

# Saw TRP in Action at my Job; I Buy it Now

1700 upvotes | 2 September, 2017 | by halfback910

---

Started browsing this sub a while back. I thought a lot of the theory was interesting, made mental notes, and was on the lookout for confirmation in daily life. I found it some three months ago.

I am not an "alpha". I'll be the first to admit that. I'm active, fit, successful, but when it comes to straight out pissing contests, I generally lose. "Winning through sheer confidence and aggression" has never been my MO and likely never will be. Indirect confrontation is where I tend to thrive.

I got promoted about a year ago to more or less running the inventory and data analysis for my employer's global operations. Essentially a stand-in for our VP of operations specifically when it comes to inventory management and analytics anywhere outside of our home office. That means Hong Kong, Germany, Oceania, and other smaller outfits' inventory programs answer to me. The other 40% of my job is doing what I've always done: Inventory analytics for my product category in our domestic market.

Everything was going really well. I brought Germany, Hong Kong, and the rest of our global inventory into order (it was a shit show, everything is fine now). But it was tough to make people see the value I created in the home office because they didn't "see" me doing it. Numbers can only say so much to people who don't work with numbers for a living. Then about four months ago I find out that our VP hired a new analyst to focus "on e-tail". Totally blindsided, didn't even know they were interviewing. What makes it more problematic is that a lot of the forecasting I do is for e-tail. So whoever comes in is at least partially going to be absorbing some responsibilities from me. Still, not the end of the world because I have a ton of shit to do and am working on transitioning to a more global role.

But then I actually meet the guy. Total fucking Chad. Tall, incredibly sexy, built, cool, collected. You name it. I am immediately threatened, but force myself to reserve judgment because I have a tendency to snap judge people. My coworker, another analyst, tells me she despised him the second she met him. I even cautioned her to wait to judge him because I've been the new guy before and I know it can suck.

He has not been there three weeks, doesn't even know how to navigate our ERP and forecasting system properly before he starts talking about how he's going to "elevate" the forecasting. Big fan of that word, "elevate". He starts going through my forecasts and changing them. For those of you who are not familiar with being an analyst, changing an analyst's forecasts is akin to "fixing" a table that another carpenter made. It's essentially you telling them you don't think they're good at their job. And if you do it, you had better be fucking right.

My coworker and I are perhaps the only two people at our company that understand statistics on a fundamental level. She and I looked at the forecast adjustments he had made on my items and, just by glancing at them, *immediately* knew this kid was a fucking idiot.

The problem was, he presented himself so well and was such an alpha etc. that everyone else loved him. The men were buddy buddy with him almost immediately (he was so good at talking about sportsball) and every woman in our department save my friend were throwing themselves at him. I thought these were rational people. NOPE. The second a hot guy shows up, they go weak in the knees and forget me immediately. My friend told me that he had tried to talk shit about me to her, not knowing that we were super tight (We get drinks like every weekend and recently became business partners in our side venture. I was at her grandson's christening.). So it was obvious that this son of a bitch was gunning for me. Which was unfortunate because he *was* pretty hot and fun to look at.

He talked about his kids and wife to anyone who would listen, but I didn't care. I knew I had to get rid of him even if it meant putting his kids in the bread lines. So I started using logic and my knowledge of

statistics to explain in our meetings why his ideas were wrong. Big fucking mistake. He just interrupted me and made stupid jokes at my expense and everyone laughed at me (particularly the women) because they don't *understand* the statistics or the numbers. They're morons. They don't get when the numbers are explained to them. They need to *see* it. It didn't matter that I was 100% correct, right? He would just try to make me look like an ass and a nerd.

The only way to get rid of him was to let him fail spectacularly and publicly in a way that he couldn't blame on anybody else. So I stopped reviewing his forecasts, knowing that it would cause us to be out of stock for some of our largest customers. I put in vacation time for three weeks about three months in advance, knowing almost exactly to the day when we would be out of stock, because unlike him I'm actually good at what I do.

I went to France and had two and a half glorious weeks with a guy there whom I am very attracted to. We saw the sights around Marseilles. All the while knowing that the clock was ticking. And then, on a Thursday, it exploded.

We were out of stock on thirteen of our largest moving items. Customers were calling to complain. Shit was hitting the fan. Who changed the forecasts to be so low? Oh, it was Chad. No matter, we'll have the other analyst fix them. Oh, she's out sick with a sudden and inexplicable illness? (She was actually at Six Flags, I believe; I had given her a heads up the day before) I guess we'll have to call that nerd we laughed at back early on his vacation to fix Chad's mess. His ticket isn't scheduled for another four days? Fuck, I guess the company has to fly him back here at our own expense because he needs to be here *today*! There are only first class seats available on such short notice? That's pricey. *And* we need to pay him for his vacation time.

I came in like a bat out of Hell, made a huge show of having to stay late to "fix this mess". I didn't point any fingers. His name was all over the forecast adjustments, so I didn't have to. He meekly offered to help me at one point. I asked him "Don't you think you've helped enough already?"

Before I went home at about 9PM that night, I sent the owner of the company an email detailing, in dollars and cents, how much this fuck-up had cost him. At the end of the next week, he was gone, *all* the while talking about his wife and kids. Wife and kids, wife and kids. You know who cared *now*? Fucking nobody, that's who. They smiled and wished him luck and got away from his desk as quickly as they could, the women included. Typical. Fits right in with women avoiding injured males. He was damaged goods now.

Not only was I still here, but I had been given a bonus for my efforts *plus* being compensated for my vacation time. Turns out you totally can put a price on another person, and in this case the price was a hair under two grand. So in a sense, I owe Chad a thank you because getting him fired helped me fund my retirement *slightly* sooner while keeping me in vodka and blow.

Chad taught me valuable lessons: Don't tolerate for a second someone moving in your turf. Don't trust people to be rational. And I taught Chad a very valuable lesson too: At the end of the day, money talks, you stupid cunt. Welcome to the jungle, I hope your wife and kids have to go on SNAP, fuck you and the used Camaro you rode in on.

I now absolutely buy TRP, hook, line, and sinker. I never thought all the people in this department were my friends, per se, but I thought they at least trusted my *judgment*. But no. The only person who saw value in what I did was the only other person who also did it for a living and was basically my best friend, so she shouldn't count. The second an "alpha" showed up, all bets were off and I was chopped liver. Great lessons all around.



---

## Comments

---

All-DayErrDay • 417 points • 2 September, 2017 08:48 PM

I love this post because it's one of the first TRP posts I have seen not praising someone randomly solely because they're some cool 6'2, down to earth, jacked, hot chad. It's fucking dumb seeing people do the same stuff that made him such a nuisance in the workplace on here.

halfback910[S] • 176 points • 2 September, 2017 08:54 PM

To their credit, he managed to get hired despite apparently not being very good at the job. So there's *something* to what he did. I feel like you *need* to be good at the job no matter what, but also Chadding it up can give you bonus miles. You just can't fly *forever* on bonus miles, but you *can* fly forever on being good at the job?

redvelvet\_oreo • 34 points • 3 September, 2017 04:50 AM

I just want to chime in on the "something" mr.chad did to help get his job. I'm sure his looks helped him to some level but to be quite honest your social skills weigh a lot more I have noticed. I am great at my job and I use to be jus let like you and it got me no where most of the time besides people thinking I was an asshole and a mr know it all. Fast forward post TRP...I started looking for a new job and interviewed at a very well known company. I was hired within 3 interviews the whole process was relatively quick. My boss told me months later they hired me for my personality. He said he knew I was smart but my personality won them over and if my knowledge wasn't the best they would have been patient and let me learn. During the interview I managed to connect with both interviewers and have a sense of humor along with knowing my shit. Suffice to say being able to game people and past shit test from either males or females will establish a comfort with them making you more likeable. This is what helped me and what I think may have helped Mr.chad you refer to.

halfback910[S] • 11 points • 3 September, 2017 04:56 AM

I'm sure that helped. And on paper he looks experienced.

RPmatrix • 27 points • 3 September, 2017 08:07 AM

So there's something to what he did

It's called the 'halo effect'

A halo effect is an outcome in one area due to factors derived from another. When good-looking people, for example, are perceived as more intelligent, more successful and more popular, "that's the halo effect in psychology" and it's caused by a cognitive bias, the tendency for an impression created in one area to influence opinion in another area

coxpocket • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 09:17 AM

It's funny to read that because my whole life I never take overly attractive people seriously and assume they are of lesser intelligence (typically)

RPmatrix • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 12:24 PM

lol that's an interesting spin on it!

coxpocket • 2 points • 5 September, 2017 08:30 AM

Well it's a stereotype that attractive people are dumb

All-DayErrDay • 20 points • 2 September, 2017 09:17 PM

Do you think he would have been in his position if he hadn't looked like the way he did? That's all im saying, is that just basing some awesome character traits on someone you've talked to once because of the way they look and acted that one time is ignorant. But yeah I will say if I had the mental fortitude to act the way he does, I would definitely experiment and do it on people in situations with a lot of new faces that I probably wouldn't meet again as a way to learn what works and what doesn't.

halfback910[S] • 22 points • 2 September, 2017 09:18 PM

I have no idea, honestly. And I interacted with him regularly over the course of a total of about four months I guess. I *do* try to avoid snap judging people as I said (it's a bad habit and can cost you in the long run, as I've had to find out the hard way), but I think that four months or so equips you to more or less completely judge someone's character.

un-supervised-savage • 11 points • 3 September, 2017 03:56 PM

Sounds like fake it till you make it gone wrong. With your job you have to actually know it, or at least be willing to learn from the master. But instead he violated one of the laws of power. Never outshine the master. Even though you may not have been his boss you had the skills he needed to know to be successful and he arrogantly dismissed your skills fucking him self over in the process. Wouldn't call the guy a true chad or redpiller. If he were a true redpiller you wouldn't have felt threatened by him until it was too late.

ohHeyRightOn • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 02:16 PM

Four months of working with someone, definitely. Working with someone can teach you more about someone than most situations

do\_it\_or\_leave • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 11:18 PM

As you said, he could just don't mess with your job and nothing would happen.

Or be more reserved and talk with you to find out why your forecasts were so different. If you are soft as you say you probably would have told him and he could just say your predictions were right, or just change them by small amounts just to look like he's working (with your approval).

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 01:09 PM

Yeah I definitely would have been willing to work with him. I don't want to conquer other caveman caves. I just want MY cave. Just don't come in my fucking cave and we're FINE.

TheStumblingWolf • 423 points • 2 September, 2017 06:51 PM

First, that was beautiful. Good job.

Second, you seem to suffer from self limitation. You say you will probably never be a Chad. That's bullshit. You can become what you want if you work for it. Imagine having that guy's charisma and your current talent for the job. How sweet would that be?

halfback910[S] • 124 points • 2 September, 2017 07:06 PM

That sort of self improvement has always puzzled me. I'm very good at establishing reasonable goals, developing a plan, sticking to the plan, and coming out ahead of schedule and under budget. I wanted this sort of job. So I laid out a four year plan, stuck to it, and now I'm generally where I wanted to be. I wanted to be fit so I researched a goal, gave myself a budget for memberships and training, set up a two year plan, stuck to it, and here I am.

But I've never been able to find a convincing PLAN to become a better person?

redpect • 119 points • 2 September, 2017 07:21 PM\*

deleted

halfback910[S] • 33 points • 2 September, 2017 07:30 PM

Well on the voice tone, I've heard that everyone hates the sound of their own voice, right? Because you never actually *hear* it when you're talking. You just think you do, but your brain is actually just cancelling it out or something. So when you hear it on recording it sounds weird and foreign and terrible. So would I be the best judge of my voice? Could I pay someone online five bucks to listen to me talk and critique my voice, you think?

dCLIFFb • 42 points • 2 September, 2017 08:30 PM

He's talking about the rhythm and cadence/tone, not necessarily what your voice sounds like.. I see what you're saying and that might affect how you judge yourself but there is stuff you can improve just by listening to your own voice. I don't know if people on here do this anymore, but back about a year ago I know a few of the top contributors to this subreddit held Skype interviews with people to talk about whatever the person wanted to improve. Maybe check the sidebar or make a post about that

SiulaGrande • 22 points • 2 September, 2017 10:09 PM\*

i actually love the sound of my voice. naturally deep and powerful. i fucking masturbate to my own voice (joking now)

but seriously, when i hear it played back on snapchat before sending a video to someone, it always sounds great. i used to think my voice sounded weird when i was younger; either my voice changed or my opinion changed (probably a bit of both). but truthfully, the tone of your voice is a simple change in the grand scheme of things and not as difficult or crucial as other larger character changes like internal self confidence, outcome independence, and strong charisma and social skills. changing your vocal tone can definitely help, but sometimes I cringe at that advice because it's like the "get a better smile" or "have better posture" pieces of advice; all of them are valid, but usually peoples' bigger issues are deeper character issues that won't be addressed by quick-fix pieces of advice like smiles, posture, and vocal tone. But hey, improve the tone of your voice, why not.

if you ever want any tips on anything else feel free to shoot me a PM, im happy to give pointers. improving your "chad" qualities is always a bit of a weird one to figure out because it's like the fitness industry: lots of bro-science, not a lot of hard truth real science. as of right now, even with TRP, you still have to muddle your way through a lot of different resources to finally understand how to improve, what to improve, etc, and if you think it'd be useful to have someone to bounce ideas off of and brainstorm I'd be happy to help.

books like Dale Carnegie "How to Win Friends and Influence People" is THE premiere book for building social skills and conversational skills and forming relationships and connections

(most applicable for business settings but also for meeting new people and in general; NOT for gaming women). books like Eckhart Tolle "The Power of Now" help you to ground your mind and be free from thoughts of anxiety/depression and allow you to be fully in the moment, which comes across as a very strong and calm demeanor (since your emotional strength is literally taken to another level).

Those are definitely the two books I'd recommend to you if I were to recommend anything. I've seen huuge gains in charisma and straight up happiness from those two books alone. I've read Dale Carnegie's book 4 times so far over the course of two years just to stay fresh.

Seriously man, if you ever need any brainstorming on what to focus on for self-improvement I'd love to help, shoot me a message anytime man.

Lots of noobs on this sub with big egos who don't want to face truth and find ways to improve themselves; rather come up with rationalizations for why life isn't going their way. Helping someone who is ready for change, genuinely wants to improve, and is detached from their ego enough to look at things objectively and without rationalization is a breath of fresh air; I feel that communicating with people like you one on one is far more productive than continually trying to help people with insignificant singular issues in askTRP and usually not getting anywhere because they don't have the maturity to admit they've been doing it wrong.

So yeah, if you ever want any ideas or a sounding board for anything, by all means shoot me a PM. I'd love to help.

Best of luck.

edit: hey by the way, I'm trying to get into data analysis, could I message you some time asking for advice? just tips on what concrete skills I'm missing and how best to get into that industry. I started this post thinking I could offer you some advice but after continuing to read the comments and seeing that you're legit at data analysis I'm like "fuck, I should ask this guy for advice", so yeah if you'd be willing to give some simple pointers I'd really appreciate it. I'm solid in R, learning python, can run all the queries I need to in MySQL, just need to do some projects to hone my skills and it would be really helpful if you could give me ideas on what to do for this. Eventually I want to get into data science through a graduate degree, but first I'd like to get some work experience in data analysis. My statistical knowledge is strong for someone with a bachelor's degree and my statistical/data intuition is also strong. Thank you so much.

halfback910[S] • 15 points • 2 September, 2017 10:37 PM\*

hey by the way, I'm trying to get into data analysis, could I message you some time asking for advice? just tips on what concrete skills I'm missing and how best to get into that industry. I started this post thinking I could offer you some advice but after continuing to read the comments and seeing that you're legit at data analysis I'm like "fuck, I should ask this guy for advice", so yeah if you'd be willing to give some simple pointers I'd really appreciate it. I'm solid in R, learning python, can run all the queries I need to in MySQL, just need to do some projects to hone my skills and it would be really helpful if you could give me ideas on what to do for this. Eventually I want to get into data science through a graduate degree, but first I'd like to get some work experience in data analysis. My statistical knowledge is strong for someone with a bachelor's degree and my statistical/data intuition is also strong. Thank you so much.

Sure. My statistical knowledge was built by having my current employer pay for Masters



level stat courses. I just cherry-picked all the Statistical courses and never took anything else.

To get into my current data analysis role I started in other supply chain roles. I was a buyer. Which is alright but not great. Then I was a "Materials Manager" which is a cross between a buyer and an inventory Analyst. And then I came here. It was all part of my four year plan to get a role pretty close to what I have now (though I was gunning for something more in logistics, inventory is great too).

In all honesty the python stuff might not help so much, the MySQL shit is obviously vital. If you can take training courses in SAP, Oracle, and maybe some other large ERP systems that would, of course, help. Mastery over excel to the point of being able to write Macros (with some help from Google!) is also incredibly helpful. I used Macros to automate 20% of my processes my first few months in.

The biggest thing that separates the wheat from the chafe of analysts is what I call innumeracy. It's illiteracy but with numbers. An innumerate person will never be a good analyst. They can only hope to be a decent analyst. I recommend "Innumeracy" by John Allen Paulos as required reading for any would-be analysts. It is incredibly short *and*, in my opinion, interesting and well-written. I finished the thing in one sitting, so fascinated was I. That will give you an idea of what I mean by innumeracy. Basically if you are one of 10% of humans that's capable of wrapping their brain around large numbers and how numbers work together, you'll be a great analyst. If you can look at a spreadsheet that has no alphabetical characters in it (save maybe the column headers) and read it like a book, you'll be a great analyst.

In terms of qualifications you're well on your way. Start applying for data analytics jobs. It's just a numbers game, man. You're pretty qualified so if you apply for *enough* data analysis jobs, you'll get one. Maybe even take a shitty one to start out. Work there a year while finishing your education. Start looking for another one, tell them that you're currently in a contractor role and they won't think less of you for leaving (everyone knows being a contractor sucks and everyone knows that TONS of employers are using contractors now!)

As for your offers about talking about improvement, I think I'll take you up on that. We'll be in touch.

NotMyBestEffort • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 09:36 AM

... it's just a numbers game,  
funny

SiulaGrande • 2 points • 5 September, 2017 10:50 PM

awesome, thanks for the reply man. ill definitely check out that book, i appreciate the recommendation.

so I see what type of data analytics you're doing. youre doing analytics for a biiiig big company so your database knowledge has to be on point. do you do a lot of statistical modeling? I know you mentioned forecasting in your post. the stuff I'm learning right now is more about predictive modeling/intro-level machine learning. that's why i'm trying to pick up python, because I guess a lot of popular machine learning frameworks are on python. I also have like no data experience in excel. but I



appreciate your advice tremendously and it's awesome hearing advice from someone established in the industry, so thank you for that.

i'll take your advice and start applying to some jobs soon. I live in SF bay area so I basically couldn't be in a better location to start a career in this field.

and for improvement, yeah man it's all up to you. i also won't take it personally if you aren't interested, i offered for your help not my ego so it's all up to you, but i'm always happy to talk that stuff. i feel i learn more and can make a bigger impact on TRP talking to people one on one who are ready for change and want to improve themselves rather than spending time on askTRP asking questions that could've been answered with the sidebar.

best of luck with everything!

shawn10026722 • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 05:56 PM

Seriously man, if you ever need any brainstorming on what to focus on for self-improvement I'd love to help, shoot me a message anytime man

I could use some help right now w/ a self improvement plan.

books like Dale Carnegie "How to Win Friends and Influence People" is THE premiere book for building social skills and conversational skills and forming relationships and connections (most applicable for business settings but also for meeting new people and in general; NOT for gaming women).

I agree. I read Carnegie's books a few times and I get along w/ almost anyone, but I'm still a little nervous/ in my head. What would you say the best book for gaming women is?

SiulaGrande • 2 points • 5 September, 2017 01:55 AM

hey man, i'm not sure if my main comment reply to you answering your question got deleted or not (I linked to another subreddit which I found out is against TRP rules) so I PMed you my comment just in case. feel free to PM me with any questions down the road, happy to help.

halfback910[S] • 12 points • 2 September, 2017 08:40 PM

*Interesting...* How much do you think it helps? Enough for a vocal coach to be a worthwhile investment?

I'm big on hiring experts to accomplish goals more efficiently. I hired an expert to get in shape. I hire an expert to work on my house. Even though I *could* do both those things myself.

TaxFreeNFL • 37 points • 2 September, 2017 09:37 PM

What? No. Just watch Top Gun over and over again, dismissing the homosexual undertones. Err, wait, how alpha did you wanna be? Just keep watching Top Gun.

Thinkingard • 10 points • 3 September, 2017 01:07 PM

On youtube watch How to speak so people listen by Julian Treasure.

dCLIFFb • 2 points • 2 September, 2017 09:09 PM

I can't really comment on that, as I'm not really familiar with a vocal coach and what they

do. Perhaps another poster could give you some insight.

LOST\_TALE • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 05:04 PM

lol interesting to see you here fellow ancap.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 05:37 PM

Nice to have someone around who understands that my love of money is perhaps the only thing that competes for my love of men.

[deleted] • 7 points • 3 September, 2017 05:28 AM

You should indeed record your own voice. In a normal conversation. And then listen to it. All the 'mistakes' become obvious.

This clip was recently (re)posted to reddit. Clark Kent becomes superman just by standing straighter and speaking with more confidence. And it's convincing.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BIaF0QKtY0c>

JornSellew • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 06:19 AM **[recovered]**

I hated my voice a few years ago, now I don't. I believe part of it is just getting used to it. What the brain recognizes, the brain likes. You may have experienced it yourself: you meet a guy and think he's hideous. But the more time you see him, the more barable his looks become, until you find him just average. Tat's also the reason why many of us hate our pictures, but not our mirror-image (which is, of course, *mirrored* and always face-on).

Listen to recordings of your voice a lot. Do you talk to yourself? Record it (you may want first think of a sentence, record it, then hit pause because otherwise it won't be fun listening to all the pauses and 'erm's. Don't you talk to yourself while alone thinking? Read some shit out loud and record it. Things you want to remember, for example a good post on this subreddit. Then listen to yourself a lot.

You can do the same if you hate your pictures: take a lot of them and look at them. Or if you're not sure if a face-on picture of yours is hideous, mirror it and you'll have better judgment.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 02:21 AM

Tat's also the reason why many of us hate our pictures, but not our mirror-image (which is, of course, mirrored and always face-on).

Woah. You just blew my fucking mind.

maxofreddit • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 05:44 PM

Also, recording yourself may help you realize that you have little ticks or ums or some other time filling vocalization that you don't even realize you're doing.

I did it once and thought, "Do I really 'um' that much? Shit."

Just like when my cousin saw himself on video at his kid's soccer game & thought, "Holy shit, I'm the fat guy." And used the net year to lose about 60lbs.

It's just data, you being a data guy, some things will be obvious (like the um thing) while if you want to go deeper, you may need a coach of some kind ;)

PS-Good on you for this whole thing... Well played.

eterate • 1 points • 5 September, 2017 07:42 AM [recovered]

You actually do hear the sound of your own voice, from inside your body. If you couldn't hear yourself talk, it would be really weird and you won't speak the same either because you don't have that self correcting feedback loop.

The vibrations from your voicebox, nose, etc travels through your body to your eardrums and outside into the general air. It combines into a different sound than what the people who just hear it through the air hear. So when you hear your voice in a recording, it sounds different from what you hear yourself.

Also you generally see yourself in video, so you become more aware of the different perspectives of how you look compared to just the mirror.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 12:30 PM

God, I love Reddit so much. I learn about crepes in the Ancap subreddit and I learn about ear anatomy on FTP. What a wonderful life.

Marsupian • 10 points • 2 September, 2017 07:45 PM\*

Practicing talking to strangers is a basic way to start. Just progress the amount of strangers you talk to per week. Other options are improv classes or some form of public speaking.

There are plenty of resources out there on approaching, keeping a conversation flowing, body language, eye contact, etc.

I think at the core you have to become extremely honest, open and loving to yourself before you can be really good at being open to someone else. Any fear will hold you back and should be worked through. Also meditation helped me to love people by default and be more calm and comfortable in social settings.

Also you have to learn about powertalk. If you don't you are basically speaking a different language. Someone on here made a really good series on powertalk, if you know what I'm talking about please post the link.

Btw. Make sure your hair and style is good. Easy gains there for most men. Fucking hair dryers are magic apparently.

halfback910[S] • 19 points • 2 September, 2017 07:51 PM

Practicing talking to strangers is a basic way to start. Just progress the amount of strangers you talk to per week. Other options are improv classes or some form of public speaking.

Oh, I'm an amazing public speaker. I was top five in the nation multiple years in a row in Extemporaneous Speech when I was in high school. Public speaking is something I get.

Btw. Make sure your hair and style is good. Easy gains there for most men. Fucking hair dryers are magic apparently.

Shaved myself bald when I started lose my hair a bit. Figured I'd rather be ugly than weak.

Apparently the twinks who like guys with big dicks also don't mind bald guys. Also bald and fit is an okay look. So it's not all bad. *And* I noticed that nobody bumps into me in the street anymore. Ever. Not even in NYC. And the effect has only become more pronounced since Charlottesville. Happy coincidences.

Marsupian • 9 points • 2 September, 2017 08:24 PM

Good call on shaving. If you are a good public speaker the rest should come pretty easily.

halfback910[S] • 11 points • 2 September, 2017 08:27 PM

I honestly love being shaved. I've always had my face clean shaven because I hated having facial hair. Very uncomfortable and annoying to me.

Turns out this whole time I hated having hair on my head too and just didn't even know it? It was a really weird realization. To realize that it was fucking awful and annoying this whole time and I just had no idea because I knew nothing else.

And public speaking is more formulaic than people think. Follow the formula, realize that nobody knows you're nervous, comprehend that nobody actually matters or cares about you and the rest falls into place.

groggottle • 12 points • 3 September, 2017 03:15 AM

Alright, quick Aristotelian ethics lesson.

What is the good life? To be happy. What is happiness? It is different things at different times to different people, but we all agree that regular sex, good health, adequate wealth, good friends close and casual, and healthy self-esteem don't hurt one bit.

How do you attain the good life? You must be excellent in life. What does it mean to be excellent in life? It is to have the habits, knowledge, wisdom, ability, and will to make a good life for yourself.

Look around you; you will find many examples of people lacking in one or another. The old man learning how to use a smartphone has wisdom, but no knowledge. The enthusiastic teenager has ability and will, but neither habit nor wisdom.

And what of good and bad? Things are generally best at some point in between two extremes. It is good to be confident, not arrogant nor meek. It is good to be brave, not foolhardy nor cowardly. Sometimes it is better to be cocky, other times humble. It is a matter of practical wisdom, judgement in the thick of it, to determine which is the best course.

How do you get better at determining what's right and wrong? You experience them. Learn from the actions and mistakes of others. Judge, allow yourself to change your mind, move on and improve.

How do you get better at doing things? You practice them. Confidence is like a muscle; you have to continuously use it. Some are born with it, but for most- and for the greatest among us- it is practiced. So it is for every good trait. It must be trained, honed, kept alert and ready.

Now we have a better picture of the good life. It is being able to determine what is best for you, making sure you are able and willing to carry it through, then actually doing it.

Now, your plan to become a better person. What are you lacking? Inner confidence. You err on the side of meekness. You don't trust your instincts. You have a VP's responsibilities but, I bet, neither a VP's pay nor clout.

What do you have going for you? Deviousness. Intelligence. Loyalty, steadfastness, perseverance, and a view to the long term.

What does this mean? You will not be able to protect the things you love when push comes to shove and shit hits the fan. You do not have the assertiveness.

How do you fix that? Exercise your instincts. Trust them. Do something that requires confidence, keep doing it, measure your progress, and live up to it.

I refuse to leave people without a concrete plan. What worked for me? Stone cold approach. Completely

sober. No lines memorized. Walk up, say hi, first thing that comes to mind. Eventually you get good at establishing an easy rapport built on nothing but whatever you are comfortable talking about.

What else worked for me? Physical activity. Lifting, but also diving, rock climbing, and hiking. Know what you can do and what you can push your body to. Never let yourself get comfortable. Always push your lifts. Get ever higher. Be comfortable under pressure.

Now you have two of three components- self-knowledge and self-confidence. You still need to be able to assert your will on others. This is the hardest part, because there are relatively few opportunities in life that allow you to do this without negative consequences. Team sports are great but not always feasible given time / scheduling. So practice it in your personal life. Don't allow people to insult you unpunished- kick them out. Don't step out of the way of strangers when you have right of way- look them in the eye and stand your ground.

This plan has clear steps and goals. It is missing a timeline because this is a lifelong pursuit.

[deleted] • 10 points • 3 September, 2017 03:45 AM

Stick to the basics. Gym daily, be outgoing, never defend/supplicate/explain, and never apologize.

There was this reality TV show where they had all these snowflakes trying out simple tradeskill jobs like blacksmithing, welding, etc. Every one of those fuckers kept trying to shortcut and "make it their own" playing standard snowflake cards. They keep fucking up at every single turn for weeks, and one of the guys decided to just do it the right way (also happens to be the hard way). Instant results. Most people start falling in line, and they are crushing it.

Self improvement is exactly that. There are no shortcuts. Hit the gym, a lot. Start forcing yourself to talk to strangers til it feels natural. And most of all, walk around all day every day like you're the biggest baddest motherfucker alive who can do anything you want. Stop making excuses and just do it.

If a nerdy loser like me can become Chad by following TRP, so can any of you fuckers.

wolfscanyon • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 05:19 PM

What do you mean by never defend or supplicate? Do you mind elaborating?

Also, I like hearing stories, yours seems interesting. Do you mind PMing it to me?

TaylorWolf • 6 points • 3 September, 2017 02:55 AM

You should really look into Brazilian Jiu Jitsu... its a martial art that requires so much technical problem solving is is known as a sport filled with "a bunch of computer nerds that can kick your ass" and "a chess game with limbs"

You will get sexy and shredded playing a fun game that requires high level problem solving. What is there to lose??

halfback910[S] • 4 points • 3 September, 2017 02:56 AM

Funny, I've never heard that before. I'm a big fan of racquetball for that reason. Since I moved to a concealed carry state from a very anti-gun state, my self defense is taken care of (I'm pretty well trained with my sidearm, I'm responsible with it, etc.) but it does sound fun.

I'll look into it.

iopq • 0 points • 3 September, 2017 07:07 AM

I know how to destroy your rotator cuff, that doesn't help me be a Chad. It's just something I'm good at, like Muay Thai. Nobody expects me to be able to kick their ass or bench 210 lbs.

Thotwrecker • 5 points • 3 September, 2017 02:16 AM

The reason there is no plan to becoming a better person is that when people say "better person" what they really mean is "a better person at X and Y things".

Better at what? The "at what" is the key question, and that's where any plan has to come from. I can't be like "I want to be a better person than these cool guys I see". I can't be a better person - but I can be better at doing things.

Failures in life are focused on "being somebody" and their goal is to be a better person to feel good about themselves. Successful people concentrate on key areas to be better than others at, and leverage that competitive advantage into a disproportionate rewards. (This is how alphas of various shapes and sizes and personalities wind up cornering the sexual, financial, and cultural markets).

A good goal is, if you are a student, to say, I want to excel in a more lucrative major than everyone else, get a better job right out of college, and learn more networking and real world skills so I can get ahead early and fast. A bad goal would be "I want to be a better person" or "I want to be wealthy".

To succeed as an salesmen or doctor or engineer or CEO or whatever it is, we can probably distill it down to 1-5 skills that you need to just be better at than 99% of the competition. If you can do that, you'll be ludicrously successful, but most people cannot just be a top %er at anything. There are certain things where your passion, your drive, your genetics, your talents, etc, will allow you to be a top% er at, and others where they will block you.

It is easy to come up with a plan to improve "at things" but almost impossible to come up with a plan to improve as a person. We have proven with scientific distinction that, for example, a certain plan and diet can get a man ripped, aesthetic, strong, healthy, and attractive from the face down.

So plan out what you need to improve at to be better than the competition in areas you care about. Finding these key areas is your challenge. Once you have found them, it will be pretty easy to find a plan to improve at them. And that's all better people are. Chad is better than a beta at talking to girls and staying cool and collected in social situations. That is a learnable skill, that plus a more fit body is probably all that makes him seem magically better to the beta scratching his head wondering how to become like that.

westhewolf • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 03:49 AM

Read 48 laws of power. At least browse the sections. Seriously. It will give you perspective.

MelodyMyst • 2 points • 2 September, 2017 10:08 PM

Just a couple of pennies for thought.

Being a better person doesn't always mean physical or financial gain.

Have you ever considered volunteering somewhere? From your description I heard a lot about YOU but heard nothing about family, friends, community.

Also, don't confuse chad with alpha. An alpha can be a chad, a chad may not necessarily be an alpha.

Back to volunteering. Family, community, friends. This is where you will find a place to be alpha.

This is a disjointed thought so maybe someone more eloquent could expand.

halfback910[S] • 24 points • 2 September, 2017 10:11 PM

Have you ever considered volunteering somewhere? From your description I heard a lot about YOU but heard nothing about family, friends, community



I actually hate volunteering. My time is valuable. The only reason I do work is because I'm paid to do it. I don't know why I'd ever do work for not-money. Like, I love my job. Right? It's my ideal career. I love my numbers.

But if I had a billion dollars I guarantee you, with 100% certainty, I would never do inventory analysis again. And it's what I *like*.

AfterC • 1 point • 2 September, 2017 11:01 PM

Halfback, HALFBACK, I just CAME nigga, fuck

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 12:42 AM

Not sure yet if you're a guy or a girl, but either way you sound like a nice person. Based on how you describe yourself, I think one book in particular will be very beneficial: "No More Mr. Nice Guy," I think it'll be a game-changer.

halfback910[S] • 6 points • 3 September, 2017 12:44 AM

Guy. And thanks. The title brought a smile to the corner of my mouth.

[deleted] • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 03:58 AM

The title brought a smile to the corner of my mouth.

That's a good sign. It's a game-changer for me. I analyze a lot less in terms of how I want to move about the world. I more rely on embodying my true self and moving about the world with it, confidently. But I used to be a very nice accommodating guy. While I wasn't a push-over, I was pretty nice and did things for people. I can tell that you're nice, willing to give people the benefit of the doubt, but you have a good instinct in sniffing out bullshit and in the end wouldn't allow yourself to be pushed (in fact, the way you allowed Mr. Chad to go down into his own in his own flames was epic). That being said - the book does a great job shining the light on the other parts of me - the ones that aren't just "nice," "problem-solver," "accommodating" etc.

But I've never been able to find a convincing PLAN to become a better person?

Try the book out and let me know. You'll find out within the next 2 chapters if it's meant for you to read now, or it's a book that you'll want to read at a much later age (without knowing how old you are). Feel free to PM Me. Good luck!

atraw • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 10:50 AM

Just low self esteem. There is no plan for it, try Transactional analysis.

[deleted] • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 09:42 PM

does it really make sense to become more like the guy you just fucking destroyed? Everyone knows you did it, and whats better, is that they're fucking clueless as to how. Your power will always remain a mystery to them, greatly amplifying its significance.

The tall charismatic guys win in hollywood and in sports, they fail at everything else because they weren't the ones to build any of it in the first place. There immediate success blinds them to the importance of long term planning and mastery.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 11:08 PM

I don't know how much I'll change myself as a result of my revelation (I generally think "self help" is a scam?). Just saying that I now buy into the underlying/evolutionary theory about why people behave the way they do.

I get that we're not monkeys anymore. If we were monkeys, the other monkeys would have thrown rocks at me instead of realizing they needed me to map out the coconuts or whatever. But monkeybrains do drive a decent amount of our behavior. I'd say 20% or so. Not all of it, but enough to be a deciding factor.

I also understand that nerds are necessary for society. Society needs nerds. Right? And as we become more advanced and more technological, nerds will only be more important.

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 12:38 AM

As far as "changing yourself" goes, i'm in the same boat on the self help nonsense. Maybe some people get something out of it, for me, the best thing is making sure i have all my food (macros, micros), making sure i get in my exercise and maintain my strength, and if i'm looking to temporarily improve some cognitive feature, i'll take a relevant supplement.

If i go out, i take some l-theanine and rhodiola. The former provides strong relaxation without sedation (slightly improves attention), and the latter is a natural cortisol inhibitor. Very effective combo for me.

If i want to get a lot of work done, i take some choline and a neurogenic.

Going to the gym i take some rhodiola as well.

The supplements (drugs) are where the learning takes place, where the habits are formed. So long as you're not taking anything damaging, i've found this is a good way to work on some of these things.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 12:46 AM

If I want to get work done, I take Concerta.

Concerta is fucking bae <3

It's basically a drug that makes you better at everything and also you don't feel hunger. Decreases your range of emotion as well.

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 02:21 AM

Yea i used to take stuff like that. concerta, adderall, riddelin, dex. Initially i responded fairly well to them, but eventually things took a real bad turn since they effect dopamine so dramatically.

They're all controlled substances, with fairly high potential for abuse, and I always got heavy withdrawal symptoms from them, so one of my principals is to caution people against using them, especially when there are better alternatives.

Moved on to choline and neurogenics and have been much happier.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 02:23 AM

Yeah, I've never had withdrawal or dependence even once. I can tell when it's more difficult to focus, but it's not like I WANT more.

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 02:29 AM

Nice, glad it works for you

trollreign • -9 points • 2 September, 2017 07:21 PM

This.

There is no way to learn charisma, extroversion, handsomeness and height.

blackedoutfast • 17 points • 2 September, 2017 07:29 PM

wrong. you can't do much about height but charisma, extroversion, and handsomeness can definitely be improved

TheStumblingWolf • 5 points • 2 September, 2017 07:46 PM

Besides, if a person really believes those things aren't areas where you can change then why on earth are you on TRP anyway? Lifehacking the fuck out of those things are most of what TRP is about.

Oxdada • 7 points • 2 September, 2017 07:55 PM

So you (and anyone else who says you can't learn charisma) are saying that not only have you read Carnegie, Cialdini, Cabane, and Navarro, and tried their methods, while getting down to <14% body fat and lifting at an eRX "novice" level, but even with that much work you have not improved a bit? If no, I'd be interested in what gives this opinion standing.

kieran9323 • 16 points • 2 September, 2017 07:02 PM

Imagine having that guy's charisma

that's just good looks and first impressions.

TheStumblingWolf • 8 points • 2 September, 2017 07:47 PM

If it was that simple everybody would be brilliant at those things.

yomo86 • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 04:09 PM

Let's face it. Self-improvement is awesome, it is needed for all of us. But it has its limitations. In facts: I am 5'10, stocky muscular frame, baby face, upper management job and my life is in order. Next to some 6'4, blond haired, tanned, muscular man with a nice voice all my skills and abilities are beginning to vanish.

It is as simple as that. Of course I, you, and many TRPers can outcompete 70% of males just by being alive in the state that we are in, right now, but there is no such thing of being king of the hill forever, when the king of the fucking Appalachian mountains shows up. So doing what OP did is the most effective thing. When outclassed, you have to outwit. On a primal level not always the highest SMV people survived but also the smartest. Otherwise we, as a race, would have not evolved to have larger brains if pure looks were everything.

slumdog-millionaire • 8 points • 2 September, 2017 09:12 PM

I'm confused, the post seems to indicate OP is a female. So when you say chad you're not necessarily referring to a male?

TheStumblingWolf • 20 points • 2 September, 2017 09:17 PM

I was confused too, assumed OP was a gay man. In any case, the point remains the same. Just substitute Chad for whatever female equivalent is appropriate.

smallypants • 5 points • 3 September, 2017 08:09 AM

"I guess we'll have to call that nerd we laughed at back early on his vacation"

OP is a dude ☐

[deleted] • 6 points • 5 September, 2017 08:08 PM

His being gay is irrelevant Red Pill applied to professional interactions as well. The OP was AMOG'd on the job and did a good job of getting even. OP kept frame and out maneuvered the chad.

Unlike the sexual market, in the place the professional market place technical skills still matter if your social skills are on point. Otherwise you will become the scape goat for someone else's fuckup.

therhymerr • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 05:20 PM

either way OP sounds really bitchy and like a jealous hater lol

LuckyLuke23 • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 02:29 AM

i thought he already IS chad.

max\_peenor • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 02:28 PM

Imagine having that guy's charisma

Obviously, he wasn't that charismatic, because the people he needed to do the job for him didn't do it. This guy is a charmer. Charmers are a sort of pretty fake that float from one bullshit situation to the next. They are very useful public sacrifices for executives in conflict.

Now, a leader? A real leader. That guy would have had OP sucking his cock while crunching the numbers. Chad don't do math.

And this is where I have a serious issue with PUA. Just pretending you are confident is what a charmer does. Smile. Practice saying witty shit into the mirror. All it takes is a tiny crack to shatter the whole illusion. To actually be confident you need to build yourself into someone that should be confident. Be strong. Be bold. Learn the analytics thingies the OP talked about. Charmers are losers with a game. Leaders are powerful.

MattyAnon • 56 points • 2 September, 2017 07:09 PM

and in this case the price was a hair under two grand

For a first price ticket France -> US plus your vacation time plus all the fucking up of a supply chain, your time, lost reputation? Loved the post, can't understand why this number isn't closer to \$20k.

Or was this your bonus for fixing it all?

halfback910[S] • 70 points • 2 September, 2017 07:11 PM

That was just my bonus for fixing it all. If anything I look better than before because I made such a show of swooping in and fixing everything and it was clear I was the only one who could. VP is still thanking me three weeks later.

I couldn't be asked to accurately place a dollar value on all the stupid shit he did. His fuck ups cost the company like 300k. But that's no skin off my nose.

MattyAnon • 53 points • 2 September, 2017 08:14 PM

This is great that you got this fixed... sometimes letting things fail is the only solution if you're not being listened to.

His fuck ups cost the company like 300k.

I would argue the company fucked it up. Chad was just being Chad. They listened to him instead of you, and paid for it.

halfback910[S] • 41 points • 2 September, 2017 08:18 PM

Yup, good way of putting it. It's one of those things, every village has an idiot. The idiot is just an idiot. But if the villagers elect their village idiot to be their elder, then that's their fault.

therealpkg • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 01:22 AM **[recovered]**

In the US, we like to put the idiots in charge of the most important things. Like, ya know, the Executive Branch.

zxsteven • 14 points • 3 September, 2017 03:03 PM

What do you gain from this? TRP isn't a political butt hurt forum.

therealpkg • -1 points • 3 September, 2017 09:49 PM

Who's butt hurt? We're in the midst of a political and societal race to the bottom, I simply made a witty remark extrapolating OP's situation to the US as a whole. Maybe you just can't accept that Trump isn't the geniusgodemperor you thought he'd be.

zxsteven • 2 points • 4 September, 2017 09:15 AM

What'd this post have to do with trump? You brought him up dude. Just because you live in the social justice asshole of Oregon isn't a reason to try and infect other people. I don't think this forum is for you.

therealpkg • 2 points • 4 September, 2017 10:22 AM

I'm going to assume well intentioned and give a legitimate response:

1) I didn't bring him up, I made a quip at his expense based on OP's response to Matty.

2) Now that you've brought him up: the evidence is all but irrefutable that he's nothing but an uneducated, low IQ puppet for Putin.

3) At no point did I assert or promote any social justice agenda. As a literal embodiment of everything TRPers strive to be, social justice is the last thing I want.

4) I couldn't give a shit less what you think. I was RP as fuck before TRP was a sub.

5) Regardless of political stance, there is no denying our country is in complete chaos; Trump didn't start it but he's sure as hell accelerating it.

I'd highly recommend developing your critical thinking skills. While TRP gets many things right, it misses the mark in a few places. Its general perspective on and love for Trump was, is, and continues to be based on a faulty premise and fallacious logic. Prior to November last year, I was optimistic about what he would

be while realistic in my expectations. To my chagrin, evidence points to the latter. It's okay to admit when you've been duped, and that'd include most of this country. Enjoy the decline, I sure am.

brinkleybuzz • 38 points • 2 September, 2017 08:30 PM\*

Good job winning this battle.

You haven't won the war yet though. Ask yourself ... why did your boss hire your potential replacement?

If your employer doesn't value you, you need to find someone who will. Sound familiar? Women, employers ... same concept. You have a scarcity mentality because you are relying on someone who doesn't value you to reward you.

Dust off your resume and start building abundance through real options. If only a small group of people in a single company are aware of your talents, you won't realize your full potential.

halfback910[S] • 17 points • 2 September, 2017 08:39 PM

No, you're right. Even before TRP, I knew that a good strategy was to start looking every two-three years. They bought themselves a lot more time since they promoted me (I highly, highly doubt I could get a position like the one I'm in with only like four years of experience under my belt *anywhere* else, so I think sticking it out here another two years is the right move). The salary they gave me for it was pretty generous as well, so again, they bought themselves a bit more time. But that shit doesn't last forever.

I\_AM\_CALAMITY • -6 points • 3 September, 2017 01:11 AM

He just told you you had a scarcity mentality and you responded with, "but I have no other options"

halfback910[S] • 18 points • 3 September, 2017 01:20 AM

No, I just think this is the *best* option. I could get a job, even a good job, elsewhere. But I couldn't get a job THIS good. If you got lucky and got a really good position at a company based on special circumstances, them liking you, etc. it would be stupid to think you can IMMEDIATELY get the same job anywhere else, right? That's not actually how the market works. And it's pretty important to understand how the things you're making decisions about work, isn't it?

So if I stay, solidify my experience in this role, then I'll have even BETTER options.

The lack of options isn't a problem. Last time I was out of work it took me three days to find this job. It's just a lack of *superior* options. It doesn't make sense to choose an inferior option just because "OPTIONS BR0 ABUNDANCE MENTALITY!", right?

FractalNerve • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 10:40 AM

Great Story. You have talent, after all and have alpha qualities, sir. But being mindful and selective with irrational people reduces your strategic playfield and you come down to less choices. What the author above wanted to say essentially translates to: "Rarus sunt carus.". ≈ (Rare/Scarce is expensive)

Having superiority over Chad on the numbers game gives you the wrong reasons for being confident. Your will to fight and win and swallow the red pill even in hindsight of obstacles like a 'masters stats course' made you this strong in your character and in your analysis skills in this case. Stay alert, it's easy to fall prey for one's own confidence stemming from the wrong vector. Especially in our field, where dedication and talent is scarce, this fire and will brings you to the top in the long run. Usually at the cost of the social life.



So in short, yes you may have a hard time finding a better paying job. But that's not the only quality you should look for. Opportunity is a much greater reward than pay. However this doesn't toss away dogmatically your reasons for staying in after a fat bonus. Abundance mentality is a trait that can make you more desirable even illogically so, but is not the last word. I have only just come the realization to appreciate and understand the simple tip a "Don Juan" gave me back then when I was in school. He said: "Don't show interest or look after them, go and talk to them as if they're just someone." That's straight abundance mentality there now that I look at it.

I think Red Pill teaches lessons and traits that fade away blue eyed wrong beliefs about people and give you more back for the same effort. Just by changing the perspective. I don't know nothing (new here) and have so incredibly much to learn. So better listen to the sidebar □

DatingCoach111 • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 12:40 PM\*

What OP did by going on vacation knowing there would be trouble was the very equivalent of dread. The company saw his value, and they're on their toes...for now. Companies need to be reminded of an employee's value the same way a woman is reminded of a man's value.

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 08:13 PM

For now. A hard ass cynic can see what he did and burn him for "not being a team player" later. The military is pretty good at this one and has levers that civilian bosses wished they had. Even a civilian boss will not like giving out that much leverage to an analyst they have no intention of promoting to higher ranks. A 2k bonus, that's peanuts enough to keep the OP happy for now. They can still find a replacement for the OP in 3-6 months once this incident is forgotten. OP please have you resume dusted off.

NeoreactionSafe • 70 points • 3 September, 2017 12:00 AM

The only way to get rid of him was to let him fail spectacularly and publicly *in a way that he couldn't blame on anybody else*. So I stopped reviewing his forecasts, knowing that it would cause us to be out of stock for some of our largest customers. I put in vacation time for three weeks about three months in advance, knowing almost exactly to the day when we would be out of stock, because unlike him I'm actually good at what I do.

My guess is if you didn't go on vacation Chad would have shifted blame on to you and you would have been fired and Chad would have taken over.

Well played.

slumdog-millionaire • 93 points • 2 September, 2017 06:47 PM

Glad you put that guy in his place, thing is if you're gonna talk the talk you better be able to walk the walk

Rian\_Stone • 26 points • 2 September, 2017 07:11 PM

Everyone loves ethos, lives for pathos

Logos... Well "the appearance of logic" is important too

halfback910[S] • 28 points • 2 September, 2017 07:14 PM

Yup. My experience has definitely confirmed that. I thought these were rational people. Nope. I now believe less than 5% of people in general are rational.

Rian\_Stone • 15 points • 2 September, 2017 07:16 PM

Now to learn how to be as persuasive as chad. Otherwise, you'll always be the best low level analyst around

halfback910[S] • 20 points • 2 September, 2017 07:29 PM

I'm in charge of like eight analysts across the globe and am the highest paid analyst in the home office. But point taken.

hkd1234 • 55 points • 2 September, 2017 07:42 PM

This is pro-revenge material. Consider posting it there.

AlphaGrad • 46 points • 2 September, 2017 07:48 PM

I'm confused, are you a woman?

halfback910[S] • 135 points • 2 September, 2017 07:48 PM

Gay guy. I considered mentioning it to avoid confusion, but I try not to come out and say it unless it's explicitly relevant to the story. And in this case I judged that it was not.

redroque12 • 80 points • 2 September, 2017 08:09 PM

We could tell you were salivating writing about him.

halfback910[S] • 147 points • 2 September, 2017 08:14 PM\*

Oh, I'm perfectly willing to admit he was super hot and I would've gone down on him and let him fuck me in a heartbeat, despite being a top in 99% of scenarios.

But I would never let that distract me from my work. And when he started coming after me, I just made my alarm wake me up five minutes earlier so I could jack off before work. Dilemma fucking solved.

But I'm not going to sit here and say he wasn't hot. He totally was.

EDIT: Actually if I'm being completely honest, the fact that he was hot definitely made me enjoy it even more. I wouldn't have savored it so much if I wasn't attracted to him.

i2b2b • 62 points • 2 September, 2017 09:54 PM

I have laughed 99% of the time I've spent on this thread. This thread is all about layers.

halfback910[S] • 46 points • 2 September, 2017 09:55 PM

I'm sure some therapist somewhere is scribbling frantically and having a field day.

[deleted] • 48 points • 2 September, 2017 11:32 PM

Being hot fucking *matters*. A good friend of mine (who I actually am unsure if I want to hang out with anymore) has *no* job - other than selling drugs - is 30 years old, and lives in his parent's attic. He routinely steals from stores, and cheats on his 21 year-old girlfriend quite often.

Despite these "anti-attraction death sentences," he is *drowning* in pussy. It's totally insane.

Guys - remember all those times women turned you down for a night of fun because you "didn't

have your priorities straight?"

Your priorities had nothing to do with it.

You just weren't born hot enough.

halfback910[S] • 15 points • 2 September, 2017 11:33 PM

Man. Sounds like the rest of his life us miserable, though.

I\_AM\_CALAMITY • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 01:09 AM

Why do you care? We're not the nerds in high school hoping the jocks have to work at McDonalds when they graduate. We're trying to learn from the jocks to improve our beta selves.

Fantasies of being the one who eventually gets the babe while the roles are currently reversed is BETA. Almost a revenge fantasy

Also, selling drugs is not remotely anti-attractive. Risk-taking is on the masculine side of the gender polarity. Women are attracted to masculine polarity such as drug dealing

Question: you say you are a top 99% of the time. Does that mean you need to be the masculine side of a relationship? Does it work the same way in gay relationships? If not, our goals would be different than yours.

halfback910[S] • 25 points • 3 September, 2017 01:16 AM

I mean, I really don't care about drugs. I think people should be able to do whatever they want in the free market and put whatever they want in their bodies.

I was talking more about... I guess, living with his parents... in an attic? Stealing from stores just so he can get what he needs? Maybe it's risky but the fact that you can't afford it strikes me as... pathetic.

Question: you say you are a top 99% of the time. Does that mean you need to be the masculine side of a relationship? Does it work the same way in gay relationships? If not, our goals would be different than yours.

Not necessarily, it's just a sexual preference. And I know the goals are different, I was just saying that I am now 100% sold on the underlying theory being absolutely correct. After seeing the way my coworkers got wet over this guy. And the posting guidelines explicitly say that posts about the theory are allowed and encouraged. I've never *really* gotten along with most women, and TRP kind of started helping me fill in the blanks as to *why*. And when I saw this display it kind of all clicked.

I never claimed for a second that my goals are the same as those of straight men. I get that we're different clocks, wound differently. And pursuing men is very different from pursuing women. If I were straight, I doubt I would be able to have any sex at all. Because from what I've seen women are just such a fucking high-effort game. If I want to have sex with a guy literally all I have to do is say "Hey, would you like to have sex?" And if he wants to he says yes and if not he says no. The end.

If I had to do any of this crazy shit that I see straight guys doing I'd fail. And I wouldn't be able to take the shit they have to put up with, either. The constant pecking from GF's bitchy friends? Pretty psyched I don't have to deal with any of the bullshit you have to put up with. Men come with their own set of problems, to be sure. But I

think they're better problems.

JornSellew • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 06:40 AM [\[recovered\]](#)

I'd contemplate becoming gay if it were possible to change one's sexual preference.

BENDERisGRREAT • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 09:05 AM

Personally I could never fuck something I respected so it's a no go for me

BullshittingNonsense • 11 points • 3 September, 2017 05:07 AM

So gangster you're a gay guy buying into the Red Pill. I know it's not just for straight guys, but obviously that's the vast majority of the believers

halfback910[S] • 11 points • 3 September, 2017 01:02 PM

If it's right, I buy it, generally. It's super important to abandon positions that are wrong and immediately adopt correct positions if they prove themselves correct, imo.

htbf • 6 points • 2 September, 2017 09:55 PM

Wait, so jacking up before work every morning turns you off from sexual attraction to coworkers ? I did that for a while to turn off my attraction for a girl I was working with but I felt it was not sustainable and the returns were not so obvious. I was sacrificing a lot for the sake of a bitch.

Sonos • 27 points • 2 September, 2017 10:46 PM

Jack off before making any decisions.

Do I need this thing? Jack off.

Do I want to fuck this girl later tonight? Jack off.

So I want to do this very risky thing? Jack off

If the answer is yes after you clear your mind, then do it.

ColdIceZero • 15 points • 3 September, 2017 12:46 AM

The Japanese have a word for that: kenjaitaimu

halfback910[S] • 20 points • 3 September, 2017 01:49 AM

Of *course* they do. What a fascinating culture. I love Japan so much. They really did a 180 from chaotic evil to lawful good over a span of like... twenty years?

Sonos • 9 points • 3 September, 2017 08:06 AM

Nuclear hellfire will do that to a country.

Menchstick • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 08:20 AM

I'm sure I'd say no to everything after doing it.

halfback910[S] • 11 points • 2 September, 2017 09:58 PM

It doesn't get rid of it. But it definitely takes the edge off. And it's not like it was forever; I just had to last til I got home and could usually arrange something pretty quickly. Getting sex as a

gay guy is super easy. Even when I was super average and plain looking. Technology, relative youth, and being big in the dick department made it pretty easy to hook up with someone if I wanted to.

And now it's the same thing, but they message ME first. But even before that the fish basically jump into your net. Unless you're super fucking ugly you can find someone decent looking to have sex with you.

I vastly preferred the extra five minutes of sleep, though.

SaggyT • 6 points • 2 September, 2017 10:17 PM

I just logged in to let you know that "jacking off part" made laugh the most out of everything! This post is gold

halfback910[S] • 10 points • 2 September, 2017 10:24 PM

Same here. Despite *doing* it for multiple months the insane, Kafka-esque humor of the whole thing never struck me til I wrote it on Reddit.

SaggyT • 5 points • 2 September, 2017 10:39 PM

No offense, but I need to ask this question:

Does the fact that you found him hot+ he was chad as fuck (straight, sportsguy, was more direct) made him more of an antagonist to your own being?

Like what if he was a weakling but just good at social skills?

I dont know if you get my question but anyways

halfback910[S] • 16 points • 2 September, 2017 10:45 PM

Oh, no. I didn't bear any actual animosity towards him til he started gunning for me. Honestly.

He may have clashed with my personality and rubbed me a bit the wrong way? But a lot of people at the company do that. I'm not having problems with *them*. As long as I can work with you, I'm fine. I don't need to like you. Like I said elsewhere, ultimately I just want to *do business*. He wanted to put me *out of business*. And that's what I took issue with.

Him being hot definitely made the process more enjoyable, though.

SaggyT • 4 points • 2 September, 2017 10:52 PM

Metaphorically fucking him up huh hehe

Nice story bro, i liked that it was a serious situation sprinkled with lots of humor

kez88 • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 10:19 AM

hahaha you sound like a cool dude

juliusstreicher • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 09:28 PM

Oh, I'm perfectly willing to admit he was super hot and I would've gone down on him and let him fuck me in a heartbeat, despite being a top in 99% of scenarios.

It's my suspicion that your office gf felt the same way about him. Operative word being 'asshole'.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 12 September, 2017 12:46 PM

I'm not sure. When I met her I thought she was a lesbian. Close cropped hair, very masculine, and of course she's in a traditionally male role. But she has a husband she seems pretty devoted to. To the point that she helps him support his children that he had with another woman (he does okay but my friend makes substantially more) and raises them as her own.

I've come to the conclusion that women have *weird* taste in men and that physicality matters much less to them than it does to me in many cases. My co-worker also has a very, very strong tendency to snap judge people based on first impressions/minor things. She decided she didn't like the guy super quickly and said it was because she thought he was fake. Either it's a broken clock being right scenario or she's actually a decent judge of character. Either way, I'm happy she went to bat for me.

Patriarchysaurus • 1 points • 2 September, 2017 09:40 PM **[recovered]**

Yeahhh, I kinda got a whiff of sour grapes here, esp. the parts about the Chad's wife & kids suffering for his cis male sins.

Some gay men, just like some women, will attack an alpha who rejects them, even implicitly as per their innate sexual orientation. It seems to me that that little sabotage was sexually motivated on your part, OP. Was it because he was "gunning for you", or because he *wasn't*?

thestruggled • 11 points • 3 September, 2017 12:42 AM

In this case, despite any potential spurning, I think the main point of conflict was the fact that op's credibility was sacked for appearance.

halfback910[S] • 12 points • 3 September, 2017 12:43 AM

It was actually because he was trying to get me fired. If I fell into the category you're denoting (which I know exists) I'd be insisting up and down he wasn't hot, etc. You think anyone in that category will flat out admit that the people who "reject" them (I put that in quotes because I don't think it's possible for a straight man to reject another man) is attractive?

Sex and romance are just a numbers game, ultimately. Like applying for jobs. Just keep asking and you'll get a yes. And you only need one yes.

Patriarchysaurus • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 01:35 AM **[recovered]**

To me, his attacks on your position at work seem trivial--- but I wasn't there. His sophomoric attempts to usurp your standing at work & ignorance of his own incompetence aside; as I understand it you sent him up the river without ever attempting to settle the matter directly. Is that so?

You reiterating how sexy he was doesn't absolve you of the bias you have as a gay man. An intelligent gay man can be as threatening to a charismatic straight guy as vice versa. Could you have handled things in a way that benefited both parties? If no, then props for your successful passive-aggressive agent provocateur routine; it may have saved your neck.

halfback910[S] • 8 points • 3 September, 2017 01:36 AM

What do you do for a living? I can try to put into the context of your job what he was doing to me in mine.

iamanenemy • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 03:55 PM



Do that for me. I'm a truck driver. I'm trying to go local now, but I started over the road. Whip up an applicable relevant scenario please.

halfback910[S] • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 04:04 PM

Hm. That's a tough one because it's a pretty solo job. I guess another trucker saying that you took too long to accomplish your route/he could do it better would be somewhat applicable?

I'll try and fire off some other examples:

-A mechanic saying that another mechanic's work on a car was bad, him jumping under the hood, changing stuff the previous mechanic did.

-An electrician saying the wiring another electrician put in was all wrong, ripping it out, redoing it.

You're basically saying "You can't do your job properly." if you're insisting an analyst's forecasts should be changed. It would be like saying you didn't have your driver's license. It's that much of an attack on the foundation of the work. If you say it, you'd better fucking be correct.

iamanenemy • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 08:25 PM

I've had that before and have dealt with similar situations. I have to give you props for handling business. Might need to start hanging with gay guys. Straight dudes are too bitch made for me. But you worked that fool for real.

supamanpasta • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 03:05 PM

I'm also a gay guy who lurks on TRP and other similar forums mostly because I'm interested in it's unvarnished take on human psychology and sexual dynamics. .

Getting laid as a gay guy is really easy and does not require much seduction as long as you have a nice Grindr pic. Since I'm more LTR oriented, I enjoy the seduction process and love having an attractive partner so I do practice some seduction techniques to maintain my current relationship. I find that nearly all advice here applies to men to varying degrees. I'm wondering what your experience has been, if you've tried it and don't mind sharing.

halfback910[S] • 4 points • 3 September, 2017 03:13 PM

Yeah, I've used multiple analogies. It's the difference between fishing and having the fish jump out of the water, crawl onto your plate, and cook and season themselves. Sometimes they use too much garlic or, inexplicably, oregano, but it's *fine* either way. Very little effort involved.

reecewagner • 2 points • 6 September, 2017 05:09 PM

Honestly, I quite like that I was able to read the majority of your story without even wondering about your sexuality. So much of this sub is focused on women and pussy, and here I am taking legit lessons on manliness from a dude who doesn't care about either. Bravo, bud.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 01:19 PM

Good FR

On a separate note, How does TRP work for your sexual strategy? Are there situations where it isn't

applicable?

nowboarding • 1 points • 28 September, 2017 02:25 PM [recovered]

Just curious what brought you to trp?

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 28 September, 2017 02:58 PM

I forgot. It was something I knew about in the back of my head for at least 6 months though.

mutageno • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 11:07 AM [recovered]

Dude, I think you are giving too much personal information. Unless you changed some key parts of the story. This kind of stuff gets found out.

halfback910[S] • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 12:34 PM\*

Oh, trust me, I changed enough stuff and kept them consistent throughout. Also my office doesn't know I'm gay. I changed the time frame involved, changed the countries, changed the industry, and changed a ton of small details. Also my company is not technologically literate to the extent of finding stuff on social media/reddit.

OTornado92 • 1 point • 27 September, 2017 02:28 AM

Does your office friend know that you're gay? If you were straight do you think you guys would still be platonic friends? Sorry if that's a rude question.

halfback910[S] • 2 points • 27 September, 2017 02:41 AM

Yes. And yes. She's not... in my age group. Based on how she speaks about her husband it seems that their relationship is largely platonic/companionship based. I've noticed a lot of people who marry a little older tend to be looking more for a friend than a romantic partner. I think she fits into that category (she's like 50).

She's like a cool aunt.

Christiaan511 • 14 points • 3 September, 2017 11:48 AM

you used law 16 of the 48 laws of power perfectly Law 16: Use absence to increase respect and honor

d0lphinsex • 51 points • 2 September, 2017 07:04 PM

Irrational arguments always Trumps rational arguments. He AMOG:ed you. He attacked your image. He used the same tactics that Trump used in the debates. Read some posts from Scott Adams blog. Great comeback though.

dogfck • 19 points • 2 September, 2017 07:52 PM\*

This was a good read, but 20 years from now OP's 'Chad' is gonna be ahead in the game. People aren't nearly as rational as they give themselves credit for. OP might have won that battle, but in the bigger picture, he'll lose the war.

halfback910[S] • 62 points • 2 September, 2017 08:10 PM

In most industries, I think you'd be correct. But in data analytics everything is 100% transparent and everything is 100% measurable based on data. As we saw in the end. He couldn't deny he fucked up. It was impossible.

Chad was unemployed for *six months* before he came here. And now he's unemployed again. What's he going to do? Tell employers he was unemployed for nine months? Tell them he was unemployed for six months then fired after three months? Lie? Lying is a dangerous game in the job search.

None of his options right now are great. He needs to find a job beneath him, hold it for a little while, then find a leg up. Maybe Chads in general do better, but this Chad in particular is very much a goose with an injured wing at this point.

dogfck • 27 points • 2 September, 2017 08:34 PM\*

The point you were making in your post is not lost. Take what you learned from 'Chad' and apply it to your situation. You saw first hand what TRP can do in spite of incompetence. Now add your skill set on top of that and you'll be killing it, bro.

Psynaut • 11 points • 2 September, 2017 11:06 PM

He may not be able to do the job, but with that level of incompetence he will make a fantastic manager and leader. /s (I have nearly universal contempt for all managers and leaders whom have never done the job they manage, because they all suck at it)

jewishsupremacist88 • 5 points • 3 September, 2017 02:01 AM

This is exactly where he's going to wind up.

COLIE53 • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 01:37 AM

what indicated that? his losing a large sum of money for a company and staining not only his but the companies reputation? He got a train ran on him by the laws of power. This guy aint winning anything for a while bud....

0Tornado92 • 1 point • 8 October, 2017 12:55 AM\*

Homer using the same strategy. Relevant to the whole post.

CuckedByTRUMP • -1 points • 3 September, 2017 01:16 AM

Trumps

You accidentally capitalized trump because he is the president and you probably type things about him every now and then. I just thought it was interesting that it has affected your capitalization.

LogicalTampon • 18 points • 2 September, 2017 09:25 PM

Just a theory about your theory and about the red pill theory.

What you wrote only made me come to the conclusion that TRP effect of being Alpha and super confident no matter what (even if you're wrong) only brings out the inner retardation and pathetic assessment of other people who fall for it. This is why I don't think you should give a fuck. Let's call him "Chad" for the lulz. This guy is clearly a douche bag, and the people who fell for his shit were equally as dumb. People who actually had a brain noticed it quickly and didn't fall for it. Those are the people you should be looking to get close with. Why would you want to be a Chad and fool a bunch of idiots into throwing themselves at you.

What you did wasn't beta but alpha in itself. You made a concrete decision to let this guy fuck up knowing that it would be in your benefit. You did what you had to do, and it's guaranteed people there respect you more and see you in a more alpha position. All you need to to act **rightfully** confident in everything you do there now because you don't need to pretend to. The only thing I think you need to work on is to just better express who you really

are, which is clearly TRP as fuck.

The TRP action you saw was more TRPFIAF. (The Red Pill Fake It And Fool)

mordanus • 9 points • 2 September, 2017 11:27 PM

Great read man. It's a tough pill to swallow at first but eventually the reality of it slams you in the face. Amazing job at taking back what was yours

DARPA-NATOR • 1 points • 2 September, 2017 11:41 PM [recovered]

Please do not encourage homosexuals to post here.

[deleted] • 11 points • 2 September, 2017 11:11 PM

"I had to get rid of him, even if it meant putting his kids in the bread lines."

Cold OP

love it

Gr0o0vy • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 04:27 PM

COLD AF!

Hands down one of the most interesting stories I have read on TRP. I get the "Luis Litt" vibe from OP for those who are watching "Suits"

Grimsterr • 6 points • 2 September, 2017 09:38 PM

Valid tactic, give someone enough rope, they'll hang themselves.

Sorta dealing with that at work now but not at near that level, nor is the other guy all that bad at his job, he's just TOO ambitious and is biting off more than he can chew, and it's starting to show to even those who love him. I just make sure the things I keep recommending are well documented and every time what I recommend could be handy I am sure to let those affected know "yeah if we had better <x> I could fix this".

That rope seems to be coiling around his neck a bit now.... tick tock man, tick tock.

halfback910[S] • 11 points • 2 September, 2017 09:42 PM

Yup. Always, always document *everything*. You know why?

You get to choose what documentation gets lost. When shit hits the fan, present the pieces favorable to you. Exfoliate everything unfavorable. If someone else HAS those unfavorable documents too, oh well. You didn't gain or lose anything. Never claim they don't exist.

TheSlicemanCometh • 5 points • 3 September, 2017 12:51 PM

Biggest takeaway imo here is when you get a new job don't go around stepping on everyones toes. This idiot would probably still have a job if he befriend OP and allied.

Then after a long time of learning his job he could very subtly sink the knife in his back.

The problem with this guy is he was stupid. Don't go in to a new job guns blazing.

Ps fuck sportsball

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 12:52 PM

Of *course* you're here too. Hello, fellow Ancap.

TheSlicemanCometh • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 01:01 PM

Your OP would have been even better if it actually happened. Still a good read.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 01:08 PM

Knew you would say that. See you back in Ancap, slut.

biggerbetterjobs • 4 points • 2 September, 2017 08:02 PM

Must've felt so sweet to get that revenge lol.

halfback910[S] • 9 points • 2 September, 2017 08:05 PM

It was pretty great. And I'd be lying if I said I didn't sexualize it *just* a little bit.

I wish I could have taken a more active role in the whole thing (rather than fucking him over in absentia) but at least this way my hands stayed clean.

Euphoricentia • 5 points • 2 September, 2017 08:37 PM

Sounds like a job well done to me. Always good to escape and the company will realize how valuable you are to the company.

halfback910[S] • 3 points • 2 September, 2017 09:16 PM

Yeah, I like the "employers are like women" analogy.

Sonos • 4 points • 2 September, 2017 10:42 PM

This needs to be posted in revengeporn.

Ace2021 • 4 points • 3 September, 2017 12:59 AM

That was some very Machiavellian shit, and I'm sure a 48 Laws of Power aficionado would be able to draw some lessons from this as well. Good read 10/10.

SpyMonkey3D • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 01:47 PM

That's just law 16 put in action

dandons • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 01:25 AM **[recovered]**

Good job. You strategically got revenge. Best way to do it. I must say that its great to see a gay man on trp. I would be extremely interested to see a post from you about what it is like to be gay. I'm just curious.

halfback910[S] • 18 points • 3 September, 2017 01:27 AM

I would be extremely interested to see a post from you about what it is like to be gay. I'm just curious.

Well, it primarily involves having sex with men. And thanks.

juliusstreicher • 4 points • 3 September, 2017 09:41 PM

Why not go to a bi-curious sub?

Mr-Ed209 • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 01:41 AM

Interesting point on how often people don't appreciate work that they don't physically see getting accomplished. It's something I've struggled with in the past, if i can see a task I can get done quickly I do it. No fuss, just like to

tick it off my list so to speak.

The incompetent folk who run to the boss and take twice as long to get even the most simplest of tasks done seem to get the praise. Their bosses see the 'effort' of them going back and forth and running around and mistake it as some sort of work ethic. If you get things done without asking questions, more often than not people will look at you as if you're not doing anything. Its the catch 22 of where being good at your job makes you seem lazy.

I hate the need to pander to management at times.

halfback910[S] • 4 points • 3 September, 2017 01:43 AM

Yup. That's why it's nice to give the boss an "update". Just to let them know you did this, this, and this. This thing you did is still going really well. Everything is under control.

sweetmagwheels • 4 points • 3 September, 2017 07:21 AM

This should be made into a movie.

Redpillbrigade17 • 4 points • 3 September, 2017 10:21 AM

Yeah beware the charismatic smooth talker who is good at getting hired, but terrible at doing the job. The longer they last, and the faster they get promoted the worse the organization becomes.

0xdada • 24 points • 2 September, 2017 08:17 PM\*

To OP, welcome to the fold. We are on opposite teams, but playing the same sport. Nice play.

It's really important to understand what a TRP guy is up against once you develop the presence and charisma.

When you look at people who survive in organizations, what are the skills that keep them there? They may be uninteresting, not the sort of people you would socialize with, with limited ambition and a complete inability to inspire others but never, NEVER, underestimate their survival tools. Watch the boring and stupid ones. If you don't know what makes them deadly enough to survive in such an unremarkable way, watch your back.

The OP pretty masterfully calculated how much risk his company's customer relationships would bear, and measured out how much sabotage the company could survive, then used it essentially as a personal line of credit to focus it and burn a rival.

The OP is what I would typically call a piece of shit, the sort of person an officer would have traditionally executed on a battlefield, but some specimens are so exemplary you just have to take your hat off to them. Dude, nothing personal, this is just the post game.

The higher up you get in an industry, the more likely you are to encounter people who survive using a similar calculus. This is the game you need to know how to win. Not talk, not explain, but reason about and win.

I have a draft of a post about this kicking around somewhere, as this guy operated in the organization like a woman. That he's a gay dude is fairly consistent, as they develop successful ways to operate outside the hierarchical way that men usually organize in. Throughout history, gay men have always been close to political power for that reason. The most successful ones are not what anyone would call great and admirable men, but they often become politically powerful in a similar way to how women do. Guys who say "hell hath no fury like a woman scorned," have clearly never fucked with the gays.

"Chad's" key asset came at a cost of his attention to detail. His assets were charisma but also a higher risk tolerance for uncertainty on estimates, which helps organizations in a lot of ways that OP probably doesn't get.

The true mistake was smack talking the OP, who he should have recognized he needed as if not an ally, at least neutralized earlier. Gossiping was a bitch move on this "Chad's" part, along with underestimating how far



someone like the OP will go to fuck him. Chad was right in isolating the OP out of the gate, but he failed to deliver the right killing blow.

This is a great post and story. From a TRP perspective, the OP is what happens when you don't deliver the killing blow.

halfback910[S] • 18 points • 2 September, 2017 08:26 PM\*

Nice analysis. You stroked my ego a bit. Thanks.

The OP is what I would typically call a piece of shit,

May I ask why? To be completely clear, I was not going to go after him til he came after me. I just wanted to do business; he wanted to put me *out* of business. I was perfectly willing to let him go be stupid somewhere til he came after my lunch.

Or is it just because of my tactics? I'm a piece of shit because I didn't out-Chad the Chad. I out-nerded the Chad and that's dishonorable or something?

I'm new to this as I mentioned and am curious as to the rationale. Because, certainly from a moral perspective, I feel I did nothing wrong. Though I certainly don't consider myself a moral or good person in general. I met his kids and wife and looked them in the eyes knowing I was trying to get their husband/father fired, smiled, went home, ate dinner, had sex, and slept just fine. But it was self defense, arguably.

RamblingBanana • 16 points • 2 September, 2017 08:43 PM

He dislikes you because he feels he cannot relate to your personality, and feels that he can relate to "chad's". You presented yourself as a threat to him and people like himself, which is why despite respecting your efforts, he doesn't think highly of you. Him bringing morals into it is him rationalizing.

Oxdada • 3 points • 2 September, 2017 09:13 PM

Considered this. In a large org, or institution the OP does really well, you maybe even use him as your enforcer, so long as you never give him too much power. But, if you are a small team focused on an objective for the teams survival, you have to cut that guy.

martinger • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 07:42 AM

Thanks for sharing your thoughts. I guess it's valid to mention your points. But what would you do differently in such a situation? I'm really interested in your opinion.

Oxdada • -1 points • 3 September, 2017 01:54 PM

Actual things I would do are in another comment, but summarized as:

2 slide deck to execs, and in their mailboxes, explicitly describing the risk, which OP used instead to burn the guy. frame it as a request for resources, but make sure they have been told even if they don't want to be.

get backing from your manager and say you are going to confront the chad guy with the risk, but make sure your manager has your back going in to catch the chad guy if he plays dirty.

consolidate your own ally network in the org. if you are just a troll who lives under a bridge and operates an opaque machine, there is a good reason they are diluting your influence.

make new relationships in the organization, either with customers directly, or another department. You need a group no matter how smart we think we are.

only after you have called your shot to execs, set up the fix so you just have to pull a lever. Be powerful, not dangerous.

Managing someone out is another black art entirely, and the OP used one of the more crude but effective tactics (sabotage).

Willing to bet OP's management team understand what happened.

OP, if you are still reading, did you get a raise or bonus for this? If not, this was the first volley, and they are trying to get you out.

halfback910[S] • 3 points • 4 September, 2017 02:31 AM

I did get a bonus as I mentioned in the OP. The VP and the owner thanked me personally. Nobody understands this stuff now except me and my friend. Not even the guy who had the job, as he demonstrated. And he's gone now.

All the bodies are gone now.

Swanksterino • -1 points • 2 September, 2017 09:57 PM

His whole story is about how he defeated a Red Pill guy. Ignore the title, he is passive aggressively mocking his own flawed understanding of TRP.

darkrood • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 06:45 PM\*

I thoroughly enjoy your story of besting someone with your strength. His attitude showcased how many "chads" or alpha-wannabes got the bitch-slap when they messed with the wrong people in life.

What's funny? They probably won't learn from this.

The Chad in this case got nothing going on besides his good look and charisma.

He had only 2 ways to "win" here:

1. Won you or your colleague over, had someone as his office bitch that work for his credit and glory, switched company or department before SHTF. Rinse and repeat in new place. Branch swing until he is a CEO somewhere, then got that severance package.
2. Won boss over with his charisma, studied at his spare time to the level that somehow outshine you, got new bitches in office, then laughed his way to the sunset. (close to impossible)

Yet, Mr. Charisma over here did nothing to secure his position besides riding on his charm like a one-trick pony (while nobody is willing to ride along besides him yet).

Chad was right in isolating the OP out of the gate, but he failed to deliver the right killing blow.

Yea...Chad kinda has no ammo, let alone a "killing blow", as far as this war is concerned.

I guess you shouldn't take /u/0xdada comment seriously when he misread the situation as badly as the Chad.

Edit: look like at least 19 idiots misread the situation as well.

0xdada • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 09:09 PM

Jesus, the butthurt is strong in this thread.

The people you work for, they are there based on relationships. You can be a crab in a bucket or you can build a team to elevate you.

The difference in skills between two people in the same job may seem like a lot, but from a buyers perspective, most of us don't care. We do care about getting value for money, and someone is running

around breaking windows and blaming their competitors, nobody wants to pay for that.

Good leadership is probably the biggest red pill of all, and being a PoS is not sufficient to get you there.

Oxdada • -2 points • 2 September, 2017 08:57 PM

Naw man. The PoS thing is that there are people you trust to be on your team, and people you don't. You put the entire company at risk to burn a rival. If you had created a positive-multiple revenue win, I'd be asking for a lesson.

So, I don't know you, but I've known many people with similar capabilities, so I hope you will bear some projection.

Would we be friends? Probably. Would I pick you to storm a barricade? Even if you were a ninja, I couldn't because I need a tight team.

What you did was moral in a survival context. In a hierarchy, that let's face it doesn't really exist anymore, it weakened the tribe.

That chad guy lost because he was a gossipy bitch who lacked a killer instinct.

You, however, are the reason people need killer instincts. ;)

halfback910[S] • 20 points • 2 September, 2017 09:00 PM\*

Naw man. The PoS thing is that there are people you trust to be on your team, and people you don't. You put the entire company at risk to burn a rival.

Oooo gonna stop you right there. If we trusted each other, the company would never have let him do the things he did. Right? So if there was MUTUAL trust, the situation would have never arisen in the first place.

Would I pick you to storm a barricade? Even if you were a ninja, I couldn't because I need a tight team.

I must say: Good choice. I will confess to not being the kind of guy you want storming a barricade. Even a metaphorical one. I'm the guy you want on top of the wall, with nowhere to run to. Then I'll fight like Hell because I have no alternative.

You, however, are the reason people need killer instincts. ;)

This warms my heart.

Oxdada • 1 point • 2 September, 2017 11:00 PM\*

This is better than any punk ass HBR case study anyway.

My view on this is essentially the "Scorpion and the Frog," fable. Of course you did it, it's your nature, and all things considered it was well executed. I'm not going to bro-shame you. It's like watching a goalie get scored on by Beckham and telling a team, "if you aren't playing at this level, you're going to get beat."

I wouldn't get caught up in the morality of it either. Ethically it was an outsider play, but when you are an outsider, that's the game. Where you (OP) might fall down is looking for insider acceptance for your exceptional performance using outsider rules. I think you've mostly owned it, but take care in choosing who it will impress. Not everyone is capable of paradoxical thinking. (so not judging, but totally judging.)

Regarding personal/mutual trust, you trust your organization and the people in it to do what they have to because you have shared goals and values. If that's not true, you need a leadership change. Sabotaging his position "saved" the company something that it was taking a specific risk on to get a larger return. The leadership failure that led up to this situation was not clarifying your respective roles. When they left your role threatened (or potentially set you up as the fall guy), you defected, secretly blew their risk game, and cost them in upside, recruiting, severance, etc. I see this in companies with weak leadership all the time.

Sometimes we all have to play outsider rules, and perhaps I shouldn't rain on your parade. But we can agree this story has a lot of dimensions.

halfback910[S] • 6 points • 2 September, 2017 11:04 PM

I'm not offended at all. I think your analysis has a lot of insight. Thanks.

RamblingBanana • 18 points • 2 September, 2017 10:06 PM\*

I'd argue that the "incompetent chad" character would have damaged the company no matter what (assuming he is as incompetent as OP says). Especially if he kept amassing power, and eventually got the kind of hierarchical immunity that would stop him from facing the consequences he did in this post.

/u/halfback910 just forced the company to diagnose the source of its inefficiency, as opposed to letting the company die from a thousand small cuts over time. I liken it to the bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Had the U.S. not made such an immediate and grandiose display of power, Japan wouldn't have surrendered until their entire country was rubble from years and years of smaller scale firebombings.

As for preferring a "tight team", OP was not in a "tight team" to begin with. He brought up the mistakes that were being made before any consequences arose from them, and was belittled for it and laughed at by his coworkers. From what I gather, your idea of a "tight team" involves a preference towards charismatic, confident team players with questionable competence over "Machiavellian" (read: rational, logic minded) workers like OP, even in the context of a goddamn *Data Analysis* job. OP's company had the same preference, and it cost them.

Overkillengine • 9 points • 3 September, 2017 04:53 AM\*

Especially if he kept amassing power, and eventually got the kind of hierarchical immunity that would stop him from facing the consequences he did in this post.

The long term damage this type of Chad could have done to the company given enough reign dwarfs the short term damage to the company this burn play did. Institutionalized incompetency kills productivity. So in a way, OP by acting in his own interest, also acted in the company's interest as well.

OP, I would recommend you keep an eye on whomever hired this guy, if you aren't already. This may have been a one-off "mistake", but then again, it may not be. Parasites have a way of embedding themselves in any ecosystem after all.

Oxdada • 0 points • 3 September, 2017 01:30 PM

I see this view a lot. Sure, if that chad guy were a psycho douche, it's a problem. But risk-averse beta personalities couching it in "the long term," are often just self justifying their own risk aversion.

You see it with debt financing. Sure, nobody wants debt, but sometimes to grow and get somewhere, you need to take it on. People can huff and puff about how wrong it is to be in debt and how you are just paying for it later, but the chad making that call is betting he can pay it out of growth. That's what makes companies. The same is true for some levels of technical debt.

There is always pushback, but if they resort to sabotaging growth to stabilize their position, they are pieces of shit. It is a cancerous attitude. Arguably what a good technical manager does is get product while preventing that attitude from infecting the rest of the organization. If the leadership sucks, and are a bunch of beta pleasers, then yes, taking on debt is just kicking the can down the road because they do not have the growth mindset necessary to hit the growth.

Overkillengine • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 11:15 PM

risk-averse beta

There is a difference between being averse to any risk and taking unnecessary risks. One is cowardice; the other wisdom.

Oxdada • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 11:22 PM

A risk-averse beta I would use as an example is someone who rationalizes the necessity of taking a given risk based on neurotic biases instead of physical experience.

Being a pussy is a viable survival strategy, but it doesn't get straight men laid.

Overkillengine • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 11:32 PM

Ah cute, the male version of the pink whip.

SiulaGrande • 10 points • 2 September, 2017 10:46 PM

fuck the tribe bro, if the tribe doesn't care about the individual, the individual has to cover his own ass. in OPs story, his tribe (his company) literally stopped giving a shit about him; why should he have their backs?

I'm going into corporate land to make a bunch of money. I'll treat everyone I encounter with fairness and respect, but if someone's a threat to me and people don't have my back, then I'm not an idiot playing a moral game, I'm focusing on my survival and that's it.

pilledwillingly • 10 points • 3 September, 2017 12:44 AM

He let someone hired to do a job, do their job, and came back before it caused too much damage.

You're not responsible for masking other people's inadequacies, and you're probably better off bringing their shit to light sooner rather than later.

I've done it before in my own job. Help those who ask for help, but those that are gunning for your position and talking shit about you, don't feel any need to let them know their parachute is packed wrong.

Oxdada • -3 points • 3 September, 2017 01:55 AM

Of course, but if your job is parachute packer and hand someone a miss-packed parachute, you

are a piece of shit.

Seems subtle, but it's a world of difference.

pilledwillingly • 10 points • 3 September, 2017 02:25 AM

We're really stretching the metaphor here.

They're both parachute packers. He's been fixing the other parachute packer's mispacked mistakes for a while, and got no satisfaction informing the company that the new packer can't pack parachutes.

So he took a holiday, and the third parachute packer took a day off on the day of the big jump.

Someone who was moving up the ranks of parachute packing, and was talking smack about the other parachute packers, had an opportunity to prove that he could do the job that the company was paying him for, and he failed.

It is NOT YOUR RESPONSIBILITY to cover other people indefinitely. You inform management that their new employee is incapable of doing the job. If you get no satisfaction, you can either quit the job or let them expose their own incompetence. OP expedited the exposure of incompetence, and saved the company money in the long term by doing so.

I can't take you seriously if you truly think OP is a piece of shit for allowing someone who was paid to do a job, do their job. Taking holidays that they were allowed to take, and flying back to help the company... all AFTER informing the employer that this guy was full of hot air.

Nothing more can possibly be expected of a person in that position.

What possible future would make OP not a piece of shit? Should he have coached the dude on how to take over his job. Should he have quit and let the company come groveling back to him when they're a million in the hole?

What would you do if you did a job well, and some upstart came in trying to push you out, but they were a hazard? What's the 'not piece of shit' thing to do?

0xdada • -1 points • 3 September, 2017 01:17 PM

The PoS move is manufacturing the situation and setting it up. It's about attitude. The individual moves were all within reasonable rules. It was malicious compliance, which is bitchy.

How is what OP different from a programmer dropping in a logic bomb into a piece of code that happens to get into production?

What was the problem he was solving for?

felt isolated by new guy.

new guy was there to diversify the companys exposure to single analysts building fiefs.  
new guy was "incompetent," which is debatable given OP appeared withhold data from him.

The OP used customer relationships and revenue for his personal issue. That's business to an extent (where you do use customer relationships and any others you can find to consolidate your personal value position), but burning them was nothing admirable.

OP did not confront the new guy, and appeared mainly to bitch in the background instead of:



presenting the specific risk scenario to execs in 1-2 slides that he ultimately used to move on the guy. They don't need to agree, but they do need to understand. being able to get trust and credibility from key people in the organization instead of tactical operational control. Actually fixing the problem and telling executives before it harmed customer relationships. and, got explicit clarity from the exec on our respective reporting roles and accountability.

pilledwillingly • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 01:36 PM

You're introducing way too many variables based on what we were told. I'm over discussing it, and I think we're approaching it from totally opposite directions, but I respect your point of view.

Look dude, there's obviously more nuance than two dudes on an internet forum are going to deduce from this, so I'll concede that he didn't exhaust all of his options before pulling the safety nets out from under this guy. But having been in situations similar to his, I'm happy if the company deems to hire someone equal to me and to share my workload. I'm not happy if they take the initiative to cut into work that I was doing competently, and certainly not if I have to fix their mistakes. It all boils down to the amount of satisfaction that you can get from management, and how long you are willing to pursue that course of action.

Have a good day, man.

intalus • 1 points • 5 September, 2017 04:36 AM **[recovered]**

It doesn't matter how eloquently you write - you don't have a technical background in mathematics and statistics; therefore you aren't qualified to speak in regards to what is and isn't incompetent.

0xdada • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 12:05 PM

Spoken like someone who has a background in neither.

RatMan29 • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 12:09 AM

Agree totally. OP's opponent was not what I'd call alpha at all, but a poseur. But OP doesn't sound the least bit heroic either -- he was just being the kind of asshole who posts all the time in the revenge subs.

If there is a lesson to be learnt here, in or out of the sexual arena, it is to avoid making unnecessary enemies, but also, avoid trusting anybody, or needing to.

0xdada • 0 points • 3 September, 2017 12:27 AM

Fair. I actually thought the OP seemed pretty self aware. It was a situation where the leadership failed. I was hard on the guy, but not without some compassion.

If you take highly intelligent people with systematizing and quantitative skills, and then run them off the rails, that's basically how you get super villains.

We all do shitty things sometimes, and posting to other men gives us a sounding board.

Thanks. I generally rephrase "don't trust people," as "don't underestimate people," because you actually need some of them, even awful ones, to take your side once in a while. :)

Swanksterino • -6 points • 2 September, 2017 09:55 PM

I agree, his passive aggressive play hurt people trying to help him, or worse, depended on him. And his rival was not Red Pill in the least, just some overconfident incompetent. OP said his Forte was 'indirect aggression' and his story is all about how he bested a Red Pilled guy, but 8n the in end it was his flawed understanding of TRP that fried an overconfident, probably cucked, beta, and OP did NOT or ever would win against an actual Chad.

MultiverseWolf • 4 points • 3 September, 2017 08:45 AM

OP brought the incompetence to management and was belittled. I'm interested to know what you think he could do better.

Swanksterino • -1 points • 3 September, 2017 01:38 PM

I have no idea, I am not an analyst. But I wouldn't have labeled a guy as TRP, simply because he was a good looking straight dude. And I'd I did somehow, I wouldn't come here and brag about how I 'got him'. Don't you see the gist of the story?

juliusstreicher • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 09:38 PM

His assets were charisma but also a higher risk tolerance for uncertainty on estimates, which helps organizations in a lot of ways that OP probably doesn't get.

Could you expand on this, please?

Oxdada • 0 points • 3 September, 2017 11:09 PM

If you don't demonstrate the background to understand it, it's difficult to know where to start.

See my other comments in this thread about debt, leverage and risk.

indivisibleremainder • 7 points • 2 September, 2017 10:34 PM

this is the most unique post i've ever read here

AussiePhil82 • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 06:11 AM

Massive bonus that you got to leave Marseille too because that's the biggest shithole in Europe.

Made the mistake of going there a few years ago; felt like I'd stepped through wormhole to Africa.

rusbusdp • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 12:50 PM

I employ the same tactic at work. I AMOG and pretty much do the bare minimum. IT WORKS! The difference between me and this guy is that I know the work thoroughly and when shit hits the fan I have the ability to step in and save the day. When things are easy sailing though I kick up my feet, let everyone else do the work and bullshit about lifting with the manager. Not saying it's fair or right, but what I am saying is that I do it.

phoenixtoast • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 01:08 PM

I've long known that it doesn't matter if you're actually good at your job, all that matters is that people like you or not. Doesn't matter if you're sweeping the floor or calculating rocket trajectories, all people care about is if you smile and give them a warm fuzzy feeling. Well in my experience the incompetents know exactly how to dig in and survive while making you look like the loser, it's refreshing to finally read about one of them getting canned. Fuck that non-reactive, taking the high road approach. It's getting old. Good to see someone prevailing for once

over the mountain of bullshit.

SpyMonkey3D • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 01:46 PM

Brilliant use of Law 16: Use Absence to Increase Respect and Honor.

ex\_addict\_bro • 3 points • 4 September, 2017 09:30 AM

This is more a field report, than finance. Solid post, brother.

I thought these were rational people. NOPE

Read that CIA negotiator book I recommended over MRP. Hint: (or "the red pill of human interactions"): we are NOT rational beings and we will NEVER EVER be rational.

Overall, solid post. And welcome.

johnnyboy11111 • 6 points • 3 September, 2017 02:59 AM

yeaaaaaaaaaaaaaah if this were real, the contents of this post would eventually get back to OP for failure to double check on his junior's work. Good shit post though. 10/10, would give all the karma points if I could.

[deleted] • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 01:31 AM

You were the Chad in this situation. Actually knowing how to do your job & demonstrating it affords you status in the company. You have leverage to fit her your career, while the other dumb ass who apparently was acting like it was highschool is out of a job and is an embarrassment whose career will go to shit. His wife's probably fucking some dude on the side, lol.

[deleted] • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 10:04 AM

"I went to France and had two and a half glorious weeks with a guy there whom I am very attracted to" Hmm, are you gay male or a female? I'm confused

hagolu • 1 point • 6 September, 2017 07:20 AM\*

DITTO! confused as well.. tell me when you know

EDIT: He is a gay guy. OP mentions it in some comments.

bountyhunterdjango • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 02:20 PM

"Money talks, you stupid cunt".

Awesome job dude, sometimes unfortunately, many people value charisma and a 'dominant personality' over actual skill. It's one of the things this sub is most right about, crafting both for yourself is where you really hit the jackpot.

Borsao66 • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 07:10 PM

### **Law 9: Win Through Your Actions - Never Through Argument**

*Any momentary triumph you think you have gained through argument is really a Pyrrhic victory: The resentment and ill will you stir up is stronger and lasts longer than any momentary change of opinion. It is much more powerful to get others to agree with you through your actions, without saying a word. Demonstrate, do not explicate.*

[deleted] • 2 points • 4 September, 2017 03:21 AM

I put in vacation time for three weeks about three months in advance, knowing almost exactly to the day when we would be out of stock, because unlike him I'm actually good at what I do.

Brilliant. Machiavelli would be proud.

[deleted] • 2 points • 4 September, 2017 12:25 PM

Nice post. At the end, you won. But:

"At the end of the day, money talks, you stupid cunt. Welcome to the jungle, I hope your wife and kids have to go on SNAP, fuck you and the used Camaro you rode in on."

This is just bitterness showing. Aim to become a stronger man, but learn to empathize and ask, "what makes someone do what they do?" When you truly understand it, you will become a better man for it.

This guy was confident on a subject he didn't know very well yes, but the only reason he got away with it (for a time) is because you didn't put your foot down. "Listen buddy, I'm the big boss analyst around here, so take a step back and don't touch my forecasts."

"So I started using logic and my knowledge of statistics to explain in our meetings why his ideas were wrong. Big fucking mistake."

This is only a mistake because you didn't know or weren't confident to reiterate. Logic and statistics will always beat an argument just as long as you are able to confidently and smoothly show your points and crumble the other person's argument.

All of this could have been avoided if you had some balls tbh. Good luck

LOST\_TALE • 2 points • 4 September, 2017 04:44 PM

Your company is shit. I wouldn't stay there. Out-compete all these morons back down the competence hierarchy. Morons reach critical morass and drive out the square root who make half the productivity. Then we fuck it up from a new ship.

After reading: seems like this is a good example on how to turn bs into an opportunity!

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 04:45 PM

There's a lot of TRP/Ancap crossover.

Arilandon • 2 points • 5 September, 2017 06:55 PM\*

Which was unfortunate because he was pretty hot and fun to look at.

Are you a homosexual? Why would a heterosexual guy care about another guy being hot? Or maybe you're a woman?

Pokeylaw • 1 point • 11 September, 2017 05:46 PM

A lot of people are asking why he think guys are hot lmfao

pcnub1234 • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 03:37 PM

"And I taught Chad a very valuable lesson too: At the end of the day, money talks, you stupid cunt. Welcome to the jungle, I hope your wife and kids have to go on SNAP, fuck you and the used Camaro you rode in on."

Jesus dude you sound so fucking bitter.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 03:44 PM

Woah, unexpexted lunchtime gravedig.

If someone comes after your job it's pretty difficult to not take it personally. You're saying that you don't want me to be able to make my mortgage payments. You're saying you want me to retire later. You're saying you want to take games off my steam account. So yeah, I was bitter at the time.

I work hard for what I have. And at the end of the day if I have to choose between me and someone else, I always pick me. 100% of the time, seven days a week.

pcnub1234 • 3 points • 19 September, 2017 03:50 PM

I don't think that is why you hate him.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 19 September, 2017 03:52 PM

I wasn't a fan of his personality, obviously. But there are other people like that and I don't hate them. I think it's pretty natural to hate someone who tries to get you fired.

Have you ever had a real world job?

pcnub1234 • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 03:53 PM

My take is that you would have hated him even more if he was competent and didn't try to get you fired.

halfback910[S] • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 03:56 PM

Lmao seriously? You genuinely think I would like someone who tried to get me fired more than someone who didn't?

You are not an adult. Calling it right now. You are not an adult, have never had an adult job or bills to pay. Because no functioning adult could realistically say any of what you've said.

pcnub1234 • 2 points • 19 September, 2017 03:57 PM

Your hysteria and ad hominem only confirms what your original post alluded to.

red\_matrix • 6 points • 2 September, 2017 08:40 PM

Good job. I hate these Machiavellian, passive aggressive fucks. At least you had the brains to see the implosion coming and basically made this asshole eat his own lunch. You post screams of the 48 Laws of power!

SiulaGrande • 10 points • 2 September, 2017 10:27 PM

you do realize that what he did is machiavellian, right? machiavellian  $\neq$  passive aggressive. there's people on here who have been the little guy their whole life and are now lashing out. eventually they will come into balance as they gain more maturity and life experience.

i just wanted to set the record straight with your vocab, that's all. and by the way, the 48 laws of power are incredibly machiavellian. machiavellian philosophy is basically just "the ends justify the means"; morals and morality bear no relevance, and all that matters is the approach that yields the best result, even if it's "immoral" and does some damage to some people (what OP did to his coworker).

have a nice day

red\_matrix • 0 points • 3 September, 2017 12:40 AM

Yeah - but the guy came in with Machiavellian tactics used to fuck people over. It's one thing to use them for personal gain, it's another to mess with people in a negative way. I hear you. But Karma can be a

bitch.

hyugafan • 4 points • 2 September, 2017 10:16 PM

Dear god, that gave me a justice boner. Welcome to the jungle indeed, man.

Hnikud • 1 point • 2 September, 2017 07:41 PM

Great job OP very detailed and I saw some of the points made here. Now what would be the way of confronting someone moving in your turf, or even better what would be the correct way to become the new alpha as a newcomer.

halfback910[S] • 15 points • 2 September, 2017 07:46 PM

I guess just be an obnoxious douche like him: Become straight, talk about sports, work out chest more, then intentionally wear tight shirts to show it off, buy a highly overrated and fuel-inefficient automobile that's associated with masculinity, park it where everyone can see, make fun of my betters, and keep talking about how I totally got laid at least twice (which is how I view talking about your kids).

Hnikud • 2 points • 2 September, 2017 07:49 PM

I will apply this in a more rational way, and by the way great revenge story mate. My goal is to mix logic and this character and see what lies down the path.

halfback910[S] • 4 points • 2 September, 2017 07:54 PM

Thanks. I'm never going to buy a muscle car, though. I don't like ostentatious consumption and I think any part of the car that does not facilitate you getting from A to B or being more comfortable in the car is just ostentation. So for instance, ornamentation, flair, etc. Ostentatious consumption has never sat well with me on principle. It feels decadent and wrong.

Also to be clear, I was at least slightly joking.

Hnikud • 1 point • 2 September, 2017 07:57 PM

Haha I do not like muscle cars either, I like the Porsche 911 more. You have a point though, your way of thought is interesting. Do you practice Stoicism?

halfback910[S] • 3 points • 2 September, 2017 08:00 PM

No, it's more that I have a very special, loving relationship with Capitalism and money and dislike consumerism so I strive to be an example of how Capitalism and consumerism are not the same thing.

That being said, I'm a stoic for like 9 hours M-F while I'm at work. Nobody except my one friend knows anything about my outside life. And she and I conduct most of our friend-chat via texting while at work and save the deep dish shit for when we're grabbing drinks after. And she's the same way. I'm 90% sure nobody else there even knows we're friends.

Hnikud • 3 points • 2 September, 2017 08:05 PM

You have a good point they're not the same thing, and that is good for your image at work. Wish you good luck haha.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 September, 2017 09:31 PM

May I ask what education you got for that job? Quantative finance?



halfback910[S] • 2 points • 2 September, 2017 09:45 PM

Haha. Human Resources. And then I learned I hated humans and loved inventory and numbers. So I switched trajectory.

TRPAndNofapGotMeLaid • 1 points • 2 September, 2017 09:56 PM [recovered]

I see. Anyways you did good putting that man in his place. Just for context, may I ask if you are homosexual?

halfback910 • 1 points • 2 September, 2017 10:07 PM [recovered]

may I ask if you are homosexual?

Yup yup.

How does game work with guys?

It doesn't. There's no game. Everyone wants sex all the time and it's super easy to get it. It's like the difference between having to pull water from a well or just having a stream to dunk your face into.

Or maybe you are bi?

Nope. Gayner than a cum-flavored popsicle.

TRPAndNofapGotMeLaid • 1 points • 2 September, 2017 10:12 PM [recovered]

That sounds awesome. Too bad analsex stinks.

DARPA-NATOR • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 12:21 AM [recovered]

Disgusting hedonistic STD ridden homosexuals are the worst. Their partners counts can reach the thousands as they spread herpes, gonorrhea, GRIDS, chlamydia and syphilis to the general population. They call you a bigot for questioning their "right" to donate blood to infect the "breeders" they hate so much. A homosexual in THEREDPILL is no different than a transexual in video game programming. Nothing but drama, ego and mental illness.

halfback910 • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 12:26 AM [recovered]

Where to begin?

Disgusting hedonistic STD ridden homosexuals are the worst.

People with STD's who don't behave responsibly are the worst in general.

Their partners counts can reach the thousands

That would be awesome!

as they spread herpes, gonorrhea, GRIDS, chlamydia and syphilis to the general population.

LMAO syphilis? What is this the 1800's? Do we spread Tertian Fever and Polio as well?

They call you a bigot for questioning their "right" to donate blood to infect the "breeders" they hate so much.

Talk about projection. I wouldn't donate blood if I could. It's my blood. Also you think homosexuality can be spread through blood transfusion? I... I don't get it.

A homosexual in THEREDPILL is no different than a transexual in video game programming.

What a fucking bizarre and specific metaphor.

Nothing but drama

Oh, yup. There was tons of drama before you showed up. Now, thanks to you, there's way less drama.

ego

It's the fucking red pill. Isn't that supposed to be good?

and mental illness.

You're the one ranting about syphilis and blood donations. Look, I don't take it personally. I like homophobes. They give the best head.

thestruggled • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 12:46 AM [recovered]

What the fuck is this guy's deal, I thought he was trolling but there was no end joke

halfback910 • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 12:49 AM [recovered]

In my experience, they usually want dick. I wasn't joking about homophobes giving bomb head.

thestruggled • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 12:53 AM [recovered]

Yeah I can see that. There are also those who feel guilty for being homophobes and lash out despite not being gay

halfback910 • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 01:01 AM [recovered]

That's even more depressing. It's one thing to be unable to own being gay. On some level I can at least understand that. But to have OPINIONS and not be able to own those OPINIONS? That's something you've got control over.

If you feel wrong, you're wrong. Change your opinion. Every time I've had a major shift in my important opinions it's because someone demolished my argument and was obviously correct. So I adopted the superior argument. People are way too attached to their opinions. If you're wrong, just rip it off like a bandaid. Feels way better afterward. And slowly you purge all the stupid bullshit opinions out of you and replace them with strong, pure, Aryan opinions that are more and more correct.

DARPA-NATOR • 1 points • 2 September, 2017 11:29 PM [recovered]

Faggot

Shaman6624 • 1 point • 2 September, 2017 11:39 PM

Handsome only gets you a good first impression, if you're actually stupid you have no durable influence.

juliusstreicher • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 09:45 PM

This is missing the whole point, tho. If one is minimally competent, handsome kicks them up 3-5/10 points.

tanqop • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 12:19 AM

Amazing post. Very good read. I wish you success.

-VaeVictis- • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 01:01 AM

Amazing comeback man.

That was something straight out of 48 laws of power. You should read the book if you haven't already.

\_MysticFox • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 02:35 AM [recovered]

Damn, you took shit from your coworkers for a full 3 months before he fucked up?

halfback910[S] • 3 points • 3 September, 2017 02:40 AM

Well, since we buy things from China it just takes 3 months for fuck-ups to be noticed by anyone but analysts. But yes, I put up with the derision for 3 months or so and waited patiently. I don't actually care about any of their opinions, I was mostly just annoyed that my warnings weren't being enacted.

omargrunt • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 06:29 AM

Good for you buddy. You orchestrated a symphony power moves behind the scenes bravo.

beginner\_ • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 07:35 AM

I am not an "alpha". I'll be the first to admit that. I'm active, fit, successful, but when it comes to straight out pissing contests, I generally lose. "Winning through sheer confidence and aggression" has never been my MO and likely never will be. Indirect confrontation is where I tend to thrive.

How you handled that story seems pretty alpha to me. Not sure I could do it. It has confidence in it, eg. that you can actually fix his fuckups, being selfish at the cost of him and the company. Most people would not be able to pull this off. Well done, Sir.

scissor\_me\_timbers00 • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 07:50 AM

The fucking stupidity of women is really a mind blower when it really clicks on a deep level.

RPmatrix • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 07:57 AM

Oh bro, that was superb!

Check this out, I think it's you I know it's me.

great read too, well written, thanks for sharing

kokoke • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 09:32 AM

This is really good material on office dynamics and I've actually learned something valuable from this, so thanks for that.

Question, If he had tried to work with you rather than undercut you, would you have taken a mentorship role with him and taught him the ropes, knowing that he could potentially take your job if he became good enough?

Another question, what would be good form to practice if you were Chad in this scenario. Do you try to befriend the guy who you were brought in to replace or do you immediately set yourself apart from him and take over, after all, he was brought into a leadership position and he had to perform as a leader right?

halfback910[S] • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 12:31 PM

Well he wasn't brought in to replace me. There was zero reason we couldn't get along. The issue he is that he was obviously trying to get rid of me.

If he had been cool, I would have been cool. I don't know that I would have gone out of my way to bro it out with him, but I certainly wouldn't have gone out of my way to hurt him.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 11:29 AM

Sportsball. Hahaha love it.

ThunderBuss • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 12:37 PM

What is this "sportsball" of which you speak?

ThunderBuss • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 12:46 PM

You are not understanding what happened. You make it sound like chad did all this. He didn't, management did. Your management brought in this chad to change And to fix some perceived problem. These analysts always are top level management stars because. They put them in that position and his success is their success.

ExtraProfane • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 01:44 PM\*

Chad taught me valuable lessons: Don't tolerate for a second someone moving in your turf. Don't trust people to be rational. And I taught Chad a very valuable lesson too: At the end of the day, money talks, you stupid cunt. Welcome to the jungle, I hope your wife and kids have to go on SNAP, fuck you and the used Camaro you rode in on.

There's also another lesson: Does someone just barged in your turf and tries to out-alpha you? (Because let's face it, you were the leading person that everyone depends on) Let them blow themselves up. You figured out his weakness (his inability to deliver results that matter) and you played upon them by retracting yourself and giving them a taste of how things are without you.

Thing is, people end up being comfortable with how things work that they take people and things for granted and their brain assumes things. I know a guy who's just a waiter but he absolutely crushes it, he's basically the sole reason the restaurant receives great reviews for its service because everyone else sees waiter jobs as "something you do for the money" but he enjoys the social interaction. He gets showered with gifts and invitations from high prestige guests, but he's basically a pussy because he refuses to risk asking for a raise and instead let the boss hire incompetent people to work with, and he ends up doing all the work.

If I could just shove the 48 laws of power in his thick head I would have done it.

ipplayhearts • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 02:15 PM

Great Post! I know this might be off topic, but have you ever thought about trying Jiu Jitsu? Cheers!

SeemedGood • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 03:06 PM

Thing is, he wasn't actually an "alpha" for two reasons:

1. **There is no such creature.** Alpha and Beta (et al.) are just behavioral archetypes, and *both* behaviors are exhibited by all men, as exemplified in your own story by...
2. ...the fact that this guy was an idiot and sucked at his job. In order to lead people effectively, you actually have to be good at those things required to lead them or you end up making a fool of yourself and losing all respect (from men and women) in short order.

ScottDisdik • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 03:08 PM

Fuck yes! Being truly alpha is not just on looks and confidence. It's also about intelligence and building up actual respect. Therefore, in that respect, you crushed it, well done sir.

shawn10026722 • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 05:57 PM

Great post man. You offer a unique perspective on TRP that shows that it's very true and it works/ it's everywhere.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 06:15 PM

Goes to show how far empty small talk humour can take you.

metallicdrama • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 09:09 PM\*

The guy who gets people to kick his boots isn't always a true alpha. A true alpha leads from the front with competence and isn't faking it til he makes it, and thus can never fall. Clowns like this come and go. Destructive people who chant their way in are alpha-lite. On a longer timeline they fall where the real does learn from other people's mistakes, as well as their own and stay at the top when they get there by being truly ready for it. I loved your story. Reminds me of sociopaths I've had to remove from my path by getting them to overplay their hand and spin their tires into oblivion.

S-Blaze • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 10:19 PM

Great job, he had it coming

CasualCocaine • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 12:24 AM

Fucking nice. This read like a pro revenge form me. You handled that like a fucking champ. You tried talking sense into him and your coworkers, you even gave the mother fucker a chance, but at the end of the day you exposed him with with the only language people understand. MONEY!

NoFapColdShower • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 02:57 AM

Great post! I love to hear about little corporate adventures like this because even though they don't pertain to my field specifically I'd like to think I can take take the lessons into my own life. If anything I definitely understand corporate life better.

RadoxBath • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 10:54 AM

At the end of the day, money talks you stupid cunt, welcome to the jungle. Brilliant.

Izzenw • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 01:22 PM

Nice one OP, thanks for your business case. BTW, there are lots of laws observance on your text, but here is a resume of it:

OP: Law 16: Use Absence to Increase Respect and Honor

Chad: Law 1: Never Outshine The Master

xytrooo • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 12:11 PM\*

Rule 47 , in victory don't go past the mark you aimed for is the moral of your story. (for chad)

edit 2 : you know what chad was in the job for. he will learn from his mistakes and make a better hit at another

company. that is if his wife won't make it harder for him.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 01:05 PM

Yeah or his kids. Imo having a wife and kids is a huge disadvantage. It makes you less maneuverable and forces you to be less risky (even though Chad clearly took risks).

xytrooo • 1 point • 6 September, 2017 06:32 AM

huge disadvantage because you can't be frugal, put time, take loans etc.

but yeah he was looking out to get some, so thats understandable. he didn't just go full duche in some unknown office ( although this is a possibility )

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 07:47 PM

Well played OP.

Social skills and social proof matter a lot more than technical skills. If you cannot present and make a business case to match Mr Alpha Chad you are mud.

People don't understand the technical stuff nor do they want too. Its hard, it doesn't fit into their neat little box or plan, nerds aren't trusted. More importantly the technical route is not the traditional way to rise in the hierarchy and power in the modern civilized world. Social and sales skills mater most followed by financial skills modern civilized society.

Again well played OP. As warning to other planning similar revenge. OP took advantage of his intimate knowledge of his company and his reputation and relationships with in the firm. Your circumstances may be different.

Noolaw • 1 point • 5 September, 2017 10:10 PM

Thanks for the story. Now ill learn how to defend myself from this kind of situation.

NuMoreMrNiceGuy • 1 point • 6 September, 2017 03:26 PM

As much as I would say congrats to you I also cant help but feel this guy has done this at other jobs. After his fuckup I would have asked him what was he thinking?

Zweihander747 • 1 point • 7 September, 2017 01:01 AM

TL;DR - Post cliffs

Also, OP is gay?

Pokeylaw • 1 point • 11 September, 2017 05:45 PM

Maybe OP is a girl. Mind blown lmfaio

Kannot • 1 point • 8 September, 2017 08:04 AM

This is why I got into academia.

Corporate life pays but fucking hell are people stupid (women and men, the former perhaps moreso on average).

I wonder how my smart quant friends working on wall street or in analytics can stand their job. I guess they have different priorities in life.

Maelshevek • 1 point • 14 September, 2017 06:55 AM

I an proud because you let him fail, and stayed true to doing your job the right way. I'm glad you didn't fight



him, because you can't fight charisma with logic. Foolishness has to expose itself.

The company is totally complicit in hiring and listening to him over you. They needed him to fail to see who they need, in order to be successful as a company.

At work we call these pain points, where the only way a company or culture will change for the better is to suffer enough to do something about it.

SirByron • 2 points • 2 September, 2017 10:40 PM

What does this have to do with TRP? TRP is not about revenge.

thestruggled • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 12:43 AM

I'd say despite the obvious revenge factor, this is more a story that is meant to teach the principles of attraction and how to stand up for yourself

sculd • 1 point • 2 September, 2017 10:37 PM

Sounds like you are now more "alpha" than before this experience ;)

alphaperfect • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 12:30 AM [recovered]

You are a fuking passive-aggressive bitch.

halfback910[S] • 6 points • 3 September, 2017 12:36 AM

Was I supposed to help him not get fired? Or challenge him to a fight in the parking lot? What would you have done?

mensafloyd • 5 points • 3 September, 2017 02:44 AM

You did the right thing. I've been in that situation and so has my sister in her jobs and we did the exact same thing. Let them fall on their paper machete swords. The biggest take away from all this is that you didn't fall into Chad's frame by being his corporate wingman. like a lot of thirsty betas do. Those that say this particular Chad will win the war, BS. This Chad is married, so he's lost!

alphaperfect • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 02:57 AM [recovered]

Hey i thought you were a fuking bitch and come straight to your face and said it AKA like a man!

Afterburner83 • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 03:19 AM

Seriously, how old are you? In the adult world you don't stir shit like that without risking your neck. People are responsible for themselves, halfback gave the dude fair warning about what he was doing and was ignored. Using his examples, a verbal confrontation in the workplace risks your job. A physical altercation risks your freedom (jail) and your job. Helping him to keep his job isn't his responsibility either. Consider the options, the maneuvering he did was masterful.

bountyhunterdjango • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 02:30 PM

Fuck me, I always wonder what kind of pussies people like you that try to act hard on REDDIT must be like in real life

Philletto • -6 points • 2 September, 2017 10:10 PM

Geez, I dunno, wouldn't want to work with you. He moved in on your turf and we hear your version of the guy and events. Then you create a bad situation for your company to make the guy look bad. I would never put a

company in trouble like that. You didn't mention the total cost to the company and that includes reputation and client loyalty.

halfback910[S] • 13 points • 2 September, 2017 10:18 PM

No, I did not create a bad situation for the company. I just stopped catching his mistakes. The two are different, right?

They created the bad situation by hiring someone incompetent and giving them responsibility. I just LET him do those things. Did it hurt me, deeply, to see my inventory and numbers get hurt? Of course. It hurt a lot. I'm attached to them, I'll admit.

But I intentionally caught enough of his mistakes and fixed them to contain the cost to what I believed to be enough to get him fired, but not enough to cause serious damage. In this case just shy of 300k in sales. The actual results were quite close to what I had calculated they would be. A little under because I didn't anticipate a slight dip in demand on one item. If I had not been reviewing and changing his other mistakes (which was not my responsibility!) that number would have been *millions*.

That being said, if I *did* have to actually cause the problems to stop him fucking me over, could do it and have a 100% or close to 100% chance of getting away with it? Yes, I would do it. I won't lie. At the end of the day, my concerns need to be about myself.

Is that what happened here? Unequivocally, no.

DARPA-NATOR • 1 points • 3 September, 2017 06:52 AM [recovered]

Homosexuals LOVE drama, and will destroy your company and it's relationships to be catty.

The "chad" probably wouldn't let this deviant suck his cock, so he ruined his life and constantly made fun of him having a wife and children. Homosexuals hate breeders.

Philletto • -4 points • 3 September, 2017 02:29 AM

No, I did not create a bad situation for the company. I just stopped catching his mistakes. The two are different, right?

No, you let stocks run low and clients complained. That's sackable IMO. Knowing something will hurt the company and not advising people reflects badly on you.

halfback910[S] • 7 points • 3 September, 2017 02:33 AM\*

First, it's not as though I had a responsibility to review all his work. I did not. He bombed 200 forecasts. I fixed all but 13 of them. If I had not done that, the results would have been catastrophic. It's their fault for trusting the wrong people with the wrong responsibilities. Not mine for forcing them to see it.

Second, it was better for the company long term. Small pin-prick now versus Chad ruining my forecasts and us being out of stock constantly?

They may not know or understand it, but they're better off because of what I did. There was unfortunate collateral damage, but an ounce of pain now saved a pound of pain later.

Finally, if they had listened to any of my warnings this would not have been necessary. I tried to point out what was going on and they laughed at me. They wouldn't listen to reason so I had to find something they *would* listen to.

I feel like your emotions are wrapped up in this. You're invested in the success of Chads everywhere. The idea that one of them failed, demonstrably so, is unsettling to you. Not everyone wins all the

time. That's just the way it is. And when people are bad at their jobs it is in the best interest of everyone that they lose them. The company and society benefit from people not doing things they suck at. By allowing him to lose his job I did everyone a service. It was the right thing to do. And I assure you, I minimized the cost that it would take to get them to take heed and finally listen as much as possible. I reduced millions in losses to a comparably paltry sum.

Philletto • -5 points • 3 September, 2017 03:03 AM

I'm not defending Chad. I think the right approach is to advise upper management in email about the future catastrophe. Either they take it seriously and Chad has to fix it, or it fails and you can say it is exactly what you predicted. The fault is on management for not taking you seriously.

I just think making him look bad and costing the company money is the wrong strategy.

halfback910[S] • 9 points • 3 September, 2017 03:24 AM

The risk is they just say I didn't warn them enough, Chad pins the blame on me, which is easy enough. He's charismatic and convincing. After all, he's gunning for me, right?

OR Chad then changes the forecast to my correct ones, I saved his ass (but nobody notices because nothing went wrong) and now they think he's competent. AND he's still gunning for me.

Now what, Sun Tsu?

Philletto • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 10:01 AM

I don't know you or Chad obviously, but I think helping him not make a gigantic mistake and explaining that to him clearly means you can broker an arrangement with him. If he is suicidal then you can't do anything about it and the next step is the company needs to know you are saving them from disaster.

That's just me, I would rather appear weak and keep the company running well *and then warn him that things could go horribly wrong if you hadn't saved his ass*

Dingaste • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 05:57 PM

Decidedly *NOT* red pill thinking.

Philletto • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 10:09 PM

I'm dumping you TRP. What a bunch of total dicks.

RedPill115 • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 10:57 AM\*

You sound like Eddard Stark telling us that the thing is we just need to be nicer and more compassionate towards the Lannisters and it would all work out on it's own.

If you work somewhere where your approach works, that's honestly great. It's a better place when it does.

But I went through something just like the OP did. Hired a new guy, he had a big mouth and a smiling likeable personality. And he'd say whatever b.s. made management like him.

Long story short at the end of the project they didn't want to admit that they made a mistake in hiring the other guy, so they were looking to blame me. I said "I finished my part of the project in the time I said it would take me. You

wanted it done sooner, I tried but couldn't do it. This other guy said his part of the project wouldn't take 'more than a few hours', it's a month and a half later and he still doesn't have it done. I'm not doing his work until you acknowledge that I finished my work and now I'm doing his work to."

For the next 2 months they treated me like I was the best employee they ever had. I've never been treated so well at work.

I don't think you understand what kind of people the Lannisters are. You warn him that "things could have gone wrong if you hadn't saved his ass", next week he's somehow convinced everyone that he saved your ass and you're the one who's incompetent.

But it's true that if you have to play these head games, the work situation usually sucks. It's better if management listens to your initial warnings and you don't have to go down this road, or if the new guy is just a well meaning guy trying to do a job.

Dingaste • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 05:56 PM

Almost sounds like you're *defending* the Chad (who *caused* the problem, according to the OP, whom I'm choosing to believe). He just let these fools get fooled. I don't see a problem here.

RamblingBanana • 2 points • 2 September, 2017 10:25 PM

I'd argue that the "incompetent chad" character would have damaged the company no matter what (assuming he is as incompetent as OP says). Especially if he kept amassing power, and eventually got the kind of hierarchical immunity that would stop him from facing the consequences he did in this post.

/u/halfback910 just forced the company to diagnose the source of its inefficiency, as opposed to letting the company die from a thousand small cuts over time. I liken it to the bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Had the U.S. not made such an immediate and grandiose display of power, japan wouldn't have surrendered until their entire country was rubble from years and years of smaller scale firebombings.

He brought up the mistakes that were being made before any consequences arose from them, and was belittled for it and laughed at by his coworkers. So he resorted to option 2, and it worked like a charm.

Fedor\_Gavnyukov • 0 points • 3 September, 2017 07:43 AM

good story, but how does trp actually work with homosexual interactions since both are males? would be interesting to hear more about that

Swanksterino • -6 points • 2 September, 2017 09:51 PM\*

Uh, if you are incompetent, you cannot be an Alpha, you are just a phony. Alphas are extremely confident, because their competence is imperically measurable and provable to the world. What you were dealing with is just a good looking loser, not Chad. You would know Chad, because your passive aggressive bullshit, the stuff that cost your loyal customers and employer money, would have blown up in your face. Chad would never allow that to happen.

Furthemore, your description of women as 'morons' is WAY off base. Women can be exceptionally smart, TRP only suggests they more likely than not, to be ruled by their emotions, and intuition. NI thing to do with intelligence.

Your understanding of Red Pill is unfortunate and incorrect. This story seems only to chuckle wryly at the Red

Pill guy you have wrongly identified, and all the just because he had some delusional sense of confidence, and you didn't like that. TRP at all.

Enjoy you vodka and blow, I am sure one day you'll meet the man of your dreams. In the meantime take you misinformation, and your attempt at 'indirect aggression' that you pride yoursulf on, has failed here sir

Edit: Sorry millennial Red Pillers, of course there can be such a thing as an incompetent Alpha. Makes perfect sense.

halfback910[S] • 10 points • 2 September, 2017 10:02 PM

You would know Chad, because your passive aggressive bullshit, the stuff that cost your loyal customers and employer money, would have blown up in your face. Chad would never allow that to happen.

Now, the customers thing is unfortunate. I acknowledge that. They are an unfortunate, but necessary, casualty of what I had to do to preserve my outlook. And in the long run it was better for them. What if Chad had been allowed to stay and fuck up at every turn? Far worse, indeed.

The medicine was bitter, I acknowledge, but superior to the death of the patient. This had to be done, due to no fault of my own, and so I did it.

If my employer was loyal as you insist this never would have happened. They would have taken me at my word or at least listened to my evidence, not laughed and been dismissive just because a guy with broad shoulders cracked a joke. Right? That's not what loyalty is, surely. This was their fault.

Furthemore, your description of women as 'morons' is WAY off base. Women can be exceptionally smart, TRP only suggests they more likely than not, to be ruled by their emotions, and intuition. NI thing to do with intelligence.

My best friend at the company is a woman and she's brilliant. You clearly didn't read the part where I said she was the only one who saw through this fucker with me and had my back. I meant everyone who bought his bullshit was a moron. Men and women included.

and your attempt at 'indirect aggression' that you pride yoursulf on, has failed here sir

Check the scoreboard. I have a job, I just got a bonus, I got promoted a year ago, I'm the hero. He's unemployed and has kids and a dumb wife to feed.

Swanksterino • -4 points • 2 September, 2017 10:10 PM

Customers put the money you need on your check, furthermore you play no role in aquiring them, which is why you value their custom so little.

You generalized all the women (excluding your buddy) as morons.

You story boils down to "How I won against Red Pill, by not *being* Red Pill "

I agree you won, and perhaps justifiably, but that particular guy was just a good looking idiot, who displayed more indentifiable personality traits to the other males, and was more masculine and therefore attractive to women, than you. None of it TRP shit, and neither was your reaction, so you've bought nothing at all. Except your own BS

halfback910[S] • 5 points • 2 September, 2017 10:13 PM

What are you talking about? Even if I can't exemplify what it means to be the alpha or whatever, I can admit that the theory is correct. And that's what I agree with here: the theory.

Not everyone can be an alpha, right?

You generalized all the women (excluding your buddy) as morons.

I generalized all the people in my department, excluding my buddy, as morons. Some of them happened to be women.

furthermore you play no role in acquiring them, which is why you value their custom so little.

You can say this about anything. The salesmen don't manage the inventory, so they don't value how much effort and sweat I put into everything being perfect. If I do my job perfectly, nobody ever notices. Because nothing goes wrong. You never *notice* when things go according to plan.

Swanksterino • 0 points • 2 September, 2017 10:36 PM

K, I'm just reading your story a little differently. And took exception to the poor example of a Chad. Have a great life.

11-Eleven-11 • 2 points • 2 September, 2017 11:08 PM

I was going to say that also. Him attacking OP personally to gain the upper hand was a total beta move. OP doesn't know what an alpha is because he's too busy hanging around women and gay dudes. Not really his fault or a problem but still. He definitely has the wrong idea of what an alpha is.

DARPA-NATOR • -6 points • 3 September, 2017 06:37 AM

So, TRP is now a pro-homosexual sub-reddit?

0xdada • 2 points • 3 September, 2017 11:15 PM

Never thought it was anti-gay at all. I use words that SJWs would call hateful, but no serious person is offended by them. I mean, cocksuckers gonna cocksuck, male or female, it's the ones who don't own it who are bitches.

halfback910[S] • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 02:45 AM

I don't consider cocksucker an insult, either. I generally consider people who will suck my cock to be upstanding humans.

0xdada • 1 point • 4 September, 2017 01:54 PM

Was using it neutrally. To me, gay men are men first. It's just a question of interests. If top game were different from bottom game, guys on TRP could have something else to learn from gay dudes.

No doubt it would be uncomfortable and some guys would moralize on it, but the patterns are probably related.

DARPA-NATOR • -1 points • 4 September, 2017 05:12 AM

Allowing homosexuals in is like willingly drinking poison. They are broken sexual deviants. being an edgy little teenager and saying cocksuck over and over doesn't do anything for your position.

Here is a link with 175 pages of everything you NEED to know about homos.

<http://mpcdot.com/forums/topic/6651-the-institute-for-advanced-homophobia/>

bountyhunterdango • 1 point • 3 September, 2017 02:29 PM

What because gays are allowed to post? Is it meant to be straight only or something?



DARPA-NATOR • -1 points • 4 September, 2017 05:02 AM

Gays are mentally ill predators. This is a place for young men to get advice, friendly strings free advice, without fear of being groomed from a deviant homosexual.

Here is a redpill that you might not get here, since the management appears to be compromised by faggots, homosexuals are mentally ill hedonistic disease carrying troublemakers, and should never be trusted, befriended or accepted.

The only good faggot is the one staying in the closet with no chance to be in a position of power to molest, drug, rape or coerce a younger male.

Here is a link with 175 pages of everything you NEED to know about homos.

<http://mpcdot.com/forums/topic/6651-the-institute-for-advanced-homophobia/>

bountyhunterdjango • 1 point • 10 September, 2017 04:33 PM

Wow a forum! This seems like a great place to read anecdotal evidence explaining why my friends who happen to like men, are deplorable, satanic child molesters! Oh wait no, that's fucking retarded.

DARPA-NATOR • 1 point • 10 September, 2017 06:32 PM

Ahh, an agree and amplify. Don't ignore the hundreds of links to real scientific papers on homosexuals and their failings as humans. Never said anything about satanism, but you are broken people. Nothing but mentally ill and disease spreading hedonistic clowns with a future wearing diapers due to prolapsed anuses. It's not a lifestyle, it's a curse.

bountyhunterdjango • 0 points • 10 September, 2017 10:32 PM

Send me a scientific paper from a reputable source backing up their 'deviance' and 'mental illness'.

DARPA-NATOR • 1 point • 11 September, 2017 04:06 AM

Sure thing, right after you tell me what age you expect to wear a diaper? 26? 35? 53? (if you live that long and don't die from GRIDS)

<http://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-analsex-incontinence/anal-sex-linked-to-increase-d-risk-of-incontinence-idUSKCN0VD2RH>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9068471>

<http://www.topix.com/forum/city/duw-sc/TBUR5BAA07ITVBKD4/gay-feces-eating-leads-to-disease>

It's not a lifestyle, it's a mental illness. Eating feces and wearing diapers is not normal, no matter how many rainbows and quirky sitcom characters you try to spin it with.

You are mentally ill.

<http://behaviorismandmentalhealth.com/2011/10/08/homosexuality-the-mental-illness-that-went-away/>

bountyhunterdjango • 0 points • 11 September, 2017 10:12 AM

Okay, let's dissect your awful sources, shall we?

So the first is an article about anal sex leading to incontinence. Now my biggest problems with this source are the following: A) It doesn't prove your point. So anal sex leads statistically to more cases of people being incontinent. And? Why do you care?

Not only is this a small subset of people, it also doesn't affect anyone but them. Clearly if there was a fucking epidemic of incontinence we would know by now, fringe studies



showing a connection do nothing to de-legitimise homosexuals.

B) I fuck my girlfriend in the ass pretty regularly, so this isn't even a gay issue.

Now the next 'article' is a forum. It's complete rhetoric that poses the idea of 'gay faeces eating' when actually what is being discussed is the process of 'rimming'. I do this too. In fact I'd wager most straight guys that actually get a lot of pussy or have a girlfriend have tried it at least once. It also doesn't prove anything about gay people other than that eating ass is not the most healthy thing in the world.

Finally, your third source is the opposite of reputable. It is a fringe, reactionary perspective, and he has had zero experience writing for any peer-reviewed board that isn't his own independent website and a small magazine. Let me indulge you anyway though, so maybe you can understand what keeps the man's writing from being taken seriously in the scientific community.

He attempts to label the change in the APA in unlisting homosexuality as a mental illness as 'spin' and attacks the un-unanimous nature of the decision, despite the 55% majority. He also complains about the lack of evidence that it was not a mental illness despite the lack of any evidence it was in the first place. He also refuses to state that it actually is a mental illness, you might note, which still makes him less of an idiot than you.

But let's get down to the real point here! You clearly have a disdain for homosexuals. I don't know why. Maybe you were raped as a kid, or maybe you don't have a good reason and you like feeling like a contrarian or having an enemy to take out your anger on (over the internet of course, that's where people like you thrive).

Now since I've proved your sources simultaneously unrepeatable and ALSO not backing any of your original points, and those were the first, seemingly best ones you have to offer, it could be said that you are alone in your view in the intelligent community (the ones that back logical statements with sources and facts). You probably won't reply to this, because you seem to have a hard time debating and are also clearly very stupid (sorry, this isn't meant as an insult, I know many people just aren't fortunate enough to have been graced with a good education, and I don't blame you for this. But you are dumb, my sympathy won't change that).

The smartest thing an idiot of your nature could do is to listen to the millions of more intelligent people around you and realise that there is probably a reason they have a different viewpoint on this.