

Scientific Research validates Hypergamic Instincts in Women.

The Redpill verified by science.

June 21, 2018 | 863 upvotes | by [ozenmacher](#)

tl;dr:

- Women are ALWAYS looking, testing, securing potential mate switches. It is what pushed humanity forward, even if it brings societies down. Always be improving your SMV. Never get comfortable.
- Women will have affairs simply to "test the water". It isn't cheating to their hamster.
- Modern society has taken away (and even incentivized) cheating, cuckoldry, AF/BB, and the cock carousel. In today's modern social media world, do NOT expect loyalty. Mate switching is now as close and easy as one right swipe on her iPhone.
- Women have all sorts of built-in biological traits to trick themselves into believing another man is of higher value, thus giving them reason to cheat and lie. We know it as the Hamster.
- Never settle, men. Your life comes first, women secondary.

Main Body

<https://labs.la.utexas.edu/buss/files/2013/02/The-Mate-Switching-Hypothesis-FINAL-PUBLISHED-2017.pdf>

I do not know where to even start. There is so much Redpill "theory" in here to fill manuscripts and the sidebar. This short paper would be enough to shatter BluePill mindsets, but there is nothing extraordinary or shocking here to RedPill aware men. Kudos to the authors of this study who, IMHO, did a fantastic job of remaining neutral while not injecting elements of modern mainstream politics to stay "PC".

A breakdown is necessary...but I suggest you save 40 minutes of your own time and read this yourself. Digest this, because this is science exposing the reality of biology, and that your feelings don't matter, at all. Women are beautiful creatures, but they don't "love", they are engineered to maximize resources and evolutionary success.

"The costs of mate switching start with potential damage to reputational components of her mate value. Among the Kipsigis of Kenya, for example, divorced women command a lower 'bride-price' (Borgerhoff Mulder, 1995). In cultures that tie 'family honor' to the sexuality and mating of their female members, mate switching can levy costs on an entire kin network. If an infidelity preceded the breakup, she may be branded as a cheater—potentially decreasing her attractiveness as a mate (Marlowe, 2004)."

-In other words, women are hypergamous by nature, and societies, even primitive ones, have known this since the dawn of time. In Current western society, the feminine-primary establishment has been achieved (fully advertised hypergamy) in the form of cuckoldry and AF/BB along with fault-free divorce and alimony (aka divorce rape).

"Offspring risk losing economic and other forms of investments of their genetic father and his

kin. Without the father around for protection, children become more vulnerable to exploitation (Hurtado & Hill, 1992). When the mother re-mates, they become stepchildren, which exposes them to elevated risks including physical abuse, sexual abuse, and homicide (Daly & Wilson, 1988). In short, the costs of mate switching can be steep and wide-ranging. These costs often deter mate switching."

-Yes, the costs used to be steep. However, with the rise of feminism and big daddy government, this is now actually CELEBRATED among modern women, hence the rapid rise in divorce and single motherhood. Women celebrate that they no longer "need" men, perhaps true, but they sacrifice so much more in life stability and contentment, not to mention the rapid destruction of a once stable and thriving society.

"Assessment of mate value cannot be a static snapshot at a single point in time. Any dimension of mate value—such as emotional stability, dependability, extant encumbering commitments, status and resource trajectories—may be in flux at any time and require temporal tracking. The need for tracking does not end after a mate is selected. A mate showing stellar career promise may fail to live up to expectations."

-This is how biology works. It gives ZERO f*cks about our feelings. It is cold, but it is efficient from an evolutionary perspective. From a societal perspective it seems cruel, but it is the reality that we live in. Men here who have been divorce raped know this. Men who have been cruelly cheated on know this. It really is not "for better or for worse, till death do us part". You are being shit-tested and evaluated from day one to infinity. True love does not exist. What can YOU provide. As many at TRP have said, anything you did for her in the PAST means nothing now or in the future. Bought her a house, car, and paid her tuition? Doesn't matter.

"Evidence suggests that women indeed continue to track their partner's mate value across the relationship and use this information to invest or disinvest from relationships. For example, cross-cultural evidence indicates that inadequate economic support from the husband is a consistently cited reason for divorce (Betzig, 1989). Furthermore, the magnitude of women's mate retention effort positively correlates with her partner's income and status striving (Buss & Shackelford, 1997)."

-Can you climb dominance hierarchies? The final sentence can explain why top of the food chain alpha males can still get dumped fast if they fuck up. The horror stories of men getting divorced because they were injured or had a traumatic injury that reduced his alpha status in her eyes? Science backs that up. Life can be a bit*ch, but if he can't protect and provide, he is of zero value to her (from an evolutionary standpoint). It takes a truly amazing woman to stay under that pressure.

"They also point to changes within women in their preferences as a result of changes in their mate value, of which ovulation cyclical variation is one source. We hypothesize that continuous self-monitoring provides informational input into prospects for one's own mate switching. Several empirical predictions follow: Women whose mate value increases substantially will become (1) more emotionally dissatisfied with their current partner, (2) more likely to evade a partner's mate guarding efforts, (3) more likely to cultivate backup mates, (4) more likely to initiate new relationships with higher mate value men, and (5) less inclined to stay with their current partners."

- There is so much Redpill Theory in this one paragraph I could never truly elucidate the treasure trove of info here in a sufficient manner. But Redpill theories and field reports bear this out on a

daily basis. Women can suddenly "change", become emotionally detached, suddenly ask for divorce out of the blue, or suddenly cheat without notice. Rational men think, "what changed!?" or "what did I do wrong!?". Well, nothing perhaps, it just may be she spent time, maybe even shortly, with a hotter, taller, richer man. Maybe her new co-worker fit that bill. Maybe the neighbor guy was more "attentive" to her while you busted out 60 hour work weeks to support her. Maybe she got curious and swiped right and saw a 25 year old Chad.

"Does the elevated attention signal interest in a committed relationship or uncommitted sexual desire? Accurately assessing relationship interest is crucial to ensuring a woman does not leave an investing mate for one who is unwilling to commit. The second is value and value trajectory. How does the desirability of the alternative compare to the current mate? Is the alternative sufficiently incrementally better than the current partner in mate value to offset the costs of a switch?"

- Sadly, with our modern divorce laws, open hypergamy, cuckoldry, social media, and tinder, almost all this is null and void since a woman has big-daddy government, white knights, and simps ready to prop her up since there is literally NO COST to mate-switching. One cock to the next, aka the CC, is now a common phenomena.

"Relationship satisfaction is hypothesized to be an internal regulatory variable that functions to calibrate relationship behaviors in response to the fitness costs and benefits of the relationship (Conroy-Beam, Goetz, & Buss, 2015). Individuals show decreased relationship satisfaction when they perceive they are higher in mate value than their romantic partner, but only if there exist highly desirable alternatives in the mating pool (Conroy-Beam et al., in press). Furthermore, the same studies found that lower relationship satisfaction predicts less effort allocated to mate retention."

-In Redpill terms, women date up, men tend to date down. And this is obvious, but women don't want to be around men who bring low value. No Sh*t. Simps think marriage = life long sex and monogamy and trust from their women...oh how wrong they are. Get a dad-bod and supplicate/submit to your women, she isn't even gonna try to keep you except for betabux...which leads to the next...

"Another output of mate switching psychology is emotional disengagement, a process of psychologically divesting from the current partner. One hypothesized emotion that facilitates disengagement is relationship dissatisfaction (Conroy-Beam et al., in press). Empirically, relationship dissatisfaction has been shown to be partly a function of alternatives in the mating pool who are higher in mate value than the current partner (Conroy-Beam et al., in press)."

- Every Redpill man has been through this. Once marriage counseling is the treatment, it is already over. And get that into your head, "relationship satisfaction is partly a function of alternatives in the mating pool". Think about that, how many options are there today? Unlimited! One argument, and that may be all she needs to emotionally divest and start looking elsewhere. She is emotionally done and probably already cheating...which leads nicely to the next...

"According to the mate switching hypothesis, affairs serve several key functions. First, affairs serve as a form of mate insurance, keeping a backup mate in tow should a switch become warranted at some point in the future (Greiling & Buss, 2000). Second, they allow opportunities for close-up assessment of an alternative mate, information that would be difficult or impossible to gauge from a distance. Affairs allow more accurate assays of the three key qualities of the

potential mate—mate value, interest, and availability. They also afford more accurate tests of compatibility, be it sexual or emotional, or synchronization of long-term goals. In this sense, affairs can function as trial runs, simulations of a long-term relationship, without making a full commitment."

-Cheating is just another word, apparently, for "mate insurance". Either way, cheating for her is just a way to bolster her own survival, from an evolutionary means. She is already testing the waters to find the next and better branch to swing to. Once again, it is already over as she has divested from the relationship. But the beta simps will cry and supplicate to appease her. Or...in modern times, are even willing to be cuckolded in an open relationship...just to make her majesty happy. "Happy wife, happy life", right?

"Children pose an additional suite of problems post-breakup because extracting resources for them from an ex can be difficult. Many exes resist continued heavy investment, preferring instead to retain resources for securing new mates. The prevalence of laws in the modern environment that seek to enforce men's continued provisioning are stark testaments to men's evolved proclivities to curtail investment in exes and children with those exes (Shackelford & Weekes-Shackelford, 2004). Ancestral women would not have had recourse to legal remedies, which are evolutionarily novel, although kin from both families may exert pressure on the ejected man to continue parental provisioning."

-No commentary here needed. Basically, divorce, alimony, and child support laws go against our evolutionary past. Once again, our modern "fempowerment" society has actually incentivized women to cheat, cuckold, and ride the CC since there is a pot of gold (alimony and a life of ease) at the end of the rainbow.

"Information gleaned from affairs provide input into decisions about whether to stay with the current mate, switch to the affair partner, or seek an alternative affair partner. In addition to providing information about alternative mates, affairs provide feedback to a woman about her own current mate value. By assessing who returns her flirtations, who responds to her sexual overtures, and who is willing to invest in her, she has a better sense of the quality of mate she is able to attract."

- Remember "Girls night out"?? Yeah, she is really just testing the waters of her SMV, and at worst, cheating and hooking up with Chad and Tyrone. Don't blame her, but men should not be resting on their laurels. Did your LTR/wife suddenly lose 20 pounds and is now suddenly more social? Yeah, there is probably a reason...

"Thus, the mate switching hypothesis provides an alternative explanation for why women have affairs—namely to provide mate insurance, to cultivate backup mates, to assess the viability of a switch, to render the transition back into the mating market easier, or to trade up to a new partner who is less cost-inflicting, more benefit-bestowing, or higher in mate value than their current partner."

-What do we have here? The concept of beta orbiters, AF/BB, and hypergamy all in one tidy paragraph. This is BUILT into female biology. Now that we live in a gynocentric society, this is now openly paraded and celebrated by women and feminists as "empowerment."

Stay on top of your game, men, focus on yourself, and always pursue excellence in life. There is no rest for the weary.

Comments

[deleted] • 214 points • 21 June, 2018 12:50 AM

Yea this is gold, and it shows that absent government support for hypergamy, women actually make MUCH more reasonable decisions. Hypergamy does not go away, but she must seriously consider the downside of "mate switching."

Awesome buzzword by the way. I'd love to get mate switching into the manosphere vernacular. It's really a good description of what they are doing. You are basically a stock to a woman. Just because you have a bad month, or even a bad year, does not necessarily mean you'll get dumped. But she is always reevaluating the value of the stock and wondering if she should cash out and buy something else. As soon as the present value of your future relationship returns falls below the present value of giving you her vagina, she will start looking for a way out.

ozenmacher[S] • 64 points • 21 June, 2018 12:59 AM

It really is a perfect term for what you see in modern society. "Hyper"-mate switching, taken to an extreme. We truly are in a new world where our evolutionary biology is at war against modern society. Everything in life is truly in a state of "hyper-ness". With the rise of the internet, social media, and dating sites, tinder, etc., there is ALWAYS a better mate available, always. I applaud the few women who manage to override their base instincts (as well as the men who stay on top of their game) in this "hyper"-gamous celebrated western culture.

mmerijn • 20 points • 21 June, 2018 11:31 AM

It isn't just social media and the internet, exponential growth in technology has forced extreme and not well adapted changes to occur in society. You can compare it to putting extremely high evolutionary pressure (a large change in environment forces rapid change in species), we have completely overhauled our environment in less than 2 centuries so the fact women and men are not well adapted to the new environment is hardly a surprise.

Satou4 • 2 points • 22 June, 2018 12:37 AM

When the car was invented, women suspected their husbands were cheating more than they used to. It probably made it a lot easier.

raging_mongoose • 17 points • 21 June, 2018 01:58 PM

You re saying women will cheat on a logical reasoning (current male is weaker than new potential male) but isn't it just unlogical at times too ?

Cant any woman be made to cheat and even with a lower male if the right conditions are here ?

I'm directly thinking about the typical "girls night out" where you ll have female friends getting wasted on purpose and looking for some dicks at the club. Ofc someone in a LTR might not go there to cheat on purpose but once she's there with alcohol flowing, people giving her attraction signals and seeing her friends just having fun with a bunch of guys then whats going to stop her cheating (even just grinding or simply kissing) even if its with a lower male ?

Thats my greatest fear with LTR no matter how good you are all it takes is a night out with whore friends and alcohol to shatter it all.

Am I being pessimistic or is it that bad ?

Dargalo04 • 21 points • 21 June, 2018 02:43 PM

Just remain single, get the money and pump & dump. I've been in an LTR and slipped once big time to the point she gave me a beta-bux certified look, which meant bye bye.

I've learned that women aren't deeply interested in you nor care about your emotions. Mind you I tick a lot of boxes but once you loose frame and it's over.

c3ntrifuge • 4 points • 21 June, 2018 02:52 PM

Unless you pickup a head case that requires you to stick around lmao

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 04:32 PM

You ask will a woman cheat with a lower value man. Theoretically at least, the answer to that should be no. Looking back to evolutionary biology, what would be her motivation to do that? While a man can cheat with a lower SMV woman and spread his seed, she can only be impregnated by one man at a time, and it makes no sense for her to go after lower quality genes when she has higher quality locked up. Hypergamy says that if she has the chance to cheat with a higher SMV male, she may take it.

Of course in reality there are no guarantees. Alcohol makes people do stupid things, and daddy government also throws a monkey wrench into the whole equation.

AshyLarry27 • 4 points • 22 June, 2018 01:48 AM

My argument would be is he is "perceived" as one. This "comparing in the stock market" metaphor at the top of the comments really just hit home for me. A lot of the more semi-alpha types post how they check off all the boxes but got dumped over some brutal stuff. One post I read about a guy got emotional over his sick mother, and the girl then booked it due to that shatter in frame.

I think when women then see this "massive (cock)stock" male where the line is trending up and consistent, but then has this out-of-no-where drop, it scares her into testing the waters or dropping the stock outright.

But back to your "why is she going for lower SMV."

Are you sure in that moment she sees a LOW smv guy? Think of my last comparison with the TRP guy that got emotional. Now think about guys like "Mystery" and guys in RSD. Do they lift bro? Pickup artists are the best example of how you can "mask" your SMV.

Lib3rtarianSocialist • 4 points • 21 June, 2018 05:06 PM

She can cheat with a lower SMV male if the consequences are minimal. Birth control ensures she won't get pregnant with inferior genes, for one. Then, if she can get away with it/doesn't get caught.

FOODYUMONION • 3 points • 22 June, 2018 04:18 AM

Of course she can cheat with a lower man. Why? Because this lower value man can fake being high value for the few hours it takes. She thinks he is high value but doesn't change the fact he's lower. I mean the world is full of men faking their value

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 05:20 PM

But her biology shouldn't be motivating her to do that. It makes no sense.

MilkMoney111 • 5 points • 21 June, 2018 07:46 PM

Women are still highly emotional. Some marry out of pure spite just to be vindictive to an ex. If a woman is willing to gamble that, she sure as shit will fuck a lower SMV guy just to prove something to herself/her friends/society/what-the-fuck-ever.

[deleted] • 3 points • 6 July, 2018 09:55 AM

I can vouch for this. My ex married some guy who she divorced after 4 months. Yeah, that happens. It's real!

[deleted] • -3 points • 21 June, 2018 08:04 PM

Some women marry just to spite their ex? Lol, that sounds pretty ridiculous, honestly.

Lib3rtarianSocialist • 2 points • 22 June, 2018 03:02 PM

Ridiculous stuff happens all the time everywhere.

fattybread83 • 4 points • 21 June, 2018 06:25 PM

No such thing as a queen without drones.

[deleted] • -3 points • 21 June, 2018 08:03 PM

Um, ok. No such thing as a hamburger without buns. What's your point?

TRPDigesting • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 09:35 PM

Can't remember the exact post where I saw it here, but there was a good comment awhile back about how different men can symbolize different things to women. While SMV is a hierarchy, it's not the ONLY hierarchy necessarily.

The comment went on to detail that even a highly-successful billionaire type with strong frame may have a wife that cheats on him with a gritty motorcycle mechanic just because she likes how rough and manly he is. Or any number of other examples.

The commenter was pessimistic to say that, "Even if you're top dog in one thing, she may get a craving for something else."

But yeah, I agree with the "Theoretically, the answer should be no." We can make some strong generalizations about this, but it ultimately comes down to the eye of the beholder.

eaazzy_13 • 1 point • 26 June, 2018 01:40 PM

I agree with you completely. My solution is to never invest in a woman that would go out and get hammered without you.

scissor_me_timbers00 • 7 points • 22 June, 2018 03:47 AM

It's already been coined. It's called branch swinging my man.

TheReformist94 • 14 points • 21 June, 2018 12:54 PM

Just make sure in today's climate you are cheating and using polygamy for back up plans.its only way lads in 2018 or you are playing in her frame of sexual strategy.polygamy or be fucked in her serial monogamy.

Narcissist456 • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 07:46 PM

Yup always gotta be rehearsing your ABCs. Always Be Cheating.

[deleted] • 5 points • 21 June, 2018 12:08 PM

Any non war torn countries where government does not support hypergamy??? For....research purposes....

Proto_Sigma • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 10:02 PM

We use 'branch swinging' as a synonym for 'mate switching'. Our term is more irreverent vernacular while 'mate switching' is more scientific and neutral in tone. I'm interested to see if the later term catches on.

Narcissist456 • 4 points • 21 June, 2018 07:30 PM

What about true lurrrrrvvvv?!!

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:21 PM

We just call it branch swinging

DCMike01 • -1 points • 21 June, 2018 08:28 PM

Can anyone point to any research done on men "dating down" and women "dating up"? I know from anecdotal experience.

615bachelor • 113 points • 21 June, 2018 03:01 AM

So glad I found the redpill 3 years ago. Articles like this one is the reason why I come on here. The redpill is backed up by facts but men still don't want to be unplugged. I can't blame guys who want to pump and dump for the rest of their lives. After your eyes have been open you no longer seem to value women.

The_Chiselator • 42 points • 21 June, 2018 07:38 AM

Being a beta cuck doormat is also a sexual strategy. Basically these guys calculate in their lizard brain that a piece of any woman shared with other men is better than no woman. And so they shut their mental eyes to this stuff.

You can't unplug a man until he wipes out.

_the_shape_ • 8 points • 21 June, 2018 09:04 PM*

Exactly.

Think of this the next time you hear a girl say: "...at least I'm going back to him *at the end of the night*".

Beta cucks have their own version too, of course: "...at least she's coming back to me at the end of the night".

[deleted] • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 08:06 PM

Great comment. It's entirely possible that being a beta bitch is actually an evolved sexual strategy. For men who will never be a top dog, this might be more effective.

Nonames4U • 1 points • 22 June, 2018 07:35 AM **[recovered]**

My question is, is this more common or less common with high IQ women? It's known that higher IQ men are less likely to cheat, so I wonder if higher IQ women also override their ape instincts.

hakubamatata • 12 points • 21 June, 2018 01:06 PM

Pump and dump sounds so cold... it's just enjoying women for their fun aspects and passing them on once they're used, boring or trying to get monogamy out of you... to use you for things like property, marriage,

children... and taking photos of her on island holidays she planned for you both

[deleted] • 2 points • 23 June, 2018 10:58 PM

What does a religious man who found trp now at the age of 18 do? He wants to save his thing for after marriage and cant "pump and dump". After reading posts like these it essentially removes all motivation to even carry on with life really.

If you didnt know, thats me.

615bachelor • 4 points • 24 June, 2018 12:20 AM

Still pump and dump. It sounds like that you still have some blue pill thinking and want the traditional marriage like a tradcon. But welcome to reality. I grew up in church and wanted the same as you but college smacked me out of the matrix. Once you are unplugged there is no going back.

You can still try to find a unicorn but the chances of finding one is slim. Once you do find the special woman , what makes you think that she will want you over Chad thundercock? What makes you think that she won't change her mind in her 30s and want to divorce rape you because she "missed out on life". My advice is to just work on yourself and pump and dump. If you are looking for marriage then look into moving to Eastern Europe. Everywhere else in the world has been effected by feminism and western thinking. Don't get married in America , it's just not worth it. Women here all have high notch counts. That's just the facts

[deleted] • 1 point • 24 June, 2018 12:49 PM

But what I still struggle to understand about this notion on trp is that after a couple months of reading is that what happens when you cant attract females anymore say for example at 40/50 and because of your many relationships you have lost the ability to pair bond? You will be most likely living alone with no children?

If we want trp philosophy to carry on shouldnt we be raising children and attempting to have families in a good atmosphere to counteract the bluepill conditioned western society?

Btw I am from the UK, so I am guessing it is the same case in which you talk about high n count and whatnot. Thanks for your time.

Edit: grammar

eaazzy_13 • 4 points • 26 June, 2018 04:24 PM

Check out the married red pill sub.

All the things in this sub ring true. But still, long term relationships and marriage can be beneficial to the man if handled right.

Basically, this boils down to preference. There isn't a right or wrong. Some men will be ok with pump and dump for their entire lives, and that's fine. But also, some men also want to achieve a satisfactory long term relationship and that is ok as well. I've noticed you in a few threads that are anti-marriage, and want you to know that isn't the only realistic option. Marriage and long term relationships can be ok and not forbidden, but you HAVE to know how to handle them, and make sure you continue getting what you want out of them. The sub I posted above can help you get what you want and need out of serious relationships, and there is nothing wrong with long term relationships as long as all your needs are being met. I happen to be a man that adheres to redpill philosophy and still desires to have a long term relationship, and there are many like us.

While I understand that you wish to save your virginity for marriage, I have to advise you to let

that notion go and get over it. The whole reason religion says to save your virginity for marriage, is because our ancestors wanted a way to stop women from fucking Chads left and right. It was a strategy to combat hypergamy, nothing else. This notion was conceived simply because no man wants to marry women who have fucked large amounts of men, and by making women believe that god would strike them down and no man would want them if they weren't a Virgin, it was a viable strategy to fight hypergamy.

But now that almost every single man in our countries will marry a women that has fucked lots of men, women aren't afraid to have a high n count. What's to stop them, if they can ride lots of dicks AND still get married when they're done (which wasn't possible back then). Saving yourself for marriage was strictly for women back in the day. Saving yourself for marriage as a man makes no sense, because unless you move to Eastern Europe or Utah and find a Mormon, you will not find a woman who will do the same. And even if you do, there's no telling if she will stay for you forever. It's not worth it, and you won't get anything out of it. You will only waste your prime, and forfeit your opportunity to experience sexuality in the best years of your life.

Go out and get laid my friend. Seriously. Don't adhere to an outdated rule that was only conceived to stop women from being sluts.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 June, 2018 06:34 PM

Wow I appreciate the time you took to write this out just for me. That means a lot brother. I will reply properly soon when I get the time.

[deleted] • 2 points • 26 June, 2018 08:12 PM

Okay I see where you are coming from brother. I just feel as if we are being dealt a hand, religious people especially. Because if you want to preserve your virginity you run the risk of all the blowback effects of divorce as well as if she wants to jump back on the cock carousel in search of chad among other things. It does make me feel cornered as I guess I will have to most likely move country if I do want to obtain what I desire.

Bro, since you are already here, I would love it if you could answer this question. I am 18 (idk if I've told you that before) and get sometimes the urge to just get rid of my virginity (although I do have religious commitments). I am not sure how to explain it because it does sound contradictory to what I have said before. I am young so I guess my desire is raging which it is. I am also on the red pill so I am by proxy in search of improving myself and attempting to make myself attractive to the opposite sex. However I dont attract the specific type of girl I am into if I do get any attraction which is pretty rare tbh. I have started hitting the gym often, I am just about 5'9 fyi. So I have just thought about literally buying a prostitute and getting the deed done since I have turned 18 two months ago. What do you think?

eaazzy_13 • 0 points • 27 June, 2018 12:35 AM

I could tell you were conflicted and really felt the need to share my wisdom to help you out. Us men need to stick together. No problem, buddy.

You will regret it if you save your virginity. I hate to say it, and I don't mean to be offensive to your religion but I'm just being real. It's a silly commitment, and was only invented to stop women from whoring out. That's it. You can always work on yourself to attract to women you want, and hitting the gym is a great start. Good for you, keep it up and it WILL pay off eventually.

As for getting a whore, I would seriously suggest it. You'll want to get some sexual

experience before diving into the modern western dating world anyway. I'd suggest buying a prostitute for a determined amount of time, so you can fuck her more than once just Incase you bust your first nut quick. Some people cum fast their first time so just Incase, you should pay her for a full hour so you can go at it a second time. But, some people don't bust quick. When I lost my virginity, I was so nervous that it took me 45 minutes to nut just because my mind was racing. If that's the case for you too, you'll be glad you got her for an hour as well.

Once you have sex once and realize it's not some magical act that you should save for marriage, it will help you realize that saving your virginity is silly. I'd definitely suggest buying the hooker buddy. You can always message me if you have any more questions, and if you do end up going with the prostitute, let me know how it goes!

[deleted] • 1 point • 27 June, 2018 09:30 AM

Bro I just dont know who to tell it to. I am scared if I save it for a woman after marriage she will leave me and it will be a waste. Damn it I am so conflicted. Your points make sense but I feel guilty at the same time, like if some of my friends and whole family found out I would be literally kicked out or outcasted.

If I do go and get a hooker, I want it to be a sort of learning experience because I am obviously oblivious. So shall I tell her beforehand that I am a newbie and that I would love it if she could experiment stuff? And should I look out for any red flags? Sorry for all the questions lol, it seems like I am out of the loop on a lot things. Once again, thanks for your time and kind words, its nice to know a stranger on the internet has got my back :)

Ezaar • 129 points • 21 June, 2018 01:30 AM

Bring me deeper into the abyss.

Pain will soon be my home.

[deleted] • 45 points • 21 June, 2018 04:41 AM

Go get laid- you'll feel better. I promise.

Extremely_Photogenic • 87 points • 21 June, 2018 03:09 PM

Nope. Doesn't make it feel any better.

Think of Cypher from the matrix. He doesn't care that the steak isnt real. It tastes real and that's all the matters.

We can no longer have the glorious blue pill fantasy life of love. That feeling is amazing and it's gone for us now forever.

Was it worth it? If you could plug back in would you? I don't judge either side. Personally I don't ever chose the side of blissful ignorance, but I certainly see the benefits.

So back to the original point - sex is trivially easy with Tinder these days. Sex is boring. Sexual pleasure is so easy to come by in the comfort of your own home and there is a certain sense of unfulfillment from making a cum dumpster yours for the night. So nope - getting laid doesn't make you feel better. Or at least it doesn't make me feel better.

There's fulfillment in being a patriarchy, raising a family, expanding your roots. There's nothing fulfilling

about sex in itself. Just dopamine spikes and physical pleasure. Lamé

balalasaurus • 50 points • 21 June, 2018 04:30 PM

Yup. Unfortunately most men still operate under the assumption that sex is the final goal - especially men who come here. When you've had enough, you realize that it really is replaceable.

Higher awakening is something I've been calling for for a while now in this sub. It, however, requires a collective shift. Unfortunately for as long as people see this space as one to guide men to getting laid, and not as the greater tool that it is, we will continue to hear and be told that a tight wet pussy is the answer to most of life's problems.

trollreign • 25 points • 21 June, 2018 05:44 PM

You are trying to tell illiterates that they should read high literature and science rather than pop magazines.

You cannot get to the point where you can chase "higher awakening" before you satisfy your more primitive urges. Fewer people are on that level on this sub than most people seem to think.

SKRedPill • 10 points • 22 June, 2018 04:30 AM

Rollo put that in another way. For most men, even after reading all this, the point of origin is still women. If they didn't get women, most men would stop improving themselves. That's about all that they value themselves.

Men really need to see themselves are more than just something that fucks women. Counter intuitively this makes them more attractive. In No More Mr Nice Guy, one of the key lessons is to shift the focus back on to yourself and eliminate this inherent subconscious belief that you're worthless if women don't accept you and start to see yourself as valuable.

But yes, this sub's main purpose is sexual strategy. However in a man's life, this is part of a bigger puzzle that extends into every dimension of his existence. Not many have the ability to consciously push themselves because they value themselves. This is the last vestige of BP conditioning that needs to go.

Lib3rtarianSocialist • 6 points • 21 June, 2018 05:10 PM

The "higher awakening" will happen naturally. It can't be forced but it is gradually happening. What's next though, after the awakening?

dialecticwizard • 11 points • 21 June, 2018 09:13 PM

There is no awakening as such. There is only evolution. If evolution suggests that blind automatism has to use mate switching to achieve evolutionary ends in a barbaric phase, it is very likely that sex is obsolete at the end of history for humans when the whole concept of mating ceases to be relevant...material dialectics (post barbarism). We are in a transitory phase in capitalism. Neither fish nor fowl. Neither fully human nor quite non beast. In this phase, we basically negotiate with as much consciousness as we can muster to achieve the best possible outcome. Treading smartly. Does that mean we do not mate? Here, in capitalism I suspect is where economics play a role as to how successful you are in weathering the risks. This is the phase...capitalism....where the genders are more immediately defined by economy and it is here the men who are the most able, will suffer the least. In capitalism, are the varying economic abilities of both genders, brought to a middle ground by the unrelenting hand of history which drives us, like sheep.

Edit: This little snippet here is so fucking eye-opening, I will have to save it to keep reminding myself as I move forward.

Lib3rtarianSocialist • 1 point • 22 June, 2018 03:00 PM

How do you think may, in the future, the whole concept of mating cease to be relevant such that sex becomes obsolete?

dialecticwizard • 0 points • 22 June, 2018 08:45 PM

As a function of evolution. It is quite complex and tied to how I scientifically analyse the material world mathematically. Really complicated stuff and knowledge that has some profound applications so I generally talk about this subject philosophically. I am the man who sees it all and I can only give away so much. What little I give, I kinda hope one or two will be able to grasp. Thats about the best I can do.

Extremely_Photogenic • 5 points • 21 June, 2018 05:03 PM

I guess that's just what the red pill is used for and there is nothing wrong with that. The answer may be a new, synergistic space, as opposed to a shift in this one.

dingleburry_joe • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 05:44 PM

I think there's a bit of something for everyone on here, please by all means if there is some knowledge or ideas you wish to discuss, think about making a post and seeing where it goes . I think many who read the sub are young men learning the ropes. I agree that there are greater tools to empowerment, masculinity, and an overall paradigm shift in terms of RP thinking.

19ninetyseven • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 05:47 PM

These two comments hit home. The excitement of the repeated "chase", and even the novelty of being chased by women, does indeed wear off after a while.

Give us a clear definition of higher awakening - because with the right ideas, something beyond merely getting laid, and opening our eyes to how we really can be in this society that we already have TRP insight deep into, should definitely be something that is really worth pursuing.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 08:07 PM

What do you mean by higher awakening?

EumenesOfEfa • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 10:09 PM

Give it some time to mature. A good scotch takes at least eight years

Dabunghole • 1 point • 22 June, 2018 03:57 AM

Hard to reach that higher awakening when you're a spurs fan. Jk jk. I agree with you.

tregomez • 1 points • 21 June, 2018 05:46 PM **[recovered]**

Amen brother. I know I'm reasonably attractive but I just can't get myself to be the same person. It's a steep slope into MGTOW which I can't fight against and shouldn't. It's best for every man.

Extremely_Photogenic • 10 points • 21 June, 2018 06:12 PM

I love MGTOW theory but think it fails in practice. How many MGTOW people are actually just

incels? How many people would remain MGTOW if they have the same SMW and options as Brad Pitt?

Narcissist456 • 7 points • 21 June, 2018 07:43 PM

MGTOW is not committing to women, doesn't mean pumping and dumping is off the table.

trexgomez • 1 points • 21 June, 2018 07:22 PM **[recovered]**

Bud I don't know many mgtows. One of them I personally know just bought a sports bike recently. He is working in the states and for us Indians that's at least a 9 on the SMV.

For the lack of example, I'll boast a little. I am 6ft, 6 and below girls always give me the eyes. Decent built. Aesthetic body. 700 matches on tinder and currently 99+ women in my surrounding who have liked me (Without premium tinder). Just like my buddy I'm also studying abroad in worlds top 100 university. A few patents. Research background. If I were to go back to India I would easily surpass a 9. And I'm saving up for artificial insemination/surrogacy/IVF. I'm certain I wouldn't get married.

Extremely_Photogenic • 4 points • 21 June, 2018 07:27 PM

Fucking awesome man. Good for you I'm glad things are looking good.

Keep it going, be a 9.9/10 next year.

SKRedPill • 2 points • 22 June, 2018 04:37 AM

Most MGTOWs are still reactive. I feel that your journey to power is only complete when you discover the final law - "Create your own kingdom". This would have been rather obvious once upon a time, but even if it is as small as buying your own car or having your room designed the way you want it, a man must realize that he will never have full power and full responsibility so long as he is in some other's game playing by others' rules and imperatives. This is the most powerful road, but it's also by far the hardest road.

Very few men are alpha enough for this, even those that are alpha at game.

mallardcove • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 06:29 PM

How many MGTOW people are actually just incels?

Pretty much all.

How many people would remain MGTOW if they have the same SMW and options as Brad Pitt?

About the same number of women who aren't AWALT.

AMGTOWALT.

Extremely_Photogenic • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 06:52 PM

Yea exactly. So as an ideal I can respect it, but in reality I don't respect most MGTOW people. It's just a cover

dingleburry_joe • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 05:22 PM

Thanks for this post and I agree, once you overcome the whole sex is nothing fulfilling in and of itself and it's just a physical pleasurable act, you don't pedestalize pussy. It's empowering plus you can

focus on other goals and for fulfilling things like you said raising a family and careers goals.

Extremely_Photogenic • 9 points • 21 June, 2018 05:24 PM

Yes, BUT, I meant it in more of a depressing way. I'm scared as shit to raise a family even though it's a real life goal of mine.

Still have to figure out how I'm going to manage this whole marriage thing with all of the modern legal bullshit.

gazdogz • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 07:06 PM

Find a good accountant. Not sure how it works in US but in Australia if you set up a family trust and put everything (house/car/business) in that trust it no longer belongs to you but to the trust. Your wife will obviously have a problem with it but bad luck to her, she didn't earn it. If you ever get divorced she gets nothing that belongs to the trust. I'm assuming it's very similar in other western countries. Be smart about how you set up your finances, speak to a professional.

Extremely_Photogenic • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 07:11 PM

That's great advice, but there are also non-financial issues. It's called TRP on hard mode for a reason.

And i wish I could put my kids in a family trust lol.

I guess it just comes down to picking the right girl (AWALT but some are better than others), maintaining frame/masculinity/game at all times, and some luck

dingleburry_joe • 0 points • 21 June, 2018 07:22 PM

I can relate dude, I'm still young so I'm just enjoying the free puss and freedom for now.

Extremely_Photogenic • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 07:26 PM

Ya same, just turned 23 this week

MilkMoney111 • -1 points • 21 June, 2018 08:00 PM

I definitely agree sex in itself is unfulfilling. But I do find enjoyment in seeing something I want and taking it. Perhaps it's validation seeking, but it keeps me sane if I strike out or go through a rough patch in life. It goes back to abundance... if I've fucked objectively hotter women, I'm not giving a single fuck if things go sour with someone less attractive.

red_philosopher • 54 points • 21 June, 2018 04:18 AM

So many men are going to be outraged when they read this. Excellent find.

magnificent18 • 21 points • 21 June, 2018 10:12 PM*

Understanding female hypergamy is equivalent to us guys playing fantasy football. No loyalty with our lineup, only value and consistency matter when picking players. The second a player fucks up we got the next best replacement in our bench waiting.

The goal is to pick the hottest player of that moment and win. We want to win for getting recognition/attention from our peers (competitors).

This is how women are in real life with men. Also why some women are really good at fantasy football without

even understanding football.

Satou4 • 3 points • 22 June, 2018 12:49 AM

Great analogy. Good way to remember it easily.

postreformedpua • 67 points • 21 June, 2018 08:04 AM*

Societal problems aside there are two ways of looking at this.

1) OMG ladies won't unconditionally love me as I am right now like my mummy would.

2) This guarantees that the more I improve the better the women waiting for me will be.

I say this as a guy that has been dumped for better prospects many, many times. You've just got to get better.

bluewalrus1001 • 38 points • 21 June, 2018 10:48 AM

I like this reply... It digs deep knowing that there isn't that true romanticized unconditional love out there.

Our options: - bitch and moan about it OR -become better versions and love ourselves while creating strong bonds with other goal oriented men.

I will pick the latter.

dankvibez • 8 points • 21 June, 2018 10:00 PM

Are you actually this much of a brainlet? Of course you need to try to improve yourself. But you have all lost complete sight of what is going on.

You do realize if everyone follows the "get better" advice, we are all in THE EXACT SAME PLACE WE STARTED IN. With the exception that we are all spending a TON of effort to maintain what we once had for free.

The current version of redpill is just another version of being cucked, you are still completely 100% controlled by women. Instead of focusing constantly on all this "get better" bullshit, why don't we actually start trying to get society to revert back to traditional values.

OP said it quite well: "It is what pushed humanity forward, even if it brings societies down."

Women's hypergamy is destroying society. Now I would write more out, but I have to go watch my dark triad educational series on how to behave more like a psychopath. Anything to get the ladies wet!

postreformedpua • 7 points • 21 June, 2018 10:26 PM

Hey dude why don't you calm down and get your mom to make you some more tendies.

dankvibez • 8 points • 22 June, 2018 01:51 AM

Is that all you can come up with?

If so, it seems your one of those "I can't change the world AT ALL, so I am going to just take it like it is and make the best of it, and FORGET about changing it."

Look, I'm doing the same stuff you are probably, working out, looking after my health, trying to improve my game etc. Getting laid is nice, but I think that society as a whole would be much better served if we didn't have to spend our time doing this stupid routine of "game" with girls and just switched to a more traditional society where men focus more on their career/passion and women are less able to act out their instincts.

Some aspects such as working out, eating right, are things everyone should do, but does it really make sense to have a species reproductive success/sexual success depend on how well he is able to

"PICK UP CHIX BRO!!!"

No it doesn't. It isn't good for society. Maybe you don't realize it, and have a bit of stockholm syndrome. But everything you do related to "PICKING UP BABES" is because you are a slave to women still. For men in the past this isn't true.

I'm not saying you should stop lifting/picking up girls. I'm saying you should realize what you are doing is not the pinnacle of what you were destined to do. You live in a shitty time where feminism is at its peak and you had to do these stupid games to pick up women. I just think the end goal for the manosphere should be to implement policy to allow women to return to their traditional role in society.

scissor_me_timbers00 • 4 points • 22 June, 2018 04:00 AM

OOooh you sure burned him. He'll never recover from that one.

dingleburry_joe • 14 points • 21 June, 2018 06:21 PM

This post is eye opening especially in combination with the endorsed contributor comments. For me it's a hard pill to swallow. The overall message is repeatedly stated on here and that's why it's good to read these posts often and internalize them from different field reports, sources, and posts.

Uncle Vasya's post: Never stop competing is my mantra.

In the wise words of Rollo: HYPERGAMY DOESN'T CARE

This can be good or bad depending on how alpha mindset you are. Strive to be alpha and bend this shit to your will.

Develop a frame of titanium steel, understand the realities of female nature and zen the fuck out it. The broken glass parable is empowering for me. When you just let go and accept the reality that is hypergamy. It's gonna end at some point so enjoy while your in it and DGAF. It's a constant battle of the mind to kill the inner beta because as I understand now it leads to death.

In terms of women you must always be dominant, always masculine, and increase SMV like your life depends on it, and do not invest more than her. I am the fucking prize!

magnificent18 • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 10:15 PM

You can understand hypergamy by simply playing fantasy football. Let me know if you want me to further explain.

lkfjdlkafj • 26 points • 21 June, 2018 09:48 AM

Follow the citations to find a LOT more supporting evidence for this than just that study.

Also, female monkeys in particular will stir up shit and shun the males that are not fighting. That's pretty much a blueprint for proxy violence that you can see in many women.

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-3963620/Nagged-battle-Female-monkeys-manipulate-males-fighting-shunning-don-t-involved.html>

HumanSockPuppet • 24 points • 21 June, 2018 03:39 PM

Modern women are drug addicts. And we are enabling their addiction.

The mindless pendulum of AF/BB pursuit, at its most basic level, is really a woman chasing her built-in reward triggers. When her needs shift from one to the other, she moves with the pendulum.

All of the social movements and legal provisions built around women's interests, from alimony and child support, to MeToo and YesAllWomen, to anti slut-shaming and body acceptance, are designed around providing women with a "shortest, unobstructed path" to her next dose.

And men, of course, are tasked with facilitating all of this.

Make no mistake, women nowadays may not need a particular man, but they always have and always will need **men**. All we've done is hidden the process by which that provisioning is achieved. Like letting the farmer and the butcher do the dirty work in getting the meat to your dinner table.

For women, earning a man's commitment and protective instinct is that "dirty work".

If you ever want to receive a modicum of respect, you must not give in to the expectation that your services are always granted. You must cease in being self-sacrificing and noble, and demand an upfront price.

[deleted] • 22 points • 21 June, 2018 08:22 PM*

Great post. I feel like the modern world that women's brains experience is a bit like what guys' brains go through with porn. It's pretty well documented now that porn is giving us unnatural dopamine hits and warping our view of reality. It's tricking your caveman brain into thinking that you are a Persian king with a harem of 50 women. When reality does not match up to this, it causes problems - depression, low T, sexual dysfunction, lack of motivation, etc.

That's how the modern world is for women. Because we've removed all the natural negative consequences of hypergamy, it's now completely off the leash. Every woman is free to act as if she were a perfect 10. She can hop from cock to cock without consequence. She can provide for herself both because of changes in the labor market and daddy government. She can leave her husband and an external actor (gov) will ensure she is taken care of.

So now she's basically just chasing the dopamine hit of hypergamy. She never gets pregnant because of birth control. She just goes from dick to dick constantly searching out those better genes. Yet despite all this, 25% of women are depressed and on happy pills, not to mention the countless post wall cat ladies. Modern women's lives are ultimately as unrewarding as watching porn all day is for men.

ozenmacher[S] • 8 points • 22 June, 2018 01:01 AM

Western women are broken, it really is sad to watch unfold. Yes, TRP is amoral, but still...

The amount of women who will be on SSRI anti-depressants is already hitting some absurd levels in the USA, and it is only going to skyrocket. Sadly most of these women are crab bucket...they will never tell the next generation that the lives they led eventually brought misery on them. If anything they will just become feminists themselves and keep the cycle going.

SKRedPill • 7 points • 22 June, 2018 04:44 AM

More like 54% of all women above 40. And remember that women lie and downplay a lot in surveys.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 June, 2018 03:37 PM

That's unbelievable... got a source for 54%?

HumanSockPuppet • 7 points • 21 June, 2018 08:46 PM

Mods, a point for this man.

SilkTouchm • 0 points • 23 June, 2018 03:50 AM

. It's pretty well documented now that porn is giving us unnatural dopamine hits and warping our

| view of reality.

Literally anything gives you unnatural dopamine hits. Music, movies, videogames, etc.

SKRedPill • 2 points • 22 June, 2018 04:43 AM

The modern world's pleasures are like eating a 10000 calorie diet - what it does to our mind is as damaging as obesity to the body. Our brains evolved to cope with like 100 calories of pleasure back in the days. Now, like white sugar, it's sweet poison

Satou4 • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 06:20 PM*

So, make her go all the way in order to test the waters with you. This makes sense because she will use sex as a way to evaluate your potential as an insurance mate. Thanks for commenting.

[deleted] • 22 points • 21 June, 2018 12:51 PM

I just overdosed on The Redpill thanks to this post.

Anyways, this is amazing post. Hypergamy does not go away indeed

jonpe87 • 21 points • 21 June, 2018 02:04 PM

This!

What changed me, my confidence, my life approach was not how I see myself, but how I see the world. When you realize that you live in a jungle, your body will react.

When all you see around you is egoism and lies, become a jerk is pretty easy. This world don't deserve my goodness.

RedPilledGodEmperor • 16 points • 21 June, 2018 03:57 PM

Yep especially with social media now, you really see how narcissistic society is. I don't care about getting married or any of that BS. Just want to make money, have my own place, travel more, good group of friends and that's it. No need for that house with a white picket fence and kids. I'm trying to retire as soon as I can and get out of the rat race.

sh0t • 8 points • 21 June, 2018 02:59 PM

Indeed. "The Jungle" is the exact term I use when talking to others. "We never left the Jungle."

[deleted] • 21 points • 21 June, 2018 06:44 AM

To have this black & white on paper is so healthy to many like me that are unplugging from BP mindset. I believe this will become a very large societal problem in the future

Jalakee • 28 points • 21 June, 2018 02:42 PM

This research is nothing new, nor is the community discussion of hypergamy anything new. There are scholarly articles referencing this term going back to the early 1990's, and this was discussed colloquially on sosuave.net well over 10 years ago.

I've seen this play out many times over the years. When guys first wrap their heads around this phenomenon it is so jarring to their existing worldview that they begin to devour everything they can on the subject---much it is TRP, anecdotal, non-scientific material---and start down a pathway of confirmation bias where they pick out examples from their daily life---some so extreme and extrapolated that it borders on ridiculous.

Here is the TL/DR version of hypergamy:

All females have a tendency to date, bang, and marry up. That doesn't mean that *every* male romantic/sexual interaction will neatly fit into the hypergamy box, but in general that is their proclivity. This is a validated phenomenon in the animal kingdom and in human socialization, and it's easy to understand from an evolutionary perspective.

What can YOU do about it? 1) Be the best man you can be. Constantly self-improve, never neglect your health and fitness, prioritize your interests and career/social development when you are younger, before fatherhood.

2) Marry and date down. Not by an absurd interval, but a rung or two on the ladder. You should be smarter than your partner. You should have more of a foothold in the world. It's natural, it's normal, they need it, and you will thank yourself later.

ozenmacher[S] • 6 points • 21 June, 2018 03:20 PM*

Never meant it is be anything but a topic of discussion. Of course this is not brand new science, but some men, especially newcomers, need to know this. I am glad you are red pillled going back to the 90s, but few men here are.

I am so very thankful for sosuave and some of the early manosphere blogs.

I agree wholly on confirmation bias. We should always be questioning and evaluating...and testing. Just like those amazing women we all love so much. Peace brother.

Jalakee • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 05:46 PM

All good, new men need to hear it and pass it along. Sosuave definitely saved my bacon, there were no other resources around in the early 2000's.

Aggressive_Beta • 5 points • 21 June, 2018 08:11 PM

Marry and date down

This is horrible advice. Men should never get married under any circumstances.

willowhawk • 15 points • 21 June, 2018 08:06 AM*

So how do we use this knowledge to our advantage.

Down voted for asking for advice on how to use this? Okay

ozenmacher[S] • 17 points • 21 June, 2018 09:48 AM*

I think the takeaway for me, since becoming Redpilled, is that constant self-improvement is what a man ought to always be striving for. It is what makes him a man, and that nothing should get in the way of that pursuit. Focusing on women is a waste of time. Marrying can be disastrous. Understanding these basic things are huge advantages for men who are unplugged.

RedPilledGodEmperor • 8 points • 21 June, 2018 04:04 PM

yeah, don't get married is a big one. Just don't do it. Not even once. Never been married, but I have seen the effects it can have and don't want it myself. Even before marriage, the amount spent on weddings is crazy to think about.

Knew a kid in my grade whose parents lived in a huge house. His dad was awesome and still probably is an awesome guy. At a certain point, parents got divorced and he has to live in an apartment. Never seen it, but definitely not as big or nice as that house they used to live in.

Same with my uncle who was still doing pretty good after the divorce for some time, but has to pay like

\$20K/year in alimony. Not enough to live on, but definitely a lot to give up.

Satou4 • 6 points • 21 June, 2018 06:51 PM

The apartment would be nicer for me, lower maintenance costs. The more time you can save on trivial things, the more you can use it for other stuff.

One example, from Ronin Man on YouTube, is how he takes coffee. He literally just puts a bit of coffee grounds in his mouth and swishes water around and swallows it. He said he likes coffee but he only really needs the caffeine from it.

This saves him time on many things. He doesn't have to wait for the water to boil. No mug to wash. No coffee pot to wash. He doesn't have to check how many filters are left. He doesn't have to spend money on filters. How much time he saves every day on this alone, well it's something like 5 minutes. And he gets the same caffeine benefit.

Now extend this to several other areas and suddenly you have another whole hour every day.

Timthetiny • 3 points • 22 June, 2018 11:12 PM

The moron could just take a caffeine pill then. Lol

RedPilledGodEmperor • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 07:38 PM

Fair point. Maybe the apartment he is living in is nice. As a single man, once I get my finances right to the point where I can afford to live without roommates, I would rather have a luxury apartment in a city, than buy a big house that has a bunch of rooms that don't get filled.

I make my own cold brew, which can actually be cheaper and easier to make than hot coffee. Also tastes better and easy to drink black over ice. I can also make a big batch at once. The coffee grounds in mouth thing sounds too intense for me.

Pastelitomaracucho • 8 points • 21 June, 2018 05:06 PM

The article clearly states the characteristics that women find attractive in men. Read them and internalise them.

The reality is, your value will fluctuate over time because well, such is life. Therefore, you must plan your life in function of that: get ready to walk away, do not invest yourself fully in a woman, ever and try, by all means possible, to stay on top of your game as much as you can.

dingleburry_joe • 4 points • 21 June, 2018 06:00 PM

Taking note for daily goals

postreformedpua • 10 points • 21 June, 2018 08:20 AM

If you're not improving you're losing.

bonusfruit • 7 points • 21 June, 2018 11:17 PM

I will never not be sickened by this state of affairs. At times I can convince myself to live and let live, but it just seems too bleak. I wonder if men would adapt better if they were taught this stuff from birth. Believing in love and the potential for a faithful mate and family in ones formative years completely fucks mens heads later on when they discover the truth

scissor_me_timbers00 • 2 points • 22 June, 2018 04:21 AM

To be fair, when there is societally enforces strict monogamy, like there was until just a few decades ago, it actually does allow for a more loving spousal relationship and family life. Some red pill truths still apply and marital fidelity is never 100% guaranteed, but it at least enforced a framework for better odds.

BluePillsAddict • 1 points • 21 June, 2018 05:39 AM [recovered]

Women are not loveable. I'd call them all whores but it's in their DNA. Keep them all on rotation and don't knock a bitch up unless you want to have to go against evolution and pay for her shit lol

kurdishpower01 • 1 points • 21 June, 2018 05:51 AM [recovered]

Whore is a slur of 'Women that are unable to commit' and by that definition all women are whores.

didudidule • -8 points • 21 June, 2018 08:51 AM

If everybody is a whore than nobody is a whore

Roman_Workout • 15 points • 21 June, 2018 12:42 PM

If everybody is in good shape then nobody is in good shape. Oh I see you're talking in relatives. Are you a woman?

didudidule • -6 points • 21 June, 2018 12:46 PM

If everybody is in good shape it would be called regular shape

Roman_Workout • 10 points • 21 June, 2018 12:49 PM

No they'd still be in good shape but relative to everyone that's in good shape it would be regular shape. So I can have a healthy life style but since everyone else does it it magically stops benefiting my body since everyone is doing the same thing.

didudidule • -7 points • 21 June, 2018 12:54 PM

Okay I see your point but I really don't think this matters that much

Omnibrad • 10 points • 21 June, 2018 03:16 PM

It matters enough that you made 3 posts about it.

didudidule • -6 points • 21 June, 2018 03:31 PM

I made zero posts about it, rather short comments

[deleted] • 0 points • 21 June, 2018 12:33 PM

Just get a vasectomy, or would that lower smv

Kat_Daddy • 8 points • 21 June, 2018 04:15 PM

Nobody has to know you got a vasectomy except you.

scissor_me_timbers00 • 0 points • 22 June, 2018 04:13 AM

Can you still bust semen inside them after a vasectomy? Like spermless stuff?

RealisticKiwi • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 05:47 PM

So not make any children? Then how do you spread your DNA? You will just loose the evolutionary game and be the biggest beta.

Satou4 • 7 points • 21 June, 2018 06:38 PM

This is another deception. Your grandchildren might remember you, but your great grandchildren probably won't. That's only about 70 to 100 years from now.

Do you remember your great grandparents? What about one or two generations back from there? I only know my great grandparents' names, and one or two anecdotal stories passed on by my grandparents and parents. That doesn't really mean I knew them as a person, or their dreams or thoughts about the world. They have almost zero influence on me.

The farther you go back, the more likely it is that you won't remember them, and their teachings won't reach you from them. Even if you start a worldwide business and become a local legend, and you have your name on every store, your great great grandchildren will only know you about as much as the rest of society. You got rich and started a business and became a local legend.

Going further than that, after a few generations, your genetic code becomes less and less similar to each ancestor. So for example, you're about 50% the same as parents genetically, and about 25% of each grandparent. This gets reduced exponentially. You have 4 grandparents, then 8, 16, 32... it's not a linear falloff. Now look at your own kids. In 5 generations, at best, they'll be about 3.125% similar to you genetically. That's about 100 to 150 years from now. Now go find statistics on how similar the average human is, genetically, to everyone else. It won't take long for your descendants to be the same as strangers.

So you see, even having children doesn't matter in the middle to long term. This is a bit nihilistic, but it can also be a relief. You only really have this life. Anything beyond that is either reincarnation, which isn't really you, or something that you shouldn't be concerning yourself with.

Planting trees might be worth it, because they can last hundreds of years and only be a net benefit to society. Even then, they can easily be cut down. So it comes down to how it makes you feel. If it makes you feel better, go have kids.

RealisticKiwi • 7 points • 21 June, 2018 10:03 PM

I had my beliefs for 6 years and you are the first one who makes me reconsider it, especially with the 3.125%..... :O

Satou4 • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 10:23 PM

I've seen anywhere from 0.6% to 1.6% as the average genetic difference between strangers. So that's about 1/64 or 1/128, which is the 6th and 7th generation of descendants / ancestors.

Satou4 • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 10:07 PM

Ronin Man on youtube gave me this idea

adool999 • 6 points • 21 June, 2018 11:20 PM

I will argue that with modern technology, it is easier to be remembered by future generations. It's silly but your facebook, instagram, etc will be archived somewhere on the internet. I wouldn't be surprised if Google or someone created a paternal ancestry tree of some sorts in the future. That being said we have no idea how our grandchildren will turn out.

Satou4 • 2 points • 22 June, 2018 12:35 AM

Even so, there will eventually be too many generations for you to watch everyone's life history. It will just take too long. You're right though, this could change things for the better.

SilkTouchm • 3 points • 23 June, 2018 03:54 AM

Even if all your children and grandchildren remembered you, why would it matter? once you're dead you no longer exist.

Dmva100 • 1 points • 21 June, 2018 01:43 AM [recovered]

All women lie about sexual assault until due process reveals otherwise, especially with regards to paternity when faced with hypergamous doubt when evaluating their long term man option in order to secure exclusive parental rights to the child.

Women will always weaponize their children.

Children are the 3rd leg of hypergamy; she needs them to be dependent on her unconditional love so she isn't all alone while pooping her Tena pads in the nursing home. Their kids are an extension of betabux in the form of comfort.

Couples therapy is the essential golden cog in the huge globohomo-run, pussy-worshipping divorce incorporated scheme.

Dargalo04 • 8 points • 21 June, 2018 03:03 PM

"Their kids are an extension of betabux in the form of comfort" gaaaaaat damnnnn !!

Dmva100 • 1 points • 21 June, 2018 03:10 PM [recovered]

And it's so easily leveraged when those kids, either thwy hate thwir mother or not, have kids of their own and that's why 'I wanna go see grandmaaaaa' works so well when she spoils the grandkid because even if she was a horrible parent, she still ropes in the family unit regardless tp keep her oit of slag loneliness

adam-l • 3 points • 24 June, 2018 09:34 AM

Exactly. I cringe at the moto often used in here "Men love women, women love children."

Women cannot love, can only "be in love".

There is, I believe, a simple evolutionary explanation to this. Before marriage, that sweeping victory of the female sexual strategy, women were the sole caregivers to their children. This meant that mother in problem => child in problem. Hence, they prioritize themselves over their children, lacking any sense of sacrificial love.

yotheman • 11 points • 21 June, 2018 05:53 AM

Thanks for your time for posting the article, one of the best articles of this year.

enkae7317 • 10 points • 21 June, 2018 06:07 AM

Solid read. Nice to see you posted the original source.

Science confirming everything that the community has known for several years now. Which is good to know. Because we aren't just deluded jackasses anymore.

ozenmacher[S] • 7 points • 21 June, 2018 06:24 AM

Oh, you know we will still be misogynistic a**holes in the eyes of MSM, women, and our gynocentric society. I am hoping evolutionary biology and psychology continues to take root in our educational system.

MattyAnon • 10 points • 21 June, 2018 03:53 PM

This is really great research, thanks for summarising for us.

One thing wrong with this research is the confusion between transactional sex (sex for resources) with attraction based sex (sex because the man is high status).

Women branch swing for resources and cheat for attraction.

Pastelitomaracucho • 12 points • 21 June, 2018 04:12 PM*

I'm not impressed. I have seen this all my life. With both sexes.

I don't know what the drama and the fuss is all about. Yes, women cheat, men cheat. Everyone cheats. Stop crying out you pussies.

Of importance is to note that this paper provides an alternative explanation to the alpha/beta system which is one of the pillars of TRP. According to the authors, women may not just secure betas for stability and fuck alphas for genes, but may just go around continuously testing for branch swinging. In my experience through life, this behaviour is just as normal as any other.

I feel bad for the idiots that won't see this.

SKRedPill • 8 points • 21 June, 2018 03:15 PM

Men have a hypergamy sense built in to them - but women are so good at portraying that as men's insecure and fragile egos that they feel guilty about their gut feelings and tend to rationalize it away. And this is why your frame is so important.

Hypergamy is huge - it's why societies were so conservative and cough, cough 'patriarchal' in the first place. They realized that this isn't something that you can ever trust totally, no matter how high your SMV is - they had to put a price to pay on it.

One lesson in life - the two things you can truly trust - your dog and your gut feeling.

scissor_me_timbers00 • 3 points • 22 June, 2018 04:17 AM

Gut feelings are still wrong sometimes

dialecticwizard • 4 points • 21 June, 2018 08:59 PM*

Fascinating article. I did not immediately go man defensive. Life is too complex for simplistic responses and I tend to add my own scientific socialist slant on the whole evolutionary flow of historic materialism. BUT. I did take some lessons from the article. Thanks for posting. Much appreciated.

Edit: I have to say, I have just gone "wow" with this study. The power dynamics inherent in the findings are mind blowing. Especially for someone like me. One never knows what one might learn when one rises in the morning. Once again, thanks and keep them coming.

ChadsLeftNut • 4 points • 21 June, 2018 11:28 PM

Post this on purplepill debate, it will be hilarious to watch their hamsters spinning

joner888 • 6 points • 21 June, 2018 06:20 PM

Black Pigoen made video about this a year ago . Once women are allowed to freely choose partners without any rules and consequences it can bring down whole civilisations

[deleted] • 10 points • 21 June, 2018 09:01 PM*

Civilization is a group of men agreeing to work together and agreeing to take one wife so that the bottom 80% can also get laid. That's all it is. Everything else that mankind has achieved and created flows from this

one principal. Women are literally just lucky enough to be along for the ride.

joner888 • 6 points • 21 June, 2018 09:07 PM

So fucking true. We need to go back to our old ways

[deleted] • 6 points • 21 June, 2018 11:44 PM

That ain't happening. Enjoy the decline.

scissor_me_timbers00 • 3 points • 22 June, 2018 04:25 AM

It's gonna get very nasty potentially.

joner888 • 3 points • 22 June, 2018 12:43 PM

Eventually it will . I feel like this subreddit should be called the white pill . Enjoying the decadent as long as you can because this society will eventually fall instead of realasing that causal sex is one of the things that grows the beast.

epictetus_irl • 9 points • 21 June, 2018 07:20 AM

Solid article thanks for taking the time to put it together. One of the highest quality posts in a while.

Once you internalize this information it makes gaming 'taken' women super easy. You're just using biology to do the work for you.

It's an amazing sight to watch her biology take over her decision making process and direct her to your cock.

nester79 • 13 points • 21 June, 2018 06:46 AM

I for one don't mind this truth at all. After this realization over 10 years ago I have been intimately involved with so many women who have boyfriends and husbands. Basically this should give us all confidence that no woman is off limits to the pursuit if you want them.

Take what you want, seriously. It's the way it should be and as a human being (man or woman) you should pursue what makes you happy.

ThePwnter • 1 points • 21 June, 2018 12:27 PM **[recovered]**

Except that it leads to the destruction of society. There is a reason all of the rigid moral and political structures existed in the past.

RedPilledGodEmperor • 14 points • 21 June, 2018 03:59 PM

I think he means to "Enjoy the Decline"

Pynewacket • -1 points • 21 June, 2018 08:34 PM

Only thing that can be done really, the majority of feminists and BP society would fight to the death to preserve the laws carrying us to the abyss.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 08:34 PM

Yea it's the great catch 22 of TRP. These things are awful for society, but good for me. If there are no longer any cultural taboos to prevent me from doing what I want and society is going to hell anyways though, what's the point of martyring yourself?

zestytaoz • 4 points • 21 June, 2018 12:26 PM

And many of those husbands and boyfriends will explicitly allow them to do this!

nester79 • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 05:07 PM

Perhaps there are some that "allow them" but I doubt any of the ones I'm involved with have significant others that are okay with it - hence the secrecy and sneaking around.

Dargalo04 • 5 points • 21 June, 2018 02:49 PM

This explains why fathers and some other heads I know live alone since god knows how long, but visit their fam every now and then, while single mothers chill with Daddy Government.

ReformingBeta • 4 points • 21 June, 2018 03:05 PM

Or to put things another way, is the fucking you're getting worth the fucking you're getting?

CaptZ • 4 points • 21 June, 2018 03:42 PM

Woman with Borderline Personality Disorder do this to a heightened degree but they destroy the victim in the process.

pukatm • 2 points • 22 June, 2018 07:54 AM

I'm very happy to see such analysis backed up by science. Too bad it's almost depressing, but I have been a part of the community for enough years that I'm not shocked at all.

saltybull • 2 points • 23 June, 2018 04:00 AM

Dr. David Buss is the Man, been reading his published studies for years. Google his name for his university web site. All of his studies are there to download for free.

kittyclaw200 • 6 points • 21 June, 2018 03:11 PM

Redpill: improve for pussy

MGTOW: improve for freedom

Pynewacket • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 08:30 PM

Improve for yourself, they are only an accessory in a man's life

kittyclaw200 • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 09:08 PM

accessory: a thing that can be added to something else in order to make it more useful, versatile, or attractive.

I give no fucks. I need no accessories to impress myself or anyone else.

Rgfd • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 05:57 AM

Thank you for this post. All the concepts highlighted in this post are talked about a lot, it's great to see scientific evidence back it up.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 12:05 PM

Saved for reference. Nice post.

Matacks607 • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 02:07 PM*

That was a good read. It elaborates on what everyone already knows here. Women could benefit from reading

this.

bongohai • 1 point • 22 June, 2018 12:29 PM

So glad my sex drive, at 46, isn't what it used to be. So glad that I reproduced (and paid the price for it) while I was still BP.

615bachelor • 1 point • 24 June, 2018 06:03 PM

The red pill has many guys who want to get married and have a family but can't because of western culture. If you want to raise kids then you must move to a country where people actually respect traditional relationships. I'll admit that it will suck not having any kids but that's the reality that I will face unless I move to a different country. Maybe I will when I'm in my 50s and have a lot of money saved up. So my advice for you is to move to a location where society respects the family man. Look up country's marriage and divorce rates and see what you can find. But Western Europe and America is fucked

But when you are 40-50 you can still attract a women 15 years younger if you stay in shape and work out a lot . Don't let yourself get fat. Countries like Thailand has a lot of older guys dating younger women,

berreae • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 07:05 AM

What I have read is that you have to have high smv in order to maintain partner with high smv. Is it that suprising or against common sense? Id say biology 101. OP is also underestimating the cost of switching imo. Anyway interesting study. Thanks

StrawoftheMonkey • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:07 AM

But AWALT would dictate that your partner's SMV doesn't matter.

Rian_Stone • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 02:09 PM

Stop looking for an authority to tell you how you think is ok. You could have used your own two eyes.

I should start quoting /u/bogeyd6 after each new post...

How does this help me get laid?

iLoveReddit32 • 5 points • 21 June, 2018 04:11 PM

Getting laid is NOT what life is all about.

Rian_Stone • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 04:15 PM

Neither is eating, but go without for a week and see what happens

iLoveReddit32 • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 04:22 PM

Well sure, but I think comparing eating to having sex is a bit extreme. One needs to eat to survive, but one does not need to have sex in any sense, for anything, ever. Going without sex is not a big deal when one can just jerk off.

Rian_Stone • -2 points • 21 June, 2018 04:30 PM

Tell that to Alek.

Now fuck off or get with the program, it's very clear why this sub exists, and it's not to help you cope with masturbation.

[deleted] • 3 points • 21 June, 2018 08:39 PM

Seems like there has been a massive influx of incel/MGTOW. Going without sex isn't a big deal because you can jerk off? Wow. What does it take in a man's life to get to the point where you have that attitude. I think there must be a physical component to it as well like low T or excess body fat causing issues. It's not normal to think that way.

bogeyd6 • 2 points • 22 June, 2018 12:56 AM

Think it would surprise OP that men are hypergamous as well? I do. Relying on scientific research to figure out how to get in her panties is the start of the end.

drty_pr • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 02:40 AM

I never thought of it like that

bogeyd6 • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 02:55 AM

Some things will always be an art, much like digging trenches.

ozenmacher[S] • 1 point • 22 June, 2018 01:10 AM

I am definitely not searching for authorities to get laid...

But for the boys who are not unplugged, I hope this is an opportunity to learn. Sometimes an authoritative figure is just what the doctor ordered...especially when they have credentials, conducted sound science, and did not interject with mainstream PC bullshit.

Rian_Stone • 1 point • 22 June, 2018 01:32 AM

Point me to the research paper that gets a nerd into the gym

ozenmacher[S] • 1 point • 22 June, 2018 01:46 AM

Huh? what is the relevance of that to hypergamic instincts in women? Should every Trp post be about lifting?

Rian_Stone • 1 point • 22 June, 2018 01:49 AM

the day that everyone in this sub picks up a weight on the regular is the day everyone will stop talking about it.

You'd be surprised how many people jerk themselves off through text, rather than put in the most basic steps to being a more successful man

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 04:34 PM

Yes AWAIT we already know. But before you get all excited about "proof" read the article. It says hypothesis all over it. These are educated guesses. Yes we know it to be true but no scientific proof here

redditigation • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 10:40 PM

BRUH! This entire paper is a Hypothesis! It's purpose is to highlight areas that can be used for practical testing of the hypothesis. You need to be citing research that **confirms** this hypothesis!

"Future research examining this hypothesis should also focus on internal regulatory variables such as relationship satisfaction and welfare-trade-off ratios in generating predictions about the design of mate-switching mechanisms."

Please READ before you post such a claim! This kind of thing defames Red Pill...

BluePillsAddict • 1 points • 22 June, 2018 03:46 PM [recovered]

BRUH

BRUH the paper is a review of the conclusions of dozens of studies. In addition, it highlights opportunities for further research.

redditigation • 1 point • 22 June, 2018 08:26 PM

Yeah that's not even close to "verified by science" or "validated". We are at the bottom rung of the evidence hierarchy, here.

JamesP2018 • 0 points • 21 June, 2018 05:47 PM

The redpill was formed via the scientific method. It is a praxeology, which is the field of study concerning human action. Saying the redpill is verified by science is like saying $2+2=4$ is verified by science. We verify science, science does not verify anything.

Viking_RnP • 0 points • 21 June, 2018 07:05 PM

I dont wanna talk to a scientist. Y'all motherfuckers lying, and getting me pissed.

ididntpayforit • 1 points • 21 June, 2018 07:20 PM [recovered]

Holy shit you guys are worse than incels.

Pastelitomaracucho • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:07 PM

I agree everyone is whining a bit too much. Did you read the paper?

p3terjames • 0 points • 21 June, 2018 01:19 PM

Does this undermine the goal of increasing my SMV or working on my game? Or is it more focused on inevitable downfalls in LTR and marriage in western society ... curious teen here.

[deleted] • 6 points • 21 June, 2018 01:25 PM

It reinforces the goal of increasing your SMV but should also underline and reinforce the advice of not committing to women.

Be the guy she fucks to see if her LTR stacks up, don't be the LTR she steps out on.

rombios • 0 points • 21 June, 2018 04:09 PM

I know a few friends who must read this

carpenterio • 0 points • 21 June, 2018 05:33 PM

I don't get it, are you saying that if you are a shit partner they will look somewhere else? do we really need a study on that?

Satou4 • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 06:54 PM

No, the study is saying even average or above average partners are not immune to hypergamy.

carpenterio • 0 points • 21 June, 2018 07:52 PM

what's average? are you talking about look? is anyone here actually been in a serious relationship?

Satou4 • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:22 PM

What's your definition of serious?

carpenterio • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:29 PM

A relation where you don't have to google to know if you are doing good.

feoen • 0 points • 21 June, 2018 07:40 PM

I disagree with this statement under 4.3:

"Accurately assessing relationship interest is crucial to ensuring a woman does not leave an investing mate for one who is unwilling to commit."

We do not see this in human female behavior. Most women who cheat do so with people who are unable to commit. I am unclear on why the author of the article believes this is a part of monitoring interest, unless he is arguing that in those cases value and value trajectory are seen as so high that it overrides commitment.

blacklightsleaze • 0 points • 21 June, 2018 09:00 PM

You don't need science to prove obvious shit. These scientist better spent their time on something useful.

redneck_kungfu • -2 points • 21 June, 2018 05:38 PM

So why are men statistically more likely to cheat than women? It's nature for females of any species to want to increase their offspring diversity for the betterment of the population, even in group / tribal species where there's one alpha (gorillas): females will often attempt to mate with younger apes even when the alpha is providing protection and healthy offspring.

On the flip-side, it's nature for males to want to breed with as many females as possible so he can pass on his genes to a greater extent. However, in modern society with humans, cheating typically is for the sole purpose of sexual gratification, not more breeding.

It's simply retarded to suggest that it's unusual for a woman to not cheat. If women are at fault for selecting a dirtbag partner that leaves her and their kids or drinks every day, as people like Stefan Molyneux (whom I enjoy) would suggest, then men are at fault when they select a partner that cheats on them, something you equally cannot predict.

Satou4 • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 06:56 PM

The study suggests that no one is at fault for cheating. Even above average men are cheated on and divorce raped. It's not always the fault of men for being a low branch.

redneck_kungfu • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:19 PM

But people are at fault, the people that decided to cheat. People like Stefan Molyneux and many followers *do* place blame on the women for "choosing" bad partners, so if that's the case then men are at fault for choosing a bad partner as well.

A lot of people in this comment section are genuinely misogynistic and clearly have never had a successful relationship, and maybe that's because of a fault with them - judging by their comments it's not hard to imagine.

They're talking like most women cheat when that simply isn't the case, and as if men don't cheat as well, when statistically men cheat more than women. There's just a lot of inconsistencies, to put out a study like this and suggest it's biologically ingrained in women to cheat when statistically they do it less than men, and not suggest the same is therefore true of males too, and then lower women's worth and

humanity because of a minority percentage.

The same “red pill” people will harp on about how women are biologically more caring, compassionate and emotional than men, and in the same breath say women are incapable of love and they only use men for personal gain and are nothing but a “wet hole”. Is their mother just a wet hole? Love is biological as well, and is an extremely powerful emotion. Most women want to love and be loved and have a happy marriage where they dedicate their life to one person and raise a family.

Satou4 • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:27 PM

What does a successful relationship mean to you?

Men and women love differently. I can prove it because your definition of love as "an extremely powerful emotion" is not how men perceive love.

redneck_kungfu • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:29 PM

One where neither party has a desire to cheat for a start.

Satou4 • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:30 PM

That would require both to be at the top of the sexual marketplace. But being at the top gets boring after a while, so one of them will cheat to make things interesting or create drama or test how committed the other is to them.

redneck_kungfu • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:40 PM

Only if they're a shitty person who has no value of relationships and actual love for the person they're with. Which arguably isn't the case for more people than is.

Satou4 • 2 points • 21 June, 2018 09:47 PM

Women rate over 50% of men as below average attractiveness in online dating surveys. Therefore, if nobody is cheating, and everybody is hetero, more than half of women would be unsatisfied with their partners.

redneck_kungfu • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:55 PM

Attractiveness is not the only determining factor in being in a relationship with somebody, nor is it the sole factor for the making of a successful relationship. If you're heavily basing your relationships on someone's physical appearance then that's probably why they're unsuccessful.

Being attracted to someone is important, but according to research, women also want to be with someone less attractive than them and that makes for a happier relationship. So it doesn't matter that much if they find 50% of men to be average or below. If someone who they don't find conventionally attractive peaks their interests in other ways then that'll make them more attractive than initially thought through just a picture on a dating profile.

Satou4 • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 10:10 PM

The problem with that is, she can have a higher initial attraction for someone on tinder than she does for me. So even if we're in a happy relationship, there will always be someone taller, hotter, with cooler hobbies, making more money and more charismatic than me.

Satou4 • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 10:12 PM

Let's hear it from a female matchmaker

Satou4 • 1 point • 21 June, 2018 09:51 PM

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7vqRbScCIPU>