

Life or primitive Jane: the boys are hunting

May 17, 2018 | 691 upvotes | by [Popeman79](#)

Jane wakes up under the bear fur that she shares with two other females. It's 100,000 years ago, and it's freaking cold outside. The fire at the entrance of the cave has been reduced to a few glowing embers. She glances over at Bobnick, the only male who was left behind by the hunting party, and whose mission is to protect the females. His skinny ass is fast asleep near the almost extinguished fire, with a spear laying near him. Jane grumbles and walks towards the entrance, where she throws a couple of sticks on the fire. If the fire dies, it's a pain in the ass for everyone.

She then goes out of the cave and squats to relieve herself on the ground. She smells like grease, sweat and shit, but she's still one of the most attractive females of the tribe: her hips are wide, her breasts are heavy, she still has almost all her teeth, and there is meat on her bones. When she turns back she notices Bobnick, who woke up, staring at her naked ass. She smiles and winks seductively at him. She hates this useless fucker, but if the boys don't come back from the hunt he'll be the only male available to protect the tribe.

It's been four nights since the hunting party has left, and still no sign of them. The females are starting to become agitated, hungry, and above all, afraid. What if they don't come back? It will mean death for a big part of the tribe, unable to sustain itself. During the day they go to gather fruits and seeds in the nearby forest under the protection of Bobnick and his spear, then they stay in the cave, sowing or polishing stones. But there isn't much to do and mostly, they talk. If the hunting party doesn't come back, Bobnick becomes the de facto leader of the group. He was left behind because he's the weakest of the males, and usually the whole tribe makes fun of him, and nobody shows him much respect. But now, every female is eyeing him and smiling at him.

Jane is Chadrock's main female -or at least she competes for the top position. She knows how to gently lay on his lap and lick his penis when he's resting, she knows how to ride him to shivering orgasms, and she knows how to stroke the back of his head to make him fall asleep at night between her breasts. Chadrock also fucks other females, in particular Uma who is younger, and Jane always has to improve her game to keep him coming back to her. Chadrock is who all the females want: he's the best hunter, it seems like he leads the boys (although they always talk serious stuff away from the females), and he's fucking huge. Jane loves Chadrock.

But now it's getting late, and she's eyeing Bobnick. Uma is sitting near him and giggling and caressing his hair. Bobnick is visibly happy but can't believe his luck just yet and he's not doing anything. Maybe the boys will be back tomorrow? Jane can't take the risk, she can't let Uma have him. She has to preserve her place at the top of the female hierarchy. Jane walks to them and squats in front of Bobnick, opening her naked ass and winking at him, just like she did in the morning when she was taking a shit.

That's too much for poor Bobnick who throws Uma to the side and jumps on Jane, and immediately starts to fuck her furiously. She's not wet and it hurts, but the pain is nothing compared to the pleasure of seeing Uma's angry face in the corner of her eye.

Jane is moaning sensually to make this go faster, but then a shadow fills the cave and her moans change to screams, as she suddenly tries to push Bobnick away. A huge wooden mass comes slamming on the side of Bobnick's head, and his brain splatters on the cave wall while Jane escapes from under him. It's Chadrock. With one hand, he throws Bobnick's dead body to the side like a piece of wood. He and the other males behind him carry a lot of meat, they look exhausted. Jane starts to thank him profusely, saying that Bobnick forced himself on her, but Chadrock cuts her short with a heavy slap to the face. She

falls back on the ground, she can taste blood in her mouth. Chadrock then throws the meat to the side, and starts to fuck her. Chadrock is way bigger than Bobnick, but it doesn't hurt. She's instantly wet. She's relieved too, the anguish of the past days has vanished, they will survive. And he chose to fuck her first instead of Uma. Jane is happy.

Lessons learned

This has been going on for approximately 200,000 years. Modern society has only existed for a few centuries (less than 1% of that time). Guess how our genes are wired?

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

OMGtothemoon • 547 points • 17 May, 2018 01:46 PM

I have the weirdest boner right now.

J_The_Juiceman • 167 points • 17 May, 2018 03:06 PM

Same... Not my proudest fap

fromthecrypt8 • 58 points • 17 May, 2018 10:58 PM

Probably not your least proudest fap either, am I right?

J_The_Juiceman • 37 points • 18 May, 2018 12:17 AM

No... And definitely not my weirdest fap either... That'd probably be the time I fapped to literally nothing, as in, I came to the physical act, whilst maintaining a blank state of mind.

If you aren't a wizard by that point, you instantly become one.

SKRedPill • 7 points • 19 May, 2018 06:31 AM

Well, be grateful. It means you're not suffering from any kind of dysfunction.

FrankCostanza111 • 47 points • 17 May, 2018 06:36 PM

I know right. that was hot

terminalplatz • 43 points • 17 May, 2018 08:36 PM

The most primal boner I've ever had

The_Phoenecian • 10 points • 18 May, 2018 05:32 PM

Good to know I wasn't alone in that. This shit runs deep.

lorum_ipsum_dolor • 262 points • 17 May, 2018 01:20 PM

she still has almost all her teeth

Ironically, ancient humans had fewer problems with their teeth. Modern diets, especially cheap refined sugar have been a boon to the dental industry.

Nice essay. The more things change the more they stay the same.

Popeman79[S] • 77 points • 17 May, 2018 01:35 PM

Didn't know that about the teeth (admittedly I didn't do much research). Thanks

VickVaseline • 52 points • 17 May, 2018 03:28 PM

Also, the average life expectancy at the time was around 18. Teeth didn't generally have much time to rot.

I also wonder how much penis-licking was going on when everyone reeked of grease, sweat, and shit.

I loved this post, though.

Nocryingok • 41 points • 17 May, 2018 10:33 PM

Average life expectancy was around 18?

It's actually 30+ and if you reach more than 15 years of age, then it's 50+ (there's a very high mortality rate on childhood)

And neuroscience proves this. The human brain only finishes his development around 25 years of age, which would be stupid if individuals aren't expected to live at least double that. Be careful with spreading biased, "guessed" information.

Inaspe • 24 points • 17 May, 2018 10:58 PM

One study I read based on remaining hunter gatherer societies states that if they reached ~15 their average life span was 72 years.

Nocryingok • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 07:20 PM

Wow, that's pretty cool. Indeed I think that the hunter gatherer lifestyle is much less dangerous than people think, since they're used to the threats and know how to deal with them

XenoMall • 8 points • 18 May, 2018 11:42 PM

main danger is other humans.

GanksGriefersForFun • 2 points • 20 May, 2018 07:17 PM

PvP is always a main way of dying. Animals can't coordinate like humans do. Tribes of +30 people can at least improvise a trap here and there, or always have a main force for dealing with threats like bears.

uralian • 42 points • 17 May, 2018 11:53 PM

Why do people still think that life expectancy = the age you're going to live to? Infant mortality rate skews the numbers. Once you live past your wary years, you have a good chance of living until your immune system stops working (old age) or you get hit by a rock (bad luck)

Chaddeus_Rex • 77 points • 17 May, 2018 05:31 PM

Ancient societies were surprisingly clean - for they were pagans and had gods/spirts of water that would often bathe in the water. Moreover fertility was closely associated with water for harvests and plant growth heavily depended upon it as well as because many people would frolick (ie have sex) in rivers and lakes and on the banks of them. Ancient societies had entire rituals dedicated to cleanliness. It was with the coming of Christianity that the Church outlawed frequent bathing, especially amongst the clergy. There was only one day a year that the clergy would bathe, fearing that frequent bathing would wash the holiness of christ off of them. Similarly this was the case for peasants who listened to what the clergy said. Hence why the Black Death happened in the middle ages, at the height of the Churches power (not before when pagans bathed and fucked in bodies of water) and not after when the influence of the Church waned and many people started bathing again. Ironically though, it was the Church who tried to paint pagans as "dirty barbarians" when Pagans were cleaner than the Christians.

/Rant

Sonic324 • 45 points • 17 May, 2018 06:41 PM

We've had a lot of problems since a certain tribe introduced that certain abrahamic religion,

haven't we

Chaddeus_Rex • 35 points • 17 May, 2018 06:44 PM

Indeed. Before then humans where inches from industalizing in Rome. The pagan Romans even managed to build prototype steam boats for Emperor Nero. All knowledge that was lost with the coming of a certain abrhamic religion.

warburgio • 8 points • 17 May, 2018 07:38 PM

prototype steam boats for Emperor Nero

Rly?

TheRealJesusChristus • 13 points • 17 May, 2018 11:35 PM*

What I know is boats that had motorlike constructions on them. But they were powered by oxen which walked in a cirkle on the boat effectively making wind needless. And I know of steampowered selling machines and time measuring devices invented by greeks, romans and egyptians.

Edit: Source ox-drawn boat (only a picture I will look deeper):

https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/c/c0/De_Rebus_Bellicis,_XVth_Century_Miniature.JPG

Source with more indepth: https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roman_technology

1century AD in roman egypt invented or at least described steam machine:

<https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aeolipile>

As it looks like, steam machines werent used, they were more like a temple wonder... not sure about those selling machines, they, too, existed but apparently not steam powered.

(EDIT: deleted the „looking for a source“ thing)

aduar • 2 points • 20 May, 2018 11:01 PM

Hero of Alexandria was a Greek engineer

Chaddeus_Rex • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 08:01 PM

Yup. He wanted to use them to assiassinate his mother. I read about it in "Ghosts of Vesuvius" by Charles Pellegrino

COharbinger • 0 points • 17 May, 2018 07:43 PM

In my opinion forms of Christianity embody the pillars of red pill and the alpha male. It is based off of the family unit, community, male leadership, female submission. Yes it has its problems but I don't think it's that bad. What are your thoughts?

throw_away • 14 points • 18 May, 2018 01:26 AM

My thoughts are.. f--- religions, especially Abrahamic ones that introduced the whole concept of sin and sex being dirty and to be hidden!

Chaddeus_Rex • 21 points • 17 May, 2018 09:53 PM

It is based on the premise that a man should submit and turn the other cheek when

threatened. It is a morality of slaves, for instead of telling people to work on themselves and become superior, noble - it tells people that they are fine just the way they are as long as they believe in God and repent and that coveting more in this life (striving to be better) is "sinful" ("bad"). It tries to keep people in a slave state of mind. If you look at the predecessor to Catholicism - Orthodoxy, this is the case. Orthodox people refer to themselves as "the slaves of God". There is no strength and pride in being a slave.

Pagans were the opposite for they believed that the Gods want them to be strong and beautiful, to commit great deeds that will allow them to enter the afterworld were they will meet their illustrious ancestors who will stand in judgement of their life. Other pagans simply believed that the greatest way to glorify the Gods was to live a life of strength and virtue and their prayer was sacrifice and Great Deeds.

Do you see the difference?

NewHerePlsDontBully • 1 point • 22 May, 2018 07:01 PM

It is based on the premise that a man should submit and turn the other cheek when threatened

Thats not what turn the other cheek in the bible means. In those days, you used your left hand to wipe your ass and do other unclean stuff so, you would never hit someone with your left (regardless if you were a king to a peasant, it wasnt proper). So if you slapped someone it was with your right hand, and you backhanded them. So if the person then turns their other cheek, the only way they could be slapped would be with an open hand. Which would be challenging them to a duel, and you can only challenge someone of equal or greater standing than you.

So by turning the other cheek you're giving an ultimatum. "hit me again, but admit i'm your equal, or walk away and look like a bitch". Basically its a lose-lose for the other person.

I'm pretty sure this is where the term "being cheeky" comes from.

ArdyAy_DC • -1 points • 18 May, 2018 02:55 PM*

Wow. You know the "stories," but you entirely miss their points.

Chaddeus_Rex • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 03:58 PM

What are you even talking about

SKRedPill • 3 points • 18 May, 2018 02:00 PM

Religion might know a few red pill truths but it's solution is to turn into the ultimate betaizing machine ever invented by mankind.

VickVaseline • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 03:28 PM

There is some truth to that. Just read Ecclesiastes.

Johnny-Thunderfrost • 5 points • 18 May, 2018 02:44 AM

It's a shame the church did that. The Old Testament law was fanatical about cleanliness and was way ahead of it time in terms of rituals to prevent disease. They should've read their Bibles a bit more closely.

Chaddeus_Rex • 4 points • 18 May, 2018 03:43 AM

The Old Testament law was fanatical about cleanliness

Because in many ways it was still based on pagan beliefs who greatly valued cleanliness. As more people became Christian and Paganism became a distant memory, the Church realized it did not need to hold onto these 'heretical' pagan traditions.

XenoMall • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 11:47 PM

yeah, Christianity is an Axial Age religion, sort of, which places an emphasis on somewhat mortifying asceticism. it had certain benefits, plausibly, increasing goodness in the world; outlawing human sacrifice, infanticide, and largely slavery.

Chaddeus_Rex • 1 point • 19 May, 2018 04:09 AM

. it had certain benefits, plausibly, increasing goodness in the world; outlawing human sacrifice, infanticide, and largely slavery.

Define "goodness"? I'd argue that Pagan religions increased the "goodness" of the world, for the required each man and woman and child take responsibility for their own life, work to become stronger and more beautiful, worship the Gods through great deeds and live in such a way that their ancestors would not be ashamed of them when they stand before them in judgement in the afterlife. Paganism promoted nobility and strength. Christianity did the opposite, promoted weakness and told people they were good just the way they are and striving for more is 'sinful' and that they just need to 'have faith' in the Lord and if they repent they will be forgiven. But if they work to become better, they are 'faithless' and 'greedy' and will be punished and no heaven for them (heaven sounds boring as hell tbh).

XenoMall • 1 point • 19 May, 2018 02:04 PM

i just recommend reading the classics. St. Aquinas and St. Augustine are some of the most influential thinkers in Western history.

Chaddeus_Rex • 1 point • 19 May, 2018 03:53 PM

Less so than the Greek thinkers who came before.

EternalPropagation • 1 point • 19 May, 2018 07:32 AM

If that is true then why did the black death affect non-christian areas like china?

Adam1394 • 1 point • 19 May, 2018 08:16 AM

Explain Poland then, Black-Death-free island on the Black Death ocean, 100% pure Catholic "Antemurale Christianitatis"

Chaddeus_Rex • 1 point • 19 May, 2018 04:02 PM

Because of the Mongols which accidentally brought it from Europe and higher population densities in China allowed it to spread fast.

EternalPropagation • 1 point • 19 May, 2018 05:13 PM

the bubonic plague traveled *too* europe, from places that weren't christian. your theory

sucks.

Chaddeus_Rex • 0 points • 19 May, 2018 09:03 PM

I never said it started in europe, dumbass. It spread so fast and affected so many people in europe because of christianity and its shit views on washing. Thats why the jews were persecuted after the plague because people thought the jews poisoned their water as very few of them were affected by the plague due to their hygenic practices.

JHNewman • 0 points • 19 May, 2018 05:33 AM*

The black death did not happen because Europeans didn't bathe. Try again.

Congrats on starting a karma-farming "I learned why Christianity was false and stoopid on the internet" circle-jerk mini-thread though.

comcain • 1 point • 19 May, 2018 11:59 AM

The Black Death was spread by the fleas that existed on rats.

Chaddeus_Rex • 0 points • 19 May, 2018 04:04 PM

The black death did not happen because Europeans didn't bathe

People got infected via flea bites. And fleas are present only on unwashed human bodies.

Moreover, at that time the church believed that any washing was sinful and encouraged people to only wash their hands and face.

Try again.

JHNewman • 1 point • 20 May, 2018 05:20 AM

Ah of course. I had thought that the Black Death spread throughout all of Eurasia. My mistake - it was actually localized to primitive Christian Europe.

Also, if you actually care about the truth, your portrayal of how the Church treated bathing is completely inaccurate. A simple google search of a few webpages on the topic would do it. Or even just glancing at the medieval Europe section on the bathing wiki page.

"The church believed that any washing was sinful" - lmao. I think you should be a little more careful when you throw stones at medieval peasants for their ignorance. They at least couldn't have really known what you're blaming them for not knowing.

Chaddeus_Rex • -1 points • 20 May, 2018 05:26 AM*

Ah of course. I had thought that the Black Death spread throughout all of Eurasia. My mistake - it was actually localized to primitive Christian Europe.

It did but for different reasons. In Europe it spread due to poor hygiene, not so in other areas.

Also, if you actually care about the truth, your portrayal of how the Church treated bathing is completely inaccurate.

You are correct. The early middle ages where characterized by similar attitudes to bathing as the Romans had with public bathhouses being sanctioned and operated. However, as Paganism died out throughout Europe and there was no religious

'alternative' competing with Christianity, the Church's standards got more and more rigid to becoming very rigid by the time the Black Plague hit.

A simple google search would tell you that.

"The church believed that any washing was sinful" - lmao. I think you should be a little more careful when you throw stones at medieval peasants for their ignorance. They at least couldn't have really known what you're blaming them for not knowing.

I'm throwing stones at the Church for telling its constituents lies.

francisco_DANKonia • 9 points • 17 May, 2018 03:33 PM

Most people lived near water, and I imagine they bathed in it from time to time. Not as often as today, but I don't think they were horribly nasty most of the time.

randarrow • 14 points • 17 May, 2018 10:04 PM

Lived near and in water so long we lost our fur, developed control of our vocal chords, grew hymens, became boyant, developed a better layer of fat... only other animals which developed like this are other water mammals like whales, dolphins, hippos....

The missing link was some sort of water ape. Part of the reason we don't have it in fossil record is probably that it broke down in the water before it could be fossilized. We also don't know where this happened so we can't look, might have been only over a few thousand years, and that area might be submerged.

francisco_DANKonia • 4 points • 17 May, 2018 10:29 PM

That actually sounds like a really good hypothesis

sky_fallen • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 04:47 PM

C'mon they weren't chimps. They were like us.

SKRedPill • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 04:47 PM

Where they really got nasty was in the Medieval period, because Christianity declared that exposing the body was sinful even to oneself.

SnatchWhistle • 5 points • 17 May, 2018 08:01 PM

Key word average. This average includes infant deaths.

Lucid_Witness • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 10:11 PM

If it was normal to reek of such substances, was it as disgusting as it would be with nowadays' hygienic standards?

LuvBeer • 6 points • 17 May, 2018 11:20 PM

Just go to a natural history museum. You'll see a lot of thousand-year-old jaw bones with the remaining teeth undecayed.

Mr_Zarika • 10 points • 17 May, 2018 06:41 PM

An example in more recorded history is the Aborigines in Aus.

<https://imgur.com/a/tovcvrX>

They had wide dental arches and all their teeth, before they started getting ahold of European foods.

DONTuseGoogle • 11 points • 17 May, 2018 10:00 PM

Sugar is poison. Don't eat that shit. If you need desert, eat something high in fat, and cut all the bullshit hydrogenated oils

joe__04 • 1 points • 18 May, 2018 04:19 PM [recovered]

Yet claim this has been going on for 200,000 years.

There's a lot of good, meaningful, and true shit on TRP. But y'all are ultimate "betas" for just blindly believing idiots like this guys. Seriously.

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 04:24 PM

I did some research, just not exhaustive to include dental health. And 200,000 years is actually a very reasonable -and unattackable figure. As over have mentioned, it lasted for much more than that.

joe__04 • 1 points • 18 May, 2018 04:33 PM [recovered]

Nice downvote. And I seriously hope you didn't because you answered a lot of open anthropological questions. I guess it's pretty RedPilled to just replace all the bluepill bullshit in society with equally false or unproven bullshit that's just the opposite of the bluepill bullshit. This subreddit is slow moving enough that shitposts like this one are poisoning it.

Rian_Stone • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 04:58 PM

Slow down rando. If you have better information, lead with that. If you just want to beat your chest, you can get the privilege the same was popeman did, by being more valuable to the readership. your pedantic missing of the post of the posts theme is annoying enough

joe__04 • 1 points • 18 May, 2018 05:11 PM [recovered]

your pedantic missing of the post of the posts theme is annoying enough

What's that then, exactly?

Slow down rando. If you have better information, lead with that.

Think you missed what I was getting at, which is threads like this are common here and the readership's willingness to accept them, despite not being sourced (and in some cases being blatantly false), is problematic. I suggested posts like this one get accepted here because they're a certain kind of bullshit that runs counter to the same bullshit we all grew up hearing, but believing it for that sole reason, which seems to be the case because OP didn't actually try to convince readers that what he was saying is true, is problematic for the readership. I'm striking on a bigger issue than this one post, which is an example of something endemic to all TRP.

Rian_Stone • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 05:16 PM

Know how /u/jamesskepp got flaired? By hammering on an EC's posts who were constantly wrong. He never acted a petulant child, he just kept at the message, correcting bad information, and providing good information. We eventually all saw the

point, and the old flaired guy kept on with his nonsense. Now we have a good poster, and sussed out bad information. It's how this place fucking works.

I'm striking on a bigger issue than this one post, which is an example of something endemic to all TRP.

Yes, that creating value is hard, and it's easier to critique than create. You seem to think you're part of the solution, you aren't. As for the post itself, no one took it as literal, it was colourful language to make a point. The fact you're treating it like a fundamentalist interpretation says more about your literacy, than it does of OP's writing.

Now don't bother responding, I don't care about whatever carefully crafted narrative you have that makes you the good guy, fighting the bad evil 'fake news'. This wasn't a discussion, this is a call to action, or a first class ticket to the ban bus.

Just pick one, I'm fine either way

joe__04 • 1 points • 18 May, 2018 05:44 PM [recovered]

Yes, that creating value is hard, and it's easier to critique than create. You seem to think you're part of the solution, you aren't.

Yes, arriving at there's even a problem in the first place is the first step in creating a solution.

As for the post itself, no one took it as literal, it was colourful language to make a point. The fact you're treating it like a fundamentalist interpretation says more about your literacy, than it does of OP's writing.

What would literal even mean in this context? You got one goofy brain, mate. Nor am I sure what kind of fundamentalism you're talking about.

This wasn't a discussion, this is a call to action, or a first class ticket to the ban bus.

Lol. Go ahead. Exercise what little power you've mustered to ban people pointing out bad posts rather trying to make the community more effective at its stated goals. I guess your version of TRP is a bunch of losers listening to you and other big, tough internet alphas that read "theory" six months prior, squelching anyone that *dare* question the ideas and arguments being presented for being so sloppily produced. But I don't want to be surrounded by a bunch of brainlets incapable of forming an argument to defend their ideas and circle jerking about how alpha they are. Fade me, fam.

And don't forget to remove this series of posts so no other young soon-to-be alpha (after he starts lifting, because *seriously lift*, and reads "theory") gets any second ideas about what the masters like you and OP are teaching. ahahah

Rian_Stone • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 06:02 PM

Yes, arriving at there's even a problem in the first place is the first step in creating a solution.

First step, not a landing pad. You see something wrong, big whoop, what you

gonna do about it? By focusing on it like this, it's no different than a new guys anger phase. Move on, sooner rather than later. The fact you've been noting it previously (your words) means you already should be past this. Now, I don't have ban powers, go and re read your ranting mess above. It reads like a crybabies rantings. If all it took to bring out your tears was the suggestion that you do a little work to make your contribution, then you were never meant for it anyways.

You keep telling me how self important you are. I don't see it, and I prefer if crap like this stays up for all. Tons of guys in my sub go back to them a year later and laugh about how much of a faggot they used to be. No reason that you don't get the same courtesy

m9299 • 10 points • 17 May, 2018 11:45 PM

No teeth = best blow job... ask my Nan..lol

MasculineDevelopment • 6 points • 17 May, 2018 08:21 PM

This. Look into Dr. Mike Mew, great red pill advice on growing a stronger jawline.

Adeus_Ayrton • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 10:23 PM

That's a pro, but not entirely true, since there's a catch: Because there were lots of small stones, bones and other stuff in what they ate, their teeth were way worse.

bipedalsentient • 1 point • 19 May, 2018 11:32 PM

I think that would only improve tongue posture and eating technique and would probably led to developing great internal oral cavity awareness. Nobody eats ravenously when they suspect stones in their food. Same for animals, great teeth and strong jaw/tongue

ScrewChaseBank • 1 point • 20 May, 2018 07:40 AM

Fuck you, you forum sliding piece of shit shill.

DeeplyDisturbed1 • 1 point • 22 May, 2018 01:47 AM

<http://quotesnhumor.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/25-Hilarious-Dank-Memes-1-Hilarious-Memes.jpg>

max_peenor • 221 points • 17 May, 2018 03:30 PM

Did Bobnick even lift?

Atheist_Utopia • 45 points • 19 May, 2018 10:18 AM

Poor soul should've read the sidebar.

MarcosDomingues • 135 points • 17 May, 2018 06:43 PM

Actually you're wrong. There were no differences between sexes, until a powerful male patriarchy arose to oppress wymyn.

Also all cavemen were vegan and did crossfit.

[deleted] • 17 points • 17 May, 2018 08:15 PM

Lol yep. Even though we see that among our most closely related cousin, chimpanzees, males are completely dominant over females and are the only ones who engage in hunting and warfare, we must assume that humans at some point lost these sex differences and they were only reinstated at the dawn of evil, patriarchal western civilization.

Also it's only a coincidence that all the advanced civilizations we see anywhere on the planet are patriarchies. Yea. Just ignore that fact.

MarcosDomingues • 20 points • 17 May, 2018 08:35 PM

Nah, male chimps were just conditioned by the evil oppressor patriarchy because of a hollywood rape culture that taught them to act tough and rape femal chimps, right?

Frritz--Th3--Cat • 0 points • 18 May, 2018 01:24 PM

Early Men and Women were equal. Men and Women hunter gatherers hunted together and raised children together. These were not split by gender. Also they formed monogamous relationships. These were the main traits that separated us from Chimps.

<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2015/may/14/early-men-women-equal-scientists>

arthurabyssal • 4 points • 18 May, 2018 01:42 PM

The Guardian is a baised source and skews evidence to suit their agenda.

Frritz--Th3--Cat • -1 points • 18 May, 2018 01:50 PM

Here is the study referenced in a science journal. The consensus is that when you look at our social behavior and other hunter gatherer groups than it makes more sense that ancient hunter gatherers were egalitarian.

<http://www.sciencemag.org/news/2015/05/did-sexual-equality-fuel-evolution-human-cooperation>

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 May, 2018 10:09 PM

Men are stronger than women this is a fact. Men did most of the warfare and hunting, women performed other tasks. Some women might have been badass enough to hang with the boys while out hunting and fighting other men, but we are not biologically different compared to early humans from 200,000 BC, so most women would not have been capable of hunting/fighting/carrying at the same level men could, no different than today. Weakness is a liability when you are 25 miles from your camp and your large male hunting partner breaks his leg fighting a sabretooth cat, and your tiny female body can't drag him back home so he dies and you are left all alone and unable to carry your kill back to your tribe. A man would have been able to save his partner from death and return to his tribe with the life-saving meal. Do you think ancient humans didn't realize that? They weren't stupid, they had the same size brains we do today.

Frritz--Th3--Cat • 1 point • 22 May, 2018 05:45 PM

Women can gain muscle as a man can. i've seen it with my own eyes. My great grandmother and great grand father were german farmers. My great grandmother was built and a very tough person. She did the same amount of labor as her husband. If i find the picture Ill post it. Go outside, you will see women who have built their body. If you are worried about survival you gain the skills necessary. No one was like. NO, the woman stays the man goes. It was all hands on deck. Women had to be fierce because they had a baby tied to them. Sometimes it was best when the baby was tied to the father. Sometimes

the mother. It was all about survival not your over developed unrealistic view on gender roles. Your ideas are antiquated but not that antiquated.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 May, 2018 02:42 AM

False. Male and female hunter gatherers were not "equal." Men have always been the ones that engaged in hunting and warfare. Women have always done the preponderance of child rearing and gathering.

Why are male and female bodies vastly different size and strength if they are evolved for exactly the exact same tasks? Why do men have 3 times the upper body strength?

Frritz--Th3--Cat • 1 points • 22 May, 2018 05:27 PM **[recovered]**

There are plenty of instances where women manage hard labor and have developed muscles because of it. There are plenty of men who can be caregivers. Believe it or not human beings are an intelligent and flexible species.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 May, 2018 03:33 PM

Typical moronic lefty response. Pretend not to understand that we are talking about population averages or argue that these averages have no meaning. Despite the fact that 99% of women are weaker than the average male, you refuse to believe that we are evolved for different roles. I mean honestly, how brainwashed do you have to be to accept that line of reasoning?

I feel that you must certainly be a troll.

Popeman79[S] • 7 points • 17 May, 2018 07:03 PM

Haha fuck you're absolutely right. Thanks.

You and imnothingness prompted me to write the female version below his comment..

ButteredPastry • 153 points • 17 May, 2018 03:02 PM

"When you get right down to it, human beings are nothing more than ordinary jungle beasts. Savages. No different from the Cro Magnon people who lived twenty five thousand years ago. No different. Our DNA hasn't changed substantially in a hundred thousand years. We're still operating out of the lower brain. The reptilian brain. Fight or flight. Kill or be killed. We like to think we've evolved and advanced because we can build a computer, fly an airplane, travel underwater, we can write a sonnet, paint a painting, compose an opera. But you know something? We're barely out of the jungle on this planet. Barely out of the fucking jungle. What we are, is semi-civilized beasts, with baseball caps and automatic weapons." - George Carlin

Anyone in this thread who spergs out about this post being some sort of fantasy or satire isn't getting the point of this post.

Chaddeus_Rex • 67 points • 17 May, 2018 05:46 PM

No different from the Cro Magnon

Absolutely right. We literally *are* Cro Magnons and they are us. We have not changed from them at all except by replacing our spears with guns and our furs with nylon, polyester and cotton.

Want to see true human nature, unrestrained by the thin veneer of society and arbitrary "social rules"? Go observe human behavior in warzone or disaster zones. Watch people fight each other likes beasts over scraps

of food, women offer themselves for food/clothing/shelter/to not get killed, watch people kill for the sheer joy of it or if taken by bloodlust. People are beasts, the most dangerous and complete beasts of them all - whereas a beast of the forest is directed by his instinct, humans are the only beast capable of being cruel, of killing and maiming for joy.

Look at what happened in the Yugoslavian war as an example where seemingly "proper", "law abiding" citizens, people who you'd never guess, who look similar to your neighbors - become beasts of kmmense savagery

Mercy only lasts as long as the belly is full and the throat not parched.

dotwav2mpfree • 8 points • 17 May, 2018 07:20 PM

You need to read some more Aristotle. Speaking of humanity as rational animals has some strong arguments in its favor, but it is certainly not an irrefutable truth.

Chaddeus_Rex • 15 points • 17 May, 2018 07:26 PM

I never spoke of them as such in my post. I said that humans live behind a veneer hiding their true natures that are reveled in times of crises when social restraints break down.

dotwav2mpfree • -3 points • 17 May, 2018 07:39 PM

Ah, an argument against humanitarianism then?

[deleted] • 3 points • 20 May, 2018 05:09 AM

"So you're saying people should just kill and eat each other?" - Cathy Newman, probably.

chrisname • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 10:22 PM

I'm with you but we're not the only animals who kill for pleasure. Dolphins rape and murder porpoises. Even cats will hunt and kill mice, then go and eat from the food bowl.

Chaddeus_Rex • 2 points • 19 May, 2018 04:13 AM

Ah but cats don't make a sport out of it and they surely don't hunt their own kind. The systematic hunting of their own kind is what seperates Man from beast.

There is no hunting like the hunting of man, and those who have hunted armed men long enough and liked it, never care for anything else thereafter.

Ernest Hemingway

comcain • 1 point • 19 May, 2018 12:11 PM

Cats dont make a sport out of hunting mice? They play with them before killing them...

Chaddeus_Rex • 2 points • 19 May, 2018 03:54 PM

No. Its not a systemic thing like with humans.

[deleted] • 5 points • 17 May, 2018 08:11 PM

From what I've been looking at in college this is now disputable. It turns out that the selection pressure of culture works much faster than natural selection and this means that the minimum time for a significant change has moved from tens of thousands of years to just over 800 years in the most severe cases.

It's related to *The Baldwin effect* and we learned about it in the context of Jonathan Haidt's book *The*

Righteous Mind.

Rabbit-Punch • 1 point • 17 May, 2018 08:04 PM

so deep. comedians are so smart

Chainsawninja • 0 points • 18 May, 2018 05:57 AM

Evolution occurs as long as there is mutation and selective pressure. 25,000 years is more than enough time for significant genetic change. Ask any geneticist, ethnologist, anthropologist, or evolutionary biologist. If you want to see for yourself, compare a third world country to a first world one.

88Will88 • 1 points • 17 May, 2018 11:29 PM **[recovered]**

I posted this one about 200 days ago. This is what happened in a neighbouring hominid village. We can call this thread "Caveman cuck porn".

This story is based upon my formal armchair qualifications of TRP wisdom, broscience and speculation. A long time ago, before civilisation, many different species of hominids lived a natural existence. This existence was founded upon millions of years of evolution, organisms which had to behave in a way which maximised their chances to survive and reproduce. Hypergamy is a natural feature of evolution. Wimmin choose guys who are strong and therefore able to protect them, give them giny tingles and produce healthy, strong offspring. This is perfectly natural and accepted by all red pill professors.

So once upon a time there was a strong caveman called Ug. Ug lived in a tribe with many other cromagnons. Ug was a good hunter, he could beat up other cromagnon males in fist fights and wrestling. The wimmin in Ug's tribe liked Ug a lot and he had laid with most of those he found attractive. Ug developed feelings for the prettiest female in his tribe, Mimi. Mimi had bigger mammary glands than the other females and an aesthetically pleasing symmetrical face. Soon Ug and Mimi were laying together exclusively. Ug still loved to hunt so he would often go away for days or even weeks with his cromagnon bros. Mimi did not like this but she knew that Ug was better than the other males in the tribe so she just accepted it.

One time Ug went hunting for much longer than usual. He and his bros ventured deep into a new hunting ground with plentiful game. Ug and his bros decided to make camp here for an extra couple of weeks before returning. The fact that Ug was away for so long made Mimi very angry. She decided that she wanted to get back at Ug so she began laying with another hunter named Og. Og was skinnier than Ug but he had built a great hut and had plenty of supplies to take care of Mimi. She kept it secret though, only fucking Og away from the tribe. The others in the tribe were not stupid so they suspected something was going on between Mimi and Og.

A few days later Ug returned from the hunt. Ug was quick to hear the rumours about Mimi and Og. Ug confronted Mimi who quickly broke into tears and explained that nothing had happened and they were just friends, and she only needed Og's emotional support because Ug was ignoring her. Upon further questioning, and faced with Ug's murderous rage Mimi explained that something had happened. Mimi told Ug that she had only sucked Og's manhood, not let him enter her secret valley, because that was only for Ug, anyway it was not her fault because Og had forced her to do it.

Ug decided that he could not be disrespected like that, and besides that his genetic instincts wanted him to spend his time and resources raising his own offspring, not the offspring of another man. He picked up his hunting spear and in his rage he found Og and killed him. He went back to Mimi who thanked him for defending her and punishing Og who had definitely forced her. A month later Mimi's stomach began to swell and she was with child. After the child was born it looked a bit different to Ug, but Mimi explained that of course it was Ug's baby as Og had only forced her to suck his manhood, and he had never entered her secret valley. Ug was vaguely uncomfortable with this but lacking a modern DNA kit he was forced to accept Mimi at her word.

So there we have it. In this little piece of doggerel we have the origins of hypergamy, AF:BB, branch swinging, fake rape allegations, trickle truth, gaslighting, she's not yours it is just your turn, cucking, and misattributed paternity. To be fair we cannot even blame Mimi, she just did what female hominids do. She went for the best guy, upon feeling lonely or insecure she branch swung to another guy. Faced with imminent death her only option is to lie and manipulate, she cannot possibly win a physical confrontation. Gaslighting and trickle truth are her ONLY options for survival. Having to raise Ug's baby alone is difficult, much better to cuck Ug and get him to help. If Ug wises up or moves on she will find a lesser male to help her. So there we have it in a nutshell, the origins of all known TRP female behaviours, explained with history, genetics, psychology and science and stuff.

ex_addict_bro • 7 points • 18 May, 2018 06:13 PM

MATE!

That was the first post I thought about after reading this.

I remember it wasn't upvoted or popular, but the truth is, it was THE shit.

I think it could be long before you've got flaired here.

Good job on that one.

There was that one more comment (or post) about that caveman who masturbated to stone porn, remember that?

88Will88 • 1 points • 18 May, 2018 11:51 PM **[recovered]**

The quality of my posts is inversely proportionate to the number of upvotes I get. My best post was "The Penis is a cruel master". That is filled with chunks of yet to be discovered gold.

ex_addict_bro • 3 points • 19 May, 2018 04:10 AM

Fuck them, nobody understood it. Should have started with "trp conformed - straight from the horses mouth - what my sister and mother told me " next time

farendsofcontrast • 0 points • 23 May, 2018 04:27 AM

I'm just wondering why don't we have a system like lions do where the new males that take over the pride kill the offspring of the former Male. Lions have some kind of system that lets them identify their own DNA.

SKRedPill • 36 points • 17 May, 2018 12:34 PM

And before that it went on for at least 500 million years through the animal and reptilian kingdom...

anish610 • 26 points • 17 May, 2018 04:55 PM

Where to find more cave men literature?

Popeman79[S] • 52 points • 17 May, 2018 04:58 PM

I have no idea, but if this is well received, I'll write another one like that. I think it's interesting to see how our behaviours are rooted in more primal instincts. Once I started to see women in this light, it became all easier to understand.

Glad you appreciated it.

anish610 • 7 points • 17 May, 2018 05:06 PM

Exactly! Also it gives a well justification for men to not be ashamed of their sexuality. And reminds men

to not forget that they are descended of those alpha ancestors!

puredemo • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 02:02 AM

except we're not allowed to bash some brains in because of the "justice system" -- so everything's fucked.

Chaddeus_Rex • 6 points • 17 May, 2018 05:49 PM

Read "Before Adam" by Jack London. Its very similar to the post - in fact while reading the post I felt a deja vu. That book redpilled me at an early age, or at least planted the seeds.

[deleted] • 12 points • 17 May, 2018 08:08 PM

Good post. This is certainly one possible realistic scenario about our pre-modern mating patterns. I'm personally inclined to believe it was some combination of this scenario and a "flexible" monogamy. There is certainly an undeniable human desire to pair bond. We know that the brain releases certain hormones that encourage pair bonding after sex.

Likely we were capable of either depending on the situation and individual circumstances.

Popeman79[S] • 11 points • 17 May, 2018 08:23 PM

Pair bonding is one of the big unexplored questions of TRP in my opinion. There is something more to it than just sheer horniness. Male and female polarities are meant to be associated, it's just not working right now.

SKRedPill • 4 points • 18 May, 2018 06:38 AM*

If nature's end goal is survival, genes and children - then all 3 sexual strategies (Polygamy, Hypergamy, and Exclusivity) make sense - but it's not possible to satisfy all of them at once.

Pair bonding makes sense actually from a survival perspective. It's just that it can easily turn into one-itis for an amateur who hasn't been toughened enough. Pair bonding need not be monogamous and it exists even in polygamous tribes. Men can love multiple women. Women however only entertain multiple male fantasies when they want alpha seed, her needs of pregnancy and child care dictate the necessity to pair bond for her survival, while hypergamy means she usually needs to lose attraction for one male before falling for another. Competition between other men and other women usually dictate the need for strong pair bonding.

Many aspects of modern sexual culture are not natural - they're aided by technology like the pills, condoms and modern social law systems, which means sex can be made consequence free and commitment is state supported.

Self-honest • 4 points • 18 May, 2018 01:34 AM

I believe like 4 out of 60,000 primate species are "monogamous". Humans being 1 of the 4, and we all know how debatable that is.

I think the nature of life is impermanence. So the more lifespan increases, the less advantageous monogamy becomes. I know you said pair bonding, just throwing that into the discussion.

[deleted] • 4 points • 17 May, 2018 09:58 PM

So....when I started delving into the manosphere, it was TRP and Blackdragon, for the most part. I think that Blackdragon's system of FB/MLTR/OLTR makes good sense. There's no reason you can't have a girl you genuinely care for, root for, cheer on, and fuck a different girl the next day. I have two girls right

now, and I know for sure for one of them I'm one of her biggest sources for game planning and advice. I think it's possible to have relatively deep yet multiple relationships. At the end of the day, it's women who tend to end relationships, and our skills at picking up women erode while in LTR's, which is why I have the stock phrase (I think this is mine anyway) "Spinning plates is a rational response to hypergamy."

JamesSkepp • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 08:14 AM

Male and female polarities are meant to be associated

No, they are not. It's not like math or physics, these are not 2 equal but opposite forces. Treat it more like a geopolitical game during Cold War. One side does something new, the other has to respond, adapt etc. We're almost certainly working on feedback, post factum adaptation to the changes each gender makes in it's overall sexual strategy.

it's just not working right now.

I have 2 opinions about that, somewhat contradictory.

Human intelligence allows us to drive the changes of social structure and social rules much faster than the evolution. Evolution is roughly 10-15k years behind that, and most likely, it will lag even more. Thus, it will never work again, not like it did before.

Another take on this is that women, through emancipation and feminism (which is almost without a doubt a manifestation of female sexual strategy), liberated themselves from the traditional submissive role tradcon men wanted them to play. This means that we're going back to the proverbial "caveman" times where women selected the man purely by his sexual alpha traits (and did not conform any form of male invented morality or modern, post "caveman" social structure and order aka. civilisation) and were not obligated to remain with him when he lost that traits (regardless of why he lost them) or when another, better alpha appeared.

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 01:31 PM

Human intelligence allows us to drive the changes of social structure and social rules much faster than the evolution. Evolution is roughly 10-15k years behind that

That's very interesting. We're widening the gap between our knowledge and our genes/instincts.

JamesSkepp • 0 points • 18 May, 2018 07:58 AM

<http://archive.is/WiAGs>

And unrelated quotes:

as far I as I know anthropologists say that humans before recorded history were serial monogamists. Some (a small number) say we were polygamists or had much more open m-f relationships and that was considered normal back then.

From the skimming through the Wikipedia and some studies, the pre-recorded history m-f relations were based mostly on gender-based roles in mostly-egalitarian tribes and sex was a mix of alpha pyramid, polyamory and serial monogamy.

We know that the brain releases certain hormones that encourage pair bonding after sex.

I've not explored the subject in any reasonable depth depth, but from what I could gather so far, the "same

after sex hormones" released too much times reduce out ability to pairbond (it's even possible it doesn't matter if we had sex 10 times with one girl or 1 time with 10 girls, although it's a bit sketchy for me here). It even makes some sense on evolutionary level (and adds up a bit context to the above link and quotes), b/c lack of pairbonding leads us to seek new mates and thus increases the number of children and diversifies the genetic code.

saltyafrican • 1 points • 17 May, 2018 01:28 PM [recovered]

Sounds more like your sexual alpha fantasy than a lesson.

GayLubeOil • 115 points • 17 May, 2018 02:39 PM

The whole Red Pill Subreddit is a sexual Alpha fantasy. I don't know if you noticed yet....

Sexual Alpha Fantasy is an excellent path out of Nihilist Hedonist Why Both Hentai Trap Porn degeneracy that our user base has found themselves in.

roedit • 1 points • 17 May, 2018 03:09 PM [recovered]

Think we're gonna need a good path out of Nihilist Hedonist Sexual Alpha self-improvement pretty soon.

GayLubeOil • 9 points • 17 May, 2018 03:20 PM

There's always Post Nihilism: being for the sake of being. It has a way of pissing off Gen Xers though and usually gets you compared to Australian painters.

max_peenor • 7 points • 17 May, 2018 03:39 PM

That's pretty rich. A millennial lecturing gen x on being worthless.

GayLubeOil • 29 points • 17 May, 2018 04:00 PM

Gen X successfully pissed off point proven.

max_peenor • 8 points • 17 May, 2018 04:09 PM

It's not like I care about the decline, as it's been a long time coming, I just hope I die before I end up being this guy.

GayLubeOil • 6 points • 17 May, 2018 06:15 PM

Sounds like a case of Reflexive Impotence. The future is whatever you want it to be the only caveat is that you must first reach out and take it from those who don't deserve the future.

max_peenor • 1 point • 17 May, 2018 08:40 PM

The millennials should be terrified of the generation below them. They are actively conspiring against them. The former doesn't want to let go other delusion. The latter doesn't give a fuck what they want.

There are going to be some very shiny boots in the future.

Meanwhile I got mine. I'll retire to my bagger compound in the middle of nowhere with my guns and dogs. Of course, nubile young blondes are welcome to join, though there is an initiation into this club.

Senor_Schwifty • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 01:51 AM

And the generation below the millennials should be scared of the generation below them and there's nothing any of us can do... Reflexive Impotence indeed.

JamesSkepp • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 07:35 AM

Nubile young blondes of high quality will not want to retire until they are not "Nubile young blondes" anymore.

JamesSkepp • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 07:33 AM

What really declined anyway?

max_peenor • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 02:36 PM

Declining.

saltyafrican • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 02:46 PM

Thank you for the insight

uwey • -1 points • 17 May, 2018 04:43 PM

Purpose of life isn't that simple.

Only salvation for it is the sense of continuity, sometimes that will only improve and continue exist for the sake of knowledge and prosperity of mankind.

Philosophy is our dues ex mechina.

We live different world but the rule and the play book are the same. You are in office cube equivalent to ancient court, you are but a courtier fighting for favor, and you either fall or rise.

Popeman79[S] • 36 points • 17 May, 2018 02:43 PM

This story is meant to show how the female mind works, and how all these traits that we criticize (hypergamy, use of sexuality as a weapon, lack of accountability, ever-changing mind and feelings based on circumstances) all have a very reasonable and grounded motive from an evolutionary perspective.

SendThotsAndPrayers • 9 points • 17 May, 2018 02:45 PM

How do you describe a mindset to a person who doesn't understand the opposite sex in the first place?

This is the real challenge right here, you've done your part - quite impressively I might add, but if the reader does not understand how females think, then he will see this as sexual fiction.

Spergs are inflexible. Everything that is outside of their little worldview is fiction.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 May, 2018 05:24 AM

How indeed. I assume you've heard the expression: "The only winning move is not to play." Another relevant one is: "Do not cast your pearls before swine."

Evolution cuts out those who are not fit to survive. That includes the males with inflexible worldviews who are "unable to understand the opposite sex in the first place." They will likely fail to breed much and therefore by being that way they have qualified for living Darwin Awards.

Those who are capable of becoming illuminated will read the sexual fiction and start to wonder if there is a seed of truth in it. The rest will be lost. It's just nature.

GayLubeOil • 11 points • 17 May, 2018 04:11 PM

Yay story time for Robo Spergs who only come here to follow direct instruction!

What set of instructions do I need to *follow* as the spergs to become a *leader" not understanding the inherent contradiction.

Fun post by the way.

Chaddeus_Rex • 4 points • 17 May, 2018 05:36 PM

Thats how the world worked. Its in pur fucking genetics. Thats why men have fewer male ancestors to female ones in a 17:1 ratio.

Fucking cuck.

strongerunch • 3 points • 18 May, 2018 12:30 AM

If you actually read the article men who had more power and wealth reproduced. In RP terms "betabucks" had all the babies

Chaddeus_Rex • 5 points • 18 May, 2018 12:44 AM

Power in ancient times was synonymous with physical strength. And the guys with physical strength had all the resources.

eyewant • 2 points • 21 May, 2018 08:00 PM

This. If you weren't strong enough to protect your wealth, you could easily be killed by someone stronger.

saltyafrican • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 10:16 PM

What are you trying so hard to prove?

Chaddeus_Rex • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 10:49 PM

Nothing. I'm just shaming you for using feminine language, not understanding the principles of TRP and being a cuck.

saltyafrican • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 12:29 AM

You are trying so hard to justify yourself and calling me the cuck...great response! Its evident you follow TRP teachings!

If my opinion offended you, good, because I really dont give a fuck.

Chaddeus_Rex • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 12:45 AM

Its not offending me. Im trying to make you more of a man, but stay salty bloopie.

saltyafrican • 3 points • 18 May, 2018 01:06 AM

LOL. As salty as a sailor, arrrghhh!

JamesSkepp • 5 points • 17 May, 2018 04:07 PM

Write a TL/DR reply of how m-f dynamics worked in "caveman times", I'm interested in what you think was happening back then.

GayLubeOil • 9 points • 17 May, 2018 04:12 PM

this

Chaddeus_Rex • 1 point • 17 May, 2018 05:39 PM

Thats pretty accurate though.

<https://psmag.com/environment/17-to-1-reproductive-success>

JamesSkepp • 0 points • 18 May, 2018 07:04 AM

I was asking OP b/c he made a critical statement about something he, judging by his reply, has no idea about. I also believe everyone who upvoted this reply, including the author, should get a trip to what GLO calls Banschwitz for being an blatant, absolute ignorant. "Sport cars suck, but I've never rode one" is not the way to go, especially on RP subreddits.

I knew about the 17/1 thing, it's connected of course, but not the answer I'm looking for.

saltyafrican • -4 points • 17 May, 2018 04:11 PM

I'm not interested in caveman times. I look to the future

JamesSkepp • 10 points • 17 May, 2018 04:32 PM

Pick a gender then.

MarcosDomingues • 1 point • 17 May, 2018 06:34 PM

The past is the key to the future

nofilmynofucky • 1 points • 17 May, 2018 09:38 PM **[recovered]**

It's a valuable lesson, no need to shame him, especially if that's your only contribution.

Shaming is a tactic for bitches and women. Don't be a bitch.

saltyafrican • 1 point • 17 May, 2018 10:14 PM

I simply stated my opinion. I do not shame my brothers.

nofilmynofucky • 1 points • 18 May, 2018 12:12 AM **[recovered]**

You stated the lesson seemed like a sexual fantasy, then dismissed it further by referring to it as a 'story'. The clear intent was to deride op's content.

You can claim your intentions were different however your words speak for themselves.

saltyafrican • 0 points • 18 May, 2018 12:21 AM

That's simply your assumption and you are trying to twist my words to justify your argument. Interpret my opinion however helps you best sleep at night.

You are entitled to your opinion to think this way, that's entirely on you. Frankly I don't care.

Peace

Damien_Scott • -7 points • 17 May, 2018 02:32 PM

This was a funny satirical read.

Zanford • -2 points • 17 May, 2018 09:56 PM

Evolution is a sexual alpha fantasy.

PlanetNinja • 1 points • 17 May, 2018 04:22 PM **[recovered]**

Chadrock. That's awesome dude.

[deleted] • 35 points • 17 May, 2018 06:18 PM

You should re-write this from the modern feminist man haters perspective so we can sit around eating sugar free popcorn...for the lolz

Popeman79[S] • 133 points • 17 May, 2018 07:02 PM

Jane wakes up, she sees Bobnick sleeping near the extinguished fire and she throws sticks on it, clearly without females this tribe would go to shit. She squats to relieve herself and Bobnick is watching her, she winks seductively because it's a great day and she feels like it, sure she never found him attractive but today she feels different also it doesn't *mean* anything. It's all fun and games. The boys aren't back from the hunt well she knew that it was stupid to send only boys and keep the females at home. If they don't come back the females will go hunting no problem, sure they've never tried but how hard can it be? Females can do everything males can. How big can a bear be? It's like big rabbit basically. Now it's late and Uma is eyeing Bobnick, Jane goes and squats in front of him because she feels like she wanna be part of this lighthearted sexy game, it's just fun after all, then Bobnick jumps on her and fucks her, it hurts but strangely she knows it's all in a good spirit, but then she hears people coming and she like kinda *snaps* out of it and realizes that hey! They're actually raping me! and she fights back but thankfully Chadrock crushes Bobnick's head, yeah this rapist had it coming! and Jane is so happy to see the love of her life but then he slaps her, but he must be exhausted so this time she decides to *let him off the hook* and by subtly seducing him she prompts him to start fucking her.

[deleted] • 27 points • 17 May, 2018 08:07 PM

Good Fucking Lord sticky this right under the original piece where it belongs!

SKRedPill • 5 points • 18 May, 2018 02:03 PM

This might not be far from the truth either. Feminism is a gigantic case of AWALT under a marketing veneer of NAWALT.

Zanford • 11 points • 17 May, 2018 09:56 PM

The feminist version of this is the version of this story that Jane *tells* Chadwick at the end.

Think about that. Now, what if every other feminist fairy tale you've heard has a similar origin behind it?

[deleted] • 13 points • 17 May, 2018 11:43 PM

Most have.

A guy I know recently split up with his wife.

She is telling everyone some sob story about how he hits her... having known the pair of them, and having a red pill lense, and having watched their interrelational dynamic when they were together, I don't believe this at all. Meanwhile all the BP people in his life are dropping him like flies and jumping over hoops to help her out in any way they can....

Of course I could be completely wrong but I consider myself perceptive and due to past experiences I had to teach myself how to judge body language literally to survive (trauma is all I will say) so I doubt it.

Zanford • 15 points • 18 May, 2018 01:19 AM*

Tale as old as time. Go with your gut.

Plus, consider this:

His incentives for actually hitting her are:

None. Doing so instantly hands her blackmail material, and his life is ruined if she uses it.

Her incentives for lying to say he hit her are:

auto-win button in divorce proceeding and among their social circles

"Cui bono" aka "Who profits?"

FarBeyondPissed • 15 points • 17 May, 2018 06:40 PM

Glad that Chadrock got his hole after putting in work for the tribe.

Good point to take away about offering the most to society in order to reap the benefits of being respected and loved and all the good things that come with that, something I'm sure everyone can agree upon.

saggygooch20 • 34 points • 17 May, 2018 12:23 PM

I suppose that was a good example. Sounding a bit gay for chadrock though.

faggots4trump • 6 points • 18 May, 2018 12:01 AM

At least 300k years - recently they found 300 thousand years old remains of Homo Sapiens in Morocco.

ben1324 • 6 points • 18 May, 2018 12:04 AM

Lol'd when I read "Chadrock"

SendThotsAndPrayers • 22 points • 17 May, 2018 01:59 PM

A good description of female mindset. Problem is, it takes IQ, open mindset and a total unplugging from BP world to understand the meaning behind it.

Lets see how it goes.

Viscxi • 1 points • 17 May, 2018 01:07 PM **[recovered]**

We need more essays like this. Truth mixed with a bit of humour in a form of a story.

Good post mate.

Adeus_Ayrton • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 10:31 PM

All I see in there are facts. I didn't even get the humor part, if there was one. I would bet my bottom dollar that this story occurred approximately the way it did, *at the very least* a few literal hundred thousand times.

bobaisdope • 7 points • 17 May, 2018 01:19 PM

Ironically, I feel pain and pleasure reading this story at the same time. Well written!

Bing_Bang_Bam • 8 points • 17 May, 2018 05:49 PM

I would like to hear more (mis)adventures about this primitive tribe. It's kinda like "Clan of The Cave Bear" but with a more scientific approach.

International_Row • 5 points • 17 May, 2018 08:33 PM

Clan of the Cave Bear has a strong feminist message the main character is a woman who can do anything a man can do and better. Then she is expelled and left to die due to the machinations of a jealous dude. In the next few books, she finds love but it is with a pleasant person who treats her well, not some well-endowed alpha. The author herself is a woman who previously worked in a male-dominated industry, where she resented the men being condescending to her and denying her opportunities to her gender.

[deleted] • 3 points • 20 May, 2018 05:42 AM*

not some well-endowed alpha

Actually the guy she found love with was exactly that. He was unusually tall, good looking, independent, very capable... pretty much the very stereotype of the ultra-male.

And Ayla eventually fucks another guy (who is half black, too, no symbolism there) just because she feels like. And then her tall, perfect god-man forgives her. Hmm, yeah, it is pretty much a female fantasy.

*Edit: Oh, and I had forgotten, it was explicitly mentioned and described that he had a very large penis. Plenty of sex scenes in that series.

Bing_Bang_Bam • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 08:44 PM

Right, that's why the op's story was better and funnier.

Dravous • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 02:03 AM

you should check out "the quest for fire". much better movie IMO, and much more realistic(in terms of gender relations anyway).

ssr401 • 9 points • 17 May, 2018 04:39 PM

Most cavemen didn't actually live in caves. They built huts out of whatever was around, just like poor people today.

puredemo • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 02:04 AM

Neanderthals lived in caves. Resulting in a crazy evolutionary branch.

the-bejeezus • 1 points • 17 May, 2018 01:01 PM **[recovered]**

This is ridiculous. Comic even.

Popeman79[S] • 15 points • 17 May, 2018 01:56 PM

Care to explain why?

How do you think male-female relations worked for hundreds of thousands of years? By democratic vote?

SendThotsAndPrayers • 18 points • 17 May, 2018 02:08 PM

This guy has been posting only negative comments on TRP - not a single useful contribution to this sub. He's not unplugged, and the unfortunate thing is that there are - at least, about 10 people like him lurking here.

We've been invaded by BP betas.

Just report and hope that mods nuke him.

SlyGradient[M] • 16 points • 17 May, 2018 04:43 PM

Dealt with.

Popeman79[S] • 9 points • 17 May, 2018 02:12 PM

Not gonna report him on the basis of this comment alone, but yeah thanks.

dontgiveupcarib • 13 points • 17 May, 2018 06:52 PM

A million Chadlocks were eliminated by nerdy sociopathic conquerors with better weapons.

Human females select males based on survivability, and intelligence is a far better indicator for survival than strength or size.

Modern cultural circumstances provide gym bros with ample advantages compared to prehistoric times due to betas paying high taxes. If you go back to prehistoric world don't expect to have better options just because you're stronger or larger.

Popeman79[S] • 12 points • 17 May, 2018 07:18 PM

I agree about the weapons, but the technology breakthroughs were shortlived (a few decades) in comparison to the length of human history. All in all, the best athletes had the best chance for survival up until a few centuries. At first they fought with hands and teeth, then some cavemen learned to do a spear and he had a technological breakthrough but after a while everybody had spears and it was back to who's the strongest. Then again with fire, swords, etc.

The post is not to say that only muscle matters, but rather females are attracted (or make themselves attracted) to the male who embodies their best chance of survival *at the moment*.

lbylis3 • 8 points • 17 May, 2018 07:50 PM

While muscles and strength are important, intelligence is very high up there that increases attractiveness. A skinny cave-nerd could invent a trap or find innovative ways to hunt or defend would prove very useful to the tribe thereby increasing his status amongst other men (and logically attractiveness to females). He may never become the top dog but he would prove very useful to the top dog and may even get the alphas side bitches to procreate. This at least is very evident from the fact that humans have gotten more and more intelligent with every generation and have left all other animal species far behind. If intelligence was not attractive, it would have been rooted out by evolution but it was not.

[deleted] • 10 points • 17 May, 2018 08:26 PM

Even in Chimpanzess the alpha male is not always the strongest. It's equally physical strength and politics. The strongest male can always be overthrown if everyone hates him. He just gets surrounded and killed.

The successful male chimp is physically strong and also cultivates a strong network of allies through mutual grooming and food sharing. Blood ties help too.

lbylis3 • 6 points • 17 May, 2018 08:31 PM

Exactly, there are lot of factors at play here than just size.. I don't like it when a lot of people is simply say size is everything, it's not. If a guy in the ancient world was intelligent, he could predict the movement of the stars, the seasons, the prey, and invent a new religion. People listened to intelligent men (and quite literally made them their leader) if they possessed attributes that put them in a unique position from which others would derive benefit.

Dravous • 5 points • 18 May, 2018 01:31 AM

well you're describing the beta male, which is a hated thing on TRP but in the past it wasn't *as* bad of

a gig. the alpha was the leader but wasn't a dick who fucked over and killed the beta any chance he got, they worked together as a team. there was mutual respect. and the beta still got action. not as often and not the best(i.e. fertile) action, but he wasn't just out in the cold. sometimes the alpha would even direct females to provide him some, as he needed his team on their game, not thirsty, distracted, and resentful.

for the record, the betas are not really discussed in this story, as they were the other hunters that went with chadrock. bobnick was the omega.

dontgiveupcarib • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 07:56 PM

The very fact that mammals could out compete reptiles and amphibians after the last giant meteor hit is a testament to intelligence being the most advantageous trait.

JamesSkepp • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 08:28 AM

You're describing the "primitive" version of BB, which is an alternative strategy to AF. BB do have kids of their own too, it's just that they are the secondary choice of women when it comes to sex.

And just for the spergs reading this - no, I'm not endorsing the concept of becoming BB in order to have sex.

lbylis3 • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 03:57 PM*

It's a bit more nuanced than that, you don't need to be the biggest or the baddest to be the leader, you simply need enough physicality and enough intelligence to become alpha. Just being the biggest or the strongest is useless if you cannot cultivate alliances within the tribe, and it requires a certain level of intelligence to do that. 5 other humans can gang up and beat the biggest alpha to death if he cannot use his brain to know that he cannot rule alone

JamesSkepp • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 04:15 PM

Yes, it's what you described happens even with apes (or maybe monkeys).

That being said, in today's world being physically strong (or even big) goes much further with women than being intelligent (I'm discounting deliberate use of intelligence to learn game, TRP and stuff like that, just imagine a generic, random m-f interaction). when it comes to being selected as sexual mate.

lbylis3 • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 04:25 PM

I agree with you about today's world, and I think that's because most men have lost their physicality so the strong buff ones stand out. Regardless, both strength and intelligence should be cultivated and it starts at a young age. Too bad most parents today are useless at parenting.

redroque12 • 0 points • 17 May, 2018 09:38 PM

I agree with you in part. First thing is strong does not mean not smart. The thing is to be able to sit down, think and innovate you need to have a surplus of food so you can spare time. So you need to be a strong hunter or convince one to support you. Also experience helps, trying different skills which involves mastery. With most things you need a blend of physical and intellectual skills to become masterful.

Chadrock is not a dumb brute. Tracking, stalking, planning, fighting, winning is not easy.

dontgiveupcarib • 0 points • 17 May, 2018 07:20 PM

A Chadrock who is strong but has poor aim is inferior to an Avgjoe who has superior aim but is physically weaker in a spear fight.

Both of them would get merked by a 5'5 skinny Kung fu master.

Strength is attractive today due to betas paying high tax, in the real world it's much more complex. If Bobnick developed his own food traps and captured more food that way, all the while allowing Chadrock to die off by not sharing this food, he would be superior from a survival standpoint. In our modern society Bobnick gives his food to the tribe, so Chadrocks size is what matters the mostl

Popeman79[S] • 5 points • 17 May, 2018 07:37 PM*

What I'm saying is that on the long run, all the technological breakthroughs end up being shared. If you're teleported at any time in a tribe, the chances that your tribe uses a less advanced technology than the next one are almost null. Sure maybe during a few months the blue tribe who uses bows can kill the red tribe who doesn't, but in the long run either the red tribe adopts the bows, or it is extinguished. During most of those hundreds of thousands of years, blue and red use the same technology, and one of the main difference makers is athleticism.

However, I totally agree that today as resources are shared, it favors the muscly alpha who provides the genes.

EDIT: also you're assuming, with a modern bias, that skinny Bobnick will be the one who will come up with the technological breakthrough, whereas it will probably one of those who hunt most, i.e. one of the best hunters, because their mind is focused on it most of the time. Strong doesn't mean stupid, sometimes it's even the opposite (see how Romans cultivated body and mind along)

dontgiveupcarib • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 07:52 PM

To reply to your edit, I'm not assuming the Chadrock will be dumb. I'm just saying that intelligence is hereditary and it isn't necessarily linked to size, and that one cannot discount intelligence for size. While the optimal male has a combination of both, the Roman soldier was on avg like 5'5, which in your world would make him a billy beta. Human variances in size exist for a reason and had their own evolutionary advantages during different times.

dontgiveupcarib • 4 points • 17 May, 2018 07:45 PM*

In the long run a lot of Chadrock genes were killed off by sociopathic bobnicks. There are very very few males who are natural gym bros, most have achieved this today with a mix of ample food, supplements and steroids. If there's not enough food Chadrocks simply cannot survive or even form, and avgjoes or bobnicks reign supreme.

Just look at African Americans, selectively bred to be Chadrocks but were enslaved for centuries and have admixture from Bobnick white masters. However their populations were very low in ancient Africa due to the fact that their civilizations were underdeveloped. Today the tables have turned but again, a lot of it is thanks to beta bobs paying high tax.

If the world were to end tomorrow and we go back to hunter gatherer, skinny preppers would be alphas. Gym bros would die off or atrophy the fastest tbh.

Prehistoric hunting required a lot of intelligence. Size means jack shit in the real natural world, if you do not have the intelligence to back that up. Intelligence is far superior in nature.

redrogue12 • 0 points • 17 May, 2018 09:30 PM

God, these guys miss the point completely. I've had similar discussions regarding "Strength" and the thing is most people misinterpret what we mean by it. Usually the people who most strongly disagree are those who are weak themselves.

dontgiveupcarib • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 10:15 PM*

I actually am an ex bodybuilder who runs a side business and work in a highly competitive field with a lucrative salary. I don't have time to be a gym bro at the moment but I still make time for the gym.

I don't disagree because I am weak but because people who don't understand evolutionary biology and cultural context shouldn't make sweeping statements that defy facts. Your own arguments fit the bill of someone who doesn't understand the arguments others are trying to make.

dulkemaru51 • 2 points • 19 May, 2018 07:31 PM

Attractive physical appearance like muscles, low bf, height, facial symmetry, thick hair, clear eyes, full lips, etc are indicators of good genes and therefore of survivability. It's a factor in attractiveness, just like wealth, social proof and frame are. That's it and nothing else...

A skinny guy's attractive qualities may override Chadrock's attractive qualities, or they may not.

It's a factor. What are yall even debating about?

redrogue12 • 0 points • 19 May, 2018 07:53 PM

Some people think all scrawny guys are geniuses and all buff guys are dumb.

Popeman79[S] • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 09:40 PM

They also assume strong people are retards. Like this brainy caveman is gonna meticulously create this great trap in which Chadrock is gonna fall.

Whereas probably what would happen would be two tribes running into each other in the forest, and the better warriors kill the weak ones. And that's it.

Also, I believe that Chadrocks will actually be smarter than Bobnicks, because Chadrocks have to adapt to the main responsibility, which is the survival of the tribe, and they're constantly on the edge. Also, they have to learn how to lead.

[deleted] • -1 points • 17 May, 2018 08:14 PM

All in all, the best athletes had the best chance for survival up until a few centuries.

This one's pretty deep. Actually, the best chance for survival ever since we started congregating is a healthy immune system. Yes, you need to be strong to tend the field and flocks, but I would argue that hunting, especially persistence hunting, is far more athletic.....and healthy for a human body.

quarkbotver1 • 5 points • 17 May, 2018 06:53 PM

Feeemales

suaressi • 1 point • 17 May, 2018 08:20 PM

except there were no nerdy sociopath conquerors in cavemen times

dontgiveupcarib • 1 point • 17 May, 2018 10:28 PM

There had to have been for it to exist today, since it has a genetic basis and came about through a mutation.

suaressi • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 03:07 AM

sorry but that is the stupidest thing I've ever heard. you are now dismissed.

dontgiveupcarib • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 03:12 AM

Do you not understand basic evolution or are you acting smart as a defense mechanism cuz you're too dumb to understand the argument?

seducter • 4 points • 17 May, 2018 07:41 PM

What does a modern day Chad do if he finds his Jane in the sack with another dude? I mean on the one hand you wanna knock some sense into him, but at the same time, he could easily be you at any given time.

Popeman79[S] • 17 points • 17 May, 2018 07:46 PM

A true Alpha doesn't hit the guy: that's not his fault, also he kinda did you a favor by exposing the behaviour of your girl. I would personally give him a high five and offer him a beer.

A true Alpha doesn't hit the girl either: that would be giving her attention, the opportunity to play the victim, and too much power to use in the legal system. Instead you wipe her out of your mind immediately, turn around and never think about her again.

seducter • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 07:55 PM

This is such a great answer, thanks man!

livebythedice • 1 points • 19 May, 2018 05:54 AM **[recovered]**

That is some cuck shit right there. A true alpha beats the shit out of the guy till he's a sobbing mess, stares down the whore then leaves

explorer1357 • 2 points • 19 May, 2018 10:42 AM

I agree, but without the beating somebody to death part.

I think part of being 'alpha' is controlling emotions. Severely injuring or killing some poor dweeb that thought he got lucky to get a piece of used pussy over a manipulative woman is unjust, as well as a gross display of frame deterioration.

Think about it. A raging partner that absolutely loses it and goes into an uncontrollable rampage of violence sounds less like a calm, confident, alpha leader who is in charge of his emotions - and more like the average hoe who overreacts on any little sentiment that triggers them.

Definitely though. Im with you on not buying the guy a beer and high fiving, thats kinda gay and weird...

Id just shove him off and tell him to leave in peace unless he likes to have a loaded barrel pointed at him...

GanksGriefersForFun • -1 points • 21 May, 2018 12:07 AM

You sound so modern. Definitely not chad

[deleted] • 8 points • 17 May, 2018 08:17 PM*

He does the only thing he can do - he utilizes Homo Sapiens greatest quality that allowed it to take over the world. He adapts to his environment. He finds a new Jane.

Chad in our story had at most a few dozen Janes to choose from. You have essentially infinite.

Adeus_Ayrton • 4 points • 17 May, 2018 10:21 PM*

Way, waaay longer than 200k years man. Oldest tools found in caves are over 3 million years old now.

And the more we look, the older they get. And dig this: We've hunted longer than we've had tools. This story could easily push 5 million years; literally.

[deleted] • 17 points • 17 May, 2018 02:17 PM

Then the dry season comes and chadrock can no longer sustain his large mass. When he tries to take water and food from the others who require less they kick him out the tribe to die. Bobnick 2 son of bobnick and Jane leads the tribe to water to become the new alpha. Not based on his size but his knowledge, the women are facisinated by his knowledge and instinct. Etc etc

You can make up any bullshit for this.

max_peenor • 9 points • 17 May, 2018 03:32 PM

You understand there are lots of different climates on this planet, yes? Take particular note of the migration patterns of early humans. They went from warm, drier places to cooler, wetter places.

FrostyFurseal • 1 points • 17 May, 2018 02:46 PM **[recovered]**

Yep. 90% of evolutionary psychology is post hoc speculation.

Also, it's stressful at the top:

<https://www.nytimes.com/2011/07/15/science/15baboon.html>

Chaddeus_Rex • 7 points • 17 May, 2018 05:53 PM

Its irrelevant to us how baboons are (if they actually are as the article say and its not cherrypicking of facts to fit a certsin ideology - I will explain later). As Chimps are our closest relatives. And chimps act exactly as the post describes.

FrostyFurseal • 1 points • 17 May, 2018 06:19 PM **[recovered]**

It's not rational to discount the article because it only studied baboons. In fact chimps are going to face the same alpha stresses they talk about. You see it in humans too: the biggest leader also has the biggest target on their back. CEOs, generals, and others may have the highest pay and power, but they're also under the highest scrutiny and pressure to perform.

Chaddeus_Rex • 7 points • 17 May, 2018 06:26 PM

It absolutely is rational to discount baboons.

Sure the biggest alphas (those highest in the hierarchy of power) have big targets on their back but modern western society is fucked up backwards. For most of human history and even currently in many parts of the world, the alpha would have a posse of men who are satisfied with their station as being his lieutenants and enforcing his rules and suppressing dissent - so they were safe until they grew old and feeble or they significantly fucked up (but this affects everyone) and get challenged by a young male outside the current hierarchy looking to maximize his standing. This

is how Putin keeps his power, hes not a lone wolf, he has a posse in the FSB ensuring nobody challenges him in the meantime and suppressing parties "outside the hierarchy".

Chimp tribes operate in exactly the same manner. But not baboons.

Dravous • 4 points • 18 May, 2018 01:21 AM

post hoc speculation.

well what else *could* it be? it already happened and nobody wrote anything down....that doesn't mean it's all just completely pulled out of our collective asses.

Colbster82 • 8 points • 17 May, 2018 06:41 PM

I'm new to TRP and this post has honestly enlightened me. I can absolutely visualize and relate to the undertones of this story. It's crazy actually and I can't quite explain it but thank you, this honestly helped me tremendously.

It all fucking makes sense now

Popeman79[S] • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 06:47 PM

I really appreciate, thanks. I know when I started to think of males and females from an evolutionary perspective it cleared everything up for me too. Glad it wasn't only me.

Colbster82 • 4 points • 17 May, 2018 06:56 PM

Will you be making more? Perhaps exploring different aspects of TRP, like shit tests, comfort tests etc.?
(There's a lot of comments here so I apologize if you have already answered this type of question)

Popeman79[S] • 7 points • 17 May, 2018 07:12 PM*

I had the idea to do another one like that, as you said to illustrate other female traits. As redpillers are seeing it for what it is, and not some alpha porn fantasy, yeah I'll probably do it.

whoismikejoneswho • 5 points • 17 May, 2018 05:02 PM

Bravo OP, we need to start a discussion group on these stories. If we dig deeper and really understand how Uma FELT, when Jane exposed her rancid shit stank asshole-vag to Bobnick, we could theorize how Bobnick could have potentially triangulated the situation into an awesome last hurrah threesome, which would have made him the alpha hero and made his untimely death worth it. Just thoughts...

youlovethisish • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 05:31 PM

which would have made him the alpha hero

In his own mind. He's still a beta to the dude that just smashed his head in - and everyone else. Once he's dead no one will think a second time about him.

But he'd have died in the absolute happiest possible emotional state he could have.

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 17 May, 2018 05:24 PM

I know you're trolling but you're funny I'll give you that.

Seriously though:

1. Do you feel that male-female relations for most of human history were fundamentally different from what I described?

2. Do you feel that it doesn't affect our current genes?

whoismikejoneswho • 1 point • 17 May, 2018 05:28 PM

Lol. I'm not! I actually enjoyed your story and agree 100% with it. I just never thought RP lessons could be laid out in such an entertaining way.

TheWooginator • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 03:06 AM

I lost it at Chadrock. Lol. Nicely done.

Luckyluke23 • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 05:58 AM

She smiles and winks seductively at him. She hates this useless fucker, but if the boys don't come back from the hunt he'll be the only male available to protect the tribe.

is this why most women keep betas around (other than the resources they now provide)?

it would make sense if the world suddenly went to war or we had another flu epidemic.

Popeman79[S] • 3 points • 18 May, 2018 01:36 PM

If the beta orbiter is a good prospect (good job and coming from a good family, etc) sometimes women are dead-cold calculators. But I think that most of the time, it just boils down to women being creatures moved by validation, and just like a man will flex his muscles just to feel his strength, a women will act seductively to a guy just to feel hers. They need to feel pretty and attractive, they don't think much about consequences for the guy because they live in their own world.

Luckyluke23 • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 03:13 PM

thanks man was well written

dulkemaru51 • 2 points • 19 May, 2018 07:40 PM

You're probably right. Then again, the need for validation that people have (indication of one's worth, be it in terms of looks or whatever), is a good evolutionary trick, in this example for women, to keep providers by her side. The validation feels good emotionally (evolved property of her limbic system that exists only because of it's survivability factor), so she keeps orbiters around because in Jane's times that helped her survive in case of Chadrock being eaten by a bear.

What feels good = good for survival

Validation feels good = keeping orbiters around that give validation = good for survival

Popeman79[S] • 2 points • 19 May, 2018 09:34 PM

Yep totally agree. Women having this validation need is good for the reproduction of the species. If they didn't wear sexy clothes or makeup, men would pursue them less.

Personally I love it that women look for men's attention. It makes life more entertaining and enticing. And as they don't have physical strength as we do, we can't really judge them for using what other means are available to them.

[deleted] • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 07:49 AM

I love the point and lesson of this post but the story is reductive for me. Still, having said that, i want to thank you for posting.

Lucid_Witness • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 09:58 PM*

You write well. You should present more primordial exemplary stories.

Edit: By the way, it would be much more ancient than two hundred thousand years. Similar behaviours would be prior to our species. The scenario from your story would have its origins in ancestors of previous species of our same genus.

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 19 May, 2018 06:37 PM

Thanks. Yeah I'm not claiming to be an anthropologist and, as others have pointed out, there are many inaccuracies in my post. I still think its message of depicting the primitive female psyche stands. I'll try and write another one.

Lucid_Witness • 2 points • 19 May, 2018 09:33 PM

You're welcome. Indeed, I'm not suggesting you inevitably have to be an anthropologist, or paleo-anthropologist for that matter.

That aside, should the scenario depicted in your story be accurate, my chronological remark would strengthen the lessons learned point, which is that certain behaviours would, perhaps, be even "more hardwired" in us. Civilization has existed for millennia, whereas the hunter-gathered lifestyle already existed during the millions of years our predecessors (from different species and the same genus) lived in Africa, before the earliest expansion out of that continent.

As for the writing itself, keep doing it.

DeeplyDisturbed1 • 2 points • 22 May, 2018 01:46 AM

Holy. Fucking. Shit. This is pure gold brother.

JudgeDoom69 • 2 points • 22 May, 2018 01:15 PM

Bobnick is the founder of modern Approach Anxiety

anish610 • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 04:39 PM

More such stories would be appreciated. Through stories Redpill philosophy can be shared. Also it's very interesting to read such stories.

zcgk • 4 points • 17 May, 2018 08:13 PM

Funny, while I read this post/story, my brain illustrated it in the style of that heavy metal movie from the 80's

rougeoblong • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 09:05 PM*

Quest For Fire - 1981 - first draft

(also a fantastic RP movie for the collection)

Fritz--Th3--Cat • 2 points • 18 May, 2018 01:18 PM

Early Men and Women were equal. Men and Women hunter gatherers hunted together and raised children together. These were not split by gender. Also they formed monogamous relationships. These were the main traits that separated us from Chimps.

<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2015/may/14/early-men-women-equal-scientists>

Popeman79[S] • 4 points • 18 May, 2018 01:28 PM

That's interesting but I think the title is misleading. The article mentions that it was advantageous for humans to build strongly connected communities where women played a major role.

A study has shown that in contemporary hunter-gatherer tribes, men and women tend to have equal influence on where their group lives and who they live with

I believe this, but it doesn't mean that women hunted as you suggest. I think it talks more about a more equalitarian social fabric of the group, while I admit my post is pretty much macho-oriented.

Also it's just one study.

Fritz--Th3--Cat • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 01:42 PM

The findings appear to be supported by qualitative observations of the hunter-gatherer groups in the study. In the Philippines population, women are involved in hunting and honey collecting and while there is still a division of labour, overall men and women contribute a similar number of calories to the camp. In both groups, monogamy is the norm and men are active in childcare.

This is what I am referencing. There are many studies that have come from these sources.

Zanford • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 09:53 PM

This, men, is the real shit.

You have just learned more than you did every history or literature class you ever took, combined, and with no lies or bullshit.

This is it. This is all we are.

forallmyfans2 • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 06:12 PM

this is a bunch of made up horse shit

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 08:22 PM

Yea obviously... it is a speculative hypothesis of pre-modern humans' mating systems. That's what intelligent people do. They use their brains to think abstractly based on available evidence to solve problems and answer questions.

forallmyfans2 • 4 points • 17 May, 2018 08:38 PM

there was no evidence or hypothesis, its just some cave man porn fanfic. 50 shades of gray for trp.

SKRedPill • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 01:59 PM*

You forgot about the infants and the mortality rates - they didn't have pills and condoms back then. Just include them, a couple of rival tribes, some wild predators and harsh weather and then it's complete.

dustman83 • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 03:07 PM

We read this story in my high school anthropology class

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 03:08 PM

Haha if it had happened it would be funny

EdvardMunch • 1 point • 21 May, 2018 06:26 PM

So what does Chadrock do today?

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 21 May, 2018 07:11 PM

Strawman Chadrock is busy fucking and dumping tatoood thots with fake tits and a bit of beer belly. Low-value girls as bluepill has it.

Real-life Chadrock went to the gym in the morning after a good night sleep, then he put on a great day of work, and at night after seeing his friends for a beer he has a 2-hours window and so he's seeing which one of his three high-quality plates is up for the task.

EdvardMunch • 2 points • 23 May, 2018 10:40 PM

I must say things change depending on location. I'm in a small town with a big college, there are no high quality plates. There are sorority girls, blue haired trans girls, 90% emasculated guys, bros. The closest I find to high quality girls are grad students and they're usually insane.

These dynamics play out well in big cities but in smaller places what you see is this story with a bunch of beta males following a low quality plate around calling herself queen and alpha males wondering if they really got to fuck that to bust a nut or if they should just forget about women til they find a new location. In a city where no quality women want to be, you really do not find them.

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 24 May, 2018 01:45 PM

Dude I feel you. It's true location counts for so much, we tend to forget about it.

So I guess the only option is to try how dyed pussy tastes? /s

EdvardMunch • 2 points • 30 May, 2018 11:03 PM

I was thinking the other day it might actually be that females are becoming even more insecure and feeling less powerful in this age. It's coming out in expressions of masculinity and challenges, if you shave your head you have an excuse for guys not to want you and maybe feel it must be beyond the body if they do (as many separate this unfortunately).

I would think an internally strong woman would be fine with appearing feminine, just as a strong man would. And a strong person would be okay with shaving something once in a while if it pleased others.

As for men, who knows.. some might be trying to look like they're aware of feminists issues so they can have a better chance? Peoples fashion choices now seem based on insecurities rather than enjoyment of the self and beauty in life.

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 31 May, 2018 01:51 PM

I totally agree with what you are saying, especially now that people are less good-looking than before (because of bad nutrition) and that looks are more important than ever (because social media), looking *voluntarily* ugly is a coping mechanism.

Also I think that our society overvalues not being *needy*, i.e. the one who cares less in a relationship holds the most power. People who don't wanna be attractive unconsciously cater to that, they're sending a message that 'they don't give a fuck', so somewhat it makes them more attractive in their subconscious.

Finally I think polarities are what cause attraction generally speaking, and that male and female polarities are closing up. I consider myself to be a very masculine guy, in whatever qualities and defaults it conveys, and I'm attracted to very feminine girls (who come with defaults such as massive hamster and sensibility). But nowadays guys are less and less masculine and become more sensitive, and girls are less feminine, hamster less, but in my

view are also less beautiful. For sure nowadays, being feminine, nurturing and caring is the real rebellious path for a girl, as it means moving away from society's current trend.

This last point is maybe why there are so many bluepillers who think that NAWALT: yes there are increasingly more girls who hamster less, who think rationally about things, but imo those girls are just way less feminine, the same way a soyboy will be less competitive, will have less testosterone and sex drive, will be more sensitive, but will also be less masculine. There must definitely be couples of soyboy with landwhale who are truly happy together, because their lessened polarities match well, and who look down on TRP as a big pile of horseshit.

EdvardMunch • 1 point • 5 June, 2018 03:33 AM

Very well put.

Well, the world is getting stranger everyday. I'm into simulation theory, not that it needs to be as complicated as what we see with current social media. It's creepily beginning as the online 'avatar' often taking first in priorities over their actual life, just live out the appearance inside the bubble. I wonder how many of these people can then actually deal with reality. When its less heavy to send your nude parts than bring someone flowers. Bring someone flowers now you might get a restraining order.

Pienpunching • 1 point • 23 May, 2018 05:52 AM

What the fuck is wrong with you

flowrider00 • 1 point • 23 May, 2018 06:30 AM

We needed a female erotica story to prove that simple point?

Dmva100 • 1 points • 17 May, 2018 02:23 PM **[recovered]**

Chadwick sounded like a mate-guarding beta cuck. Resorted to violence to secure his pussy that got taken from another man. Gay.

Shoudlve brought back new pussy from the other tribe they killed off, along with their food, and killed off Uma and Jane. Out with old in with the new.

Popeman79[S] • 22 points • 17 May, 2018 02:31 PM

Nah man, mate-guarding with violence is only a bad choice in modern society, where violence gets you thrown in jail or divorce-raped. In 99% of human history, violence was the way to go and the basis of social hierarchy.

[deleted] • 6 points • 17 May, 2018 06:22 PM

I recently read a game theoretic piece arguing that mate guarding is a more likely driver of monogamy than paternal investment and found it intriguing.

Popeman79[S] • 5 points • 17 May, 2018 06:49 PM

I've never thought about it this way but I guess it could make sense. From a female perspective: if your man is not gonna fight for you, why *wouldn't* you sleep with another one?

[deleted] • 4 points • 17 May, 2018 07:06 PM

The paper basically says that previous analysis based on multiple mating vs paternal investment didn't consider partner scarcity. In certain situations, it is more advantageous to monopolize a woman.....of course, any city dweller is no longer longer in such a situation. Still.....it struck a chord with me, because I definitely have been known to mate guard, and I'm far from the only one.

Popeman79[S] • 6 points • 17 May, 2018 07:27 PM

Ok now I think I get it: it's less about raising our kids in a nuclear home, and more about protecting our quality woman as a scarce resource. Interesting indeed..

[deleted] • 5 points • 17 May, 2018 08:03 PM

Bingo. This paper, along with the one somewhere on the sidebar about women's dualistic mating strategy, paint a fairly interesting picture.

anish610 • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 04:43 PM

Awesome work dude! Write more of this. I loved the concept of sharing Redpill truth through stories. Also reveling our core raw human behaviour that we inherited from our ancestors!

youlovethisish • 4 points • 17 May, 2018 05:29 PM

killed off Uma and Jane

That's not an evolutionarily-sound move. Their wombs are more important than Bobnick's life. Killing the women reduces the offspring that can be created. Killing Bobnick is just a display of power - he's guarding his status as the alpha to the rest of the tribe that just witnessed the killing.

Some of the further points about entitlement and the such ring truer when society is doing well - but quickly fall off when society is not doing well. In hunter-gatherer tribes, you didn't know whether you'd be alive in an hour.

Don't conflate the ideologies of modern society (where we don't worry as largely about survival) with our ancestral survival methods.

lbylis3 • 6 points • 17 May, 2018 07:11 PM

Usually, killing a member of the tribe is not how ancient humans resolved disputes, nor do chimps, because for most of history, all members of a tribe are related with blood and had to contribute to fight of enemies (most human tribes were less than 50 people). A violent beating into submission usually sufficed and it never resorted to blatant killing unless the loser never submitted.

Killing while mateguarding is more of a recent phenomena with the rise of agriculture, civilization and city states where the alpha could kill a beta for trying to steal his women, as the beta is just one member of a city of thousands and killing him does not harm the alpha's power (infact it enhanced it by showing power to others)

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 May, 2018 10:32 PM

You're absolutely right.

In most ancient tribes most of them were related somehow. If he kills the tribe beta, he killed someone's brother, someone else's cousin, someone else's nephew, etc...

Doing that is a good way to get killed by the rest of the tribe or at least cause inter-tribe conflict.

Chadwick sounded like a mate-guarding beta cuck. Resorted to violence to secure his pussy that got taken from another man

But thats how men always were before modern society. Its only in modern society where people are brainwashed that physical violence is 'weak' - this wwas not always so.

dulkemaru51 • 1 point • 20 May, 2018 02:02 AM

Mate guarding is an interesting topic. The way I speculate it to be, based on the theory I have read about it, is that mate guarding preemptively out of fear for being cucked is beta behaviour, whereas protecting your females from other men is like protecting your food. Ain't nobody fucking your women in front of you or taking food out of your hands but you are not running around trying to lead your females away from other tribe members out of insecurity. The silverback knows that he is the shit and that his girls will always come back to him, yet he would fight for them if some thirsty beta got too close.

smokecheck1976 • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 10:01 PM

You have some rather unhealthy fixations and some rather weird ideas about how the earliest human groups and societies functioned from what we know. Let's take this ride anyway.

>Jane wakes up under the bear fur that she shares with two other females. It's 100,000 years ago, and it's freaking cold outside. The fire at the entrance of the cave has been reduced to a few glowing embers. She glances over at Bobnick, the only male who was left behind by the hunting party, and whose mission is to protect the females. His skinny ass is fast asleep near the almost extinguished fire, with a spear laying near him. Jane grumbles and walks towards the entrance, where she throws a couple of sticks on the fire. If the fire dies, it's a pain in the ass for everyone.

1. It's probably a horse hide. Bears are tough to take down with just a spear, and usually unnecessarily risky. Horses at the time, on the other hand, were easy prey, if it wasn't an ice age, or just being domesticated as herd and meat animals.
2. If Bobnick stayed behind it was because he wouldn't have been able to keep up in some way, maybe he was lame. It is telling that he wasn't either killed or driven off, it means he had some other value to the group that they were aware of, and glorified guard duty isn't it.
3. By the time homo sapiens were a thing, hominids had experience with fire and how to create and exploit it for a very long time. In fact, homo sapiens probably don't evolve if the others had not.

>She then goes out of the cave and squats to relieve herself on the ground. She smells like grease, sweat and shit, but she's still one of the most attractive females of the tribe: her hips are wide, her breasts are heavy, she still has almost all her teeth, and there is meat on her bones. When she turns back she notices Bobnick, who woke up, staring at her naked ass. She smiles and winks seductively at him. She hates this useless fucker, but if the boys don't come back from the hunt he'll be the only male available to protect the tribe.

Humans that were living like that were always just about one step ahead of starvation. Pregnancy was dangerous to the mother and the child. Most likely, she would have looked like a methed out crack whore, but whatever floats your boat. Also, even back then, humans knew better than to just squat outside the cave. If nothing else, it drew flies. In these pre-agricultural societies, people leaned hard on each other because they had to. Someone that was hated or was useless to the rest wasn't going to remain in the group.

>It's been four nights since the hunting party has left, and still no sign of them. The females are starting to become agitated, hungry, and above all, afraid. What if they don't come back? It will mean death for a big part of the tribe, unable to sustain itself. During the day they go to gather fruits and seeds in the nearby forest under

the protection of Bobnick and his spear, then they stay in the cave, sowing or polishing stones. But there isn't much to do and mostly, they talk. If the hunting party doesn't come back, Bobnick becomes the de facto leader of the group. He was left behind because he's the weakest of the males, and usually the whole tribe makes fun of him, and nobody shows him much respect. But now, every female is eyeing him and smiling at him.

Hunting parties didn't stray 4 days out. Aside from the obvious risks, they had no way of keeping meat fresh for a multiple day journey back. That kind of defeats the purpose of a long hunt. They moved when they had to and tended to stay close to food sources. Further, many of these early groups and societies were matriarchal in nature, patriarchal societies really didn't develop until reliable agriculture was invented. If something happened to the hunting party, another group of males will be along. there is also a lot more to do than "sew and polish stones" Gathering seeds and plants, gathering firewood, cleaning, sitting the young, gathering water, a rather long list and I'm only covering a few things. Again, Bobnick offers something else to the group if he can't hunt. Maybe he's the best spear maker. Maybe he figured out an effective way to trap fish. Maybe he found out how to work certain parts of the Earth into shapes that the group can use. If you think Bobnick had trouble with the women in the group, you should know, you are probably descended from Bobnick. He didn't die on the hunting trip, and he probably lived to the ripe old age of 25.

>Jane is Chadrock's main female -or at least she competes for the top position. She knows how to gently lay on his lap and lick his penis when he's resting, she knows how to ride him to shivering orgasms, and she knows how to stroke the back of his head to make him fall asleep at night between her breasts. Chadrock also fucks other females, in particular Uma who is younger, and Jane always has to improve her game to keep him coming back to her. Chadrock is who all the females want: he's the best hunter, it seems like he leads the boys (although they always talk serious stuff away from the females), and he's fucking huge. Jane loves Chadrock.

While it is true that we evolved to be able to enjoy sex outside of procreation, it isn't likely that Stone age Jane was giving out blowjobs, nobody is taking baths after all. Chadrock might be having sex with other women, but Jane is also having sex with the other males of the group, including Bobnick. Every male member of the group knows that there is a chance that any child born in the group is his. This is observed in primitive cultures today.

>But now it's getting late, and she's eyeing Bobnick. Uma is sitting near him and giggling and caressing his hair. Bobnick is visibly happy but can't believe his luck just yet and he's not doing anything. Maybe the boys will be back tomorrow? Jane can't take the risk, she can't let Uma have him. She has to preserve her place at the top of the female hierarchy. Jane walks to them and squats in front of Bobnick, opening her naked ass and winking at him, just like she did in the morning when she was taking a shit.

See the above. Also, nice imagery. Even back then, humans operated within a set of rules in their social sphere.

>That's too much for poor Bobnick who throws Uma to the side and jumps on Jane, and immediately starts to fuck her furiously. She's not wet and it hurts, but the pain is nothing compared to the pleasure of seeing Uma's angry face in the corner of her eye.

What we have been able to observe of primitive human society indicates that they tended, like us, to like to be somewhat discreet and in safe locations before they engaged in sex.

>Jane is moaning sensually to make this go faster, but then a shadow fills the cave and her moans change to screams, as she suddenly tries to push Bobnick away. A huge wooden mass comes slamming on the side of Bobnick's head, and his brain splatters on the cave wall while Jane escapes from under him. It's Chadrock. With one hand, he throws Bobnick's dead body to the side like a piece of wood.

What is this? Mate guarding? I thought you said he was "alpha". Nope, just a big beta.

>He and the other males behind him carry a lot of meat, they look exhausted.

Bad meat. Two days out and back. They would have been hunting deer, horse, or aurochs. The meat just isn't going to hold that long.

>Jane starts to thank him profusely, saying that Bobnick forced himself on her, but Chadrock cuts her short with a heavy slap to the face. She falls back on the ground, she can taste blood in her mouth. Chadrock then throws the meat to the side, and starts to fuck her.

So, he is also an abusive large beta. Nice.

>Chadrock is way bigger than Bobnick, but it doesn't hurt. She's instantly wet. She's relieved too, the anguish of the past days has vanished, they will survive. And he chose to fuck her first instead of Uma. Jane is happy.

She would have gotten wet due to the attentions of Bobnick. It's part of the way human physiology is wired.

>This has been going on for approximately 200,000 years. Modern society has only existed for a few centuries (less than 1% of that time). Guess how our genes are wired?

Not the way you think. Do you know what body type is most preferred by women? Roughly the body that Brad Pitt had in "Fight Club". Much bigger, and they start to lose interest. Beyond that, even in current observed wild gorillas and other high apes, the alpha does get laid. The other males in the tribe also get laid, and they may even get laid more.

Popeman79[S] • 2 points • 19 May, 2018 06:52 PM

I appreciate the effort. I don't claim to be an anthropologist and admittedly there must be many inaccuracies in the post. I still don't think it defeats its purpose, which is to delve into the psyche of the primitive female which functions based on survival and short-term interests.

A few answers: Why do you (and many others) only focus on the fact that Chadrock has muscles? I also describe him as being the best hunter (thus forest-smart) and the leader of the tribe. Your comparison with Brad Pitt doesn't make sense: the point is that females are attracted to the Alpha, who in this case also happens to have muscles.

The fact that they went hunting for 4 days doesn't mean they caught the prey after 2. It could be they just caught it on the fourth day and came right back. Also I don't think it's very important.

The fact that Chadrock kills Bobnick is not beta. It would be beta today, but mate-guarding has been around for all human history. It could also have been a display of power. Maybe Jane was strictly off-limits for Bobnick. The thing is, you cannot say this would never happen.

Also you mention that humans were studied to be discreet but what humans are you talking about? The ones 30,000 years ago? Because there was a whole bunch of less evolved humans before that. Monkeys give blowjobs and fuck in front of each other, no problem.

Finally, if you think that Jane would have been wet because of the "attentions of Bobnick", you really have as much to learn about women that I have to learn about anthropology.

smokecheck1976 • 1 point • 20 May, 2018 12:59 PM

When every one is suffering under the same conditions, Chadrock isn't going to be getting the 2,000 calories plus a day he needs to sustain a heavy muscular frame, nor is his diet going to favor that. Given his diet, and the fact that it wasn't steady, he would probably be skinny, though depending on the environment he was in, he may have evolved a greater ability to put on fat stores during plentiful seasons. Current observable hunter-gatherer societies don't featured jacked males running around.

Also, like I said, they moved to be near prey. The entire group would have been on the move following prey. There wouldn't have been 4-day excursions until much later. It's important as it underlines what you said before about having done absolutely no studying of anthropology or primitive cultures.

As I said, early hominids, and again observable in remaining primitive societies are not monogamous. Every male in the tribe has reason to suspect that any of the young in the tribe may be their offspring,

therefore they have cause to protect it. Even in ape societies, non-alpha and non-alpha group males receive attention from female apes, and it is not in front of the alpha. Chadrock, among other things, would have not been a fool.

What we know of hominids, they did/do tend to be discreet as separate from our greater ape cousins. Monkeys are further out from humans.

Yes, Jane would have lubed up for Bobnick. If not immediately then soon after the thrusting started.

While there are always things I don't know about a woman, women are no particular mystery to me.

There isn't a need for me to write some weird erotica about what I think happened 15-20,000 years ago.

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 21 May, 2018 02:07 PM

Ok I made some quick research.

Early humans were big game hunters and were either nomadic (following their preys every few days where they moved) or semi-nomadic (only following their preys for their big annual migration). In this second case the whole tribe wouldn't have been on the road constantly, just a bunch of hunters would have gone out for food. You're right that 4 days seems like a long time for that and is unlikely.

They lived in different settings including -but not limited to- caves.

As for their cultural behaviour, I agree they tend to be discreet, but even now you can find people fucking in the streets if you look long enough.

Also, again I think you're missing the point of the post. It's about the roots of female behaviour. You can tweak a few things here and there (replace the hunt by a sickness, whatever) the main idea is that the female will offer herself to a new male without remorse, and is bound to have a changing mind out of necessity, if it means survival. Because the fact is that females wouldn't make it alone in the wilderness, for approximately 99% of human history. That is, until society was built to isolate them from said wilderness.

ATPSynthase12 • -2 points • 17 May, 2018 06:41 PM

The fuck kind of weird caveman erotica is this?

Like I get the point but still, how much did you jerk off while writing this?

Popeman79[S] • 12 points • 17 May, 2018 06:45 PM

Not gonna lie, a lot. On my keyboard. And despite the fact that mom was continuously calling for me to get out of the basement. What a bitch.

International_Row • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 08:42 PM

since you still live in the basement, are you still an alpha man

Popeman79[S] • 5 points • 17 May, 2018 08:54 PM

I was being ironic. I'm pretty successfull in all aspects of life, including women. But of course bluepillers assume that a guy who writes on TRP is a fat incel living in a basement..

International_Row • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 08:59 PM

I am not bluepillled. I admire the redpill community for telling us how it is.

I cannot get girls fml. I live in a boarding school with all boys.

I am fat and wear glasses and am of Eurasian origin.

Do you have any tips for me?

Popeman79[S] • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 09:09 PM

Apparently you tell it like it is too. That's already a sign that you have a strong mind.

For the rest, you already know what to do. Stop being fat. That means lifting and running, but also a healthy diet. Glasses and eurasian thing are non-factors.

You won't get girls next month, but you'll get plenty of girls in a couple of years. Just keep at it.

If it helps, I was a skinny nerd with bad acne, braces and glasses. Now I'm in my thirties and women throw themselves at me. You have all the time in the world, man. Just decide you wanna do it.

International_Row • 1 point • 17 May, 2018 09:12 PM

sorry for taking up your time

but do you have any advice on what sort of girls I should go after?

I do not have a wide friendship circle outside of my school but I will when I leave for uni

Popeman79[S] • 5 points • 17 May, 2018 09:20 PM

No problem.

The type of girls you should go after are:

- 1) the girls that you like, so you'll learn through rejection and toughen up, and
- 2) sweet but average-looking girls. They will love you, and hopefully you'll be able to grow into an alpha in their eyes. Once you practice being an alpha you'll become more natural and get better girls.

On how to make friends: I'll copy a message I already posted before:

Here are a few ideas:

accept all invitations if they can help you meet new people, even by groups that are not cool: a boring person invites you somewhere with their friends? Maybe their friends are cool. Meetup and internations are nice starting points, it worked for me.

BUT, don't go out if your energy is low. It's better to not be there than to be dull or boring. Somedays you're not feeling it, my advice is stay home rather than burn your image

similarly, don't overstay. Stay a couple of hours and have great energy, so that when you leave you'll be missed and people will have a great memory of you. If you hang out with the crowd till 5am and you're drunk and sleepy this is what people will remember of you. Also this allows you to go out multiple times a week.

focus on establishing strong contacts with a few people: you can be fun and vibrant a talk to everyone at first, but after a while focus on talking to 2-3 people who you selected as interesting. Make friends out of them

don't try to fuck girls but befriend them. A female friend will open up much more pussy for you through friends and social proof, whereas if you fuck her she won't introduce you to anyone.

I have an awesome social life. To give an example which reflects the points above: a tinder date that I liked as a friend but was not worth fucking invited me to a bar with other friends. A couple of guys were there and they sounded cool, so at some point I turned to them and said jokingly "well my date is over there but you guys sound way cooler haha" and we started to talk. It only worked because I was full of energy and with charisma and a positive vibe. I talked to them for about an hour while I was still with this good vibe, and when I felt I was getting a bit low and tired I bailed, not before exchanging numbers with the guys. So the memory they had of me was the best version of myself. Then one of them, Rob, invited me to other gatherings and through him I met other people, rince and repeat, always focusing on a couple of persons I found cool.

The point is, people will want to hang out with you if you provide them with value, and don't take value from them. To provide value you need to be fun and full of energy, and to not take value you need to not want to fuck them, and to genuinely find them interesting, not pretend just to make friends.

Hope it helps

International_Row • 1 point • 17 May, 2018 09:44 PM

Thanks mate

and how do you be 'fun'

Popeman79[S] • 2 points • 17 May, 2018 10:03 PM

Mostly, being an entertainer is focusing on reading your audience. You tell a story about you, but what you are really aiming at is to excite the emotions of your audience.

Of course, you need to actually have things to say first. So develop a hobby, live, make mistakes, etc.

ATPSynthase12 • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 03:22 AM

I'm not blue pilld, I just think it's weird you went into excruciating detail about caveman sex

Popeman79[S] • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 01:39 PM

I mean they're not that excruciating. No mention of body fluids, of dilatation, no long description of how the male thrusts in her, etc. Also it's to make a point: that sex could be rough or unwanted, but that Jane's feelings towards it are driven by other factors.

Azora • 0 points • 18 May, 2018 01:51 AM

You don't know the first thing about hunter gatherers.

max_peenor • 1 point • 18 May, 2018 04:48 PM

Well, you sorted that out. Good work.

magnificent18 • 0 points • 18 May, 2018 01:17 PM*

Nice story, although back in those days there wasn't a man that didn't know how to fight and hunt during the times of scarcity. They wouldn't of made it to adulthood. A useless man was nonexistent.

It's only once we humans had it good/ had resources on hand (allowing free time) is when men no longer needed to hunt and kill frequently, which is when we lost our way.

Also every man has a sexual need. Although Chadrock is considered alpha by women, it wouldn't be smart in a small group for Chadrock to take all the women for himself.

He'd take the most attractive, but if he took all the women then there's a high possibility the other men (who know how to hunt and kill) taking over the tribe and killing Chadrock, just like what happens in any primates group.

Just as it's Chadrock's job to lead, he needs to make sure his boys get some too. He knows women aren't worth the hype but if it keeps his men happy then he has no problem.

Humbabanana • -2 points • 18 May, 2018 12:01 AM

This is planet of the apes fanfiction, with no real content.