

How to Defend Yourself Like Trump: 11 Pro Strategies

March 1, 2016 | 771 upvotes | by [IamGale](#)

Summary:

You need to learn how to defend yourself. If you can't defend your image, you're destined to get crushed in the world. This post will show you exactly how Donald Trump handles these high stakes situations.

For context, this post examines the 11 ways Trump defended himself in Republican Debate in Houston a couple days ago. I created a video that highlights each moment and I recommend you watch it first then read this analysis.

Here's the video:

[HIGHLIGHT VIDEO OF TRUMP DEFENDING HIMSELF](#)

The Houston debate was particularly difficult for Mr. Trump. He was leading in all the polls beforehand meaning that in this debate, his opponents were looking to do one thing to him...

Yup, they were out for blood.

This was their last chance at taking down the giant that's been revolutionizing American politics.

Their one shot.

In this post, I'm going to show you the story behind how Trump can handle himself with clear ease against two highly trained debaters.

For him to win this, all he had to do was survive, but nothing was spared in this debate. Rubio and Cruz, the two other republican candidates, and the moderators all went at him viciously on hiring illegal workers, his tax plan, tax return, his Hispanic support, and anything else they could think of.

But in typical Trump fashion, he destroyed his opposition and kept his lead.

This guide is for you. If you're ever in a corporate meeting, or in a high-stakes negotiation situation. You need to know how to defend yourself. It's great to have an amazing pitch, but if you're not able to defend your position, it's just going to crumble.

Here are the 11 tactics Trump used to defend himself:

1. Re-Framing

Reframing is a popular Neuro Linguistic Programming (NLP) Technique in Therapy. Where you take one negative scenario and change the context to show it in a positive light.

That's exactly what Trump does when Rubio drops a bomb on him:

Acknowledge that, for example, you're the only person this stage that's ever been fined for hiring people to work on your projects illegally

But Trump replies:

No, I'm the only one on this stage that's hired people.

Trump saw this coming, interrupted Rubio's claim and reframed it to show him as a successful businessman. He changes the frame from an attack into a compliment. Brilliant.

2. Emphasize Your Strengths

You'll see that Donald Trump is constantly re-affirming the fact that he's hired tens of thousands of workers. He's been doing it all throughout his campaign and the more times he repeats his slogan, the

more familiar you become with Trump as a Character. It's good branding.

So when Rubio mentions that he hired illegal immigrants, Trump immediately and throughout his rebuttal repeats that he's hired tens of thousands of people and no one else had done that.

3. Attack Back

Right away he starts criticizing Rubio for his credit card problems. It's extremely important that you attack back because if people think they can walk all over you, then they will. You need to be quick, this is not the time to think of the perfect insult, but rather to fire as soon as possible. The faster the better. I will go into this in a bit more depth later on.

4. Interrupt and Deny

Rubio stays on message and repeats that Trump was fined \$1 million dollars for hiring these illegal polish works.

Trump immediately interjects with

“wrong, totally wrong.”

The idea here is that by interrupting you have the chance that you might derail your opponent. In this case, his opponent is no amateur. Nevertheless, by interrupting you're also showing the audience two things. One, that you deserve to interrupt someone because what you're saying is more important. Two, you immediately dismiss the notion that your opponent might be right by addressing it immediately.

By addressing the situation immediately you don't let the thought percolate in your audience's head. We all believe things that aren't technically correct and the longer we sit with these falsehoods in our heads the longer we might think they're actually true.

A good example is Ted Cruz not being a natural born citizen. His mother is American and thus, he was born an American. So of course, he's a natural born citizen. However, Trump put doubt in people's head. By not addressing it immediately Ted Cruz's let people think he might not be eligible. A big mistake for him.

5. Dismiss

In the same argument that Rubio lays on him, he interrupts him again and dismisses his attack. He immediately says:

“Don't tell me about that.”

But it's not the words that I want to highlight, it's his tone and body language. He says it with disgust that screams, “how dare you” and swats him away with his hands.

This is important on an emotional level. You need to show that you're not taking these arguments seriously because you don't want your audience to take them seriously either.

If you start taking it seriously then others will too. This is why you need to dismiss your opponent and have the body language to back it up.

6. Put it in Context

When Rubio first brought up the fact that he hired illegal immigrants, the audience had no idea of the backstory behind it. By giving the audience the backstory, he resolves the issue. Trump uses two critical pieces of information to put this in context. He could have said that it was his contractors who hired them and that he had no idea. Many respectable individuals have used similar arguments, but let's face it.

That's a cop out.

So he decides to say two things instead.

"It was over 30 years ago... You bring up a closed case. We settled the case and everyone was happy."

Now in the audience's mind. They're thinking why would Rubio rely on information that was over 30 years old, does he have nothing else? And he settled it, it's over. There's nothing more to talk about. Whereas, if he passed the blame onto his contractor then they could attack him on hiring deceitful contractors.

By putting Rubio's attack in context, Trump resolves the issue at hand

7. Attack

For context, Cruz attacks Donald Trump with the accusation that he funded the gang of eight, politicians that were in favor of open borders.

Again he puts that accusation in context. He states that he is world class businessman who gets along with everyone, that's why he donated to everyone, including the gang of eight. Then he uses his seventh tactic. He immediately launches a deadly attack on Cruz.

"You don't have one Republican Senator endorsing you despite the fact that you spend all day with them. You should be ashamed of yourselves."

Trump wants the audience to question how they can elect a president who can't get along with anyone. This is an important strategy because it develops your reputation. By attacking those who attack you, you show the world that you're not to be messed with. You set a precedent for others saying that if you decide to attack Trump, he will hit you twice as hard. It's important that you always defend yourself, else you risk creating a reputation that people can walk all over you.

8. Use Humour

This was the best joke Trump made all night. He was asked:

"A new Telemundo poll shows that 3/4 Hispanics don't like you. Doesn't that make you unelectable?"

But Trump fired back:

"First of all, I don't believe anything Telemundo says."

Then we went on to say how he currently employs thousands of Hispanics. He stayed on message and repeated how big of a support base is. And that he's so much better than everyone else.

He uses this as an opportunity to spread his other talking points all because he diffused the situation with comedy.

9. Use simple and quick language.

Debates often get criticized for not delving into the substance of a candidate's policies and only skim the surface.

As a result, Wolf Blitzer asks Trump a difficult and specific questions here.

"Your tax cuts will cost the country 10 trillion dollars, how will you avoid putting the country in even more debt?"

The challenge here to respond with a simple answer that satisfies the complex question. Ben Carson has great answers to solving the America's healthcare issues but his answers are boring.

As a politician, you need to keep your audience's attention at all cost! So this presents Trump a challenge and I want to highlight how he answers it.

Trump's answer:

"The country will become a dynamic country. We have the highest taxes in the world. It's shutting down the country. We will eliminate certain agencies, like the department of education and the environmental agency. Yes, we will do my tax plan and it will make the country great again."

He skirts around the issue, but the sneaky Wolf Blitzer cleverly has the answers pre-researched. He says:

"If you eliminate the department of education, that's 68 billion. The environmental agency is 8 billion. You're still short because the deficit is 500 billion."

Trump circumvents the specifics and provides as satisfying response by using something simple:

"Waste, fraud and abuse. We'll cut down on waste, fraud and abuse."

He uses simple language to get his point across that makes sense at first glance. That's what is important.

10. Play the victim

This is an important strategy because it addresses our sense of fairness. At a some level, we all more or less believe that people should be treated fairly. So when someone plays the victim, we tend to lay off them and give them sympathy points. You might think you're above playing the victim, but it's a useful strategy for shaming others who play unfairly. It's a strategy that Trump uses all the time.

In this instance, Trump's being attacked for not releasing his tax returns. In his rebuttal, he goes on to say that's the IRS has audited him every 12 years and that he can't release his tax returns until the IRS finishes their audit. He goes on to say how it's unfair that he's been audited for 12 years despite the fact that they've never found anything.

Then after his third question, he says this and plays the victim again.

"Are you going to ask every single question to me? Every single question? I know I'm good for the ratings, but it's a little ridiculous"

By playing the victim, it means you're exposing unfair treatment. It's a moral argument that you're attacker should lay off unless he wants to be seen as a monster. And it works in this case as Hugh Hewitt moves on to questioning Marco Rubio.

Sidebar, here's a really great example of Trump attacking back right away.

Hugh Hewitt asks him this question:

"On my show you said you would release your tax returns. Are you going back on your word?"

Trump see this a blatant attack on his character and defends himself by attacking back.

"First of all, very few people listen to your radio show that's the good news."

This is to show that playing the victim does not mean you need to adopt the victim mentality. As Trump easily switches back to attacking. This is one of your many strategies to use.

11. Explain Your Opponent's Behaviour

Cruz attacks him on about 7 different subjects. Trump responds by saying he's crushing him in the polls.

Then he also points out the fact why they're attacking him.

“Let me tell you this because you're really getting beaten badly. I know you're embarrassed and I know you're embarrassed (pointing at Rubio) but keep fighting keep swinging.

This makes it clear to your audience why they're seeing the behaviour that's currently happening. By calling it out, you help put the whole argument in discussion and re-frame the situation. In this case, he's saying that the two are only attacking him because he's winning. He subtly reminds the audience that he's the winning candidate here.

Conclusion:

If you haven't already do [watch the video where I highlight all these moments in the debate](#) because it's more visceral that way. Trump had a very difficult debate. His opponents used everything they had against them and he still stood strong. Trump has over 40 years of business experience. A lot of what you see here comes naturally to him. But for me and you, we need to learn.

Look over these strategies again and pick one of them to use in your real life. Or even better start noticing how other people use these strategies in your own life. Personally, my little brother will always play the victim card to get out of doing dishes and every time I call him out on his strategy.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

FluwelenRevolutie • 225 points • 1 March, 2016 02:16 PM

Magnificent post. There's no denying that Trump is a genius when it comes to defending himself and showing his superiority over others.

bertmaklinFBI • 85 points • 1 March, 2016 02:26 PM

He is awesome at marketing and that is what you are seeing happen.

If you read his book its basically a script for his presidential campaign.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 05:12 PM*

[permanently deleted]

bertmaklinFBI • 11 points • 1 March, 2016 05:19 PM

I would actually argue that rhetoric is a component of great marketing, not the other way around.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 05:55 PM*

[permanently deleted]

Incangodess • 7 points • 1 March, 2016 09:22 PM

Ehh he is definitely marketing himself to the public WITH rhetoric...

bertmaklinFBI • -2 points • 1 March, 2016 06:16 PM

There is a lot more going on here than just talking points.

SheLovesMyJizz • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 06:20 PM

I was at that debate, Rubio dominated that debate. Trump had supporters in there, but Rubio had none & the crowd loved him. Some of the things that CNN doesn't show you was during commercial break trump was panicking.

bertmaklinFBI • 21 points • 1 March, 2016 06:27 PM

Rubio isn't a moron. He was lagging in the polls behind Cruz so he started attacking Trump to get more publicity and Cruz started to fall since he has backed away from mudslinging with Trump. PT Barnum to a tee.

Can you talk a little bit more about Trump panicking on the commercial breaks?

pisheity • 12 points • 1 March, 2016 06:56 PM

In what way was he panicking? I don't doubt you, just curious.

SheLovesMyJizz • 23 points • 1 March, 2016 08:57 PM

He seems to get very irritated when people stand up to him, he took his jacket off and you could tell how sweaty he had become. What I don't get about Trump is how people can't see pass all his lies and inconsistency. I'm not a Trump hater, but are the American People that dumb? It's almost like he acts like a little child when being called out, he would pace back and fourth from back

stage to the front. He seemed to be very out of character and not this calm confident person you are describing. What I saw, was somebody who looked lost and seriously about to have a panic attack. When Rubio called him out, all he did was shout and yell, I don't get how this man can be the next president of the US. I know kids who act more mature than him yet he's still leading in polls? We're so fucked if he becomes President, he has lots of questions and may god help us.

johnnight • 13 points • 1 March, 2016 10:56 PM*

What I don't get about Trump is how people can't see pass all his lies and inconsistency.

People project on candidates what they want. People will forgive smaller sins as long as the candidate looks like he can deliver the bigger product. At this point Trump's base does not care about "all his lies and inconsistency".

Trump's success is not because people want a buffoon, it's because he has picked topics that other candidates have not talked about. Immigration and lost jobs is such a topic. It was all in surveys, but the establishment did not want to do anything about it.

You will see this dynamic with every candidate in every country. Everyone has flaws that are disqualifying in the eyes of the opposite political side, but ignored by the own-side base.

It's only in reelection situations, where the candidate is not a wildcard and can be judged on actual leadership behavior.

warjesus420 • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 11:11 PM

Just gonna throw this out there. A) There is not a snowballs chance in hell he will get Mexico to pay for this wall. B) The reality of this wall is completely hysterical to a modern man. C) Even if the wall is built by our country paying for it it will barely limit illegal immigration, and will have no impact at all on the drug smuggling.

[deleted] • 17 points • 2 March, 2016 12:19 AM

Tell that to the other countries currently using border walls.

StarkWeave • 17 points • 2 March, 2016 01:16 AM

The walls don't have to work 100% of the time. It just needs to be an effective deterrent. I would look at some of the border controls being implemented in Europe for the refugee crisis as a supporting example.

[deleted] • 5 points • 2 March, 2016 01:46 AM

For the record I am pro walls. They have eliminated over 90% in other countries.

warjesus420 • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 01:36 AM

I'd be in total shock if more than 1% of illegals/year were deterred tbh

zincH2O • 6 points • 2 March, 2016 05:17 AM

China has the great wall, and they have ZERO mexicans. point taken (sarcasm)

warjesus420 • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 01:34 AM

Maybe just maybe 1% of immigrants will be deterred. More likely than not the ones who want in will find a way. Also in terms of it stopping drugs? That's the

most absurd farce I've ever heard lmao. Almost all drugs come in through underground tunnels. If this wall got built(Very unlikely at all, nevermind mexico paying for it) It will just give the cartels another profit boost for getting more illegals in underground. Even if by a miracle he could get Mexico to pay for it(Just letting you know right now btw, just cause he thinks he's tough wont just make the men in charge of their countries go "Oh my MR TRUMP WE SOOOOO SORRY!!!! They're going to look him in the face and laugh at his and apparently a huge proportion of our citizens idea that they can just be hard in national negotiations) If you really think he'll accomplish anything he's said, which has no actual planing btw other than his tax plan which adds an additional \$10 Trillion in debt over the next ten years(adjusted for inflation and growth if his plans work perfect, look it up that is a fact.) Unless you are among the billionaire or have \$800 million or more then it is not in your best interest to vote for trump. End of discussion.

[deleted] • 8 points • 2 March, 2016 01:52 AM

Jesus Christ this reads like you are 15. 1% of immigrants deterred? This shows you didn't look at the other REAL LIFE COUNTRIES THAT ALREADY HAVE BORDER WALLS. They all show significant elimination of illegal immigrants. Like almost all. Yes they will find a way in still, but In much lower numbers. It's not like a wall would be erected and then we would just leave it. How can you think patrols and a wall wouldn't be more effective than just patrols? It's common sense. You also haven't read the actual plans to make Mexico pay for the wall, you read the headlines and think Trump is just going to ask them to. Please, get informed from real sources and not /politics and huffpost

Drmadanthonywayne • 10 points • 2 March, 2016 02:41 AM

That's absurd. Consider the effectiveness of the Israeli fence.

The number of fatalities from terror attacks within Israel dropped from more than 130 in 2003 to fewer than 25 in 2005. The number of bombings fell from dozens to fewer than 10.

waynebradysworld • 12 points • 1 March, 2016 11:50 PM

Gonna throw it back in your face, getting Mexico to pay for that wall will be easy as fuck. Also, what about "modern men" makes walls ridiculous? Last time I checked, we humans still can't teleport through them.

quartermanpete • 8 points • 2 March, 2016 12:10 AM

good job already applying the concepts of the post!

waynebradysworld • 4 points • 2 March, 2016 12:25 AM

I'm a quick study, I study quick. As a matter of fact, I may be the best at it

Skiffbug • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 01:34 AM

And how many immigrants get in through tunnels rather than over land! Or is the

wall meant to extend hundreds of feet underground?

Sdom1 • 1 point • 3 March, 2016 09:57 PM

Walls aren't perfect, but they do deter people. Also, if I were designing it I'd have different types of sensors in it so that I could react quickly to vandals or tunnels being dug underneath. Sensors are becoming so cheap as to be ubiquitous. At that point, have drones that can track the crossers until they're picked up.

Lurker_IV • 29 points • 1 March, 2016 10:09 PM

I'm not a Trump hater, but are the American People that dumb?

It is a combination. Yes they are that dumb because those in power and the MSM have been intentionally dumbing down the voter education for decades. Also the current Congress and Senate are the worst in over a century by several measures. Least productive ever, most obstructive ever, and least popular ever. Congress has had **single digit percentage** approval ratings for years.

The American public hates them soo much they are willing to vote Trump.

How the government folks ever thought they could keep doing this and it wouldn't blow up on them eventually I don't know.

SheLovesMyJizz • 7 points • 1 March, 2016 10:28 PM

I agree with that, well said.

obama_loves_nsa • -1 points • 2 March, 2016 03:57 AM

I'm just one sample of millions but here's my take:

- 1) The POTUS is a retard who but has black skin so a lot of idiots liked him
- 2) I hate congress [who doesn't]
- 3) America is quickly turning into Mexico #2 and a pro islamic/ pro faggot metrosexual / feminist dominated hell hole

Trump is the only person standing up to the bullshit and willing to fight them tooth and nail to get the inmates from running the asylum. No other republican will fight them. Democrats do the opposite and promote Islam, Feminism, Faggotry on all levels, and degeneracy of all forms without end.

He might pace and sweat like a pussy during a commercial break or sweat like a 67 year old man, but at least somebody has the balls to say some things to disrupt the faggotry and feminism spreading on everywhere.

beatlesbright • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 09:17 PM

Hence why he didn't attend the debate with Megyn Kelly. He's good at swiftly responding but it's hard to keep it up all the time. He was even scared to be on the same stage with Michael Moore in the late 90s or early 2000s. Trump had directly asked Moore not to pick on him.

tyrryt • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 09:25 AM

The media decides elections - they decide which clips to show and which opinions to share. They paint candidates positively or negatively. When they want to tank a candidate, they go

on a 2-week frenzy showing nonstop clips of Dean screaming, or Kerry on a surfboard, or pictures of Dukakis in a tank, or Hart with a slut on his lap, then haul out their squads of "experts" and "polls" to explain to everybody that they're unelectable.

For now they've decided to hype trump to the nomination, maybe because they think that's good for hillary. After the competition is eliminated, we'll see if they really want him or not, and there are mountains of ammunition they can use on Trump from his antics over the years.

FakeGuru • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 01:13 PM

Of course the American people are that dumb.

They have been conditioned for years to believe the lies of the Republican party, and Trump has launched a hostile takeover.

Zahoo • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 04:21 AM

I don't get how this man can be the next president of the US. I know kids who act more mature than him yet he's still leading in polls? We're so fucked if he becomes President, he has lots of questions and may god help us.

It is NOT about his political positions. People have gotten screwed voting for people who have said one thing and then gotten elected and done the opposite of what they promised. Additionally, candidates have had great rational ideas that generated no interest from voters at the polls.

Trump realizes, that during the **election** (not necessarily during the presidency), it is **not** about what ideas you have. What he is saying he is going to do doesn't matter. It is about hyping up the voters and getting people to the polls for you. Trump acts like a leader and that is what people want.

warjesus420 • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 11:08 PM

Thank you! I was seriously beginning to worry about every member of this sub from the recent posts about him. Glad there are some who aren't idiots.

excaliboor • 9 points • 2 March, 2016 12:40 AM

If you think everybody around you is an idiot, it might actually be you.

warjesus420 • -1 points • 2 March, 2016 01:40 AM

Well maybe I'd believe that if everyone wasn't about to elect the worst decision in American history. Do you know that 25% of americans don't know if the earth revolves around the sun or vice-versa.

I also hate saying this but in Mensa tests I'm in the 99th percentile, My IQ is 140. So yeah I hate sounding like a dick but to be perfectly honestly most people I interact with are legitimately dumber than I am. Again I really hate saying that but if you want to push it then...well yeah. I find almost everyone I interact on a daily basis to be obviously dumber than I am.

tyrryt • 2 points • 2 March, 2016 09:37 AM

I also hate saying this but in Mensa tests I'm in the 99th percentile,

But apparently you're not smart enough to figure out that by saying this, you've

made everything else you say irrelevant.

sickofbeingaffraid • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 08:59 AM

So were you a lobbyist or special interest lulz

--Visionary-- • 1 point • 3 March, 2016 12:18 AM

Rubio had none? There literally was like one girl that constantly started screaming supportively even before he finished a sentence.

John_E_Vegas • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 05:19 AM

I think Trump IS a con-artist. I wish he wasn't winning this race.

BUT...first, I doubt very much that Trump was panicking about anything. He's got a commanding lead in the race, and he's as cool as they come.

As much as I'd prefer a different candidate, it's already soooo much fun to watch the media and the Democrats get soooo spun up about this guy.

Trump is definitely fun to watch, and he's putting on a clinic in this race. He has transformed American politics.

SoCalifornia • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 09:24 PM

Nice try Little Marco. You can't fool us.

SheLovesMyJizz • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 10:29 PM

Nice try? I hate them all? I was there, for the debate, and for his Fort Worth Convention which heard nothing but racist remarks from the crowd.

SoCalifornia • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 10:32 PM

You're right I don't think you actually are Little Marco but more likely paid 50 cents per post for your basket case posts.

warjesus420 • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 11:12 PM

Can you discuss actual issues instead of behaving like the children rest of them?

IllimitableMan • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 12:51 PM

If you read his book

Which one? He has more than one book.

bertmaklinFBI • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 03:23 PM

"The Art of the Deal"

Interesting article that found similar corollaries.

Styx_ • 1 points • 1 March, 2016 05:11 PM **[recovered]**

Are you referring to Art of the Deal?

bertmaklinFBI • 6 points • 1 March, 2016 05:20 PM

Yes.

Here is an interesting article discussing it

Imjustkidding • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 06:00 PM

Good read, thanks for sharing.

Almost tempted to buy the book now

hiphoprising • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 07:38 PM

If you're in business or work in any social aspect it's worth the purchase.

KikiCVIII • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 10:08 PM [recovered]

So bully others and talking over them means you're good at defending yourself?

bertmaklinFBI • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 03:19 PM

Are you 5? You can only let yourself get bullied and talked over...

KikiCVIII • 1 points • 2 March, 2016 04:54 PM [recovered]

True, but if you're the bully or loud mouth whatever point you're trying to make is invalid. I don't want a president who can't articulate him or herself without lowering themselves to childish name calling.

bertmaklinFBI • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 07:00 PM

True, but if you're the bully or loud mouth whatever point you're trying to make is invalid.

To you or me yes. To others no.

I don't want a president who can't articulate him or herself without lowering themselves to childish name calling.

I hear you but those candidates are either gone or get a fraction of the coverage.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 12:48 AM

welcome to politics I guess

[deleted] • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 05:57 PM

Are you talking about The Art of the Deal?

hyugafan • 22 points • 1 March, 2016 02:50 PM

Most CEOs and businessmen are naturals at these kinds of social gymnastics. Most don't bother with politics because there is no long-term profit in it. Trump is kind of an enigma in that regard. I wonder what his agenda is.

nuesuh • 36 points • 1 March, 2016 03:40 PM

pretty obvious. Trump does not care about day to day politics. He wants to be the president. If he cannot succeed with this, if he doesn't get elected.. He's going to disappear off of the political landscape.

Why president? It would immortalize him.

gabrielshkelstein • 21 points • 2 March, 2016 12:10 AM*

Trump's assets are fixed assets like real estate. He sees these companies moving abroad and it lessens demand for office space in his luxury skyscrapers. What's happening is the corporate tax rates are out of control, so companies are using a managerial accounting technique called transfer pricing to set up shop overseas (Ireland) and avoid paying U.S. taxes. These taxes are incredibly high and it won't end with Hillary or especially Bernie.

Also, Trump owns lots of golf resorts (Doral, Turnberry-Scotland, Trump National-Rancho Palos Verdes). He sees the demographic transformation taking place in the west right now and it doesn't bode well for the golf industry, because minorities don't really play golf.

Anyone who is red pill knows demographics are destiny.

Trump is protecting his assets. 100%. I'm sure of it.

He's using one of the 48 Laws of Power. Law 13: Appeal to people's self interest. Americans' self interest is a healthy economy and so is Trump's.

Paddy32 • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 10:25 PM

That's precisely his motivations. And why it's probably not a good idea to vote for him.

If I were American I would vote for someone who cared about the people, not about himself.

Zahoo • 10 points • 2 March, 2016 04:23 AM

If I were American I would vote for someone who cared about the people, not about himself.

This is exactly why people are voting for him. They are tired of politicians pretending to care and then doing the same old thing. Trump is acting like a leader and telling people that even if they don't agree with him, he will make things better overall.

Paddy32 • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 08:19 AM

At least he's honest, cause it's true that all politicians lie.

nuesuh • 5 points • 2 March, 2016 07:46 AM

I'm not from the US myself. I'm split between Sanders and Trump. They both have their strenghts and weaknesses.

Shillary sure does have a fuckton of weaknesses. Should be in prison.

[deleted] • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 11:53 AM

See this is the thing different about Americans. We realize that self interest is the only interest and everything else is a facade. Trump won't allow his legacy to be that of a bad president. That is in the interest of the American people as much as it is for him. When self interests intersect is when things get done in this world.

excaliboor • 32 points • 2 March, 2016 12:47 AM

Yeah, it's not a good idea to vote for a guy who can't be bought, who's got balls, confidence, quick wit, commands respect, authority and dominance, a leader who gets things done and doesn't care if the decision is popular, but chooses the right one - in other words a pure alpha male.

Go vote for a lying criminal or socialist/communist. That's more like it. Because political correctness and saying you care about people make you more suitable for the most powerful

person in the fucking world.

Paddy32 • 6 points • 2 March, 2016 08:20 AM

I really don't care that much about politics, but isn't deleting the environment agency a bad idea ? I mean we've got to stop fucking up the planet.

[deleted] • 2 points • 3 March, 2016 06:03 PM

The global warming stuff is a proven scam. <http://www.globalclimatescam.com/>

Don't you find it funny how cows contribute more to the greenhouse effect? Their farts do more harm on the climate than humanity's whole industry. The authors of the original paper which started the climate change crap have already come out to say it's all made up. Don't just believe me, go do your own research.

icecow • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 02:29 AM

The reality is the type of person that has the drive to become a politician is the type that wants the status of being a politician, not the type that wants to fix the country. Hillary is the most conspicuous example of that.

[deleted] • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 08:18 PM

Among his rich friends, he would suddenly have the highest status than all of them. Gates and Buffett would jostle to get meetings with him

Smigg_e • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 05:18 AM

I highly doubt bill gates gives a shit about his social status

warjesus420 • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 11:16 PM

After seeing all the blind trump worship here I was starting to really question this sub. I'm really glad to see not everyone here is following this fascist egomaniac madman to the end of days.

Diarrhea_Van_Frank • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 01:44 AM

That's totally rational and level headed. You definitely aren't letting your emotions cloud your judgment at all.

nuesuh • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 07:45 AM

That is not my opinion. this subreddit is not a place of blind worship to Trump. People do talk a lot about him, but hey. Everyone does. He is more relevant to TRP then Sanders or Shillary.

ioncehadsexinapool • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 04:48 PM

I wonder what executive order he'd issue first day in office.

max_peenor • 6 points • 1 March, 2016 05:56 PM

I suspect the skirts at Foxnews will suddenly get a lot shorter.

CHAD_J_THUNDERCOCK • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 05:49 PM

.... I heard he's gonna *build a wall*

Troll_Name • 10 points • 1 March, 2016 10:44 PM

I wonder what his agenda is.

Protecting his descendants' crop fields from seven billion hungry locusts.

Ripred019 • 15 points • 1 March, 2016 03:07 PM

It's likely a desire for power. Trump may be wealthy, but being president of the United states puts you on a completely different level.

IamGale[S] • 16 points • 1 March, 2016 03:21 PM

I agree. He's almost 70, owns more money then he can ever spend, and loves attention. Why not got for the presidency, it makes sense for him. This will be the last chance he'll ever get in his life to be president.

anecdotal • 6 points • 1 March, 2016 05:23 PM

Desire for legacy more likely.

Troll_Name • 6 points • 1 March, 2016 10:46 PM

In one word: ambition.

The same exact thing that has fueled every other politician.

Vaethyr • 1 point • 28 March, 2016 11:25 PM

I wonder what his agenda is.

To make America great again

supersonic-turtle • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 04:27 PM

I think he sees his wealth a ticket to immortality, the closest he could get would be if his money continues to make money in his name long after his death. That being said American politics is a popularity contest the founding fathers knew this so why is it a surprise that someone as popular as Donald Trump is on the way to becoming the next POTUSA

IamGale[S] • 5 points • 1 March, 2016 02:18 PM

Thanks man.

NO_LAH_WHERE_GOT • 7 points • 2 March, 2016 09:29 AM

I just found an interesting essay about Trump, thought I'd share it here:

"Donald Trump has come, and he is your punishment.

You gaze in incomprehension and dismay; how could those strange alien creatures, Trump's followers, be drawn to such obvious lies? Well, that is something I find very easy to understand; so I will endeavor to explain it. Trump's appeal has nothing to do with some long-repressed hatred of Muslims that a presidential candidate has dared to speak openly - or any such nonsense. No, that is not what is happening here at all.

Trump's rival politicians upon the stage are ordinary modern politicians. Which is to say, they are hunted creatures, constantly looking over their shoulders, living every second in fear of the journalists watching them. Each word that spills from their lips is measured, cautious, carefully conformed to what is allowed

them, drained of life and meaning.

And the people must have strength.

A hundred thousand years ago, your ancestors watched as would-be leaders fought for control of their tribe, and chose sides. Those who sided with the strong did better than those who sided with the weak, and you are descended from them. It is not a matter of calculation, but of instinct. When you are downtrodden, when your fellows look upon you with disgust, you will feel drawn to a strong leader who promises to upend the tribe. You will be charmed by him, you will cheer him, you will back him in his bid for power. Your instinct will echo the ancient footsteps of those who felt drawn to the eventual winner, who afterward received the scraps from his hand in exchange for their loyalty.

And that hunted creature who lives every second in fear of journalists, always glancing over his shoulders for fear of public opinion - he is not recognized as strong. Not at the core, not in the instinct. The modern politician's lifeless, rehearsed words reek of the servant who lives in constant fear of his master's wrath. One misstep, and the howling packs of journalists will descend in fierce delight, ripping him apart, feasting on the 'gaffe' and ending his ambitions. The modern politician is stooped and afraid of what is above him, that holds the power to punish. When the press demands an apology, he must give it submissively. In older times, a man like that would be too weak to succeed in controlling his tribe; his laughable attempt to seize power would inevitably end in ruin for him and his followers. The voter's instinct sees this and is repulsed; perhaps this vile man might be a useful tool, but to be charmed by him, to follow him instinctively - that will not happen.

The rise of Donald Trump is as simple as that.

Trump does not fear the journalists that every other politician is hunted by. Trump's words are not empty - they are obvious, vile lies, to be sure, and the people know that. What matters is that Trump's words are not censored, cautious, constantly looking around in fear. Every time the oozing journalists try to seize on another of his 'gaffes' - wondering desperately why it is not working, why their poisonous claws have failed them - Trump laughs and the people see that he is not afraid. He shows strength, by his open evil; he shows that he is above anyone's power to reprimand. Seeing Trump's success and not understanding it, some of those cautious men venture a few carefully calculated insults and rudenesses of their own, to try to imitate Trump's mysterious success; but they are not fooling anyone.

Oh, there will be no scraps for Trump's followers, to be sure. This era is not a hundred thousand years earlier, and a nation is not a tribe. Trump will never know his loyal follower's name, he will give them nothing for their loyalty. But we are not dealing now with ambition and calculation, but with instinct. A hundred thousand years ago, you might do well for yourself if your loyalty happened to surge up for strong and promising leaders, and restrain its affections from the weak.

And be it also clear, this is not about some long-concealed hate for Mexicans, or Muslims, or whoever. Perhaps that hatred did exist before Trump, but if so, it was irrelevant to his rise. When a tribe's bellies are empty and the pickings have grown thin, and a strong man rises up to say it is time to fight the tribe that lives across the water - to take their land or perish trying - why, people will cheer enthusiastically, and hate in whatever direction they are pointed. Trump could as easily have told his followers to hate Russians or Chinese, and they would have bayed along the same in their instinctive affection.

Here is the real tragedy: the people in their despair would also have followed an admiral or a general who had proved themselves a leader of men, stern and honorable. They would have followed a strong religious leader who demanded that they renounce hate rather than embrace it. If, that is, the modern press permitted an impression of strength and goodness to coincide.

That oozing mass of journalists would consider it an insult, if some politician acted like they thought themselves better than the rest. They would go hunting errors, to bring down this person who thinks

himself the better - and it is impossible that they would find no meat. Now that it is possible to scrutinize a man's entire life, it is impossible to find no single misstep, no departure from what the press has decided is virtue. Let any politician dare to step forth as a man of honor, and the press will bay the hunt and contradict it with a 'gaffe' they spoke twelve years earlier. Did someone hire the wrong babysitter twenty years earlier? Did they fail to say the standard empty words when a disaster struck or some journalist demanded their sympathy? "Ha," the journalist cries in delight, "look at that, a gaffe, a gaffe! He has lost his race, his career is over!" And you - why, you believe them.

What honorable man would even try to serve you, now? You have made the lives of politicians a living hell with their every public instant watched; and double that hell for anyone who ever wanted their words to have integrity. What Franklin Roosevelt would enjoy having every single facet of their lives scrutinized so, for one word that a journalist could consider a gaffe? What General Dwight Eisenhower, what military man of honor, would like to spend their lives saying only things that are empty and safe? In the eighteenth century, perhaps, there were few paths to greatness except to become a great politician; they would have had no choice but to endure any hell if they wanted a place in history. Today an ambitious, competent man can become a CEO and have his own private jet - so why should he instead become one more anonymous face among 435 little representatives, constantly looking over his shoulder for the press? Why bother, when he could be making billions at a hedge-fund, or founding a company, or just living quietly with his family without being hounded?

Maybe you believe a truly good man would tough out the hell you heap on him, if he were noble enough to truly wish to serve his country. Well, have a look at the Republican lineup and see how that strategy has worked out for you. If only terrible candidates apply to your job posting, it means that the best people do not find your job posting attractive. Either the truly good men left you to your fate in disgust, or, if they did try to serve their countries, some journalist deemed them 'unelectable' the first time they spoke their minds.

Who then are these hunted men upon the stage, the cast of this parade of clowns? They are lawyers who wanted to be more than lawyers, and who didn't find the life of a politician too appalling. They are the little big men who did not give up on their Congressional careers in disgust when they found how little real power they had to make changes. They are those who, for all the paltry respect of other little big men and their scraps of fame, found that preferable to going back and being an ordinary lawyer. They lied and spoke empty words and lied some more and now they are trying to embiggen themselves a little more.

But the people must have strength; and in the depths of their despair they will not feel drawn to a weak man who wants a little more attention. The people could have been drawn to a military commander who was tough and honorable, to a priest who was noble and upright, or to a proven and competent businessman; but you made that impossible.

Yes, make no mistake of it, you did this to yourself, you were the author of your own destruction. It is you who believed the oozing mass of journalists that told you who was 'electable' and what was a 'gaffe'. You joined in their howling wolfpacks and feasted in satisfaction upon the downfall of any politician who made one mistake, and created the living hell that drove any would-be Abraham Lincolns away to greener pastures. Every time you sneered along with an accusation of moral hypocrisy, every time you delighted in discovering some delicious imperfection, you ensured that only one remaining kind of leader could be perceived as strong. For an open, laughing liar does not fear accusations of hypocrisy, and outright evil need not apologize for its moral imperfections.

Donald Trump has come, and he is your punishment."

-- David Monroe

[deleted] • 2 points • 2 March, 2016 12:07 PM

The most important part in this essay is here:

Yes, make no mistake of it, you did this to yourself, you were the author of your own destruction.

Every time I see Trump I think: "He's the leader we deserve."

Sdom1 • 1 point • 3 March, 2016 10:13 PM

The people could have been drawn to a military commander who was tough and honorable, to a priest who was noble and upright, or to a proven and competent businessman;

Holy dear God, say what you want, but Trump is most definitely a highly competent businessman. Pieces like this treat him as subhuman, which is ridiculous. He's not PC, and this is apparently an incredible sin.

You realize that whoever wrote this is highly liberal and would shit all over anyone here at TRP for wrongthink, right?

sweetleef • 8 points • 1 March, 2016 04:11 PM

He is clearly dominant, his showmanship is at another level. The body language, salesmanship, wit, and arrogance portray him as the only adult amongst a group of whiny children.

Whether those things translate into presidential skills is another question, but he's clearly superior at getting support in comparison to his competition. And coming off of 16 years of failure, and facing the prospect of 8 more years of shrieking pantsuits and PC self-flagellation, he's at least a step in the right direction.

sleetx • 12 points • 1 March, 2016 05:33 PM

By contrast, the arrogance and whininess portray him as the child of the group. Just because he defends himself repeatedly doesn't mean he did it in a way that makes all viewers respect him. The debate would be significantly more mature and policy-specific if he did not take part. We saw that in the one he skipped.

sweetleef • 13 points • 1 March, 2016 08:36 PM

The debate would be significantly more mature and policy-specific if he did not take part.

No doubt at all. And sadly maturity and policy knowledge don't necessarily win elections. If they did, we might have a competent government.

But if the measure is getting support for an election, he clearly dominated this debate. And that's the point of the submission - despite his rudeness, arrogance, and lack of policy, he devastated his opponents through rhetorical and marketing skills.

warjesus420 • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 11:18 PM

I saw him say "Little Rubio Bwah bwah bwah bwah" Like a child making fun of their friend. How can you call him an adult?

[deleted] 2 March, 2016 08:48 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 March, 2016 07:48 PM

Didn't Trump get cuckold in '98 though?

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 11:14 PM

Rhetoric is only useful if the opponent is devoid of facts and data. Trump is nowhere near as successful, call it like it is and consistent as people think he is. All the charisma in the world won't save him against facts. When I think of Trump as president I see Terry Crews from Idiocracy.

[deleted] • -18 points • 1 March, 2016 03:57 PM*

This is meant to be satire right?

Edit: Down-voted literally 30 seconds after commenting. No use getting through to the Trump fanatics.

paulhockey5 • 10 points • 1 March, 2016 04:24 PM

Most of us don't want Trump to be elected, I just find the way he carries himself and influences people interesting.

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 04:38 PM

I find it interesting too. The way he has brought his supporters to his way of thinking is impressive. No denying that. It's this tad bit that seems ridiculous

showing his superiority over others.

ragtagmofi • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 08:29 PM

It's this tad bit that seems ridiculous

showing his superiority over others.

It's not ridiculous, politics aside he's a self made billionaire and has the attention of the entire country, it's undeniable he is superior at whatever he is doing be it as a businessman or an orator. Even if you don't like or agree with him there is still a least something you could take a lesson on. That is of course unless your achievements somehow overshadow his

JoshAndArielle • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 04:29 PM

A Trump presidency is a scary thought. However, that does not mean we can't learn from the way he carries himself, like you said.

ioncehadsexinapool • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 04:49 PM

Yeah. I was just thinking a little bit ago how he could be such a great president if he wasn't such a jackass. But then again thats why a lot of can relate to him...maybe it's just all an act. Maybe

JoshAndArielle • -3 points • 1 March, 2016 05:05 PM

I have to respectfully disagree... Trump has xenophobic qualities that no president should have. He really called all Mexicans "rapists" in one speech that he gave IIRC. That's not the sign of a good president in the land of the free.

Opanion • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 06:15 PM

No he didn't. Listen to the whole thing instead of a 10second sound bite.

JoshAndArielle • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 08:50 PM*

No he didn't. Listen to the whole thing instead of a 10second sound bite.

I guess I* didn't then. But what about the whole Trump wall debacle? Or the Muslim hate?

Opanion • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 09:21 PM

Enforcing a sound border policy isn't racist. And as for the muslims they are on a mission of jihad in Europe and the president is allowed to block anyone he deems unfit to enter the country for any amount of time. Its literally in the Constitution.

ioncehadsexinapool • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 05:15 PM

What I meant was, it seems like such a waste to me. Good skills not being put to good use

acdcfreak • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 04:12 PM

Since it's not meant to be satire, you aren't coming close to doing anything.

74 year old socialists with horrid posture, thats satire.

Marr0w1 • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 04:38 PM

Not American. Think Trump is an idiot. Still think this is an excellent post that clearly shows the thought behind what appear to be offhand comments.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 05:15 PM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 09:56 PM

Every comment you have made regarding Trump is attacking the commentator directly in his supposed short comings instead of focusing on the argument.

He is murdering his opponents in the debates because he is faster than them intellectually.

He is excellent at gardening attention and rallying support behind him. There is absolutely no denying that. He has won every debate he attended clearly, except the one in Houston. Depending on who you ask, they will tell you something different.

You dislike his tactics because you can't implement them.

Pretty much everyone thinks Trumps tactics are extremely effective. Where does not knowing how to implement cause dislike towards them?

How about you stop attacking people on being inferior to Trump based on your judgement and focus if Trump would actually make a great president.

I have read his book on the Art of the Deal and learned quite a bit from it. He clearly knows how to lead and captivate attention. The skills required to get to that level should be studied, learned and utilized as how it relates to the Red Pill.

John Oliver does an excellent job of illustrating and showing why Donald Trump is a terrible choice for president, but shows how charismatic of a leader he really is.

Bammer1386 • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 06:00 PM

I get what hes saying. Trump can be a genius at damage control but that doesnt make him a good

politician. Because he can stand up there at the podium and deflect all day like some super mental ninja doesn't mean he's the best to lead the most powerful nation in the world. Would you take medical advice from Steve Jobs if he were alive? He was a marketing genius, right?

Marr0w1 • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 03:05 AM

There are different sorts of intelligence. Watching him it seems that he's good at the sorts of things he's doing in this video, but also appears to say a lot of things that don't have any apparent basis. And you misconstrued the point of my comment, which was that I thought OP did a good job, regardless of my personal opinion of the subject

TacoNinjaSkills • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 05:55 PM

Trump is demonstrably not an idiot though. Criticize his policy and personality all you like, but saying Trump is stupid is a falsehood.

mugatucrazypills • 49 points • 1 March, 2016 08:25 PM*

Hillary vs. Trump debate will be interesting,

even the psychological warfare going into a Trump Hillary debate will be interesting.

I predict Hillary is going to lose it and core dump the whole list of feminist shaming tactics on Trump at the debate.

Most likely he'll just turn on a firehose of rhetorical whoop ass mixed with judo that paints her as a menopausal max-max angry wife.

But no outcome is statistically guaranteed at this level of boxing.

xdrunkagainx • 13 points • 1 March, 2016 10:24 PM

The Clintons were trained by Tony Robbins, it's going to be damn interesting.

Sdom1 • 3 points • 3 March, 2016 10:13 PM

The Clintons were trained by Tony Robbins, it's going to be damn interesting.

I believe Trump was as well.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 02:24 AM

I wouldn't think that Robbins, himself, is even that dynamic. Sure, he can sell his product, but, having read two of his books, I have to say that I think that his audience is more the Amway type.

IamGale[S] • 13 points • 1 March, 2016 08:54 PM

It's going to be juicy. I can't wait.

dongpal • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 06:07 PM

when does it start and where can i see it live as a non american?

[deleted] • 4 points • 2 March, 2016 06:00 AM

I don't use this word, but Trump vs. Hillary will be epic. He'll beat the shit out of her. That's a debate I look forward to watching.

Troll_Name • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 10:50 PM*

Clinton: emotions emotions emotions fallacies emotions accusation, followed by a bunch of promises which are the polar opposite of her proven history.

Trump: fuck your emotions, we had time for bleeding heart causes back when your husband was bombing struggling farmers on the other side of the planet.

And then the vote is decided based on who is angrier at the moment, which is Republicans. This same dynamic put Obama in office after GW Bush pissed the country off as Obama is presently doing.

...

But no outcome is statistically guaranteed at this level of boxing.

Disagreeing on this one specific thing - Bernie Sanders might be a hired jobber but that's only because the Dems knew Hillary Clinton is not a natural champion. Hillary LOOKS like a capable candidate, when you compare her to Bernie. Sanders is the one person in the entire running who's list of accomplishments is shorter than hers.

warjesus420 • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 11:22 PM

Have you ever actually looked into Sanders?

warjesus420 • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 11:21 PM

Bernie vs Trump would be far more entertaining. Not just cause he would have nothing to say in response to Bernie since he has no actual plans or substance to anything, and the few *cough* "plans" trump has are complete failures with no possibility of working. I'd love to see the idiot crumble under a real man of the people.

spartan1337 • 11 points • 2 March, 2016 12:31 AM

Whenever Trump destroyed Cruz and Rubio with a rebuttal, he kept a straight face. I wonder if that's better or if you should laugh after your rebuttal, like Rubio did. He said something funny against Trump, people laughed and he laughed too, wondering which of the two faces is more effective.

Menigguh • 117 points • 1 March, 2016 03:39 PM

I freakin' hate the guy but this post is so spot on I can't help but give him credit for his damage management/authority assertion technique. He knows exactly how to heard the lemmings .

disposable_pants • 16 points • 2 March, 2016 03:19 AM

A lot of this is useful. But a lot of it only works for Trump **because he's a multi-billionaire beholden to no one**. It's important to understand what falls into which category.

For example, #9 -- use simple, quick language -- is helpful in almost any situation. It comes across as decisive and confident and people respond to that far better than a more thoughtful (but less coherent) argument. Humor (#8) is also pretty universally useful, as is re-framing (#1). But stuff like interrupting, attacking, dismissing, and playing the victim can easily backfire if you aren't in as powerful of a position as Trump. If you're powerful being an asshole can be an advantage; if you aren't, it can turn everyone against you real quick.

Different strategies are optimal for different levels of power. Don't assume that everything Trump does will work for you, because much of the time you aren't in as powerful of a position as he is.

username_goes_where • 5 points • 2 March, 2016 04:13 AM

Correct. If I tried to pull this type of stuff at work if be fired in a month.

Not saying it doesn't work in some situations, just don't think if you do these things you'll be Alpha AF.

alpha_n3rd • 44 points • 1 March, 2016 05:30 PM

yeah finally a trump post with some meat on it's bones, not just "TRUMP IS REDPILL BECAUSE REASONS DURRR"

night-addict • 5 points • 1 March, 2016 09:35 PM

To be fair, that 48 Laws example post with Trump was pretty great.

alpha_n3rd • 6 points • 1 March, 2016 10:32 PM

I think I skipped that one b/c I was sick of all the trump posts

[deleted] • 8 points • 1 March, 2016 08:21 PM

Fraud waste and abuse

So true

My roommate works for the EPA of canada as a graphic designer. They were throwing away an 8-core 16gb RAM computer because "1 year old is too much" - literally to the recycling.

This is where tax payers money is going ...

IamGale[S] • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 09:00 PM

That's awful... The oversights in government agencies is crazy. I worked for a University awhile ago and instead of ordering the cheapest goods from Amazon, they had to order the goods from an "approved" supplier who charged 3x the regular price... It cut away at so much of the budget.

loganfire3 • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 10:49 PM

This is where kickbacks come in.

chootey • 1 points • 1 March, 2016 09:45 PM **[recovered]**

And then they probably do nothing more than make spreadsheets and send emails.

[deleted] • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 10:26 PM

no she uses illustrator to make posters and stuff, but still... 8 core proccesor with 16gb ram could easily last another 3 years even for the pickiest of designers

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 01:06 AM

and then our schools are stuck with atom netbooks that barely run windows

warjesus420 • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 11:42 PM

So lets destroy environment protection! Cause who needs the earth!?

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 03:11 AM

Excellent point! We need a bunch of government agencies to save a 467,000,000,000,000,000 ton, 500 billion cubic mile planet! /sarcasm

Then he also points out the fact why they're attacking him.

"Let me tell you this because you're really getting beaten badly. I know you're embarrassed and I know you're embarrassed (pointing at Rubio) but keep fighting keep swinging.

This makes it clear to your audience why they're seeing the behaviour that's currently happening. By calling it out, you help put the whole argument in discussion and re-frame the situation. In this case, he's saying that the two are only attacking him because he's winning. He subtly reminds the audience that he's the winning candidate here.

I watched the full debate.

That was the point when it was "game over".

Rubio and Cruz were swinging wildly with weak attacks and Trump was just standing there like they were teenage children.

It would be nearly impossible after that point for anyone to see either Cruz or Rubio as on the same level as Trump.

Trump is truly showing what Red Pill is and reminding us all what authentic masculine polarity is about.

Decades ago there were far more masculine men... I'm 55 years old and remember and at times I wake up experiencing great sadness at the decimation of masculinity that the Blue Pill has done to us.

Remember that the concept of globalism and colonialism isn't new.

The British Empire was doing the same thing back 200+ years ago and it was those Red Pill aware American colonists that woke up to their Game and broke free of it's Blue Pill emotional indoctrination.

The immediate response was the War of 1812 where the globalists almost took America back, but were defeated by the help of the French. ("the bombs bursting in air" refers to the War of 1812)

So the globalist Blue Pill **tendency** has been with us a long time. (names change, ideas don't)

Breaking free of the Blue Pill globalism will not be easy and be warned that if it looks like Trump is really getting close to shutting down the Blue Pill corruption **they will assassinate him** if they can get close.

The last guy that challenged the "establishment" was John F. Kennedy.

George H.W. Bush Motions Throat Cut At Trump - YouTube

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2GAUnnu2xEg>

grachuss • 7 points • 1 March, 2016 05:42 PM

Everyone said Obama would be assassinated too...

NeoreactionSafe • 15 points • 1 March, 2016 05:48 PM

Except that was because people thought he was an "outsider".

Once Obama got inside it became obvious he was a Blue Pill guy they could trust.

Just about everything he said to get elected he ended up doing the opposite.

Remember he was going to be the guy that "reformed the corruption" but in reality he has amplified the corruption by a factor of ten.

[deleted] • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 02:50 AM

Remember he was going to be the guy that "reformed the corruption" but in reality he has amplified the corruption by a factor of ten

Amplification is reform, of one type, lol...

None of the Republicans thought he was an "outsider", and the Democrats knew that he wasn't, but, it was such a powerful part of their narrative and marketing point that they weren't going to give that one up.

NeoreactionSafe • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 05:59 PM

CIA Document 1035-960

<http://memoryholeblog.com/2013/01/20/cia-document-1035-960-foundation-of-a-weaponized-term/>

CIA Document 1035-960 was released in response to a 1976 FOIA request by the New York Times. The directive is especially significant because it outlines the CIA's concern regarding "the whole reputation of the American government" vis-à-vis the Warren Commission Report. The agency was especially interested in maintaining its own image and role as it "contributed information to the [Warren] investigation."

The memorandum lays out a detailed series of actions and techniques for "countering and discrediting the claims of the **conspiracy theorists**, so as to inhibit the circulation of such claims in other countries." For example, approaching "friendly elite contacts (especially politicians and editors)" to remind them of the Warren Commission's integrity and soundness should be prioritized. "[T]he charges of the critics are without serious foundation," the document reads, and "further speculative discussion only plays in to the hands of the [Communist] opposition."

The agency also directed its members "[t]o employ propaganda assets to [negate] and refute the attacks of the critics. Book reviews and feature articles are particularly appropriate for this purpose."

...so I wasn't bullshitting here.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 03:19 PM

[permanently deleted]

NRG1975 • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 06:01 PM

He's a conspiracy theorist, Alex Jones. He is nothing more than more of Trump. Say shit for short term gain whether it is true or not. The sad part is AJ makes predictions that will be provable in the near future, and his predictions almost never match with history. Whoever links AJ should seriously not be taken seriously. Add a hefty helping of granular salt with anything they say.

Sementeries • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 08:59 PM

Take off your Hershey kiss helmet.

NeoreactionSafe • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 03:29 PM

Just pay attention to the video of Bush the Elder.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 04:02 PM

[permanently deleted]

NeoreactionSafe • 21 points • 1 March, 2016 04:09 PM

The "conspiracy theory" was invented as a psychological operation by the CIA in 1967. This has been declassified and is a solid fact.

So think for a second how often people use "conspiracy theory" as a way to downplay opposition.

It has been widely reported that the "establishment" doesn't like Trump because he violates pretty much all the Blue Pill rules.

Which makes me wonder who the hell you are?

Are you even in the Red Pill community?

acdefreak • 6 points • 1 March, 2016 04:35 PM

He feels comfortable lumping anyone who doesn't dislike Trump into one category of people he feels are all retarded... Clearly bought into the reddit promotion of Bernie Sanders, and media distortion of Trump.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 05:20 PM*

[permanently deleted]

NeoreactionSafe • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 04:41 PM

We don't have to determine why he thinks a certain way we just have to question if he's part of the Red Pill masculine polarity line of thinking.

There are an infinite number of mythologies and only one truth.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 04:54 PM

[permanently deleted]

PrinceofSpades • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 06:48 PM

I believe it is more along the lines of waking up and realizing everyone has a hidden agenda, just like how the basics teach us about women, and that we shouldn't so openly trust the exceptionally wealthy and powerful with open arms as doing more for us than for themselves.

Politics is a very blue-pill game played by red-pill people because the masses are blue-pill. Ignore the answers in the form of conspiracies, and instead shift your frame to one of looking at where he's coming from regarding posing the questions. Questioning the world around you is very TRP. Draw your own conclusions and form your own opinions from them. Do what you need to do for you. Some people need to believe crazy shit to dissuade themselves from buying into what they were raised from birth to buy into. Even then, that alternative is still likely better than blindly accepting what's presented to you.

EpicLevelCheater[M] • 2 points • 3 March, 2016 08:25 AM

You are going in the quiet room until you learn how to speak with some civility. One

month.

Just_in78 • 5 points • 1 March, 2016 05:13 PM

It's always good to be wary. Ever heard of MK-Ultra? They don't mention that in the history books.

IamGale[S] • -2 points • 1 March, 2016 03:20 PM

I appreciate your perspective. This is the first election that really caught my attention and it was because of Trump and how his use masculinity has led him to dominate the political field.

Trump is truly showing what Red Pill is and reminding us all what authentic masculine polarity is about.

Absolutely, it's crazy just how powerful it can be.

Lucifer_The_Unclean • 75 points • 1 March, 2016 02:31 PM

I honestly couldn't believe my eyes and ears watching Trump hold his own against everyone. No matter what they threw at him it wouldn't stick. Even if I don't agree with him on every point I want a leader who will make America hold our own even if the entire world gangs up against us. He's literally proving his leadership abilities.

CaCacanada • 12 points • 1 March, 2016 09:34 PM

1. When haven't we held our own?
2. A good leader wouldn't have the world against us to begin with. All of the world's economies are connected so why would the world hating us be seen as a good thing?
3. It sounds like you're falling for basic manipulation tactics like "Divide and Conquer." You believe in this US vs THEM mentality and that's what's captivating you. I'm not surprised Trump is where he is but I haven't heard a single, good explanation of why he will be a good president. So I ask you to tell me what will make Trump a good president?

warjesus420 • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 11:34 PM

Glad to see some here aren't being bought in by him and are maintaining actual semblance of intelligence.

[deleted] • -2 points • 2 March, 2016 02:32 AM

A good leader wouldn't have the world against us to begin with. All of the world's economies are connected so why would the world hating us be seen as a good thing?

Uh, what part of the world is against us because of Trump? You're making up all of these straw men arguments.

It sounds like you're falling for basic manipulation tactics like "Divide and Conquer." You believe in this US vs THEM mentality and that's what's captivating you.

WTF is wrong with your brain? "Divide and Conquer"??? WTF are you talking about?? And, if you care to look at any newspaper, it IS an us v. them situation. Do you even have any idea what geopolitics is about???

CaCacanada • 4 points • 2 March, 2016 02:38 AM*

1. Those were your words(Well not yours, but the guy I replied to). Not mine. Do you believe that Trump will actually convince countries to collaborate with us?
2. You go on to say what am I talking about, then you acknowledge what I'm talking about. Wow. Anyways a basic tactic for conquering a society is to create a division, play up the division, and stir up nationalism which Trump is executing perfectly. You people are falling right into that trap. The world doesn't give a shit about you. If you actually leave your basements for once you would see that people have too much to worry about to obsess about our narcissistic ass country.

We know the media feeds us bullshit and that's the source that you choose to cite? Really? Come on. You have to be smarter than that.

We are on TRP to learn how to think for ourselves but I can see now that is beginning to die out.

Styx_26 points 1 March, 2016 05:15 PM [recovered]

Horseshit. The guy's a brilliant marketer, no doubt, but his ability to appeal to the masses in what is basically a glorified theatrical performance has almost no bearing on his actual ability to lead the country. Nothing about him suggests that he actually knows what's best for our country.

max_peenor • 50 points • 1 March, 2016 05:58 PM

no bearing on his actual ability to lead the country.

This platitude is getting tiresome. Exactly what does it mean? Ability to lead the country?

[deleted] • 12 points • 1 March, 2016 08:23 PM

Indian here. We basically elected a grown up version of Trump 2 years back - Modi. The man was theatrical as hell, flamboyant and had a history of islamophobia and right wing beliefs.

He's not a bad leader since he had over 25 years of experience in political leadership, but his islamophobic, anti-democratic policies have created a quasi civil war of sorts between the rationalists and the religious nuts. And despite all the theatricality, he hasn't actually managed to get anything done

I can guarantee you will be disappointed with Trump

omgimbackagain • 11 points • 1 March, 2016 09:43 PM

Interesting perspective. I would counter with:

Like people were dissatisfied in Obama, Bush hell even Clinton?

There is only so much someone as a figurehead at the top of the pile can do. It is far better in my opinion to have a strong leader at the helm than someone who is more likely to get bullied by special interests.

max_peenor • 31 points • 1 March, 2016 08:44 PM

his islamophobic, anti-democratic policies have created a quasi civil war of sorts between the rationalists and the religious nuts

You realize he did that on purpose, right?

I can guarantee you will be disappointed with Trump

We are always disappointed. The problem isn't the men in office. The problem is the population. We want someone with the power of a King, the morals of a saint and a magical handbag that shits out gold bars every time he opens it. In other words, we want our parents because we can't be grown up any more.

Enjoy the decline....

icecow • 4 points • 2 March, 2016 02:59 AM

If one yells more power to states, less to feds, one will come off as a backwards hick. However how else could it be. As the size of the country went from 20 million to 350 million, so did the ridiculousness of one man representing all of them. It's like if the head of the girl scouts found herself in charge of 20 million girl scouts instead of 500, and started issuing what types of underwear they can and can't wear.

I'd think counties, and states would have about the same amount of power, and the feds would have a fraction. Want a good education system? Accept that County level participation and decisions is as big as it can get.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 02:38 AM

We will be disappointed with ANY President. You, however, don't like him, so you won't be disappointed. What a paradox!

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 10:54 AM

I actually like Trump as a person and as a man. But I also know that presidents aren't really as effective as we think they are

dragoncharity0 points 1 March, 2016 10:41 PM* **[recovered]**

We basically elected a grown up version of Trump 2 years back

No, you elected a man who has a couple of superficial similarities to Trump. You're using a vague comparison to indirectly attack Trump based on the failings of a different person altogether.

I can guarantee you will be disappointed with Trump

I can guarantee that you're fucking retarded.

warjesus420 • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 11:27 PM

Excellent debate of his point instead of doing the usual right-wing tactic of ignoring everything all together and declaring the person stupid.....oh wait....

Casanova-Quinn • -5 points • 1 March, 2016 07:14 PM

It means he has a rudimentary knowledge of operating a government because he's never been a politician. Every president ever elected has either had previous experience as a politician or a decorated military career. Trump hasn't even been a mayor. Would a company hire an employee with no experience for an important job? I think it's a reasonable critique.

hiphoprising • 23 points • 1 March, 2016 07:40 PM

He also doesn't have a civil engineering degree, yet he's able to build skyscrapers...

He's a manager, not a bureaucrat. He can find the right policymakers, he doesn't have to be the

policymaker himself.

The biggest part of being president is being a *leader*. So we should really be discussing his experience being a leader. Being from the military never helped anyone perform their presidential duties better, that's why they have advisors. FDR led WWII and was never involved in the military. Woodrow Wilson led WWI and was never involved in the military.

Casanova-Quinn • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 05:39 PM

He can find the right policymakers, he doesn't have to be the policymaker himself.

True, but I would not consider him much of a leader if he's *heavily* relying on a team of advisors; which he would be based on a lack of experience. That just makes him a puppet.

Being from the military never helped anyone perform their presidential duties better

What are you talking about? Presidential duties include war tactics and national security, a former general/colonel would excel in these areas.

hiphoprising • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 08:54 PM

A leader knows how to locate and motivate the best people for the job. That's what a manager does. The executive branch is for managers, the legislative branch is for policy makers.

That doesn't address the WWI & WWII argument I made. You basically ignored it and repeated your last argument.

Casanova-Quinn • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 10:08 PM

doesn't address the WWI & WWII argument

Your WW argument doesn't address my issue. I agree with the WW examples, advisors can fill the gaps of a leaders knowledge. FDR had experience as a mayor and senator, not at warfare.

My issue is that Trump is experienced in nothing but the real estate business. He would be *too reliant* on advisors for *everything*.

You basically ignored it

Look who's talking, you ignored my response to military experience not being helpful.

hiphoprising • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 10:17 PM

Look who's talking, you ignored my response to military experience not being helpful.

Never said it wasn't helpful, I said it wasn't necessary ;). It's helpful if Ben Carson got elected and would revamp our entire healthcare system, but it seems pretty silly to elect someone based on a single issue. You want someone that isn't a specialist. You want someone that knows how to manage people and get the experts to do the job well. The president is a manager.

How could you be too reliant on advisers? I don't think we could ever be in a scenario where a candidate, let's say they're a previous war general, would go AGAINST what their team of advisers would say even if it was based around

military strategy. This usually doesn't show brains, it shows ignorance. People form teams for a reason.

--Edog-- • 5 points • 1 March, 2016 08:45 PM

Not a Trump supporter - but I will offer this anecdote: I recently sat in on a meeting with a mayor of a small city in California who was briefing a small group of businessmen about the development of a piece of property in their part of town. First, I was amazed at how tight the mayor was with these businessmen/women - and I was impressed by his depth of knowledge regarding city, state, and federal environmental and zoning regulations (these regulations were holding up the construction of the property) He was explaining all of it to the business owners in the meeting and they all seemed to be generally aware of what he was talking about. (I had never even heard about most of the things they were discussing)

Takeaway: I think business people like Trump gain a fairly good understanding of how government functions over time because they run up against it so much in their business activities.

max_peenor • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 08:47 PM

experience as a politician

Exactly what experience is this and why is it necessary? I think a lot of think this has become a liability, since it means they know how to fuck us while clawing on to power.

Casanova-Quinn • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 10:20 PM

Exactly what experience is this and why is it necessary?

Experience like running a state as a governor or making policy decisions as a congressman. It's necessary in the same way that you would prefer middle aged surgeon over a young surgeon. Both could do the job, but which one is less likely to fuck it up?

max_peenor • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 06:20 PM

Surgery is done a specific way. There is a science behind it. Those that practice it are licensed. Those that fuck up do so because they don't do what they were specifically told to do. None of this exists in politics.

I think a lot of people confuse politicians with bureaucrats. The latter actually run the government; they are the professionals.

Casanova-Quinn • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 09:11 PM

Those that fuck up do so because they don't do what they were specifically told to do.

Not always the case, because surgery involves craftsmanship. For example, some surgeons make more exact cuts or stitch things better. Complications can also happen even when following protocol, and that's when you'd want an experienced surgeon to handle it.

Semantics aside, my point is experience reduces the risk of mistakes occurring.

max_peenor • 1 point • 3 March, 2016 02:48 AM

Have you every watched surgery? It isn't gentle or precise. I once asked a ortho surgeon that was a casual friend about this. He said, "If I have to be that careful, you are already fucked."

Medical science is a statistical science. It's all about risks and outcomes. It is not about dexterity--every surgeon had better be able to perform the physical steps as indicated or they have no business being there. Experience helps in one area-- diagnosis--where it can make it happen faster. However, any junior doctor knows that if they want to keep their license, they better hand off any issue they cannot to someone that is a specialist or more experienced.

In a political setting, what mistakes could an executive make? When it comes to the acts of office (policy doesn't count here--that is a matter of opinion)?

warjesus420 • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 11:25 PM

It means can he run the United States of America without fucking everything up. No, he definitely cannot.

[deleted] • 4 points • 2 March, 2016 02:41 AM

Which you are competent to judge??? What will he fuck up?? AND, don't say that he will have all countries hating us, because that is a lie. He will have Mexico hating us, because we won't take their surplus labor, and less drugs, he will have the Middle East hating us, except for Israel...wow, big changes!!! /sarcasm

max_peenor • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 06:22 PM

That was spectacularly low effort.

thiasus • 8 points • 1 March, 2016 06:30 PM

Nothing about him suggests that he actually knows what's best for our country.

The only candidate this doesn't apply to is Hillary and that's only because you said "him" and "he", although one has his doubts.

Kittens_in_panties • 1 point • 6 March, 2016 04:25 AM

He spends so much time shit talking the other candidates that he has given no explanations on how he's going to do all this stuff. And when he does finally try and come up with a plan to get trillions of dollars by cutting education and the EPA the moderator of all people point out his math is so wrong that it would even reach 100 billion if we completely got rid of education and the EPA. And don't even get me started on his fantasy of making Mexico pay for this economically impossible wall of his.

dragoncharity0 points 1 March, 2016 10:03 PM* **[recovered]**

He knows a hell of a lot better than you do, clearly. Global politics is really just the result of the social dynamic at play when a bunch of people get together in a room every so often to argue about shit. If you narrow it down to that then the most powerful representatives are simply those with oil, nuclear weapons or natural alpha tendencies.

Trump can hold his own against anyone, which is completely necessary when part of the job description is negotiating with characters like Putin or any other elite-level psychopath he'd encounter on a daily

basis. This is the definition of strong leadership.

warjesus420 • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 11:33 PM

No. Social interaction and diplomatic meetings are not who has better game or frame. That is simply bs. God forbid trump wins everyone will quickly see that he can't just "make" other countries get bad deals and give us everything.

Styx_ • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 02:17 AM **[recovered]**

It must be so comforting for you to live in a world where "social dynamics" can solve any problem.

Lucifer_The_Unclean • -11 points • 1 March, 2016 05:21 PM

So in one breath you say he appeals to the masses. In another you say he doesn't know what's best for our country.

So by your logic you're saying that "the masses" don't know what's good for them. You know what a democracy it, right? What a sad little boy you are.

NO_LAH_WHERE_GOT • 23 points • 1 March, 2016 05:29 PM

So by your logic you're saying that "the masses" don't know what's good for them. You know what a democracy it, right? What a sad little boy you are.

The masses *definitely* don't know what's good for them. That's why the Roman Empire fell, and that's why the USA will inevitably fall. It's nothing personal. The masses will choose bread and circuses every time.

"The best argument against democracy is a five-minute conversation with the average voter." - Winston Churchill

Noctis_Cloud • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 06:05 PM

Democracy is a process used to decide our governing body, but make no mistake our country is not a "democracy", it is a constitutional republic.

PrinceofSpades • 5 points • 1 March, 2016 06:29 PM*

Go look up the average IQ, then remember that 50% of people have a lower IQ than that.

Fucking spare me mate, people are almost all getting entertained and think they are special little snowflakes thanks to humanitarianism. Unless you're the one running, well, *anything*, you likely don't know enough about whatever context *anything* is, and thus aren't smart enough to know what's best for you. Very few men aren't sheep. Wake up; after all, isn't that why you're here on TRP?

Think about the large percent of voters who don't even understand the definitions of the bigger words coming out of the candidates' mouths. That's why Trump talks simple: so you understand him better, relate to him better, and believe in him without having any real idea why. Hell, you feel the need to vote because your idiot parents told you it's what people do, or as a Trump-like candidate would say, what *Americans* do.

Also, you say democracy as if your vote even matters. Do you even understand the electoral college you under-educated piece of wasted space? I'm dead serious, a collective group of "representatives" votes in each president on your behalf. You know they can choose whatever they best believe represents their state, regardless of who you put down, right? There is no hard tally except in very few key counties that decide almost everything. Let's say I'm a republican who lives on the west coast

and I vote for Trump. Oh wait that was just a waste of my fucking time because all three west coast states are automatically voting democratic because it's what the representatives believe we want. K. If you want to go matter, go do some research, and then move out to that one county in Ohio who's final decision in ballot count has accurately decided the presidency for the last 100+ years.

America is *not* a democracy. We just use that word to confuse children who think they grew up like yourself. I'll very much admit that the states themselves (including mayors, governors, senators, representatives, etc.) are all actual democracies. Your vote gets tallied up. It matters. Yet it's funny how you probably can't even name the political people who represent you when it comes to voting for National government, because most people don't follow or vote during local elections. They just vote for the president, and then hope for the best and wonder why things got more fucked up. The American people want a savior who will make their hard lives easy. They want someone to let them freely act like children again. Yet they don't look into policies, they look into relatability. "I'd have a beer with that guy" mentality. Please. Grow up. Take more of the red pill, and go find some more success in your own life before giving a fuck about politics, because until you can drop a few casual million to back a candidate, you and your 'vote' are completely irrelevant for the big decision of who gets to be leader.

So no, the American people don't know what's best for them. It's a fucking wonder they can put themselves in suits and fake their way through their 9-5 coffins every day. It's sometimes even a wonder they can tie their own shoes. Yes, I'm also American, I just can clearly see the fucking retardation of a total lack of intellectualism all around me. Then again though, that's kind of what being a beta male is all about at its core: a lack of understanding AND a lack of trying to understand because of a fear of leaving one's comfort zone.

[deleted] • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 02:36 AM

...says the commentor on a reddit sub. If running a country is such a phenomenal chore, what makes YOU qualified to judge who is competent to run it? Have you run one? Of course not.

Watch any president that you care to. What mysterious choices have they made that just about anybody else cannot make, given the same resources, i.e., 10 jillion advisors from all branches of government, business, and society???

Being president is fucking easy; getting elected is the trick.

Styx_ • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 02:46 AM **[recovered]**

Sure being president's probably pretty easy. Being a *good* president is the most difficult job on the planet.

As citizens, it's the latter that we should be concerned about when it comes to choosing a candidate.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 03:21 AM

Wrong again, pal.

What is hard about being a 'good' President? Don't be a crook and don't start a losing war. Give good speeches. For all of the aspects that are difficult, Presidents have advisors. These people know the facts and it is their job to educate the President. Given the correct options, what person cannot do a good job?? Oh, btw, look out for America's interests, at least as much as your own. This last, in itself, is what Trump's overt message is.

warjesus420 • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 11:24 PM

Ummmm....no? What he did was just say, I'm right and you're wrong and interrupt people lol. While that may work here in the country where he has fame that won't do a goddamn thing to China, ISIS, Mexico, or anywhere. Anyone who thinks otherwise is in for a very very rude awakening when Trump starts to fail should he(God help us all) Win

[deleted] • 4 points • 2 March, 2016 02:44 AM

He is showing that he will stand up for the US, which is something that no candidate has shown.

Too many other Presidents have given away too much for too little, and that is one of Trump's strengths. Obama is going around telling the world how much America sucks, who knows what Bush was doing, and Clinton was selling out America's interest to the Chinese and drug smugglers for a pile of cash.

waynebradysworld • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 11:54 PM

Your post history reminds me of a septic tank that hasn't ever been emptied.

Just thought you should know. Go back to the purple pill, hippie

warjesus420 • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 01:43 AM

Excellent debate of my ideals with evidence from your side of the....oh wait your just like all the other right wing idiots who don't actually find proof of their ideas and just call us stupid because you're children in the sandbox unable to talk to the big boys about anything that actually is. How about you go color in a book for a while until you develop the ability to actually debate something instead of just resorting to "heheheheheh your shit. HAHAAH YEah" Go read a book

ben0wn4g3 • -1 points • 2 March, 2016 12:36 AM*

Nobody outside of America has any respect for that man. You will be the laughing stock of the world. Again.

Zahoo • 6 points • 2 March, 2016 04:28 AM

Its because most of the world gets glimpses of headlines and has no reason to do real research. I'm sure I have a view of your country based on headlines I've seen and I'm not sure you would agree with it.

From the news I thought Trump was a madman lunatic and wondered how he kept gaining in the polls. When I started watching the full live debates, I started seeing that he is actually a lot more moderate than the other Republicans. He has a lot of views that are in conflict with the other Republicans.

ben0wn4g3 • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 09:37 AM

If he's been the best the others must be awful. You still voted this lot into position....

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 05:39 AM

Funny, considering every country on the world hangs on our nuts

ben0wn4g3 • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 09:36 AM

No they don't. You're military is powerful other than that your countries pretty backwards.

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 March, 2016 05:02 PM

Other than having the most powerful military, economy, and leading nearly every industry in the world?

Ummmm ok

ben0wn4g3 • 1 point • 4 March, 2016 07:10 PM*

I mentioned military well done.

Europe > USA and China is predicted to overtake. Well done mate.

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 March, 2016 09:15 PM

Bahahaha you are a blubbering retard. Europes economy and currency is in the toilet. There is literally not one facet of European industry that performs better than the states Finance, healthcare research, higher education, energy, tech, manufacturing are all VASTLY superior to Europe

Also, dumbass, this isn't 2008. China isn't a hot country anymore. But you don't sound like someone who reads much

ben0wn4g3 • 1 point • 4 March, 2016 10:53 PM

Delusional indoctrinated arrogant ? Check! American? Check!

Ever left the USA? I've been to the states... 99% of it is backwards hick town.

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 March, 2016 04:47 AM

Doesn't change that everythig I said is true. Oh, and forgot strongest currency as well.

Yea it's tough being on top but someone has to do it

ben0wn4g3 • 0 points • 5 March, 2016 11:17 AM*

You have NO IDEA what you're talking about. Nothing you have said is factually correct. Or makes any sense. Your health care is a running joke for starters, your currency is definitely NOT the strongest currency whatever that is supposed to mean. The pound is worth more you fucking ignorant delusional moron.

<http://www.insidermonkey.com/blog/16-strongest-currencies-in-the-world-in-2015-374494/13/>

Yes the dollar is likely the reserve currency of the world, but nobody would bat an eyelid if they had to use the euro or the pound instead.

Go do something worthwhile with your patriotism and join the military. Might get to travel if you're lucky!

America is the country everyone in the 1st world looks at and thinks 'at least we're not like them'!

You're so fucking indoctrinated you don't even realise, grew up pledging to the flag? Do you have any idea how fucking creepy that is? Straight out of Hitler youth schools that one!

RedSugarPill • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 02:24 AM

There's plenty of laughter to go around. What country are you from?

Sementeries • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 05:09 AM

Doesn't matter where he's from, he'll keep eating the shit the news feeds him.

ben0wn4g3 • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 09:38 AM

UK ! Whatever you have I have better!! ;)

Lucifer_The_Unclean • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 05:10 AM

1. You don't even know anything about our candidates.
2. Why the fuck should I care what a dirty foreigner thinks of my country? You literally don't matter.
3. Why do you think you're entitled to an opinion about out in-house politics?

ben0wn4g3 • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 09:35 AM

Hello typical American here. So funny.

Lucifer_The_Unclean • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 01:50 PM

Being a typical American means hard-working, patriotic, and freedom-loving. Thanks for the compliment. Now go die in your liberal shit hole and stop trying to infringe your shitty laws on our nation.

ben0wn4g3 • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 02:00 PM

Nah it means stupid, nationalistic, ignorant and deluded.

Lucifer_The_Unclean • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 02:10 PM

What's ironic is that if you spoke to me 3 months ago you would be like this guy get's it. But then something happened. I got tired of liberals being little bitches. Tired of hating on my own country.

I... grew up.

ben0wn4g3 • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 02:37 PM

America is pretty OK if you're at the top shitting on everyone below you.

keepitsteady • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 08:59 PM

I'm not gonna lie, its the one redeeming factor Trump has. It's why I tell everyone that I'm not at all surprised at the following he has simply because he sticks to his guns and will not bow out. Its pretty remarkable and speaks volumes about the state of our 'democratic' process.

Unfortunately, that is not the only factor that goes into making a great president. And an even smaller factor compared to the problems we have here.

icepickjones • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 08:30 PM

Nothing sticks because he gives zero fucks. He says crazy shit, racist shit, shit that pc whiners take him to task over ... and he removes all their authority.

You can't socially shame a person who doesn't want to play your game. He doesn't give a fuck if you are offended or if you think he should apologize - and that's what I think people are drawn to the most.

I don't like his policies. The things he is proposing would damage a lot of our economic infrastructure ... but I respect the hell out of his ability to not give half a fuck and to play the shit out of the media.

phrostbyt • 33 points • 1 March, 2016 04:53 PM*

you know it really is a shame. there's a lot of bright people on this sub, logical insightful people.. but then there's also plenty of sheep who pretty much follow every post on here like it's some kind of gospel, and they're probably going to buy into this thinking trump is some kind of god. trump might be a red pill guy, but don't let that influence your decision on who is best for the country, think for yourselves guys

waynebradysworld • 12 points • 1 March, 2016 11:58 PM

The more people think about it, the more blatantly obvious it becomes that Trump is the ONLY viable candidate. Perhaps you are a little slower than some of the people here?

phrostbyt • 2 points • 2 March, 2016 01:46 AM

right, because i disagree with you i'm slow.. you kind of sound like trump, congratulations :)

waynebradysworld • 5 points • 2 March, 2016 05:19 AM

I didn't say you are slow, I said it is a possibility. I don't know you from Adam.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 02:27 AM

What is this patronizing shit?? "...don't let that influence ...best for the country...think for yourselves..." WTF do you think does our thinking for us??

Do you think that we need YOU to tell us how to think???

phrostbyt • -1 points • 2 March, 2016 02:53 AM

yes, it's clear many posters in this sub need reminders to not allow dogmatic thinking get the best of them.

Zahoo • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 04:33 AM

trump might be a red pill guy, but don't let that influence your decision on who is best for the country, think for yourselves guys

Is anyone on trp seriously considering voting for someone else? I could understand not voting but I would love someone to tell me how they can say things like AWALT and are going to vote for Hillary.

phrostbyt • 2 points • 2 March, 2016 04:38 AM

most young people in this country are supporting bernie sanders for obvious reasons

docbloodmoney[] • 0 points • 18 August, 2016 08:29 PM

because they are faggot millenials who want free shit?

phrostbyt • 1 point • 18 August, 2016 09:01 PM

yes, that's why... got some of the people in this sub simply have no shame

docbloodmoney[] • 1 point • 18 August, 2016 09:23 PM

all your reddit posts are in atheism and various video game subs, post your lifts or you're forever a limp-wristed faggot

docbloodmoney[] • 0 points • 18 August, 2016 09:25 PM

oh wait I jumped the gun a bit

<http://i.imgur.com/7rxsf12.png>

fuck off yid

sirjuicybooty • -1 points • 2 March, 2016 01:02 AM

I'd say this is more of a redpill example than a political post.

[deleted] • 9 points • 1 March, 2016 07:50 PM

What amazes me about Trump is how quickly and efficiently he kills accusations.

In the press conference where Chris Christie endorsed him, a reporter with an ax to grind asked, "What do you have to say about David Duke endorsing you, too?"

Trump: "He did? Okay, I disavow it. Next question?"

If it were Cruz or Rubio he would have blathered something like, "Well, David Duke certainly doesn't represent the Republican Party that I know. It's the party of equal opportunity for all Americans...", etc.

Trump took the indirect accusation that he's a racist and shot it down in 1.2 seconds.

warjesus420 • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 11:40 PM

Uhhh that isn't what happened....He wouldn't disavow him originally then the next day he blamed it on his earpiece like a little bitch unable to take responsibility for his choices when the consequences came knocking.

docbloodmoney[] • 1 point • 18 August, 2016 08:30 PM

don't believe everything you see on CNN retard

El_Serpiente_Roja • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 10:10 PM

I saw another one where a reporter asked the same thing about David Duke and Trump was like "I don't even know David Duke so why are you asking me this" and he kept repeating that.

All I could think was how effective of a dodge it was and implications of endorsing or condemning Duke.

GOATmar • 5 points • 2 March, 2016 04:33 AM

The only definition of "Alpha Male" is the cunning ability to dominate, and swiftly avoid getting dominated.

Dominate Life, dominate women and lesser Men, dominate your mind & body, dominate your emotions and the emotions of others, etc etc etc.

Trump is the only person alive that legitimately does this consistently, for like the past 20 to 30 years.

It's unreal. Dude is unmatched. The only person I can see hanging with Trump is Putin. And Putin respects the guy and vice-versa!

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 02:47 PM

[permanently deleted]

sweetleef • 26 points • 1 March, 2016 04:02 PM

He won't be able to get away with such simple answers in a general election.

Maybe not in an ideal world, where ability, intellect, and experience decided elections - but his opponent is Hillary. She hasn't said anything substantive in 3 decades.

No answer from her will be any "deeper" than his, except that she'll be giving them in a piercing cackle from behind a pursed scowl and a pantsuit.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 04:05 PM

[permanently deleted]

Rhybon • 7 points • 2 March, 2016 12:00 AM

The average GOP voter isn't who you think it is. Or more likely, isn't who you want it to be.

thiasus • 9 points • 1 March, 2016 06:37 PM

Even the average GOP voter doesn't like him.

Trump has won 3 out of 4 primaries and his national poll numbers among Republicans have reached 50%. Turnout at Republican primaries has also massively increased, so you couldn't even say that anti-Trump Republicans simply aren't voting.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 02:53 AM

But she does actually bring up policy and plans. Trump just spouts off about walls and muslim bans

These would be called, by the definition of people who can read, "policies"...

I think that the average GOP voter does like him...that's how he wins in GOP primaries. Call me crazy...

max_peenor • 9 points • 1 March, 2016 06:06 PM

It only really works because he has a core group of followers

It appears that group has been steady growing from "he has no chance to win" to "he may win the nomination" to "he has a very real chance of winning the presidency."

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 08:46 PM

[permanently deleted]

max_peenor • 5 points • 1 March, 2016 08:51 PM

Every candidate for both parties is polling in the 40s for general election matchups.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 09:02 PM

[permanently deleted]

max_peenor • 6 points • 1 March, 2016 10:13 PM

You haven't been in TRP very long? What people say and what people do are two different things. You can play with feelings all you want, but when asked how they are going to vote, they are all about the same. This is statistical fact (though the irony of that term isn't lost on me).

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 05:25 PM*

[permanently deleted]

B_Campbell • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 03:56 PM

Yeah, when did playing the victim all the time become TRP?

[deleted] • 17 points • 1 March, 2016 05:24 PM

When it's A good strategy.

This sub is seriously going downhill. It used to be understood that winning at life was the goal. Now most of the people here just care about meeting the caricature of an alpha.

Sementeries • 9 points • 1 March, 2016 09:17 PM

Been here since 20,000 subscribers, and I've seen people saying this before then.

Take your "doomsday" elsewhere.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 09:24 PM

So have I and it was undeniably better then

Sementeries • 6 points • 1 March, 2016 09:32 PM

The top posts in TRP? Agreed, but TRP isn't going to shit as you claim.

There is always gonna be more shit posts than gems. That goes for any sub.

PrinceofSpades • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 06:39 PM

for the sake of getting laid

However, playing the victim is rarely a way to win at life. I don't consider getting out of doing dishes successful, I consider it forming a terrible habit that will fuck that kid up around college and early adulthood as he won't ever clean them himself when he's on his own. He'll wonder where the other people are to do them, only to finally realize he has no one to blame but himself and his bad habits. That isn't winning, that's being a slob.

Everything is contextual though; playing the victim as one of your many cards like Trump did here is how to properly utilize such a tactic to get ahead successfully.

thiasus • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 06:35 PM

Since the time it became a fundamental political strategy, which was about 4000 BC. Probably earlier, but we don't have records.

Every single leader throughout history has manufactured victimhood in order to legitimize himself, his faction and his actions. Hitler said Germans were the victims of Jews and bolsheviks; the Romans said Carthage was disrespecting Roman allies; Caesar started his conquest of Gaul by (falsely) claiming certain tribes had intruded upon Roman territory; Attila manufactured a persecution of Hunnic merchants to justify invading the Eastern Roman Empire... it goes on like that quite a lot.

warjesus420 • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 11:47 PM

Glad some of the subscribers aren't total idiots. The guy literally bitches and moanns about getting questions and more time at debates lol. Every serious candidate wants more time and questions. Instead he cries about it being unfair then goes on social media to complain(while quoting fascists and false fact memes) the guy is a child who had everything given to him.

IamGale[S] • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 03:07 PM

Any time anyone asks him a remotely tough question about his business record he screams about them being "nasty" and "unfair."

He definitely tries to avoid them as much as possible. But RedPill is amoral, and Trump will use any tactic that works. In regards to playing the victim card, Trump definitely loves to do it and it works. He's one of the first politician to really shame the Mass Media.

He won't be able to get away with such simple answers in a general election.

He uses simple answers as to not alienate his audience (Ben Carson fails at this). So I actually think we'll continue to see him use simple answers on TV and debates. What he does now is put his complex answers on his website. So I think he'll continue with this strategy unless something happens.

And if I'm 15 that made me laugh. I actually moved back in with my Dad after University so I have to deal with him. I'm saving money while working on side businesses.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 09:46 AM

I completely agree.

If who you're trying to influence is literally bottom of the barrel society who don't think critically about what you're saying, have nothing to lose by investing time or money in you, or have no assertive qualities themselves, acting like Trump works.

I wouldn't dare act like Trump in an interview with a law panel or medical panel. They have money, options other than me, and would see right through any Trump bullshit I attempted to use with them.

Sementeries • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 09:14 PM

You don't support TRP, you're just here to spew your bullshit.

And you are a Shillary voter? I'm done.

SomeIson • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 07:24 PM

What does it matter his age? Post was pretty well done I thought.

combatmechanic • 8 points • 1 March, 2016 07:42 PM

After 8 years of floundering, the American public is desperate for leadership, I mean DESPERATE.

When TRUMP gets up in front of a crowd and TELLS the people he has a plan, and how he will execute it, they eat it up. They (we) are sick of the pansy ass, lukewarm leadership that has been washing up like a carbon copy of the last. Trump gives zero fucks, and knows he can do a better job, and the people respond.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 10:45 PM

16 years minimum; Obama is the worst President in history, Bush was the second-worst. And before that you had Bill "I did not have sexual relations with that woman" Clinton and Bush the Elder, not very inspiring figures either. Ronald Reagan—27 years ago!—was the last President with any sort of spirit (though his policies were disastrous).

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 03:28 PM

It's really all about status. People already see him that way. Rubio and Cruz are just 2 hacks he has to go through to win the GOP.

Optional_Reading • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 06:27 PM

I'm not a trump fan but this was a great post. The video link you provided was hilarious. Spot On!!

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 08:16 PM

I never really thought about it but the way Trump is carrying himself and drawing masses to him is true genius. I don't believe in his politics but its amazing how well his plan is going so far.

IamGale[S] • 5 points • 1 March, 2016 08:57 PM

That's why I started following him as well. By all accounts, he should have crashed and burn by now but he hasn't. None of the other politicians can touch him. It's ridiculous but it works.

[deleted] • 5 points • 1 March, 2016 08:16 PM

I'm not American but I've been following the elections solely because of Trump

Trump is a force of nature the last few months. He is without shame, without remorse and without embarassment. He does not give a shit about the status quo and he treats debates like schoolyard tussles

What I've been amazed with the most is how ineffectual his opponents have been. They keep trying to reason but the truth is, you cant win against Trump with reason. You win by treating him like a big baby and not caring about his opinion at all

If I was Rubio and Trump was going after me, I'd just say, "Donald, youre a giant baby and I'm not going to listen to you because you dont listen to babies on important policy matters. This is big boy business, not just stamping your name on things"

[deleted] • 6 points • 2 March, 2016 03:16 AM

If I was Rubio and Trump was going after me, I'd just say, "Donald, youre a giant baby and I'm not going to listen to you because you dont listen to babies on important policy matters. This is big boy business, not just stamping your name on things"

No, if you were Rubio you would be a big pussy, and that is what Rubio is doing. That is also why Trump is winning. If Rubio was in it to make America great, Rubio would show some balls, but, he doesn't want to hit Trump too hard, because he wants to hedge his own bets and not insult Trump too much because Trump may want a man of Rubio's talents to fill the No. 2 slot...

Rubio's thinking is the kind of thinking that keeps America in low regard, not having courage and looking out for America's interests.

[deleted] • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 10:54 AM

Honest, in the video OP linked, Rubio is smiling like a little school boy expecting a pat on the back for saying something smart. He looks ridiculous

If the field was any better, Trump would be getting screwed right now. Someone like Reagan would wipe the floor with him.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 02:10 PM

I think that that shows that times have changed, and why Trump is doing so well. The Republicans, who are a minority, have been trying to, well, I don't have the slightest idea what they've been trying to do. Reagan had a message of strength and power, as opposed to the milksop Carter. Now, Trump has a message of strength and power, as opposed to the milksop other Republicans.

IamGale[S] • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 08:58 PM

If I was Rubio and Trump was going after me, I'd just say, "Donald, you're a giant baby and I'm not going to listen to you because you don't listen to babies on important policy matters. This is big boy business, not just stamping your name on things"

Interesting kill shot. Donald the crybaby, I'd love to see someone use that against him.

Kepler-18e • 8 points • 1 March, 2016 11:23 PM

All of the ways in which Trump defends himself adds to his foolish character. Use cheap defense tactics and you will be seen in the same way. Either be right or smarter than whoever's attacking you but be childish and people will treat you as such. Most people, at least outside of the US, treat him like an idiot and the way in which he acts in these debates. We should be learning from the person who prepared to defend himself and is smart enough to provide educated, strong retaliations. Not this.

ben0wn4g3 • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 12:39 AM

Trump is a joke. If he wins America is a joke.

Zahoo • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 04:37 AM

The election process (not the presidency) is a joke. It's not about having good ideas it's like the Superbowl. It's all about getting people hyped up to go to the polls and vote for you and that's what Trump is doing.

Who am I supposed to vote for who is not a joke?

docbloodmoney[] • 1 point • 18 August, 2016 08:31 PM

unfortunately your Clinton "I'm With Her!" t-shirt still won't get you laid

bedoef • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 05:41 AM

Yup. The whole debate was a shame and a redirect from actual topics. Most of these tactics are valid, but poorly used by Trump in my opinion. At the end of the day, you learned nothing about him or his position or plan save that he is dismissive of everyone. What good does that do? Congratulations, you've proven to be a person who ignores others, how does that make you a good president?

johnchapel • 21 points • 1 March, 2016 03:21 PM

Im surprised any of you could see Trump as any kind of redpill. You seen his twitter? He's beta as fuck, considers everything said about him a threat, and responds to EVERY criticism. He oozes insecurity.

IamGale[S] • 28 points • 1 March, 2016 03:26 PM

He oozes a desire for attention. Media attention to be specific and everything he does is aimed at garnering him more coverage.

He's beta as fuck, considers everything said about him a threat

There's a very good reason for this. One thing that the media loves to cover is drama. By exaggerating attacks on himself, like with the pope, he's able to get the media to cover him more.

Not everything is beta and alpha, always look into his intentions.

johnchapel • 5 points • 1 March, 2016 03:30 PM

You raise fair points. To be sure...

its just...

Man, look at his twitter. It's REALLY whiny. He acts like a bitchy ex girlfriend.

PrinceofSpades • 15 points • 1 March, 2016 06:52 PM

Politics is a red-pill game for a blue-pill audience. He's not appealing to you, he's appealing to his voters. You're more like him than they are, but you have the wrong context. You're questioning his TRP value, when many of us see it clearly: he's doing everything underhanded and possible that he can to win. It's working perfectly. Why would his Twitter page be any different? Really put yourself with all your newfound TRP knowledge at the age of 70. All those years of life experience. Then put yourself in his shoes. It should start to all make sense.

johnchapel • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 06:54 PM

Youve definitely made me think.

You don't think he could do it without being a whiny little baby all the time?

Because this whiny shit of his didnt start when he decided to run for president. he's always been like this. very thin skinned

PrinceofSpades • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 07:09 PM

That's good, thinking in new ways is one of the real purposes of this board.

I'll phrase it another way: I think Trump is a rather beta person and has been for most of his life. However, Trump the businessman is about as Alpha as they get. Huge balls... Really just go see the outlined debate breakdown above again, no need for me to repeat all that.

His politics are his business side coming out, but he is alpha-ly using his own beta tendencies to his advantage to make him appear more relatable and human. That's turning your weaknesses into strengths, which is about as manly as you can get.

It's all about the context my friend.

johnchapel • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 10:20 PM

Okay, I think I can comfortably get on board with that.

Where I'm having trouble reconciling the admiration of his balls in business and comfort, however, is the fact that he's got so many losses under his belt, that from everybodys vantage, seemingly didnt have to be losses at all. The 2 magazines, the several bankruptcies, the steak company, the resorts in Baja and Malibu.

PrinceofSpades • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 10:43 PM

Why research into loss when they appear financially sound? Step farther into the shoes of the average joe; almost all my posts on this topic today have been me just playing devil's advocate.

johnchapel • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 07:12 PM

Im highlighting you because you're awesome.

PrinceofSpades • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 07:16 PM

#roadtoendorsement

Thanks though; I'm currently between work contracts so I really have nothing to do but lift, TRP, and work on my books. If I'm not doing one of those I end up distracted and start tinkering or playing Ps4 and that just isn't a productive

way to spend unpaid days.

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 03:50 PM*

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?feature=youtu.be&v=TGc2nN9OguQ>

If you want to hear about his insecurities just get to the part where someone made fun of the size of his hands and he had to like prove it in every letter he had sent by tracing it or something.

johnchapel • 6 points • 1 March, 2016 04:11 PM

Yeah, I watched John Oliver every week.

Seriously, im sorry, but he's thin skinned as a motherfuck. There's nothing tough about him.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 05:22 PM*

[permanently deleted]

NRG1975 • 7 points • 1 March, 2016 06:12 PM

It isn't a narrative, it is real life. He is soft. Brash, but so is a barking Yorkshire Terrier. Trump is the one selling a narrative, which you seem to have bought.

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 09:49 PM

I don't get how people can't see through Trump's populist fascist rhetoric. The man says nothing of true value. I get the anger towards a defunct Congress, but really this is your guy?? It doesn't take a genius to figure out he's soft either. He's the typical person who talks the talk but doesn't walk the walk, just at the billionaire level. He's just a salesman running for power using your frustration. Thats it.

[deleted] • -2 points • 2 March, 2016 03:02 AM*

A. You don't know fuckall about fascism. Everybody who doesn't like a conservative calls them a fascist. Grow TF up. Also, stop using "populist" as a pejorative. It's childish.

B. "The man says nothing of true value" because you don't value him, not because of what he says

C. "He's just a salesman...frustration...." Give me one candidate who doesn't...

D. One of the reasons for his popularity is that he "talks the talk". WTF do you mean he "doesn't walk the walk"??? Cites? Links?

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 04:26 AM

He uses fascist rhetoric to rile people up and to vote for him. Don't get me wrong people have a right to be angry, but this guy, seriously? He repeats himself every 5 seconds and is a complete bigot. There's not a lot to like if you're a rational person. Just because he displays redpill values in his speeches doesn't make him a good candidate by any means. Don't get me wrong him pimp-slapping the other candidates is fucking awesome, but I don't want some dispshit running the country who doesn't know "fuck-all" about politics.

Fascist rhetoric:

"Lets blame our issues on Mexicans and Muslims."

"Lets return the country to former glory."

There's probably more but I really don't give a fuck. You're a moron if you vote for him.

Alpacash • 22 points • 1 March, 2016 03:26 PM

He uses twitter as a political tool. I doubt he is the one posting anyway.

rep12 • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 04:01 PM

A politicians Twitter is different to a regular guy's.

johnchapel • -3 points • 1 March, 2016 04:12 PM

Donald Trump is NOT a politician. I understand your point, and you're correct, but there is nothing politician about Trump. He's a repeatedly-failed business man who licenses his name on large buildings. Thats it.

acdcfreak • 13 points • 1 March, 2016 04:33 PM

If Donald Trump is a "repeatedly-failed" business man.... literally everyone in your life, including you, by your own standards, **are fucking nothing**.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 05:03 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 05:33 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 08:47 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 09:04 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 09:13 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 09:39 PM

[permanently deleted]

NRG1975 • -2 points • 1 March, 2016 06:22 PM

Dude, he inherited 40 million in 1974, which had he invested at that time, normal compounding of the market would put him at 3-4 billion worth today. You are the one that looks uninformed, brash, and just being a douche. Sit down, shut up, and go learn something.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 06:26 PM

[permanently deleted]

NRG1975 • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 06:42 PM

He started of with 40 million dollars in value from inheritance. That does not change what I said. The point remains, he is not a "self made man". He accrued his wealth through inheritance. I never stated he was a terrible businessman. Maybe if your reading comprehension was stronger, my words would not be so silly to you.

It appears as if you are active in rebutting me, so at least you are going to learn something.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 07:18 PM

[permanently deleted]

PrinceofSpades • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 06:58 PM

If I gave you a million dollars tomorrow, you'd quit your job and act like you won the lottery, not invest it and flip it for over 100x profit. You really are a fucking simpleton, aren't you? Must be nice believing what others tell you instead of sacking up and chasing real success.

My family was poor as fuck, I got a loan of 1000 dollars from them. I flipped that into 100,000 at 22. If I was in trump's shoes, I would have done the same thing. He inherited fucking nothing in the grand scheme of things, and made enough money with it to be able to fund his own run for presidency. He's also immune to outside bribery thanks to that.

I don't want him to win, but give the man credit where it is due. He's damn good at business. He was born on third, but he doesn't claim to hit a triple because he now owns the fucking Trump Stadium you're metaphorically playing baseball in.

NRG1975 • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 07:09 PM

It was actually 40 million in 1974. ;) Fucking Simpleton ;)

He did keep the value with the stock market, though. So, something to be said abut that.

johnchapel • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 05:40 PM

He builds buildings, man. And so did his father before him. Thats it. Thats the grand total of everything that he's accomplished. Not that thats to be discounted on its own, but his name is a brand. All of his business ventures have gone under, with the exception of his reality show, which, lets be fair, is pretty embarrassing just by existing.

He's just not very good at something that he claims to be not only good at, but a **master** of. How you have equated that to "everyone in life is fucking nothing" is beyond me, but I don't really feel too defensive being that I'm in the position of explaining to someone how Trump is a whiny sociopath. It's pretty easy to write off his apologists these days.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 06:12 PM*

[permanently deleted]

johnchapel • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 06:47 PM

the art of the deal

Considering your last statement, Im safely assuming you haven't read this book. I have. It's just him talking about hobknobbing with celebrities, with small bits of 'advice" here and

there about how if you want to win a negotiation, you should strongarm people with ego. It's truly terrible, and the only reason you know about this book, and consider it one of his "successes", is because you've, in the past year, heard him not shut up about it.

the apprentice

Yes. A reality show. As I said in my previous comment, this is embarrassing on its own, even though I acknowledge it as a success. But its still a reality show. Its low hanging fruit. Low bar to jump over. It's literally impossible for a reality show to not be a success. They're relatively low budget, and the worst types of garbage people eat them up. So...good job, i guess?

his political polling and all the work in politics he's done in the past few months

What does this mean? He's got a large support from a part of a radically uneducated demographic. Meanwhile, his own political party doesn't even want him. As far as "work in politics"? You're parroting. Because he's done none. And I'm not leaving that up to opinion or what I consider "work". He hasn't done anything in politics. Unless you're referring to his campaign, but thats just campaigning. Thats not actual political work.

his family

He's been married three times. And he went on TV and told the world that he would bang his daughter. If you didn't throw "family" in your list, I would've never guessed that you were actually stretching for "successes"

he's accomplished a lot.

Yes. He has. He's built 3 buildings. Thats three more than I've built, so I definitely acknowledge that as "a lot".

He has, however, by no means, actually done what he's claimed to have done, and for a man who measures himself by such a high standard of success, he has had **significantly** more devastating failures than he has had anything resembling a success.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 07:15 PM

[permanently deleted]

johnchapel • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 10:16 PM

1. You're apologizing for Trump.

Argument over. See why I dont **actually** have to get too defensive when it comes to this?

thegreenmachine90 • 9 points • 1 March, 2016 03:27 PM

He's VERY insecure, that's why he's so self assuring all the time. He's an entertaining character and I enjoyed watching The Apprentice, but God forbid he be running our country

johnchapel • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 03:29 PM

Thank you! I dunno why so many redpillers think he's so grand. He's SUUUUCH an overt little bitch.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 03:07 AM

He is the AMOG and a billionaire. Something that many RPer take to be positive attributes. I know, I know, a real RPer would tell everybody around to go fuck themselves, but, oh, I don't know...

johnchapel • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 07:10 PM

Well, he's definitely an AMOG. I can't argue that. And he's actually a millionaire, but its not like im knocking him for that. hell, I think I got like a thousand in the bank and thats it.

He's just so whiny.

Toxicbutt • -4 points • 1 March, 2016 04:07 PM

Thank God I'm not the only one here who thinks this guy's an insecure beta who attacks others because of those insecurities.

[deleted] • 7 points • 1 March, 2016 02:55 PM

Anyone else think that the way he repeating every point he makes several times is a deliberate appeal to angry at the world aging baby boomers? They look at this and see themselves but instead of carefully picking apart the rhetoric they pat themselves on the back for being informed. This reminds me of watching drunk 50 somethings argue politics; talking over one another, using personal attacks to address major issues, repeating themselves constantly until whatever the fuck they said every time it gets a reaction. Did I mention how many times they repeat themselves?

AldoTheeApache • 9 points • 1 March, 2016 03:41 PM*

Boomers are more like 60-something now, but yes, watching him is like watching a Fox News comment board come to life.

That said I was still kind of stunned at how he was able to not only shut them down, but shut them out completely. He was talking nonsense and I'd never vote for him, but wow, kind of blown away at how he was able to pull that off.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 03:14 PM

[permanently deleted]

Alpacash • 7 points • 1 March, 2016 03:22 PM

Basically the people getting fucked over and exploited.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 04:01 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 04:36 PM

[permanently deleted]

studiov34 • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 06:40 PM

a deliberate appeal to angry at the world aging baby boomers?

No surprise he's so popular here, then.

[deleted] • 2 points • 2 March, 2016 02:02 PM

I should point out that these defences are all based on logical fallacies. Other redpillers and intelligent men will figure you out quickly if these are the sole arguments you have to defend yourself. You need to also be logically sound if you want to appeal to a different public than just the masses.

reecewagner • 7 points • 1 March, 2016 06:48 PM

This post is laughable - although I get it in the context, I'll never understand this subreddit's adoration of this asshole. Point by point:

1. You can change the frame of a conversation to appease simple-minded buffoons all you want - the integrity of Rubio's statement remains and Trump looks like a hypocrite to anyone actually paying attention to the facts behind the statement.
2. Again, re-framing something illegal as a strength is a moronic play - I don't see how this appeals to someone with an attention span longer than 4 or 5 words.
3. Ok, sure. Hypocritical again, but clearly we're past caring about that.
4. So just talk louder than people and cut them off? Where am I, Fox News?
5. Dismissing arguments forgets that humility appeals to people. You're closing off a large section of your audience every time you pretend to be infallible.
6. This is a valid point - context is always key.
7. Sure, but painting yourself as a hero closes people off as well, and Trump is far from it.
8. I'm not sure what's comedic about decrying legitimate sources. His response was childish if anything.
9. Wow. Honestly hard to believe he reels in voters with this. Vague responses and legitimate plans to eliminate the department of education? Lol good luck USA.
10. I'm not even sure where to start with this. Playing the victim is the last thing I ever thought I'd see supported on this forum, and goes against every masculine fiber I have. Ridiculous suggestion, in any context.
11. Lol. If you're talking to an audience of gerbils, sure this should work.

Real bizarre post here. Really disappointing if this is the trajectory of US government (I'm not American), and really disappointing to see Trump being used as anything to aspire to. His is fake-alpha behaviour at its most useless, and this was an embarrassing read.

CaCacanada • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 09:49 PM

The current state of the American male. It's been a steady decline but my view is this. Whatever chaos Trump brings, someone will rise from it and prosper. I plan to be one of those people.

ben0wn4g3 • 2 points • 2 March, 2016 12:41 AM

ITT people think Trump is RP when he's just an school boy idiot. His success merely reflects the stupidity of the average American.

PPL_93 • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 10:05 PM

Hate to break it to you guys <https://youtu.be/TGc2nN9OguQ>

Shmoopernator • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 08:30 PM

Great analysis. A Youtube channel called Charisma on Command also does a pretty good video on how Trump plays his opponents. Worth a watch if you want more examples on his strategies.

IamGale[S] • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 08:54 PM

He's great and I really like nerdwriter1's videos on Trump.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 09:09 PM

Did you see rubio talking about small hands and fake tans? Makes the whole race seem so petulant. He's running for fucking president and making small dick jokes. Not just Rubio either, I think even trump is guilty of it. The whole thing is just a big circus at this point.

ShiftnBlayzd • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 12:44 AM

Main point that I fail to do, is to remain calm, don't lose your head in the face of adversity.

Larqus • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 10:16 AM

Reads like the Russian Federation Manual on Diplomacy.

sensitiveduck • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 10:43 AM

haven't visited the red pill for over 3 months and wanted to check this thread out. the red pill has been subverted. soooo many cucks jezus

FirstnameLastnamePKA • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 03:38 PM

I may not agree on his economic platform, But Trump can navigate agruements like a god.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 07:00 PM

Don't need a clown like Trump to learn to defend myself.

dking168 • 1 point • 3 March, 2016 01:07 AM

I like this post but I hate Trump.

I do believe Trump is an alpha male, but a huge aspect of being an alpha male is by dominating humbly which he clearly does not.

NRG1975 • 1 point • 5 March, 2016 05:28 PM

How Trump is actually behaving, via PhD

<http://www.skepticink.com/gps/2016/03/04/1553/>

gpyh • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 04:24 PM **[recovered]**

You are over analyzing. Most of what you are portraying is just very basic debating and social skills and you can find the same qualities in a lot of other politicians.

This shrine to Trump really has to stop. Ok, I get that you are all getting a boner watching him being so unapologetic. This feels great to see someone doing whatever the hell he wants in this blue pill world. But in the core, this guy is a moron and very far from being a red pill model.

acdcfreak • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 04:41 PM

If he's a moron, do you willfully choose to use your superior non-moronic intellect to NOT earn a few billion

dollars?

I'm curious what you do with your superior intellect that doesn't involve being more successful than Donald Trump.

<https://www.quora.com/What-is-Donald-Trumps-IQ>

I'm guessing your IQ is over 180 and therefore the plebs hovering around 150 can be lumped into the category of "moron" very easily for someone as brilliant as you.

king-schultz • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 05:49 PM

I don't think you quite understand just how big of a silverspoon he was born into. I applaud him for his success, but he was given an advantage that few people on this planet could even begin to comprehend: money, brand, connections, friends, name, real estate, access, etc., etc.. Even given all of that, he still managed to fuck things up so bad that he almost lost it all more than once. He has had to make incredibly shady deals, and use lax bankruptcy laws to keep himself afloat. He truly is one of the worst examples of american greed there is.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 06:11 PM

[permanently deleted]

king-schultz • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 07:32 PM

Listen, I'm not going to waste any more of my day trying to explain how business works to someone that will never get it. When you're at his level of society it's almost impossible to "lose it all", but that doesn't make you a good businessman. Owning companies mean nothing. I currently own 4 companies, two of which make zero, one is viable, and the other made millions AND LOST MONEY.

Trump used lax bankruptcy laws, shady business deals, financed literally by junk bonds, reneged on promises, got bailed out with tax payers dollars, and has made some monumentally bad investments.

Have a good day, and #MakeAmericaWhiteAgain!

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 07:36 PM

[permanently deleted]

king-schultz • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 07:49 PM

My only point is that given the same opportunity it would be almost impossible for anyone to not become successful, yet there was a time in his life where his name was so bad that hardly anyone would back him, and he had dug such a hole that he almost didn't recover. So, good on him for turning it around using whatever means necessary. He would've been better off just investing the money, but that wouldn't have fed his fragile ego, or his desperate need to be an attention whore.

But like I said, if you find him inspiring, more power to you.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 08:00 PM

[permanently deleted]

king-schultz • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 08:14 PM

Okay, you win. Have a good one.

"Business Week estimated Trump's net worth at \$100 million in 1978. If Trump had merely put that money in an index fund based on the Standard & Poor's 500 index — the kind many Americans use to save for retirement — he would be worth \$6 billion today, which is more than the \$4.1 billion Forbes estimated to be Trump's current net worth."

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 09:06 PM

[permanently deleted]

PushTheBigRedButtons • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 09:43 PM

Honestly every single debate I see makes me just facepalm how extremely bad the candidates actually are at debating.

Trump never dares to answer a question because he is almost always in the wrong. He keeps evading punches and throws a counterattack and every time it's enough to counter these bags of sand on the stage.

It's pathetic to see people caught off guard so often, almost as pathetic as Trumps continuous interrupting to spin around words.

All these dumb tactics work on dumb people, and surely it will help you get by, but by no means does this man ever seem capable of running a country. He uses big words, and evades an argument about them every time he's confronted on them.

How are you going to stop fraud and waste Trump? Where is that great plan to back up those fancy words? You employ some Mexicans, how does this mean you're not a racist? Does me having a black friend make me not a racist? Oh no those are the good guys right?

Trump is the endgame of democracy. The people will vote, however the majority of the people is stupid enough to fall for this kind of dumb shit. Empty promises just like all those who came before him, but this time it's literally a corporation running for president.

literally.

I'm not much of a fan of almost any of the US candidates but at least jewface can validate his arguments with facts instead of emotions.

But hey I'm not even American, if Trump wins I'm gonna laugh my ass off while the country completely gets sold out to the highest bidder and burns down. Praise capitalism!

ktchong • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 10:58 PM

And why does this topic belong in The Red Pill?

[deleted] • 2 points • 2 March, 2016 03:12 AM

Frame example, if nothing else...

Alpacash • -2 points • 1 March, 2016 03:17 PM

But why the fuck would he eliminate the environmental agency? I know Trump tries to appeal to his conservative voters by calling global warming a hoax, but he must be smarter than that.

RP_is_mainstream • 21 points • 1 March, 2016 04:10 PM

Because the EPA is oozing with corruption and fraud. It simply doesn't need to be an existing department.

5 minutes of googling will let you see some of the shit the EPA has pulled over the years.

acdefreak • 8 points • 1 March, 2016 04:37 PM

what accomplishments have any environmental agencies actually had on fighting climate change? We've been in a "climate pause" for 20 years that no one predicted, I would like to know the facts on what all these billions of environmental dollars have even accomplished if global warming is still a huge threat.

tedted8888 • 8 points • 1 March, 2016 06:37 PM

Where was the epa when flint decided to extract water from the river? What happens when congress limits environmental liability to 100 million (BP oil spill). The epa is just another corrupt gov't agency set out to limit the liability of cooperations and not protect the environment.

IamGale[S] • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 03:23 PM

Yea... that's always bothered me about him. And he was also part of the birther movement against Obama. But I can't expect to agree with everything he believes or claims to believe in.

gwankovera • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 06:08 PM

that is true of almost all people, because everyone has had differing experiences. I may agree with some of his main points and then disagree with many of his smaller side points. there is very few people who can find other people that share their opinions exactly.

And frankly that would be horrible if they did, because then there would only be echo chambers with people never growing only echoing the old ideas and opinions over and over again.

By engaging in discussions with people with differing opinions we give ourselves and them a chance to grow, it may not change our opinions but it adds to our views extra perspectives which may reinforce or weaken our own views.

CHAD_J_THUNDERCOCK • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 05:52 PM

Global warming hasnt been happening for 15 years now:

<http://blogs.spectator.co.uk/2016/02/the-global-warming-hiatus-is-real-so-why-dont-we-hear-about-it/>

They renamed it 'climate change' for a reason.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 March, 2016 11:30 AM

They renamed it climate change because not everywhere is heating up, only the earth as a whole. And I'm sorry to say the 'hiatus' is unsupported by hard science.

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Global_warming_hiatus

CHAD_J_THUNDERCOCK • 1 point • 15 March, 2016 04:15 PM

There are shills everywhere. You cannot use wikipedia as a source reliably. It always has liberal bias. Like how feminists are changing all the articles on animals

Despite this, you will see that the 15 year hiatus hasnt really been denied in that article.

Take the green pill

<http://wattsupwiththat.com/2016/02/24/new-paper-shows-global-warming-hiatus-real-after-all/>

studiov34 • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 06:41 PM

Clean air and water is so beta.

McLarenX • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 12:22 AM

You forgot the part where he simultaneously broke Rubio and Cruz' frames by chastising them both based on their behavior ("liar" to Cruz and "choke" to Rubio), causing them to yell over each other in a very beta way, trying to both get at Trump and looking very immature in the process.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 02:37 AM*

I suppose Hitler was really good at these as well. Right?

I think you forgot "Make fun of people is you were in a high school locker room and lie your face off."

The thing is, a real Red Pill will know to avoid most of what Trump does, because in an everyday situation, this kind of behaviour will come back to bite them in the ass and do more harm than good.

People who fall for Trump's shit are sheep. You want to see a real Red Pill business man? Look at Steve Ballmer. He's worth 5X what Trump says he's worth, and his parents were immigrants. Trump's father was a multimillionaire.

That's success.

And he doesn't have to go around insulting people like a school-yard bully to do it.

Look at Bill Gates. Steve Jobs. Mark Cuban. Conservative. Liberal. Whatever. These guys took nothing and turned it into fortunes Trump only dreams of having. And they don't need to validate their success like Trump does.

Trump is the ultimate cry baby. He's got to prove himself constantly and needs the approval of others. That's no Red Pill.

whenfoom • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 03:55 PM

It's funny that everyone at TRP is excited about Trump because he seems to embody TRP principles. But you don't want to rely on alpha-fux to manage your economy. He'll alpha-fux everyone who isn't in his in-group. It seems like the best politicians would be beta-bux. You'd think TRP would understand this most intuitively. An alpha-fux always wants a beta-bux to manage his boring shit for him. Government is boring shit.

acdefreak • 7 points • 1 March, 2016 04:38 PM

So going with this simplistic logic, you would prefer voting a Jeb Bush/Beta-bux type? That's better?

whenfoom • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 04:52 PM

Bernie is obviously the beta-bux candidate.

[deleted] • -5 points • 1 March, 2016 04:41 PM*

Enough with the Trump circlejerk.

NRG1975 • -6 points • 1 March, 2016 05:42 PM

1) Trump is not the only one who has hired people. That is patently false, as all these people have people that work for them, they do indeed have jobs. Rubio was correct in stating that Trump was the only one fined for hiring illegals. Trump all he did was make a patently false statement. So with that said, number 1 should not be re-framing, but LIE and DERIDE.

2) I will agree that he has effective branding, but that is really about all he has got.

3) Agreed, but helps if they are truthful, which 75% of Trump's statements are false. The more you are exposed, the more people get to know what you are about, and in the end, this is a failure.

- 4) Interrupting and denying with lies is not exactly a winning strategy either. This too is a long term loser. People in real life will just counter back that Trump indeed did hire illegal immigrants. That is a fact. Trump's denial is not. Again, a loser
- 5) You can keep this frame of mind, if you like, but again a loser in the long term, as you lose ground, and once your audience figures you are not telling the truth, your Goose is cooked.
- 6) So admit that you were lying in previous rebuttals why are we even listening to this liar anymore, or anything he has to say? This is awful advice.
- 7) I will give it, on the rebuttal that he donates to everyone, and that is what a business man does, is indeed a winning strategy. However, his belittlement of Cruz shows more weakness of Trump, than anyone else. Surprisingly, this is the first two true things Trump said.
- 8) That is not using humor, that is denial. The polls do exist, just as much as the sun rises in the east. He can believe the sun does not rise in the east, doesn't change the fact that it does.
- 9) This whole post is why Debates suck dick. Because they really do not address the issues for the most part. Here you are cheering on skirting, lying, bullying, self entrapment, yelling as way to defend yourself. Trump never answered the question and makes it abundantly clear that Trump has no idea how he is going to Trim 10 Trillion Dollars. It exposes Trump as an empty suit when it comes to policy. It highlights that this whole campaign of his is hollow. Good work.
- 10) Play Martyr ... got it.
- 11) No, they are addressing him, in his tone, and they are using mostly factual stuff. Sure he leads in the polls, on the Republican side, doesn't mean he is leading in polls in the General.

Conclusion: Irrespective, Trump's and by Virtue your strategy of how to act is a losing proposition. Trump only appeals to a small contingent of Right Wingers, and some LIVs(Low Information Voters). In the General Population, he is widely unpopular, and not electable as a candidate. Which, as I have stated before, is the end goal. With every word out of Trump's mouth, the General Electorate loses respect for him. This is a losing strategy for any long time gains, or in fact your goal. If Trump's goal was to win the Republican nomination with lies, insults, brashness, projected toughness, hollow platitudes, and bullying ... could not have picked a better party to do it, and maybe Trump is a genius in using Nationalistic Populism as a tool.

max_peenor • 9 points • 1 March, 2016 06:14 PM

Trump only appeals to a small contingent of Right Wingers

Do you really believe this? Where has all his support come from then? Illegal aliens crossing the border to vote for him?

WolfOfAnarchy • 5 points • 1 March, 2016 08:52 PM

He thinks 50% of Republicans in the USA is a small contingent.

He doesn't know that in a couple of months Donald J Trump is going to be our president.

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 06:08 PM

Holy hell there is so much wrong information and emotional opinions in this post I won't even begin to discuss it on a level in which you can understand.

When has politics ever been about facts and issues? No politician has ever won a debate with facts and logic, because facts and logic do not appeal to emotion, which is FAR stronger than anything else a politician can use. If you think this subreddit is in support of Trump, your efforts to "educate" us on our idealized savior of humanity are wasted. We aren't laying out what are lies, as anything ANY politician says is usually incorrect

and designed to appeal to emotion. We are simply trying to learn from a man who has absolutely steamrolled his enemy in his efforts to get what he wants.

Supporting someone and admiring their accomplishments are not the same. Your entire idea that politicians have to tell the truth and be backed up by facts is completely wrong.

NRG1975 • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 06:53 PM

If you would like to read my whole post, then maybe you could discuss it on a level that I could understand ... then again, maybe not.

This was not directing to a solely political point, but how to defend yourself in life, which is what I thought Red Pill was all about? No? So, my point was not about politicians in some TV debate, but about getting what you want from life, which I thought I made clear further down in my post.

Trump's strategy is a long term loser, in life, and in politics. Which I tied together at the end.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 07:59 PM

Your understanding of TRP manages to be even lower than your understanding of politics. Your claims of Trump being a loser and losing political ground is hysterically wrong. You dodge facts almost as good as feminist dodge logic.

Foojira • -6 points • 1 March, 2016 04:31 PM

Jesus can we stop the worshipping of this jackass. this sub in an election year is an embarrassment. Trump is the definition of all show no substance. I dont think thats alpha. I think that's so obviously clearly pretending to be alpha and knowing how to herd sheeple with populist bullshit, mesmerize the media, and sell commercial air time.

This man will never be president. Understand?

never. be. president.

He is a joke on a global scale, representing a joke of a party in ruin (for which he isnt even a real member), supported by jokers in this country during primaries. He knows literally nothing, about any issue, and the fact that he doesnt even show interest to learn enough to even fake it is so telling about whats wrong with our society right now. Point being, he doesnt have to. "Debates" are not won by substance anymore, merely perception. Im no super fan of hillary, but she is more alpha than this clown. She will embarrass him and it will be "terrific."

Trump is only having success because of a misguided perception that Obama is "weak" brought to you daily ad nauseum by fox news, drudge, racist, petrified whites. To them Trump is the opposite of Obama. A throwback to excess of the 80s when Trump was known and celbrated for his wealth in a very different way than it is today. He's also our own Putin, in their ridiculous perception, who they secretly love and admire...which is also so very telling.

Figure it out people.

king_of_red_alphas • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 07:25 PM

Upvoted. It is truly incredible how, although redpill prides itself on seeing the truth they can't actually see that Trump is a primary season phenomenon.

These happen all the time. McKain in 2000, Dean in '04, etc. Sanders now. They are "emotion" candidates. They are also ones that will never, ever win in a general.

Anybody remember when people got hard ons for Sarah Palin because she "spoke plainly and told it like it is"? Yeah. That didn't work out.

If you knew jack shit about polling, demographics and the way the electoral college worked, you'd understand that it's a mathematical certainty that trump would lose to Hillary.

Also, in terms of debating - who has he debated? Other petrified republicans who were already jokes to begin with.

Could you imagine this guy facing off against Obama in a debate? Do you remember what Obama did to McKain and Romney?

A confident and well versed candidate (who wasn't afraid of alienating the Republican base as all are in the primaries) would make Trump look like the intellectual lightweight he is.

Admire trump for his "frame" all you want, but this guy is not somebody to be admired from an intellectual standpoint and he has not had his frame truly tested until he faces a democrat (and wins) in a debate.

Toxicbutt • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 04:02 PM

If your an Alpha, your supporters will come to defend you BECAUSE your a leader and followers/betas/women will always need a leader or Alpha to fight and die for. Trump has to defend here however because hes running for POTUS. He has supportors who defend him everywhere tho. This a a good piece for a beta trying to better improve themselves.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 04:08 PM

[permanently deleted]

skalmanninjaturtle • 3 points • 1 March, 2016 04:21 PM

So what's the alpha choice?

warjesus420 • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 01:06 AM

Step 1 be at the sandbox Step 2 Make fun of poopyheads I DONT LIKE!

Ralph45612 • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 05:08 AM

Awesome another circle jerk post about Trump maintaining frame.

[deleted] • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 08:17 AM

Trump is a blowhard toddler who lashes out when criticized. WTF is this bullshit?

Insilo • 0 points • 2 March, 2016 11:46 AM

This is for shits n giggles right ? Please tell me this is a satire. Because if you are truly taking an ignorant idiot as an example of what a real man roll model should look like , i feel deeply sorry for you OP and for the rest of who upvoted this thinking it was serious.

This is truly a sad post.

IamGale[S] • 4 points • 2 March, 2016 11:58 AM*

You don't like Trump. That's okay. But that doesn't mean you can't learn something from his success.

Insilo • 1 point • 2 March, 2016 04:17 PM

Couldn't give less shit about trump. But what i do give a shit about is this sub reddit. And it is truly sad to see posts like these getting so much recognition by those who are still transitioning into trp , the message you send to fellow men is beyond pathetic. This sub reddit isn't what it use to be back in a days and i can

assure you this, since iv been here from the very beginning. But lets not get deeper into this, because this post shows that you are still blue to the core with some superficial knowledge trying to imitate alpha by teaching new members how to behave by a public clown.

Adurell • -2 points • 2 March, 2016 01:09 AM

I swear, the idolisation of Trump around here makes me wonder if trp isn't a political organisation at times.

aditas • 3 points • 2 March, 2016 03:14 AM

It is not. Trump is fascinating because it's a case study of how one that has taken the RP would run a presidential campaign.

[deleted] • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 06:35 PM

None of this works against true facts.

Zahoo • 2 points • 2 March, 2016 04:42 AM

"True facts" works in high school debate club. On a televised debate is is **all** rhetoric, and that is what we are discussing.

warjesus420 • -1 points • 1 March, 2016 11:05 PM

Destroyed and did well? ummmmmmm.....I saw from the getgo the failure he would be and after they so clearly showed Thursday how he would do not a single thing he promises, I can't understand how anyone with 1/10 of a brain can elect him after he so blatantly was shown to have no plan nor idea about his "great america"

[deleted] • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 05:27 PM

Donald trump simply uses boardroom negotiations.

The one thing this is missing is you need to own a big company, be publicly recognized, and have money or access to back it up.

[deleted] • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 08:21 PM

This is a masters-class in getting your way. No doubt it's successful, but you may have greater image issues afterwards.

n_dois • 0 points • 1 March, 2016 09:06 PM

The Art of Being Right: 38 Ways to Win an Argument - Arthur Schopenhauer

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 05:05 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 04:28 PM

[permanently deleted]

acdcfreak • 8 points • 1 March, 2016 05:03 PM

This is how I talked about politics when I was 13. "he knows literally nothing, about any issue." He's taking polar opposites on policy issues from those that his opponents have already taken

ex: gang of eight bill, he went the other way and said I'll build a fucking wall to stop illegal immigration.

Stop reading the mainstream media and thinking 49% of republican voters are retarded hicks, and start trying

to find out why 49% of people polled yesterday favor Trump over 16%/15% for Rubio/Cruz. There's no one reason, like you claim, other than he is the candidate people like the most, for many different reasons.

Foojira • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 06:14 PM

Ah, well, if that's so you were doing far better when you were 13. Trump simply taking the polar opposite of any given position, is not a stance on any position - its a fucking strategy. One that will get him the nomination, hilariously. Offering zero details other than "it'll be great," when pushed repeatedly means he's got nothing and as I said, he doesn't need to. Building "a fucking wall" and having Mexico pay for it is red meat to very stupid people, fact. I of course consume media from everywhere, but am basing observations MOSTLY from his own words/actions/behaviors in each of these debates which is what this post was really about.

I don't think 49% of republican voters are retarded hicks, not sure what you're talking about.

If I made it appear as if its the ONLY reason that people want to vote for this clown, that wasn't the intent. It is my theory based on my own perception of changing attitudes. There's a factor that just wants to watch the whole thing burn, create forced change. There's a factor that wants him President out of unquestionable racism. There's a factor that all Americans expect to be millionaires, someday. There's a factor that will vote for him because Tom Fucking Brady likes him and he wins superbowl. Obviously, there are a lot of reasons. None good, IMO. But downvote all you want, he will never be President.

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 06:24 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 1 March, 2016 06:19 PM

[permanently deleted]

Opanion • 4 points • 1 March, 2016 06:28 PM

Lets see who else is in the race then. 1. Rubio, a known liar for immigration and closeted pill popping homo 2. Cruz, a smart liar who is Canadian and not eligible for the office 3. Kasich, Ok but ultimately a nobody 4. Carson, smart but crazy evangelical a doctor not a politician 5. Clinton, a known liar and terrible sec. of state 6. Sanders, A protocommunist Jew who has never accomplished anything other than keetch about capitalism

I'd take Trump over all of these fools anyday. Sure he isn't the best but he sure isn't the worst.

Foojira • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 06:33 PM

no good options, not going to disagree with you there. they're all known liars. but Trump is your best option? are you ok? do you really think being a boss, a megalomaniacal one to boot means you can "run" a country? Kasich IMO is the only human one on that stage on the Republican side not pandering to the Obama hate that he still breathes crowd.

Opanion • 2 points • 1 March, 2016 06:38 PM

Kasich is ok but he is still a nobody. Besides Obama deserves all the hate he gets. Im ok with someone with a high value of himself even if its a bit inflated.

alpha_n3rd • 1 point • 1 March, 2016 05:32 PM

I hate Trump but this is actually a pretty decent post