

# Girl is in a relationship with a wealthy alpha that maintains impeccable frame and doesn't put up with her shit tests. She feels neglected and hurt (hamstering ensues)

October 26, 2015 | 1021 upvotes | by [yamato403](#)

---

## EDIT 1:

I'm glad that I finally stopped lurking and started to contribute to TRP. There have been very insightful comments and analyses in this discussion. Just shows us once again how vital TRP is to help us examine the pitfalls and obstacles a man faces in today's society, how to avoid and conquer them, all whilst becoming the best possible version of ourselves.

## EDIT 2:

I have added the third and (so it seems) final update to this hamster saga below. Feel free to check it out.

## EDIT 3:

I just saw that I got a "PM" from a user that has registered his account roughly 9 hours ago, telling me some new "intel" about this post and claiming he's 99,9% sure it's his GF that started these posts. He said

I cant post at the moment due to my novelty account but Id be glad to share some more insight into this and answer eventual questions.

I'm not sure what to make of this but if anyone wants me to pursue this further or refer him to them, just tell me and I'll bite.

---

Here's the original post ([archive.is/first](#)) and here's second ([archive.is/second](#)) and here's the third and final one ([archive.is/third](#))

**tl;dr** Wealthy alpha has a LTR that feels neglected (financially & emotionally) because her boyfriend lives life on his own terms and demonstrates impeccable frame during her shit tests. She complains about his "lone wolf attitude" on the hamster sub and the shitshow begins

## BODY

he started to tell me that I should know upfront, since many couples in our age bracket don't discuss these topics, that he does NOT want to have any children EVER, does NOT want to get married EVER and he plans to stay independent with separate flats/bank accounts etc.

So this girl meets a fairly wealthy guy and starts a relationship with him. The guy doesn't fuck around and tells her what she has to expect and how this relationship will work out. He sets the terms early on – for the girl it is take or leave. Of course we all know she can't resist and agrees.

Marc does not care what other people think of him, he does not follow gossip or celeb culture or trends in general. He kind of lives in his own world. He has no problem doing things on his own (dinner, sports, going to the theater or museum etc.) and he thoroughly enjoys his solitude.

He's also the embodiment of a true alpha as she described his attitude here

As you might imagine from this, Marc isn't the "average" guy and he's unlike anyone I've ever met in my life.

---

Of course you haven't, honey. It's rare that one encounters a true alpha and he was giving you the tingles so hard that you probably couldn't think straight.

But alas, nobody is perfect, so let's start to find the things we can nag and complain about.

He has almost no friends, only "acquaintances" as he calls them. When I asked him why he just replied that it's better to have one or two real friends that would take a bullet for you than a dozen of fake ones. I thought that was weird as I have many friends and enjoy my time with them and I think that having friends is a vital part of a fulfilling life

Yes, we all know women need a large circle of „friends“ to gossip and for external validation. Suddenly it's „weird“ when someone likes to have quality over quantity when it comes to relationships. We all know she's embarrassed in front of her social circle that her BF might come off as a weirdo or loser.

Well, it turns out my boyfriend is pretty wealthy. I'm not sure what his exact net worth is but it's certainly in the millions

Well, isn't that convenient?

When we went to dinner for the first time together, he told me, after splitting the bill equally, that he's willing to give me his time but not his money.

Oops, not what you expected? This guy knows the game and is protecting his own assets from the start; smart move.

I immediately found this a bit strange and thought to myself that I'm certainly not a gold digger and can support myself

Strong, independent (black) women incoming

And here's where my problems start. He spends all this ridiculous money on his furniture, flat, clothing and adventure trips but apparently I'm not even worth a dinner?!

How dare he spend his hard earned cash on himself?!

Don't get me wrong I'm not a gold digger and while I'm financially not well off, at least I can support myself. Sure I do get presents every Christmas, Birthday, Valentine's Day from Marc but I feel like I'm not really worth that much to him considering how he spends his money.

Yes what a tight and greedy guy. It should be mandatory law to buy women things they don't appreciate.

I cannot help to feel like I'm not that important to him considering how he constantly makes decisions without me (vacations, trips), and even refused to pick up the tab when we were dating?

He always knew my biggest dream was to travel to Asia but since I absolutely cannot afford it at the moment it remains a dream. Turns out he got his sister a 1 month trip to Japan for her 18th birthday. Flight, hotels and everything else covered

Treating his own family and blood to a vacation with all expenses covered, but not his girlfriend makes him an asshole that neglects her feels. Because working towards your own goals is apparently not en-vogue anymore.

As expected the hamsters all side with the poor and neglected girlfriend, reinforcing her beliefs, telling her how her boyfriend is immature, unable to commit to a „serious“ relationship and how it's unnatural not

to live together, to marry and have children. Some even go as far as calling him a lunatic, psycho-and sociopath for not putting her first, and above everyone and everything else in his life.

The fact that he was always being upfront with his idea of a relationship and his girlfriend willingly spread her legs for 2 years is completely neglected.

She takes some of his „advice“ from this sub and confronts (read: bitches about) her boyfriend about these bogus issues of hers.

I got emotional and nearly cried, telling him I need a break and he acts so immature sometimes and doesn't consider my feelings at all.

As expected this doesn't end well.

Then Marc told me that he is not the type of guy to wait for anyone to make his mind up about him and that the relationship is best ended on the spot.

Her boyfriend keeps his frame because why wouldn't he? He's independent (financially & spiritually) and clearly has internalized abundance mentality.

In the end she feels like a big fuck-up and of course deep down she knows what a mistake she made and that she will likely never find a guy like him again.

What we can learn from this is to never let go of your frame, be your own man, live life on your own terms and fuck what the hivemind thinks about you. As you can see in the comments, if you go against the grain of „traditional expectations“ you will be labelled as psychotic, crazy, immature and dismissed as sad individual with deep psychological issues.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

gamefuck • 280 points • 26 October, 2015 09:59 AM

HOLY SHIT THIS IS THE BEST PART

When my cat needed an expensive life or death stomach surgery a few months ago, Marc called my mother and said he would take care of all the medical bills and treatments under the condition that it wouldn't be brought up because he didn't like the attention of being the "rich benefactor". The other thing that almost made me throw up was when I learned that he paid for the funeral of my father who died around a 14 months ago. I always thought my mother paid for everything as she told me she had to liquidate some assets and saving accounts of hers in order to pay for the cat's surgery and my father's burial. It turns out that my mother has been struggling with her money more than she liked to admit and it was Marc who helped her discreetly and took care of these things without telling me or anyone else.

This supposed cold hearted son of a bitch is actually the NICEST GUY on the planet as well as being an unbreakable alpha. I feel bad for any guy that gets with this girl in the future. Talk about alpha-widowed, she will never, ever meet a man this good again.

Jessie\_James • 65 points • 26 October, 2015 02:23 PM

Yup, this was the icing on the cake. She royally fucked up. This really is the crux of the issue here - he was gold, and she kicked herself in the teeth.

MuertaPinata • 14 points • 27 October, 2015 05:54 PM

"You never spend any money on me. You don't care about me."

"I paid for your dad's funeral."

*mic drop*

gamefuck • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 12:02 PM

All women are self destructive in this way. They keep saying hit me until they bust.

dracoliuss • 30 points • 26 October, 2015 03:51 PM

I wasn't entirely surprised to read those things. It actually seemed to fit with the rest of the story in my mind. It's not that we don't care about women, at all, it's that we recognize how maintaining frame and financial security are not matters to be trifled with.

Regardless, this is some of the absolutely most delicious schadenfreude I've experienced in a long time.

alisonstone • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 03:55 PM

Wealthy people often share similar opinions with Marc in terms of finances. Most people get "damaged" by having a financial benefactor because it prevents them from learning how to handle their own finances. If the girl were a self made millionaire herself, then Marc might suddenly be willing to pay for her dinner because that small amount of money is irrelevant to both of them.

People who are good with finances see the bad habits of their peers and are very conscious of why they succeeded in making millions while their peers have not. They see how most lottery winners end up bankrupt and far worse than they were before they won the lottery. And how most NFL players end up broke soon after their professional career is over (and they have no marketable skills and maybe long

term brain damage from playing a dangerous sport).

If you have not proven that you can handle money, then you should not be given money. The woman's reaction to Marc not paying for her dinner or her jealousy of Marc's sister proved that she is not fit to be given money, gifts, or vacations. Rather than looking at money as a valuable resource, she is looking at it as a measuring stick for affection.

The "dream" vacation to Asia is only a dream vacation if you decide that you are willing to trade 200 hours of labor (or however much it would cost) to get it, because you feel the experience is worth more than 200 hours of labor. If she isn't currently saving up the money to go on it herself, then obviously it is not that big of a dream for her (i.e. she rather have 200 hours of free time or she rather spend the money on other goods). He can't just give her dreams to her because then she'll just want something more expensive next year because she never had to do anything for it.

howard333 • 19 points • 26 October, 2015 09:22 PM\*

I think modern women are not socialized to appreciate anyone that has a healthy balance of warrior and provider traits. They want a wild clown with a big dong that we also conflate with "alpha", or an easy mark they can take for all he's worth. Anything else confuses them, and they see men the way prostitutes do.

gamefuck • 7 points • 27 October, 2015 02:09 AM

Yes I think the type of Alpha in the story is very rare, clearly a natural. I mean this guy is the reason women think marriage is romantic, because they know it's the ultimate sacrifice for a guy like this.

I mean all the women are talking about how he is immature etc. If he married this girl, his trips would end, his personality would suffer. He would probably become depressed and his GF would start abusing the money. Then when he, a broken husk a man, complains.

"Oh he's just being immature"

So really you're better off doing your own thing because of their very nature women can never be satisfied.

rpreader • 12 points • 27 October, 2015 01:54 AM

He was a fantastic guy who funded the funeral anonymously, but she's mad that he doesn't spend money on her selfish shit.

hamsterbator • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 09:35 PM

Turned out he didn't even arrange it with her mother. Did it anonymously but her mother did some investigating independently and found out it was him.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 06:08 PM

I've noticed that there are people who are outwardly nice but inwardly corrupt. Then there are those with a harsh exterior but a kind internal.

Dr\_HoaxArthurWilmoth • 3 points • 27 October, 2015 03:06 AM

This chick might kill herself.

Took care of her cat, mother and father (funeral).

The only thing she a do at this point, other than be alpha-widowed or an-heroed, is to take a long hard look in the mirror and her soul and make herself into the woman that might have a chance with someone like her ex again.

MakingItWorthit • 1 point • 27 October, 2015 08:16 AM

Someone brought up the pet surgery and dad funeral thing and got downvoted for it.

That sub is really trash now.

[deleted] • 401 points • 26 October, 2015 04:19 AM

It reads like this girl thought she had found herself a nice Beta Bux. Half of the first post is her talking about how impressive his money is, BUT SHE'S NOT A GOLD DIGGER GUYS.

The problem seems to stem from him not wanting to play the role for her, ergo the post "how dare my BB not want to behave!"

LukesLikeIt • 187 points • 26 October, 2015 08:58 AM

If someone says they aren't a gold digger more than once they are definitely a gold digger.

the-Real\_Slim-Shady • 132 points • 26 October, 2015 03:13 PM

let's be real every girl is a gold digger. So is every guy. If there's an easy opportunity to access a significant amount of money ~99.9% of people are going to pursue it. Who doesn't want to live without financial stress?

[deleted] • 36 points • 26 October, 2015 04:26 PM

Yep, guys and girls have some similarities and both are always out to further their own position

The difference is men are taught to be the provider at a young age and thus are rarely in the position to marry into wealth. If they happen to do so you can bet two things:

The women's wealth isn't hers but her families Her families wealth is likely her fathers, and he knows to protect it

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 05:24 PM

i also love the feminist "logic" breakdown

first they are "independent", but then when they see the guy spends money on other stuff and doesn't get her a fancy dinner or expensive ring, they start judging themselves in terms of money (aren't i WORTH \_\_\_\_\_???)

just lol

4benny2lava0 • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 06:09 PM

Clearly she aint messin with no broke nigga

[deleted] • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 12:26 AM

I'm not sexist/racist/homophobic, but....

is always followed by something sexist/racist/homophobic.

OwlsExterminator • 140 points • 26 October, 2015 05:57 AM\*

Yep every woman in a relationship thinks his money belongs to her and she just hasn't gotten it yet from him. I recall a plate would bitch to her friends how much I spent on myself/home (like new furniture), and thus I was "cheap" if I didn't buy *the* most expensive hotel rooms for our stays, or buy her jewelry.

ddelicia • 20 points • 26 October, 2015 10:05 AM

This is kinda weird, I don't recall ever meeting a woman here in Brazil that thinks like that. Usually in here they start thinking your money belongs to them after you marry them, not on the dating period.

truchisoft • 15 points • 26 October, 2015 01:25 PM

Really? try telling them upfront that you will be sharing the dating expenses, see how well you do.

Duliticolaparadoxa • 27 points • 26 October, 2015 02:24 PM

Youll probably do alright for a bit until she realizes you were serious.

truchisoft • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 02:32 PM

That's the difference when they look for you as opposed of when you look for them.

If a girl wanted to go out with me I would say something along the lines "you could join me at bla bla"

But If I am inviting them at least the first time, I would pay, then jump venues or order a second or most probably third round and make her pay that one.

Except my girl which offered to pay herself for the theatre entrances the first time we dated, certainly made me look at her in a different angle.

MaximusNeo701 • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 04:51 PM

Make her bring a bottle of whiskey over when she shows up to your place on the first date. You don't say it but you establish it.

Fuck\_shadow\_bans • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 02:04 PM

Brazil is pretty sexually liberated, but it happened without all the bullshit that feminism brings.

TyPerfect • 12 points • 26 October, 2015 08:58 AM

Its the same thing with my wife eating off my plate. That shit doesn't happen anymore.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J56jQp7Yiaw>

[deleted] • 34 points • 26 October, 2015 12:42 PM

The funniest is where her mom smacked her upside the head.

let\_terror\_reign • 22 points • 26 October, 2015 04:53 PM

I know, right? I really laughed at that and then came the news about the dude who was so nice he paid for a funeral and didn't even want to be mentioned. He did it anonymously.

But yeah he didn't pay for your meal so he's a cheapskate.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 04:55 PM

it's the biggest reason i advocate that women should be earning more than men when at all possible.

you don't need or want her money, but her not needing yours frees you up for your alpha/sigma life, where you can just be awesome

though she'll probably just blow it all on stupid shit anyways.

[deleted] 26 October, 2015 12:42 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 04:01 PM

I am NOT a fudge packer!!

... Then why are you packing fudge?

The\_Man\_on\_the\_Wall • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 04:11 PM

This is what I envision when a woman says shes not a gold digger

fadetoblack1004 • 302 points • 26 October, 2015 05:55 AM

I fucking love it when the internet convinces some weak-minded woman to seriously fuck up their relationship.

Fuck\_shadow\_bans • 63 points • 26 October, 2015 02:19 PM

And yet when you point out the obvious that feminism is really about pulling advantaged women down to the level of the ugly, bitter, & unlovable ones who push it, suddenly its a huge scandal. >\_>

[deleted] • 102 points • 26 October, 2015 01:42 PM

Seriously, feminists are women's worst enemy.

DroppinHadjisLandR • 28 points • 26 October, 2015 05:52 PM

"They lie to themselves, and then they lie to other people."

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 07:22 PM

It tends to work for the militant lesbian faction, but everyone else is in the cross hairs.

billcosbyeatsbabies • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 11:57 PM

feminism is its own worst enemy hahaha. defeating its intended goals by glorifying the independance of one gender over the other. its like a bad fight, you're supposed to knock the guy down but dont lose your shit and go insane. these bitches tried knocking the men down so hard they became men.

LyricBaritone • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 07:24 PM

Fighting for equality sounds cool in theory, until they realize that equal opportunity also means equal responsibility. This girl clearly wasn't happy having to provide for herself, she wants those \$\$\$\$ Beta Bux \$\$\$\$

[deleted] • 32 points • 26 October, 2015 03:26 PM

Maybe it has something to do with the competing nature of women. They will purposefully give bad advice in an attempt to sabotage relationships that are not their own in order to weed out the competition for the top 20% of men. This is just a guess however and not based on any facts or anecdotes.

fadetoblack1004 • 21 points • 26 October, 2015 03:33 PM

I don't think it's a conscious thing. Subconsciously sabotaging their competition? I could see that.

OwlsExterminator • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 06:48 PM\*

I usually see failed bitchy women give bad advice. A plate literally stole my CC and did a ton of fraudulent charges, she had friends at the store and convinced them I was ok with it. I cancelled the card and disputed it and she cannot come back to those stores.

The insanity, her bitchy older friends convinced her I embarrassed her and they said she should teach



me a lesson. After that she locked me out and tried to frame me for assault unless I would agree to reverse the disputed charges. No, No, No. WTF.

IllimitableMan • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 02:12 AM

Yeah women have a nefarious crab bucket mentality.

[deleted] • 64 points • 26 October, 2015 12:41 PM\*

Its all that "you go girl powahhh" feel good bullshit.

Its like theyre trying to create a spirit bomb that will destroy that guys values. But they forget theyre not Goku.

Troll\_Name • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 10:20 PM

This aptly describes feminism, communism, occupy wall street, tea party, black lives matter, and pretty much every kind of "here's how I think the world should work" mentality in the universe.

Hivemind-based solipsism can change the world, but it can't change the laws of physics or human nature.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 07:47 PM

Meh. She was never gonna get what she wanted from him anyway (his lifestyle) so it is best he be rid of her.

ak27abroad3 • 1 point • 27 October, 2015 12:38 AM

I hate to say this among the self-congratulatory red pill throng, but the fact is she wasn't terribly happy in that relationship in the first place. She was already building up evidence for why she should break up with him. YEs, the funeral and cat thing gave her pause for a moment, and I think a lot of people suffer regret shortly after a break up, but she was on her way out anyways. He fell down on the job somewhere along the line (if he wanted to keep this girl around- if not, no harm no foul, plus he was really honest).

david\_kimba • 348 points • 26 October, 2015 07:13 AM\*

I told her everything about his lone wolf attitude and how he seems to never put me first, the money/wealth gap and the stupid tab splitting in restaurants.

Now my mother got even more upset and slapped me on the back of my head (note: not intended as an assault but more like "omg kid why are you so stupid"). She then proceeded to tell me a secret he and Marc have been sharing for a year now.

When my cat needed an expensive life or death stomach surgery a few months ago, Marc called my mother and said he would take care of all the medical bills and treatments under the condition that it wouldn't be brought up because he didn't like the attention of being the "rich benefactor".

The other thing that almost made me throw up was when I learned that he paid for the funeral of my father who died around a 14 months ago. I always thought my mother paid for everything as she told me she had to liquidate some assets and saving accounts of hers in order to pay for the cat's surgery and my father's burial.

It turns out that my mother has been struggling with her money more than she liked to admit and it was Marc who helped her discreetly and took care of these things without telling me or anyone else

This woman... She was so fucking lucky to be with that guy, but it is never enough.

I thought my father was exaggerating when he meant, you give women a cm they take the whole mile and you never give women all your love nor all your money, no matter how much you have of any, it will never be enough for them.

Holy shit. The thread you linked had a lot of rp truths telling her how fair he was and how lucky she was, yet he had to cherry pick the stupid, illogical inconsistent posts that empowered her point of view.

Throw everything away in the blink of an eye because... EMOTIONS!

GuruDev1000 • 268 points • 26 October, 2015 09:00 AM

you give women a cm they take the whole mile

Give a woman metric, she will give you imperial.

getRedPill • 41 points • 26 October, 2015 01:36 PM

Give a woman metric, she will give you imperial.

That's a veeery fucked up shit there

[deleted] • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 03:35 PM

Can you explain it? I don't get it.

recon\_johnny • 10 points • 26 October, 2015 04:07 PM

Really?

The phrase is: Give inch, take mile.

Op fucked up and said give cm, take mile.

Next poster commented on measurement type. cm = metric, mile = imperial

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 09:34 PM

[https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scandinavian\\_mile](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scandinavian_mile)

10 km

getRedPill • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 05:47 PM

Mithra, Imperial measuring system just suck. Doesn't matter how you put it, whether it is inches, yards or gallons. Sucks.

GhostOfAladdin • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 06:27 PM **[recovered]**

Reminds me of a quote: "Don't go asking for an inch expecting a foot, unless you want a foot."

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 10:55 PM

Give a woman 6 inches, and they'll try to take your family jewels

MorePancakes • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 12:29 PM

Give the woman the D and they give you the BB

penworth • 55 points • 26 October, 2015 09:33 AM

She almost threw up. "Oh noes, if I stuck around longer maybe he'll actually be a provider or at the very least I'll get some benefits from it."

In her mind she missed a potential meal ticket. But it'll never happen because no way in hell will this guy get tied down.

Sunshinelorrrypop • 16 points • 26 October, 2015 01:01 PM

did she find out he paid for her cat and fathers funeral after splitting with him?

penworth • 43 points • 26 October, 2015 01:14 PM\*

she did. but in the original thread, she was already laying the foundation that she really wasn't seeing any "maturity" and that she's totally not a gold digger...which is odd, since she complained that he didn't let her tag along an expensive jungle expedition. she got jealous when he treats his sister to an all-expense trip to Japan. i can't make this shit up so I'll just quote her.

He always knew my biggest dream was to travel to Asia but since I absolutely cannot afford it at the moment it remains a dream. Turns out he got his sister a 1 month trip to Japan for her 18th birthday. Flight, hotels and everything else covered. Basically my dream vacation (and hers too apparently); when I subtly hinted that it was exactly the trip I always wanted he asked me if I'm jealous of his sister and said he's always been upfront with me about his "family first" credo.

CornyHoosier • 25 points • 26 October, 2015 07:02 PM

You'd think a potential long-term partner would see "family first" as a strength. Alas, her motto seems to be "me first".

tigerjaws • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 11:10 PM

No one happy if mama ain't happy AWALT

pcadrian • 142 points • 26 October, 2015 12:03 PM **[recovered]**

Dude holy shit, this woman fucked up big time. She's gonna be thinking about this guy for the rest of her life.

deepthrill • 135 points • 26 October, 2015 01:32 PM

Seeing an alpha widow in the making.

HappyManBeast • 17 points • 26 October, 2015 05:46 PM

Seeing an alpha widow in the making.

She went widow faster than I can drive through a wall.

Soarinc • 16 points • 26 October, 2015 10:08 PM\*

And this is the most brutal type of alpha widowing that is ever conceivable right?

She'll never get a chance with a guy like Marc again and no guy will ever measure up to Marc's frame or his generosity.

penworth • 84 points • 26 October, 2015 02:28 PM

What gives me immense satisfaction was that in the first thread, she was looking for excuses to break up with him, and when she got her wish, thread 2 starts and apparently Marc was doing her favors anonymously.

She feels she fucked up all because she got advice from the relationship subreddit. Shit's hilarious.

[deleted] • 63 points • 26 October, 2015 03:40 PM

I have a feeling both threads will be disappearing soon. The mods can't have people knowing the advice given on their sub destroys lives.

vengefully\_yours • 48 points • 26 October, 2015 04:10 PM

Especially when advice given here improves lives.

Uptonogood • 18 points • 26 October, 2015 05:40 PM

Don't worry. It's all archived now.

ragtagmofi • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 05:37 PM

She mentioned she was going to delete all the threads anyways since her boyfriend supposedly found out about them. They're probably gone already

penworth • 12 points • 26 October, 2015 04:03 PM

Gods I hope not. I like the red pill view on relationships. Puts things in perspective.

Soarinc • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 10:10 PM

Yeah I backed up the threads because I think it's brutally hilarious that "majorities" of people can be wrong because consensus doesn't matter for shit on things like global warming or relationships.

CornyHoosier • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 07:01 PM

It was probably when *her own mother* started calling Marc amazing that the daughter knew she messed up. The mom even knew to ask if the daughter broke up with him because of children/marriage (which are perfectly valid reasons).

penworth • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 07:16 PM

Yeah, and now "the internet validated my feelings" doesn't seem like a good reason.

reigorius • 75 points • 26 October, 2015 11:50 AM

The guys is a saint as well. I think we have witnessed an alpha widow in the making.

LukeMcFuckStick • 19 points • 26 October, 2015 11:04 PM

"My old boyfriend payed for my fathers funeral and you only got me a 24 Karot engagement ring? are you fucking serious?"

Soarinc • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 10:13 PM

Yeah I think she got made alpha widow so hard she can just buy a gun and end it herself rather than live the rest of her life seeing every single possible guy as a lesser version of Marc!

Glennus626 • 106 points • 26 October, 2015 12:57 PM

Marc is obviously a hero, but let's not be naive about this: she was a plate who was told, up front, that there would never be anything BUT plate status for her. We cannot blame her for feeling off about that, since her sexual strategy is going to be different from ours.

Per biology, she NEEDS kids. She NEEDS a mate who can support kids. She NEEDS a mate who can support her when she's birthing said kids, etc.

She should have broken it off, as he suggested, on the spot, rather than waiting around for the possibility that he'd relent and share his resources (which is what a female is programmed to do). Her biggest mistake was

not understanding that plate =\= girlfriend/ltr.

youonlylive2wice • 29 points • 26 October, 2015 02:37 PM

She should have broken it off, as he suggested, on the spot

Meh, yes and no. She was a plate, and judging from some of this, was likely the only one he was seriously spinning, but sometimes that's a good time. Clearly he thought it was worth his time... Like most rides, its fun while it lasts, even if you know it won't last forever. Just don't bitch when it doesn't last forever.

That's the real takeaway here. She didn't believe him when he told her that. She thought she could and had changed him. That he wasn't serious when he said those things, even though he never gave any sign that that wasn't the case...

If you say something, mean it, and if you don't mean it, don't say it.

BramRhodesDouglas • 36 points • 26 October, 2015 06:35 PM

Bullshit. I never paid for any of my plates things, definitely not their family funerals or their cats. She was more than a plate. I'm willing to bet that this girl is really hot so that's why this guy had her around. But she's clueless about relationships and she didn't realize that it's HER responsibility to make commitment happen. It's the man's job to be worthy of sex and the woman's job to be worthy of commitment.

Of course like most people in this generation she think relationships "just happen" so she didn't bother trying to charm him, cook for him, etc. You know, because cooking for a man and making yourself wife material is sexist red pill thinking. That sub is a joke, they fucked up her future.

youonlylive2wice • 10 points • 26 October, 2015 07:14 PM

I'd say you're right. She's a nice piece of ass and the guy is genuinely a good guy. That's why he paid for the things but didn't tell her. Just thought it was the right thing to do but also didn't want to lose frame or lead her on.

BramRhodesDouglas • 17 points • 26 October, 2015 07:37 PM

Crazy thing is that he's such a good dude. Wtf man he payed for her cat and dad's funeral. He's the farthest thing from a bad person. Yet twoX calls him a psychopath and says he's fucked up.... That moment when you realize actually all these bitches are psychopaths. That's fucking scary how blind they are.

Soarinc • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 10:21 PM

Did twoX also crosspost from relationships and make their own analysis on it?

BramRhodesDouglas • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 04:35 AM

My mistake, I mean the relationships sub.

IndyBrodaSolo • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 02:33 AM\*

Yeah I was laughing when they called him Patrick Bateman. I mean real Patrick Bateman would have probably killed her cat himself instead of paying for surgery.

tigerjaws • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 11:09 PM

This guy was worth millions, she definitely had to be hb9-10 for him to have her around. But

yeah, she's going to regret this for the rest of her life. AWALT. Women just don't understand that just because they would spend money (if they were wealthy) on cars and luxury shit and fancy dinners doesn't mean a guy would. This guy seems like a chill guy, even covered surgery for a CAT, and a funeral. Obviously cared for the chick. She fucked up big time.

Soarinc • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 10:20 PM

Hey you sound really intelligent and I wanted to ask honest question: don't you think the girl knew she'd never have kids with this guy or move in together?

She is 26 [female] and agreed that she's probably very hot. From what I gather, 28 is the maximum age that rich, wealthy, or high-value men ever even consider for dating right? She began dating Marc when she's 24 which means the YEAR after she finished grad school so she was already looking for a guy to settle down with and begin making babies with.

It's my opinion that this breakup was good for her because she still has 3 years before hitting the wall and she sounds super incredibly hot, so she might get lucky enough to pull down a guy while she's still at the 90% threshold of her aging looks before her physical beauty turns into a fading raisin.

Your thoughts?

BramRhodesDouglas • 4 points • 27 October, 2015 04:28 AM\*

don't you think the girl knew she'd never have kids with this guy or move in together?

Technically speaking women know nothing. They only feel and perceive. She probably felt that the stars would align and her man would break down and propose and then they'd live happily ever after. It happened in her favorite movie, bro. Come on.

That's actually a female sexual fantasy. Men dream that the pretty, virtuous girl who is being seduced by the resident alpha will one day be won by them, preferably after overthrowing the alpha. Think Shakespeare's Taming of the Shrew. A girl dreams that the emotionless man who swore he'd never marry despite having so many beautiful girls fawn over him will fall in love with her. Think Austen's Pride and Prejudice. It's no coincidence that Darcy the dark, brooding alpha is ridiculously rich and Marc seemed all the more enticing due to his falling into this archetype of female fantasy. Women love men like Marc, that's why she's talking to strangers on the internet about him.

From what I gather, 28 is the maximum age that rich, wealthy, or high-value men ever even consider for dating right?

Huge generalization, but yeah I see your point. However I'll say this: just because Marc appears to be an alpha doesn't mean he's red pill aware like you and me. One of my good friends is a Jedi master at game. He's wracked up over 350 notches and all of them are hot. You'd think hey he's red pill like you and me, except he's not. He wifed up two hoes and got divorced raped by both. Despite having impeccable game and alpha cred he married a third time and his marriage is becoming sexless and awful. He's alpha under Heartiste's definition, but he makes blue pill decisions like anyone else. Marc may have actually wanted to marry one day and break all these rules we have simply because he grew up that way like you and me did. Women have no idea how easy men are to please. I bet he would have wifed her up eventually if she would have tried to EARN his commitment. But if she's as pretty as we think she is then she's been handed almost everything in life and she's not used to working for

anything.

I think she fucked up by breaking up with him, but again the wall is far from her if she's a 9. Nine's depreciate much, much slower.

Soarinc • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 06:08 AM

Women have no idea how easy men are to please.

LOL @ this reminds me of my favorite comedy clip where the guy doing standup says something like:

\*Guys have 2 emotions, hungry and horny. If he doesn't have an erection, just make him a sandwich!

[deleted] • 28 points • 26 October, 2015 04:12 PM

That's the real takeaway here. She didn't believe him when he told her that. She thought she could and had changed him. That he wasn't serious when he said those things, even though he never gave any sign that that wasn't the case...

AWALT

Women don't invest time seriously like that without expecting a payoff no matter what is told them.

Remember that a woman will never believe a damn thing you say (right or wrong) and all judgement she makes about you come from shit testing.

[deleted] 26 October, 2015 04:11 PM

[permanently deleted]

MattyAnon • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 07:42 PM

We cannot blame her for feeling off about that, since her sexual strategy is going to be different from ours.

How she feels about it is not relevant given that *she fucking agreed to it*.

Men get upset when they don't get what they were promised. Women get upset when they get exactly what they were promised.

pilledwillingly • 29 points • 26 October, 2015 01:50 PM

She doesn't like him spending money on himself. She doesn't like him 'involving himself in her family's matters without consulting her'.

She wants the chequebook and all the thanks for writing out cheques.

[deleted] • 16 points • 26 October, 2015 04:05 PM

Sounds like maybe he was on the fence with her. I wouldn't kick 10-15 grand on a funeral for a father of a woman I didn't at least see *some* long term potential in.

Probably there were different perspectives on how long the ~~proving~~ dating period should last.

Govedo13 • 15 points • 26 October, 2015 05:52 PM

It really depends how much do you have. If you have net worth of several millions (as she estimates)



throwing away 15-20k for your plate would be the same as throwing away 200-300 bugs for normal people.

Not like it is nothing, it shows appreciation but not dedication, it is fine line but it is there. It is not like he bought her a car or vacation, it is really sad if the family is so poor that does not have enough money for decent funeral, I find his gesture quite classy.

[deleted] • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 05:59 PM

True, but affluent people are funny about giving away money like that.

Often there is a principle behind why they don't do it.

However, I can see helping a poor widow with a funeral qualifying as a worthy cause to be charitable for.

devilabit • 35 points • 26 October, 2015 01:38 PM

Wow..Dads Funeral costs and sick puppies cost...the guy sounds like he is really into her and wanted to be with her, what a stupid women

TRPMaidenSlayer • 53 points • 26 October, 2015 03:32 PM

When you have this kind of money, it's almost stupid *not* to take care of things like this.

What's stupid would be him wanting attention / credit - that would make him no better than any other validation-seeker out there.

I would absolutely love to meet this guy.

MaximusNeo701 • 11 points • 26 October, 2015 04:48 PM

Especially after telling her upfront he would give her time and not money; and then making sure whatever the issue was he took care of it financially. Sounds like he kinda liked her.

SofaCowboy • 9 points • 26 October, 2015 07:47 PM

The giving time instead of money is a major indicator of maturity. Think of it as donating \$100 versus spending your Saturday helping that charity. There will always be more money, but our time is limited and has special value to us. We'll never get our time back.

Imagine two hypothetical boyfriends, and let's say this woman is having a bad day. Boyfriend One is willing to cancel his plans and spend time with her, listening to her and offering advice. The other just throws her a credit card to go on a shopping spree so he still make his tee time. Who is showing a greater commitment to the relationship?

BadgerBurger • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 10:32 AM

So true. Imagine how much grieving she would have done if her pet died because she couldn't afford the surgery, and then she finds out that her mother can't even afford a proper funeral for her dad. She would have spent a lot more time grieving and would have been emotionally devastated.

So this guy saw real problems and cleared them up, but didn't want his charity to be worshipped. Class.

And she bitches because she couldn't get a vacation.

royal\_fucktard • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 06:54 PM



After he paid for all of those things she STILL hamstered about not getting enough! What did she ever provide for him that's worth that much?

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 05:14 PM

The question is, did she learn anything? Probably not.

FloatyFloat • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 05:49 PM

To be fair, she had no way of knowing. The guy wanted blind faith from her.

Jani1157 • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 09:25 PM

I agree she was lucky, but she didn't know he paid for those things. Where do we put that?

[deleted] • 76 points • 26 October, 2015 06:34 AM

So if you're an alpha, women become insecure and start longing for beta bucks, and if you're a beta, women become disgusted and unhaaappppyyy?

I'm starting to understand why many people on this sub prefer plates to LTRs.

[deleted] • 34 points • 26 October, 2015 10:27 AM

After a few LTRs and couple of plates you just can't deny the similarities you experience. LTRs are so fucking pointless if you don't plan to breed it's not even funny.

Overkillengine • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 10:26 PM

Yup, if it's going to end either way it may as well be on your terms, not hers.

TheRedPilsner • 252 points • 26 October, 2015 05:12 AM

This reminds me of that thread from TwoX a while back where a woman was dating a guy who appeared to be poor. He lived in a modest house, he drove an inexpensive car, worked as a web designer, etc. She decided to break up with him because she felt he had no ambition. A little while later she ran into a couple of his buddies, and learned that her ex-boyfriend was loaded all along due to inheriting considerable real-estate assets. The woman tried to contact her ex, but he refused to take her calls. So she posted her story to TwoX seeking advice, the whole time insisting "I'm not a gold digger, but why wouldn't he spend money on meeeeeeee?"

Just a word of warning, guys: if you make a lot of money and LTR a girl, sooner or later she's going to feel entitled to a portion of it. Never tell her exactly how much you make (and she *will* try to find out sooner or later), and don't flaunt your wealth in an attempt to impress women unless you're trying to become a beta bux.

[deleted] • 124 points • 26 October, 2015 06:07 AM

Flaunt your power, not your wealth if you're trying to attract women. If you want a good LTR don't flaunt either.

This man is a model for how you should act when you have lots of money. It's my money and I earned it. If I were to lose it all tomorrow it wouldn't affect you in the slightest. I'll put into the relationship what you put in, and where I choose to spend my money elsewhere is none of your concern.

Women like this make me happy I'm not going to be well off until I'm 32-34. As annoying as it is to explain that you have a roommate, attracting the wrong kind of woman for LTR or marriage is a far larger inconvenience.

mdadm • 47 points • 26 October, 2015 07:38 AM

Women like this make me happy I'm not going to be well off until I'm 32-34

I'm just unhappy at the prospect of having to work like a dog to get places where as women can get there with the warm hole between their legs.

If I was a woman I'd get fat, do cam shows an sell soiled underwear and probably make close to the same amount of money I do now with nearly zero effort or risk.

Alequo81 points 26 October, 2015 09:01 AM **[recovered]**

There's plenty of people, men and women, born rich who don't even need to sell soiled underwear. No ones playing the same game and its pointless to compare your starting point to others.

the-Real\_Slim-Shady • 9 points • 26 October, 2015 03:16 PM

it's only pointless if the comparison doesn't motivate you

Hotblack\_Desiato\_[] • 35 points • 26 October, 2015 03:06 PM

I'm just unhappy at the prospect of having to work like a dog to get places where as women can get there with the warm hole between their legs.

You've got a warm hole too, pal, two of them, in fact. There are plenty of people out there interested in that sort of thing, and if you *really* wanted to put your holes to work for you, you could. You sitting here and complaining just belies your lack of motivation.

square-one • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 09:04 AM

Why is getting fat part of it? That wouldn't help at all.

RedAccount1330 • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 11:25 AM

Some people fetishize fat women and as a niche there would be higher earning potential than being an average size.

square-one • 14 points • 26 October, 2015 12:25 PM

Would have assumed a traditionally hot camslut would do way better. Honestly when it comes to cam shows I think the highest earners are the ones who are best at engaging their audience and teasing for donations, regardless of their looks.

tremondo • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 11:43 AM

Aren't there enough fat women already for it to be niche???

RedAccount1330 • 17 points • 26 October, 2015 12:08 PM

Not all of them are willing to stick a subway in their snatch on camera.

TRPMaidenSlayer • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 03:37 PM

So here's the challenge though. If you make a lot more money than her, and you want to start doing some really cool things, she simply cannot afford much of it. Sure, make her buy a meal here and there, but it gets to the point where you're either going alone or you're subsidizing flights/hotels.

I'm slowly starting to get to this point (business is taking off and in a solid LTR), and not sure yet how to approach it. I got no problem spending "insignificant" amounts of money, but I sure has hell don't want to get turned into a doormat either.

I think there's a line / balance / rule you gotta follow. Haven't found it yet for these kinds of situations though.

[deleted] • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 04:07 PM

Keep things concrete. If you're spending money on her, it's because you want her to be there. It's your trip, and you're bringing her along. It's never "our trip." If you need to pay for a flight/hotel, fine, but ask her to chip in for smaller things like dinner, activities, etc... The trip should be as "painful" financially for her as it is for you. In other words, you should never feel more apprehensive about the amount of money you're spending on the trip as she feels about the amount of money *she's* spending, even if those are very different amounts.

For instance, let's say you have \$5000 each month in disposable income and she has \$500. She should be paying at least 1/10th of what you're paying, if not slightly more.

Kardlonoc • 37 points • 26 October, 2015 08:15 AM

I made the mistake of paying for dinner once on first date. On a later date when I asked to split the check, suddenly all the problems she had with me seem to come out.

If you want to have some shits and giggles try dating a woman without money or a career path in mind. You don't have to be unemployed but make it a job that doesn't have much of a future. Lasts about 1-3 dates tops when the investigation is done and she realizes there is no long term investment in you. Doesn't matter if you travel, your hobbies or interests its all about those dollars.

There are men out there that will practically date homeless girls if they are cute enough and want to marry strippers. That's the kind of world it is.

[deleted] 26 October, 2015 10:20 AM\*

[permanently deleted]

cariboo\_j • 13 points • 26 October, 2015 02:11 PM

Depends on the age group probably. Close-to or post wall women are gonna care more about \$\$

[deleted] 26 October, 2015 04:09 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

One\_friendship\_plz • 5 points • 27 October, 2015 04:31 AM

All women are looking to get fucked, the sooner people on here can realize that, the more success they will have.

The beta is just there as an accessory to their life.

drallcom38 points 26 October, 2015 09:19 AM\* [recovered]

Just split the bill on the first date (and do something cheap). If she's really after your money she will throw some red flags.

exit\_sandman • 30 points • 26 October, 2015 09:40 AM

I don't think a woman's expectation to be taken care of is a sign of bad character, it's rather pretty natural. However, two caveats.

1. If her main motivation in being with you are your, well, "taking care of"-qualities, you know what that

means.

2. If she insists on being super-independent yet still communicates that she expects to be taken care of, you have a typical modern woman on your hands who wants to have her cake and eat it at the same time.

Boovs4life • 18 points • 26 October, 2015 06:17 AM

What kind of job could make one a millionaire? The average careers like engineering, doctor etc don't make even half a million. So what kind of job can make a million bucks a year?

[deleted] • 39 points • 26 October, 2015 06:30 AM

Being a businessman (run your own company, etc) or top executive of a big company are the only jobs that come to mind where you can make over a million bucks a year.

the-Real\_Slim-Shady • 29 points • 26 October, 2015 03:23 PM

being an entrepreneur is also the only job where you can lose a million bucks a year.

Soarinc • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 10:34 PM

That's precisely why the net EV (expected value) is so high because the variance is unbearable to most people. Doctors might make \$200-\$300k per year but there is literally no "bad year" ever during their entire career where they only make \$50k or less

MonkeyDFreecs • 35 points • 26 October, 2015 06:39 AM

Well for starters you asked what kind of job can make you a millionaire, you implied servitude to someone else by asking about a job, you don't get rich serving someone unless you're an executive or other high ranking job position that has a lot of influence on the company like a lead designer.

Trucks\_N\_Chainsaws • 12 points • 26 October, 2015 01:20 PM

Nailed it. People go to state college to get a job working for a good company. People go to Ivy League schools to start, grow and manage good companies.

gamefuck • 30 points • 26 October, 2015 10:10 AM

You don't make a million dollars by selling your time (hourly wage jobs like the one's you're talking about. There are several ways to make big bucks.

Sell your talents. (Consultancy, Contract Work) Only recommended if you're extremely talented in your field, say you where top 5% computer programmer you could make more by doing several contracts a year then an hourly wage.

Sell a product and/or service. Again hard but products don't have a cap on how much you can sell (there's only so many hours in a week, but if you're product starts selling sky's the limit). Can be a plastic product, a book, an ointment, an app doesn't matter.

Sell other people's time. Basically running a business. You rent out your staff whether they be cleaners, caterers, construction workers, literally anything. (An example is my mom who's made ~5 million by charging business \$6/hr extra per employee) More people you get employed / get into jobs, more money you make.

LAND. The dream, the big money. Land is the most valuable asset on this planet because people will pay

you just to borrow it, obviously it requires huge initial investment though. Renting isn't actually that profitable, it's better for offsetting mortgage. Also you need to buy land in areas that are growing so requires some know-how of the market.

Yup, working for an hourly wage is for suckers. There's a lot more risk involved with these other methods though.

If you're just starting a career like most of us will be, I recommend working for someone else for a few years in the field you enjoy. After some experience you can then start your own business/launch a product in a similiar field.

Boovs4life • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 03:56 PM

Thanks so much for this. I'm only 18 about to start college and i wanted to major in finance. I figured it's a good major since it teaches about investing and stocks which can be beneficial later. I was thinking of working for someone for a little bit and have side projects for making extra bucks.

gamefuck • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 04:12 PM

Yes stocks is another one but i avoided on purpose. People who play the stockmarket work just as hard as people working jobs for the same money.

That being said you can make money many ways, i know i professional gambler whos made 3 mil positive

drallcom311 points 26 October, 2015 09:16 AM\* **[recovered]**

Mostly starting a company, then being lucky (e.g. Snapchat) or ruthless (e.g. Uber) or a better copy cat (e.g. all mobile games).

gamefuck • 17 points • 26 October, 2015 10:14 AM

3 guys at my university went from being usual broke uni students to making 365 million dollars in a short few months (they made fruit ninja)

drallcom3 • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 10:25 AM **[recovered]**

I know more than one guy who became millionaires by blatantly ripping off another game and then swimming in investor money. They all struggle to release their second hit, even after years.

gamefuck • 17 points • 26 October, 2015 11:19 AM

that's true, not sure you'd need another hit after 365 million though.

CHAD\_J\_THUNDERCOCK • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 02:43 PM

Good example of how the big rags-to-riches stories come from:

highly scalable businesses (e.g. apps). a restaurant is not scalable, for example.

where only few people own the product

and not much startup capital was needed

When these three requirements are met you also find yourself in an ultra competitive market, where 99.99% fail, and some reach blockbuster success.

Dillyhere • 10 points • 26 October, 2015 08:08 AM

Living modestly while earning 50-100k/ year will get you there before you're 50. Hell you can do it by 40 if you buckle down. Invest your money as wisely as possible taking advantage of 401k matching programs at work, setting up an IRA, etc and just save all the rest.

Boovs4life • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 03:49 PM

I read on financial samurai that 200k is the ideal salary before the government (at least in the U.S) starts "punishing" you so you would have to do stealth wealth.

TheRedPilsner • 15 points • 26 October, 2015 06:46 AM

Most millionaires in the United States are people who started up their own business and achieved success. Others are people who went to college and climbed the corporate ladder. A small percentage of millionaires (less than 10%) inherited their wealth, and a tiny portion (probably about 1%) are people like professional athletes, famous actors, and successful musicians.

chinawinsworlds • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 08:57 AM

And for those that inherited it, where did their forefathers get it? I imagine a lot of older millionaires got lucky and owned land or something.

Fuck\_shadow\_bans • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 02:11 PM

Actually, it's usually criminal actions or collusion with the government to give you an unearned advantage.

For example, the Joe Kennedy (father of JFK) made his initial fortune from made real estate deals and bootlegging illegal alcohol. He turned those into legitimate businesses over time, like importing Scottish whiskey, but he got is start by being ruthless and not caring if it was technically legal.

gamefuck • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 10:12 AM

The Richest family in America has had a petroleum engineering company for 100 years or something and completely dominate the American market.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 04:03 PM

Isn't it the Waltons, actually?

square-one • 8 points • 26 October, 2015 09:16 AM

Aiming to make a million bucks a year is highly ambitious and improbable. The secure and proven way to become a millionaire with a "regular" job is to save and spend wisely, and invest as much money into blue chips as you can afford to.

There are plenty of "How to get rich slow" videos on youtube but this is the one that I saw:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qgVg4hv10YM>

TLDR for the video: Based on the history of the stock market, if you can find \$1000 per month to invest in the S&P 500, you will have a million dollars in approximately 22 years.

That may sound impossible depending on your age and where you are in life but 12 grand invested per year is not unreasonable for a person with with a stable career making anything over 60k and has the willpower to live beneath their means. The gains are exponential so the sooner you are able to begin the better.

trpSenator • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 12:57 AM

Slow lane... I wouldn't recommend this to anyone. The value isn't worth it over time. We have a limited life and should be placing a lot of value on our most scarce resource, which is time. The slow lane method sacrifices leverage the value of your time, in exchange for money in your slow years.

trpSenator • 4 points • 27 October, 2015 12:55 AM

You'll be very unlikely to become a millionaire in a corporate structure where you make hourly/salary. If you want money, you either have to have a job that pays based off your value (sales) or you directly manage the job (entrepreneur).

If you want to be rich, you have to manage the company, because you can't scale out an office job and make double your income no matter how efficient it is. Instead, you're just part of the business owner's scaling.

And you'd be really surprised how not groundbreaking you have to be to be successful. We live in the digital age where you are no longer restricted to just a local market, but can access the entire world. The market is MASSIVE and is filled with potential customers across the board.

I have a client, who was doing a local business in a few medium sized cities, who came to me looking to ramp up the volume a bit. The business is just boring and not interesting at all, and frankly I think it's stupid. But once i started pulling the numbers I realized this fucker could make a killing if he was willing to open up to a national level. All it took was literally making some minor adjustments within the business, and literally within a few days, this guy went from struggling making less than 6 figures for his entire family, to now on pace to bringing in half a million a year in profit. And it's such a boring business...

But yeah, if you want money, you **MUST** be able to scale. If not, you'll be stuck at a salary wage, and be used as a tool for someone elses scaling.

Fafner2 • 10 points • 26 October, 2015 07:34 AM

The average careers like engineering, **doctor** etc d

Are you kidding with this? Depending on the type of physician you become, you can make *bank*.

I have a cousin pulling in over 300k a year, with very lax hours, so becoming a millionaire isn't too hard unless you're throwing your money away on stupid shit.

sweetleef • 36 points • 26 October, 2015 09:30 AM

Becoming a doctor is no easy task. Start out being born within the top 5% of intellectual potential, then outperform academically for 25 years straight, then slave through another 4-8 years of 36-hour shifts for a subsistence stipend, all the while surrounded by sickness and death and dealing with people and their families at the worst time of their lives. Then, if you can established in a large enough market to make money, you can start your 10 year plan of paying down \$400k in loans by fighting with medicare and insurance companies and malpractice lawsuits. Special bonus, by the time you actually get going, they've may have gone full obamacare and socialized it European-style - so you wind up a glorified government worker on a pay scale.

It's the most honorable and esteemed profession for good reason, but it's going to be miserable if your primary goal is money.

Fuck\_shadow\_bans • 13 points • 26 October, 2015 02:16 PM



Start out being born within the top 5% of intellectual potential,

Laughable. Have you met any doctors outside of a regular visit? Becoming a doctor requires 2 things: ambition and the ability to stay focused for 60-80/week of hard work and study. That's it. You don't need to have a great memory or be all that intelligent. You don't even need to perform all that well in undergraduate school as long as you do well enough to get into a medical school. There are legacy students in med school just like at Harvard and Yale.

It's the most honorable and esteemed profession for good reason,

Hardly. We give doctors very little respect in this country. Admiration and envy, maybe. But not respect. Most doctors HATE the current system and hate the fact that there is almost a presumption that doctors are out to screw them. It's unsurprising given how often medical bills bankrupt people, but that is the fault of insurance companies and HMO's, not doctors.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 04:58 PM

Also getting gorilla pimped by superiors

fadetoblack1004 • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 03:37 PM

Start out being born within the top 5% of intellectual potential,

As somebody who works for doctors... bullshit.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 12:42 PM\*

That was brutally well written and spot on. That post was a verbal equivalent of an MMA fighter demolishing the loudmouth douche at the bar.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 11:37 AM

If u live under your means, you can bank a lot of money.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 02:41 PM\*

He doesn't make a million a year. It sounds like he had invested most of his money after selling the business and lives off the proceeds. As a single guy though you don't even need a mil a year to do what this guy was doing. But if you want that, either start your own company and grow. And some doctors can make close to a half a mil a year. But those are usually specialist surgeons that took awhile to get there. If you have the grades and the lsat try going into law and somehow becoming a biglaw partner, but you will slave away for years for the chance for that to happen.

the-Real\_Slim-Shady • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 03:21 PM

doctor/engineer is pretty far from average when it comes to careers...

mister\_barfly75 • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 01:55 PM

I worked, briefly, for a company that helped people bury their money in offshore investments. My boss at the time had been in the game for 10 years and claimed to have £10 million in the bank.

shivalife • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 04:18 PM

Read a book called "the millionaire next door"



[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 03:35 PM

I was just talking about it last week. Netflix and potato soup.

Can't remember who, but the original post was deleted, so one of the guys in here threw up a copypasta.

[deleted] • 1 point • 27 October, 2015 01:14 AM

This is so true.

I let a girl move in once, and ask she had to do was part utilities only. She tried changing the desk the second she moved in with a

"But you make more money then me!"

setzer\_ • 133 points • 26 October, 2015 05:52 AM

Guy sounds like an absolute G.

Graduatethrowawah726 • 50 points • 26 October, 2015 08:01 AM

He does. What an inspiration

[deleted] • 51 points • 26 October, 2015 03:54 PM\*

Reading through the comments I'm seeing many TRPers getting a little indignant at the fact that women tie *romance* and *finance* together. You shouldn't be angry or frustrated at such a thing - AWALT. Don't get mad at the rain. Be happy it greens up the grass.

See Briffault's Law --->

Even though dude was top shelf alpha, the perceived benefit never materialized, so the association ended.

The woman basically delivered the message "Hey... Um... I need you to break me off a little something-something commiserate with your net worth in order for me to feel valued in this relationship."

Dude was basically like "Yeah that's never going to happen so we need to end it."

In her mind the hamster was spinning up "His fucking sister is going to Japan? WTF? I'm the one sucking the dick and giving up the pussy. Where's my fucking trip to Japan?"

Immediately followed by the "I'm not a gold-digger, but..." disclaimer.

Allow me to translate "I'm not a gold-digger" from hamster-ese:

*I still expect you to spend the cash, because if you don't spend it then I'm not fully convinced you really care about me. But don't worry, I'm a good girl and it will work out for you.*

Well at least until the benefit ceases and/or a Bigger, Better Branch swings along, leading her to change her mind - which we all know is a woman's prerogative.

I don't think its too outlandish for a woman to judge a man's interest by his *material* investment into a relationship. AWALT.

If your goal is to remain single and you know you have zero designs on marriage and family, then the policies deployed by "Mark" in this story are a proper blueprint for success. Any woman you get into an LTR with might accept low material investment on the front end, but they all expect higher material payout on the backend - AWALT. Even though they might agree to an initial framework that provides limited material benefit, odds are the acceptance of that dynamic will not last all that long. So by sticking to the initial agreement, the issue of "where the relationship is going" eventually takes care of itself (not going anywhere) and you remain a bachelor as is your desire, moving on to the next chapter in your tome of male-female relationships.

So, it's an accurate assessment on the woman's part that if you are setting hard financial/material boundaries -

and sticking to them - then advancement of the relationship into cohabitation/engagement/marriage territory is highly unlikely. Briffault's law kicks in, and they depart to seek out a more *serious, mature* relationship.

Adversely, if a man was seeking a more *serious* relationship, and could easily afford such things, then he would be more apt to pick up the check at dinner and/or take his lady on vacation with him. For the man planning on lifelong commitment, (healthy) providing is part of the deal. Of course it goes without saying that any man venturing into this territory would be wise to make sure he vetted his lady thoroughly beforehand, be extremely affirmed that marriage, children and family were his goal, and that this decision was a wise one to make in the first place.

Anyone who has read my posts and comments before probably knows already that I am not hard line anti-marriage, as I believe this is the best environment for a child to be in - raising them with mom and dad together married and committed to one another. For men that want to experience fatherhood and family, its the obvious choice. I do stress that men don't just haphazardly wander into marriage. When they consider it - they do so with a comprehensive understanding of the sacrifices, responsibilities and (most of all) the risks involved. Its the one decision in your life that can tear you asunder if you fuck up and make wrongly. But I digress...

Getting back to OP's related story, this woman isn't behaving abnormally by increasing her expectations. Think about it, if you were a woman and some very handsome, very smart and very successful man entered your life, you'd probably want to lock him down - especially if the wall was looming. You'd be on your best behavior, you'd be submissive, you'd give up the good-good sexually, and you'd show him your domestic talents in hopes that he would recognize that he's got something that's capable of making him happy for a very long time.

When they figure out there's no eventual payoff, they become disenchanted and start radar-seeking the next branch (or just break it off so they can search unencumbered) thinking that they just invested all this time and effort for nothing. For a woman, this is pretty logical strategy. Its good to know and understand so you can better manage your own decisions, but serves no purpose hating them for it, IMO.

Part of self-actualization is knowing what you want out of life, and then acting rationally in accordance with what your goals are. The being Red Pilled part is not allowing oneself to be fooled by false narratives which lead to irrational decisions, self-defeating behavior and unwanted consequences.

rpscrote • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 06:29 PM

Truly enlightening insight.

I made this realization personally (though without the clarity you have) at the end of my first post-RP relationship. It was 4yr long LTR and I discovered RP probably a year before it ended. She broke it off and for a while I was typical BP pissed, blaming it all on her. But I realized, I had been refusing to commit to marriage (even pre TRP I knew it was a shit deal). I looked through her perspective and found it was literally the only thing she could do: the wall was approaching, her LTR was not going to commit to marriage and kids like she wanted. The only option was move on.

This made me realize that the hate was totally unfounded and I would have done the same on her side.

Of course I reacted the wrong way, thinking this meant I could be friends or whatever else with her since I now empathized with her perspective. Bad idea. Further RP experience made me just cut her out altogether and never speak with her again.

The entire process was highly illuminating. Hopefully your comment can shortcut a guy from having to go through it the hard way. Very important to be extremely up front about your desires like you say so that you can end relationships that must end quickly and with a minimum of fuss and pain. For new guys that means you need to become rock-solid 100% bulletproof sure of what you want. Surety = Confidence = Frame.

[deleted] • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 07:43 PM\*

| Surety = Confidence = Frame

Like any salesman, knowledge of product is key. Also think of it this way. Your life is a container of deeds, efforts and accomplishments. If your container is empty or filled with shit history, then that's what you will project outward in your dealings with people - women especially.

Although there are some people very skilled are fronting out a "together" confident persona despite numerous personal failings, no person can sustain that lie indefinitely. Eventually the substance has to support the shine and intelligent adult people in the know will figure out you are full of shit if you can't back up what you project.

If the sum of who you are is built on authentic effort, achievement and success then that absolutely helps you project the necessary desirable level of confidence and (most importantly) fortifies your resolve in decision making. Maslow said that rationally met needs leads to clarity of thought.

When you behave in a rational way, basing your decisions on rational interpretations of the world around you, insightful self knowledge and the confident resolve to carry out correct choices in the face of unhealthy pressures - you'll find that the number of conflicts around you will lessen *and* you will be more successful in your pursuits.

Game is so much more than having charisma from a workout physique and a cocksure attitude. Those things are merely qualifiers, the beginning of the journey. Its about intelligently operating your life on a consistent basis.

Its just not rational to keep an LTR relationship going when you and the girl have different visions for the future. If marriage and family is not the goal for you, but it is for her, then its time to pursue new challenges and change up your personal routine. If you don't then you are going to turn what's going on into something toxic and drama filled.

If you are managing your life in a skilled way, then sex and female companionship will not be an issue. That will be the easy part compared to everything else. If she leaves, you let her go. Maybe that's your cue to take a trip or do something adventurous to reboot. You might be a little sad to see her leave, but also perhaps eager to see what new ladies you might meet and what new relationships you might consider.

It reminds me of a joke one of my buddies always lay out there...

What's better than pussy?

New pussy.

If this is who you are you have to own it honestly and enjoy living it.

Soarinc • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 11:19 PM

Exactly -- it's beautiful how you are able to see through this chick's statements with a massive lens of truth!



stufak • 141 points • 26 October, 2015 07:44 AM\*

From the update post:

He answered that he's not forcing me to stay with him and if I thought that the relationship has no future he won't hold me back for my own good. I got emotional and nearly cried, telling him I need a break and he acts so immature sometimes and doesn't consider my feelings at all.

This the scalpel that cuts to the heart of the modern hamster. I had to read this paragraph a number of times to

make any sense of it. It is a literal contradiction, the death rattle of a failed confrontation where emotion meets frame. This is the moment she realizes that she fucked up - a knee jerk response to the welling of dread she undoubtedly felt as it become clear she would never be able to get what she wanted from him.

After his statement:

He answered that he's not forcing me to stay with him and if I thought that the relationship has no future he won't hold me back for my own good.

The hamster kicks into its highest gear. Time and space warp around the frenzied creature, the past is rewritten, responsibility abandoned and emotions projected. He has drawn the line, and where the field has been opened to a moment of true insight, the hamster speaks:

I got emotional and nearly cried

An attempt to generate pity through victimization. The hamster sets the stage.

Then:

I need a break

The ultimatum is delivered. The hamster escalates the situation by rote.

The hamster spins even faster:

he acts so immature sometimes

The hamster has disconnected its host from her memories. Every statement in her post has described Marc to be a reliable, independent, and above all mature human being. The hamster, having no experiences from which to draw a true argument, generates a justification. It now seeks to preempt its own culpability for the results of its own ultimatum.

The hamster nears light speed:

[he] doesn't consider my feelings at all.

A masterwork of emotional projection. The hamster has no ability to view a relationship outside its emotional frame. His argument is fully considerate of her feelings but is based on logic and assumes that, like his own, her fulfillment is derived from her own success as a human being. Telling her he would never stand in the way of her path to fulfillment is the most considerate thing a sigma personality could ever say to another person. The reality is that she is unable to see their relationship from his perspective; the very definition of inconsiderate. Unwilling to cede its own wrongdoing, the hamster projects the woman's own formless emotions onto Marc.

But in doing so, the hamster has inadvertently revealed its host's true nature. In projection we see truth. It is her who has been inconsiderate. She has not once shown any willingness to understand his way of thinking. Her ignorance of what makes her now ex-boyfriend the man he is shows us which of them is inconsiderate.

I almost feel bad for her.

Almost

\*edited for structure and flow

clawjelly • 44 points • 26 October, 2015 09:56 AM

Very well written!

He even breaks his own rules and spends money on her (cat) and yet "he acts so immature sometimes". ... I loled.

Also in the update she calls him spending money on the cat and the burial "interfering with family matters"...  
What a bastard!

Hamster is a master projector.

Krackor • 22 points • 26 October, 2015 01:29 PM

No, his rule is not "don't spend money on her". It's "don't give her the impression that I spend money on her".

MaximusNeo701 • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 04:54 PM

Don't get in her in the mindset that he will be covering their fun expenses; but a well off person who doesn't want to see someone close to them suffer.

vengefully\_yours • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 04:29 PM

I don't feel bad for then, not even the slightest. Why? Because that's simply how they are. It's hard wired into their brains, they're not like us. I might feel a slight bit of bad for a man who fucks up (big part of why I post here rather than doing my own thing) because nobody gives a man anything, he must earn everything he gets (usually) and we have empathy for men because we've failed and done stupid shit in our lives that mirrors his actions or is exactly the same thing.

I don't hold women to the same standards I hold men to, it's futile and disappointing to do so. Thus I don't feel sorry for them following their nature.

let\_terror\_reign • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 04:59 PM

the death rattle of a failed confrontation where emotion meets frame. This is the moment she realizes that she fucked up - a knee jerk response to the welling of dread

Dude, you're a talented writer. Fuck. Can you teach me anything? The words you use, the style, you've really got something there.

Soarinc • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 10:53 PM

I was a shitty writer until I was 22 years old and began helping my little brother study for ACT grammar section. You can buy a 2004 ACT prep book from amazon for like \$3 and just read the purpose and rules regarding hyphens and semi-colons and you'll suddenly start constructing sentences accurately and with additional complexity which gives you more tools to construct powerful, impactful messages and meaning in your words.

Then I became (with practice -- and when i want to apply the effort) as good of writer as anyone i've ever known.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 03:20 PM

The hamster nears light speed

Dude, I almost died there. thanks for the daily laughs.

CornyHoosier • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 07:14 PM

He answered that he's not forcing me to stay with him and if I thought that the relationship has no future he won't hold me back for my own good. I got emotional and nearly cried, telling him I need a break and he acts so immature sometimes and doesn't consider my feelings at all.

These two sentences are so contradictory it hurts.

Iwanttoliveinspace[ ] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 08:06 PM

Holy shit that was amazing.

I think I love you bro

prodigy2throw • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 09:03 PM

Lmao what a controlling piece of shit.

[deleted] • 46 points • 26 October, 2015 04:33 AM

Damn I think some of the advice in relationships is actually decent.

Whad'ya know, a broken clock is right twice a day.

You know you dun goofed when your Mum says you goofed.

EvrythingISayIsRight • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 07:19 PM\*

Damn I think some of the advice in relationships is actually decent.

Like what? Not a single bit of the high-rated comments suggested anything she could do differently or gave her anything to reflect on. Nope, its all the guys fault and the relationship just wasn't meant to happen, and shes better off without him.

Getting good advice is often the opposite of being told what you want to hear.

[deleted] • 1 point • 24 December, 2015 05:39 PM

You know you dun goofed when your Mum says you goofed.

Applicable for most areas of life, at least for women

penworth • 148 points • 26 October, 2015 06:15 AM\*

I read the update: shit's disgusting. The guy did this bitch a solid and helped out her mom by paying for her cat's surgery and even paying for the dad's funeral expenses, "but why doesn't he do any thing for meeeeeeee" The solipsism is transparent.

I'M NOT A GOLD DIGGER GUIIIIIIISE

Fafner2 • 55 points • 26 October, 2015 07:36 AM

It's really scary that women like her exist everywhere, just silently waiting to see what they can take from you. They won't earn themselves-- they'll just use their pussy as a way to manipulate and eventually steal from you.

Seems like screening for LTRs is an absolute must, and this means screening them well

throwaway-aa2 • 41 points • 26 October, 2015 12:11 PM

literally fucking EVERYWHERE. That's why it's so fucking important to cultivate a selfish attitude. One of the comments above said it best: you give a women a cm and they want a mile... it's NEVER ENOUGH. I gave my ex literally every single ounce of my time I had left over. Imagine my surprise when she complained about this, and imagine my surprise her lack of logic when I explained that I give her more time than anyone else in my life.

So to me, this guy is a genius. Holding frame like that makes sure that the women in his life (even this one) stay in check at least for the time that they're around, and when they step outside the box, she gets cut from the team sports style. There's literally never a reason to sacrifice yourself and who you are if you have any sort of decent SMV. There's always more fish in the sea. Good shit all around.

uxl • 14 points • 26 October, 2015 01:03 PM

What's scarier is that RP is frowned upon and men are being victimized and brainwashed by an insane society.

Overkillengine • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 10:29 PM

Vetting is forever.

Men get comfortable and forget, then get reminded in a very painful way why it is.

MonkeyDFreecs • 19 points • 26 October, 2015 07:00 AM

I like how humble he was about it he did it anonymously and she only found out through her mother figuring it out and gossip.

Soarinc • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 11:03 PM

The best part was when her whore mother lied to the daughter initially and said that Marc dealt with the mother which was a LIE that the mother eventually admitted to the daughter.

Marc never involved the mother he dealt with the vet and mortician personally himself without any family finagling.

Simon\_the\_Neckbeard • 32 points • 26 October, 2015 02:34 PM **[recovered]**

"I'm not a gold digger, I just really really REALLY deserve that dream vacation to Asia!"

The entitlement of American women never ceases to amaze me. Foreign women come to America and are fucking *blown away* by the most basic shit. Then you have American women getting pissed off because they aren't given \$10,000 vacations.

Never get married. And if you do, under no circumstances should you marry an American woman.

Obi\_Wan\_can\_blow\_me • 14 points • 26 October, 2015 03:19 PM

im pretty sure this chick isnt from america, from the use of the word flat and the fact that english is not her first language. As the saying goes AWALT

[deleted] • 3 points • 27 October, 2015 02:48 AM

AWALT, remember. Just because she is from a foriegn country **does not** mean she's a unicorn.

Borsao66 • 49 points • 26 October, 2015 04:18 AM

She needs to be quarantined asap. Craytonium Alpha Widow alert!

Uptonogood • 35 points • 26 October, 2015 10:46 AM

Can you imagine how miserable her "plan B" guy will be?

[deleted] • 14 points • 26 October, 2015 12:10 PM

He'll have to be at least an alpha millionaire and even then she won't be satisfied.



Borsao66 • 12 points • 26 October, 2015 02:19 PM

Weapons grade crazy: Craytonium

And I'd bet good money he smashed that shit in the bedroom. She'll never be happy in any relationship ever again.

Soarinc • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 11:10 PM

Not only that can you imagine how sick her "plan B" guy will get sick and tired of how hearing how great Marc was that he paid for her father's funeral or her cat's emergency surgery!?

This Alpha Widow is going to melt down entire neighborhoods, lay waste to armies and burn cities to the ground!

VasilyZaitzev • 23 points • 26 October, 2015 05:09 AM

No woman is entitled to a relationship on her terms. She is free to leave at any time. In this case, he laid out the terms of their relationship, and she can either fuck or walk.

She hampsters away....but she stays.

jb\_trp • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 06:30 PM

Why are so many women always so damn unhappy? Like, they have this platonic ideal in their head and when life isn't *exactly* like that they go apeshit. And once they get everything they want they find something else to be unhappy about.

There was a post a few months ago (Maybe it was on MensRights?) about a woman who had a wonderful husband, but she was always so negative about things not being perfect. Eventually she gained a level of self-awareness (after listening to her girl friends always criticize their husbands) and realized she was an idiot and should get over herself.

[deleted] • 41 points • 26 October, 2015 05:57 AM

Look at how much the mom likes him and just spills the beans on him being the benefactor behind the nice deeds. It really all boils down to his money. She hates that she has no way to force him to spend it on her and now because of her immaturity she lost him. She is a definite alpha widow after this. She will find a beta, have kids, maybe a divorce, but no amount of other men will keep her from thinking of "what could have been." That's why women have depression, they always have regret from living in a fantasy. I really hope for an update 3, but I doubt it since he is done with her. Guy sounds like a really smart man. Especially in a country like the UK where marriage is so dangerous.

TheSchnozzberry • 36 points • 26 October, 2015 06:49 AM

Not just smart, but also incredibly kind. He paid for her father's funeral when they had only been dating a few months and he had only met once or twice and saved her cat by paying for an expensive surgery. AND he did it all anonymously (just beyond selfless) expecting nothing in return but for a loyal woman who respected his boundaries. Dude is like a golden standard for others to aspire to.

AmlanceJockey • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 06:05 PM

She really fucked up. Most women never even meet an aloha bux in their lifetime.

battyryder • 54 points • 26 October, 2015 05:10 AM

ahahahaha, lols, so funny, these bitches are becoming more and more entitled. they always fucking want from



you but rarely reciprocate. i can't remember the last time a female other than my gran offered me dinner or even bought me a gift, never mind a trip abroad.

KartagoPill • 18 points • 26 October, 2015 08:01 AM

You prick! She is strong independant woman. All gifts are mandatory. Cuckold also.

Soarinc • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 11:10 PM

Yes she's also very stunning and brave!

TheRedPilsner • 53 points • 26 October, 2015 05:32 AM

Yes, we all know women need a large circle of „friends“ to gossip and for external validation. Suddenly it's „weird“ when someone likes to have quality over quantity when it comes to relationships.

I've always loved the saying "When it comes to friends, it's better to have four quarters than a hundred pennies."

grewapair • 17 points • 26 October, 2015 04:08 PM

I need a break = I need you to stick around while I see if I can do better.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 05:52 PM

Also dread. Women use it to break you down. I put up with it until my son was 4 and I was sure I could get shared custody. She threatened divorce again and I agreed. She cried and cried

Vaecor • 15 points • 26 October, 2015 10:09 AM

[–]PlayerVII 8 points 13 hours ago\* It's simple. He doesn't need you. If you leave him hell find someone else. You can play by his rules or you can leave his is what we call a man and this is the reality more and more of you women will deal with because men are taking back their balls. He won't change you won't convince him to change you will push him away and he'll just dump you and find another women if you decide you have problems with how your relationship He won't get married ever because it will risk his wealth if divorce happens. Marriage gives zero benefits too males. He doesn't have to make decisions with you in mind because his choices is all that matter. Why do you feel entitled to have dinner bought for you? Or for him to give you some trip to japan that he can afford but you can't. You are entitled to nothing. This is what you women want right? Equal treatment? Huzza Your choice is simple if you care about him be faithful be with him if you don't break up and move on. [permalink](#)

This is a great response, it actually got upvotes.

MonkeyDFreecs • 78 points • 26 October, 2015 05:02 AM

I find Marc very easy to relate to with the exception of the wealthy part (but I hope to be able to relate to him in that soon).

Why do some women seem to get stupid when they get hold of a rich man especially when they make it clear on what the relationship should be. Marc is the millionaire here, you should be doing everything you can to please him and make him want you as a significant part of his life. He can dump you in an instant and get some new pussy within minutes of dumping you. I guess when they let them in they think they're very important to them thus she can make him beta bux.

She's also probably upset she doesn't get to live the celebrity life since he doesn't care for gossip, pop culture and having an entourage.

grubek • 65 points • 26 October, 2015 05:41 AM

Why do some women seem to get stupid when they get hold of a rich man especially when they make it clear on what the relationship should be. Marc is the millionaire here, you should be doing everything you can to please him and make him want you as a significant part of his life.

Because when a man says I will never do X, a woman hears: you'll have to play the long con. And, tbf, most of the time they are right. So when they don't get their way after all the time invested they get sad.

throwaway-aa2 • 13 points • 26 October, 2015 12:07 PM

lol YUP. Wow I relate the fuck out of that. You give them the terms, they go OK. But once you give them good enough feelz, their emotions take them hostage and OK now becomes "well he has to feel the same as me now" or "he'll come around" or something like that.

[deleted] • 24 points • 26 October, 2015 12:13 PM

He can dump you in an instant and get some new pussy within minutes of dumping you.

Some women honestly believe that they're special and that no other woman in the world could replace her.

EvrythingISayIsRight • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 07:08 PM

Shes 26 too. Shes a depreciating asset.

Soarinc • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 11:25 PM

Yeah and they began dating when she's 24. Marc got literally 20% of the best years of her life and she was brought 2 years closer to the wall and got to ride some cock carousel (although she probably mostly did more sucking than riding)

balalasaurus • 3 points • 27 October, 2015 03:36 AM

Some? Years of "you go guurlll" feminism has brainwashed western women into believing that they're irreplaceable.

verify\_account • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 04:03 PM

He can dump you in an instant and get some new pussy within minutes of dumping you.

Of all the great things Marc did, I don't think he had enough dread. Yeah we assume she knew he could pick up different hotter chicks, but without the dread it wasn't clear. She didn't fear losing him.

Fuck\_shadow\_bans • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 02:24 PM

Because she wasn't getting any of the benefits of dating a rich guy. He wasn't buying her expensive Japan vacations, etc. She says she's not a gold digger, which may even be true in the sense she isn't actively trying to find a rich man to bankroll her. This is more akin to placing a bowl of candy in a see-through vault and making a kid stare at it every day. They can't help themselves from the temptation.

UmarAlKhattab • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 06:26 AM

and get some new pussy within minutes of dumping you.

It seems he is following certain code, which we will NEVER EVER understand but we got a hint of it, he doesn't want no wife, no kids, just an exclusive woman and if that woman fails he will get a new one.

square-one • 25 points • 26 October, 2015 09:19 AM

What do you mean it's a code we will never understand? He's doing exactly what TRP recommends.

UmarAlKhattab • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 07:46 PM

There is a recommendation for having an "exclusive"

Soarinc • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 11:32 PM

What? I'm super confused now -- I thought TRP advocates the guy can do what he wants as long as HE decides what he wants. If it's 10 plates good for him if it's 1 plate that's his prerogative.

[deleted] • 80 points • 26 October, 2015 07:15 AM

This guy is a Sigma.

Studying the behavior of Sigmas can end up being fruitless. To be a Sigma you need some sort of outlier factor. You need to be in some way uniquely important outside of social contexts, because the effect only really works if she thinks she can't possibly replace you. Achieving this is much harder than achieving "alpha" status, which is entirely social and essentially independent of your professional success.

If you're a loner type who lives in a typical studio apartment working as a cubicle drone, then women have another word for guys like you: loser. If you're a loner type who does something exceptional that garners respect and attention regardless of your social success, and you hold frame/act alpha when needed, then you are a Sigma. Famous Sigma examples are James Bond or Steve Jobs.

The Sigmas I encounter most often are surgeons. I was baffled at how girls in my med school class would fawn over surgical residents that were objectively not very "alpha" at all. They're often skinny because their job leaves no time for working out. They don't have time for a thriving social life, but in social situations they can take charge if needed (because that's what they do in the remainder of their life in the OR). Most importantly, they do something reserved for a very select group of individuals. They essentially emit the *ability* to be alpha, but the *inclination* to pursue something more worthy.

Be careful trying to emulate a Sigma. You need to be busy, successful, and mysterious enough to break out of the realm of "loser" territory. It's not enough for her to "not understand" you. She has to *want* to understand you. She has to think that she hasn't met anyone like you before.

Also, while Sigmas may draw women, if women are your end goal then being "alpha" is a far more worthwhile endeavor. You will draw women more quickly and easily. You will derive more satisfaction from your social life (rather than your professional life). Finally, you will be able to give more to her emotionally without breaking frame. The last point is the most important. A Sigma only avoids being BetaBux through distance and mystery. Once a woman is let inside of his world, the fantasy surrounding it crashes down. This is a big part of why I see so many surgeons getting divorced. They try to be both Sigma and married. They either let their wives in on all their feelings and lose the mystery (and her respect), or they neglect the relationship despite demanding loyalty from their spouse, which leads to resentment.

MeltzerDriver • 27 points • 26 October, 2015 09:30 AM

This is what I try to tell MGTOW'ers. Nobody cares if you take your ball and go home if you aren't high value. Women won't care if a bunch of poor, average, weak men leave the sexual market and avoid marriage. They'll care when rich, successful, strong men avoid marriage.

supertramp80 • 38 points • 26 October, 2015 12:27 PM

I don't think mgtow's CARE if noone cares, they just live their lives.

[deleted] • 19 points • 26 October, 2015 12:51 PM

It's winning in their own terms. Can't fault Em for being happy

[deleted] • 13 points • 26 October, 2015 03:36 PM

If you're an MGTOW guy and you're doing it for the benefit of women, you really messed up somewhere in that thought process. MGTOW guys aren't trying to make a difference, they're just focusing on different things.

cariboo\_j • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 05:39 PM\*

The point of MGTOW is to gtfo, not make women miss them.

Well some early MGTOWs were talking about a marriage strike but that's since been abandoned in favor of dropping out of the whole system.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 04:44 PM

Exactly. People mistake one for the other pretty easily because both can feel good by not giving a fuck about what others think. A guy who plays world of Warcraft all day can have this believe, and sure they can even be happy, but if we are talking relationship marketplace then he is near the bottom

The difference is as you said, an X factor. It can be a number of things but usually something that is socially acceptable and interesting, OR something that generates money and attention even if it would be stigmatizing to others

Example: know a guy who is a pro chess player. Top ranked and definitely not a looker but not a complete social retard. However, he also uses his money to own a penthouse in Vegas, knows bar/club/casino staff firsthand from chess events, and always is hanging out with beautiful people. This despite focusing most of his awake life on a board game and not giving a shit about anything else. That is sigma.

I'm all for doing what you want, but in dating dynamics I'll always be brutally honest

GuruDev1000 • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 08:58 AM\*

So the perfection fictional example would be Howard Roark?

Uptonogood • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 10:44 AM

I want to blow up a building and get away with it too!

SirAlphaFuxalot • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 11:28 AM

Except he was married to the worst kind of woman.

Edit: Shit, wrong novel. I'm thinking of Rearden. Yeah, Roark was definitely Sigma by this definition.

pepsivanilla931 points 26 October, 2015 06:29 PM **[recovered]**

Good write up. This thread is the first time I've heard of Sigma and it's definitely my natural inclination. Thanks for not just glamourising it, but highlighting it's weak points too.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 07:07 PM

I think of alpha, beta, and sigma as traits, rather than as types of people. It's easy to just call someone a [blank], where [blank] is whichever trait they exude the most. Being an archetype of any of those traits, including alpha, is going to have serious weak points.

Be careful calling yourself a sigma. People look at sigma and think, "Oh that's me. I keep to myself and I

don't open up my feelings," yeah... that's half of the men in America. "I'm absorbed in my work," okay... and does your work garner you extraordinary respect and success? I'm not talking, "I have a masters and make \$150k in IT or engineering." I'm talking outlier success or "interesting" job allure. If you have a "typical" job (you sit at a desk), you need to be making millions, outlier success. Any interesting job has to be something with an aura of mystery around it. Jobs include surgeon, international aid worker (a.k.a. perennial 3rd world traveler), (successful) artist, (successful) writer, detective, etc... Without the job or outlier success (as well as a *very* solid frame), trying to be an archetypal sigma is only going to paint you as a loner loser.

I think a better approach for most guys is to have a few sigma qualities in a majority alpha frame. You're a typically outgoing/fun guy whose exterior is a little bit tough to crack. That's about as far as I think anyone who cares about women should take the sigma mindset.

[deleted] • 63 points • 26 October, 2015 04:39 AM

He sounds more like a sigma.. Aren't they more the loner type?

TheRedPilsner • 117 points • 26 October, 2015 05:29 AM

I thought the same thing. Marc sounds like a textbook example of a sigma male, which could basically be described as a "lone wolf alpha" or "dominant and rebellious introvert." The sigma male doesn't play social games, but his sheer "I don't give a fuck" attitude means that he usually ends up winning them anyway. The sigma male is the type of guy who can go to a bar alone, and a few hours later he'll be leaving with the hottest girl there.

Ironically, traditional alphas tend to hate sigma males because sigma males are completely unimpressed by the alpha's social dominance.

Overkillengine • 76 points • 26 October, 2015 05:49 AM

That, and it illustrates just how hierarchy dependent many alphas actually are.

Tends to sting the ego a bit.

wakethfkupneo • 36 points • 26 October, 2015 09:55 AM

Absolutely. I've heard arguments before that only Sigmas are true alphas in the alphaest way - (social) 'Alphas' **need** betas to be what they are, Sigmas do not. Remove betas from 'Alpha' and he's left naked, at best he can adapt to sigma ways. Sigma OTOH has no weak spot. But then again, it's double edged sword since we humans are deeply programmed to be social creatures and for a good reason.

TheRedPilsner • 38 points • 26 October, 2015 11:40 AM

Yep. Traditional alphas are leaders, their dominant and outgoing personality traits means that they need "followers" to achieve their full potential. These followers can be a family, an entourage of beta friends, subordinates at work, voters, or lower-ranking military personnel.

The sigma is the lone wolf. He does not want to lead others, and he does not want to be led by others. He wants to live life on his own terms and pursue his passions with as few distractions as possible. This doesn't mean he doesn't enjoy human interaction; he has a small circle of friends and family members that he's very close to rather than having dozens of acquaintances. When it comes to relationships with women, his fiercely independent nature means that he prefers casual relationships over serious ones (he chafes at commitment). The women he dates often find him to be mysterious and inscrutable.

One interesting thing about sigmas is that, even though they don't seek power over others, when called to serve in leadership positions they tend to do a very good job. I've seen the Roman general Cincinnatus as well as George Washington both described as sigma males. As well as Steve Jobs if we're talking leaders of industry.

EvanDeadlySins • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 03:48 PM

So why aren't more red pillers seeking this ideal? What are the drawbacks of it that aren't apparent by your description?

vengefully\_yours • 24 points • 26 October, 2015 04:43 PM

Because it's based on your nature, who you are at your core. Some require validation, admiration, and followers to feel accomplished. Some of us simply do not.

I seriously doubt you'd want to live as I do. I require very little social input from others, that's why I live 20 miles from town, alone with my cars and dogs, and have built a life no sensible girl would want to be a part of. I enjoy living this life, the solitude, the proximity to nature, the freedom to do as I please, and not having a bunch of people in my life is perfectly fine by me. I cut people out of my life effortlessly, and once cut, they may never return. They mean nothing to me and I have only three friends who have withstood the last 25 to 35 years. They don't even live close to me. One is in Korea, the others are a thousand miles away.

Could you live that way? Could you stay busy enough to concentrate on your goals? Or do you need to have others around you often? I love way of here to avoid people, because most of them annoy me.

[deleted] • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 05:53 PM

Pretty much how I've always lived. I find most people boring. I'll get invited out, go, get bored and go back home.

I have a girl or two I "date", and it drives them nuts that their games don't work on me. I've actually been over at a girls place, got up, said I'm going home, and walked out the door.

vengefully\_yours • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 10:45 PM

As have I. Has gotten me laid a few times, but mostly I have better shit to do than sit around listening to small minded talk.

EvanDeadlySins • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 05:41 PM

A sigma would most likely have very little issue living a life like yours from a social perspective, though your lifestyle is not necessarily symbolic of a sigma's ideal. I probably wouldn't want to live such a physically secluded lifestyle for logistical reasons, though it has its own appeal.

vengefully\_yours • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 10:44 PM

Quite true, it's not ideal, but it fits who I am and my interests. Those are firearms, muscle cars, building shit, being outdoors, Siberian Huskies, making my own fuel, growing my food, and not being in debt up to my ears.

I lived in a city for quite a few years, it felt constraining. I couldn't have my car



projects in town, even if they never left the shop, constant harassment and theft. Sure it's close to things, but I enjoy driving my old cars, and I have enough to fill my own parking lot.

Can't shoot my guns in town, my dogs are too confined, and the space is very limited for building anything.

If you're not a dog having, gun shooting, muscle car freak, or some kind of outdoors hippy lumberjack, it's not for you.

kitedancing • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 08:01 PM [recovered]

Do you think someone who lives as you've described can only make a relationship work if their partner is identical (financially independent, has their own goals, enjoys solitude, cares not a whit for what society thinks)? Or do you really believe there does not exist one sensible girl, as you put it, with whom you could make such a partnership work?

In my view the main obstacle to such a lifestyle is the girl's need to compare and one-up her friends/peers, but if people who disdain that entire clown show exist, as we do, isn't it possible there could be a female equivalent?

This whole sigma discussion brings Howard Roark to mind. Notwithstanding the fact that real humans don't actually function like that, even such an extreme character derived utility from having a like-minded partner.

vengefully\_yours • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 10:37 PM

I'm not looking for a partner. I've been married twice, the second was very close to ideal for me, but she went stupid before I was finished being an indentured servant to the first one. Why am I not looking for one? Because what I want in a girl doesn't exist. What I want in plates, fuck buddies, and one night stands is far less important and far more common. I chose very well with the second one, made her prone herself for 8 years, we didn't make it to ten. Only 16 months of marriage.

I live the way I do because I've realized just how much having a girl living with me constrains me, retards my advancement, and holds me back from achieving my goals. They're a hindrance at best, an expensive waste of time, money, and resources at worst.

Find a loyal attractive girl who is adamant about muscle cars, having no kids, and living off grid as I am, that actually wants to fuck me, and I'll give it a shot. The thing is a girl like that doesn't exist. Therefore I fuck them for a while and replace them with another. None get to live with me, and I won't mind dying alone in the woods, because I'll be dead and it won't matter.

Soarinc • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 11:49 PM

There was a thread here on TRP about farming the other day -- are you a gardener?

vengefully\_yours • 4 points • 27 October, 2015 12:00 AM

No, I just like home grown food and fuel. I'm a war veteran that builds crazy fast old cars to stay busy.

NotUpToAnythingGood • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 03:23 PM

Damn... That description of a Sigma describes me almost to a T. I hate social posturing. I don't mind being led so long as leadership is achieving my goals. It also keeps me from needing to step up and lead.

It's eerie just how accurate that description is...

Soarinc • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 11:51 PM

As someone said earlier... millions of guys probably identify as sigmas but they are actually not. This isn't like the DSM checkbook where you meet a list of criteria then you suddenly get a label conferred upon your head.

occupythekitchen • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 04:43 PM

My whole first year back to school has been that way, people notice come and talk to me shake my hands and yet IDK half of their names. Invite me to their social groups on whatsapp and just keeps adding on but truth is I dont really care for them. None of them have showed me anything I want be it from intelligence or similar interests other than a handful. I did make an alpha friend but his mistake was trying to control me and try to get physical with me, when he grabbed my shirt by the neck collar I just starred at him in the eyes and he let go a month later he's apologizing and trying to buy me drinks

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 12:47 PM

I just equate it to the King and the general I'm dt.

The King is a natural, so incapable of self reflection. The general knows what's up, reflects, and get his hands dirty when he has to

sodainthewatercup6 • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 04:14 PM

Alphas are capable of so much more since they drive a team. This is why we see so few Sigma males in this world. You're not normally going to depend on a Sigma to perform a task or make an appearance more than an Alpha because of the huge difference in credibility.

In my opinion, the rarity is the only appealing factor to a sociopathic Sigma male. There is a reason you can spot an Alpha long before you can spot a Sigma. More people can respect and trust an Alpha, and that credibility alone makes a difference in the world.

[deleted] • 14 points • 26 October, 2015 07:13 AM

I feel like everyone on this sub is either a sigma or a sigma in training by that definition.

TyPerfect • 21 points • 26 October, 2015 09:06 AM

It's the best way to be Alpha. You literally are a self contained man. No social pressure can get to you.

ShounenEgo • 39 points • 26 October, 2015 09:52 AM\*

My theory is that you become a sigma only if you come from a former beta position.

Natural alphas have learned to enjoy the social validation for their abilities since they were kids. On the other hand, a beta may not have been actually a social butterfly, which in turn led him to be more independent on what others think of his hobbies and likes (stuff like programming,



anime, video games that you can do giving zero fucks on whether other people endorse them or not).

The difference then comes from the realization of how the world works and the decision to do what it takes in order to get what you want. Want boosted self-esteem and contact with your masculine nature? Lift. Want to fuck girls? Learn game. Want money? Get a job. But they never, ever do it for social acceptance, they do it for themselves.

From that perspective, they only play the social game for themselves, and they call the people who have some form of social contract "acquaintances" as the example in OP says, because they know how things work - they (sigmas) will utilize them the same way they (contacts) gain something in return.

Another poster said that sigmas can also be people with alpha behavior who can't get the full package for one way or another - chronic illness that keeps them from building a body as good as they would like, for example.

But the bottom line is: I don't even care if I'm called sigma, alpha or whatever. TRP taught me stuff about how the world works and I'm using this knowledge to decide a more appropriate path towards my goals in all aspects of my life (sexual, career, financial etc). That's all there is to it, for me.

Monsterpiece42 • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 03:30 PM

Well one point doesn't define the line but I'm very much sigma now, coming from the bluest beta status. Your description was surprisingly accurate, even down to the sickness that prevents getting into the shape I want.

For what it's worth.

ShounenEgo • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 03:48 PM

And for what it's worth I'm not going to stop working out and try to gain weight (my issue is mainly gaining and maintaining weight).

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 09:52 PM

The similarities between what we call an alpha and a sigma is they are both validated internally. When it comes to social situations the alpha has much more power, as the betas of the pack follows his lead. A sigma do not have followers, but does not follow either. Which is why there can be a clinch between the alpha and sigma.

The alpha is used to socially beating people into submission, but all the normal tricks suddenly no longer work, and the alpha finds himself in unknown territory. His pack is there looking at him - and they expect him to be the man of the hour. If his internal validation breaks, he might become desperate and try to freeze out the sigma.

As mentioned earlier in this thread; the line between loser and leader when looking at a sigma is thin and fleeting. It's all based on everyone else's opinion, because the sigma is just doing his own isolated thing. If that thing is cool to you; you'll like him - but if it's silly to you; he is a loser.

vengefully\_yours • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 05:00 PM

Not only from beta, because alpha traits are personality more than appearance. Just because you're tall doesn't make you alpha, even if it does make you more attractive to girls.

Alpha personality types who are born in shitty environments can result in Sigma. You can be a natural leader, but if you're short, have a shit diet due to factors outside your control, nobody will want to follow you until you're much older. Growing up that way teaches you self reliance and you learn to do shit yourself, because nobody will bother to help you.

A beta growing up the same way will become a loser, a drone following along, and that's due to not having the drive to be more than you're allowed to be by those around you in your youth. Not enough ambition to drag yourself out of the cesspool, so they float and drift around. They're ok with mediocrity, it's comfortable to them.

The alpha mindset breaks free of encumbrances, demolishes obstacles or finds ways through, over, or under them. Doing it alone means you fail often and it seems slower than the rest, but it makes you far stronger, faster, and more resilient. The beta and omega accept what they have and settle for what they can get.

Severe adversity can create a Sigma early in life.

Like you I don't see myself in those terms, but that's the one that fits me most accurately.

clawjelly • 11 points • 26 October, 2015 09:51 AM

Not really. You need something in your life that consumes your attention so thoroughly, that you see women more as a distraction to your passion. Sigmas don't give a crap about anything beside, especially about womens emotions. Their passion prohibits to care about the whole alpha-beta-drama. Being on a Reddit-board about women is already the anti-thesis to being a sigma.

[deleted] 26 October, 2015 09:58 AM

[permanently deleted]

clawjelly • 11 points • 26 October, 2015 10:05 AM

I guess... Then again being a selfmade millionaire would probably help...

Paid\_Internet\_Troll • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 05:48 PM

Once you've crossed the river, you leave the boat behind.

wehadtosaydickety • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 02:50 PM

I identify with a lot of sigma traits but was raised mostly by men and romantic comedies, so my approach to women left me BB usually. That's when I tried which I mostly didn't.

there is some practical how to stuff in TRP that anyone could benefit from.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 02:06 PM

Exactly. I'm lolling at everyone here trying to latch onto the sigma status. By calling yourself a sigma, by definition, means you're not. You're just a rehab beta like everyone else.

yaardi • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 04:57 PM

By calling yourself a sigma, by definition, means you're not.

Not necessarily. But yeah, I suppose a lot of the self-procalimed sigmas are failed almost- alphas, they want to be the alpha leaders and validated by a big social circle, but for one reason or another haven't achieved that (yet?) so they claim the sigma title.

Iwanttoliveinspace[ ] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 08:21 PM

Sounds like the concept of "The Game" that people think is still a thing.

I think that someone can say that they identify with the Sigma status, simply because they are identifying it for themselves.

To claim something for others' approval for the claim, is something that (according to the differences between Sigma and Alpha) an Alpha would do.

*As in, they could try to claim alphahood and hope betas re-enforce their alpha claim*

I work in IT and see that shot all the time. Some beta dude asserts that he is the leader or alpha of "his group", sometimes the others in the group (usually only 3-5 guys) will re-enforce that choice for any number of weird reasons (he's the best wizard in WoW, was one I heard once...

*I work near dorks )*

But, claiming alphahood is a false thing, it needs to be *given* from betas in order to be real.

There is no such approval from betas for a Sigma. So to claim it is fine.

Of course, the man himself is the one that needs to be true to himself. And if he is a beta telling himself he's actually a Sigma, well.... He knows the truth.

But, if the Sigma lives true to his claim, then he is the only one that needs assert it, and re-enforce the claim.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 12:49 PM

Has to. Alphas are natural. They don't reflect, because it's just reality.

Sigma is a choice

redadactyl • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 01:23 PM

I think you have it backwards. Individuals are either Sigmas or not, actions Exist on the alpha-Beta spectrum. A Loner Alpha is the Sigma male. The loner Beta ends up as the lowest of the low Omega Male.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 01:37 PM

I think you're missing what I'm saying.

By definition, there are no alphas in here. Alphas are natural, they don't think through their actions, it's just what they've always known and expect.

a sigma is built, alphas are born.

As for being omega, that would depend on the individual here. Theres no chads on TRP

redadactyl • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 02:31 PM\*

Your response indicates to me that we have a fundamental disagreement on what each of these terms mean.

Alpha is teachable, Sigma is not teachable. Actions can exist on the Alpha-Beta spectrum. Actions are observable, repeatable and explainable. TRP exists and helps on the Alpha-Beta spectrum. We also tend to describe people who do one type of action over the other as Alpha or Beta, which to stress, are not intrinsic qualities of a person.

Sigma does not describe actions, it describes people. Single learnable traits are not inherently Sigma or not sigma, it is not learned. A common theme with the sigma is

having an overarching greater purpose that takes precedence over almost everything, especially social order. They want it so bad they don't have a choice. You can't just decide one day to feel that way. Sigmas with alpha tendencies and high drive are the Elon Musks of the world. Sigmas with beta tendencies and low drive are what we now call Omegas, men with low value to almost everyone.

you cannot learn to be sigma dude. That is not a teachable skillset. They are either brilliant loners from birth who do great things or alphas that get bored doing the dance and withdraw from the social order. Neither of which are teachable aspects.

square-one • 12 points • 26 October, 2015 09:25 AM

sigma male described as a dominant and rebellious introvert

To be successful like this you have to be able to switch on "extrovert mode" at will. You can act stoic and unimpressed by 'traditional alphas' at a bar but that won't get you anywhere unless you can speak up and shut them down when you need to. 'Traditional introverts' can't do that.

Planner\_Hammish • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 06:51 PM

I think Jack Stall (the son) in A History of Violence would demonstrate these qualities.

planchy18 points 26 October, 2015 06:20 AM [recovered]

Isn't that the best way anyway? Nobody keeping you down, you get to spend time on things that actually matter and you don't have to rely on situational confidence. All that remains is profound self love. Works especially well if you come from omega/beta status and your social circle consists of mostly insecure people.

bluedrygrass • 8 points • 26 October, 2015 10:35 AM

Yes and no. It's a lonely life.

vengefully\_yours • 8 points • 26 October, 2015 04:45 PM

It's only lonely if you're poor company.

[deleted] • 17 points • 26 October, 2015 10:12 AM

the best way

There are no universal truths.

It's either fits your style or don't. It fits mine. I can't be bothered with the life of the club high energy extroverted alpha male thing. Same way that extroverted guy couldn't do the low energy lone wolf pull.

[deleted] • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 12:48 PM

Don't forget, betas aren't always unhappy either. Deltas, sure.

Betas actually enjoy setting themselves on fire to keep you warm. Those 40% of marriages that so work? A lot of happy beta men in there

ben0wn4g3 • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 08:21 AM

Wow I actually think this might be me.. I never heard of this before though.

TRPMaidenSlayer • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 03:47 PM\*

Ironically, traditional alphas tend to hate sigma males because sigma males are completely unimpressed by the alpha's social dominance.

I disagree with this part. I mostly take the role of sigma both in life and in business, and alphas absolutely love me because we can "partner" on certain things, then we both go away and not bother each other when the project is over.

There is a mutual respect there because we can both hurt each other in seriously different ways. But the smart alphas in my business have be-friended me in a "consulting/contractor" kind of sense, and it's been well worth it. They know that I won't fuck their shit up now, yet can hurt their competitors, and come and go as I please.

Similarly, in personal life, the alphas I like most can lean on me when they want, I can lean on them when I need it, but it's extremely clear that I'm not one of their beta orbiters and will most often dependably leave the situation better than you found it.

This is why I love a smart, natural alpha. I will probably never be one, but they're definitely fun to go out and blow shit up with when it's shit-kickin time.

Finally, the smart alphas would also realize that I'm not gonna "steal" any of their dumbass women, because that type of woman isn't attracted to me and I'm not attracted to them. I'd bring my own (typically smarter and more well-rounded) lady around if need be.

I don't see why this kind of relationship can't work out very well.

dickinlipss • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 12:47 AM

I have never heard of this but it describes me perfectly. I have always clashed with alphas in a way that would be impossible if I were beta and I am also perfectly comfortable being alone in social situations with success.

Is this a new definition?

occupythekitchen • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 03:56 PM

Hmmm I feel like Im a sigma. Interesting new concept

[deleted] • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 05:37 AM

I think qualifying alpha behaviors in terms of lower precedence is wrong. The definition of an alpha is someone who prioritizes his own well-being and personal growth over others, unshakably without give like rock. Now, undeniably, humans are social creatures and these behaviors are more demonstrative as shown in a group setting. However, it's probably true that the demonstrative aspect isn't the stem that creates the alpha, rather the result. This is more methodologically true, because it dispels the myth of the singular alpha, and displays that the more accurate alpha descriptor is more a personal brand than some kind of one end path or goal. Not everyone is built the same way, some innately thrive more socially, but the burden of proof doesn't lie on the alpha to showcase himself and lead the pack. He only needs to lead himself in such a fashion that his reality and surroundings bend to his will and vision.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 05:36 AM

It could be categorized as that. More going your own way, but when you get down to the categorizing it's kind of pointless. To be considered alpha just be a man and every man has their own style and philosophy on life.

[deleted] • 57 points • 26 October, 2015 06:21 AM

Eh, I'm going to advocate for the Devil on this one. If you've been dating a woman exclusively for two years, as Mark has, because according to the OP, he believes in monogamy, and you are wealthy, as Mark is, you have to consider the effect on the woman's self-esteem of you not paying for anything, when traditionally, men pick up the tab on things like dinners for their girlfriends.

Now, it's one thing if you barely know the girl and you're trying to qualify her as having genuine interest or being in it for a free meal, but it's another if you've been exclusively dating for two years and you never treat her AND exclude her on a lot of activities, you may just be stingy.

Now, nobody is entitled to anything, but Marc did tell this woman that he wanted to be exclusive with her, and that he felt a special connection with her, so they are boyfriend and girlfriend, and traditionally, people treat each other.

Also, she has a point regarding wealth disparity. If you date someone with more money than you, and they have champagne tastes, good luck keeping up with them and not going broke.

"Oh honey, we're going to the fancy four star restaurant tonight! All the other beta bux men will be paying for their gold-digging whore girlfriends, but YOU will be expected to fork over \$500, which is akin to half a month's rent for you, but only a pittance for me! And don't forget to give me gas money for the stretch hummer, and naturally you'll have to tip my valet and butler, since they don't work for free, and it's only fair because the butler did open the door for you!"

There are a lot of people I avoid hanging out with because they like to spend exorbitant amounts of money on things I don't value. Conversely, there have been relationships that extinguished for want of funds.

If you're a wealthy guy, you're going to have to face up to the fact that wealth will ALWAYS be a factor in why women choose to be with you. That's part of the reason you built or preserved the wealth in the first place: to make yourself more marketable in the sexual marketplace. In any case, it is one of the main reasons for generating wealth: as a means of securing the present and creating the future, which also means future generations, aka children.

You can try and hide or downplay your wealth, but that makes you less attractive and competitive, especially if you are older. Rail against it all you want, but it's true. You can downplay it initially for qualification purposes, but as soon as you let a woman into your life, she's going to see that you have nicer things than the average man. That being said, you don't have to let yourself get exploited. If you're strong enough to build wealth, you're also strong enough to put your foot down and say no to unnecessary extravagance.

But I digress. Back to my original point. If you are the wealthier party in a relationship and you're not willing to make token purchases to bolster your partner's esteem, maybe you are just cheap and insensitive. Sure, it was nice that the guy paid for the cat surgery and the funeral, but the woman didn't learn about it until it was too late because he kept it hidden, so she had gradually come to believe that the guy just looked at her as a cheap whore.

raceAround126 • 24 points • 26 October, 2015 08:01 AM

I have to agree with this post. OK, she did come off a little entitled but after two years... yeah.... there's a little bit of qualification to her entitlement. I mean two years on and not even a weekend away somewhere? That's a little on the mean side...

Put it another way. I make money, I'm not short of a few quid. I relate to a lot of this Marc guy, I have acquaintances and not friends. Mainly as I got burned hard in the past but I have maybe one or two people I would call actual friends who have still fought in my corner despite the world being in the other.

I have plates, FWBs, whatever you call it. I make a point of buying nothing for anyone, split bills, etc. That's a pretty hard and fast rule. Except for one case.



One of those plates is a 20 year old university student. And yeah sure there is an uneven amount of expenditure but then she has been a loyal FWB for over a year now. In fact she knows I see other girls but she (apparently) won't see other guys. I dunno, I guess she knows men can be the jealous type and I think we both know that if she wasn't away during term time we would have given the LTR thing a go. The difference with her is she doesn't act like a bitch and every time she comes to stay brings a rather impressive swag bag of obscure black metal.

It's not like I'm putting her through university, she doesn't have my credit card and I'm not buying her a car or any of that shit. But I know she's broke as fuck paying out on uni fees and stupid amounts on rent. So I really don't mind stumping up for the odd gig ticket or when she comes down on a weekend to have whatever she wants from my fridge as I know she pretty much lives on beans on toast. This is a conversation we have had before, there is an income disparity but we're cool with it. This Marc guy sounds like he just needed to do more on the comfort zone stuff.

The trick is there is a certain amount of give and take. OK, this Marc dude paying for this girl's father's funeral was generous but a bit meaningless if the girl didn't know at all. And that is one part of the story I have trouble with. The post says that Marc pays for the funeral and swears the girl's Mother to absolute secrecy. Yeah, bullshit. Women talk - especially Mother and Daughter. I would have given it a week before that special Mother/Daughter bond would have taken hold and the conversation would have been like "Hold on to him, honey! He is fucking loaded. You best be giving that boy anal!" In what world does a Mother keep some rich boy's secret over the well-being of her daughter? None, that's what. Unless the Mom was after some herself.

We all talk about comfort zones but equal splits. There is an art to finding that balance.

let\_terror\_reign • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 05:13 PM

I really don't mind stumping up for the odd gig ticket or when she comes down on a weekend to have whatever she wants from my fridge as I know she pretty much lives on beans on toast

Interesting, how do you deal with other plates? Friends? etc. in such a context?

raceAround126 • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 11:59 PM

Most other plates earn their own money. Some plates even buy me shit sometimes. One even uses her Beta boyfriends credit card on me.

My sister is a single parent, I occasionally help out. My cousin got divorced and he still won't take a dime in charity. Some of my friends who earn sod all I occasionally buy a beer for.

My student FWB does a fair bit in return. She will happily do housework, cook something awesome and even spent way too much on a birthday gift for me. I did actually feel bad but she wanted to do it.

TheSchnozzberry • 31 points • 26 October, 2015 07:04 AM

Ok I'll play Angel's Advocate. No where in the post does it talk about his champagne tastes when it came to dates. She even talks about how no one would know he has money by his demeanor or dress (unless you know about obscure fashion designer clothes and can spot them without looking at the label).

I'm going to go out on a limb and say the times they went out to eat he took her somewhere nice but not fancy. I'm going to go out on another limb and say that he probably got her really nice gifts for holidays and birthdays.

She also stated he doesn't care what other people think of him and that he made his money early in life selling his business. His reasons for that probably weren't along the lines of 'with this cash I will slay pussy'



and more along the lines of 'now I have the disposable income to live my life exactly how I want to.'

When looking for a partner he probably mistakenly assumed that this girl was different. That she echoed some part of him and she would get him. He probably (wrongfully) assumed that because she agreed with his stance on the relationship she was an independent person with an ego so sensitive that her self esteem would crash because he didn't spend his money on her. He probably assumed she regulated her self esteem that same way he did.

He treated her like a true feminist would want to be treated-utterly equal, without compromise.

[deleted] • 9 points • 26 October, 2015 07:36 AM

Eh. I think the guy knew EXACTLY what he was doing. He knows wealth attracts women, and he knows he leads a lifestyle that involves conspicuous displays of wealth, which will attract women, and then he enters into a monogamous relationship with this woman where she constantly gets to see the trappings of his wealth, but not partake in it, and he never sufficiently signaled that he had emotionally invested in her, and then he ended the relationship abruptly on a technicality, which bolsters that conclusion. I mean, he could have said, "Okay, maybe I've been a bit stingy with you," and then continued the relationship for a bit under a heightened scrutiny to see how she responded to having more things paid for before ending it. Instead, he just ends it. Clearly she mean SO much to him.

I'm not saying that this woman was 100% in the right, or that the guy was 100% in the wrong. His behavior is completely valid; he did warn her from the beginning about his nature and his expectations, at least verbally. However, when you start having sex with someone, it sends contradictory messages. So, he behaved validly, but not necessarily humanely.

dogextraordinaire • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 10:27 AM\*

I have to ask: Would you honestly have spent more of your hard-earned money on someone who had just given you the impression that all they had been doing was waiting for you to start indulging them?

She started her tug at his heartstrings with how she feels he 'neglects her' (and I'd put money on the fact she mentioned money in there somewhere) and through doing so her inarticulacy framed the interaction in a negative way. She made it painfully clear she was talking about money and because we live in a social climate in which men get screwed over regularly by gold-diggers he obviously surmised it just wasn't worth the risk. He treated her as an equal in the relationship - as someone said above, like a feminist would want - and she proved that instead of being an equal partner to him she wanted something else. In the end he knew what she wanted, so can you blame him for cutting her off quickly?

Edit - to make it read better.

other\_worlds • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 05:36 PM\*

If he made as much money as she did, working for a living, then she likely would have still been fine with splitting checks for dates.

Because he is wealthy, she broke up with him for not spending his wealth on her.

She said she's not a "gold digger", and she wasn't asking for cars and a house, but her mentality had shifted so she felt he's being unfair to her. In her mind, he needs to sacrifice something to show she's important to him.

Ultimately this attitude is likely what he sees from women over and over again, which makes what he said when they first started dating all the more logical.

[deleted] 26 October, 2015 11:16 AM\*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 02:43 PM

Dude, come on. How many times have you encountered the scenario where one party to a relationship tries to limit the relationship as friends with benefits, but it doesn't work because the sex causes the other party to assimilate it as an actual relationship experience that override the words used. Usually high value men do this to lower value women. (Friend-zoned plate)

Conversely, you can go the other way and look at relationships where there is no sex and words are used to placate the party that wants the sex into thinking that there is emotional intimacy that will eventually result in sex because time is being exchanged. Usually high value women do this to lower value men. (Friend-zone beta bux)

This is why these relationships have a limited time horizon, because eventually the party that is being "conned" with an implicit promise of more in the future wakes up to the harsh reality that there will be never that future scenario; the other party has been leading them on the whole time. Have you never led anyone on or been led on yourself? This guy was playing her like a violin. Good for him.

Just because a man doesn't perceive this because he's not a woman doesn't mean it's not taking place in the woman's mind. Much in the same manner that the slightest apparently kind gesture from a hot woman raises hopes in the lowliest man, so does a sexual act raise the hopes in a woman that she'll eventually get something more out of it from the man, even if she herself isn't that aware of it because of cultural conditioning, it's always there.

Adults are aware of these dynamics, or should be aware. Also, I never said that the guy is going to hell for trampling on her feelings; I merely stated that his approach was technically valid, because it was honest, but at the same time, it disregarded her feels as well as what was in her long term interest. She struck me as quite dumb and easy to exploit, which I'm sure factored into his calculus.

Fuck\_shadow\_bans • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 02:38 PM

A.) OP said that he DIDN'T have a conspicuous lifestyle. In fact, the opposite. She only knows what she knows because she got to see the inner workings. Someone else who met him on the street would have no idea.

B.) There's no guarantee that he was, in fact, in a monogamous relationship. SHE was, but he might not have been. Dude like this is probably on point with his "keep plates in the dark about each other" game.

C.) Ending a relationship with someone who turns out to not be who they advertised has nothing to do with how emotionally attached he was. He very well could have felt sad about it. We only have one version of the experience filter through the HIGHLY UNRELIABLE filter of a woman's perception.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 03:03 PM

A) He had nice furniture and nice personal effects, he traveled frequently by himself and went on adventures. This makes him rich. Any woman that enters into his sphere is bound to discover this. It's not like he went to great lengths to disguise his wealth. He could have set up a small, sparsely furnished apartment for that, which some wealthy men actually do for trysts, and he could have

claimed that he was a contractor who went away for long jags to work on business. But that would have made him less attractive and opened up the door to possible infidelity while he was away. Instead, he displays his wealth to her AND . . . .

B) tells her he wants a monogamous relationship and views people who are polyamorous as depraved and degenerate; she finds no evidence that he ever cheated on her. Check the original post, it's all in there. If he had plates, then he was lying to her the whole time. It sounds to me like he just wanted a reliably exclusive lay and companion some of the time while leading an independent lifestyle with no strings attached. He managed to get away with it for two years.

C) The vast majority of women have similar needs and wants, whether they realize it or not. If you sleep with a woman exclusively for two years, even if you keep trying to limit it with verbal disclaimers, she's still going to think of you as her boyfriend and want more, assuming she sticks around. This woman could have just moved on and found someone else. She should have done that. This guy should have known she'd get attached. His abrupt ending of the relationship suggests she was always disposable to him, and he never formed any kind of emotional attachment.

Fuck\_shadow\_bans • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 02:32 PM

true feminist

Nope. A true feminist does not desire to be equal. A true feminist desires to be better than. To be better than men. To be better than all the attractive women who get a "free ride". To be better than all the DGAF women who make amazing discoveries or are successful "in a man's world" or make the world a better place.

Now if you mean the AVERAGE feminist, sure. But then again, the average feminist is a woman who has been duped into believing in a philosophy that is counter to her own best interests, very much like poor Republicans in the South.

exit\_sandman • 17 points • 26 October, 2015 10:00 AM\*

I concur.

I mean, we're debating in a fucking sub that constantly brings up the fact that true happiness for a woman is only possible if she has a superior male in her life who finances her so she can stay at home and raise kids and that deep-down women just love to be taken care of, yet we hold it against them when that actually happens?

The problem isn't women wanting tingles from guys or wanting to be taken care of, the problem is when they compartmentalize the two and look for them in separate persons.

[deleted] • 10 points • 26 October, 2015 12:29 PM

To be fair, he said very early on in the relationship that he didn't want kids, didn't want a marriage, would remain independent and would keep their bank accounts separate. She proceeded with the relationship anyway despite clearly wanting a lot more from him than that. She's not blameless here.

exit\_sandman • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 04:17 PM

I'm not saying she's blameless, but she isn't a second rate-human being for wanting more. A woman who gets fuckzoned by a player is at fault for her situation as well, and yet it's entirely within her rights that she wants more than to be a side piece for him (as it's within his to deny her that).

StephMVPSplashBish • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 06:54 AM

I agree with you big time.. also seems like this Mark is aloof and cold. The girl could have articulated it better but I don't get the red pill outrage that this chick wants to feel appreciated and involved

DevilishRogue • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 09:36 AM

I suspect that she very much was appreciated and involved but as we're only hearing one side of the story and she doesn't want to paint herself as the gold-digger she is she glossed over those parts.

CastratedBetaOrbiter • 9 points • 26 October, 2015 12:02 PM

If he wasn't rich, the kind of guy she described would be deemed "creep loner" by society.

[deleted] 26 October, 2015 07:38 AM

[permanently deleted]

ChadThundercockII • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 09:10 PM

The Gambler will change your life.

Magnum256 • 9 points • 26 October, 2015 03:16 PM

Haha she made an "Update #2" <https://archive.is/odNHc>

The boyfriend dumped her ass and now she's calling him toxic and getting back together with her highschool sweetheart "Jeff" lol.

I'm just realizing how toxic my relationship with Marc was and how I neglected my old friends because of my emotional struggle; and before anybody says it: No, Jeff is not Marc's replacement, we are just catching up as we both have been super busy the past year; add to the fact that he thought that Marc's attitude didn't fit me and my ideals of a relationship from the start, I think we have a lot to talk about and work out our past issues with each other. I have lost my boyfriend but I won't lose Jeff and Sabrina as a result of all this drama. Wish me strength reddit, I'll definitely need it.

strategos\_autokrator • 8 points • 26 October, 2015 08:35 PM

It is so obvious she mentions jeff because she knows Marc is reading and she wants him to feel jealous.

Chael\_P\_Sonnen • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 09:50 PM

Her utter transparency is hilarious. Yeah, I'm sure Jeff is going to be reeeel jealous of her beta orbiter rebound.

penworth • 1 point • 27 October, 2015 01:03 AM

Jeff is not Marc's replacement.

I believe her. This poor fool is probably an orbiter. See:

add to the fact that he thought that Marc's attitude didn't fit me and my ideals of a relationship from the start, I think we have a lot to talk about and work out our past issues with each other

AND earlier in the thread

my friend Jeff whom I've known since high school who has been out of the picture for a while.

I'm going to take a wild stab in the dark and say that he's stuck in the friendzone. Waiting for the opportunity

to strike. But he's just going to be an emotional tampon after she "has a good cry."

DIDNT\_READ\_YOUR\_SHIT10 points • 26 October, 2015 03:42 PM\* [recovered]

Some good comments in that post actually. This guy is amazing.

He sounds like a pretty cool dude. I totally would want to meet him and hang out some time. You keep saying you aren't a gold digger, but clearly you equate a man spending money on you with your self-worth. Forty years ago this would have been common and even acceptable, however, today in our culture of "equality" women have no right to expect men to buy them a GD thing. Now you should expect to pay your way. Of course, you want him to buy you stuff (but you aren't a gold digger). That says that deep down you feel like he's less than you are. That **he should be paying you for the privilege of being in your life. And that my dear makes you a gold digger.**

"and that, my dear makes you a gold digger"

☐ Not rekt

☐ Rekt

let\_terror\_reign • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 04:34 PM

That guy is a redpill lol. /u/JeanValjean1970 I've seen here several times

ReasonFreak • 10 points • 26 October, 2015 05:39 PM

Wealthy, aloof, disappears for a month at a time, it sounds like she was dating Batman.

DancingC0w • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 05:54 PM

Came here to say this, got beaten to it lol

Mattpilf • 26 points • 26 October, 2015 06:28 AM\*

Nothing wrong with Marc as a person, but it's clear she'd never be family to him. He didn't want companionship for life. She'll never be on that same level. It's not just that he doesn't want a gold digger, he doesn't want to deal a marriage. He doesn't want an equal, he doesn't want someone who's gonna take a bullet for him. He really just wants FWB, maybe some minor intimacy. Honestly there's a high chance he'd end a relationship in 10-20 years because of boredom or loss of looks.

She's right that he doesn't care enough about her, in the sense that she wants something he'll never give. She wants a partner who treats her as equal and as one unit, she wants marriage level commitment, but that's not what he wants, and he's not budging.

The problem is less of "she's a gold digger." and more "she's dense and doesn't understand ' *he does NOT want to have any children EVER, does NOT want to get married EVER and he plans to stay independent with separate flats/bank accounts etc.* ' means". He doesn't want a wife, he doesn't want a life partner, he doesn't want a 'better half' and he made that clear. She's just too self centered to realize they want two different things, and he's made that abundantly fucking clear.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 07:52 AM

She's not a gold-digger, what she is is out of her depth. Her only way to parse this situation was her hamster, and it wasn't enough.

exit\_sandman • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 09:53 AM

This. Even *if* we believe that story (I don't), it was clear that she wanted on some level "to be taken care of"

and he doesn't want to take care of people (unless they're family).

Ironically, a guy like Marc would be an ideal match for a childfree & commitment-averse career woman who makes so much money that she can afford to live with him on his terms and probably won't have a problem with it. Alas, that sort of woman is a unicorn, or at least as rare as one.

Fuck\_shadow\_bans • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 02:28 PM

He didn't want companionship for life.

I doubt that. OP just wasn't on the same level. Marc is the type of guy who ends up in a "power couple". AKA his wife long term partner will need to be similarly successful and independent.

johnnight • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 11:59 PM

He needs a heiress, who has her own money to spend on stuff.

he does NOT want to have any children EVER, does NOT want to get married EVER and he plans to stay independent with separate flats/bank accounts etc.' means". He doesn't want a wife, he doesn't want a life partner, he doesn't want a 'better half' and he made that clear.

No, that is a rich man's reverse shit test, an anti gold-digger test. Only the woman who agrees to these demands will get the marriage and children.

He paid for her cat, which shows that he cares that she is happy. He will spend money on her, if he thinks this is a necessity (like the funeral).

Rougepellet • 8 points • 26 October, 2015 07:16 AM

These women are fucking idiots. When they come across a great catch like this guy, they let their hamster, hypergamy, etc fuck it up for them. She doesn't realize what a catch she had, especially with her time running out. She isn't worthy of him, hope the wall hits hard and fast. It will be a good spectacle.

Soarinc • 1 point • 27 October, 2015 12:09 AM

But let's say she enjoys him for 10 years and now she's childless, post-wall, and still has no commitment from him nor financial security through her own career?

I'm not defending her but I'm just saying women have a HUGE biological imperative to have kids it is like twice as strong as a guy's biological need for sex. (if the woman is mid to late 20's)

clawjelly • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 09:41 AM

I love how smart the guy demask her with that little question:

"Do you feel jealous i paid that vacation for my sister?"

Any mature person without gold-digger-syndrom would have said "No, i'm happy for her!" But this is a phrase no greedy person will EVER get over the lips. Of course she was "disappointed"... Gold-digger-checkmate!

exit\_sandman • 15 points • 26 October, 2015 09:46 AM

Guys, that's another troll post.

The whole story sounds rather unlikely in itself, and then - after 2 years of having been together - within 7 freaking days and a day after breaking up, she suddenly finds out that her stingy boyfriend has been the mysterious benefactor who kept her family afloat. Her mother never told her that before, but suddenly brought this up after they separated? Seriously?



To me, it sounds more like a semi-elaborate drama or a cautionary tale with the morals *"see girls, that's what you get from expecting too much from a man, even though he was a super-great guy all along! He'll leave and your family will fall into crushing poverty; because you selfishly wanted him to spend money on you, yet took his far greater contributions for granted, didn't even register them. Ha, that'll show ya!"*

TheRedTrader • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 11:02 AM

My BS detector didn't start ringing until the update post, I completely agree that its a troll post. I actually think we have got some good discussion in this thread though so overall not a bad submission.

exit\_sandman • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 11:38 AM

Exactly. The first part was unusual, but hey, it wasn't terribly outlandish. The update however took it straight into thathappened-territory.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 05:29 PM

Wouldn't be shocked if it was a 8ch/4ch ruse cruise.

Those spergy fucks have become quite adept at mind games that target hypocrites.

God bless those autistic bastards.

rockumsockumrobots • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 08:11 AM

It's sad that we have today's legal and gender relations climate. If we lived in an "oppressive patriarchy" this man would be a Lord (or some comparable class), sire 2 or 3 healthy kids, teach the boys to be men and hire a midwife for a girl to learn to be a proper lady.

Instead, this man's greatness will die with him, the woman will become a cat lady, or flush her genetics down the toilet with the next idiot to come along and have the state raise the kids.

Oh well, Enjoy the Decline as they say. One day a bright mind in the horde of genetic waste that wanders the future earth will have a brief flash of admiration for the greatness of those that have been lost.

gamefuck • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 09:50 AM

It's funny when women don't realise they're a plate.

pdtrading • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 01:58 PM

God this was an incredible post! Thank you for taking the time and sharing both articles and the synopsis to it. I mean damn, talk about fucking ALPHA WIDOWED! This guy is such a fucking TRP posterboy that it is incredible. Im not only inspired by his actions and thought process, it is so much more endearing to hear it from the womans perspective. To understand that all things don't last, and that you should always be true to you and maintain your frame. To be so detached is incredible.

pdtrading • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 02:00 PM

Not to mention that no man will ever match up to this guy. On top of that, she will always end up comparing for the next beta guy and she will never be satisfied, while that next guy eventually becomes rp himself.

anti\_erection\_man • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 02:51 PM

Damn, and I'm here thinking that I'm the only sociopathic fucking asshole that considers the vast majority of "friends" just acquaintances that are really not worth anything.

TheLolomancer • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 03:47 PM



Friends come in two categories: Brothers and Tools. Anyone who says otherwise is just trying to cover up the fact that they have none of the former.

Tom\_The\_Human • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 03:12 PM

This is pretty much the female version of the friendzone.

B0u1dA • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 04:38 PM

Alpha widow coming through

prodigy2throw • 7 points • 26 October, 2015 09:06 PM

The birth of an alpha widow

[deleted] 26 October, 2015 06:09 AM\*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 10 points • 26 October, 2015 10:21 AM

LOL at women.

That's the TL;DR of everything.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 05:08 PM

I think this is a more recent development evolving since the baby boomers entered into adulthood.

I was just having a conversation with a friend of mine about this very subject.

Building wealth takes time and commitment.

I was at a business network building party thrown at a couple's house recently. They had a beautiful two story home, with custom pool and patio tiki bar on a golf course in a relatively swanky gated community near where I live. The husband was a confident fit guy and the wife is a cute petite blonde. They run a business as a husband and wife team.

The friend I was talking to at this party is just recently divorced (no kids thank God), 29 years old. His ex-wife is 24 years old and decided to hop back on the CC instead of being married. Although she had an education degree, she instead opted to get a job as a waitress and decided she wanted to go clubbing with the single girls she worked with instead of coming home to him. Not long after these shenanigans begun he found evidence that at the very least inappropriate communications with dudes were happening and served her with divorce papers. His logic was that if she wanted to go be a whore - he wasn't going to stop her - best of luck honey.

He pointed to the house at the party and said, "These girls just don't understand that this kind of success takes time to build. They've been together nearly 20 years. 10 years ago they were struggling eating ramen noodles, now their business has taken off and they are reaping the rewards."

Listening to him talk is like he had already read the sidebar, basically broke down his POV like I was reading TRP. His (correct) conclusion is that social media and smartphones give women instant access to the flirtation of 50 guys at any given time and women can't resist the instant gratification that comes with that. Attention is like crack to women. Unless they can seek equal instant gratification (read:money) in other ways, the rich amount of available male attention draws them like moths to a flame - its hypergamy gone wild. The whole thing is ridiculously magnified when you throw bars and booze into the equation.

[deleted] 26 October, 2015 10:16 AM\*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 05:39 PM

Her body, her choice.

His wallet, his choice.....well, apparently not

Do you actually believe that women hold themselves to the same standards they impose on others?

[deleted] • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 02:07 PM

sad individual with deep psychological issues

Oh, yeah. Look at him being so sad with his hard-earned wealth that he rightfully spends on himself. A man who doesn't give a fuck about other people's opinions and only does what he wants without anything holding him back. Yeah, so sad... for them that they can't live like that.

sendmepicsofyourbutt • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 10:10 PM

I used to watch Maury, now I just come here.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 11:43 AM

This is my favorite part:

He also told me that he's despising cheaters (be it men or women;) in a relationship and if I would ever have an affair he would walk away within a minute and not bat an eye no matter how long we were together or how great our relationship was. I was a bit baffled with all the honesty but at the same time *thought it was maybe a bit of insecurity* talking so I didn't think much of it.

So, a guy who dumps is cheating gf does so because he's **insecure**. It's amazing how deep the hamster is ingrained in today's society.

[deleted] • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 12:33 PM

Surprising the guy never had to endure one of her pregnancy "scares".

strps • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 06:56 PM

Based on his personality in the post, I wouldn't be surprised if he double wrapped, or even has a vasectomy. He clearly covers himself.

Magnum256 • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 02:43 PM

if you go against the grain of „traditional expectations“ you will be labelled as psychotic, crazy, immature and dismissed as sad individual with deep psychological issues.

It's *extremely* easy to get this kind of label by the majority of society if you do anything that doesn't conform to social norms. If you speak out against the idiocy of marriage, or have unorthodox opinions regarding relationships, health, socialization, finance (believe in acquiring at any cost, or completely disregarding it) or any other outside-the-box mentality, you can and often will get labeled as strange or disturbed. The rest of your composure (confidence, physical attractiveness) will determine if that label means complete ostracization, or just considered to be that 'kind of cool but strange guy'.

This is of course due to how society is structured. Everything the average person does is reinforced by

government and media to be considered normal, because it's in the benefit of a consumerist society to have people that are predictable and habitual doing things predictably and repeatedly. We're all meant to go to college, get a job, pay our taxes, and spend whatever's left on acquiring a house, car, nice clothes, family, and surrounding ourselves with people doing the exact same thing without question or qualm, and if you step outside that agenda you're labeled as abnormal and it can become a constant internal struggle to live with when everyone around you is making it clear that you aren't like them and that not being like them is somehow inherently wrong.

let\_terror\_reign • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 04:33 PM\*

This guy is a god. I read the updates, he's something I aspire to - like a Hank Rearden kind of guy. Does his own thing, gives no fucks, plays no games, but when push comes to shove he paid for her dad's funeral and the cat's operation.

I feel sorry for her. He's a champ, he'll be fine. She missed out on something great, poor kid.

After reading OP's update, I think you got it exactly right. He was willing to do a kind and generous thing but wanted to keep it anonymous so it doesn't influence their relationship or have the mom feel indebted to him. (So basically the exact opposite of what others were speculating about him wanting to influence the mom and how he planned it all out as some kind of controlling strategy.) In a way, it seems like Marc is the guy who sees this situation the most clearly and really, we can judge him all we want for avoiding attachment or doing things the way he wants, but he's living life by his rules and he's not hurting anyone by doing so. He responsibly broke it off with OP as soon as he knew it was going to hurt her because of fundamental differences in what she wanted vs what he wanted. He's not trying to be anything other than what he was, and he told her what he was and what he expected. I think people are just thrown because we come into these threads and relationships with so many ideas and expectations of what "normal" people want already, and we make so many value judgments about what is or isn't ok in terms of attachment, love, emotions, family, marriage, kids, everything. It's like the same value shock when someone who really wants kids goes into childfree or something.

Best answer in the thread. People are getting smarter, or we're infiltrating everywhere. This is sensible, fair and empathetic but not placating in the least to anyone's sensibilities.

[deleted] • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 04:51 PM

This comment sums it up

I think Marc's decision to keep his generosity towards your family hidden from you was a mistake that kept him from being able to properly communicate in your love language, and since he's reading this right now I hope he learns not to do so in the future.

Did you read that "communicate in your love language." I.e. gifts and pretty things = love.

ChadThundercockII • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 09:15 PM

They locked the post. Faggot mods.

strategos\_autokrator • 9 points • 26 October, 2015 01:47 PM\*

She just posted another update.

<https://archive.is/odNHc>

It is amazing how in it she both expresses resentment for him ending it,

told me in two sentences that this relationship has no future and he isn't interested in pursuing things any

further; be it platonic friendship or anything else: so he basically told me to get lost. What a nice first reply that was....

but also she then at the ends somehow hamsters it out that she ended it with him.

Ended it with Marc

Oh, and she mentions a beta orbiter she is turning too already.

No, Jeff is not Marc's replacement, we are just catching up as we both have been super busy the past year; add to the fact that he thought that Marc's attitude didn't fit me and my ideals of a relationship from the start, I think we have a lot to talk about and work out our past issues with each other.

Update: Some of the comments of users are fucking incredibly open about she being entitled to his money:

I think Marc's decision to keep his generosity towards your family hidden from you was a mistake that kept him from being able to properly communicate in your love language,

So is this user saying that her love language is Money??

Him being the secret benefactor behind your back while playing the whole lone wolf thing felt super pedantic.

So he shouldn't have made her look bad for being generous and not needing recognition for it?

At least this user warns her about becoming an Alpha Widow:

Although, in the future, try not to think about Marc and compare your future bfs/husbands to him or how rich he was or the things he could afford etc.

Mjazz3 • 6 points • 26 October, 2015 10:36 AM

Not all the commentators take the OP's side. Some guy with the ID: JeanValjean1970 really gives it to her, and her enablers.

GiskardReventelov • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 12:27 PM

This post was inspiring in striving to become like this guy one day.

ashrobb • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 01:18 PM

He sounds like a pretty cool dude. I totally would want to meet him and hang out some time.

I'm exactly like him in regards to my views on friendship. I really don't have or want many friends. I have quite a few acquaintances and I can be very social, but otherwise I do my own things and DGAF about society or gossip, or celebrity garbage.

You keep saying you aren't a gold digger, but clearly you equate a man spending money on you with your self-worth. Forty years ago this would have been common and even acceptable, however, today in our culture of "equality" women have no right to expect men to buy them a GD thing. Now you should expect to pay your way.

Of course, you want him to buy you stuff (but you aren't a gold digger). That says that deep down you feel like he's less than you are. That he should be paying you for the privilege of being in your life. And that my dear makes you a gold digger. The fact that you aren't actually getting paid just means you are an unsuccessful gold digger.

I give this guy mad props for being both upfront with you and keeping his word. It's rare to find people who

don't flip flop on issues or change their view whenever the wind blows the wrong way.

He's steady, honest, treats you well, is financially stable, obviously attractive to you, and according to you is perfect in every way that is important, but since he won't buy you stuff you feel neglected and betrayed.

In sum, you have no reason to be unhappy.

You are getting exactly what you were promised and agreed to. You have no right to expect to be bought with gifts and vacations (didn't the feminists claim men owned women like property?). By this very Reddit post you are proving that you can't keep your word and are untrustworthy. You want to renegotiate the deal you made with him in a way that is more advantageous to you without any regard or concern about how it may make him feel.

Women like you are the reason why men don't want to get married. You change the rules and the agreements at whim and when you can't get what you want you bail out and cash out.

Here's a tip for you. Why don't you try equating your self-worth to your achievements and the good things you do in the world rather than whether you can get some guy to buy you shit.

Finally, your boyfriend doesn't need to ask you for permission to go on a trip. You aren't his mother or his wife. You aren't even his life partner. You are a woman who has agreed to sexual exclusivity and that is all you are.

He cares for you but only in the context of the relationship you both agreed to. Yet, you expect him to do more than what was promised.

You should be proud that he keeps his word and is so reliable. But you aren't and that shows that it's really you who doesn't care about him.

You care about yourself and expect him to serve you.

This was an outstanding reply in the original thread. 10/10

getRedPill • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 01:49 PM

being the "rich benefactor".

**The other thing that almost made me throw up** was when I learned that he paid for the funeral

Let's put this straight. She says she's a "*Strong Independent wymyn*" and not a gold digger, but she gets upset by silly things like splitting the tab, besides the fact he told her she isn't getting his money since the beginning.

Then she sees him as cheap and not caring too much about her, because of this decides to end up the relationship (and he responded very well to her move that she got backslapped).

This is when she learns he's not that uncaring about her because he paid for cat and her dad's funeral. This is when she instead of recognizing him as a charitable good hearted man sees him **as beta instead** and get pukes.

Bitches that's why you can't have anything good in life, never.

FrameWalker • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 04:51 PM

You've gotta commend Marc for being a man, and it's easy to feel good about the girl fucking up for being a greedy gold digger. What's really interesting is that the woman feels genuinely distraught and torn up about not getting her BBs.

Marc held frame absolutely. Honestly he'll have no problem upgrading. Amused mastery could've extended the relationship but for what reason?

nsummy • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 06:43 PM

I don't know, at the end of the 3rd post she didn't sound too distraught to me. It sounded like moving on was extremely easy.

John\_E\_Vegas • 5 points • 26 October, 2015 04:52 PM

She's an idiot. But not for "throwing it all away." She never had anything to begin with except what sounds like a wealthy fuck buddy.

If she was looking for a husband, she should have listened to what he said at the very beginning: no children, no marriage, separate bank accounts, etc.

What part of that sounded like a good deal for a woman who wants to be provided for?

justadavid • 1 point • 27 October, 2015 01:49 AM

I notice she never says what her income is. That would enable people to figure out how big a golddigger she is.

"Compared to the GDP of the United States, you are a 120% golddigger."

[deleted] • 5 points • 27 October, 2015 12:19 AM

I liked her last ditch attempt to make him jealous by declaring her interest in another man from high school, knowing he was reading her post. Classic.

LyricBaritone • 4 points • 27 October, 2015 06:58 PM

Haha. This girl fucked up what was a completely healthy, productive relationship, because she is too lazy and greedy to support herself. She'll be looking for those Beta Bux now.

DevilMayCry • 8 points • 26 October, 2015 05:57 AM

This guy is the fucking man! If every man in this sub was just a little bit like Marc they'd go a long way.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 12:54 PM

<http://i.imgur.com/zD4X8.gif>

Trucks\_N\_Chainsaws • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 01:16 PM

Dude sounds a lot like me. My gf, now of 8 years, and I had the same marriage/kids discussion after three months. She said, "I'm fine with that. I'd rather have a career." And this is when i was struggling. I've since increased my income by 450% and do whatever the fuck I want short of fucking other chicks. It's a pretty good deal.

INV0K3R • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 01:25 PM

Ahahahahaahahaha

Two words.

Get. Fuckt.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 09:04 PM

I don't understand the frequent refrain that men shouldn't lie to women. This event illustrates the folly of such an approach. "Marc" made one serious error: he told his woman the truth. Women HATE the truth .

What he should have done is lied his ass off, motivated her to bring the heat in the bedroom via false hope of a relationship and then straight ghosted when she tried to lock him down.

Screw being honorable and upfront. Women dont care and will bulldoze your moral code along with your freedom if it suits their interests. There are no pacts between men and lions, or women and men in 21st Century Western society.

Some will find the above worryingly harsh. I invite such critics to wake the hell up. Modern women are playing for keeps, and so should we.

KickinWangg • 3 points • 26 October, 2015 09:28 PM

OP- please keep us updated on your PM conversation with him as i would be extremely interested on hearing what he has to say and hearing his side of the story

[deleted] • 3 points • 27 October, 2015 02:20 PM

That third update.... I bet you Marc is one of us ;)

FateBender • 3 points • 27 October, 2015 07:17 PM

That's one of the best descriptions of a man who's "*on his path*" that I've ever seen. Hats off.

throwaway320\_ • 3 points • 31 October, 2015 12:22 AM

Did the guy from the PM ever got back to you?

Temptationn • 3 points • 14 December, 2015 08:57 AM

you know you made it when your gf makes a post about you and not the other way around.

[deleted] • 4 points • 26 October, 2015 06:45 AM

Jesus this couldn't be a more cut & dry case of entitled bitch mentality.

Good for that guy, he's got the right attitude. It's his fucking money, what the hell would she be saying if he came up to her and asked "why don't you buy me dinner ever? we always split the bill... don't you care about me?"

She acts like he's spending all HIS money on some other girl, he's spending it on himself for the love of god. Good for him not giving an inch, this guy is pure gold.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 12:21 PM

Reading something like this really puts a smile on my face. Thanks OP.

pilledwillingly • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 01:05 PM

Holy fuck this dude is the motherfucking man.

ztsmart • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 01:14 PM

Dat sense of entitlement doe

jaysire • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 01:16 PM

"Good on you girl, you're better off without him!" /s

razorbeamzz • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 04:07 PM

I hate these threads. Archive.is is blocked in my country. :(

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 04:21 PM



id like to hear the guys side of this

Rathadin • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 04:52 PM

Man, this is too good... /u/riseanew actually accused me of **being** Marc, despite having been a redditor for over 2 years (which I know would not preclude the possibility that I am Marc... but I am not... sadly).

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 05:04 PM

I love red pill because of such posts.

Moneyley • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 05:04 PM

this is what happens to equal rights advocates. Equality isnt equality if it involves me paying, even if its the same as you.

[–]IdiocyInc -1 points 11 hours ago Sure, but that's the new equality thing, isn't it? Splitting dinner bills, each doing their own thing, etc?

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 05:48 PM

Being a gold digger is a lot like being a racist. If you have to say "I'm not a gold digger" you probably doing some gold digging shit

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 06:41 PM

She was in a relationship with him. He was just regular-fucking her.

CornyHoosier • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 06:54 PM

I skimmed some of what she wrote ... This guy who "doesn't pay for anything" (which *apparently* a person can say without being a gold digger) literally paid for her fathers funeral and some surgery for her cat.

This woman is a fool.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 07:27 PM

If you read all three posts you find that she's either making the whole thing up or only adding certain information so she can get the opinions and moral support she wants.

At first she just says he's a "lone wold" type and doesn't have friends.

Then in the next thread she says he's a surgeon who works 70 hours a week. And of course, he's a selfish prick for not also spending more time with her and less time saving people's lives.

Also, in the second thread she speaks to a "friend" of his who tells her he's a rabid cheater.

In the last thread she only mentions he's wealthy and that he's very against cheating. What a turn around and certainly it's hard to understand why someone who is apparently so idle and has time to travel so much won't spend more time with his woman.

Oh, and that trip he supposed took for a month without asking her about? He only took it after she announced to him that she was going to be unavailable to him for 2 weeks.

So, he's a jerk for not consulting her but she's not a jerk for not consulting him about ignoring him for two weeks.

Frankly, I thought it was considerate of him to schedule a vacation during a time when his girlfriend was going to be too busy with work. At least then they wouldn't lose more time together than they had too. Alas, no one sees it like that, cuz man bad, woman victim won't fit.

Oakwood2317 • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 07:36 PM

Your quotation marks lead me to believe you are a German.....

NPK5667 • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 08:44 PM

They want tradition when it benefits them. Funny.

Momo\_dollar • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 12:25 AM

He seems to be a guy who values loyalty and time shared. He gave her his greatest gift; his loyalty, time, and commitment and that wasn't enough for her.

She don't deserve him, and he deserves a stronger minded and more disciplined woman.

rp\_valiant • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 02:01 AM

OP, you should definitely reach out to this guy for further info, for science. Did he contact you off the back of a comment on one of the original threads, or did he see your thread here in TRP? The whole relationship smells of a well-played-out red pill relationship, so I do have to wonder whether he's actually a member here.

joshbeoulve • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 03:25 AM

EDIT 3:

Holy shit dude, post an update from the guy please.

tallwheel • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 05:59 AM

Haha. Marc sounds just like me... with maybe a bit more money. But I do have a lot more money than my LTR and hardly ever spend anything on her.

YuriJackoffski • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 07:04 AM

Haha bitch got rekt and owned

NawtVolkishEnuf • 2 points • 27 October, 2015 02:14 AM

Dude probably has Schizoid Personality Disorder, as do a lot of the guys you'd probably consider "Sigma". He's still a good example of holding it down and maintaining your frame, but it's not a lifestyle that every man should emulate. Having meaningful friendships and wanting a family is perfectly fine.

She thought she could change him, and she couldn't. No need to get too deep into this.

nastynastthrowaway • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 10:42 AM

Why did you feel the need to put (black) in brackets like that? What difference does it make what color she is? I like this sub but theres always some sly lowkey racist shit in here.

zuchit • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 06:53 AM\*

Huge respect to that guy who enjoys his solitude. I can relate to his

no friends, only "acquaintances"

mentality. I can honestly say there are only 4-5 people from my school/ uni life that I consider as my true friends. Nowadays, it's very rare to find people like him and that is one of the reason why I'm in solitude. I enjoy things more that way.

Red\_Pill\_Raskol • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 07:34 AM

This is an unusual but very interesting genesis story of an alpha-widow.

Mature\_Student • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 09:03 AM

This man is a case study in TRP, good for him.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 09:12 AM

the one bit that stuck out to me the most was her description of his clothing. i've never actually heard of somebody dressing gothninja in real life.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 09:29 AM

Marc sounds like a cool dude.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 10:45 AM

The frame of this guy gave me shivers.

Hennez • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 12:54 PM

A great read to start the day!

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 01:10 PM

"I'm not even worth one dinner to him."

And he is not worth one dinner to her. So where's the problem? We don't hear him complaining that she doesn't treat him to dinner.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 01:49 PM

A lot of good comments on this board.

A lot of people are calling her a gold digger, ESPECIALLY from the male side.

Its only some of the parasitic hypocritical women that have an alternative perspective on this issue.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 02:22 PM

"I'm not a gold digger but why doesn't he spend his money on me??" Because your a gold digger. Plain and simple.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 02:24 PM

Maybe it's Marc Rudov. He is against spending money on a women to get into her pants.

Jessie\_James • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 02:25 PM

I tell people this all the time, but always get downvoted. When you're in a situation like this, that is the time to put on your best behavior and not throw a tantrum. All she had to do was realize he was a high quality man, and she had to improve herself to be the same. Instead, she acted like a spoiled little brat and got kicked to the curb.

Big surprise he didn't want to date a woman who acted like a princess.

NiftyDolphin • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 02:41 PM

Poe's Law, when you recognize the truth, but that truth is so fucked up as to be indistinguishable from parody.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 04:23 PM

The friends thing hit hard. Women love their social networks, and in general they are massive. But have also noticed that they have no problem shit talking 90% of their friends behind their back. Even if it's not legit hate but nags about "she can be a slut though" or "but isn't her doing x weird"

Meanwhile I pride myself on having ~5 or so guy friends with friendships that are iron clad. Don't get me wrong, have other friends too just through work and history but could easily sustain myself with that small handful.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 06:16 PM\*

Don't get me wrong I'm not a gold digger

That denial... I'd be surprised if there was a gold digger that can actually admit they are indeed one.

I got emotional and nearly cried, telling him I need a break and he acts so immature sometimes and doesn't consider my feelings at all.

Oh boo hoo. Yeah, take as long as you want. In fact, don't come back. She fails to see she is the immature, dramatic one. She wants to be pampered to the fullest, but recklessly cuts off the money faucet. Reminds me of SJWs... it's really more about "feelings", rather than logic.

BramRhodesDouglas • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 06:21 PM

The craziest thing about this is that I bet he would have doted on her heavily if she earned it. He seems like a totally rational guy who would reward her with more commitment if she worked for it. But she's clueless, she has no idea what a man wants.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 06:45 PM

I really like this Marc guy.....we should totally hang out sometime.

akrebsie • 2 points • 26 October, 2015 09:05 PM

I heard he is a loner though...

[deleted] • 3 points • 27 October, 2015 04:12 AM

He only has a few close friends but many acquaintances. I could use another acquaintance as I'm already full up on friends.

I have exactly 3 friends. We all fit in the same car nicely and we all get a window seat.

If I wanted more friends, I'd need to buy a bigger car.

BlackHeart89 • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 06:45 PM

Smh. Clearly she wasn't happy with the relationship. She just found his money and looks very appealing. Smh People need to be more self-aware. She should have walked from the very beginning when he laid down the law. Anyone who gives you the warning up front is no bullshitter.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 07:42 PM

The only thing he can be criticized for is that he doesn't have a social circle otherwise he would be perfect. With that said, I think the only reason the girls told her that he is immature and he should be dumped is because they want a chance at him and they are probably secretly jealous of her having a wealthy, manly boyfriend so instead of helping her rise up they would just drag her down to their level. And I think the girl in question only dated him anyway because she wanted to "fix him" since that is the motivator for dating dangerous or mysterious

people.

All in all, I mean as long as the guy gives no fucks I see no problem with what he is doing or anything for that matter. Just the whole aforementioned lack of social circle and even if so, as long as he is happy in solitude the social circle is pretty much a strong recommendation.

[deleted] • 26 October, 2015 07:49 PM

[permanently deleted]

RedSovereign • 1 point • 27 October, 2015 02:12 PM

Just so you know, you've been shadowbanned by the reddit admins. You may want to appeal to them.

ChadThundercockII • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 08:51 PM\*

I like this 'Marc! guy. We should get him on here and flood him with questions. I would help establish a base for a beginner's guide.

Edit: We should also have a side bar post named after this guy. Be like Marc, in contrast with don't be like Bruce.

Its\_All\_Been\_Done • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 10:16 PM

Dear u/confusedwiththis ...

Your\_Personal\_Jesus • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 11:53 PM

Hilarious doesn't even begin to describe the level of hypocrisy here. 99.99% of all relationship posts: "You're too co-dependent, you both need your friends and own life, toxic, break up with him." Now this dude has his own life and our interests, but it's travelling. Now since his shit is super cool, he's a sociopath if he doesn't bring her along because it's a "shared journey". Note, the journey must be shared, but the check can't be.

Momo\_dollar • 1 point • 26 October, 2015 11:57 PM

Biggest giveaway that she knew he was very wealthy from early on is his apartment. Yet she says she initially thought he was comfortable but not super rich.

She knew the terms and accepted them because she thought she could change him and she faild.

[deleted] • 1 point • 27 October, 2015 12:12 AM

If you think he is legit, I'm sure we could learn something from such a solid display of frame maintenance.

Momo\_dollar • 1 point • 27 October, 2015 12:19 AM

"One time I took a peak in his closet because I wanted to buy him a sweater or vest from one of his favorite designers and wanted to know the name of the brand"

Would have been easier to pass off as innocent if she said "I wanted to confirm his size" if she wanted to know his brand, its not very hard to bring up in normal conversation... Ffs she's a girl! IMO she was intentionally snooping around.

[deleted] • 1 point • 27 October, 2015 02:14 AM

This story sounds so similar to most every relationship I've ever been in.. Eventually they want your money and act shocked when you send them on their way

Darkuso • 1 point • 27 October, 2015 07:10 AM

No one has ever thought that the "advises" that those people gives on those subs are always a way to fuck up the whole situation? I'm certainly SURE that all of them are fucking unhappy people that want make the rest of the human race unhappy as well. They just hamster out that they are just only trying to help but deep down they know what are they doing.

cashmoney\_x • 1 point • 31 October, 2015 03:46 PM

The first sentence of the most upvoted comment says it all: "He's not what most people would consider a boyfriend. He will never put you first."

They think they ONLY way it should be is if they come before everything else. The self, family, whatever.

No thanks. #mgtow