

Jordan Peterson, Fallacies, and the Tragic State of this Sub

July 31, 2018 | 902 upvotes | by [nietzschethegreat](#)

Introduction

There's been a whole charade of backlash and relentless vitriol against Jordan Peterson by a ton of members on this sub recently.

Just yesterday, the top, pinned post was the moderator making fun of Jordan Peterson's lecture on psychopathy and pick-up artists. He simply took a transcript from his speech during a university lecture and edited out all the punctuation to make it seem like a bunch of nonsensical ramble.

Anyways, I thought this sub was supposed to bolster good quality content, not turn it into a fucking dumpster fire.

Peterson's right. Forget all of your ridiculous notions about politics and "conserva-cuck" nonsense.

Pick-up artists are inherently psychopathic. And the fact that the creator of this sub is upset about this is absolutely inane, and really goes to show where it's headed. If he knew anything about men and sexual strategy, he'd know that pick-up artistry doesn't actually fall in line, at all, with the "Red Pill."

I can already hear you yelling that it's not inherently psychopathic. Or that psychopathy isn't bad. But that's besides the matter.

Pick-up is inherently for low-status men, against that which embraces the flourishing of the masculine, and counter-productive for those who are attempting to truly ascend the male dominance hierarchy.

The Male Dominance Hierarchy

Men have their own evolutionarily driven dominance hierarchy. They compete, fight, and struggle towards its peak. The females sit idly on the side, waiting to choose those who emerge on top.

Men hold each other to a high standard. As does the individual. You have a personal obligation to become all you can be. To follow your true calling, listen to the individuated self, and righteously strive towards the metaphorical kingdom of God on Earth.

A real man engages his true mission up the male dominance hierarchy and focuses solely on himself and actualizing his pluripotentiality, narrowing a multitude of possibilities into one single frame of existence as he becomes who he truly is.

If a man tries to escape his evolutionary prerogative or that which calls his Being forward, he will suffer and never live a fulfilling, meaningful existence. It's natural law.

Pick-Up

How does pick-up fit in with that?

You're shying away from becoming who you can be, and attempting to circumvent the hierarchy by manipulating the highly-perceptive feminine into thinking that you are high status, when you're not. And that never plays out well—for the male. No male will ever be truly sexually successful through a facade of status, memorized routines and lines, and a weak masculine foundation.

As a result, if the facade and veneer of sophistication succeeds, we get men who are inherently low status, unattractive, and have no real value fucking women who think they are high-status, attractive, and capable of climbing the dominance hierarchy. It's bad for society and men themselves.

"Pick-up" and "Game" masquerade as facades for any true imperative of climbing the male dominance hierarchy. It's a rationalized, half-baked attempt for men to cheat the rules of reality—one that will never succeed in the end.

"Pick-up" is for low-status men.

"Pick-up artists" and teachers of "pick-up" use routines, verbal tricks, and superficial elements with the "veneer of sophistication" to trick women into thinking they are something they are not. Low status men who don't have the ability nor the potential to become what they could be, so they have to resort to displaying all the behaviors that a high status man naturally, outwardly manifests without being the high status man themselves.

The "Red Pill" isn't about low status men trying to "pick up" women or manipulating them subconsciously (from both frames of reference, that is) into liking them. It's about becoming a truly high status man who lives for his own values and needs, naturally attracting women.

Peterson's Dilemma

Just because you disagree with one or two of Jordan Peterson's statements doesn't mean you should throw out the baby with the bathwater.

He's studied, endlessly, the horrors Western civilization was capable of in the 20th century, and has a deep understanding of the human mind in every facet imaginable. He's a trained clinical psychologist, and not just any old one at that. He has over ten thousand citations on his psychology papers, he taught at the most prestigious university in the world, and wrote the best-selling non-fiction book in the Western world at the moment.

He has good intentions. I can't believe how close-minded some of you could be to call him "blue-pilled" or any more of that blabber. In fact, he probably understands more about society, the political spectrum, and intersexual affairs more than any of you. No, he definitely does.

He's all for the individual and for the bolstering of young men both as individual, masculine beings and as benefactors of society. In fact, he's probably the first well-known public figure to speak about such important topics that directly relate to this sub's motives in recent times. You should be thanking him for bringing a new light to masculinity and for fighting against the radical liberals and those who condemn "toxic masculinity."

Just because he knows certain things doesn't mean he has to speak about them in public. His "credibility" would be shot off the moment he mentions any real truths to society.

You don't think Peterson knows exactly what women are attracted to? You don't think he knows exactly how bitter and resentful men are? You don't think he knows exactly how society is structured?

How well would it go if he starts spewing out true female nature on some random podcast, or proves that society is run on a set of dominance hierarchies, and it's an inevitable fact that human nature will always tend towards these paradigms, no matter what?

He's not a fucking retard. He knows exactly how all these things work. Just because he keeps his mouth shut so he can keep a living and not get death threats doesn't give you the right to start attacking him. I'd like to see how you measure up to the man himself.

I don't agree with everything Peterson says himself, but not for the retarded reasons TRP "higher-ups" are

attacking him for. He's out to help you, and if you can't see what he's trying to do there's nobody coming to rescue you. He's making your pathway to powerful masculinity and a healthy sense of what a man is much, much easier. Jesus, have some gratitude.

Conclusion

This dogma that has been circulating the sub, especially that by the endorsed contributors and moderators, is drowning us all.

Don't any of you have anything better to do than hate on a psychology professor who's making radical changes, especially those that indirectly support a conservative political agenda?

The only reasonable explanation is that none of his attackers have read a word of what he's preached or watched a single one of his acclaimed lectures.

Peterson's the man giving a sermon out on the mountain to thousands of eager listeners, and those who criticize him are a bunch of insignificant sheep roaming out among the fields below. If you have a real contention, stop complaining and have some respect for yourself.

Peterson's words absolutely should be scrutinized. But not with half-hearted write-offs, pseudo-intellectual polemical attacks, ad hominem criticisms, and certainly not with satirical, biased posts which fail to present sufficient evidence against that which he argues.

So I don't know where the fuck this sub is headed, but honestly I couldn't care less. If you all drive yourselves into the ground in a carnival of fury and self-righteousness, go right ahead.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

Pope_Lucious • 77 points • 31 July, 2018 03:51 PM*

Of course Peterson is going to shit on Pick Up.

The dude is a traditionalist.

Who cares? If you want some pussy, go get it.

I don't understand what is so complicated about this? Are we supposed to be in complete alignment with anyone who has *some* good ideas?

Prophets_Prey • 7 points • 31 July, 2018 11:05 PM

The whole idea of pick up is based on selling a lie. It's deluding yourself and others into thinking you're something you're not. If you want to do that without actually having any substance to back that up, go ahead. It's your life.

LowCredit • 8 points • 1 August, 2018 02:05 AM

Bullshit. Pickup is about sales techniques. Is it easier to sell a better product? Yes it is. Can a good product not be sold because of poor selling? Absolutely. Lifting is TRP's first advice for a reason, but game is still an important part.

This is exactly the feminization that ruins the PUA community. "We should only focus on self-improvement. Game is misogyny." Bullshit. Game works. Lifting works. Do both and ignore the trolls.

Prophets_Prey • 0 points • 1 August, 2018 02:58 AM

You're comparing apples to oranges. I'm talking about the pick up community being without substance. They're all delusional selling each other delusions. Trp is more than just pick up, it's actually having substance. Before you get your knickers in a twist, understand what I'm talking about.

Knowing how to game is fine. But if that's all you know, can you really say you're an actualised man? You've got to have more going for you in your life. Take your low IQ, emotional rant elsewhere.

Pope_Lucious • 0 points • 31 July, 2018 11:21 PM*

We all put on various skins to get what we want in life.

Do you walk into a business proposal naked with your dick swinging, grunting whatever incoherent nonsense you have in your head?

Or do you participate in the social convention of wearing a suit and tie and do the necessary social dances to get what you want?

Prophets_Prey • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 11:47 PM

Bad comparison. I'd rather go in there knowing that I actually have substance to back up my claims, not some rehearsed script. God forbid they ask me for proof and I don't have anything to show for it.

Pope_Lucious • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 12:08 AM

The point is without participating in the social game it doesn't matter what "substance" you have.

ValarMorphulis90 • 60 points • 31 July, 2018 03:50 PM

I'm not going to weigh in on the sub-argument here, but I'll say this: As someone who attends a liberal college, I would KILL for a professor with the amount of balls Peterson has. A lot of you don't realize how bad it is until you get a dosage of college Feminazi rhetoric right to the dome.

Prophets_Prey • 12 points • 31 July, 2018 11:07 PM

I feel you. Most universities are like this. Hell, we even had to do a feminist course for one of our classes. Absolutely incredible.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 02:36 AM

I don't know how you dudes stomach that shit. If I was in college this day and age I'd make such a scene and likely get booted out. About 10 years ago when I did my time at a State College it was by all means very liberal (My Poli-Sci teacher was candidly putting Castro on a pedestal and giving people A's for writing "BUSH BAD CAUSE CHRISHTINS ARE EVIL") - but it was nothing compared to this straight up indoctrination day-care center bullshit.

womans_algorithm217 points 31 July, 2018 11:40 AM* [recovered]

I love how this was well written post with arguments and what not, yet it was removed, I suspect because it disagrees with mods.

'Member when mods said it's ok for you to disagree, as long as you provide arguments? I 'member.

/u/redpillschool, i realize i am noone on this sub, but i think trp users getting censored like this is not a good thing for this subreddit. What happened to "men comparing notes"?

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 August, 2018 02:26 AM

It's not removed. I can see it.

redpillschool • -18 points • 31 July, 2018 03:33 PM

Don't worry, we noticed it.

https://old.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/93cv4j/jordan_peterson_fallacies_and_the_tragic_state_of/e3cryjm/

jebemtvoju-34 points 31 July, 2018 03:55 PM [recovered]

but i think trp users getting censored like this is not a good thing for this subreddit.

it absolutely is a good thing for the subreddit. Most trp users don't know shit and only serve to distill the context with bullshit that's been already talked over and set straight ages ago. There never was a democracy here. You take what you're given or you fuck off. This has never been a place for arguing about well established knowledge.

mmerijn • 20 points • 31 July, 2018 06:32 PM

Don't tell me what is "well established knowledge", it is no more well established than scientology and I am sure as hell not about to believe them on their word. No, I will judge for myself whether their arguments have any merit or not and if TRP keeps censoring certain opinions to the point I no longer find new and innovative new ideas here then as grateful, as I am for what it has given me, I will just leave for another place where I can find these.

redpillschool • -4 points • 31 July, 2018 07:01 PM

not and if TRP keeps censoring certain opinions

We have always and always will remove anything that breaks the rules.

A majority of those removed here today broke the rules. You can defend JP's ideas without concern trolling and without insulting others. The kids banned today apparently needed a reminder.

We don't remove well reasoned and polite posts and comments that simply offer a different viewpoint.

People attempting to conflate the two are concern trolling and will be removed.

TheRedPike[M] • -145 points • 31 July, 2018 02:22 PM

RPS has tags turn off. Don't bother. Sixty day vacation for concern troll.

666Evo • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 03:15 AM

-142 points

The impotent rage hahaha

Cyxana • 111 points • 31 July, 2018 11:15 AM

The thing that bothers me about Peterson is that if people don't agree with one thing he's saying (for example religious things) they dismiss everything.

He has great points about the importance of being an individual and not letting the group hold you back. He encourages forming strong relationships with important people around you who want your best. He wants people to be honest with themselves and others so you aren't afraid of being exposed because it turns out there is no need to hide what ever they're afraid will be exposed.

Secondly, he advocates strongly for monogamy and two parent households as this is the best alternative for children. He wants a culture where neither sexes would cheat and people wouldn't settle but instead find someone who both try everyday to improve themselves (meaning you see someone not for what they are but what they are trying to become and you want to help them). If you want to have children and want to provide the best for them then finding and trying to have a two-parent supportive household seems to be currently the best option and the toolkit TRP provides is a great way to achieve that imo.

Personally, I don't care for religion but I listened to a lot to his lectures on the bible because I find it interesting and I believe there has to be some value in it if it has survived for so long and once again just because you don't agree with some things in the bible doesn't mean you should dismiss it entirely.

clearedmycookies • 9 points • 31 July, 2018 08:47 PM

Yeah, I don't get it. I must have missed something since a couple of weeks ago, there were high praise for Peterson talking about red pill truths on JRE.

And apparently he's super blue pill now?

Whisper • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 12:05 AM

Asked and answered already.

[deleted] • 0 points • 31 July, 2018 07:39 PM

just because you don't agree with some things in the bible doesn't mean you should dismiss it entirely.

I agree. But it's still not a positive, especially when were dealing such red pill subjects, which religion is very very big blue pill. Maybe when he's divorce raped, he'll get it.

Cyxana • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 08:35 PM

Maybe, but he already has raised his children and seems to have good relationships with them.

zyqkvx • -8 points • 31 July, 2018 05:12 PM*

The thing that bothers me about Peterson is that if people don't agree with one thing he's saying (for example religious things) they dismiss everything.

That's not true at all and that is a source of contention here in r.TRP. I think he's a brilliant guy, and so do a lot of other's that call out Peterson. What grinds my gears is how he will one minute make great points then dovetail the information in to a complete bluepill mindset. He obviously speaks from a place of 1980 sexual strategy theory. There is no going back, and it was misplaced back then. Dating missy back in 1980 is the last reference point he has that is based in reality. It's you cloudy guys that has to take him all or nothing and projecting that notion on to those who are at odds with things he says.

Too many times Peterson makes a brilliant point and within 2 min he's tagging on something as wtf as "and that's why you should buy girl scout cookies every chance you get" (he didn't really say that for the record). But it's done. I can not take him seriously as an TRP figure. He has his place and he has his lane.

Cyxana • 10 points • 31 July, 2018 05:17 PM*

Dude, I'm agreeing with you.

Edit: To clarify my point is that I believe he advocates whats best for children and not for you so if you want to debate, I'd much rather hear your thoughts on best way to raise a child.

zyqkvx • 0 points • 31 July, 2018 07:12 PM*

Divorce laws were always horrible. More and more laws gradually added. Then in around 2000 came some worse ones. Child support got jacked up and the terms are the mother can spend all child support on whatever she wants. Everything is sky high. Woman Always gets custody, if not her lawyer will keep asking her if you beat her. These are the realities.

If a man wanted a child he'd have to think in terms of "If she left me and became a single mom where would she be?" Because in the end women can and will be a single mom any time she wants. At that moment she will always get a burst of resources that would help compel the decision. So where would she be? if she came from a good family your child would be cared for. Does that mean her parents are rich? Are they going to have lawyers that help divorce rape me in to oblivion? Does the family not care about money at all? can you tell? This is how you begin to think about kids.

Maybe choosing the right town is more important than choosing the right girl. Maybe finding a woman in nice area in Maine is a good thing to do, because when that divorce comes she'll settle down in Maine, instead of being a single mother in an apartment in LA.

Perhaps become a 'master at getting joint custody'.

If you get this far you probably wouldn't even choose the same woman.

After your on the hook for 1/2 stuff, alimony, and child support, how much is it going to mean to you knowing someone said to your ex, "You didn't try to work it out with your husband? That's horrible." (aka enforced monogamy).

Where is Jordan Peterson going to be when you are signing the divorce papers? And that is why he is your Jamestown.

Cyxana • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 08:33 PM

I dont live in the us and our laws are extremly different (atleast so far).

Beyond that yea it sucks but if you want children you're gonna have to make sacrifices and take risks but if I could have an as good a relationship with my child as I have to my parents I think it'd be worth a shot.

zyqkvx • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 09:10 PM

Imagine one of the most common scenereos. 3-7 years in to the marriage the child is 2-6. You are getting a divorce. She has full custody. You are paying child support and alimony. Are you fine with this outcome after you played your 3 hands of blackjack? If you are not you need to slow down.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 10:45 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:40 AM*

[permanently deleted]

andrewmaster0 • 6 points • 31 July, 2018 04:26 PM

lmfao this is a wild ride

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:46 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 76 points • 31 July, 2018 11:54 AM

I dont understand, where free speech flourishes truth comes out on top. If they are so confident what they are correct in their view point they wouldnt attempt to shut down opposing views. This is really sad. Dont be disheartened brother.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 12:51 PM

[permanently deleted]

KeffirLime • 4 points • 31 July, 2018 01:15 PM

This sub has a clear message.

If you disagree with the message then state why clearly and be able to back it up. If you can't do that then don't frequent.

If you feel you have a better message, start your own sub.

LiveAFTSOV • 7 points • 31 July, 2018 06:53 PM

congrats on your 4th point

[deleted] • -46 points • 31 July, 2018 02:21 PM

Just because I dared to advise our brotherhood on benefits of slowing down.

No, I told you why I removed your post.

You didn't write about the *benefits* of slowing down. You wrote (and I quote):

"I would also advice you not to go for the pro-bodybuilder type of physique, unless it's your

only life goal and you have professional ambitions."

"Your work shouldn't be an Olympic sprint. Make it a marathon. Slow-paced, consistent, long-distance run."

"That, my dearest friend, might be too much pussy for you. Sure, it feels great, it boosts your ego, you feel like a man after all those years of being a kiss-less virgin. But it's time to step-back. Reevaluate how you spend your time."

Who the fuck are you and why are you telling me what I *should* do with my time?

Ask yourself, do you really want to frequent a place that prohibits free discussion?

Yeah, you're right. I don't know why you'd want to spend any time here, so let me show you the door. Goodbye.

TheRedPike[M] • -41 points • 31 July, 2018 02:19 PM

Concern troll.

https://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/934c9c/disappointment_in_the_lack_of_critical_thinking/e3awbjd/

This kid disagreed with op. He isn't banned. Yet at 17 he managed not to do it by being a whiny little bitch. Learn from him.

redpillschool • 11 points • 31 July, 2018 03:21 PM

Automod nabbed it, we reviewed it, and approved the thread, along with the proper beatdown this OP deserved.

jebemtvoju6 points 31 July, 2018 04:18 PM **[recovered]**

you didn't pull any punches there hah. I wonder if there's much point to validating the post with the thorough reply, though. Didn't you/mods use to just delete shit like this without a second look? but I guess the approach does have to change when the subscriber count skyrockets

redpillschool • 11 points • 31 July, 2018 04:20 PM

Every so often, when we really want to put something to bed, we'll leave a sacrificial lamb up for all to see.

The reply wasn't for him, it was for the onlookers.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:36 PM*

[permanently deleted]

redpillschool • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 11:52 PM

We removed rule breaking comments.

TryDoingSomethingNew • 5 points • 31 July, 2018 05:28 PM*

You don't have much of an argument if you resort to calling names. If you're going to be dramatic, condescending to others here, and get emotional, then yes perhaps it's best you leave.

You're entitled to your opinions, but your post simply was incorrect in quite a few assertions.

There's too much to go into here and I don't need to as one of the mods addressed it quite well.
Essentially, lecturing other men on how they should live their lives is not your place to do, nor mine.
Times have changed and men react accordingly, with each pursuing whatever path they feel is best.
If you really believe men should live according to someone else's faux sense of obligations, then perhaps the blue pill is a better fit for you.

Take care.

vandaalen • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 08:38 PM

kkthxby

TheRedPike[M] • -41 points • 31 July, 2018 02:16 PM

I just did you a favor then.

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 11:45 AM

About time. Thank you mods

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 10:46 AM*

[permanently deleted]

TheMrk790 • 16 points • 31 July, 2018 12:55 PM

You're totally right. I would still listen though, since hearing opposing positions can only do good.

[deleted] • 9 points • 31 July, 2018 05:45 PM

I sometimes sit and put both legs in at once. Then I stand and pull up in one motion. SO DON'T TELL ME HOW I PUT MY FUCKING PANTS ON!!!!

redpillschool • 4 points • 31 July, 2018 03:22 PM

ya'll mfs can't seem to put this Jordan Peterson shit to rest.

I just posted that we're not tolerating any more of it.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 10:52 AM

[permanently deleted]

pete_1488 • 31 points • 31 July, 2018 11:21 AM

Argue with the idea, not the man.

TheRedPike[M] • -2 points • 31 July, 2018 02:23 PM

^ This

batfish55 • 11 points • 31 July, 2018 11:44 AM

If you have to review someone's post history to try to win an argument, you've already lost. A person is allowed to have opinions on a variety of topics.

I'll save you time, I spend more time there as well.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 10:55 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:03 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 12:20 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:23 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:34 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:31 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 8 points • 31 July, 2018 11:41 AM

Again you are ascribing a subset of MGTOW beliefs to the entire population, based on a strawman. Personally, I don't ascribe to the MGTOW ideas.

But I have plenty of buddies who are MGTOW but still sleep around, and don't think women are corrupt or disgusting. Like most people, you are attributing a simplistic set of beliefs, driven by a misguided sense of morality, on a complex and nuanced subject. I've seen plenty of men, who even respect and love women, live a MGTOW lifestyle simply because of cost benefit analysis.

sebastianconcept • 42 points • 31 July, 2018 10:57 AM

Anything that deviates from genuine desire should be predictably, something low value for any intelligent man (and woman BTW) so, while some skills in PUA are important to get the basics right, those should only be a small aid in a greater genuine experience that a man build to get a woman he likes. That's how both enjoy a better game and a sweet game of power.

I am all for using game to grab hypergamy's attention inside your frame but a good man, a great alpha, has no mercy in questioning the ethics of its game. Objectivizing woman (people for the case) to an amoral extreme there is no question is a road to psychopathy. Not even disputable.

I'm new to this community so I'm surprised to see animosity towards Jordan Peterson. The man is one of the very few Western Civilization heroes in a time where their mortal enemies are having more momentum than ever in the history of the Cultural War.

If you can question technically JBP then fine, that's a discussion worthy to have to advance science. But, due to the position he has and what he defends, if you are engaging in dialectic boxing to react to something he said that made you uncomfortable, then notice that is an automatic reaction because you are ego invested so much in yourself that you don't care about the civilization that gave you all the opportunities that you are enjoying and, secondarily, subconsciously preparing yourself to align to its enemies or be inadvertently useful to them.

smartscience • 4 points • 31 July, 2018 06:27 PM

Objectivizing woman

I've never gotten a straight answer from feminists about what this even means. Perhaps 3D-printing ones own sex robots?

TheRedPike[M] • -27 points • 31 July, 2018 02:21 PM

^ See? I'm not banning this guy.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 03:42 PM

[permanently deleted]

TheRedPike[M] • -12 points • 31 July, 2018 03:57 PM

I don't care about his opinion. It's an example of how to behave like an adult while participating in a forum.

mmerijn • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 06:37 PM

I would prefer caring but politely disagreeing, but I'll take the not banning.

reyaan7 • 6 points • 31 July, 2018 06:16 PM

Seriously, What a dedication you guys have! The collective efforts you guys have put to create to this sub is amazing. I'm relatively new to this sub, at first I didn't take it seriously that much but gradually I realized it is genuinely good. Fuck! Open a School. A real one lol. I'd be the first to take the admission. hahaha

MemphisBro • 17 points • 31 July, 2018 04:48 PM

Pickup is not about ignoring personal growth and deceiving women into thinking you have achieved personal growth.

It can be frustrating to read posts that are negative towards it from guys who have clearly never practiced it.

Doctor, lawyer, whatever you may be, having PUA skills will get you TOP TIER WOMEN.

Being a PUA is not a way of life it's simply a skill set to go attract the woman you want. And it's made easier by having a successful life. So no, we don't ignore personal growth. At all.

redpillschool • 8 points • 31 July, 2018 04:50 PM

This shouldn't be a radical idea on TRP.

I_BET_UR_MAD • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 11:08 PM

It should be but this sub has been infected with a plague of middle and high schoolers out on their summer break. We need to relentlessly mock and trash them until they fuck off back to cringe anarchy.

The Peterson dick riding is absolutely ridiculous. This guy is a shit tier wannabe philosopher. His ideas are laughable. "PUA is just lying to women about your status" are you fucking stupid? Everyone lies about their status, PUA just makes you better at it. And if you don't like that then that's perfectly alright but the solution is not to shit on PUA, it's to get rid of the underlying societal reasons why we're forced to use PUA in the first place. Just getting rid of PUA is effectively handicapping yourself- the game is exactly the same but now you don't have an advantage.

VisualCandidate • 25 points • 31 July, 2018 10:58 AM*

I've found great benefit from TRP ... not the pickup community embedded in the dermal of this community.

From us MEN sharing posts about increasing value, what our mind sets should be while going forward and even speaking about the overarching themes of politics, sociology the SJW community, and the list goes on.

TRP is great if we peruse the content and consume it with a grain of salt. However there is no quick fix here, just men who are ... who should be, sharing the knowledge and wisdom that whatever change we wish to achieve **IS** attainable. Pickup artistry to me has always been "beta" mentality. To be consumed and rehearsed when presenting yourself is quite frankly the most ostentatious idiocy a man can have.

The point of red pill is not **AWALT** so lets F*** all the SI**s, the point of TRP is that well... **WOMEN** are NOT the goal, they should never be, they must not be. The GOAL is make yourself the best you can be, but maybe its our fault... we do live in a world of nearly instant gratification. What right do we have to tell you to get your head out of your a** and start paying attention to the world you live in, more importantly yourself? Any MAN caught up with vagina is not worthy of it... its cyclical bullsh**. Pu**y is not the end game it never has been it never should be. Because at the end of the day what value will you bring to your family, allies and community by being a philanderer.

Survival of you, your family, your allies through the acquisition, reinvestment and increase in Wealth, Power and Knowledge **IS** the end game...

Nergaal • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 07:36 PM

The point of red pill is not AWALT so lets F*** all the SI**s, the point of TRP is that well... WOMEN are NOT the goal, they should never be, they must not be.

AFAIK, at its origin TRP was purely about sexual strategies. IMO though, that is just the entry level. RP at the advanced level applies to a much wider audience: including judging/analyzing/interpreting non-sexual targets.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 05:18 PM

That's actually not the point of the red pill.

Over time it's expanded to what you describe but at it's very core it's about sexual strategy and navigating the sexual market place. Not about becoming the person you've always wanted to be career wise/self actualization wise

I do however prefer the newer and expanded methodology

VisualCandidate • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 11:14 PM

Interesting, Ive been on TRP for a few months now, exposed to it through MGTOW, SJW, Feminism on Youtube. That's really cool to hear I didn't realize that the beginning of TRP was about sexual strategy (PUA), thought it was always the "neo" TRP that I'm talking about. I think I can be a little more lenient on the PUAs knowing that. Kudos!

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:06 AM

[permanently deleted]

Auvergnat • 32 points • 31 July, 2018 11:36 AM*

Always love a good heated debate.

He's right. Pick-up artists are inherently psychopathic. And the fact that the creator of this sub is upset about this is absolutely inane, and really goes to show where it's headed.

JBP here makes a perhaps-true claim, and then uses the moral underpinning of it to shame the target of that

claim. I like JBP but this is a tactic taken straight out of the playbook of the people he dislikes so much.

In reality, pick-up artistry may be psychopathic indeed, but then so what? Is it bad for society? Probably. But then so is spinning plates forever and never marrying. What would JBP think of redpillers? Would he carefully analyze our points, or discard us with the label of "nihilistics" or "sociopaths"?

Pick-up artistry doesn't actually fall in line, at all, with the "Red Pill."

As you already know, pick-up artists discovered game, from which is founded our knowledge of intersexual dynamics. There is definitely a line of descent here and you can't deny it. Moreover, Game remains fundamental to TRP. "Frame", a core Game concept, is Rollo's rule #1. You very well know TRP advocates approaching women, dealing with shit tests, giving her mystery and excitement. You know very well that at least 50% of posts are about picking up women or maintaining arousal in a relationship. PUA may not "fall in line" with TRP, but you have to be blind not to see the overlap.

I can already here you yelling that it's not inherently psychopathic. Or that psychopathy isn't bad. But that's besides the matter.

Why would it be besides the matter? It's actually a cornerstone of TRP that sexual strategy is amoral, and that arguing from morals is forbidden here. It's actually very interesting to discuss whether or not PUA is psychopathic (I'd say it is. Helped from the fact that psychopathy is one of the components of the most potent combo of psychological traits in terms of sexual attraction: dark triad).

Pick-up doesn't focus on the actualization of the man. It doesn't further one's status or mission. Instead, it places emphasis upon the female and encourages the male to escape his true imperative. The intrinsic motive of "pick-up" and "Game" is weak and counter-productive.

Depends if one's mission is to get laid like a warlord (TRP's top post of all times). Same with PUAs. These were men on a mission. The mission to annihilate a lifetime's status of "being unfuckable". You may think it sad, or psychopathic indeed. But you can't deny they had a vision and that they poured their entire soul into it, as much as the Olympic athlete or the arctic explorer. Nobody would know the name of "Neil Strauss" if it weren't for that phase of his life, even if he regrets it so much now.

You make the classic, fundamental mistake of thinking that TRP's message of "focusing on your mission, not your woman" implies that you should somehow not engage with women at all. Sexual desire is natural. Men are horny. Men having to do the bulk of the seduction work is usual and expected (burden of performance). You can't expect men not to think about how to make "it" happen. Sure it doesn't mean you should make "pick-up" your mission, and sure it's a sad thing if men focus a large amount of their time on it. But please don't throw the baby with the bathwater. We need game, and as everything we need practice to get good at it. A healthy, regular, practice of game keeps your intersexual relationship skills sharp. It keeps your abundance mentality sharp. It replenishes your set of plates spinning when some are falling.

Men need sexual gratification. Celibacy, even voluntary, does not do good to the male's brain (catholic priests anyone?). Lack of sex will definitely boost our will to succeed, but continuous lack of sex despite efforts will turn any man insane (Elliot Rodger anyone?). Sex is necessary. And sex won't happen without a modicum of Game. Even the most good-looking man on earth needs to be able to entertain a conversation with a woman that doesn't make him sound like a retard, in order to get her into bed. Game is life-enabling. Game is a gift that was given to us by our PUA predecessors. Praise Be Unto Them.

A real man engages his true mission up the male dominance hierarchy and focuses solely on himself and actualizing his pluripotentiality, narrowing a multitude of possibilities into one single frame of existence as he becomes who he truly is.

You're talking about "male dominance hierarchy" as this grand abstract universal virtuous concept. It's not. We compete in a multitude of small, local, and time-bound dominance hierarchies. When Mystery experimented with over-the-top peacocking, it was an experiment in how he could establish himself at the top of the "men-in-this-club-who-don't-care-that-what-they-wear-is-silly" dominance hierarchy in that club that night. Also, so engrossed in the concept, you seem to forget the very fundamental reason men do dick-measuring contests to compete in the hierarchy. That's right. **Being selected as a mate by a female human.**

So sure enough, you can argue that PUA implies "manipulation". But why is this necessarily against the rules of competition for the hierarchy?

As a comparison, take advertisement. Pure attempts at manipulating the consumer to buy the product. Picture a market composed of a product A of slightly higher quality, competing with a product B of much-higher advertisement success. Product B dominates the market, until driving product A out of competition. Which one was the top of the dominance hierarchy (market)? Sure from a technological perspective, or a moral perspective, you would have preferred product A to win. But the market is holistic. It doesn't care about morals. It takes everything into account.

You don't have to have an emotional response to seeing all mods and most ECs criticizing JBP. I like him. I agree with 99% of the things he says. To me the most refreshing, intellectually-honest mind to come around since Sam Harris. I also understand the point of his critics (the intellectually honest ones of course, not the radicals on the left who simply discard him as a nazi). As the latest post by redpillschool reminded, the point is not to support blindly or criticize blindly specific people, but to analyze ideas and then support/criticize them. I read your ideas on PUAs, analyzed them from my perspective, decided to criticize them, and told you what I think of them, without having to retort to ad-hominem towards JBP (whose ideas, again, I overwhelmingly like). You could have followed the exact same approach in your "PUA is bad and is not red-pill" argument, without having to throw in all that emotion which rose entirely from your anger at seeing people disliking JBP.

silversum1 • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 12:09 AM

Best response I've read here. Or rather the one that fits my view the most. JBP got me on the path to self improvement almost 9 months before I found TRP. I wonder if I'd have found it if I hadn't heard JBP first. A lot of his sentiments are echoed here. Although not everything he talks about is correct, even if it's 80% he's still way ahead of anyone else we've seen to this point.

itiswrltten • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 12:09 AM*

Binary thinking is a common problem with the plebs who don't get it yet - they would much rather pick a side just to say "fuck the other team" than live in the grey. The grey is much harder on the brain - requires significant critical thinking skills to identify where your beliefs don't quite align with an authority figure (or even more difficult, when they don't align with your other beliefs).

I received a great piece of advice, "There are people out there who spent their entire lives focused on one subject. If we're lucky enough, they wrote books about that subject. You now have a lifetime of work at your fingertips. Use it."

PUAs definitely obsessed over Game and sexual dynamics. They gave us all great work and subverted the most blue pill idea of all, that everyone is unique and just being yourself gets the girls. That insight was invaluable, and spawned TRP. Plebs think that by "reading the book" so to say you MUST be obsessed with women, or have made women your mission. Binary thinking at work right there.

PUA is just a tool, and so is TRP. TRP took things a step further and questioned/broke the rules in broader society, not just intersexual dynamics. I believe that's why it has traction PUA never had - it speaks to more

than just getting the girls. Swiss army knife vs. a screwdriver.

You're talking about "male dominance hierarchy" as this grand abstract universal virtuous concept. It's not.... the very fundamental reason men do dick-measuring contests to compete in the hierarchy...**Being selected as a mate by a female human.**

100% agree. Where Peterson's ideas fail is in the prescription - he prescribes being your best self just like TRP, but the reason for the prescription is a moral one where "doing the right thing" is the end goal. Problem is, a "real man" by his definition doesn't match with the core of TRP. Binary thinking leaves no room to disagree with your idol - it's all or nothing. No good philosophy was made in that way.

[deleted] • 15 points • 31 July, 2018 11:34 AM

Pickup artists aren't psychopaths bruh. They are socially awkward nerds who had to break socialization down into little pieces to understand it. Also, there are lots of socially awkward nerds who climb the "dominance hierarchy" and are still terrible with women, so pickup is just generally helpful for teaching naturally awkward guys how to get a girlfriend. Psychopaths don't need pickup to talk to girls, as they don't feel socially inhibited like normal people. If anything, pickup is a bunch of VERY socially inhibited guys learning to mimic psychopathy in a mild way.

I_BET_UR_MAD • 5 points • 31 July, 2018 10:53 PM

Exactly. Op is using a long ass post to say "be attractive, don't be unattractive". The entire fucking point of trp is that it's "cheating". If you could pick up chicks naturally you wouldn't need it in the first place. Fuck off with your lobster man worship

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 05:31 PM

Worshipping Dr. Peterson as a hero and taking his words as the holy grail is incorrect for sure. Saying that, we need as many icons as we can get preaching positive masculinity to stem the overflowing negativity presented by those whom demonise men and call us toxic.

[deleted] • 7 points • 31 July, 2018 06:18 PM

Where do people get the idea that PUA is a done by low status unattractive men and that it's nothing but lying and manipulation and cheating woman out of a real Alpha? RSD channels on YouTube show the complete opposite.

SkorchZang • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 12:25 AM

Droll Judeo-Petersonian trash. All up in the feminine-primary Matrix, LARPing about how we're the "real men" since we're so moral and doing the noble, the difficult, the right thing. Refusing to cheat m'lady out of her "incredible intuitive feminine".

Our Red Pill is about stepping outside this Matrix. And it does look like cheating, from the inside. It looks like unearned and inexplicable superpowers with women. It is playful, and fun, **which is why we call it Game**, while you think it ought to be called "Hard Work". It enrages the blue pill "work hard" Peterson-cuck to no end that this is reality, this is all possible, while he is trying so hard to be a good boy to fit in with the system and getting nothing for his trouble.

The origin of TRPs contempt for the blue pill is not ideological or moral, it is pragmatic. The blue pill way does not work.

Odins-left-eye • 24 points • 31 July, 2018 11:31 AM

I don't give a fuck if pickup is "bad for society." It was good for me. I did it for years because it helped me fuck women, which bolstered my confidence and gave me the underpinnings necessary to drive my way up the ladder. It's not "fake it forever;" it's "fake it 'till you make it." When you're doing game correctly, you are training yourself into the person who is actually confident and cavalier and funny in a cocky manner. It's no different from a dancer starting out by reading books and taking lessons on how to step with the proper technique. Only once he masters the technique and incorporates it into his muscle memory does he truly begin to create art with everything he's learned.

[deleted] • 17 points • 31 July, 2018 11:18 AM

If an individual can cheat his way into reproducing and spreading his genes, then cheating becomes a suitable evolutionary strategy. The existence of a certain number of cheaters, or in this case pick-up artists, becomes a constituent of the Nash equilibrium. In other words - if you manage to cheat your way into passing an exam, then you deserve to pass it. There is no morality within the pick-up movement, it's just a strategy. Calling it psychopathic, however, benefits the female imperative immensely.

With that being said, I do believe Peterson to be a positive figure, but many people here are just looking for another idol to worship. Everything he says has to be critically evaluated and I don't believe people do that. They just hear him spew out a number of complex words and phrases and go "woooow".

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 03:48 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:26 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 11:40 AM

Well that depends on how you define "successful". The philosopher in your name was all about perspectivism. The whole pick-up movement exploded two decades ago, and it certainly wasn't because it didn't yield results. Sure, they probably didn't have any sense of intrinsic value, they probably weren't feeling fulfilled, heck one of the originators of the movement (Mystery) had a series of mental breakdowns. They made females the center of their lives, all of that is true. But the point is - they did fuck women, and lots of them. That is pretty much all the nature cares about. Nature is amoral and cares not about the "inner state" of individuals spreading their genes, it only ever "cares" about the selection process - process which some of them passed.

TheRedPike • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 02:27 PM

. Look at the type of women

Type of woman? Whoa. The bloop emerges.

itiswrltten • 12 points • 31 July, 2018 05:42 PM

Let's cut right to the chase: the dominance hierarchy you've imagined, like all hierarchies, isn't an abstract. Any hierarchy can be measured, and your argument about the subversion of an also imagined natural law doesn't establish the unit of measurement by which men become top men. Therefore, it's not logically valid to claim pick up goes against natural law because you don't define the parameters of success outside the platitude of self actualization.

The easiest way to understand the core of TRP is to ask why it makes the outsiders so upset. That is easy:

"Game" wasn't invented, it was defined and stated openly. PUAs, the origin of TRP, made everyone including you mad because they developed an explicit method to attract women.

Women got mad because you're not supposed to do that, they're all special and unique and attraction isn't formulaic, it's supposed to be magical. It proved their belief system wrong, and did it overtly. You take issue for the same reason - TRP subverts what you call natural law and openly challenges Peterson, who you are defending for some weird reason. Your "just because he knows" statement is proof of this - not stating the real truth is what makes him not TRP regardless of whether he knows or believes TRP.

To weave all this together, hierarchy has to be measured, and a key way humans measure a man is sexual success (see: preselection). TRP core principles state that if you lift, develop your Game, and develop your Frame, you'll be sexually successful. TRP also states that what everyone else tells you about attracting women was wrong, and that the blue pill system exists to serve a society that uses you like a plow horse.

Peterson stating that PUA/TRP is "bad" is a moral statement taking issue with the fact that it works. Thus, many here contest that part of his philosophy. Any argument couched in "you should be thankful" is advocating from an authority position, which is a basic logical fallacy. Argue from the idea.

123newaccount • 4 points • 31 July, 2018 06:01 PM

and has a deep understanding of the human mind in every facet imaginable.

stopped reading here.

Whisper • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 12:31 AM

When someone decides to "call me out" in a burst of drama, I like to consider the source. After all, if we are unwilling to listen to any criticism, we can never learn again... but if we stop to listen to it all, we are giving our precious time away to internet retards.

So, I asked myself, what is OP's level of understanding of what TRP is about? How deeply has he understood what TRP teaches? Is this coming from a place of understanding, or is it a reaction to a first impression?

Well, here OP is, in his own words:

Asking girls on dates feels kind of pathetic. I feel like I'm investing too much of my time to go out and treat her with coffee, or take her on a walk, or get a drink with her. I know you can build rapport and comfort in these types of venues, but it just feels so pathetic.

Seems more direct and assertive to just asking them to "chill" or "come over to my place" and fuck.

Should you treat different girls differently? Ones you'd considering LTR'ing you ask on dates, and those as just plates you ask to hook up directly?

TL;DR — I feel like going on "dates" is high-investment, unnecessary, and overly needy. Is it better to just ask to chill at my place or her place, and just call them over directly?

Meh, not a bad beginner question. Unfortunately, I really don't think this level of understanding qualifies him for analysis of TRP as a whole, or what its direction should or shouldn't be.

So, let's answer the more valuable post:

/u/nietzschethegreat, the answer to your question is :

There's isn't a readily discernible difference, at the beginning, between "plate" and "considering an LTR"... remember that getting to relationship is her job, not yours. Your initial goal, therefore, isn't to treat this or that girl differently, it's to get to sex as quickly and cheaply as possible. THEN you decide if you want to promote, or not, depending on her behaviour. But every girl enters the ladder at the bottom.

So, "netflix and chill" if you can, date if she's not going to go for that, and you still feel like going for it. Those options, and a whole lot of others, and just tools in your toolbox. You select what tools to use depending on the girl and the circumstances.

ColdIceZero • 4 points • 1 August, 2018 01:52 AM

There exists a divergence in TRP, a divergence that may warrant a discussion of branching off another sub group to allow the opportunity for certain users to focus on distinct aspects of TRP.

TRP started with a simple mission: to improve men's sexual success with women. This sub was a museum for women in the ancient sense of the word: a place for men to academically muse about their perspectives and opinions about women and to formulate theories based upon observations in the real world.

Interestingly, over a short period of time, the community at large came to the consensus that women are attracted to men who have a mission, who have a purpose in their lives. Further, it became evident that men should not make women the mission of their life; a man's mission should be something else, something more important.

And therein lies the paradox. Men are largely drawn to this community because of their lack of sexual or relational success with women; and it turns out that one of the best methods for being attractive to women is having more important shit going on in your life than being attractive for the sake of being attractive.

So if the original purpose of TRP was to increase a man's sexual success with a woman but, in the process of discovering one's own self actualization, you stop focusing on increasing your attractiveness to women and start focusing on other goals, then what is TRP?

In my opinion, TRP helped many of us to break free of our blue pill, a-woman-will-solve-all-of-my-problems mindset. And in the process, many of us discovered that pursuing women shouldn't be the main aspect of our lives.

And yet many aspects of TRP are very closely tied to the original goals of the PUA community: finding better strategies to improve your ability to get your dick wet.

So what is TRP? Are we a community aimed toward slaying more and better pussy? Are we a community of once savages working toward greater personal enlightenment?

It seems like those goals are almost mutually exclusive in pursuit and passion.

I don't blame TRP users who are here for pussy gathering strategies. And I don't see it as derogatory to relate them to PUA, because both of their end goals are the same.

Yet at the same time, there are still other aspects of the TRP community focused on different goals. Those goals are not the same goals as the PUA community. So I believe there may be a bit of an identity issue here, with large segments of TRP claiming what TrueTRP™ really is.

Spark-001 • 7 points • 31 July, 2018 11:07 AM

People with very different goals and ethical values sometimes end up being on the same side.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 04:59 PM*

I understand and appreciate the mods intolerance for concern trolling. Sometimes it's a fine line. I think, however, that in this post the discussion of PUA and game as perhaps being a net negative in the broader goal of achieving true high value is warranted and interesting, opinions on Jordan Peterson aside.

I think learning game does help with abundance and "oh wow. I actually CAN get laid", which is useful especially if one has spent a lifetime alone and 'incel'. But though it does educate us on an instinctual level what behaviors women respond to, it is only a surface patch if it isn't paired with true outcome independence and a strong sense of self.

JonathanMekerset • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 10:55 PM

And the only way to do that is to make yourself high value

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 12:31 AM

Totally. I think the argument OP is making is that game alone is a poor substitute for that.

DancesWithPugs • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 05:01 PM

Not being able to discuss morality and how it relates to being a worthwhile man stifles the discussion. Yet you can talk about selfish manipulation and how great it is, as if that wasn't any kind of reflection of morals.

Masculinity is direct.

redpillschool • 4 points • 31 July, 2018 05:21 PM

In order to discuss morality, you must first agree *whose* morality we're dealing with.

TRP is a diverse crowd. You'd never get a consensus.

And if that didn't make it pointless enough, remember that "morality" is the rule book that was used to control our blue pill selves in the first place.

So I'd be wary even making an appeal to morality.

DancesWithPugs • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 07:30 PM

I get what you're saying.

Well RP advocates an amoral sexual strategy as your best long term chance at happiness. That is a value system. Saying it can't be criticized reminds me of other ideologies I gave up on.

Morality can be misused to control and manipulate. The same is true of most human activity.

redpillschool • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 07:34 PM

Well RP advocates an amoral sexual strategy as your best long term chance at happiness. That is a value system. Saying it can't be criticized reminds me of other ideologies I gave up on.

But I'm not saying that. You're more than welcome to criticize goals. In fact that's one of the reasons we have a MGTOW flair.

Recommending different strategies to realize different goals is perfectly on topic. Making an appeal to a larger morality or authority without reason is where it crosses the line.

For instance, I have no problem with religious people or atheists. But don't tell me not to spin plates because it's immoral. You know?

UFO_mechanic_AMA • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 06:25 PM

In order to discuss morality, you must first agree whose morality we're dealing with.

Just say you don't want discussion, because you don't.

redpillschool • 6 points • 31 July, 2018 06:37 PM

Just say you don't comprehend what I wrote, because you don't.

KeffirLime • 9 points • 31 July, 2018 12:57 PM

It's been explained over and over by patient individuals yet you're more intent on being triggered than

understanding what is being conveyed.

he'd know that pick-up artistry doesn't actually fall in line, at all, with the "Red Pill."

TRP has only ever recognized the effectiveness of the techniques but never claimed to endorse PUA as goal, it's simply critiquing JP's statements on them.

Pick-up is inherently for low-status men, against that which embraces the flourishing of the masculine, and counter-productive for those who are attempting to truly ascend the male dominance hierarchy.

PUA has never claimed to ascend an individual to the top of the male dominance hierarchy, it has only claimed to provide tools to help game a woman. I don't see how mastering these tools is counter productive for those attempting to ascend the male dominance hierarchy.

A real man engages his true mission up the male dominance hierarchy and focuses solely on himself and actualizing his pluripotentiality, narrowing a multitude of possibilities into one single frame of existence as he becomes who he truly is.

What's a **REAL** man? By who's standard? Who's definition?

Who he truly is? A man's existence is not stagnant. He can manipulate and change who he is to whatever he wants.

You're shying away from becoming who you can be, and attempting to circumvent the hierarchy by manipulating the highly-perceptive feminine into thinking that you are high status, when you're not.

PUA are not circumventing the the hierarchy. They're simply using tools to benefit themselves. It's a short term strategy. Not an attempt to rise to the top of the hierarchy.

And that never plays out well—for the male. No male will ever be truly sexually successful through a facade of status, memorized routines and lines, and a weak masculine foundation.

Never plays out well for the male? I think getting laid is playing out well for the male.

Essentially, you're cheating nature. There are no shortcuts to the top.

Who made you the nature police? You're saying there's a way things should be done and if you don't do it that way you're a cheat? There's no such thing as cheating nature. There's only understanding nature and manipulating it to work for you. I fail to see how obtaining tools to better your life is a bad thing. Just because it doesn't fall inline with your programmed standard?

we get men who are inherently low status, unattractive, and have no real value fucking women who think they are high-status, attractive, and capable of climbing the dominance hierarchy.

If a man presents the factors that women find attractive and THEY decide to fuck him how is that not real? He's presenting the tools that she finds attractive. Just the same as if you present yourself as a muscular man it increases your chances of getting laid. Perhaps you should put the burden on the poor little helpless female on vetting the people she spreads her legs for a little better.

PUA whole approach is exactly that picking up and fucking woman. A woman who doesn't fuck guys she just met will not fall for it. By your logic she's probably a low status female too then if she could just get picked up in a bar, so they deserve each other.

"Pick-up" is for low-status men.

I think all men use pick up in some form or another.

so they have to resort to displaying all the behaviors that a high status man naturally, outwardly manifests without being the high status man themselves.

Yes, they use the tools at their disposal to help get themselves laid, such terrible people.

Oh but if the alpha uses the tools at his disposal to get laid thats fine right? Only certain people are allowed to use the tools, and only Jordan Peterson can say who.

The "Red Pill" isn't about low status men trying to "pick up" women or manipulating them subconsciously (from both frames of reference, that is) into liking them. It's about becoming a truly high status man who lives for his own values and needs, naturally attracting women.

Precisely why the Red Pill doesn't prescribe PUA as a long term solution.

Jordan Peterson's statements doesn't mean you should throw out the baby with the bathwater.

No one is criticizing the person, only some of his ideas. And encouraged you to do the same.

He's studied, endlessly, the horrors Western civilization

So we should praise him for speaking out against Western civilization?

best-selling non-fiction book in the Western world at the moment

But we should also praise him because Western Civilization endorses him? Do you not see the paradox here?

Just because he knows certain things doesn't mean he has to speak about them in public. His "credibility" would be shot off the moment he mentions any real truths to society.

So he speaks out for men against common public opinion. But won't speak out truths that he secretly knows because of fear o public opinion? Are you listening to yourself?

You don't think Peterson knows exactly what women are attracted to?

Ya ok with his n-count of one. Im sure he's very experienced in this field.

Anyway, any more is just exhausting, and the more I read I also suspect this is a sneaky MOD trolling

Which in that case, fucking well done.

redpillschool • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 04:22 PM

Anyway, any more is just exhausting, and the more I read I also suspect this is a sneaky MOD trolling

It isn't, but it is helping us root out the trolls.

+1 on your reply by the way.

[deleted] • 7 points • 31 July, 2018 10:45 AM

What I don't understand, is pickup any different from talking to a random girl on the street and asking for her number?

[deleted] • 6 points • 31 July, 2018 12:02 PM

"Pickup" insinuates the use of falsehood to pretend at high status/value. Its context.

There's nothing inherently wrong about picking up a girl while being who you are. It's the use of lies to pretend at being who you arent - using "pickup" lines/strategies to play at status you dont have - where it gets creepy.

TheRedPike[M] • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 11:16 PM

Did you even read this?

https://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/934c9c/disappointment_in_the_lack_of_critical_thinking/

ssj4kevin • 5 points • 31 July, 2018 05:44 PM

I don't know why people are having a hard time understanding that TRP and JP are talking about different things. As far as I'm concerned TRP is and has always been about sexual strategy and ONLY about sexual strategy. Whatever discussion of 'self-improvement' has been as a step toward the goal of improved sexual outcome.

JP by and large discusses how he believes people ought to conduct themselves to live in a prosperous society. A lot of that message focuses on the individual and how that individual should seek to improve themselves, but since the ultimate goal is a prosperous society that individual is going to have to conduct themselves according to principles handed to them.

Somewhere along the line of TRP history the dominant view of what TRP is has switched from sexual strategy to this weird notion of 'self-improvement'. In a large percentage of threads on the sub I see people talk about how TRP is 'really, when it comes down it about becoming the best person you can' and I just think to myself 'when the hell did it become that?'. The only view under which TRP is about self-improvement is if your metric for self-improvement is sexual success and ONLY sexual success.

The concept of 'self-improvement' is going to have an ethical component to it no matter what. TRP is, as far as I am aware, entirely amoral so how could it even be about self-improvement? Even when TRP uses ethical language I've always interpreted that as a rhetorical tool as opposed to believing that it is endorsing any particular ethical perspective.

Anyway, since TRP and JP are not talking about the same things then obviously there are going to be major disagreements. TRP is amoral, JP is almost entirely morally normative. From my perspective this crazy feud over the last couple days is the result of a large portion of people on the sub believing mistakenly that TRP is about 'self-improvement' (whatever the hell that means). It's not. That's a lot closer to what JP talks about. So when someone comes out and disagrees with JP using colourful language a large number of readers take offense because they never understood this sub to begin with.

[deleted] • 7 points • 31 July, 2018 11:00 AM

In essence, a real man is a MGTOW who gets laid when he wants and doesn't have to fake it. I've seen men make women the center of their lives. They change the way they talk, they change their social media and even start working out just because of women. It might make you a better man in the long run but you are doing it for the wrong reasons. Stop thinking about women and go be successful and happy you fucking losers.

vandaalen • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 08:42 PM

In essence, a real man is a MGTOW who gets laid when he wants and doesn't have to fake it.

Thanks /u/EdgarAllanPu. Everybody here was really getting impatient waiting for you to tell us what "a real man is".

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:06 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 14 points • 31 July, 2018 11:08 AM

Yep it is. Go on to the MGTOW sub to learn more, there are different types of mgtow, you dont have to be a Monk. You can be monk in high productivity cycles and spin plates whenever you want to fuck

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:35 AM*

[permanently deleted]

jaitajupi3 points 31 July, 2018 07:41 PM [recovered]

Those men are just in the anger phase, just like many are when they first take the pill. Eventually MGTOW starts to make sense and you realize that those negative emotions will get you nowhere. Self-defined success is at the heart of MGTOW, sometimes that means fucking a few bitches along the way.

Edit: To be fair men also complain about other men in that way. It's just that it feels more personal when a women rejects you.

Phixioner • 7 points • 31 July, 2018 10:27 AM

I agree that rehearsal of pick up methods and obsessively focusing on gaming girls implicates a lack of integrity and a weakness of character.

However, considering this sub has a lot of members, it is only realistic that the sub is turning out the way it is.

It's probably not going to get better so I think you are better off to just let go and move on.

Also why the need to defend Jordan Peterson?

Rian_Stone • 10 points • 31 July, 2018 10:52 AM

Why does it have to be obsessive? An example, I learned M3 because dating was a slog, and it allowed me to gamify the experience, made it fun again. I had a 180 day sailing schedule, and no time to obsess about anything. I peacocked, but never with a hat.

So your post reads to me like a pejorative stereotype, based on never actually reading on pickup. Considering the amount of guys on here to min/max their avatars on [current video game here] I find these conversations are dancing around their actual issues, and using the ones you describe as a surrogate.

we always have two reasons for shit, the one we say, and the one we dont

Because one of our married users broke down the mystery method, and it reads nothing like your blathering

Phixioner • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 11:18 AM

I didn't intend to come across as pejorative of people choosing to devote their time to game girls, that is fine with me, and I am also 'guilty' in doing so myself.

What I meant by it signifying a lack of integrity and weakness of character is that gaming girls is reward seeking, also in many, if not most cases some type of over-compensation from a general feeling of inadequacy, in my opinion it is simply an escape from reality, that's all.

Honestly I don't know why I set myself up for a debate on this.

Rian_Stone • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 11:38 AM

It's fun.

So how exactly does your integrity take a hit. To whom?

How would you counter that guys covering up inadequacy by... being adequate is not a bad thing?

Phixioner • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 11:51 AM

It's not black and white. There are layers to inadequacy, an internalized sense of inadequacy is sub conscious. If you understand how repressed emotions work you will understand why using something outside yourself to compensate for a negative internalized emotion is fueling and reinforcing the sense of inadequacy that you are trying to escape in the first place.

Rian_Stone • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 12:53 PM

Yeah. And when that external compensation makes you angry, it motivates you to remove the source of the anger.

External motivation isn't better than discipline, but it's a great kick start to the process. What do you think Esther Villas book and the invol incel post are the first two links on the sidebar for?

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 10:34 AM*

[permanently deleted]

Rian_Stone • 4 points • 31 July, 2018 10:53 AM

Use your own words, you're parroting Peterson.

redpillschool • -1 points • 31 July, 2018 03:23 PM

It's probably not going to get better so I think you are better off to just let go and move on.

K bye.

gbdoragnic • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 05:19 PM

if you get what you want out of life, you are doing it right, if you aren't you're doing it wrong, operating on this simple terms how can PUA be for a weak man.

Your definition of weak is virtue signalling , if you truly understand weak or beta you wouldn't use that. No one wants to be weak or beta, it's considered a bad state.

> It's about becoming a truly high status man who lives for his own values and needs, naturally attracting women.

All ways are natural, everything you do is natural, if you did something unnatural as a human you would achieve special snow flake status what you want is trad con way of approaching women.

A simple way of looking at it is, "I want to learn social skills", the response of the red pill community is good for you, you're becoming high value, a real authentic person , however if you say, "I Want to learn social skills specifically to sleep with women", you will get blasted and told to focus on you.

I honestly don't know why the double standard, it's like some fake form of purity must go into the game, attracting women must be done indirectly, almost as if on accident as a result of some high valued life.

PUA at it's core teaches you aren't a special snow flake, but neither is anyone else, your version of TRP teaches, you can become a special snow flake(High value) and everyone will like you, honestly this version of the red pill is judgmental, egoistical and walking around thinking you are better.

Love is just a chemical reaction, The art in Pick up comes from you are making people feel real authentic emotions , like a musician or a painter

> And that never plays out well—for the male.

This is like saying weed is the gateway drug and makes you lazy, in that there is some truth to what you say, but you are as real as your intent, it plays out as well as I want it to. All I'm saying is I have to learn the hard way, not that I was being fake, just that I still have growing to do specifically with women and learning.

After a while, I get used to it, it becomes second nature, it's like doing a back flip, Experience is the best teacher, my relationships with women expanded my mind to the point where I'm being myself because I can't not do that.

Bottled_Void • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 05:23 PM

This sub is essentially sexual strategy to getting laid and otherwise increasing your smv.

While Jordon Peterson says a lot of things I agree with, I'm not sure how much of it applies to this strategy.

You're free to pick and choose what you choose to do in your own life, but I think it's only fair the sub stays on topic.

RedPlanetMan • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 05:59 PM

Ideological thinking is like a curse, bounding people and blinding them to the objective world *and* their subjective perceptions of it, and no one is really free of that mental conditioning. And with that said, PUArtistry is just one component in a much larger puzzle. To believe that any *one* thing, if sufficiently mastered, can get you laid with any girl is psychopathic. An interrogator that primarily, & only, relies on violence is a psychopath. Peterson is getting at the fact that a RP man is a well-rounded person, not someone whose built an identity on some manipulative tactic as a means of finding purpose/meaning.

As to the problem with Peterson, and not his ideas, the media has made him the foreperson of an alternate view/paradigm of the world, one which is very distinct perceptually from the currently propagated one, and because the current paradigm is always seen as the default (e.g., society is always at its most modern progressional possibility but everyone else, in the past especially, were cavemen), he is hated for being a rabble-rouser, easily crucified in a different age.

I think that those who don't try to understand his ideas, as opposed to their view of the person, it's like the female-hamster, doing everything it can to not see its true, self-deluding nature, IMO.

roec01 • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 07:12 PM

Jordan Peterson is someone you should listen to, you can form your own opinions afterwards.

ghostofexistence • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 11:36 PM

Sage advice. I actually enjoy listening to him, like on Joe Rogan's podcast for example. He makes me think about certain things in new ways which is great. There are many times though where you can really tell he has no clue what he's talking about and displays symptoms of mental illness. It's also clear that most people criticizing him know absolutely nothing about him.

CocaineOnYourClit • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 07:22 PM

JP has some good stuff, he has some less good stuff, he has some absolutely shit. Use the good stuff, ignore the less good stuff, laugh at the absolute shit

iknowthewhey • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 10:13 PM

Peterson's the man giving a sermon out on the mountain to thousands of eager listeners, and those who criticize him are a bunch of insignificant sheep roaming out among the fields below. If you have a real contention, stop complaining and have some respect for yourself.

So you think criticizing someone's ideas makes you a sheep? I would argue that blindly following the JP ideas

makes you a sheep, not the other way around. This sounds like an angry rant of someone who desperately needs to cling to the ideas of a man they follow without question.

You don't think Peterson knows exactly what women are attracted to? You don't think he knows exactly how bitter and resentful men are? You don't think he knows exactly how society is structured

You say he knows all these things yet he is just keeping these ideas to himself while simultaneously is on the side of men. This is inherently contradictory. **How exactly is Jordan Peterson on the side of young men when he advocates marriage and wants young men to be BENEFACTORS of society?** Society fucks me in the ass and I am supposed to contribute back to it? **Marriage is dead. This is because it was a shit idea that DIDN'T WORK.** Men wanting to fuck women isn't psychopathic, it is deeply rooted in our psyche.

You claim to be an advocate of the ideas of Nietzsche yet you also claim that Pickup and game are **immoral. If you are claiming to be an advocate for the ideas of Nietzsche while you are forcing your morals onto others then you must not have any comprehension of the ideas that Nietzsche put forth.** Nietzsche It is extremely clear that you are confused about your inner values and cling to Jordan Peterson as your alpha and leader because you yourself cannot choose your own values. Yes, Jordan Peterson advocates some good ideas and helps young men. However, he lacks a true understanding of sexual strategy, which is the **real main purpose of this sub.**

DIRECTLY FROM *BEYOND GOOD AND EVIL*, FRIEDRICH NIETZSCHE," The lofty independent spirituality, the will to stand alone, and even the cogent reason, are felt to be dangers, **everything that elevates the individual above the herd**, and is a source of fear to the neighbor, **is henceforth called EVIL;**" Sound familiar? Such as claiming pick-up and game to be immoral. Why should we conform to your views on the world and your definition of what a "real man" is?

Here is an amoral **fact**. Pick-up artistry is an amoral tool for sexual strategy. Criticizing PUA is serving the female imperative which has been **clearly stated here yet you fail to comprehend that simple idea. Jordan Peterson does not serve the male imperative by claiming that PUA is sociopathic and he is not your friend.**

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 11:13 PM

Red Pill is not societally constructive. We are not asking men to do anything to help society. When will you all learn.

redHussar • 9 points • 31 July, 2018 11:15 AM

Mods dont have a problem with JP. They have problem with people who don't think for themselves. Like you. You just defended JP because you invested so much into his idea. You are a follower and basically are not different then feminist or libtard. You just believe in different God. And that is a problem.

[deleted] • 4 points • 31 July, 2018 02:41 PM

Don't say people don't get it. This guy gets it.

+1

Rian_Stone • 8 points • 31 July, 2018 10:44 AM*

Walk me through whats at the top of the dominance heirarchy?

and use your own words, dont' parrot what peterson transcript you see,

A real man engages his true mission up the male dominance hierarchy and focuses solely on himself and actualizing his pluripotentiality, narrowing a multitude of possibilities into one single frame of existence as he becomes who he truly is.

because this chomskybot bullshit is unintelligible.

and focuses solely on himself and actualizing his pluripotentiality [pluripotentiality having the ability to develop in any one of several different ways, or to affect more than one organ or tissue]

Funny aside, this reads a lot like becoming ones own 'masculine center' from Rollo, quoted 7 years earlier. The second part is exactly like feminists, borrowing CIS from medical literature, using it to describe white dudes.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:24 AM*

[permanently deleted]

Rian_Stone • 0 points • 31 July, 2018 11:36 AM*

You should know, it's the year one shit on the sidebar everyone is supposed to read.

Also, I find it funny how you talk about evolutionary success, and neglect to add fucking women into that... Pretty sure that's the only metric that counts, everything else is a means to an end.

It took a lot of words for you to lawyer speak the incel/mgtow argument, but it's well done. Forces people to have reading comprehension to call it out.

Most people here can't read.

RE RPS: Yup, 100%. I watched a smart guy speak and can parrot it, therefore I am a smart guy too!

the map is not the terrain

Like when we had a tour on the ship with a bunch of kids. One of the bosuns was talking about the 50 cal. the kid rifled off stats, talked about it like he was fucking one at home. Bosun asked him how often he uses his:

Well, I use it in Call of duty

"Heh, fuck off kid"

redpillschool • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 03:25 PM

Dude is using ten dollar words to hide that he doesn't know what he's talking about.

redpillschool[M] [score hidden] 31 July, 2018 03:20 PM stickied comment

Man you chucklefucks really don't get it. My post was "debate ideas not the man" and you immediately run for a defense of a man.

Introduction There's a been a whole charade of backlash and relentless vitriol against Jordan Peterson by a ton of members on this sub recently.

Just yesterday, the top, pinned post was the moderator making fun of Jordan Peterson's lecture on psychopathy and pick-up artists. He simply took a transcript from his speech during a university lecture and edited out all the punctuation to make it seem like a bunch of nonsensical ramble.

It was a copy/paste from youtube's transcription.

Anyways, I thought this sub was supposed to bolster good quality content, not turn it into a fucking dumpster fire.

Concern troll.

He's right. Pick-up artists are inherently psychopathic.

Ah, good point.

And the fact that the creator of this sub is upset about this is absolutely inane, and really goes to show where it's headed.

You know nothing of the origins of this subreddit if you think that's where we're "headed." We were already there, who the fuck are you?

Pick-up artistry doesn't actually fall in line, at all, with the "Red Pill."

I can already hear you yelling that it's not inherently psychopathic. Or that psychopathy isn't bad. But that's besides the matter.

Pick-up is inherently for low-status men, against that which embraces the flourishing of the masculine, and counter-productive for those who are attempting to truly ascend the male dominance hierarchy.

Ah, good point. The age-old advice: Just don't be low status. Be attractive, don't be unattractive.

Listen, I think learning about TRP is useful whether you're a natural chad with money falling out of your pockets or you're a loser with spaghetti falling out of your pockets. But let's face it, a lot of the guys who end up here are the ones who need the most help.

Even if you disagree with the caricature of pick-up artists as fedora-wearing sleaze-bags, you're missing the point. Pick-up doesn't focus on the actualization of the man. It doesn't further one's status or mission. Instead, it places emphasis upon the female and encourages the male to escape his true imperative. The intrinsic motive of "pick-up" and "Game" is weak and counter-productive.

"Actualization of the man." You are simply taking manhood and framing it in a feminine-primary light. You are doing exactly what we've been talking about on the red pill for **years**.

Game isn't weak and counter productive, it is a very useful tool that a lot of smart guys came up with to help awkward idiots learn how to communicate with women. That doesn't make you less of a man or prevent the "actualization of the man" or whatever newaged nonsense you're on about.

Learning things like agree and amplify aren't just cheap tricks, they're insights into human psychology that help you get a new perspective on how humans interact.

It seems like you think game is just sitting around memorizing pickup lines. That's fucking retarded, and the only way somebody could come to that conclusion is if they're new here and **haven't read the fucking sidebar**.

When you internalize concepts like agree and amplify, you aren't regurgitating prewritten lines. You're understanding why people like it, why it pushes the right buttons, and then you're using your own wit to talk with other humans while avoiding the pitfalls of being overly defensive, boorish, or antisocial.

For some guys that didn't have dads or role models, this is more important than you'd think.

But I'm glad your advice is just "be high value." Shut down TRP guys, this dude just solved sex.

It's not just semantics either. Pick-up itself encompasses a wide swath of beliefs, and all fall under an anti-masculine, manipulative sphere of ideology.

Who defines masculine in this scenario? Because if I decide to pick up women and use whatever I've learned here, I sure as hell am as masculine as they get. **You don't get to decide if I'm a man or not.** Neither does Peterson. Neither does the feminine imperative.

In case you didn't get it yet, that's the fucking point of TRP. To reject the notion that other people get to define masculinity in order to control you.

Still doubtful that pick-up is anti-Red Pill and anti-masculine? Great, cause I'm about to explain it to you.

The Male Dominance Hierarchy Men have their own evolutionarily driven dominance hierarchy. They compete, fight, and struggle towards its peak. The females sit idly on the side, waiting to choose those who emerge on top.

Men hold each other to a high standard. As does the individual. You have a personal obligation to become all you can be. To follow your true calling, listen to the individuated self, and righteously strive towards the metaphorical kingdom of God on Earth.

Jesus Christ that's some meaningless new-age drivel.

Your words: You have a personal obligation to become all you can be.

How do you get from point A to point B? So far your advice has amounted to "stop being low value." But how? How do you get an anti-social beta who doesn't know how to talk to women to suddenly become good at talking to women?

If only there were a forum dedicated to helping men solve that problem.... *If only.*

A real man engages his true mission up the male dominance hierarchy and focuses solely on himself and actualizing his pluripotentiality, narrowing a multitude of possibilities into one single frame of existence as he becomes who he truly is.

Ah, there we go again. Somebody telling me what a real man does in an attempt to get me to follow his rules. I've fallen for that trick before. That's what lead me here.

Listen you're really on about this dominance hierarchy nonsense, but some guys just want to get better at maximizing their sexual strategy. Some to start families, others to get laid, while others do it just to know they can.

If a man tries to escape his evolutionary prerogative or that which calls his Being forward, he will suffer and never live a fulfilling, meaningful existence. It's natural law.

Did a voice in your head tell you that?

Seems to me we're driven to make babies, and beyond that there isn't much more evolution says.

Pick-Up How does pick-up fit in with that?

You're shying away from becoming who you can be, and attempting to circumvent the hierarchy by manipulating the highly-perceptive feminine into thinking that you are high status, when you're not. And that never plays out well—for the male. No male will ever be truly sexually successful through a facade of status, memorized routines and lines, and a weak masculine foundation.

Essentially, you're cheating nature. There are no shortcuts to the top.

That's where you're wrong, bucko. If I have more sex than you, and make more babies, then as far as evolution is concerned, I win. That's all there is to it.

I disagree that game is cheating - but then again I don't think anything is cheating *because there are no rules.*

But here you are again, trying to define proper masculinity by appeasing the fempire and saying you must only be high value or fuck off. Well, I don't play by their rules, and I don't play by yours either. If I want to do things my way, I'm going to. And if you don't like that, fuck you.

As a result, if the facade and veneer of sophistication succeeds, we get men who are inherently low status, unattractive, and have no real value fucking women who think they are high-status, attractive, and capable of climbing the dominance hierarchy. It's bad for society and men themselves.

Those poor hapless women. Society is truly doomed.

Wait.. but why? Oh because you said so?

"Pick-up" and "Game" masquerade as facades for any true imperative of climbing the male dominance hierarchy. It's a rationalized, half-baked attempt for men to cheat the rules of reality—one that will never succeed in the end.

I don't know if all you JP trolls are just the same guy but it seems like you hide the fact that you don't have a point in a "veneer of sophistication." Let's count the ten dollar words and see how it compares to your point.

You've used the phrase "male dominance hierarchy" a number of times- but your nebulous definition leaves much to be desired. Is it just the big eternal competition to see who gets the most access to women? But here you've presented us a list of caveats that exclude things like actually getting access to women in JP unapproved ways. Who gets to say what the fuck you mean? Muscles are approved, wit is unapproved. Dressing well is approved, but overdressing is unapproved.

Good god, we're going to need referees to play by your rules.

"The actualization of the man." Sounds to me like somebody just took a philosophy 101 class. If you had paid attention in that class, you'd remember the part about *different strokes for different folks*.

You've got your own idea of what self-actualization means. Fair enough, go do it and leave us alone.

"Pick-up" is for low-status men.

"Pick-up artists" and teachers of "pick-up" use routines, verbal tricks, and superficial elements with the "veneer of sophistication" to trick women into thinking they are something they are not.

What? Clever? I would argue if you're smart enough to work in jokes and beat shit tests then you probably *are* clever.

(Continued in next comment)

useyourmouth • 28 points • 31 July, 2018 05:10 PM

For a guy with the handle "nietsche the great," OP sure does impose a lot of absolutes and moral principles on us.

LowCredit • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 01:36 AM

To be fair, Nietzsche wrote about saving humanity from the nihilism that comes from collectively "killing God."

Nergaal • 9 points • 31 July, 2018 07:16 PM

If I have more sex than you, and make more babies, then as far as evolution is concerned, I win.

In a strictly apeman sense yes. Genghis Khan has his DNA in about 10% of the current population, but he killed many to get there. Alternatively, Einstein doesn't have known descendants, yet everybody who took a course in science knows his name.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 09:15 PM

Einstein had two sons and a daughter. Google it.

Nergaal • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 09:59 PM

Two of them died young. Third one is unclear what happened. Doubtful if any kids from the third one were alive today, they wouldn't claim the title

[deleted] • 7 points • 31 July, 2018 07:36 PM

everybody who took a course in science knows his name.

I'm sure that his genes are not very happy with that outcome. As far evolution goes, he lost.

dontaddmuch • 21 points • 31 July, 2018 07:39 PM

As far as the evolution of ideas goes, he clearly won.

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 07:57 PM

No questions there, last week we had one more big stellar observation obeying GE.

Fun side note, Einstein was also an idiot when it comes religion.

Nergaal • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 07:38 PM

As far evolution goes, he lost.

You think getting 100 offspring has any ripple effect on the 7 billion DNA strands pool? You are quite naive if you haven't realized that yet.

[deleted] • 9 points • 31 July, 2018 07:56 PM

It does. Humans cross-breed like hell over continents in just a few generations. In fact, our Mitochondrial Eve happened sooner than 150k years ago. He might be naïve, but you don't know what you're talking about.

Nergaal • -1 points • 31 July, 2018 08:03 PM

our Mitochondrial Eve happened sooner than 150k years ago

Just 70k years ago less than 10k humans existed. Of course being alive then would have a huge ripple effect on today. But if you don't know, homo sapiens barely existed 150k years ago.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Toba_catastrophe_theory

pl00pt9 points 31 July, 2018 05:04 PM* **[recovered]**

It seems like you think game is just sitting around memorizing pickup lines. That's fucking retarded, and the only way somebody could come to that conclusion is if they're new here and haven't read the fucking sidebar. When you internalize concepts like agree and amplify, you aren't regurgitating prewritten lines.

If you just replace "memorizing pickup lines" with "instrumental language" you're basically saying the same thing he does in the video.

The video is criticizing a PUA who uses non-internalized canned lines and his suggestion is basically what PUA's call congruence. He's just using different terms.

redpillschool • 20 points • 31 July, 2018 05:06 PM

That's a caricature of pickup though. Anybody experienced with pickup knows canned lines don't work. So either he doesn't know what pickup is, or he is insulting the wrong people.

vandaalen • 13 points • 31 July, 2018 08:37 PM

So either he doesn't know what pickup is

A guy with an n-count of ~1 doesn't know what PUA really is? Color me surprised.

LowCredit • 0 points • 1 August, 2018 01:58 AM

You forget the third option: Jordan Peterson is controlled opposition to push languishing males back into systemized debt slavery to keep funding hoes through marriage and taxes.

redpillschool[M] • 21 points • 31 July, 2018 03:20 PM

Continued...

Low status men who don't have the ability nor the potential to become what they could be, so they have to resort to displaying all the behaviors that a high status man naturally, outwardly manifests without being the high status man themselves.

I guess those high value males are going to have to up their game then, because they're not at the top of hierarchy mountain or whatever you've been calling it.

If we were the last two people on earth and we were down to our last bit of food, I would take it and let you starve. And your rules about what's right and what's fair won't make fuck all of a difference when you die and I live. The universe doesn't care about right and wrong. There is only success and failure.

The "Red Pill" isn't about low status men trying to "pick up" women or manipulating them subconsciously (from both frames of reference, that is) into liking them. It's about becoming a truly high status man who lives for his own values and needs, naturally attracting women.

Peterson's Dilemma Just because you disagree with one or two of Jordan Peterson's statements doesn't mean you should throw out the baby with the bathwater.

He's studied, endlessly, the horrors Western civilization was capable of in the 20th century, and has a deep understanding of the human mind in every facet imaginable. He's a trained clinical psychologist, and not just any old one at that. He has over ten thousand citations on his psychology papers, he taught at the most prestigious university in the world, and wrote the best-selling non-fiction book in the Western world at the moment.

I don't know why everybody's go-to defense is "he's so smart, he's basically a genius." I don't give a fuck if he's got an IQ of a billion. What I've heard from the guy doesn't match up with my experience in the sexual marketplace. And I think I've been there much more recently than he has.

From what I understand, the pro-marriage guy got married young and hasn't done much shopping.

I don't blame my parents for being totally out of touch with the sexual market- hell, it's different than even the 90s or early 2000s. It has changed quite a bit. The landscape is totally unlike anything my older friends and family have seen.

He has good intentions. I can't believe how close-minded some of you could be to call him "blue-pilled" or any more of that blabber. In fact, he probably understands more about society, the political spectrum, and intersexual affairs more than any of you. No, he definitely does.

I'm sure he's got good intentions. I don't hate the guy. But he's wrong about a lot.

And here you are making a bunch of appeals to authority with zero meat to back it up.

He's all for the individual and for the bolstering of young men both as individual, masculine beings and as benefactors of society.

I think the word is "slave."

In fact, he's probably the first well-known public figure to speak about such important topics that directly relate to this sub's motives in recent times. You should be thanking him for bringing a new light to masculinity and for fighting against the radical liberals and those who condemn "toxic masculinity."

It's a noble cause. Futile, but noble.

Just because he knows certain things doesn't mean he has to speak about them in public. His "credibility" would be shot off the moment he mentions any real truths to society.

Okay. Once he starts saying things I agree with, I'll agree with him. How's that sound?

You don't think Peterson knows exactly what women are attracted to? You don't think he knows exactly how bitter and resentful men are? You don't think he knows exactly how society is structured?

Yeah, that's what I'm saying.

So I don't know where the fuck this sub is headed, but honestly I couldn't care less. If you all drive yourselves into the ground in a carnival of fury and self-righteousness, go right ahead.

K, bye.

Mild111 • 40 points • 31 July, 2018 07:52 PM

Not saying you're wrong, but to me, your argument sounds more like "evolution cares if I have babies, so my only goal is to be successful ONLY in the bedroom"

Whereas when I hear JP's message of "get your shit together" the goal is more about leaving a legacy for yourself and role model and family status for those babies.

At some point "fake it till you make it" just becomes "faking it" because you don't care about making it, because you're getting all of the temporary rewards. Which is fine when you're in your 30s and starting to hit peak smv, but eventually you're no better than the hypergamous women who try to skate by on their looks and vagina, and wind up hitting the wall because they've done no work on their actual lifestyle and personality.

Like anything, Pick-up is a tool. Not inherently bad or good. You can use it to move forward, or use it to get yourself stuck. So I agree with both of you.

Life is more than just pussy, and the point of TRP is moving past simple confidence, and into developing frame. Responsibility, and work on being a good, mature, masculine man are big parts of having a solid frame.

Whisper • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 12:02 AM

At some point "fake it till you make it" just becomes "faking it" because you don't care about making it

Making *what*?

You've decided what *you* think the goal of life is. Well, you're wrong. Life doesn't have a goal. *You* have a goal. Life and the universe just *are*.

Mild111 • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 12:58 AM

Make "Success."

And you're somewhat right.

You do have a goal. For **most** men, those goals include some degree of the following: -Financial success -Romantic Success -The ability to negotiate with women about things other than sex/romance -The ability to have status among your fellow men -The ability to pass on your values to other people/the next generation

Those come with frame. Not with the simple confidence you get from pickup.

Not every man desires those goals, and if you don't, fair enough..but enough of us have found *some* level of happiness, that we promote these goals as *an* ideal that *some* men can obtain, if they want....and use TRP philosophy to get there.

[deleted] • 10 points • 31 July, 2018 08:58 PM

Peterson is not redpill. My problem with this sub is that a lot of guys have this cult like mentality where they think they're not allowed to get information from non red pill sources. Peterson is good for his psychological analyses. I'm not going to him for red pill advice, just like I'm not coming here for help on my calculus homework.

Galbert123 • 7 points • 1 August, 2018 12:01 AM

Peterson is good for his psychological analyses. I'm not going to him for red pill advice, just like I'm not coming here for help on my calculus homework.

A spot on and concise point.

Nergaal • 8 points • 31 July, 2018 07:18 PM

If we were the last two people on earth and we were down to our last bit of food, I would take it and let you starve. And your rules about what's right and what's fair won't make fuck all of a difference when you die and I live

There are about 4 billion pieces of food left on Earth.

It's a noble cause. Futile, but noble.

Say you leave 100 offsprings. What is the point of getting that if all of them are going to live a shitty life because you didn't care at all to make the society better for them?

redpillschool • 6 points • 31 July, 2018 07:37 PM

Really that only has meaning in the context of evolutionary survival.

Personally, in my life, my values are different.

I would prefer to give my children a better life. I don't think the way to do that so convert society back to tradcon. I don't even think that's possible.

Nergaal • 10 points • 31 July, 2018 07:51 PM

I don't think the way to do that so convert society back to tradcon. I don't even think that's possible.

I might be wrong, but I don't think JPB has explicitly said he thinks that's possible either. For example he has said that women has been part of the workforce for only like 2 generations, and society has not finished adapting to that yet.

If there is an achievable equilibrium for this new setup, it's unlikely to be reached in just 2

generations. IMO it will be somewhere in the middle between tradcon and whatever the worst-case-scenario-envisioned-by-TRP-is.

MortalSisyphus • 12 points • 31 July, 2018 03:40 PM

Well said. This is the breakdown I was looking for.

goldenhourlivin2 points 1 August, 2018 01:59 AM* **[recovered]**

Benefiting society = slave (?)

Alright, bring in the wrecking crew. This society's gotta go!

Also I'll add this: if it's known that children raised in two parent households significantly outperform those who aren't on almost all metrics that matter for success, then how reproductively successful were you really? I wouldn't call it "success" if you're producing the next generation of people who's skill capability maxes out at driving a truck, biding time until a machine can do it better.

III_III_III • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 03:24 AM

As long as your children have children and so forth, you have won in evolutionary terms. It doesn't matter if they are dumb or even if they are happy. Just as long as they reproduce.

This begs the question, why do you really care if you win in evolutionary terms in the first place? Why not win by trying to maximize your own happiness until you die and then let go of the world.

hownao • -7 points • 31 July, 2018 11:24 PM

Fantastic smackdown. op writes a bunch of bullshit, JP dickrider

BlackFallout • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 10:42 PM

I think the sub is fine, back in the day when it started I used it as a resource and learned how to be a man. Now I'm highly successful in every aspect of life.

TheRedPike • -10 points • 31 July, 2018 03:27 PM

I unpinned mine you can put this on top.

redpillschool • -5 points • 31 July, 2018 03:32 PM

Thanks.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 03:39 PM*

[permanently deleted]

satlinrabbow • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 10:56 AM

Look, anytime you have any kind of variation of " **Tragic State of this Sub** " in any comment or post you make, you AUTOMATICALLY lose any credibility you may have had, especially since you're saying it because people dont like your "hero" on this sub.

THEN you write a fucking wall of text on why we should like your hero.

Fuck You. I'm not reading all of that shit, and if the sub is in such a "Tragic State" why the Fuck are you still on it and writing books of bullshit no one is going to read? Well, besides the first two lines of your bullshit essay.

Sorry but you need to spend more time in the gym, and less time on the keyboard ffs.

No one Gives a fuck about YOUR heroes.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:53 AM

[permanently deleted]

max_peenor • 7 points • 31 July, 2018 04:40 PM

Peterson has a cult-like fan base

He does...

EddTheEdducator • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 04:35 PM

LOL all hell broke lose in this sub the moment someone decided to question Jordan Peterson. Never have i seen this thread so divided.

zyqkvx • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 04:54 PM*

OP doesn't have masculine agency, or whatever you want to call it. He needs a leader to put forward to make king that will in turn give him his place. He gives himself away by the high degree he appeals to authority.

Jordan Peterson is a smart guy. He has serious limitations that other smart men historically faced. One, his lessons drift off to the abstract and never come back. Oh the beauty of abstract thought, the uselessness. Another fools game for a smart man is after he is given a platform, he keeps talking, and talking till he no longer knows what he is talking about. He is applying the subtle logics he's learned to everything that crosses his path, no matter how little he knows about it, all with the intention to open doors. In the end he is no less than babbling about things he knows nothing about. All because he got yet another invite to talk. Because surely a smart man should always accept yet another talk engagement, that could never be the wrong thing to do. The demand for a talk has already answered that question.

JP does not know shit about dating.

LuckyLuke23 • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 11:41 AM

Pick-up artistry doesn't actually fall in line, at all, with the "Red Pill."

it doesn't... but on some level people please it's one and the same... like a branch offshoot. of redpill and vice-versa

Look. the way i see it is red pill is 95% of the pie and game is the extra 5% you need. I mean " status, Money and looks" can only get you so far. game is that cherry on top that can push you over the edge from banging, to NOT banging. if you are into red pill YOU SHOULD KNOW AND BE AWARE OF GAME. since coming to the red pill I don't go to the " pick up" subreddit at all.

but I know it's there and I know what it's about and how to use it. if you don't think anyone doing pick up doesn't self-actualize and become a better person then you are wrong. they are ALL some " fedora-wearing losers" some of them are cool guys.

This dogma that has been circulating the sub is drowning us all.

yeah we do need to think more about this.

You're shying away from becoming who you can be, and attempting to circumvent the hierarchy by manipulating the highly-perceptive feminine into thinking that you are high status, when you're not.

so what you are saying is, I don't get the girl until I'm " high status" well when will that be?

omartts • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 04:01 PM

I like to read a lot and I enjoy learning all the time, this is how I originally arrived at TRP subreddit. Ever since then I have found some great info on developing yourself as that was what I originally came for. Along the way I have also picked other info such as sexual game, frame, etc. which has been helpful to myself.

I also like a lot of what Jordan Peterson says and write, because its similar to the theory of TRP about being a better yourself and striving to raise your SVM, while its adequately researched and written.

I don't agree with everything that Jordan Peterson says, but neither do I agree with every single post here in TRP. In fact, the majority of the posts in TRP is of extremely low quality reminiscent of facebook posts. Most of the time simply anecdotes or experiences with no quality source backing them up or spitting what other more invested writers have said already in a more eloquent manner.

Incidentally, it is also this kind of low quality posters that bring down this subreddit, every time something is different from their frame of reference they either dismiss it, deny it or simply insult it. I'm aware they are quality posters willing to debate and argument different ideas, but the majority of them are not.

If anything it would be great if mods would lead by example and actually promote sane debate in this subreddit.

[deleted] • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 05:05 PM*

WWIII has broken out in this sub in thr last few weeks and perhaps it's time for instant nukes on posts that explicity or implicitly refer to JP for 1-2 weeks

If someone plays D&D or WoW day in and out but maintains a modicum of decent appearance and then once a week on Saturday afternoons patrols his local mall 'tricking' girls then yes that is an example of pick up/game/RSDs inherent failings and short comings (mind you this is an extreme example which I doubt actually occurs due to this person's stagnant brain and oversaturated dopamine receptors who would do a shit job at socialising as the reward from video games would be higher) anyway

Anecdotal, however

I was in shape, dressed well, was well spoken and very talkative, would go out, socially adjusted and normal, in my university program I'll have random 1st and 2nd and 3rd years stop me on a Saturday night and introduce themselves to me asking "hey aren't you 613wethegreatest?" While I had no idea who tf these kids were or how they knew me. People would tell me I was popular and well known but to me it never seemed like it internally. I've worked 3 relatively prestigious/numerous internships and have travelled the world and have insight on many subjects and life experiences.

People tell me I'm the glue of social circles and bring people together. Sure I was no business owner, University Athlete, or Faculty Club President/Leader, but I sure as fuck wasn't low value and had a higher than average social value. Yet I didn't lose my virginity until 19 when finally some girl cold approached me at a networking event and did all the dirty work for me.

I remained laidless for 6 months after that until another girl revealed her attraction to me and we smashed. Then laidless for another half year until once again a friend informed me her friend was into me during a night out and my inebriated self handled the rest.

I get stopped in the middle of concerts by guys and girls alike to tell me "damn, you hit the gym or what" get complimented on my sociability and people skills almost every day and hear relatively often that some girl found me super hot.

HOWEVER, I ALSO GET TOLD I LOOK INTIMIDATING AND AGGRESSIVE AS FUCK, something that I to this day do not see when I look in the mirror or through my introspection

As a result of this if it weren't for Pickup and RSD I'd still be the shy kid I was around girls and would be still

getting laid 1-2x a year by sheer dumb luck and leaving my sexual life I'm the hands of direct girls who's ASD are non existent, effectively limiting my pool of sexual partners down to 1:50 and having zero control in it. I'm top 10-20% by looks easy and in my entire life I'd had only 3 girls be extremely sexually direct and explicit with me and that's because alcohol was involved on one level or another. Many more who I realise were flirting or hinting at it but if not for game/pickup/RSDs teachings of 'IOIs' I'd have no idea in retrospect.

If pickup and game and RSD becomes your life you're a tool bag, but use it as a tool for where you might be lacking and watch how much it'll change things for you.

Everyone needs to fuck right off with this bipolar black and white thinking towards RSD, towards Jordan Peterson, towards anything.

The entire fucking point is to think for yourself and find the middle ground in any subject. There's no such thing as right or wrong and the fact that people subscribed to TRP a subreddit with a demographic primarily over 21 who still think in a black and white manner as if they were children is actually pathetic.

Get a grip on the fact that NOTHING in this life is black or white, it never has been and never will be, you live in endless shades of grey and it's up to you to figure out which shade for each situation

Christ ffs

Nergaal • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 07:08 PM

Just because he keeps his mouth shut so he can keep a living and not get death threats doesn't give you the right to start attacking him.

Why do people in THIS sub have a hard time understanding this?

redpillschool • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 07:11 PM

I can't purport to know what's in his head. I can only judge him on what he says. And I disagree heartily with what he says.

Nergaal • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 07:24 PM

I can't purport to know what's in his head.

You give the impression that you didn't actually listen to anything he said, just read what others have said about it. If that is the case, you might want to check him out a bit. He is good with words, but IMO he gives out a bit more than his carefully chosen words.

redpillschool • 0 points • 31 July, 2018 07:28 PM

From the videos I've seen of him, he's very articulate and quite smart . However, his opinions of the sexual marketplace I think are just wrong.

Nergaal • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 07:42 PM

How is he wrong here:

<https://youtu.be/RxZOkrGid40>

clearly-red • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 03:37 AM*

In that video, Peterson is saying women choose males from top from DH(dominance hierarchy). So I should be waiting for females to select me. What I am supposed to do in meantime when I am not on top? Sound much like 'Soulmate Myth', somewhere there is the ONE, who will come in my life and we will make babies and live happily ever after.

How does broke artist living in van or shitty apartment get to fuck chicks? How does plumber fucks the wife when husband is off to work? How does random guy pick up executive's wife from bar or club? How these men are selected by females to have sex with, when they are not the top of DH?

DH only matter to BB. You can be top for your DH like Elon Musk and still be discarded later by very female that "selected" him.

Due to nature of female hypergamy. AF operates out of DH. AF gets to fuck lots of women and spin plates. It's his choice who he want to fuck out options he have created for himself or to settle down later to have kids.

Goal of Red Pill is to become Alpha **not** High Value Beta male.

Yes, you will still have to work to make money and participate in social game because you are social animal. That means participating in a Dominance Hierarchy. But you doing that for you survival's sake, not for a female to choose you one day.

Red pill is rejection of established system that includes dominance hierarchy(DH).

IveRedditAllNight • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 07:40 PM

Peterson Saved my life.

That is all.

Fulp_Piction • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 07:48 PM

He speaks a lot of truth, but he's constantly telling people what he thinks they ought to do with his information instead of laying out facts and allowing people to do what they want. Listen to him and Joe Rogan talk about hypergamy - he hates being pushed on it. It's either weakness in the media spotlight or blue pill ideology coming through, none of which I respect.

'Be a strong man so you can get married, raise a family etc'. is blue pill. 'Be a strong man so you can live life on your own terms and fuck anyone else' is, imo, red pill and something you'll never hear JP preach.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 07:49 PM

[permanently deleted]

Casanova-Quinn • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 08:18 PM

Pick-up artistry doesn't actually fall in line, at all, with the "Red Pill."

Yes it does, it's simply one tool in the toolbox. It's not everything, but it's not valueless. You seem to be against pick-up because you view it as "manipulation". If your pick-up game involves lying and deception, I'd agree. But it's not all just lying to get laid. For example, if you have game plan on what to talk about on date, that doesn't mean your lying about what you're talking about.

crabshaped • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 08:20 PM

If JBP actually had the time to come in here and see how much we argue over what he said last month, he'd probably have a good laugh and tell us all to go outside and climb the hierarchy. And he would have a point there.

plentyoffishes • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 08:26 PM

I disagree with Peterson being pro-corporal punishment. A weak man uses violence as a form of discipline. There are far better ways to teach lessons than using your hand to swat a small human. This is a huge flaw in Peterson's philosophy and why I can't get behind what he says overall.

Chitlinsandgravy • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 08:37 PM

I posted a comment along these lines in another thread. It's definitely more appropriate here:

Don't put the Peterson, or anyone on a pedestal. You think he needs some random ass dude fighting battles he's most likely not interested for him in his name?

Imagine going to a book signing, or a symposium or lecture and behaving like this. You think your hero you're so valiantly defending is going to be grateful for the scene you've made?

No one likes a dick rider, especially those that create the dick.

[deleted] • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 09:17 PM

society is run on a set of dominance hierarchies

Competence hierarchies actually.

Benasen • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 09:19 PM

Honestly dude, as much as I like some of Peterson's ideas he does ramble a lot. Lots of it is nonsensical, and he struggles to finish points and sentences. He thinks about how to formulate himself but it's often at the expense of the viewers patience and when featured on shows, his hosts and co-parts.

For someone who in ways is really socially intelligent, he's unbelievably socially incompetent.

swaglordobama • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 09:20 PM

Pickup is the test to see if you've actually improved as a man and become sex worthy. It is a pillar of TRP and much of the manipulation tactics taught in TRP are oldschool dark-game techniques that exploit female psychology.

Let's not bash chumps for wanting to change their lives and get laid, please. We all started somewhere and this "holier than thou" attitude aint shit.

Without cold approach, you've done nothing but engage in mental masturbation. There is nothing quite like ego destruction to burn away your impurities.

Shaman6624 • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 09:23 PM

JP sees sex as something carrying so much weight it shouldn't be done lightly. He's against one night stands, and he is probably against most of what RP stands for. I also believe a big part of the sidebar won't bring you a happy and fulfilling life. But there is a lot to be learned from this place also. And both sides has their core of truth.

Remember that human experience isn't as simple as the causal events propagating said experience. The human experience is an emergent property of lot's of things that we can analyse and where cause and effect are easy to distinguish. But the human experience itself doesn't follow those rules. It can hold two totally conflicting ideas with them both being subjectively true. (think AF/BB). My point is that trp is not descriptive of the entire reality of being human. It's just a subculture geared towards giving men a foothold in the sexual marketplace. Our business isn't truth or making us 'true men' even though people like OP keep glossing the truth of this place over with terms like that. No the purpose of this place is to give men a chance to fulfill their sexual desires by giving them strategies without regard for morality. It's not noble. Everything goes and the 'Truth' in RP context is just a

contextual truth which will get you laid and which works in the sexual marketplace: women don't grow up after their 18th year, don't trust women they are all the same. It's all beliefs that, when adapted, will take you to a certain brand of sexual fulfillment. Nothing wrong with that that's just what we're doing here so if you want to philosophize about truths that have other contexts just leave.

[deleted] • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 10:08 PM

Well this post is shit, RPS kind of tore it apart

FreeHappyMan • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 10:36 PM

Dont you know that the more members join red pill the less red pill it gets. The hardcore red pill thats most truth in it gets attacked by blue pill people in here.

funkysoulsearcher • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 10:39 PM

Anyone bagging out on peterson is a clueless fuck and a cry baby... total beta

JonathanMekerset • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 10:52 PM

Difference between PUA and TRP is TRP says improve as a man to attract these women and maybe "fake it till you make it" while on the way up. It doesn't say just fake it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 12:25 AM

This post just proves you sophomoric ignorance, mate.

PerplexingPegasus_ • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 01:01 AM

Seems like Peterson has become the new trigger warning word of the sub.

If you don't agree with someone who doesn't follow his doctrine, articulate your reasons why without parading your belief system onto others.

justintruetho • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 01:06 AM

Yes I do not agree with everything Peterson has to say but one thing that's fact is this, Jordan has single handedly contributed to helping men and saving masculinity more than all of us combined in the sub.

He's not perfect, none of us are but he sure as hell helped a lot of people improve their lives and that is something we should always acknowledge.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 01:41 AM

Jordan Peterson has important things to say. Some of those things, even the most blackpilled user should consider.

JP is a very intelligent and skilled psychologist who identifies how important it is that people work toward a valued goal, and how even in the most dire situations, there is a duality of sorts; there is always something you could do to make it worse (that you shouldn't do) and something you could do to make it better (that you should do). However, one of his pitfalls is that he has to mix in religious mystical quackery, where the good thing has to be "heaven" and the bad thing has to be "hell."

The Jungian mysticism doesn't help either, or his refusal to truly admit what his beliefs are about god.

Jordan Peterson is just a man. A smart man, sure. But there are smart people on every side, so pretending no one is on his level is not going to help.

All you do in your post is parrot what JP says about dominance hierarchies. You say you'll "explain" why JP is

right and we are all fuckheads driving the world to mass extinction, but you just fucking don't.

Formulating Jordan's talking points in your own words like a high school paper does nothing to "explain" the problems with pickup (with which there are problems, but not the ones you point out).

You say he should be scrutinized, but instead of pointing out where we are wrong you in fact mischaracterize critics of JP by not saying anything of substance and instead saying the opposition is just misrepresenting him, while you of course have to misrepresent them instead of make a decent point.

TheBigLman • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 01:53 AM

Ya'll mother fuckers think way too much ayyyy LMAO.

trp_nofap_rewire2018 • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 02:01 AM

I liked this post just as much as I liked the other one bashing JP in a healthy way (through rationalized debate).

No one got it all figured out, although the man has some good points. The key point is that in the RP context most opinions should be valid because here is a safe and appropriate place to discuss ideas that doesn't fit in the Matrix scheme. Nobody knows everything, it's all debatable, and we should be okay with criticism or differing opinions.

People need to chill down, that's all

TheRedPike[M] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 03:51 AM

No one got it all figured out,

Ok, yeah, I know I'm still figuring it out, but this was a shitty concern troll. As I have been saying all along, there are lots of ways to disagree. I gave actual examples of it in this very thread. This is pretty much the worst way to do it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 02:27 AM

Welcome to the age of click-bait.

I would assume that, much like Tumblrinas, a lot of these TRP "higher-ups" are just trying to remain relevant and grab attention. They know they can't even come close, as subversive bloggers, to competing with the types of guys who belong to the "Intellectual Dark Web" so they're doubling down by making themselves seem like a distinct and vitriolic alternative to the mainstream.

"Damn, my Melodic-Death-Core band didn't make it, and there's lots of others who are better! Well fuck that shit; it's not real Death-Metal anyhow. Anyone who's not a beta fag cuck shill for the establishment knows that Ultra-FuckYourUncle-Grindcore is the real deal!"

iamtheswoop • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 02:40 AM

Peterson is a mix if the most intelligent and least Intelligent in one person. His discussion with Matt Dillahunty was a shitshow

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 03:29 AM

The Sidebar and "Rational Male" by Rollo. TRP 101. This sub has still has good comments and posts from time to time, but I've noticed the ever growing trend in comment replies revolve around finding that Unicorn, making her a wife, etc. "blue pill alphas" the lot of you. Enjoy the decline. There isn't even a decline, actually. Women have always been slutty and they'll never stop being it. Wake up.

TheRedPike • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 03:53 AM

comment replies revolve around finding that Unicorn

Comments are one thing. People get to disagree (I think you'll find we are on the same page here) even if they just don't get it yet. However if you find a post like that, PLEASE hit the report button.

Gearski0 points 31 July, 2018 10:20 AM **[recovered]**

I like a lot of JBP's stuff, but his opinion on MGTOW kind of bothers me, if I recall correctly he refers to them as "pathetic little weasels".

TheMrk790 • 11 points • 31 July, 2018 10:31 AM

They are. They flee the battle instead of fighting for a good relationship. It's all bitterness and just sad to look at. Nothing positive about it.

I always get a sense of kids making a scene, when I see posts about MGTOW.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:28 AM

[permanently deleted]

TheMrk790 • -1 points • 31 July, 2018 12:52 PM

Yep... That is just the easy way out of responsibility and care. It's the coward's way. You don't need to join a community for that. But MGTOW is not a group of men, that don't have interest in a relationship. It's a group of men, that made bad experiences. MGTOW is not a different mindset, but a response to the world. And this response is pretty cowardly.

P. S. I hope the point gets through, since this I Am not native.

rektHav0k • 4 points • 31 July, 2018 10:29 AM

He's recently apologized for that. His first introduction to MGTOW was to the incel portion of it. He more recently came about when he learned that some men are choosing that route willingly, due to their disgust with our gynocentric society.

planned_serendipity1 • 5 points • 31 July, 2018 10:40 AM

Yeah, he backed down from that in subsequent videos though. I think he realized that he came down too hard on them. I am completely satisfied with him and his outlook on life. He is probably a little too trad-con for most people in this sub but he is solidly on the side of men. The thing I like about him the most is that he is adamantly opposed to feminism and cultural marxism. He lambastes the universities for becoming cesspools of cultural marxism, which is why he is so vilified in so many articles.

Anybody who can so successfully spearhead an insurrection against the feminists while at the same time being a level headed advocate for the success of men is a hero in my book.

Rian_Stone • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 10:54 AM

because he got the same bullshit we get in here for doing that same thing. Only difference is, he can't ban his patreon subscribers

Newtothis • 0 points • 31 July, 2018 10:32 AM

That's the problem with the internet. Everyone can take anything out of context. Personally I think that MGTOWs are in fact, pathetic weasels. But anyway, he did say that he regrets calling them that, search on youtube :

Jordan Peterson- I regret calling MGTOW pathetic weasels. I mean, you know, it's not like someone who has

over 500 hours of content can ever change his opinion on something, right?

[deleted] • -5 points • 31 July, 2018 10:30 AM

He's a dishonest religious cuck who won't intellectually defend his adherence to religion because he knows he can't. Other than that, he seem's like smart cookie, using redpill terms explicitly.

I don't understand this group fascination with Jordan Peterson, nor do I understand why you wrote such a long emotional post to defend someone.

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 10:45 AM

I've only seen him use religion as an analogy or emphasis to prove and support an argument, especially right now as I make my way through his latest book.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 12:47 PM

He's been cornered by the religion question many times, not hard to find if you search for it.

moonlandings[] • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 10:44 AM

He's got a whole lecture series about the bible where he intellectually explores adherence to religion. Maybe you should know what you're talking about before talking.

[deleted] • 0 points • 31 July, 2018 12:54 PM

I did not know about that, I only briefly saw him fluster as people with an actual brain asked why the hell he has a hole in his skepticism when it comes to religion. Thank you.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 10:36 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 6 points • 31 July, 2018 11:10 AM

Yes, I was lucky not to be indoctrinated into religion as a child by my parents, as a result I grew up a free mind. I guess I should just **surrender my will** to god and let Jesus take the wheel, right? NOW THAT'S EMPOWERING FOR YOURSELF, **SUCH REDPILL**.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:16 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 11:19 AM

Which god(s)? I can name 4300 right now.

cumfortably_dumb • 0 points • 31 July, 2018 12:31 PM

Yes, I was lucky not to be indoctrinated into religion as a child by my parents, as a result I grew up a free mind

Please explain to me how you are so sure that only atheist grow up to have a free mind.

Do you lift regularly? if yes, then you are religious towards lifting and improvng yourself.

And assuming that you lift, you must have an idol, who inspires you to go out and kick ass everyday(Dont come back with the obvious rhetoric that I motivate myself and some other shit), then you my friend have a GOD who is at the top of the hierarchy for you.

And if you dont lift? then I dont even want to continue having a conversation as you aren't there yet.

[deleted] • 6 points • 31 July, 2018 01:02 PM

Please explain to me how you are so sure that only atheist grow up to have a free mind.

No such claim was made. All I said was I started without a handicap, unlike religious people.

Do you lift regularlry? if yes, then you are religious towards lifting and improvng yourself.

Terrible clusterfuck of words pretending to be an argument. I don't need to believe in anything without evidence. I can read a scientific paper and evaluate it independently. Something simple as say, "moderate exercise extends my expectancy up 40 years", requires no faith.

And assuming that you lift, you must have an idol

No. I had idols, it was fun, but then I turned 6. Do you know what solipsism is? Because that's you, right now, not even able to imagine **someone who DOESN'T worship a magic sky daddy in the sky** (that punishes you if your're bad, your religion is so cute). Maybe if you spent more time developing yourself rather than listening to an idiot spout nonsense over something he can't possibly really know anything about what he's talkin.

[deleted] • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 10:38 AM

He isn't wrong though. JP needs a redpill about religion.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 10:41 AM*

[permanently deleted]

Hltchens • 11 points • 31 July, 2018 10:48 AM

Religion is BP mentality written into a book. "Stay away from my woman", "marry that bitch" "love is patient, kind, blah blah blah", "your wife is your property."

Mate guarding, jealousy, envy, and scarcity mentality dominate the abrahamic religions.

Gimme a fucking break. We're apes that can read and write and we're no higher on the universal chain of intelligence than that. God and religion are an attempt to assuage the part of our egos that can't accept our simplicity and non-place in the universe.

Not to mention we fucking know magic and miracles described in the Bible **arent real** now.

That's a point no religious person will touch with a 10' pole.

How come god appeared to a bunch of illiterate and superstitious nomads rather than the Chinese who could read and write and had a thriving culture. The more you look at their story and origin, the more human/flawed/BP the abrahamic religions become.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 10:58 AM*

[permanently deleted]

Hltchens • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 04:57 PM

These archetypal manifestations are simply fractalized offshoots of our own inherent value hierarchies and our individual drives towards self-actualization. The interaction between Chaos and Order where the boundary of potential meets our ability to actualize our own.

That's just vague solipsism. The Bible was a construct used to control the warring tribes of Rome. Before that who really knows what it was apart from a story of hope in a dark, illiterate world.

anglertaio • -1 points • 31 July, 2018 11:22 AM*

"Mate guarding" is the word you've invented to try to reconcile the overpowering shame and anger you feel when you contemplate another man having your woman.

This one flaw, when embraced, reduces TRP to a sad variant of feminism, even lower than MGTOW.

"Your wife is your property" is natural law. And God's existence can be seen with certainty in nature. Your atheism and your refusal to demand to keep your woman are of one kind: shouting down your own intuition of something better, loudly declaring satisfaction with a pile of shit. The self-hatred of "we're apes" might feel good but it's the same kind of pleasure as cutting yourself. The magic and miracles are all real. Modern man disproved them in exactly the same way they proved that men and women are equal.

Masculinity requires some level of taking risks and trusting a woman for the sake of immortality in children. That is the choice all your ancestors made.

[deleted] • 4 points • 31 July, 2018 12:52 PM

"Mate guarding" is the word you've invented to try to reconcile the overpowering shame and anger you feel when you contemplate another man having your woman.

Anger? Maybe. Shame? Only if i didn't immediately walked away from it all.

"Your wife is your property" is natural law.

Where can we read these natural laws, where did they come from?

And God's existence can be seen with certainty in nature.

It's so certain that no religious zealot has been able show it to be accurate in the last 2000 years of science?

The magic and miracles are all real.

Oh... I'm out. Good luck with your fairies and elves.

[deleted] • 3 points • 31 July, 2018 10:47 AM*

Sure, do you realize JP is on record saying that the ancient civilizations (like the ancient Chinese, Aborigines, etc.) intuitively knew the molecular double helix structure of DNA?

He's a religious kook dude. He needs a redpill to understand that all religious belief is man made and has no intrinsic truth or value.

The roots of TRP is based on natural phenomena like evolution and natural selection. The redpill is that all people are attracted to behavior traits and physical attributes that are shaped by hundreds of thousands of years of natural selection, irrespective of what people whine about.

The redpill JP needs to learn is that Christian conservatism is not the natural order and is merely invented by men to give them a illusion of meaning and purpose, and make them feel better about the fear of death. That misplaced morality motivates his silly comments about pickup/women etc,

largely because of his religious beliefs.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:01 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 11:09 AM*

Reality isn't man made. The universe functions according to an objective set of rules and principles, independent of men or whatever you feel. This is a classic example of putting "feels before reals".

JP is a sophisticated nutjob. Do you really think, like JP says, ancient civilizations knew about the molecular structure of DNA? The man is a charlatan and will say whatever blue pill nonsense to naive and willing young men in search of rules to guide their lives, simply to sell his books and make money.

No matter how much JP conflates moral truths with reality, the universe doesn't function that way. There's an excellent conversation with Sam Harris and JP that shows the latter's ignorance.

The traits we are attracted to is shaped by this independent set of objective rules (like evolution and natural selection). It has nothing to do with morality or how people ought to behave. This is textbook RP 101.

Christianity and every other religion is not. It doesn't have a shred of evidence to support its claims. It's made up beliefs to protect the fragile ego of believers looking for meaning in an indifferent world (kinda ironic given your username. Have you even read his works?). As I said, JP uses it as a crutch to protect his insecurities so in this regard he's pretty much textbook BP.

Someday, when you start thinking for yourself, you'll realize religion is the ultimate blue pill.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:13 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 11:32 AM

Reality is not the *experience* of anything and is most certainly not man-made, that's just semantic nonsense dude.

Things like the speed of light, the gravitational constant, etc. exist irrespective of what you experience, or even if whether humans are around to experience it. The earth existed for 6 billion years, long before humans were present, and will continue to exist long after our species goes extinct. There's an independent reality out there, subject to universal physical laws, no matter what you subjectively feel or experience.

Those are fundamental laws of nature.

You are playing word games (the same semantic bullshit JP pulls) saying our experience and observations make these things real. As Sam Harris pointed out, they are not. It's unsurprising and unfortunate you then make the leap from there to prescribing moral truths as real.

It's all just blue pill nonsense. I maintain JP is like a cult like leader and a religious

kook. Such a pity that so many young men searching for meaning and rules are falling for his bullshit.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:38 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 11:16 AM

Where is he wrong about religion?

Everything he says about religion is contradictory. You can't be honest and religious. I'm sure that's a tough pill to swallow, maybe I'm wrong, who knows. At least I don't say things like "god did it", or "let's sacrifice this goat while we burn this witch", or "if I fuck 20 virgins I'll get rid of this AIDS". Because I'm not religious.

FrankCostanza111 • 1 point • 31 July, 2018 07:25 PM

My Jordan Peterson drinking game:

take a shot anytime he mentions the number of deaths under Stalin

Take a shot anytime he mentions the Gulag or Nazi Germany

Take a shot anytime he plugs one of his books

Take a shot anytime his voice cracks

take a shot anytime he says "bloody"

[deleted] • -2 points • 31 July, 2018 11:15 AM

Jordan Peterson's a cuck

ex_addict_bro • -5 points • 31 July, 2018 10:31 AM

Mods are going to show you the male dominance hierarchy soon

[deleted] • 4 points • 31 July, 2018 10:54 AM

Is this not what redpillschool was advocating? Critical thinking?

JamesSkepp • 7 points • 31 July, 2018 11:33 AM

Yes, he was. The problem is, the OP is basically JBP copy/paste.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:40 AM*

[permanently deleted]

TheRedPike[M] • -1 points • 31 July, 2018 02:29 PM

There's been a whole charade of backlash and relentless vitriol against Jordan Peterson by a ton of members on this sub recently.

^ OPs idea of critical thinking.

[deleted] • 0 points • 31 July, 2018 06:54 PM

Peterson isn't even worth defending, his conclusions are skewed because he has rejected possibilities at face

value.

JonathanMekerset • 0 points • 31 July, 2018 10:54 PM

Agree. I can never respect someone who doesn't take the time to fully understand something before rejecting it.

TheRedPike • -23 points • 31 July, 2018 02:15 PM*

Hey all. You want to hear a funny joke? None of us removed this post. Automod did pending review. However it did let me see who was going to whine like a little bitch about it being taken down. Lovely.

You want to hear a funnier joke? Very few people were banned from the other threads. Generally people behaved, even if they disagreed. The few that were banned didn't get nicked until they threw a tantrum and started calling people names.

Want to hear the funniest joke? Everyone in this thread that is about to get banned. The upside is I will leave the thread up for a bit so you can watch the fun.

redpillschool • -15 points • 31 July, 2018 02:29 PM

He simply took a transcript from his speech during a university lecture and edited out all the punctuation to make it seem like a bunch of nonsensical ramble.

I copied and pasted the youtube transcript, thank you very much.

Rakosnik • -5 points • 31 July, 2018 11:51 AM

who the fuck this PJ guy is anyway? so you defending someone who wants to sooo help me to get to the top? cumon dude. hilarious.

it does seem you are not able to use your own words. so on one side you bitch about guys gaming and using temembered lines yet on the other you're using memorized arguments of this PJ guy i never heard of. damn i must be the bottom dweler then.

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 10:51 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:08 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 31 July, 2018 11:26 AM

What about rich, powerful, successful and competent non-believers?

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:20 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 31 July, 2018 11:29 AM*

[permanently deleted]

zephixleer • 0 points • 31 July, 2018 08:33 PM

Yeah, so I just stopped reading most posts here. These "field report" and "theory" posts are generally just guys trying to fuck the most women.

Being a man, being red pill... it's about more than sex. In fact, casual sex can get you into more bad situations than any one woman can.

Making goals, self-improvement, planning for a future, improving your financial health, and providing for your family are all admirable traits that this sub rarely discusses at length. I see about 20 "how to fuck girls" posts for every 1 legitimate, inspiring, or mens-rights related post on here.