" sentiment in his explanation and she doesn't budge a fucking inch. This is testament to the notion women see any attempt at male self-improvement that may possibly undermine their monopoly on social power as nothing but a threat to be thwarted.

**Women don't want a work in progress they want a winner, the work in progress is ugly, the rage, the insecurity - all of it - bitches aren't cut out for that.** TRP is like a machine shop where cars are in pieces being assembled/repainted and whatever, and bitches just be like "I don't want to see that, they're ugly, show me a shiny lambo, that car probably doesn't even start!" Women want the product with the perfect presentation and to be completely ignorant of the underlying layers that go into creating it.

TRP is a fucking hospital for fucked up guys. And guys aren't even allowed to be fucked up, are they? Society fucks men up, they try to do something about it by utilising TRP, and apparently that's a bad thing too. Everything they do is wrong. Bitches come here, pass judgement and then act like they know shit. These women are fucking clueless and their snooping enlightens them not one iota. Where we see progress and catharsis, they are just revolted and trying to get in our heads. Not so they can help us, but in some petty female attempt to outsmart our knowledge of their biology/psych (again, IM MAXIM 6.) A futile endeavour, but there you go.

They don't even try to understand "the WHY" behind TRP. They are just filled with discomfort and suspicion at the thought of men coming together to discuss women and society in the very way that they do. Women do not like the idea of male autonomy, women always want to be in the picture, having a say and influencing men. Men specialise in influencing the world whilst women specialise in influencing men, that's always the way it's been, whether it's been through feminism or using her looks/submission to charm a man into commitment (that's RPW.)

TRP is one of very few places where women have NO POWER WHATSOEVER. And they fucking hate that. No power, lots of "work in progress men" that aren't currently at a "fuckable level," unspoken societal mechanics out in the open being plainly spoken, this shit offends and fucks with them. They can't understand. They can't care. They're not in that fucking world. **They're not men so they don't understand the struggle, the grind, the sweat that takes to become a man worth a fuck.**

Plus there's the little double standard that's it's fine for her to artificially enhance her SMV, but if you don't "grow up that way," and instead have to consciously try to fix yourself, you're clearly a damaged tryhard rather than a natural and you belong in the beta box society has already assigned you. Women like to stereotype men as bitch boys and fuck boys and they're very black and white about that. They don't

want to see any crossover, the mere concept fucks with them epically.

Truth is, women would be happier if men got their balls back and started leading again but they've been brainwashed too and just aren't cognisant of it. It's a huge mind fuck that women are constantly try to feminise men and feed them disinformation that fucks up their chances, but will eagerly fuck any guy who ignores all of that and knows what it takes to be an effective, dominant and successful man. Which is all any man here really wants to be.

The worst thing you can do is show the enemy your play book and trust them to empathise or get on board.

Women are not your friends, but neither are they your competition. They are not your equals, they are your prey. You hunt, and if you know what you're doing, you snag one. You lead the relationship, if you fuck up, there is no relationship. There is no equality and no understanding, there is simply you being a leader picking/attracting/maintaining a relationship with a woman of your choosing. That's it.

People like to think they can use TRP to get a girl and then "just be themselves" but the reality is, the "gaming" (manipulating) never stops. And women will never stop manipulating you, testing you to make sure you're strong/better than them. That's just the way it is.

You all need to accept this instead of hoping otherwise.

If women gave a remote shit about men, life from a man's viewpoint or even helping men, feminism wouldn't be a thing. Open your eyes. We're on our own with this. Woman on TRP will never empathise with us, they are just here to enhance their own manipulation. Women have an edge and they don't want men to take that away from them.

Lucky for them, even a woman knowing about TRP doesn't stop TRP working on her. It's all human behaviour. Women like what they like, they are who they are and they're attracted to what they're attracted to. But we don't need them having any meaningful presence here.

Bitches don't belong in the locker room and they know it that's why they lurk. Women having any say or presence within TRP will be the death of it, just as it was with the seduction sub, askmen, and all the other previous male spaces that women took over.

All relationships are war, yeah I know that sounds fucked up but just think about it. If it wasn't this thing you had to win and dominate, you wouldn't need TRP because bitches would work with you and not against you. Truth be told, the irony is, bitches only work with you when you're winning - which means dominating life AND them. But they will try to jostle you for power, and if you give in, you sign your own death sentence. Heed me.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

redpillbanana • 204 points • 2 October, 2015 02:50 PM\*

TRP is like a machine shop where cars are in pieces being assembled/repainted and whatever, and bitches just be like "I don't want to see that, they're ugly, show me a shiny lambo, that car probably doesn't even start!"

Good analogy.

We're like the sausage factory (wonderful imagery, right?): people don't want to see how they're made, they just want the tasty result. When they actually see the inside of a sausage factory, they're horrified and disgusted, which explains the reaction most people have to TRP.

Edit: Relevant Bill Burr skit where he talks about women who only want the end result: "Those women, they stand at the finish line of a guy's life... they just stand at the finish line waiting, saying 'I'll suck your dick!'"

SwissPablo • 49 points • 3 October, 2015 01:30 PM

That's why it's really important for men not to go around telling everyone how much they're doing to make their life better, how many times a week they're going to the gym, etc, and **just do it**, because the results will speak louder than any words ever can.

antariusz • 21 points • 3 October, 2015 04:14 PM

Exactly, and telling other men to "just do it" makes you into a laughingstock meme.

The type of man that needs motivation from some celebrity in front of a green screen is the type of man that women don't want to sleep with, and that is why they mock it, even if the advice itself is desperately needed.

awalt\_cupcake • 6 points • 4 October, 2015 02:53 AM

Exactly, and telling other men to "just do it" makes you into a laughingstock meme.

Holy shit you're right. I enjoyed Shia's attempt at motivation but I didn't take it seriously myself.

zenhood • 65 points • 2 October, 2015 04:58 PM

Redpill approved comedy right here. Thanks for the post.

[deleted] 2 October, 2015 05:05 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

through\_a\_ways • 25 points • 3 October, 2015 07:25 AM

I've heard people laugh off his jokes and say "it's just comedy." It's ok to laugh, it's not really real. Fuck that; this guy is a genius.

That's because the only acceptable way to state reality is through humor. It also gives you plausible deniability.

lenin1928 • 13 points • 3 October, 2015 04:59 PM

Men are expendable and have a natural desire to fight for a small chance at success that culture offers to

increase our own fortunes and our cultures. We are exploited. Women have no understanding of this and could not comprehend being expendable. But they desire the benefits and the drive that men have to achieve. Men and women are a world apart.

Goingtogetmine • 11 points • 3 October, 2015 12:45 PM

Women have outsourced mate selection to men. They let men compete with each other and then pick from the top.

MakingItWorthit • 2 points • 5 October, 2015 08:52 PM

And then wind up becoming single mothers actually expecting that guy to suddenly turn faithful.

B0u1dA • 8 points • 3 October, 2015 01:41 AM

'Because women aren't turned on by lumber' is a brilliant line.

[deleted] • 4 points • 5 October, 2015 08:49 AM

the bill burr thing is the first thing that came to my mind when I read that - goes on about how many dreams women have killed with their moaning.

PachinkoSAN • 4 points • 4 October, 2015 12:39 PM

Only idiots want the end result without the knowledge of what goes into it. I guess women are idiots.

Longest\_username • 6 points • 5 October, 2015 04:59 AM [recovered]

Who's worse? The idiot, or the person that spends an endless amount of time trying to beat the idiot at it's own game.

PachinkoSAN • 1 point • 5 October, 2015 01:18 PM

I was blind but now I see. This is why I seek the truth.

PachinkoSAN • 1 point • 6 October, 2015 02:23 PM\*

On a side note; I don't spend an endless amount of time. Ain't nobody got time for that. And I'm not trying to beat the idiot. I want to make sweet sweet love to these sexy female idiots. That's why I come here to learn and improve. So that I will not be an idiot.

Longest\_username1 points 7 October, 2015 10:46 AM [recovered]

Dnt fucking lie cunt sucker. I know u do

PachinkoSAN • 0 points • 7 October, 2015 02:00 PM

Lmao. I'm being honest with you but you want to use foul language. You're being weak. But seriously, I need all the women in my life. Just learning how to deal with them better. And THAT'S THE POINT OF Theredpill.....

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 October, 2015 11:32 AM

if you judge a woman by a mans standards and expectations, sure...

Luckily, we have two different yardsticks... just look for the longer pink one and try not to compare to the red one

Fen94 • 2 points • 2 October, 2015 10:13 PM

But what person really wants to see someone in their work-shop of life? (Or therapists or life-coach's chair for that matter) Only someone who wants to date a vulnerable person and exploit them.

And depending on your opinion of how different men are from women, that could be considerably more unattractive for women than it is for men... let me know your thoughts on this..

nightdepartures1125 points • 2 October, 2015 03:56 PM **[recovered]**

I can relate this easily to lifting. Over time, I realized my wife doesn't care that I lift. There's nothing attractive about a man sweating and struggling under heavy load.

But I have her undivided attention when I out-lift the guy next to me in a social setting, when I make someone look like a toothpick, or when someone comments on my physique in front of her. It's all about affirmation she chose the best guy in comparison to others around her.

If I explained to the people around me in all those scenarios that it took me X years to achieve that, it would completely diminish the accomplishment and devalue her "winner". She'd rather believe, however naively, that I'm just naturally that strong/big.

through\_a\_ways • 59 points • 3 October, 2015 07:31 AM

In Japan, women state the perfect male height as 5'9" - 5'11". The average male height in Japan is 5'7".

In America, women state the perfect male height as 6'0" - 6'2". The average male height in the US is 5'10".

dr\_warlock • 49 points • 3 October, 2015 11:40 PM

Conclusion: Women want men better relative to the average in their environment.

through\_a\_ways • 23 points • 3 October, 2015 11:50 PM

That is the correct conclusion

awalt\_cupcake • 9 points • 4 October, 2015 02:56 AM

they're such miserable fuckers

Abacabadab • 1 point • 10 October, 2015 04:05 PM

Men also want women better relative to their average in their environment. They sure must be miserable fuckers

[deleted] • 2 points • 8 October, 2015 01:34 PM

let me guess, 6'0"-6'2" creates a perfect 80-20?

[deleted] • 68 points • 2 October, 2015 05:56 PM

She's not interested in the effort. She just wants the results.

[deleted] • 102 points • 2 October, 2015 06:31 PM

They're not just uninterested in it, they're also just completely ignorant of the effort.

I had an ex who a few months into the relationship would get pissy about the amount of time I spent in the gym and playing sports. She wanted me next to her on the couch for boring nights of netflix instead.

This is the same girl who said she was initially attracted to me because of how in shape I was. She must have just thought being in shape for guys just comes naturally with no effort, because all she had to do to

stay hot was not eat like a pig and stay thin. The cognitive dissonance sometimes is just mind boggling.

mahlzeit • 109 points • 3 October, 2015 10:09 AM

This is the same girl who said she was initially attracted to me because of how in shape I was. She must have just thought being in shape for guys just comes naturally with no effort, because all she had to do to stay hot was not eat like a pig and stay thin. The cognitive dissonance sometimes is just mind boggling.

More and more I'm seeing a theme emerge, and your post just confirms this wonderfully: a man's struggle is to become a man. He starts with nothing and has everything to gain. A woman's struggle is to remain being a woman. She starts with everything and has everything to lose.

IllimitableMan • 62 points • 3 October, 2015 05:47 PM

a man's struggle is to become a man. He starts with nothing and has everything to gain. A woman's struggle is to remain being a woman. She starts with everything and has everything to lose.

This is brilliant. I understand the theme you are getting at precisely. And you have worded it in such an eloquent manner to boot. Do you have any objections to me using this in a future piece? Definitely a piece of wisdom I think needs more eyes on it.

mahlzeit • 20 points • 3 October, 2015 06:02 PM

Thanks! And I have no objections at all, go ahead! I'm looking forward to what you have to say.

TigerMonarchy • 10 points • 4 October, 2015 04:15 PM

I sure as fuck wanna read more on this. This is a gold mine of thought in one brilliant articulated position. Please mine this for all it's worth, sir. Please. The males of this world need such insights, whether they believe it or not.

TigerMonarchy • 16 points • 4 October, 2015 04:13 PM

This shit right here is some jedi level insight on the human condition, sir. May good fortune befall you and yours for many days to come with this brilliant nugget bestown to both the internet of today AND of tomorrow. Magnificent.

Edit: Minor grammatical tweak.

Redasshole • 7 points • 3 October, 2015 03:27 PM

You are almost there.

Theoretically, women has everything to lose. In the modern era, thanks to thirsty betas, SJW and feminist, they only have some of it to lose. they will always find a provider and only very rarely will you see a woman sleeping on the streets.

mahlzeit • 33 points • 3 October, 2015 04:41 PM

I agree. However, what I mean isn't so much about our current situation in this society, I'm thinking of a more general theme in humans, like our basic innate strategies that are given to us by our biological programming. It's basically the same as "men age like wine, women like milk", but framed in a different, in my opinion more useful way.

First of all: up until puberty we're just children. We don't really have that much agency and we follow the path laid out by our caregivers. After puberty we're more or less mature and from this point on "it counts."

Now, if you look at a male after puberty: he's basically useless. He has no experience, no power, no resources, no social status. He needs to gain everything in order to become a man. If he doesn't, he stays a loser. So his basic strategy is: I do not protect myself, I take and accomplish, I dominate. If he manages to implement this, he becomes a man, if he fails, he stays a loser.

A female, on the other hand, has everything after puberty: youth, beauty, innocence, fertility. Her assets won't ever get better, but she sure as hell can accelerate the loss of her assets if she isn't careful. So her strategy is to protect what she has. If she manages to implement this, she'll still be feminine in old age, but if she doesn't she'll become one of those ugly bitches nobody likes.

Of course both of those strategies are present in every human being, to different degrees, at different times. If what I call "male" dominates in you, you're male, if what I call "female" dominates, then you're a female. That's what a "mangina" is - a man who fails to implement his natural male strategy necessarily implements the female strategy and thus becomes effectively a woman, and vice versa.

This also fits beautifully with the way how the sexes manipulate the environment: men directly (because they only have to gain through their actions), women indirectly through men (because they don't want to risk losing anything through their actions).

Of course this is just an abstraction, and not to be taken literally. It necessarily ignores a lot of factors. I don't think people are 100% male or female psychologically, it's a spectrum, and men cluster on one side while women cluster on the other side. Also, society plays a role here as well. But the more I think about this the more I get this hunch that there's something to it.

Anyway, I wanted to throw that out there.

Rebikhan • 1 point • 6 October, 2015 08:43 PM

Psychologically there may be clusters, but in terms of value to society there's a hard distinct line between being a man and a woman. A "mangina," or a guy who acts like a girl, is essentially "a woman with no value," where the value comes from the scarcity of her womb. (source:girlwriteswhat)

As IM said, thanks for that distilled phrase. It's both genius in its brevity and a more complete explanation of the men:wine:woman,milk analogy.

[deleted] • 4 points • 4 October, 2015 05:00 PM\*

[deleted]

What is this?

Nothing\_Gazes\_Back • 3 points • 5 October, 2015 09:17 PM

Of course they are. Society is actively forcing them into the dominant, leading role and they have no clue what to do about it. It clashes with their submissive nature but social pressures urge them to be self sufficient and "not to need no man".

They're incapable of dealing with what life wants from them, and that's exactly why they



need a strong man to lead them; now more than ever.

[deleted] • 4 points • 5 October, 2015 08:52 AM\*

someone wrote a great post about why a women's natural inclination is to "beta-fy" their mate - conclusion was - is to stop you from leaving her as in cave man days if you left - her offspring would be fatherless - and she would have no resources. This inclination can be life or death for women at this point - but in todays society just doesn't translate well.

ChairBorneMGTOW • 22 points • 3 October, 2015 05:20 PM

Had one girlfriend who meet me while I was producing music and performing. It was the only reason she wanted me in retrospect, for my status in a musically-homogenous subculture. But after a short while (3 months max) she started griping about my time practising or in my home studio. She subconsciously wanted to destroy the one characteristic of mine that attracted her.

whatsazipper • 15 points • 3 October, 2015 10:35 PM

She subconsciously wanted to destroy the one characteristic of mine that attracted her.

It's a shit-test to smash. They all pull similar things. You lose if you cave.

[deleted] • 4 points • 3 October, 2015 12:10 PM

The same cognitive dissonance in women horrified you'd need to learn "Game" one day and then wooed by a smooth talker at a bar that same night.

[deleted] • 9 points • 2 October, 2015 07:14 PM

... all she had to do to stay hot was not eat like a pig and stay thin.

Yes, thin. Not muscular. But you're right about the ignorance aspect. Plus there are just so many people out there running that "It's muh genetiks" garbage game that I saw so much of on FPH.

nightdepartures114 points 2 October, 2015 07:43 PM **[recovered]**

People these days tend to blame their failures and problems on genetics, so it is not a stretch for them to also believe muscular people are just genetically gifted.

whatsazipper • 6 points • 3 October, 2015 12:36 AM

The same occurs here. 'Natural' worship is prevalent.

RedPillProphet • 9 points • 3 October, 2015 02:04 AM

Yes, thin.

Which is all the vast majority of guys care about. Being fit as a girl is a joke. Just don't pig out.

[deleted] • 3 points • 2 October, 2015 05:52 PM

or if you talk to another woman.

bogeyd6 • 2 points • 7 October, 2015 05:48 PM

My wife likes watching me lift weights. She even gave me a blowjob while I was laying on the bench out of nowhere. Sweat and all. I guess she likes to reward me for doing the things she likes :D



ChadThundercockII • 0 points • 3 October, 2015 04:51 PM

There's nothing attractive about a man sweating and struggling under heavy **load**.

some people find that attractive.

JP\_Whoregan • 96 points • 2 October, 2015 07:37 PM

My uncle is a general contractor. There is a hard and fast rule in home renovation:

**Never let the client see the work as it is in progress, only show them the finished house.**

The reason for this is that, quite simply, the client will never see the vision that you are trying to communicate to them. All they see is a destroyed kitchen, unpainted drywall, smashed up tile, and stacks of wood laying around.

Even once they see the finished product, they will be less likely to buy the house because they will have a permanent image of that demolition and construction wreckage burned into their minds.

The same applies to women. If you are a fat neckbeard with a shitty wardrobe, your time is best spent not at a bar or coffee house, but spent in monk mode. Women do not enjoy watching men getting a makeover, they only enjoy watching other women get makeovers because they can solipsistically and vicariously see themselves getting that sort of treatment.

Good point also on the double standard of it being only permissible for women to artificially boost their SMV. Makeup, eyeliner, lipstick, push-up bras, high heels, and hell back in the day women even wore corsets to hide any chub around the midsection.

All of this socially acceptable. But imagine the horror of this same princess finding out the man she just fucked wears risers inside his shoes to boost his height 2". Women with thinning or shitty hair can weave extensions and nobody bats an eye, but if a bald man wears a toupee he's mocked and laughed at.

Must be all of that male privilege we enjoy, amirite?

bama79rolltide • 138 points • 2 October, 2015 02:25 PM

"Bitches don't belong in the locker room and they know it that's why they lurk."

This is because modern, Western civilization has allowed this to occur. It is difficult to escape media brainwashing. A female reporter not getting this access would be deemed sexist by the team, which scares them into lost revenue. October is "breast cancer awareness month". October is also THE month of sports. NCAA Football hits conference play, NFL, and MLB playoffs. It's the ultimate sports month. Sports are marketed at men. Men watch sports because they are more interesting than their wives.

This is where the infiltration occurs. WOMEN CANNOT STAND TO BE IGNORED. It is a threat to them that anything can take precedent over them. Once you pass this shit test, they can't help but love you. However, most men cannot pass it. That's what TRP is about. TRP has done wonders for me. I was blue pill, beyond measure, and started to realize that the world won't end if I acted how a man should. Pussy will automatically come if you display confidence, and give zero fucks about her actions. It's a great forum. Keep women in check, and they'll conform. If not, who cares? Kings don't chase, they replace.

JP\_Whoregan • 78 points • 2 October, 2015 07:21 PM

On a sidenote, and not that I watch much football or TV in general anymore, but am I the only chucklefuck who thinks this breast cancer awareness bullshit in the NFL has gotten drastically out of hand? 10 years ago, the players showed their titty worship by wearing pink hand towels around their waists.

Now?

pink towels

pink gloves  
pink headbands under the helmets  
pink wristbands  
pink cleats  
pink crash-pads around the goal post base

I mean, here you have these grown ass men, prancing around a field for 3 hours, dolled up like overgrown bronies.

Football (as a sport, not as an entertainment outlet) used to be one of the apex examples of pure masculine energy. But even football is now an emasculated shell of it's former self.

And not for anything, where's the NFL's "Prostate Cancer Awareness Month"? More men die of prostate cancer than women die of breast cancer.

masterofthehaus • 57 points • 2 October, 2015 08:19 PM

Actually only about 8% of their proceeds go to cancer research, the rest is a cash cow for the NFL. So all that pink on the field is actually about putting green in the league's hands.

StManTiS • 14 points • 3 October, 2015 03:46 AM

The men that lead the NFL know how to bend the world. They win with pink and camo. They generate good will and money at the same time. It is a stroke of genius and should be seen as such.

through\_a\_ways • 23 points • 3 October, 2015 07:28 AM

It is a stroke of genius and should be seen as such.

It's not genius, it's just a way to make money.

If people give me free money because they're fucking retarded, that doesn't make me a genius. It makes them stupid.

StManTiS • 12 points • 3 October, 2015 01:11 PM

Okay. Find another sports league that does this with anywhere near the degree of success. The NFL markets itself as war and as a charitable organization while making a killing on it.

It's super easy to just call people stupid and dismiss achievement. I just don't see anyone doing anything similar with any degree of success. MLB does veterans too but nowhere near the profit from it.

[deleted] 8 October, 2015 04:00 PM

[permanently deleted]

masterofthehaus • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 04:59 PM

Unfortunately you concur with me?

[deleted] 8 October, 2015 06:22 PM

[permanently deleted]

masterofthehaus • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 06:45 PM

I actually like pink and think it's time to reclaim it. Pretty recently it was a color associated with boys, you know:

<http://www.smithsonianmag.com/arts-culture/when-did-girls-start-wearing-pink-1370097/?no-ist>

vandaalen • 22 points • 2 October, 2015 08:15 PM

Care to ELI German what the fuck this is all about?

Is it to raise awareness for preventive checkups? Here women will automatically get checked every year from the age of 30 and will get a mammography from the age of 50 every two years and it's nearly impossible to escape advertising for it in doctor's offices.

Or is it just to remind women of how hard their lives are and to make men feel a little bit worse about their "male privileges"?

More men die of prostate cancer than women die of breast cancer.

Not to mention the countless men who suffer from incontinence and/or erectile dysfunction post-OP.

JP\_Whoregan • 46 points • 2 October, 2015 08:18 PM

Or is it just to remind women of how hard their lives are and to make men feel a little bit worse about their "male privileges"?

It's basically this. Men can have no Male Spaces anymore. The NFL devotes a whole month to titty cancer, the sideline reporters are all women, there are female announcers now (like women know fuckall about American football), hell we even have female **referees** now, calling penalties in a sport they have never played.

They're here to make sure we check our male privilege. Feminism shit tested the NFL, and the NFL failed miserably.

NoSolaceWasPromised • 16 points • 3 October, 2015 05:05 AM

The NFL is just cashing in on appealing to and exploiting certain demographics.

Why have a month for prostate cancer when pretty much any man who would be watching NFL already is. Why would corporations need to rally up the losing gender? Who are the bigger consumerists who have claimed the pants in so many families, either by coercion, divorce/custody rape, or simply being with a "modern man" who is the result of social "norms" and "pussifying".

Women are more of a primary target demo than ever before. You bet your energy and resources on the most profitable horse you can.

It's just business.

systemshock869 • 1 point • 5 October, 2015 02:10 PM

hell we even have female referees now, calling penalties in a sport they have never played.

And in the fucking NFL - the pinnacle league of a 100% testosterone fueled sport. Absolutely insane. Though I feel bad complaining about this bullshit in a sport I could care less about, because it pales in comparison to our armed forces situation.

[deleted] • 5 points • 3 October, 2015 01:45 PM

Pinkwashing is to generate revenue, nothing more. For TV events like sports, it increases female viewership. For products, it provides a smokescreen for full price or inflated price products with a

negligible fraction of the proceeds going to research.

If it were about women's health, it would be about bigger killers of women like lung cancer and heart disease. Like most things in marketing, it's the psychology of making people spend money.

voomer53 • 5 points • 3 October, 2015 01:01 AM

I wonder what Vince Lombardi would've said if Pete Rozelle had told him the Packers had to wear pink on Sunday.

Nothing\_Gazes\_Back • 3 points • 5 October, 2015 09:59 PM

It's all marketing, man. Men are going to love football either way. Immasculate the players by forcing them to dance around in pink and now you're tapping into the female demographic.

It's sad, but commercial sports at the end of the day are still just about money.

Mattpilf • 4 points • 3 October, 2015 02:35 AM

Hate to break it to you, but they already wear shoulder pads, helmets, and matching spandex shirts and leggings and every play made was celebrated. They are already "dolled up" before Komen corporation started using them as marketing.

Diabolical\_Nuke • 1 point • 6 October, 2015 11:42 AM

Those shoulder pads and helmets actually make the game a little more dangerous. I've played both rugby and american football and I never was hit in rugby like football.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W7tGY-VDx3o>

Syberr • 2 points • 4 October, 2015 10:50 AM

Except screening for prostate cancer will on average kill and maim (from the complications of procedures) more men than save.

The best path is to keep it dormant and only go checking for it if it brings symptoms (it'll still be curable)

systemshock869 • 1 point • 5 October, 2015 02:01 PM

Susan G. Komen isn't going to get that new yacht with just one little pink towel.. you gotta dream big.

sourdieselfuel • 1 point • 5 October, 2015 08:31 PM

Breast cancer awareness sells jerseys to women who would otherwise not buy them. Always follow the money.

Intersivity • 1 point • 2 October, 2015 09:44 PM

But even football is now an emasculated shell of it's former self.

How? What has changed, in practice? The pink shit may be dumb, but it doesn't change the game in any way.

Diabolical\_Nuke • 1 point • 6 October, 2015 11:46 AM

Penalties for big hits, not allowed to touch the quarterback (basically), any extra fighting after the whistle results in penalties, trash talk can be penalized, fighting outside of their job results in suspensions, celebrations are penalized...I could go on

Intersivity • 1 point • 7 October, 2015 04:57 AM

Penalties for big hits

Penalties for potentially injurious, unnecessarily forceful hits, mostly with the helmet.

any extra fighting after the whistle results in penalties

As it should.

trash talk can be penalized

I would think the NFLs refs can be trusted with judgment on what's outright abusive/offensive language and what's just normal trash talk.

fighting outside of their job results in suspensions

NFL is a family friendly organization. They can't not punish them for doing stupid, irresponsible things lest they risk losing viewers/support.

celebrations are penalized

Excessive celebration has always been penalized, as it should be.

Most of the rules relating to hitting have been in the interest of safety, given how much info is coming out on the danger of football.

Diabolical\_Nuke • 1 point • 7 October, 2015 03:26 PM

Penalties for potentially injurious, unnecessarily forceful hits, mostly with the helmet.

Nah. I've seen linebackers and safeties lay out receivers with their shoulders purposely not use their helmets and still get penalized. The whole "unnecessarily forceful hits" is a feminized version of football. "Ooh, you hit TOO hard. You shouldn't be able to do that, it might hurt someone!". We're men, we take dangerous chances and anyone going into football not thinking it's dangerous is as bright as a feminist.

As it should (in response to my any extra fighting after the whistle results in penalties)

In week two the Cowboys punter was run in to by DeMarco Murray. He grabbed Murray's arm and got a 15 yard penalty. Here's an explanation of the "incident", and it's pussified, garbage woman-thought.

NFL is a family friendly organization. They can't not punish them for doing stupid, irresponsible things lest they risk losing viewers/support.

You're gobbling up those commercials aren't you? The NFL is TRYING to portray themselves as family friendly. That in itself is destroying the masculinity of the sport. I don't care if some guy is snorting coke with his wife and they get into it and he ends up in jail. If he doesn't get convicted of anything (or even if he does and gets a small misdemeanor) I really don't give a shit. All I care about is if he is playing this weekend and if he's going to help or hurt his team's chances.

Most of the rules relating to hitting have been in the interest of safety, given how much info is coming out on the danger of football

Safety is the den of femininity, not masculinity.

Intersivity • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 12:06 AM

You're gobbling up those commercials aren't you? The NFL is TRYING to portray themselves as family friendly.

That's what I meant. Any organization where the vast majority of members end up with serious brain damage isn't exactly friendly to anyone.

The whole "unnecessarily forceful hits" is a feminized version of football. "Ooh, you hit TOO hard. You shouldn't be able to do that, it might hurt someone!". We're men, we take dangerous chances and anyone going into football not thinking it's dangerous is as bright as a feminist.

Literally thousands of retired players sued the NFL and won \$900M+ for their downplaying/covering up of the relationship between football and traumatic brain injury. There are still some pending cases as well. Between that massive cash impetus and the pressure of the public to protect players, of course they're going nuts with safety, not because feminists are corrupting the game or whatever.

That in itself is destroying the masculinity of the sport. I don't care if some guy is snorting coke with his wife and they get into it and he ends up in jail. If he doesn't get convicted of anything (or even if he does and gets a small misdemeanor) I really don't give a shit. All I care about is if he is playing this weekend and if he's going to help or hurt his team's chances.

If the NFL was okay with all its players beating women and doing a bunch of hard drugs the public outcry would be even louder than feminism is already. And there is absolutely something to be said for people who are in the national spotlight in front of millions of kids to behave up to a certain standard.

Safety is the den of femininity, not masculinity.

Do you not wear a seatbelt because it is feminine? Of course not. Safety is not a feminine nor masculine trait. Both genders wear goggles in chemistry labs, helmets when skating, and bulletproof vests when enforcing law. For the same reason, you have certain rules to try to prevent serious injury in a game. No one thinks football should be risk-free, but it should be as safe as possible within reason.

james-watson • 0 points • 3 October, 2015 05:09 PM

The apex example of pure masculine energy is MotoGP. Modern day gladiators who put their lives on the line against one another in a battle that often results in death, riding machines constructed with the pinnacle of man's intellect.

Grown men chasing a ball around has only ever been a childish pastime. For the life of me I never understood it, nor do I to this day.

To each his own.

Assassin1476 • 7 points • 2 October, 2015 05:55 PM

Goddamn this was spot on! Good on you for sharing this gem of wisdom.

Govedo13 • 1 point • 6 October, 2015 07:14 PM

At the moment when I ignored her to watch football she started to prepare me home made snacks for my beer so she could fit in in my football time..

[deleted] • 74 points • 2 October, 2015 01:47 PM

Some guys think that women wants to know what is going inside their heads.

She wants Your genetic code, she has enough of feelings of her own.

MortalSisyphus42 points 2 October, 2015 04:37 PM\* **[recovered]**

Guys think that because women say it, repeatedly.

"Tell me how you feeel."

"What are you thinking about?"

"You never open up to me! Stop being emotionally closed off!"

Godtiermasturbator • 80 points • 2 October, 2015 05:01 PM

Yep. And they're just seeking ammo to use against you later. The only woman who's ever cared about your feelings is momma, and some guys didn't even get that.

marty2k • 21 points • 3 October, 2015 01:24 AM

Women are masterful social manipulators. But to manipulate someone, you need some dirt on them. If someone hides their thoughts or emotions, they can't be controlled. And that goes back to the whole conflicting need of women to want a dominant, confident alpha and their desire to control them.

sharp7 • 20 points • 2 October, 2015 07:56 PM

I remember once a girl asked me what was stressing me out before. I thought sure why not. A week later she uses the things I mentioned that were stressing me out as the reason to dump me. She was a religious nice girl too (read as psycho). When I was disgusted by her low blow she started getting really touchy feely and inviting me to her place but I left. Learned my lesson. AWALT

CHAD\_J\_THUNDERCOCK • 17 points • 3 October, 2015 11:05 AM

I've had that before. They use the lowest of low blows on you, and they chain them up together to land a c-c-c-combo-hit and maximise odds of hurting you.

You then sit there stoic and matter of factly answer each question. They then are out of ammo want to have sex with you immediately, as they gave you their worst and you didn't flinch.

But you are disgusted by what they just did, for no real reason. And then they have the sheer solipsism to *not understand why you dont want to immediately have sex with them*. Its like they forgot all of the things they just said.

One time a girl did particularly harsh one on these verbal onsalughts. Semi-fortunately I overheard her on the phone to her sister before, walking through the things she could use against me ("does he have a good job? is it really going anywhere or is he a loser? how much money is he earning? how many girls has he been with recently, is he not doing so well since leaving uni? were they ugly? Does he have a weak father figure, does this mean he will always be weak? ")

Her entire goal was simply to leave me as a wreck of a man, but I held frame, and she then desperately wanted to have sex immediately. I refused and this lead to her doing much worse things to me further down the line.

If a girl is psycho sometimes its better to feign being beta and letting her "win".



sharp7 • 2 points • 5 October, 2015 12:00 AM

I agree. I do the letting them win thing a lot. Its safer to convince them you suck than rejecting them outright. Although improbable to get them back if you change your mind. Its basically just the classic its not you its me routine. Im MGTOW so I find myself in situations a lot where I have to get outta there without seeming like a dick. You piss off a girl and shell fuck you over forever anyway she can. And a woman rejected is going to be quite pissed. It makes sense. Heres someone whos bigger stronger better than you but you cant manipulate with your vagina. Thats some scary shit right there so best coarse of action is to lower the mans power anyway they can like spreading rumors to get them fired.

Anyway I think only insecure annoying as fuck chicks use shittests like that where they use your secrets against you. Most chicks love acquiring the ammo but wont use it cause they arent complete dicks. If a chick does I think its a bad sign they are crazy and not worth getting involved with. The best women I've met dont do that kind of stuff. Also women with things going for them like a good job dont do that shit either. Only the ones who are professional vagina sellers do it since they need to survive.

[deleted] • 21 points • 2 October, 2015 05:47 PM

I have forgotten what a motherly love feels like because I lost my mom when I was like 10 . The step mom just seemed like someone who is taking care of the household stuff .

Godtiermasturbator • 52 points • 2 October, 2015 05:51 PM

Hang on to whatever positive memories of your mom that you can. Women may not give a shit about us as sexual partners and opponents, but they tend to really love their kids. We shit on women a lot here but motherly love is genuine and real. Just don't expect it from any woman you're fucking. Men love women, women love babies, babies love puppies.

TecoAndJix • 18 points • 3 October, 2015 04:24 AM

And puppies love men. It's full circle

Godtiermasturbator • 6 points • 3 October, 2015 05:02 AM

I love puppies too. Ssh it's a secret.

juchanaut • 4 points • 3 October, 2015 10:16 AM **[recovered]**

I like to think of it as men are the sun and women are the earth. The sun shines it's light no matter what, the earth orbits the sun and basks in this light, and then provides nutrients to the offspring of the earth.

[deleted] • 5 points • 4 October, 2015 09:28 AM

They do love their kids, but from my experience all their actions in regards to their kids are more to make themselves happy than it is for the child.

CoriolanusRevisited • 13 points • 3 October, 2015 12:11 AM

I'm sorry for the loss of your mother. With that said, you should be grateful your stepmom was just a neutral housekeeper type and didn't actively try to hurt you or turn your father against you. That shit's no joke.

[deleted] • 3 points • 3 October, 2015 08:41 AM

Thanks for the support , hopefully it doesn't look like i'm fishing for empathy . Dad actually married a traditional women as a step mom and that also for US . He didn't wanted to marry anyone but the relatives forced him to for US (to take care of the kids) . He kept my mom under tight control . There were fights in the beginning but dad always favored US (his sons) . Gradually everything became neutral . I can never thank him enough for taking care of us and what he made us today (both children a SE) .

What do you think ? If someone has kids and lost his wife , should he marry for the kids to be taken care ? Or raise them on his own .

We know the RP answer .

CoriolanusRevisited • 2 points • 3 October, 2015 08:28 PM

What do you think ? If someone has kids and lost his wife , should he marry for the kids to be taken care ? Or raise them on his own .

What's interesting is that I've heard in some traditional societies, where people often died from disease or warfare or accidents and left orphans, the straight forward no-nonsense answer would be to have one of the deceased's siblings marry the widow/widower. Any children that have been had, rather than having a stranger for a step parent, instead have their aunt or uncle. This means there is a real, biological bond between step parent and children, and no change of in-laws (note the film 'The Patriot' where Mel Gibson is widowed and marries his deceased wife's sister, and his children actually have zero problem with it, as they don't have the fear of a new woman that's a stranger that doesn't love them, and yes I understand it's just a movie).

Naturally, that would not work today in the modern western world, primarily because of the lack of value placed upon family and the laughably modern concept of marriage being done out of romantic love rather than economic strategy. With that said, there are several things to consider if you're left a widower.

What is your family support structure? If you already live in the same town as your established siblings, parents, cousins, etc. like so many Italians do, you could have a much easier time raising your children around people that care for them without remarrying.

How old are the children? If they are all teenagers and above, they are far more likely to be permanently resentful of a step-mom or at least not see her as an actual parent. Finally, what level of comfort do you have with a step-mom disciplining your children?

Obviously, for you to even bring a woman around your children as your LTR she is going to have to be fair, motherly, self-disciplined, etc. but you must know it's unfair for her to not have full range of disciplining your children if she is going to be their step-mom (at a young age especially). Basically, there are all the considerations one must give before any LTR but heightened greatly due to the presence of children.

With that said, I am a firm believer that children are raised best with both a father and mother, but it is incredibly hard to find any woman suitable for being the mother of your children, but enormously more difficult if that woman is expected to look after children that are not biologically her own. Essentially, as in basically every situation discussed in life, "tread carefully".

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 October, 2015 05:43 AM

Great reply brother .

Redasshole • 4 points • 3 October, 2015 03:39 PM

I had a friend who saw his mother died. She was diagnosed with cancer and it took her 3 years to die. During all this time she stayed at home, literally dying in her bed. The husband was working away so the poor little boy who was 16 to 19 had to take care of her. He witnessed her mom agonize during 3 years and finally die.

The guy opened up about that to his girlfriend. She now uses that constantly against him.

Godtiermasturbator • 2 points • 4 October, 2015 03:32 AM

I don't doubt the possibility, but how did she use it against him? Like he was seeing his mother too much?

Redasshole • 3 points • 4 October, 2015 07:22 AM

No, because she was dead. His mother died of gut cancer so every time the husband was doing something she didn't like, the wife faked some gut-ache and it reactivated the trauma. She didn't do it every day but she did it everytime for important issues.

She also used that to make fun of him in public setting "he is such an awful person that her mother died because of him" even though he spent years taking care of his mother despite his own pain. Basically shifting the blame for the death upon him. You know, maybe you didn't do everything you could have done and maybe she could be here with you today if you did things better (now you must act better and do better what I tell you to do).

Godtiermasturbator • 5 points • 4 October, 2015 03:44 PM

Jesus Christ what a terrible bitch. I'm not surprised though, sadly.

slurms\_mac • 87 points • 2 October, 2015 01:37 PM

It circles back to the biggest two takeaways I've had from TRP: Maximizing my potential and understanding the nature of gender dynamics.

Furthermore, there are topics that are strictly off limits to women, that I share with my guy friends: emotions, doubts, weakness, seeking support, complaints. They don't want to hear them and they don't care.

PanzerIII • 48 points • 2 October, 2015 05:36 PM

One time I told the girlfriend I had at the time about my estranged father who abuses drugs and how it made me feel depressed sometimes.

Two weeks later we were having a minor argument, her friend was with us standing right next to her. She brought up what I had said and used it as ammunition right in front of her friend. It was an extremely private thing that I had only shared with a few other people, I had never felt so betrayed.

[deleted] • 56 points • 2 October, 2015 06:36 PM

Harsh but true. Had a similar experience where I confided in a girl about my dad walking out on my mom and I when I was a kid. When I broke up with her she said "your dad would be proud the way you're walking out on me right now." Lesson learned. Never show even a hint of weakness. It's just ammunition for them.

[deleted] • 27 points • 3 October, 2015 02:51 AM

Same story here. Was drunk with girlfriend and had an emotional breakdown and told her about the time I overheard my mother tell my father and her friends I was 'a disappointment'. When we broke up she said: "I guess your parents were telling the truth, you are a loser and a disappointment".

Women really are like children. They know they've lost so they will know no bounds to get at least some semblance of drama or a reaction from you so they know they still have the power to manipulate you.

IllimitableMan • 25 points • 2 October, 2015 07:28 PM

That shit's brutal man, lesson learnt eh.

jamesdeandomino • 4 points • 4 October, 2015 02:53 PM

That's enraging. I would've followed Sean Connery's words and gave her a backhand. It's such a low-blow, it's disgusting.

Fen94 • -14 points • 2 October, 2015 10:18 PM

People say dumb stuff when they are hurt...haven't you ever said anything dumb when you're angry?

That said, it's mean as fuck. Minus the hindsight goggles, why did you break up with her? Like, maybe if it was out of the blue, this emotional ammo use might make some sense.

CoriolanusRevisited • 23 points • 3 October, 2015 12:27 AM

People say dumb stuff when they are hurt...haven't you ever said anything dumb when you're angry?

This is classic false equivalence rhetoric right here, because you are trying to conflate one thing (saying something dumb when angry) with something else entirely (intentionally using extremely private secrets told in confidence against someone in an argument).

People pull this shit on Reddit all the time, but it's rare to see it in this sub thankfully.

Fen94 • 1 point • 28 October, 2015 07:18 AM

How is this a false equivalence? If you're arguing, you're probably emotional, and if you're emotional, you're probably not making rational decisions, and that includes the decision to use confidential information. That's a dumb as fuck decision which undermines the relationship she's fighting for even more, because it shows that she's prepared to use the trust from it against him. (Sorry for the late reply).

CHAD\_J\_THUNDERCOCK • 19 points • 3 October, 2015 11:21 AM

I had a professional reporter from The Daily Telegraph come to my flat and interview me on a delicate subject (smart drug usage). I was doing her a massive favour, as few would speak in the depth about it that I could, and I didn't really want to spend my evening after work doing this, but she was a friend-of-a-friend.

We explicitly confirmed it was confidential, anonymous, not to be shared.

Reporter was a 25 year old girl. My flatmate arrived after the interview was over. Reporter actually knew my female flatmate somehow, not seen her in years though. I went to my room and they went to the kitchen and caught up over old times.

From my room I heard her in the kitchen talk in depth about my drug usage, what I used at parties, what amount I used, how it made me unnaturally confident or interesting. I hadn't thought of it as *that* much of a big deal, I was experimenting like lots of people do.

I walked in and said "What the fuck?". Both turned to me and said "what?". This forced me to say that the interview was confidential. They then both laughed, rolled their eyes and said I was making a big deal over nothing.

Soon enough my whole friendship circle knew and some would make jokes at my expense when they wanted to try and one-up me.

It was infuriating. Never trust a woman, and never do her a favour that in any way disadvantages yourself, even if you think the.

IllimitableMan • 10 points • 3 October, 2015 04:33 PM

I would have kicked that bitch out of the house and reported her to her bosses (the daily telegraph) for a clear privacy breach. Make that bitch cry tears of regret. The deceit, eye-rolling and dismissive attitude embodies everything wrong with modern women. What noot were you experimenting with by the way? You can PM me if you don't want to publicly discuss it.

CHAD\_J\_THUNDERCOCK • 5 points • 3 October, 2015 06:36 PM

Pircetam. Had great results. But mixing with alcohol is dangerous. Highlights include I could listento up to three conversations at the same time. Also gave me extreme eye contact and mirroring skills.

I don't take it much mre, I lost interest. It also made me lose focus in some of the things that mattered in life.

AMA

dr\_warlock • 5 points • 3 October, 2015 11:49 PM

There is no such thing as off the record.

bestCallEver • 1 point • 3 October, 2015 01:53 PM

Just out of curiosity, what kind of drugs are you talking about here?

IllimitableMan • 2 points • 3 October, 2015 04:32 PM

From what he's described it sounds like phenibut. Obviously only he can clarify.

CHAD\_J\_THUNDERCOCK • 2 points • 3 October, 2015 06:37 PM

Piracetam. See other comment

Govedo13 • 1 point • 6 October, 2015 07:32 PM\*

How much did you took? Sometimes I take 1200 mg Pill mornings- it works up to 8-12 hours after that. I use it only when I know that I would have huge mental stress ahead like Exam or important business deal. I find it really useful stimulant however if you take it often or if you take huge dosage you cannot sleep properly and this fuck your regime. Hence I never use it more then 1-3 times per month. If you use it everyday on huge dosage like 2400 mg or more your brain goes in over drive and overdrive is not safe in long term even of you feel like the protagonist of Limitless.

CHAD\_J\_THUNDERCOCK • 2 points • 6 October, 2015 08:15 PM

I think it was 1800mg.

I never saw it as a stimulant really. Although mixing it with caffeine basically made me hypomaniac which I guess is stimulation. I saw it as a social/creativity promoter. For stimulants it would be caffeine. Or if I needed to work many hours solid for a deadline it would be modafinil, which is more a wakefulness promoter than a stimulant.

Piracetam can mess with your sleep yes.

Its nothing compared to Oxiracetam or Aniracetam though. On Aniracetam I only needed 4 hours sleep a night. I didnt want to sleep that little.

Oxiracetam made my mind rush before sleeping sometimes. Dreams were pretty crazy too.

What made all the difference was whether I had a cup of coffee or not. I couldnt handle coffee with the racetams.

Man I forgot how much I love talking about noots. Im going to go check out the community to see whats up...

recon\_johnny • 98 points • 2 October, 2015 02:45 PM

They don't want to hear them and they don't care.

Everything I was taught in my life about women being the kinder gender, that they cared about your feelings, that they were there to support you--no matter what, if you are going through hell you can lean on them...all of this....is bullshit.

It's you and your dick, unless you have close male friends you can truly count on.

FiveLions • 16 points • 3 October, 2015 03:47 AM\*

It sounds like you may still be ingesting the RedPill..Hang in there brother. It's a long road and it will never end.

Women don't have empathy, or better yet, they find it disgusting and weak. UNLESS, and it's a big unless, it's their child. Nature has created it so that all of the unconditional love, support, understanding, sympathy, and empathy, which we've been taught throughout life is dedicated to their offspring...Not to their men and definitely not other women. They'll mask it the best they can, because they know that society thinks them to be the most angelic species (in America and most western societies..try seeing how China or Russia thinks of women). How many times have you heard an American woman go "AWWWWWW" whenever someone is sad. They do it to make themselves feel better and it completely patronizes the seriousness of the situation.

Did you see the post where a woman was absolutely disgusted her husband had lost his job and was crying about it? She doesn't have any fucking time for that...She needs food on the table, money in her pocket, and security/shelter around her. In that instant, that man sealed his fate with that woman. She will never look at him the same again, and I can say it on here because I trust this subreddit: She WILL break up with him as soon as she finds another guy, but only after cheating on him a bunch of times until she finds the right one. Poor guys world is going to collapse even further, when that happens.

I'm barely out of the anger phase, and with having just pulled one foot out of the anger phase and dipping it ever so wonderfully into the tranquility phase, I would consider myself pretty well qualified to say the following: We should never hate women or be angry with them for who they are, they were created this way for a reason...Do you think any woman would die on a sword for you in battle, including your mom?



Think about that...With all of the women that you have met in your life, could you imagine just one that you would go to battle with? Or would they turn your ass in within seconds to save their own hide, as soon as authority came to kill you.

I would say try applying that last statement (though severe and extreme) to the way women would react if you showed the slightest amount of weakness. This is why maintaining frame is constantly addressed on this subreddit. I've found that the best way for me to maintain frame is to run, oddly enough. It gives me this inner masculine power. Gives me this strength over people where I know that they are too lazy to run the way I do...My best advice to you, since I am recently removed from anger (assuming you are angry), is find the mechanism that helps you maintain frame.

Thank you Redpill, you have done so much for me.

cariboo\_j • 6 points • 3 October, 2015 05:13 PM\*

She doesn't have any fucking time for that...She needs food on the table, money in her pocket, and security/shelter around her.

That reminds me of a scene in HBO's Rome.

### **Spoiler Alert**

One of the main characters Lucius Vorenus was a common foot soldier who just returned to Rome from the war in Gaul. He's out of work and struggling to make ends meet for his wife and three kids.

He finds work on the "security team" of Erastes Fulman, a local gangster. Vorenus thought it would be a legitimate gig. Erastes has Vorenus accompany him on his loan shark debt collection rounds and expects him to kill a dude who's behind on his debt payments. Vorenus gets disgusted, declares "I'm no bandit" and quits on the spot.

When he goes home and tells his wife what happened, she gets this pissed off look on her face and says (paraphrasing) "I'm not saying you *shouldn't* have quit... but how are we gonna get money? We need to put food on the table!" then proceeds to give him the silent treatment.

She expects him to compromise his principles, put his life in danger, even kill another man who probably has his own family to feed, just to provide her with resources.

I highly recommend the show. It was made in the early 2000's but has a very Red Pill-ish portrayal of gender relations. It somehow managed to not be infected with feminist "strong independent woman" ideology.

Later in the show Vorenus discovers his wife got pregnant by the neighbor while he was fighting in Gaul. He chases her onto a rooftop with a sword intending to kill her, but she jumps off and kills herself first. Surprisingly we get a very sympathetic portrayal of the hurt and betrayal he felt. As in the whole situation was fucked up and sad, there's no hidden message that he should have "manned up" and been a good beta provider for his unfaithful wife.

KartagoPill • 27 points • 2 October, 2015 01:41 PM

Exactly. If I say to woman smth like emotions, doubts, weakness, seeking support, complaints etc. she will think I am weak and my frame is broken.

Sexual strategy is about Smoke and Mirrors :)

Fen94 • 4 points • 2 October, 2015 10:17 PM

If it is a gender war, it's right that it makes no sense to open up. First, the gender war must end, or



everyone must remember there's a war on at all times. How do ceasefires start?

Godtiermasturbator • 2 points • 2 October, 2015 05:00 PM

It doesn't even need to be smoke and mirrors/deceptive, though that can work to get laid. Just demand what you want and anything that comes up short gets booted. And my emotions etc. like you mentioned are off limits in conversation with women.

[deleted] 2 October, 2015 11:06 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 2 October, 2015 11:16 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

RedVillain • 24 points • 2 October, 2015 02:36 PM

One of the best compliments I've been paid by a girl I was plating was "you never complain".

bonerpotpie • 20 points • 2 October, 2015 10:06 PM

They can't understand. They can't care. They're not in that fucking world. They're not men so they don't understand the struggle, the grind, the sweat that takes to become a man worth a fuck.

Bingo. This is exactly the fucked mindset iceberg that sank my marriage. I struggled the last few years in the slog of becoming a greater man (working full time since I've had to pay and work for everything I own, going to school fulltime to get into a high value master's program, still keeping my fitness and health a priority). Being in that slog for years left me pretty exhausted emotionally, I didn't have much patience to be her or anyone's constant external validation. So as a result, she left me for everything that anyone here can imagine "I want someone who's already in a good place and happy/I don't want to have to try anymore/ I just want someone who only cares about the arts!" (She's a trust fund baby photographer, big surprise right?). Translates to: "I want someone who already has cash to pay for my vacuous lifestyle and can validate me financially and emotionally on my whims."

The hilarious thing is that I constantly got the "Why don't you talk to me!" line that women love, and when I finally had enough of life taking a dump on me for the day and finally vented some, it was never met with anything positive. No warmth, no constructive ideas, no real support, just "Mmhmmms."

frys180 • 7 points • 3 October, 2015 12:37 AM

and when I finally had enough of life taking a dump on me for the day and finally vented some, it was never met with anything positive. No warmth, no constructive ideas, no real support, just "Mmhmmms."

Excuse me for a second.....

AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHGGGGGGHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!!

Sorry. I just... It hits too close to home...

bonerpotpie • 4 points • 3 October, 2015 03:36 AM

Seems like my story has been lived by several other dudes. Maybe more than several.

[deleted] • 13 points • 3 October, 2015 04:46 AM

It's amazing to come to TRP and see your own "unique" experience with the "fairer" sex mirrored in

dozens of other mens' writings as if we'd all been following a script of some sort.

[deleted] • 5 points • 3 October, 2015 12:24 PM

Even that exact thought. That's what I'm always thinking haha

ratthing • 2 points • 3 October, 2015 09:43 PM

I would have had TWO failed marriages by now if I had not finally understood all of this from a RP perspective.

My wife loves me, I know this. But she only loves me as much as a woman CAN love a man. I've learned that the "mmmmhmmms" mean "Suck it up, loser". So I keep my mouth shut and vent to any bros who are willing to listen.

NaughtyFred • 237 points • 2 October, 2015 02:13 PM

tryhard

Usually said by a girl who spent 2 hours putting doing makeup and hair.

Lazy empty-headed fuckwits

HumanSockPuppet • 147 points • 2 October, 2015 05:21 PM

Lazy empty-headed fuckwits

**They would only be lazy if we were judging them by *male standards*.** But we are not. They are *women*, and so we judge them by standards applicable to women. They are ephemeral, short-sighted, and untrustworthy until proven otherwise.

**You must drastically lower your expectations of women.** Stop expecting them to have the same work-ethic, discipline, and intellectual honesty as men.

Once you do that, you will never again be angry at them when they behave naturally.

vandaalen • 42 points • 2 October, 2015 07:56 PM

You must drastically lower your expectations of women. Stop expecting them to have the same work-ethic, discipline, and intellectual honesty as men.

QFT.

Being the only man and working with 25 women, I could write whole novels about these topics. The average female understanding of them is absolutely ridiculous.

Once you do that, you will never again be angry at them when they behave naturally.

Working as a professional chef, I've witnessed many extraordinary things in my work environment and I'd consider myself to be pretty stoic in my everyday life, but these nutjobs regularly manage to get me going through the roof with their behaviour.

The worst is that it's like their hard-drive gets formatted every three weeks or so and that you have to painstakingly re-install all those little plug-ins which were responsible for some of the most basic tasks.

TigerMonarchy • 17 points • 3 October, 2015 04:28 PM

Shout out to the professional chef holding it down in the working world with all the females power playing on the floor and on the line. 15 year vet of the industry and I TOO could write volumes on the

issues. Volumes.

[deleted] • 3 points • 5 October, 2015 01:50 PM\*

I have found that the best service restaurants have male waiters. When I walk in a restaurant and see an all male waiting staff, I know I'm going to get good service at least.

Applying red pill theory, I think a female waitress becomes upset inside when she has to do servile acts for a man she isn't sexually attracted to, and feels he is beneath her. She can't help it and it reflects in her work. Oh, she may not say anything, but I certainly picked up on that vibe back in my blue pill days.

The difference, is that a man is more logically wired. And if he is a waiter in a restaurant that only has a customer base of 300 pound + fatty women, he will still try and do a good job because he knows his performance is important for his job. The average woman waitress, serving to a customer base of beta indecisive wimps, would have to constantly over-ride her feelings of contempt.

vandaalen • 5 points • 5 October, 2015 04:23 PM

Waiter has also classically been a male occupation as you can conclude from the french terms for the positions, like "maitre" or "chef de rang".

With the average women it also comes down to things like loyalty and empathy. They do not give a shit about the fate of a restaurant or its standards and they also don't give a shit for you as the guest and if you have a good time.

Their motivation is mostly based on tip, or if they are of higher ranks it is the status which comes with it.

I am contractor and I cook less and less a la carte, but when I did, I liked to imagine that my performance could ultimately change people's lives. Like if it was their first date, I could ruin it, and the two would never meet again, or it could end in some Disney movie. Yeah, I was still very BP then, but the point stands. ;)

While there were other men, who mentioned something alike, never has a woman ever expressed anything like that towards me.

Diabolical\_Nuke • 1 point • 6 October, 2015 12:02 PM

You should make a weekly post about women in the workplace. I have a few stories myself that are pretty hilarious.

throwaway-aa2 • 16 points • 3 October, 2015 02:13 AM

This is been really hard for me to do. Because it's like... they present themselves as such, screaming for it. "I want you to treat me rationally. It's not fair". The thing that bugs me about it, is I'm pretty sure they don't realize their true nature.

Think about it like this: let's say there was a race of humans that were purple. They were like us in every way, but left to their own freedom, they kill people. They can't control it, and they don't know that they do it (they blank out or something, and then have amnesia about it). Imagine if we jailed these people.

They'd be behind bars, complaining that it's racist, that they should be treated equally. And we'd be like "no you don't understand, there is a reason we treat you differently" but this sort of dynamic will always be at a standstill.

Women BELIEVE that what they want is to be treated rationally. So it's hard acting in a way to women

when they themselves don't really know what the dynamic is. You feel sorry for them sometimes. In the situation of the purple people, it ALSO requires you to completely ignore what you feel superficially is mean, and to act like you know you should.

Swallowing the pill would be much, much easier if women were logical enough to KNOW what they do and why they do it. Because at that point, I don't feel bad.

HumanSockPuppet • 12 points • 3 October, 2015 02:51 AM

You feel sorry for them sometimes. In the situation of the purple people, it ALSO requires you to completely ignore what you feel superficially is mean, and to act like you know you should.

You're obviously aware of the indoctrination still lingering within you, and that's good. Identifying the Red Pill concepts that make you most uncomfortable is the key to overcoming your brainwashing and ultimately breaking free.

**Use your level of discomfort to aid you in targeting your development.**

Swallowing the pill would be much, much easier if women were logical enough to KNOW what they do and why they do it. Because at that point, I don't feel bad.

True. But if it were easy, then there wouldn't be a need for the Red Pill at all. None of us men would be indoctrinated at all, and these truths would be self-evident.

The Red Pill is hard to swallow, but it makes us harder men. It is the only thing that allows us to confront the world the way it truly is.

**Give me hard truth over comfortable lies every time. Because only one of them makes you stronger.**

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 04:59 AM

They were like us in every way, but left to their own freedom, they kill people. They can't control it, and they don't know that they do it.

Sounds suspiciously like a large percentage of "urban youth"...

throwaway-aa2 • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 05:06 AM

lol in retrospect I can see how you might think I was talking about Black people but the example is pretty extreme enough (e.g. kill people and have amnesia about it across the entire race) that I'm not worried. Unless you were insinuating that. Your comment is vague enough that I know what you're hinting at but I don't know how to respond to it since you haven't expressed an opinion on it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 05:10 AM

I mean, the "I didn't do nothing" is a very common theme...Michael Brown, Trayvon Martin, etc. and they've always done something. I don't actually think (for the most part) they believe they haven't done anything; they've just learned that it never hurts to deny. But the inability to be held accountable for their actions is a big problem in the African-American community. Somehow, it's always White people's fault.

throwaway-aa2 • 2 points • 8 October, 2015 05:32 AM

It's the victim complex, and it's been indoctrinated into black people at a young age. I'm part black and argue with my black friends but they can't see behind those tinted shades.

The thing is, is what they have is credible but it's not at the same time. Michael Brown, what happened to him is wrong... but instead of making it an issue with authority & cops thing, they make it into a white vs black thing. Trayvon Martin... I mean no one really likes George Zimmerman but Trayvon wasn't some innocent boy.

At the end of the day it's the same as feminism. There was a point where feminism probably had it's merits but what feminism is today is clearly past the reasonable boundaries. I think women should have SOME say in things, and be able to work if they're truly putting out the same effort as men (either equal pay or close to it). But what it is today is past the boundaries. Same for racism. Blacks were truly not equals no more than 100 years ago. But it's not like that anymore. Everyone in today's day and age judges a book by it's cover to an extent... I know black people who don't like white people, I know white people who don't like black people, I know chinese people who don't like germans... but that will always be the case. But things are more even now... outside of police stopping you, being black isn't too bad of a deal... I actually think I might have preferred being all black... too many benefits to list. The problem is, is that black people (and people in general) have a complex where they're willing to act however they want and not consider that they will be judged (and that their people) will be judged negatively for it. A lot of black people resort to aggression... you don't think that leads to racism? People think that they can act under the protection of "racism", is the biggest issue. I had this conversation with one of my black friends back in the day: he used to rob people, intimidate people he didn't like, was very aggressive. But he called white people racist. I told him: you're not ALLOWED to call people racist if you embody the very stereotypes a person is racist FOR! And he didn't get this. A lot of black people think it's funny and a benefit that they intimidate white people.

At the end of the day it's like feminism. People want all the benefits, but none of the disadvantages. A black person can be proud of who he is, be glad that he wasn't born white... but sit there and complain about white people all day, acknowledging that they'd rather be black: WHAT ARE YOU COMPLAINING ABOUT THEN?

I've said it before: the main victim groups in the US are females, fat people, and black people. Therefore a black, female obese woman is UNTOUCHABLE.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 02:50 PM

I actually think I might have preferred being all black

What benefits don't you get by being half-black? Any famous half-black person (Obama, Halle Berry, J Cole) all get to be 100% black. And since this is TRP, I'll keep this TRP. There have been a lot of posts about the difficulty in dealing with the truth of gender dynamics (aka people were wondering if they should have "swallowed the pill").

If you were 100% black, you would probably be like your friends, constantly complaining about stereotypes. Instead, you have a window to the truth, as difficult as it may be. So embrace it and get what you can out of it.

Also, don't be friends with people who rob people.

[deleted] • 50 points • 2 October, 2015 06:07 PM

Exactly. If you are angry at women because they act like women, the pill hasn't fully hit your bloodstream yet.

n0swad • 25 points • 2 October, 2015 06:48 PM

Hasn't fully, but anger is part of the process.

HumanSockPuppet • 29 points • 2 October, 2015 07:44 PM

anger is part of the process.

It is. But a lot of guys come in here thinking that they're more awakened than they truly are. You're not an awakened man just because you're fucking sloots and triggering feminists.

/u/StupidStrong is (rightly) saying that awakening is a slow process. If you're still getting angry over posts like this video, you need to take a step back and re-adjust your perspective.

WillMarshall69 • 19 points • 2 October, 2015 06:01 PM **[recovered]**

It's remarkable that we've reached this societal tipping point. A place where we can finally wield open discernment about their demonstrable inferiority. Our generation has already started ushering in the change once thought impossible less than only a decade ago. We're going to witness a massive tide change in professional, academic and romantic opportunities shifting back to their natural title holders. We just need to continue to actively relieve them of their privileges and rights to our domain. They have a sphere they were designed for and mother nature is a bitch. You can't deny your biological roles. The experiment failed. They will fight and caterwaul at the top of their lungs, but secretly they're so relieved and grateful that we're finally taking away the autonomy which is ruining them.

[deleted] 2 October, 2015 07:17 PM

**[permanently deleted]**

AndreNowzick8 points 2 October, 2015 11:10 PM **[recovered]**

you're nuts if you think suffrage is ever going to be repealed

RP15 • 5 points • 3 October, 2015 03:04 AM

"Suffrage" in terms of women's right to vote and enfranchisement in the overt aspects of society (read: blue pill world) will never be repealed.

Their power as a group will absolutely decline. Not only is voting effectively worthless, but at the first sign of trouble (economic depression, world war, virulent disease) will pull their skirt hems up, jump on a chair, and scream "EEEEK I need a man!"

Do you really think all these women cheering on female passage of Ranger School think women should be drafted? Fuck no! As soon as there is a war, all the men will go off and fight. When we return, there will be NO room at the table for the fucking women who are leading us straight there.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 October, 2015 06:30 PM

Lol. There are more college women graduates than guys. Taking away their right to vote would be tyranny. There's literally no reason this would ever come to pass.

RP15 • 2 points • 3 October, 2015 06:47 PM

Ya you didn't read what I wrote there sport. They will never lose their right to vote, but

it doesn't matter because voting is useless against tyranny in today's world.

Additionally, having a college degree does not make someone more intelligent or capable just by virtue of holding it. It is nothing more than a piece of paper that shows someone can make it through a class. It is meaningless as a measure of a person's abilities or intelligence and it does not matter how many women have them, they are still women and we are still men.

[deleted] • 5 points • 3 October, 2015 07:08 PM

About the "it's just a piece of paper"

So all the time reading, analyzing, writing has zero impact on intelligence...

That the intellectual stimulation of college and the intellectual stimulation of manual labor have no difference in impact on intelligence.

Right... The hilarious thing is, you don't even realize how much knowledge plays into the intelligence score.

But hey, don't let your own ignorance prevent you from shouting noise from the soapbox.

RP15 • -3 points • 3 October, 2015 07:23 PM

The entirety of human knowledge is available for free on the internet. Only an idiot would pay \$200,000 for what is free

[deleted] 3 October, 2015 12:17 AM

[permanently deleted]

AndreNowzick • 6 points • 3 October, 2015 12:28 AM [recovered]

No you're just schizophrenic. You really think that if 35,000 people here posted on facebook ending the right to vote, that it would happen?

Yeah ok, bud.

[deleted] 3 October, 2015 12:37 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 4 October, 2015 04:35 AM

Wish I took this advice. Seriously lower your expectations on them, don't expect them to follow through with promises, don't expect them to want to work hard or study...

The sooner you accept this, the better relationships you can form with women.

slow70 • 1 point • 13 October, 2015 04:34 PM

You must drastically lower your expectations of women. Stop expecting them to have the same work-ethic, discipline, and intellectual honesty as men.

This resonated big time. I'll say right off, I'm not a regular TRP reader, I'm curious about the concept, but honestly, I find many of the points outlined here already present in my personality, if the purpose of this subreddit is to craft each other into men more capable of attracting women, I don't need it. I do well



enough to get myself in trouble as is. I say that just to say that I have no idea what's going on here and I may be off base in the following.

Though that comment resonates with me, I want to hold women to the same standard as men. I believe we ought to, especially in this age of female equality and empowerment. I find myself with an SO who is *very* emotional and brings the attached drama with that trait. I don't coddle it, won't coddle it and I tell her that in various ways. If we are willing to change in so many ways and play this complex game between the sexes, why can't there be room for blunt appraisal and improvement? I feel that by judging them "by standards applicable to women" we are shortchanging both sides when actual growth/social change might have been possible.

HumanSockPuppet • 1 point • 13 October, 2015 10:34 PM

I want to hold women to the same standard as men. I believe we ought to, especially in this age of female equality and empowerment.

"Ought" and "is" are two different things. Your wishes are not in alignment with reality, which is why your vision is a pipe dream.

Women *say* they want to be treated as equals. But they *behave* like they want to be dominated by a man who is their superior. Our behaviours speak more truthfully about our real desires.

NaughtyFred • 1 point • 2 October, 2015 07:39 PM

I'm still working through the anger phase (my current mantra is "my programming was sociological/psychological, theirs is genetic...they can't rewrite their dna)

Every now and then I see or hear something that sets me off...that phrase (from either sex) usually does it.

I'm sure I'll soften up once I learn game and start getting my dick wet.

vandaalen • 13 points • 2 October, 2015 08:07 PM

Imagine them as being a smart-ass ten-year-old with a snotty nose and a lollipop in their hand, doing the shit that they do, and act accordingly.

Doesn't help all the time, but helps some of the times.

Fen94 • 1 point • 2 October, 2015 10:15 PM

That's really depressing. I must still be plugged in.

Would you ever try to teach your daughter how to be different, or do you think that it's better that women are like this?

HumanSockPuppet • 22 points • 2 October, 2015 11:40 PM

Would you ever try to teach your daughter how to be different, or do you think that it's better that women are like this?

Excellent question.

The key idea here is that women operate on a Machiavellian survival blueprint. Ruthless pragmatism governs every decision they make. **The primary social consequence of this fact is that women do not develop ethical values on their own.**

But why not?

**Because ethics are a luxury.** Societies can only spare the time and effort to think about ethics when their need for food, shelter, and safety are being met. And societies only have these basic resources in abundance under the male leadership and supervision.

**So, ethics are only possible under male leadership. And that means that males are therefore the source of ethical education.**

Now, as to your question...would I ever teach my daughter to be a good girl? Of course I would. It's in my genetic interest to ensure that my daughter be able to both attract and hold on to a good man that will provide for her and their children.

But that's not the case with the daughters of other men, especially nowadays.

**The problem is that our society lacks a positive identity for men.** We treat masculinity (and the virtues that come from it - things like courage, charity, and sympathy) as toxic. So men never become strong and self-sacrificing. They check out of their families, their jobs, and their communities - and our society begins to lose the ethical framework that holds the edifice together. **Boys become cowards, girls become sluts, and civilization collapses without its most basic building block: the family.**

I'm okay with banging dumb sluts for now. But it's not ideal. It's not ideal *personally* (because I'd like to have a LTR), **and** it's not ideal *on a cultural level* (because societies fall apart without stable families).

RedSugarPill • 5 points • 3 October, 2015 05:06 AM

I'm okay with banging dumb sluts for now. But it's not ideal. It's not ideal personally (because I'd like to have a LTR), and it's not ideal on a cultural level (because societies fall apart without stable families).

A lot of men seem to get stuck here (perhaps myself included). Is it possible that the temporary relief from banging too many sluts precludes us from working toward and obtaining something more worthwhile? Recently, I watched Trevor Freeman's rant about deciding not to bang a slut, simply because he realized it wasn't worth his time. He went home and got to work on his creative endeavors instead: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YM3yXjQ04I8>

Fen94 • 1 point • 28 October, 2015 07:28 AM

Thank you for your response, sorry I didn't reply, it only just came through now.

Sorry, I'm new to this, where's more about the stuff about the Machiavellian survival blueprint? Who's work is that from? I can see the internal consistency of what you're saying though and how it coincides with anecdotal evidence.

So would you say that because society lacks a positive identity for men, and because they are not like women (pragmatic and ruthless), they become rudderless and disengaged, as opposed to natural benevolent leaders? Because by that logic redpill is the best thing ever to happen to society, but it will need a few generations of re-adjusting social norms (prioritising this type of masculinity and a subordinate femininity onto our children) before there is a real effect.

In the meantime, **there will be mixtures of rudderless/ruthless and empowered/disciplined men and women**, respectively, and it would be about co-existing and waiting them out. Because family disengagement and lack of success stems from the current social status quo, it would follow that the redpillers would inevitably outcompete the bluepillers (for want of a better term) if they had even the slightest societal presence.

Though I see a lot of commentary about not banging easy women, because that disrupts their lizard brain training even more, but I guess that's what decides the MGTOWs from the redpillers, ideological purity vs pragmatism (you still have to live your life, whatever environment you are in.)

[deleted] • 0 points • 3 October, 2015 07:25 AM

You shouldn't kick a dog for shitting on the ground.

crack\_tobi • 3 points • 3 October, 2015 10:37 AM

To compare a dog to a woman, is just wrong. Dogs shows remorse, the women don't even bother doing that. Fact being in most cases they do not even acknowledge the "shitting" or if they do, then shift blame.

hb8only3 points 2 October, 2015 05:07 PM\* **[recovered]**

doesn't matter.. she know it but still.. but very nice idea. I was telling to my friend many years ago sth like : I feel like women are not enemies but something like a friendly army. you fight together but still cannot trust 100 percent.

thereddespair • 1 point • 7 October, 2015 10:07 PM

but a learned response nonetheless. all the bitch needs to do is give 2 hours of that shit and she got all of the things that she did from men prior to the guy.

if men made these women actually do more work and effort before just throwing all of that to them... maybe standards would be higher

elcarlosmiguel • 52 points • 2 October, 2015 02:31 PM

this post is gold.

i really don't understand why illimitable men's posts have been almost neglected it only shows the kind of people that we are attracting don't really care about learning.

Posts that make you see things different usually need more explaining and are therefore longer, and people just don't read it because they are lazy.

But simple post about blue pill examples have the most upvotes, it really saddens me...

Redasshole • 3 points • 3 October, 2015 03:47 PM

But simple post about blue pill examples have the most upvotes, it really saddens me...

It's because newbies resonate more with them. We have a lot of newbs. When I found TRP, I read all the sidebar and it seemed useless and shitty to me. I didn't care about this material. I wanted to know what was happening to me, I headed over to the blue pill stories and here there was all the proofs that I needed to conclude that I needed to read more.

Sementeries • 4 points • 2 October, 2015 07:07 PM

The only people they are hurting is themselves. If they can't read a couple paragraphs then tough shit, I don't think Illimitableman is doing this for the upvotes.

The Red Pill isn't a quick fix.

bertmaklinFBI • -4 points • 2 October, 2015 08:04 PM

It's not that. Patrice O'Neil and others espouse the same ideas just much more succinctly.

I don't think Illimitableman is doing this for the upvotes.

No, he does it to push his blog/website.

bertmaklinFBI • 0 points • 2 October, 2015 08:01 PM

only shows the kind of people that we are attracting dont really care about learning.

I disagree. The post offers nothing new to the discourse therefore there is nothing to learn.

For me, someone who has been reading red pill for a long time, he just puts a different spin on core tenants that don't need the extra flair or polishing.

This has been addressed time and time again whether it be side bar material, patrice, roissy, CH, etc.

It like the fitness expert recommending new and exciting exercises like chest press at a 37.2 angle on a bosu ball inflated to 94.24 PSI at a tempo of 4-2-1.

KISS.

Terror\_of\_the\_Void • 35 points • 2 October, 2015 09:12 PM

I once told a girl that I was in pain and that she made it go away. This made her stop talking to me.

IllimitableMan • 29 points • 3 October, 2015 04:45 PM

Women want to be the centre of your world, but not the pillar of your strength. Easy for naive guys to confuse this.

thesilverskateboarder • 33 points • 2 October, 2015 03:54 PM

Bitches don't belong in the locker room and they know it that's why they lurk. Women having any say or presence within TRP will be the death of it, just as it was with the seduction sub, askmen, and all the other previous male spaces that women took over.

This, so hard. I was in those subs and I watched as they gradually become warped by the female community's insistance of political correctness. Having men shuffle around definitions and attitudes to not hurt their feepees, shaming men, deadbedroom advice, etc. TRP to me has been a beacon of masculinity in the sea of feminist ideology that very often swamps all hopeful isles. I've started lifting, working more, learning more...my my quality of life and state of mind have significantly improved more than if I visited a feepees doctor. I don't pedestalize pussy, I have less attention on them and more attention on myself. I know how valuable I am now, and how I can only go up in value. I may be a work in progress now but I imagine everyday the Man I want to be. Without TRP, I can tell you where I would be: hopelessly beta chasing alpha widow pussy through middle America. Its like hell, only with more Christians.

marty2k • 10 points • 3 October, 2015 01:36 AM

This is why I'm so glad we keep RPWomen so separate from us. I'm glad they're rolling with the ideas of our movement and trying to stand out from the sea of worthless sluts, but if we let them in it'd be the same thing.

Entrefut • 14 points • 3 October, 2015 03:29 PM

if you don't "grow up that way," and instead have to consciously try to fix yourself, you're clearly a damaged

tryhard rather than a natural and you belong in the beta box society has already assigned you.

And the worst part is, guess what molded you into the person you are today? A system that fears the power of independent men. A system that wants you to give up from day one and wants you to be controlled. Schools start hitting guys with the, "You can't do this" way before girls. You can't play rugby on the field, or football, or wrestle, or red rover, or smear the queer (there are so many names for this one), or any activity that results in rough physical contact. Only "organized" sports are allowed, you aren't allowed to have any independence, you aren't allowed to do things for yourself, because you just aren't good enough and you don't "understand" what could happen. Some of the most memorable moments I have of my childhood are times where I was scared shitless and somehow came out on top. I could fucking understand what happens. Either I run faster than everyone else, or I lose. No one wants you to feel this, because this is where men strive. We strive in the shit, in the trenches, doing the work.

There's no such thing as natural, because we are all naturally male. And male human beings have naturally dominated the earth for longer than any woman is willing to admit. We are all naturally powerful, we just have to dig out of the mental hole we were put in. Most of us didn't have mentors to keep us on the right path when we were young, so it's not surprising that there's a rise in something like TRP. By coming here and learning to work hard, go to the gym, not take no for an answer and dominate every barrier that gets thrown in front of you, you ARE fixing yourself. Not in the sense that you are broken, but in the sense that people want you to think you are broken. Everything you need to pull yourself into that top SMV is in you. You just haven't used it for a very long time, because it was suppressed by the people who were afraid of what you could do. And once you get there, it's not like those people go away, their opinions just don't matter anymore. Fitness is an excellent example, because until you are the biggest, strongest, fastest guy on your block, everyone and their mother has "advice" for you. Once you are that guy, that advice stops, because you stop hearing it.

Women on the other hand. They're pampered, they get mirrors and cell phones and makeup from a very early age and no one bats an eye. They begin their social dominance over men from an early stage and no one even tries to stop them. Their narcissism at young ages takes them as far as convincing their beta BF to kill himself to get more attention, because their Instagram just isn't good enough. Selfies every 2 seconds, non stop texting, filling their heads with constant reminders of how great they are just for being a girl. This all comes crashing down too. Just not as early as it does for men.

In the end, I can understand why a system fears the growth of an entire nation of strong well developed men. The last thing you want is to leave your throne early, because someone is better than you. Now we have TRP. A nonstop reminder of how powerful we can be and how weak the majority is. You just have to go back and find the you that loved to run outside, play in the dirt, fight and chase girls. Then update it to a more strategic and personally beneficial plan. You are still working around a system, that doesn't mean your powerless. Use it to your advantage.

[deleted] • 25 points • 2 October, 2015 04:11 PM

Solid post as usual. The bluepill will miss the message as all they see is an "angry tone"

But what is there to be angry about? It's the truth:

Women spend eternity testing and prodding men to do their will and when they succeed they lose all attraction. Accepting this allows you to be free and all the anger fades. Women be women. They aren't what you thought and that's the problem that causes the anger.

mugatucrazypills • 19 points • 2 October, 2015 02:27 PM

Women don't want a work in progress they want a winner

they will bank on an "ambitious" guy who they are confident is going places, so long as the odds on becoming a winner are good or they can ditch before the crash and burn

but yes, they'd like to see effortless mastery and their understanding of the requirements of success is based on magical thinking.

just in: latest shooter was mad at Jesus not women

I guess mugatu will be on both watch-lists.

B0u1dA • 8 points • 3 October, 2015 01:45 AM

Women claim they want you to be emotionally open with you but it's all saved so they have an advantage in an argument. If she's right in an argument then she will stick to the point but if she's wrong, she brings in all the dark shit you were stupid enough to tell her. Male friendships are better for constructive emotional support and less harmful.

Redasshole • 6 points • 3 October, 2015 03:22 PM

All relationships are war, yeah I know that sounds fucked up but just think about it.

Your woman wants to put you in a cage, yes, a *cage*. She wants to break you and then if she succeeds she will humiliate you and destroy you completely. Why? Because she would resent you for letting her break you.

Even if she is not the one breaking you, that does not matter. Let's say your whole family died in a car accident, you got fired and diagnosed with terminal brain cancer. If you let one tear, one weakness be seen, she will take advantage of that to magnify your pain out of pure cruelty and then she will leave. Well, maybe not if you have brain cancer and no family anymore. She might stick around to get the money. Whatever happens, she will remember you as a loser and laugh about your pain.

Someone who

constantly attacks me

wants to break and destroy me

Is an *enemy*.

But women are an enemy who got something I need: sex. Time for negotiation then. To take as much as you can while giving away as few as you can is the true meaning of a romantic relationship for both sexes.

[deleted] • 13 points • 2 October, 2015 02:14 PM

In the "men aren't taught to be attracted, women are born into it" thread the OP sounds a little purple pill. Don't get me wrong there's a lot of gold in there, but because he's trying to logic a girl there's a lot of "meet me halfway here" sentiment in his explanation and she doesn't budge a fucking inch. This is testament to the notion women see any attempt at male self-improvement that may possibly undermine their monopoly on social power as nothing but a threat to be thwarted.

Men believe that good faith efforts to communicate and reason will lead to community and understanding..

The only thing that will derive from his exchange is that she will double-down on her position, circle the wagons, and blow his cover to get their mutual social circle to work against him.

Not a problem if he can handle it, but certainly not the reaction he was hoping for.

icecow • 4 points • 2 October, 2015 03:59 PM

If he believes that good faith efforts to communicate and reason will lead to community and understanding..

..it's not likely he can handle it.

[deleted] 2 October, 2015 09:04 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 2 October, 2015 11:21 PM

[permanently deleted]

MonkeyDFreecs • 8 points • 4 October, 2015 07:47 AM

It makes sense why some guys like Mark Zuckerberg married his wife who is pretty average when he could be banging 10s everyday all day his wife was actually there when he still a work in progress.

I don't get guys complaining about wanting women to meet them half way through because they'll believe they'll be successful just do it and don't brag about because it will be really humiliating when you fail and she decides to get out the relationship while she still can and take much of your resources.

Women not wanting to see the work in progress is what pisses me off about hypergamy, they refuse to acknowledge your efforts that got you to where you are and if you're married they can set you back to the start line with all the divorce rape just because they wanted the guy that slightly makes more money and 'tingles'.

vikioomega9 • 2 points • 6 October, 2015 11:14 PM

Women not wanting to see the work in progress is what pisses me off about hypergamy, they refuse to acknowledge your efforts that got you to where you are

What would you do if roles were reversed and you weren't married and you were 20 something?

From a game-theory perspective it makes perfect sense to choose such a decision, I don't care which sex.

EDIT: completely agree with your comment about Zuck.

dicklord\_airplane • 12 points • 2 October, 2015 05:11 PM\*

my female friends don't understand men and they don't want to, so i usually just smile and nod instead of getting into that exhausting discussion. it makes them so uncomfortable when i explain what life is like as a guy. they really want to believe that all people receive the same nice treatment and bonuses that young women do. i suppose it reminds them that they won't be young and hot forever, and soon they will be treated more like an average man.

It's no different from how men generally don't care about everything women have to go through to be sexy. lots of women are in for a really bad time in their life once they age into their mid 30's and will have to go to extreme measures to be attractive to the opposite sex. If you don't understand the struggles that women face and feel sorry for aging women, fat women, and single moms, then why would you expect them to understand the average male struggle? if you aren't happily pity fucking them, why would you expect any pity or understanding from women? be reasonable.

through\_a\_ways • 2 points • 3 October, 2015 07:42 AM

my female friends don't understand men and they don't want to, so i usually just smile and nod instead of getting into that exhausting discussion

This is the correct response to 99% of social interactions, not limited to ones with women.

lodro • 1 point • 3 October, 2015 01:01 AM



i suppose it reminds them that they won't be young and hot forever, and soon they will be treated more like an average man.

Haha.

To be fair though, women *can* be genuinely intrigued by honest descriptions of male experience. Not most women I've met, and not in most situations. But in the context of strong sexual relationships I've connected with a few girlfriends in this exact way and had very interesting, satisfying, mutually eye-opening conversations.

animalpoo • 7 points • 2 October, 2015 05:31 PM

Why would a woman want an incomplete project ? That's all a lot of guys are, projects. Harsh truth but it's motivated the fuck out of me to get my shit together and I'm grateful for it.

On the grander scale It's what's motivated men to build, conqeour and innovate. It should never be changed.

If you think you're a fun person to ride on but no one wants to be seen with you in public, you're effectively a disability scooter.

[deleted] • 19 points • 2 October, 2015 01:37 PM

The reason the sexes can never get along is the same reason that parasites and hosts can never get along. It's the reason why predators and prey can never get along. In the end it is a eons long genetic war we're waging and the side that submits loses.

pantsoffire • 2 points • 2 October, 2015 02:11 PM

Interesting. Background in biology?

k\_plusone5 points 2 October, 2015 04:04 PM **[recovered]**

This is relevant, chapter 9 from The Selfish Gene: <http://evolbiol.ru/gene/gene2.html#09>

wasq13 • 0 points • 2 October, 2015 03:39 PM

Building upon your analogy, the parasite/host relationship can be thought of as the beta dynamic, while the predator/prey relationship is like the early stages of the alpha dynamic. The later stage of the successful alpha dynamic becomes symbiotic.

sharp7 • 3 points • 2 October, 2015 08:15 PM

I dont think it ever becomes symbiotic unless you consider using her uterus for kids or pleasure as her contribution. Eventually you become so great giving some benefits to a woman isnt a big deal but thats as far as I've ever seen it go.

through\_a\_ways • 0 points • 3 October, 2015 07:40 AM

The reason the sexes can never get along is the same reason that parasites and hosts can never get along. It's the reason why predators and prey can never get along.

Bad analogy.

If the host has all the power, the parasite dies. This is bad for the parasite.

If the prey has all the power, the predator goes hungry. This is bad for the predator.

If the man has all the power, both men and women live happily and satisfied, this is good for everyone.

A much better and truly biologically relevant analogy would be the one between parent and child. The parent

loves the child, in a way that the child cannot love the parent. The child coaxes the parent into giving up power, even though this would be bad for the child in the long run.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 October, 2015 12:28 PM\*

/u/moodyprism talks about our biological imperatives. Women's hypergamy and men's polygamy are at odds. Sex is an amazing biological phenomenon: Males and females have to cooperate but are in a perpetual state of war trying to fulfill their incompatible imperatives...

through\_a\_ways • 2 points • 3 October, 2015 05:43 PM

Women's hypergamy and men's polygamy are at odds.

That's not true. Hypergamy and polygamy complement each other.

If men were less polygamous, women would have less opportunity to be hypergamous.

If women were less hypergamous, then attractive men would have less opportunity to be polygamous.

I think what you mean to say is that women's hypergamy and men's desire for women are at odds.

[deleted] • 0 points • 3 October, 2015 12:20 PM

Actually it's a great analogy because it gets the point I was trying to make across: Men and women cannot ever get along because we are locked in evolutionary warfare.

through\_a\_ways • 0 points • 3 October, 2015 06:43 PM

Men and women cannot ever get along because we are locked in evolutionary warfare.

And this is untrue. Men and other men are locked in evolutionary warfare.

Outside of other social influences, men and women form a mutual symbiotic relationship. Woman provides sex, man provides resources.

The woman spurns the man only when an outside influence (a better man, the government, a conquering nation, etc.) competes against that man and wins.

If children were taught at school to hate their parents and report them to CPS for petty offenses, would you say that children and adults are at war? Or that the school system and adults are at war?

[deleted] • 0 points • 3 October, 2015 07:20 PM\*

You know how I know you've never studied evolutionary biology? Because you absolutely have no clue what you're talking about. Men and women are absolutely at evolutionary odds. Just as males and females in every species are in evolutionary war. Just as every member of a species is in competition with every other member of that species. Evolution takes place on an individual basis.

ramot1 • 5 points • 3 October, 2015 02:20 AM

Bill Burr Epidemic of gold digging whores <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x0gaYyNk7QA>

It's not the few rich men who get taken in divorce. 100,000's have been chopped up, and now have half-lives left to them. Permanent alimony, plus child support. Most people can be destroyed by this stuff.

deepthrill • 4 points • 5 October, 2015 12:08 AM

It's been a while since I've participated on reddit, but just wanted to reiterate that this is a nice application of

"Law 30: Make Your Accomplishments Seem Effortless". Hope all is well, /u/IlimitableMan

IlimitableMan • 2 points • 5 October, 2015 04:59 PM

Good to see you, it's been awhile.

tallwheel • 5 points • 5 October, 2015 04:13 AM

even a woman knowing about TRP doesn't stop TRP working on her.

This can't be emphasized enough. There are women over on TBP and Purple Pill Debates who seem to think 'TRP won't work on me, because I would recognize a terper'. Complete BS.

TRP is not about memorized pickup lines or routines. If you're doing it right, even a woman who knows everything about TRP will just think you're a popular, attractive guy who knows how to communicate with women. The idea of TRP is to teach men how to be this, not memorize some magic formula that will only work to lay low quality women with low self-esteem. (The BPer's love to keep telling themselves this is the case in order to make themselves feel better, and to reassure themselves that TRP is evil and doesn't work.)

Momo\_dollar • 3 points • 6 October, 2015 02:14 AM

Perfect example is that fitness model being promoted by beach body, Autumn Calabrese. She was asked a simple question about how she teamed up with Beach Body. Long story cut as short as possible she ended up giving her whole life story from around the age of 5.

But in her life story was something interesting. She got married young to an older guy. He insisted that she should have a career and not just be a waitress so he kind of motivated her. She fumbled around without much of a clue chasing various dreams and he supported her. Then his job relocated away from LA to another state.

She went with him and after a while she got bored and decided to return without him! They agreed that he would get a transfer back to LA ASAP. A few months later he rejoined her, they had a baby together etc and then got divorced. This guy was a great dad, he still helped her look after the child and fulfilled his responsibilities as a father.

As her workload increased, he started to help her more with picking up the kid etc. Things are going great for her and she has just signed deals and started with BB, he calls her and says he got fired and her first question was "so your going back to your home state" he replied 'yes' and her reply was "I can't deal with this right now and hang up" no support or kind words, she then goes to her friend and breaks down crying! Why why why did she start crying? Did she feels bad for her ex husband? Hell NO! The answer is obvious from the first question she asked him! She was on the brink of something great and now had lost the greatest babysitter ever for her son and someone who made her life much easier, she was more bothered about how him getting fired would hinder her lifestyle and couldn't care less about his emotions.

[deleted] • 4 points • 6 October, 2015 10:47 AM

Yep, every time I have lost a job or got laid off or whatever, it was always a matter of hours before she decided that "it just wasn't working out between us." Now I have an awesome job and give no fucks about being single. TRP made a huge difference in the amount of fucks I give when it comes to women.

BPtoRP • 4 points • 6 October, 2015 01:08 PM

People like to think they can use TRP to get a girl and then "just be themselves" but the reality is, the "gaming" (manipulating) never stops.

Or the trap I fell in, having so much confidence in your consistently growing SMV that you start chasing a girl

you know is lower in SMV with the logic "Can't she see I'll be the best thing that happened to her social life?" and she keeps running. Higher SMV or not, always be vigilant, gentlemen.

[deleted] • 5 points • 2 October, 2015 03:34 PM

Plus there's the little double standard that's it's fine for her to artificially enhance her SMV, but if you don't "grow up that way," and instead have to consciously try to fix yourself, you're clearly a damaged tryhard rather than a natural and you belong in the beta box society has already assigned you. Women like to stereotype men as bitch boys and fuck boys and they're very black and white about that. They don't want to see any crossover, the mere concept fucks with them epically.

Very good information! I'm going to hang out this Saturday with a lady from my beta days. I will try to "alpha" her, let's see what happens. If this is true she will be disgusted/confused.

[deleted] • 2 points • 5 October, 2015 12:12 PM

I'm going to do the very same thing. We came close a few times, but I always went into super beta mode and fucked it up. I'll post the whole story if anything comes of it.

[deleted] • 3 points • 2 October, 2015 09:36 PM

This is an excellent point, and needs to be emphasized and re-emphasized through this sub and to the masses.

Women are *repulsed* by struggling men. This doesn't necessarily mean poor men, but *struggling* men. The confident guy with little money is far more attractive than the guy who is barely treading water, worrying constantly, being insecure, etc, but with decent money.

Women like things being *easy*. Whether that means 'your place is just around the corner, no big deal' after a night in the bar, or being with someone long term.

[deleted] • 3 points • 3 October, 2015 12:01 PM

Betas are more dangerous for society than alphas. All of them are resources for females, offering them the privilege and entitlement they have. Think of it, not only validation through social media or gazes but even money. Dudes married to bitches that fuck other men daily? Wth?

And the rare cases when beta/omegas get to reproduce, it's even worse. Their kids will probably be just as beta, or daughters that will grow up seeing men as weak, as a prey, leading to cases like Anita Sherkasian (that's what she is, a shark and a con) that then indoctrinate more people with the feminist kool aid.

So as a red piller, you're just as responsible for cockblocking these motherfuckers in a genetic dead end as much as the hamster and the hard coded software of ladies. It's just selection baby! Your duty as a man is to make the species stronger, not something a woman's shoulders ever felt in 3000 years.

scarfox1 • 3 points • 4 October, 2015 10:52 PM

Not just winners, they can smell potential winners as laid out by Mark Manson in Models. You can be a 'loser' but showing high behaviour/social status works too, but probably not for the long run.

rpscrote • 3 points • 5 October, 2015 03:56 PM

People like to think they can use TRP to get a girl and then "just be themselves" but the reality is, the "gaming" (manipulating) never stops.

So true. You have to become an alpha version of yourself. Shit tests never end and never stop. You don't 'get to let your guard down.' You are either strong enough to withstand the world constantly, or you are not.

TrueMaskOfSanity • 3 points • 6 October, 2015 05:24 AM

I always say "fuck empathy." People don't empathize with people, they empathize with themselves. Women will never empathize with men.

Why do you think it's taken this long for the male and female species to figure each other out? It's not going to happen. Selfishness goes a long way, whether your morals agree with it or not. Focus on your own life and ignore the women. They'll follow as you lead and dominate your own life.

Magical-Soul • 3 points • 6 October, 2015 08:12 PM

I support TRP wholeheartedly. But I really do wish I could take a peak at some users' journal/diary to see exactly what pain brought them to such saddening conclusions about society.

tquotient • 5 points • 2 October, 2015 03:36 PM

Because their minds fixate on emotions, they're better at issues of emotion, including feigning empathy. Really, they're just more skilled at fucking with your emotions, which seems to be a game they feel is worth playing, even if the only result is drama everywhere. In fact, sometimes the goal is drama, so you're basically signing up for a conflict that perpetuates itself.

Don't bother with that bullshit. It only drains you. Tell them to stop creating problems where none exist or hit the fucking road.

[deleted] • 3 points • 2 October, 2015 04:28 PM

This is testament to the notion women see any attempt at male self-improvement that may possibly undermine their monopoly on social power as nothing but a threat to be thwarted.

All negotiation is ultimately at the end of a sword.

/u/IllimitableMan suggests the other thread was an attempt at meeting a girl halfway. Halfway obviously implies negotiation. She has the vagina on her side of the table, the gold standard. Sex sells. What do you have that she is at best interested in and at worst absolutely desperate for?

When the opposing side is desperate the price becomes extortionate, this is true of anything and why abundance mentality is so critical when "negotiating" with women. The cat will not barter with a mouse and there's no point trying to get an equitable agreement between the pair.

OrionSC2 • 2 points • 3 October, 2015 11:19 AM

but not all women are like that...? right...? gosh, I'm still so bluepill. ... *sigh*

[deleted] • 2 points • 8 October, 2015 01:14 PM

Just go onto any online dating site, especially the free ones, and you'll quickly see. **Yes ALL women are like that?**

PachinkoSAN • 2 points • 4 October, 2015 12:35 PM

Dude, you just blew my fucking mind. So much distilled truth. So many clear cut simplified revelations. I want to reread this till it's memorized. You have definitely made my eyes open up wider.

JumpXVI • 2 points • 5 October, 2015 05:21 PM

Plus there's the little double standard that it's fine for her to artificially enhance her SMV, but if you don't "grow up that way," and instead have to consciously try to fix yourself, you're clearly a damaged tryhard

rather than a natural and you belong in the beta box society has already assigned you.

This is the part that always baffles me the most about the double standard. Imagine if men swarmed xxfitness and shamed the women in there attaining and maintaining fantastic shape.

Are women really so closeminded that they don't realize that if it were as easy for men to be attractive to women as "work out and appear well-groomed", this forum wouldn't exist? It's even more mind-boggling than this, because subreddits like fitness **and** xxfitness not only exist in peace, but thrive as encouraging bastions of self-improvement (for both sexes).

I love that xxfitness exists and thrives. Absolutely love it, as it's a place where women who realize they can improve themselves can go for support and tips, and women who may not need it go for reinforcement and to **give** support.

I wouldn't know, because I don't visit xxfitness. Or fitness. I'd imagine that by extention, TRP is the same thing. Our Vanguard and Endorsed Contributors are the model examples who used to be "fat" (read: beta, I'm extending this metaphor) but whipped themselves into shape and are directing other "fat" people who join our community on how to not be fat.

But again, I don't need to, because I workout every other day, don't drink, and have a very impressive chest and midsection (due to HIIT, plyometrics and a 255 bench/375 squat at 6' 185lbs).

"Sick brag, bro", right? If half of those attributes actually worked with women, like immediately attracted women to want to either fuck me or commit to me, I wouldn't be here. I wouldn't *have to* be here. I wouldn't be posting this here right now, because I'd have a 9+ of my choosing lined up for Netflix and chilling tonight, and/or a beautiful steady partner who would never even think of playing the field.

Take any "endorsed contributor" in xxfitness and 95% of us will want to date/fuck her. I don't even have to link, we can very safely make that assumption. And we don't give a single fuck if she was 30 lbs. overweight a year ago. The thing is, **we** know that 95% of women would want to date/fuck any of **our** given ECs because they are incredibly alpha, "grow up that way" or not. But then you have this complaint, and this "war", and it's like, for fuck's sake. Use your head, women.

...buuuuuut, this is all logic. I have to be here, and you have to be here, and some poor guy who's going to post in a week because he got branch-swung on is gonna be here because it's not as easy as "work out and appear well-groomed". It's "sad" to say that most women, if not all, reading this, would dry up and wonder why I'm being so weird.

Nieben • 2 points • 5 October, 2015 05:48 PM

tl;dr - Don't look for pity. PERIOD. It's unbecoming of you.

NightwingTRP • 2 points • 8 October, 2015 12:31 AM

We're on our own with this. Woman on TRP will never empathise with us, they are just here to enhance their own manipulation. Women have an edge and they don't want men to take that away from them.

What about the RPW who take their understanding of hypergamy and TRP in order to make themselves better and try to provide better support to their spouse? Surely that is taking their edge and placing it back in the corner of their relationship?

IllimitableMan • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 12:48 AM\*

The exception that proves the rule does not negate the rule. Likewise, intent does not always yield results. For as many women attempt a most noble adherence to RPW teachings, I question how many effectively manage to overcome their instincts. I suspect the number is few, and like all female spaces, it's more of a



space for emotional support: sycophancy, whining and indecision, the responsibility necessary for fundamental change coming in a distant fourth.

Unlike TRP, it's more an effort between "her and her S.O" rather than a singular, solitary attempt at self-improvement. Makes sense when women tend to define themselves in relation to men rather than independently of men. I wonder how many RPWs are single and manage to change themselves outside the context of a relationship? Is contouring yourself to one man based on traditionalist ideas fundamental change or just a non-feminist AWALT getting advice from the girls? I don't doubt the intent of RPW, I do however despite its efficacy, it's probably even lower than TRPs as women are even crappier at taking action than men are.

NightwingTRP • 2 points • 8 October, 2015 12:56 AM

I never said it negated the rule... I was merely implying it would be possible to find a woman capable of the understanding to deal with this shit.

If you have such a dim view of RPW, go over there and improve it then. More recently I'm becoming of the opinion that TRP isn't even achieving what it wants to achieve from the shite I've been reading. - My response is to post where I can and add where I can. I was even going to start a blog but I mentioned this and asked some questions. Got no answers, so obviously my style is not particularly wanted, but that doesn't make me ignore everybody on TRP.

Just because men like you turn up who have a brain doesn't make me think the majority of the world has a brain too. If you're not willing to add to RPW... why should I add to RP? It's all the same nonsense of idiots whining while the sensible try to add some semblance of sanity to it.

IllimitableMan • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 01:06 AM

I was merely implying it would be possible to find a woman capable of the understanding to deal with this shit.

In this case, we agree. A small improvement is better than none. As for the rest? Fuck it.

NightwingTRP • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 01:13 AM

Yes and no. Small improvement is better than nothing. However:

As for the rest? Fuck it.

I disagree. See:

If you have such a dim view of RPW, go over there and improve it then.

Go. You're no fool. You can adapt your view to help the women who give a shit just as much as the men who give a shit. I stand by my earlier statement. If you as Vanguard are unwilling to share your knowledge with women based upon gender... why should I help TRP? I'm sorted, I don't really need to give a shit anymore. I do this shit because I think it's right. Why do you do it? We both know nobody is reading this beyond us.

IllimitableMan • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 01:39 AM

If you as Vanguard are unwilling to share your knowledge with women based upon gender... why should I help TRP?

Because you have a mind of your own and don't need to follow my example.

Nevertheless, it's not so much a matter of gender as it is a matter of specialisation. I rather



concentrate on men than dilute my thinking to constantly 180 and simultaneously cater to female strategy. Likewise, I lack the masochistic paternalism necessary to try my patience.

bigmfr • 1 point • 2 October, 2015 04:33 PM

This is exceptionally motivating reading. Makes one want to get to gym NOW and set a big fat angry PR. Too bad my next session is only tomorrow.

[deleted] • 4 points • 2 October, 2015 04:58 PM

I think that men today care too much about what women think and want to satisfy them by telling them what they want to hear or are quick to take their advice because society says a "women knows best".

Problem is that men are starting to see that it doesn't help them get what they want. Which is more sex and intimacy with an attractive women. That advice puts men in the friend zone quicker than anything and leaves men more frustrated than they were before they took the advice.

Men should not care if women don't like them bettering themselves. Men should not care if women say they don't want men to get more in touch with their masculinity. It goes back to the saying to watch what women do, not what they say.

Your struggle and pain may not make a women interested in you but your struggle and pain helps men become a man who women are interested in.

makethemflaunt • 3 points • 2 October, 2015 06:25 PM

My explanations of red pill truth come in red pill format. E.g.,

Her: You're so arrogant.

Me: You love it.

Her: (giggles; hits arm playfully)

Me to my plate: Women like a strong man. Don't you like my muscles?

Her: (stroking my arm) I do...

[deleted] • 4 points • 2 October, 2015 01:52 PM

Excellent post. The truth has never been truthier.

[deleted] • 2 points • 2 October, 2015 08:29 PM

It's got reliability you can rely on!

beardedgntlmn2 points 2 October, 2015 02:22 PM **[recovered]**

Great read! Women are not the enemy, nor are they your friends. It took me longer than most to understand this, that is until to a minute encounter with a girl in college. Growing up, I was a beta; easily manipulated and looking to always be the next "White Knight." But this microscopic encounter woke me up to my own faults in my relationships with women. As stated in the first response to the post you referenced, "men aren't taught to be attracted, women are born into it" thread, "TRP isn't just some stuff you need to learn. It's the stuff that you need to unlearn that matters the most." I had to unlearn bad habits. I pride myself on being highly adaptable to most any situation, this was no exception. I've experienced the repercussions of Beta life, and now I see the penalties for continuing that path in the men around me that never grew out of it. I'm an Alpha, I own my shit, whether good or bad.

GMUwhat1234 • 3 points • 2 October, 2015 05:51 PM

Lol my favorite is when beta le cuck asks a girl for romantic advice or how to get more girls. And she feeds him the worst possible advice you could ever give a nigga and he gets deeper into the beta zone

LuvBeer • 2 points • 2 October, 2015 09:18 PM

Just be yourself dude, learn some jokes, and hang out with more girls. That's sure to win them over.

GMUwhat1234 • 2 points • 2 October, 2015 10:23 PM

But first always pay for everything and her decisions trake priorities over yours

GlennBeckAmerica • 1 point • 5 October, 2015 01:46 AM

Nah but can we stop using "fuckboy" the wrong way though ? Adding "boy" to the end of any word that's used to describe a grown man is derogatory. A fuckboy is a noodle, wimp, weenie, corbball, what have you. I would crack the fuck up hearing another guy actually calling himself a fuckboy. Good post !

LuckyLuke23 • 1 point • 5 October, 2015 02:52 AM

I think women dont like trp i if all men were doing it then there would be no more beta orbeters left to give them free shit.

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 October, 2015 11:29 AM

I'm not sure why, but I read this thinking that all woman are Donald Trump.

He is not a good man, good men don't get captured

pantsoffire • 1 point • 2 October, 2015 02:09 PM

Bookmarked. Females see in black and white. Fucker or Loser. Alpha or... well- Loser. Helping me or fucking with me.

You will not find a partner, an equal. A companion. I still have to remind myself of that. You and I at most could find a good second in command. Who is just waiting for a promotion.

Women are raised by self obsessed, back stabbing, egotistical, petty, spiteful women to be just that.

Why pretend they can be anything more?

[deleted] • 8 points • 2 October, 2015 03:09 PM

To a woman, you're either an alpha, a beta provider or a useless loser.

If you tell a woman that something's tough, then that doesn't make you better as an alpha and it doesn't make you better as a beta provider. Hence it makes you a loser.

zenhood • 1 point • 2 October, 2015 04:52 PM

Can someone help me? I don't know what he means by maxim 6 is war. Can I please have a link to the maxims he is referring to?

SatanAscending • 1 point • 2 October, 2015 06:18 PM

He mentioned it in OP.

Look it up.

acesgoplaces321 • 1 point • 2 October, 2015 06:44 PM

Great post. Well written and an eye opener.

Godtiermasturbator • 0 points • 2 October, 2015 04:56 PM

\*drops mic. Thanks for getting us back on track. That's the real shit. We've been kind of pussified lately. Every once in a while it's good to be smacked back into line.

AllOrDeath • -1 points • 2 October, 2015 03:58 PM

Why get into a relationship when it's war and be partnered with someone who will just make life more complicated, difficult and stressful due to an irresistible genetic imperative?

[deleted] • 0 points • 3 October, 2015 05:31 AM

Time to reread 33 strategies of war.

jekosnejev • 0 points • 3 October, 2015 05:32 AM

This post should be stickied. You spoke my mind. Literally.

J\_AsapGem • 0 points • 8 October, 2015 01:31 AM

rollo made a post about this that was very interesting, women doesn't show empathy towards our pain and problems, can't find the post though

IllimitableMan • 1 point • 8 October, 2015 01:38 PM

I'd be interested to read his take. I've probably read only a fraction of Rollo's work.

[deleted] 2 October, 2015 02:43 PM

[permanently deleted]

hebola4lyfe • 1 point • 3 October, 2015 03:35 AM

Now you know how we feel when you do it .

thesilverskateboarder • 1 point • 2 October, 2015 04:14 PM

Hi there, I see you took your crazy pills as scheduled.

If you don't find the content interesting or beneficial for yourself or anyone you know, you don't have to be here. Theres the door, don't let it hit your entitled fat ass on your way out, cuz then Barbie has to clean it.

GunsGermsAndSteel • 1 point • 2 October, 2015 06:45 PM

Excellent point, actually. The OP was about honest confrontation of how things really are, but a lot of the comments have been "waaah, women aren't what I hoped they'd be".

I like women just the way they are: weak, easily manipulated, simple to understand.

[deleted] 2 October, 2015 05:27 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 0 points • 2 October, 2015 08:33 PM

I guess better late than never. Guys: never *ever* have one pot for all the money. Remember, a true TRP trait is to be able to handle your fucking money. Handle it. Your credit should be flawless, and your 401k should be growing. Handle it.

anonymalie • -1 points • 4 October, 2015 10:12 PM

I'm quite tentatively commenting here, and I'm sure this will just be buried, but honestly, this confuses me a lot. I get that this is a male space, and I'm not trying to be an authority on a subject which doesn't necessarily concern me, but I'm just curious as to whether this is directed at women in the western world, or women everywhere. I live in the west, but was brought up as a muslim (I'm now an atheist), with an arab father. I feel like I've faced sooo much bullshit and genuine oppression from the religion and from the culture that I can't help but feel defensive when I read things like this. And I know that there are a heck of a lot women in the same position as me, or worse, in other parts of the world. Again, I'm ignorant to whether this is directed at them. Personally, I'm not a feminist, mainly because after experiencing true oppression I'm not about to empathise with a bunch of 'privileged' white females who get pissed off because someone with a penis looked at them the wrong way. And I think a lot of non-white females are coerced into being a feminist by the supreme whitey's because if not how dare you you are a disgust to your race and gender die die die. But I also think that it's an ideology which is very dismissive of male issues and for me, there's no point fighting for one cause only to be actively contributing towards the worsening of another.

But at the same time, with trepidation, I must admit that *some* of the attitudes in the comments are quite shocking to me. The idea that ALL women function in this same exact way, regardless of experience or lifestyle. The idea that ALL women don't like to see the 'work in progress'. I do know many women that are like this, but I also know a bunch who aren't. I think it's an extreme way of thinking, but then again, I don't understand your experience and I never will, just like you never will mine, so there's nothing I can do but trust that whatever you've experienced or feel justifies you in thinking so.

Again, sorry if this is invasive but I'd love it if someone answered my question regarding which women these attitudes are directed at.

[deleted] • 6 points • 5 October, 2015 06:19 AM

Classic female manipulation right here. This is how it always starts, The Siren's Song. 'Not All Women Are Like That! Some of us are sweet, and caring, and loving, and loyal - oh yes, and oppressed! You can't say nasty things like that about women, because we are NICE'

Then we buy (literally) into it, and we find out just how far that sweetness and loyalty goes.

Female psychology is the same everywhere, how much of it is expressed, and how, depends on the culture. Women take the shape of their container. How many men have gone to Thailand, or Philippines, or elsewhere, and found that women are 'different'? Sweet, submissive, willing to please. So they marry them, bring them back to the West, and as soon as they find themselves in the different environment and talk with other women, they do exactly the same as Western women.

Part of female manipulation is to be unaware of themselves doing it. Now, I admit that there are some small number of women who stand out because they do not conform to the general crowd - Karen Straughan and Janet Bloomfield come to mind. That still makes it very poor odds, no matter how a particular woman claims to be a special snowflake.

| sorry if this is invasive

And yet you do, even in a post that explicit talks about how women invaded other male subs and destroyed them, so that men can no longer be honest there about their experiences with women. And it always starts just like this. This is not a place for you, you have all of the rest of the internet.

anonymalie • 2 points • 5 October, 2015 08:28 AM

Lol okay, I genuinely didn't mean to offend and was genuinely curious but you probably won't believe

that anyway so there's no use. I'm not trying to be manipulative, I'm aware that I've zero power here, I don't care about whether you think I'm 'special' or not, and feel no need to manipulate anyone into thinking so. As for being 'oppressed', wasn't trying to use that for sympathy or manipulation , but just to point out there are forces like religious oppression to be taken into account before proclaiming that every western woman has freedom. If you don't think that islam/Islamic culture is oppressive to both it's female and male 'servants', as they call them, then I assume we can't agree

BallisticTherapy • 2 points • 5 October, 2015 02:12 AM

This is primarily directed toward women in the western world. We have an environment where both the social and legal landscapes are allowing the worst possible qualities a woman could possess to come out in full force and what we're seeing is that anything that they can get away with, most of them will elect to do in this society.

All the checks on female behavior that have traditionally existed have been removed, so the only thing keeping them honest is their word, and we're seeing what that's worth.

anonymalie • 1 point • 5 October, 2015 08:29 AM

Alright, thanks for clearing that up