

# Man Fights Child Support Order For Infant Born To Wife He Hasn't Seen in 15 Years. After Thousands in Legal Fees, He Wins.

903 upvotes | 28 September, 2016 | by grewapair

---

Iowa law (and the law of many other states) automatically assigns paternity to the husband, even if he can prove it isn't his. Man splits up with wife but never files for divorce. 15 years after he last saw her, she has a child, and the state tracks him down and demands child support. He argues it isn't his. The state says it doesn't care, pay up and keep paying for the next 18 years.

Thousands of dollars are donated to fight it and today [he wins](#)..

It was an administrative appeal to the department that was hounding him for the child support payments, it was not a court decision. Whether that can be used for other similar cases in the state remains to be seen.

And in the end, the woman will NOT have to pay what HE would have had to. All Iowans will pay the share of that special snowflake.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

[deleted] • 140 points • 29 September, 2016 12:31 AM

And she still doesn't have to pay for shit.

Serrano- • 30 points • 29 September, 2016 01:43 AM

He didn't either he was collecting unemployment.

Indorill • 51 points • 29 September, 2016 11:11 AM **[recovered]**

I don't hold a grudge against people on unemployment. It's an insurance program that is mandatory to enroll in. Working people can't opt out, so if you can get a piece back then go for it.

recon\_johnny • 15 points • 29 September, 2016 02:00 PM

Don't forget you pay that. Like Social Security, it's taken out of your check.

VeritatemDilexi • 7 points • 29 September, 2016 03:35 PM\*

There are a few different tax types; some are shared taxes(FICA, Medicare, etc), some are employee-only(withholding), and some are employer-only. Unemployment Insurance is an employer-only tax. Charging employees for it is illegal in the US.

However, when claimants draw unemployment it will likely impact the employer's state unemployment tax rate for the following year.

Just wanted to clear the air on that.

recon\_johnny • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 04:22 PM

Ah yes. Fucked up. Apologies, above is correct.

Love-Gun • 1 point • 30 September, 2016 04:14 AM

Unemployment? I just got off.

B\_Campbell • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 04:31 PM

That's why I don't feel bad the few times I've used it. I paid into it and it isn't indefinite. It's enough to keep you going while you look for your next job.

qrtrpqrtrpqrtrp • 8 points • 29 September, 2016 02:12 AM

He's the exception here tho

[deleted] • 67 points • 29 September, 2016 12:41 PM

My ex-wife has taken my daughter (whom I have legal rights to see 48 percent of the year) and I have not seen her in over 2 years. I have never missed a child support payment, and get vague or no responses from the mother's email account, while I have not even as much as gotten an address. I called the cops, they say I have to take her to court.

Lawyer says I have to hire a private investigator to track her down and pay him 8k in fees, which she will pretty much never have to pay back and I will likely never get custody of my daughter anyway, but the best possible outcome would be me paying for legal cost and then only getting my original custody order enforced.

If I did the same thing she is doing, amber alerts would be posted across the country and FBI agents would immediately track me down and throw me in jail for the rest of my life if they didn't kill me.

## maleprivilege

VTMSCHVSVCTRY • 13 points • 29 September, 2016 01:23 PM [recovered]

Dude, I'm sorry. That is just fucked.

[deleted] • 15 points • 29 September, 2016 01:28 PM

Don't be, it's my own fault for marrying someone mentally unstable and unfit for parenthood. Some lessons we learn the hardway.

Fatboy214 • 3 points • 2 October, 2016 06:40 PM

Brother I'm going through the same shit. Paying \$1400 a month for a child i love and care about. Barely seen my daughter in a year. Family court is a fucking horrendous place and now i understand when i hear other men talking about "divorce". Completely fucked up shit. Hang in there man i would like to say it will get better but you already know how dirty the law is towards us.

BluepillProfessor • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 04:44 PM

Why This doesn't happen several times a day is a mystery.

[deleted] • 8 points • 29 September, 2016 04:57 PM

Well contrary to popular belief, most non-custodial fathers are not violent maniacs. They are just fathers who have been pushed out of their kids lives by vindictive mothers and governments that reward such behavior.

Soarinc • 1 point • 30 September, 2016 08:06 AM

I thought if you have 48% visitation you don't have to pay child support because it's more than 40% right? You must be in California or New York I'm guessing..

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 September, 2016 12:55 PM

It's out of Texas. The default amount if you are a non-custodial parent is 20 percent of your income before taxes (for one kid, and adding 5 percent per kid) regardless of how much visitation you have.

Lsegundo • 1 point • 30 September, 2016 05:40 PM

Can you stop paying and put the payments in escrow until you get to see her? I doubt the money gets spent on your kid anyway since your ex is enough of a cunt to hurt her by not letting her see her father.

As far as the original story goes. What pisses me off about modern life is how uncommon "common sense" is. All the guy should have had to do is prove that he hasnt seen her or take a paternity test.

Sheeple are such fucking robots. Nobody has the brains/balls to make a decision about anything. The country just run on auto pilot. God help you if you have a situation that needs a human to review it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 September, 2016 05:54 PM\*

Well I'm not an attorney (I'm a paralegal actually), but I don't think it works that way. Family court doesn't really work like other courts, and in most States is considered "civil court", yet there is an entire separate division and different rules of procedure. Judges can pretty much do whatever the fuck they

want in family court, and the outcome depends on what they had for breakfast and how bad the traffic was that morning. Child Support isn't for kids or custodial parents, it's for the government, regardless of what anyone tells you, so I highly doubt the court will do me any favors without me spending a shit load more money than what I will save will be worth it. Actually, the court could even RAISE my child support based upon changes in employment, or other conditions. I'm looking at about 8-10k dollars just to get the court to take action against my ex-wife, and then she could simply petition her State's court and get the entire case moved to her State, and I will have to start all over with more attorney, PI, and court fees.

epixs • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 05:11 PM

Dude this is so fucked up, how are we saying women are oppressed when this type of shit is going on???

103342 • 223 points • 28 September, 2016 11:59 PM

From the article:

"In my opinion, that can all be fixed with a simple DNA test, but the law doesn't recognize that. That's the problem. There are probably well over 100,000 men just like me going through the same thing," he said.

Honestly mate, the government forcing you to pay stuff for a kid that isn't yours directly like that is too fucked up. These guys should revolt or some shit or collectively do something. Anything, just don't comply with something this absurd.

This type of stuff just triggers me to the core.

[deleted] • 56 points • 29 September, 2016 01:08 AM

Yeah and then they get thrown in jail for not paying up. They don't have much of a choice.

Mckallidon • 43 points • 29 September, 2016 01:19 AM

Go to jail. Now you're on child support. 3 square meals and all the calisthenics you want to do. Monk mode.

[deleted] • 22 points • 29 September, 2016 03:39 AM

If you're going to go the "go to jail" route then I say just run away and see if you can start your life over somewhere else. Worst case scenario you go to jail anyways.

Admiringcone • 18 points • 29 September, 2016 05:33 AM

Seriously though - this is what I've always had in my mind I would do if this shit ever happens too me. I would just straight up and leave the country - fuck this bs.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 September, 2016 12:13 PM

They take your passport. You would have to flee at the very first sign of trouble to a place that doesn't track withdrawn passports, and support US fugitive agreements.

Solistx • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 04:02 PM **[recovered]**

What if you crossed the southern border illegally and made way to Mexico City international? Unless they are already on your ass, it seems like a plausible plan. I've been thinking about this a lot recently. It's nice to have a get-away plan in the event a bitch decides to loot you.

LoneStarG84 • 1 point • 30 September, 2016 04:20 PM

Mexico *really* doesn't like illegal immigrants...

TheThingsIThink • 17 points • 29 September, 2016 05:01 AM **[recovered]**

No joke. Go dumpster diving in the paper recycling looking for an identity to steal or completely max out all your credit opportunities at once and go to SE Asia or something.

I love to fantasize about taking out a loan to buy a sail boat and then just sailing around the world

Op2mus • 5 points • 29 September, 2016 07:47 AM

I was thinking the same thing. Retreat to Thailand.

goldnhorde • 1 point • 30 September, 2016 06:11 PM

Holy crap. this must be a universal dream like being able to fly or buying an island.

I thought I was the only one that wanted to take out a huge loan for a yacht/houseboat, liquidate everything ... and sail off to the pacific isles

BluepillProfessor • 5 points • 29 September, 2016 04:29 PM

Working all day to give my ex-wife money and I don't even get to see the kids. I would develop a hankering for the far East or South America.

Fatboy214 • 2 points • 2 October, 2016 06:49 PM

I'm paying \$1400 to my exwife who lives at home with her parents and drives a \$35,000 car all on my dime. To make it worse im commissioned sales. So last month was kinda rough i took home \$200 for an entire month. I literally ate cold pork and beans for food. Whatever old cans of crap was how i ate last month. For a kid i can't see. I took a subcontractor 1099 job now that bitch can't touch my money. My lawyer told me as long as i pay something they will never lock me up.

Mckallidon • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 05:34 PM

Exactly. I'm not actually literally telling men to go to jail. I'm playing devils advocate to get to a bigger picture.

NameOfAction • 78 points • 29 September, 2016 01:37 AM

Youve never been in jail, have you? Its not like in Trailer Park Boys.

And you keep racking up child support debt plus intrest while youre in jail.

aanarchist • 10 points • 29 September, 2016 03:35 AM

yea a pretty young thang like me would end up with a gaping asshole after a year in jail.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 04:04 AM

"You sure got a pretty mouth."

Mckallidon • 32 points • 29 September, 2016 02:11 AM

Yeah, just keep putting it on the tab. Honestly, jail is better than being divorced raped. My one friend literally works to have a car to get to work. That's it. Fuck that. He doesn't even get to see

his kids. The state doesn't care if you can't pay rent or feed yourself. Fuck it.

NameOfAction • 32 points • 29 September, 2016 02:15 AM

That's still better than jail. Its not even close. Yes it sucks. It sucks bad. But alot better than jail.

Mckallidon • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 02:24 AM

Fuck no. You don't slave for nothing. You sit around all day playing cards or working out. You eat better. You get to workout as much as you want. County jail in a rural area is nothing. I've had worse vacations.

[deleted] • 15 points • 29 September, 2016 04:03 AM

Prison is slave labor dude. Right now the slaves are on a massive two week long strike, across the globe.

Mckallidon • 3 points • 29 September, 2016 05:33 PM

Prison and jail are not the same. Fact is if all men practiced civil disobedience in this matter it would stir up massive shit and lawyers would switch gears when they aren't profiting off of the current situation anymore. As soon as we stop paying to have half our rights, they'll start offering better services.

666mafioso • 35 points • 29 September, 2016 02:37 AM

Try prison buddy. It'll change your laid back attitude real quick.

ThePedanticCynic • 32 points • 29 September, 2016 03:49 AM

If you have to work all day every day just so you don't go to jail then you're a slave anyway, pure and simple.

[deleted] • 16 points • 29 September, 2016 04:04 AM

But you are *free* in the world, not behind bars.

Some people are just born slaves.

RobotsCantBePeople • 3 points • 29 September, 2016 04:18 PM

Dude was talking about jail not prison. Why start shit for absolutely no reason.

MAWL\_SC • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 06:23 PM

This shit has perplexed me too, what is OP going to do?

(We're rappers)

666mafioso • 1 point • 4 October, 2016 12:59 AM

If a doctor tells you to jump off a fucking bridge, s/he is responsible for negligence. I know this guy's jail comment was tongue-in-cheek (or I thought so at first), but the more he brags about how jail is so cush, the more someone might actually think it's a viable option for their lives.

So I'm adding my opinion that I, who spent two years in mid-level security prison, is not a fucking joke. So what's your option now? Find a crime that will

land you in jail just long enough to finish your 5-fiber workout plan but not severe enough to land you in prison?

You're a fucking kid, take the backseat if you're afraid of some tough love.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 September, 2016 01:01 PM

My brother just got out of prison and he says it was like summer camp. He played flag football, basketball, and worked out the whole time.

recon\_johnny • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 02:02 PM

And the bathroom rapes. Probably forgot to mention that.

haxurmind • 9 points • 29 September, 2016 03:00 AM

I prefer vacations where I make up my own itinerary.

ThePedanticCynic • 6 points • 29 September, 2016 03:48 AM

The itinerary here is 'spite', and it's hard to disagree with. Everyone has their own threshold.

Mckallidon • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 05:34 PM

That's why I never bring my gf lol.

Floaty\_McBoatface • 4 points • 29 September, 2016 08:46 AM **[recovered]**

This is the worst advice I've ever seen on TRP. Don't listen to someone who tells you going to prison is cool, kids.

Mckallidon • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 05:27 PM

Never said it was cool. Especially prison. Playing devil's advocate here. Trying to get at something deeper in a round about manner. Although sluts dig convicts.

aazav • 0 points • 29 September, 2016 11:57 AM

Its not even close.

It's\* not even close.

But alot better than jail.

But a lot\* better than jail.

eto\_eskape • -1 points • 29 September, 2016 11:57 AM

HOW MANY?!

---

This was performed by a bot.

Please contact /u/eto\_eskape if you have any problems.

---

Sementeries • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 03:16 PM

Still has his freedom, doesn't get told when to get up/go to bed, eat, and so on

Mckallidon • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 05:26 PM

Anyone who works a shit job that pays crap is still doing the same thing. Except it costs them money for the freedom to do so.

Sementeries • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 05:30 PM

Rather be out than be in.

Being a bum isn't for me regardless of whether I worked a shit job or not.

Mckallidon • 0 points • 30 September, 2016 11:30 AM

I don't think you actually get my point. I'm not actually advocating going to jail.

I'm playing devils advocate to illustrate a much more important point. But make it about yourself if you wish.

Sementeries • 1 point • 30 September, 2016 03:01 PM

No, your point is shit, and the fact you would even think about choosing that is moronic.

Stop talking about being locked up if you've never experienced it. It's easy talking about it in the safety and comfort of your home.

StraightGlueWater • 1 point • 1 October, 2016 08:14 AM

Or.. fuck off to Thailand, Switzerland, Argentina, etc. Start fresh somewhere and hope you can find your kids in the future. That's a much better outcome than being an in and out of jail parent imo

Mckallidon • 1 point • 1 October, 2016 11:30 PM

Just don't run to Canada to start over. It's even more fucked over there.

SCV70656 • 3 points • 29 September, 2016 12:49 PM

Youve never been in jail, have you? Its not like in Trailer Park Boys.

duh, thats *canadian* jail.

US jail is more like Oz.

aazav • -5 points • 29 September, 2016 11:56 AM

Youve never

You've\* never

Its not like

It's\* not like

while youre in jail.

while you're\* in jail.

Seriously



NameOfAction • 9 points • 29 September, 2016 02:01 PM

You cant come in without a warrant, grammar police!

CDBaller • 3 points • 29 September, 2016 02:31 PM

Vi are not zi grammar police. Vi are zi Grammar Nazis, und vi do not need a varrant!

Spidertech500 • 10 points • 29 September, 2016 02:55 AM

And this is why RP is Concervative. We realize the government sucks.

nzgs • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 09:31 PM

Plenty of guys flee the country over much smaller problems than child support. I think it's foolish to passively accept such an immoral fate. Let the state take decades trying to get money from you when you live in south east asia and don't use a big western bank.

\_fappycamper • 1 point • 30 September, 2016 03:20 PM

Headlines next day "100,000 men refuse to pay state mandated child support"

Fatboy214 • 1 point • 2 October, 2016 06:44 PM

They take my money directly out of my check.

[deleted] • 10 points • 29 September, 2016 12:56 PM

I would burn all of my possessions, throw all of my money into a gutter, and spend decades in jail before I ever gave a single penny towards a child that wasn't mine.

Windryder • 5 points • 29 September, 2016 03:04 PM

If everyone had this attitude, the law forcing men to pay for children that aren't theirs would be gone because it wouldn't work. All that's necessary for things which are wrong to be changed is for a large enough proportion of people affected by them to refuse to adhere to the laws.

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 September, 2016 03:17 PM

Yep, I guess if you have your own kids to take care of, you're screwed because you have to do the right thing by them. But, if you're a single guy and some bitch that you haven't heard from in 15 years pops out of the woodwork and demands that you start kicking your hard earned money towards that thing that she just whelped, the most logical choice for me would be to shrug my shoulders and say fuck it, skip town, and let them come find me. Afterall, how do you respect and adhere to a system of laws that would impose that upon you?

juliusstreicher • 28 points • 29 September, 2016 01:11 AM

What POs me no end is that the guys pay it, and refuse to organize to get this tyrannical and repressive abomination of a law repealed. I'm willing to bet that no more than 100 of them have even considered activity to stop it.

vengefully\_yours • 9 points • 29 September, 2016 03:56 PM

Try finding a lawyer who will fight a case like this for you. I tried, in Nebraska not a single one of them would go against the state on my behalf. The cs enforcement cunts (100% female employees) broke no less than four state laws, two US codes, and did whatever they wanted to fuck me over. Not a single

lawyer would fight those battles for me. Sure they'd take my money, but they're skirt around taking on the state and drain my funds. Any idea how hard it is to pay a lawyer when the state leaves you less than \$300 a month to live on?

Sure, get organized. Fuck all will come of it. They can legally extort you, and nobody will do anything about it.

SouloftheVoid • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 06:27 PM

There is nothing you can *legally* do about it. It would be in the best interests of any man who is sentenced to decades of child support debt slavery to get off the grid and learn how to card.

aanarchist • 9 points • 29 September, 2016 03:34 AM

the thing that baffles me is that a person can be so enslaved that they'll go well it's written in the laws handbook that you do this in this situation and can't do that, so even though this isn't necessarily right the law is absolute and must be followed to the letter.

it's like they understand the word of the law, but not the spirit of the law, if that makes sense. basically they're so enslaved that they will see injustice and just accept it because there's no laws against it, it's pathetic to watch. men don't need laws, they never have and they never will.

Admiringcone • 7 points • 29 September, 2016 05:32 AM

It's the kind of shit that would make me just up and leave a country with a big fuck you shit eating grin and a backwards middle finger. Like fuck u can get me too pay that bullshit.

vengefully\_yours • 10 points • 29 September, 2016 04:02 PM

Ya know, they have ways of stopping that. The judge put me \$10k in debt to her in one afternoon. Didn't care she cheated, was abusive to me and the kids, nah she was the victim, I was guilty of crimes against humanity.

At \$5k in arrears they rescind your passport. You get flagged everywhere that you might try to leave the country. Your only choice is sneaking into Mexico. Can't even get into Canada now. They do it the same day, so you're stuck here, within reach. If you go underground, they destroy your credit, and any bank you use will hand over the money you put in it.

If you're gonna bail, you have to do it the day the judge fucks you, otherwise you're not going anywhere.

FLFTW16 • 7 points • 29 September, 2016 04:19 PM

If you're gonna bail, you have to do it the day the judge fucks you, otherwise you're not going anywhere.

This is very important information, thanks for sharing.

There is one other option: buy yourself a cruiser sailboat and just leave. Once you get 12 nautical miles out you are in international waters. Stock up on beans, rice, and fresh water. Don't step on dry land until you get to a banana republic in south america.

vengefully\_yours • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 05:04 PM

Good luck with that.

Easier solution is take our advice. Never marry. Next up is don't have kids unless you're ready for 20 years (minimum) of being broke. Even if she doesn't take off with them, kids are fucking expensive. You know, the whole think ahead and figure out what you really want. Most of us

have time, we don't have a 19 year window to pop out healthy kids.

There is a difference between supporting your kids, and being an indentured servant to the ex. Far too many states think it's the same thing.

FLFTW16 • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 05:07 PM

There are stories of totally random men being fingered as the father. Knowing how to burn bridges during retreat should be considered a life skill for any independent minded man.

Anyone can be crushed by the Leviathan for any number of reasons.

dr\_warlock • 4 points • 29 September, 2016 09:04 PM

Dude, just write a big ass field report about your experience with all the recommendations and warnings about the process. Then we'll have stuck to the sidebar forever.

vengefully\_yours • 1 point • 30 September, 2016 01:53 PM

I would, but it's very state specific. The laws vary, and Nebraska has changed the laws since I got my wallet rape. Apparently 68% of gross is too much now, but they aren't going to pay me back. The ex owes me nearly \$10k from when my youngest lived with me for a year, but you think they'll make her pay it? Fuck no.

dr\_warlock • 1 point • 2 October, 2016 04:15 PM

Doesn't matter. If it's too big for a reddit post (probably is), send it to me I'll make it a guest post on my blog and you can post it under your name on the sub and link to the rest. Your story needs attention and would help a lot of people.

vengefully\_yours • 2 points • 2 October, 2016 10:26 PM

Which parts do you want? The divorces? Each one had similarities, but profound differences as well. The undertaking of getting strong and fast enough with the skills required to end the abuse I grew up enduring? How to deal with supervisors who make it their life mission to make your life hell? Getting back up as many times as it takes and keeping a positive outlook despite overwhelming odds stacked purposely against you?

Every shrink I've talked to and told my entire story has wondered the same thing: Why haven't I either killed myself, or taken out the people who, with no provocation, made my life hell. The answer is amazingly simple. I like cars. A lot.

It's a huge story, spans nearly 50 years, and played out on four continents. The first divorce alone could be a book unto itself, and would not necessarily have the second one in it. If I do write something, it probably won't be until we get lots of snow. Plus it would be competing for my time with pages of automotive, E85 carb conversion, green energy, and homesteading things I am asked to write about.

One of my girls says I should turn it into a novel, like a very fucked up Truman Show. I think it'd be more dark and sinister if everything that people did to me was for no reason other than people are horrible fucks that in another age I would have killed.

dr\_warlock • 1 point • 2 October, 2016 10:29 PM

Use the most important parts.

vengefully\_yours • 1 point • 2 October, 2016 10:39 PM

That's what I mean, unless it's an outline that really loses the impact of wtf and doesn't sound so bad, it is huge. Everything ties together, lots of cause and effect. The way I grew up played a huge part of why I ended up with the first cunt. She was the entire reason I found the second ex who went stupid despite being rather wonderful for years. The first one stretches from 1993 to last summer when the state was finally out of my wallet.

I'm not saying I won't, but that it will take a while and I need to know what you want to provide to the readers.

haxurmind • 7 points • 29 September, 2016 02:56 AM

collectively do something

Unlikely; but a bunch of red pill aware men in parliament with the power to present changes to the legal system might be worthwhile.

Such would be a very long term plan; much like that seen to moderate success for certain special types of people you can not name without learning about censorship (OK I lied, extremely successful).

Failing that; I wouldn't brand a man that turns his back on a country, that leaves him hung and dry on this matter, a coward if he emigrated from the US to financially greener pastures. If this is your goal, stop reading and get out now before borders are closed, passports and financial assets seized. Consider any long term US based financial instruments valued at 0 if you are successful, but as a man you can rebuild yourself from the ground up once you find greener pastures (I'll leave recommendations up to others).

You can continue to protest the matter if you choose to; but I don't see why you should do that with a handicap in country when there is the Internet and other tools that enables you to do that from beyond the US and its occupied territories (mind your step around US military bases).

Not paying and going to jail is not be an option IMO so try and avoid that (freedom is not free so do not squander it). Don't let yet another party gain financially from the negatives of child support (profit driven private run prisons). Three square meals and a cot are fine things to have, but I'd rather do that with more pleasant company and scenery than what is on offer within concrete walls and barbed wire.

BluepillProfessor • 6 points • 29 September, 2016 04:41 PM

We are about to see whether a man with RP leanings can even be elected in the West against one of the worst nominees of a major political party EVER. Yet she leads by 20% among women. All she needs is a vagina and a guy who criticized Rosey O'donnell once and the women line up to vote for the vagina. Whose idea was it to give them the franchise again?

VasiliyZaitzev • 9 points • 29 September, 2016 08:10 AM

a bunch of red pill aware men in parliament with the power to present changes to the legal system might be worthwhile.

Yep. But the accepted cultural narrative would militate against that. Although sometimes, help comes from surprising sources: Massachusetts reformed its Draconian alimony laws after a couple of 2nd wives got ordered to pay alimony to 1st wives after the husband lost his job and ability to pay. Fuck men, of course, but the reform turned out to make things a bit better, if only by accident.

Orbiter42 • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 08:27 AM

i looked around not long ago, theirs no clear number, but the number of men, legal responsible for raising another mans baby, is about one in 6, nearly equal to the number of women raped. (one in five,) but the world doesn't care, we're men, we're suppose to suck it up, and be men. o, and women are suppose to be equal to us, with out the sucking it up and being men part. lol, and they don't realize they are unraveling the most fundamental part of society.

qiang\_shi • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 03:48 AM

Only sjws and actual war veterans get triggered.

SouloftheVoid • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 06:23 PM

If it ever happened to me I would go off the grid and make all my money from carding or vending on the deep web.

[deleted] • 33 points • 29 September, 2016 12:19 AM

So after all that he didn't really win in the courts, they still don't give a shit.

He managed to get the original department to reverse their decision.

MEANMUTHAFUKA • 35 points • 29 September, 2016 02:38 AM

It's quite likely they released him from the obligation to avoid setting any court precedents. If the court had offered some type of relief for what is clearly an unjust law, it would have opened the door to further litigation. They were probably playing it safe.

0kool74 • 22 points • 29 September, 2016 03:13 AM

Truth be told this is probably EXACTLY what transpired.

MattyAnon • 135 points • 29 September, 2016 12:26 AM

## Do Not Marry

Come on people, it isn't complicated.

ReddittFeist • 63 points • 29 September, 2016 12:58 AM\*

And if you got married because you didn't know any better, at least **get off your ass and file the fuckin' divorce papers** if you break up with your ex!

0kool74 • 15 points • 29 September, 2016 03:12 AM

Come on people, it isn't complicated

If I can go political nutshell for a minute.....brainwashing! That's all it is. Brainwashing. Just like with Hitlery Clinton and there being MORE than enough evidence out there that would make even the most darkest of individuals say HELL NO TO HER at the ballot box, there are a whole plethora of men out there that have had the "life script" shoved down their throats and that's all they know.

Now some men have been fortunate enough to see the raw deal that is marriage for men today, like myself, and they avoid it like the bubonic plague. However, for a lot of men out there, all they know is magical unicorn fairies farting pixie dust and they just gotta get their pixie dust. They really just have no frame of reference to push them towards taking the red pill. But boy they sure do wonder what the hell happened

when the Blue Pill "life script" bomb goes off and destroys them.

MattyAnon • 17 points • 29 September, 2016 04:02 AM

Now some men have been fortunate enough to see the raw deal that is marriage for men today, like myself, and they avoid it like the bubonic plague.

I was raised on endless "equality" stuff, while missing the subtext that "some are more equal than others, equality is only for females". Hence I expected equality in my relationships. When I didn't get it, I smelled a rat. The closer I looked, the worse the whole deal looked. Culminated in TRP.

Okool74 • 6 points • 29 September, 2016 12:40 PM

Absolutely. All the rabid SJW feminist doucherags want equality as long as it benefits them. The instant it causes them undue hardship or negative PR, then they're dead set against it. Perfect example.....they're perfectly fine with a man paying child support for a kid that's not his. However, they lose their shit when a woman has to pay alimony and/or child support.

MattyAnon • 6 points • 29 September, 2016 01:58 PM

However, they lose their shit when a woman has to pay alimony and/or child support.

Broader picture: they lose their shit whenever a woman is held responsible for *anything*. God forbid a woman should be treated like an adult.

Entropy-7 • 21 points • 29 September, 2016 05:51 AM

One lesson is that if you split, get the fucking divorce.

And why don't they hunt down the biological father? Unless he is some black ops James Bond type, it can't be that difficult given the resources of the state.

omega\_dawg93 • 5 points • 29 September, 2016 12:46 PM

the state won't spend \$ tracking him down if you are obligated thru marriage.

what happens is the hubby pays... then he has to sue (more \$) the bio father for the child support.

Entropy-7 • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 02:58 PM

I mean in the case where hubby is off the hook. Why would the tax payers get stuck?

toalysium • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 10:33 PM

The state will require that. In order to receive any public benefits (at least here in Texas) the party receiving has to cooperate with the attorney general's office in determining exactly who fathered the bastard. If she can't come up with a name, or refuses to, then she gets nothing. Also, if they aren't married the courts won't just take some whore's word for it, a DNA test is mandated.

[deleted] • 32 points • 29 September, 2016 01:08 AM

How many dollars, morally bereft lawmakers, and flagrant civil rights violations does it take to get to the center of feminism's blatant manipulation of our government?

The world may never know.

jav253 • 13 points • 29 September, 2016 08:06 AM

It's older than Feminism. It's a relic from the time before divorce was rampant. Society didn't want to be on the hook to pay for deadbeats kids. The problem is then No Fault divorce was passed, and female hypergamy was unleashed full blast as soon as they released now divorce was easy as pie, and a good financial deal.

omega\_dawg93 • 12 points • 29 September, 2016 12:43 PM

i know someone who went thru this. the court threw out the DNA test & said, "if you're married to her, any child that is born by her is yours. period."

when asked why they don't go after the bio father, the reply was, "that takes resources where you are obligated thru marriage."

i almost passed out.

SouloftheVoid • 2 points • 29 September, 2016 06:48 PM

If I were him I would have suicide bombed the courthouse.

andhakanoon • 11 points • 29 September, 2016 09:07 AM

I think here in the Netherlands it's kind of the opposite. Even if the child is yours, if you're not married, and you don't legally claim the child, you're completely free of any responsibility.

mikesteane • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 11:44 AM

I find that hard to believe. Can you give a bit more information please?

andhakanoon • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 12:20 PM

I'm not exactly sure, but I overheard one of my co-workers giving this advice to another whose girlfriend is expecting:

"You need to go to the [some office] to fill out all the forms and all, because here in the Netherlands the law says that if you're not married and you don't fulfil the necessary formalities, you have no responsibility towards the child. You don't want that. I had to do it too with my children."

I am an expat studying here, but the speaker is Dutch and I trust him on knowing the law in this case. He has two kids of school-going age.

epixs • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 05:13 PM

Netherlands is amazing, I would love to live there one day. I got a chance to visit it for a few weeks before I headed back to USA and the people, atmosphere, and everything is amazing. Not to mention the women are gorgeous.

andhakanoon • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 06:25 PM

Not really. Plenty of bullshit here as well. This seems to be one good thing but otherwise it is on the fast track to getting fucked.

epixs • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 06:28 PM

how? They have such a laid back vibe. Maybe it was just the tourist regions that they kept like that.

[deleted] • 6 points • 29 September, 2016 08:30 AM

My baby mama got prego and popped out a kid. I wasn't sure if he was mine, but my dad being beta but was pushing to support that kid regardless. I did a dna test and he is my son, so i pay with confidence, otherwise the



bitch should've kept her cat at home.

WHERE\_MY\_COUNTRY\_GO • 7 points • 29 September, 2016 01:30 PM

Your dad seems like a genuine guy. I think he means well, but is stuck in a different era. Luckily you know better, and hopefully the future generations will also change the view of this insane ideology that men should pay for mistakes that aren't theirs.

Windryder • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 03:08 PM

Don't worry, the previous generation will die eventually. Just raise your kids (if you have any) the right way. The only thing that worries me with TRP is we have a bunch of guys thinking the right way, (which is that marriage is toxic), likely not reproducing, or if they do, they don't raise the kids. So the kids raised by betas inherit the earth and the cycle of male slavery continues.

techiejames • 10 points • 29 September, 2016 02:19 AM

I hope he is able to sue the state into overturning that law. It isn't fair to a guy that his wife cheats on him, and then he has to pay child support for a kid that isn't even his. That is unconstitutional.

the\_one\_man\_taliban • 7 points • 29 September, 2016 02:37 AM

He won't. Source: am an Iowan.

vaguelydisturbing • 9 points • 29 September, 2016 04:11 AM

There should be some kind of punitive damages awarded in cases like these, both to disincentivize the state from pursuing BS like this, and to compensate the victim for all the time and energy he had to spend fighting it.

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 September, 2016 02:14 AM

Damn. Wish it was in court. Precedence is needed here.

But dude needs to divorce already.

SnickeringBear • 3 points • 29 September, 2016 05:57 AM

A man should not have to pay child support for a child that is not his and a man who fathers a child should show responsibility for that child. If you are not prepared to pay for your child, get a vasectomy.

TyrannyVengeance • 10 points • 29 September, 2016 08:45 AM **[recovered]**

Sperm jacking - it's a real thing.

SCV70656 • 4 points • 29 September, 2016 12:50 PM

huge thing with sports stars.

Brassballsbenlondon • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 07:31 PM

That's what he gets for not letting go. Come on men, when you leave your bitch get the divorce. Why anyone would get involved with any state in the form of a committed relationship with a woman is beyond me. It's a big trap. It's slavery.

LE117 • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 10:29 PM

I'm from the UK so I might come across a bit ignorant,m. Nonetheless, how does the law enforce a bloke to pay for kids who he has no biological relation to? I don't quite get that bit.



grewapair • 3 points • 29 September, 2016 10:32 PM

When you get married, you assume all financial obligations of your spouse. If she wants to get drunk and buy an expensive car on credit, that bill is now yours. If you get divorced, too bad, you still owe it if she incurred it during the marriage.

So similarly, if she wants to get drunk and fuck some other guy, that bill too is now yours. You basically sign up to pay for each others' obligations. This is reason enough to not ever get married.

LE117 • 1 point • 29 September, 2016 10:43 PM

Yeah, seeing too many of these BS child support cases and divorce extortion is putting me off

Right, so over there you would be culpable for the welfare of that kid provided it was conceived during marriage?

Lsegundo • 1 point • 30 September, 2016 05:47 PM

A simple DNA test is not enough to exonerate a man if he is the husband

Fucking Patriarchy my ass. A quick search says pro DNA tests are something like 97%-99% accurate.

Charlottehurrricanes • 1 point • 9 October, 2016 03:01 AM

Noone wins but the courts and lawyers as they laugh their way to the bank

Supreme\_Gentledude • -4 points • 29 September, 2016 02:28 PM

Man splits up with wife but never files for divorce. 15 years after he last saw her, she has a child, and the state tracks him down and demands child support. He argues it isn't his. The state says it doesn't care, pay up and keep paying for the next 18 years.

I think the state is almost right to do this, but they are still married, so they must either give the child to it's father, without support, or become it's parents. If they were divorced, the child is either the divorced woman's, or the other man's responsibility, and neither should be forced to support the child and the chosen parent.

Temperfuelmma • -5 points • 29 September, 2016 07:42 AM\*

Honestly if someone pulls some bullshit like that on me I'll capture myself on camera saying "fuck you" ,then shoot myself on the head and have someone post it on Twitter.

Honestly the humiliation and mental torture just isn't worth it. Don't get married. NEVER get married.