

The truth about circumcision

August 1, 2018 | 838 upvotes | by [-Fidelio-](#)

The truth about circumcision is simple. There are practically no benefits and there is a very long list of harms being done by circumcision.

Okay we're done here. Right? No? You have some questions and comments? You want to figure out whether I'm full of shit or not? Okay let's get into this.

Male Sexual Health

Eric Clopper was recently fired from Harvard for trying to [expose the truths about circumcision](#). I've been interested and aware of some of the related facts and this seems like a good time to spread more awareness about what circumcision is and what it isn't.

I know that a lot of people from the united states are circumcised and I understand that this is a very sensitive and personal issue. But also, fuck your feelings. You deserve the truth and to do with that as you want. If you are circumcised and never looked into this, this may make you angry. Do not be alarmed, that only means you are still sane.

Which men get circumcised?

Men who get circumcised as babies typically come from these cultures:

- Jewish
- Muslim
- African
- American
- South Korean
- Philipines
- Australian
- Canada

If it doesn't meet one of the above, the chance of being circumcised as baby are less than 10%

See chart: <https://imgur.com/a/vCZ2BIH>

Is information about circumcision readily available?

Recently someone got fired from harvard for trying to bring to light the scientific data that is known about circumcision. This jewish man is being accused of being an anti-semite for it. Judge for yourself:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FCuy163srRc>

Didn't circumcision start for health and hygienic reasons?

Depends on which start you mean. South korea started circumcision 50 years ago, exactly when the United States practically took control over their country post 2nd world war.

The United States started circumcision 90 years ago, when Kellogg (yes from the breakfast) found that circumcision was the best way to reduce masturbation and that despite the harm that circumcision did, the reduction to masturbation was worth it.

Jewish circumcision goes back to ancient Egypt about 4000 years. About this circumcision, revered Jewish scholar Maimonides wrote:

Similarly with regard to circumcision, one of the reasons for it is, in my opinion, **the wish to bring about a decrease in sexual intercourse and a weakening of the organ in question**, so that this activity be diminished and the organ be in as quiet a state as possible. It has been thought that circumcision perfects what is defective congenitally. This gave the possibility to everyone to raise an objection and to say: How can natural things be defective so that they need to be perfected from outside, all the more because we know how useful the foreskin is for that member? In fact this commandment has not been prescribed with a view to perfecting what is defective congenitally, but to perfecting what is defective morally. The bodily pain caused to that member is the real purpose of circumcision. None of the activities necessary for the preservation of the individual is harmed thereby, nor is procreation rendered impossible, but violent concupiscence and lust that goes beyond what is needed are diminished. The fact that circumcision weakens the faculty of sexual excitement and sometimes perhaps diminishes the pleasure is indubitable. For if at birth this member has been made to bleed and has had its covering taken away from it, it must indubitably be weakened. The Sages, may their memory be blessed, have explicitly stated: **It is hard for a woman with whom an uncircumcised man has had sexual intercourse to separate from him.** In my opinion this is the strongest of the reasons for circumcision.

or Philo Judaeus:

circumcision is a symbol of two things necessary to our well being 1) **the excision of sexual pleasure** and 2) to **check a man's pride**

Okay but it prevents diseases and is more hygienic right?

There is more than little reason to think that this is through fraudulent studies and exaggeration.

So what are the supposed health benefits?

Prevention of:

- urinary tract infections
- penile cancer
- STD's (including HIV)

It takes 100 circumcisions to prevent 1 urinary tract infection (which is easily treated with antibiotics anyways)

It takes between 922-322000 circumcisions to prevent 1 case of penile cancer. (Penile cancer only happens to elderly men and is so rare that they have no idea whether it's 922 or 322000. They have no idea!)

There are deep flaws in the studies about HIV reduction. Studies where rates of circumcised men with HIV were higher were prematurely terminated. They only taught the circumcised group how to use condoms. Many more flaws, see source below.

source: <https://youtu.be/FCuy163srRc?t=3934>

What does the foreskin do?

Sexual:

1. Provides MAJORITY of male sexual pleasure (densest nerve endings anywhere on the human body, denser than in the clitoris)
2. Acts as a rolling bearing in masturbation and intercourse
3. Prevents dyspareunia (painful sex)
4. Stimulates partner's genitals (~~6 out of 7 women report preferring uncircumcised men~~)
5. Supplies skin to cover shaft and prevents tightness during erections
6. Stores pheromones and releases them during arousal
7. Stores, releases and helps distribute natural lubricants
8. Provides seal against vaginal wall to contain partner's sex fluids
9. Makes the glans a visual sign of arousal

Protective:

1. Protects glans from being keratinized. Keeps them soft and moist.
2. Protects glans from injury
3. Protects nerves of glans
4. Protects against contamination, especially during infancy, and against meatal stenosis as adult
5. Provides lysosomes bacteriostatic action around the glans
6. Pigmented - protects unpigmented glans from sunburns
7. Vascular - protects less vascular glans from frostbite

Other:

1. Embryologically guides formation of end of penis and urethra
2. Contributes mass, size and girth

<https://youtu.be/FCuy163srRc?t=3179>

What's the harm of circumcising a penis?

Well besides removing the most sensitive part of a man's body (it has 10,000 - 20,000 nerve endings. The clitoris has 8000 nerve endings. As the huge disparity should tell you between 10,000 and 20,000 is that this is poorly known and hasn't been studied for 50 years) and losing all the functions described above, there is also deep psychological harm done by circumcisions.

- There is a 51% chance for kids to meet PTSD diagnosis if they've been circumcised. (Iraq war veterans have 20% incidence of PTSD)
- It changes the brain permanently and irreversibly. (higher anxiety, hyperactivity, attention

problems, mood disorders)

- A study by Lander and colleagues (1997) about circumcision without anesthetics (which is not uncommon in practice) had to be stopped because babies began choking or even had seizures from the pain. The post operative pain of infants is severe and persistent.

Source:

<http://archive.is/dqOsX>

<https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/moral-landscapes/201501/circumcision-s-psychological-damage>

Any physical harm?

Like with any surgery, there is a chance for complications. Death is relatively rare, about a 100 per year in the United states (babies circumcised is about a million per year, rough estimate by yours truly).

But there is no legal requirement to record harm done by complications as a result of circumcisions. This should raise immediate alarm bells. It even seems to be the case that some deaths that might well be as a result of circumcision are reported as SIDS (sudden infant death syndrome). An expert I've talked to estimated that to be about 100 of the 1500 yearly SIDS to be as a result of circumcision, but I have not yet had a chance to talk about the data with him and I have not been able to verify that claim.

We simply don't know. We don't record the complications of circumcision.

What happens with collected foreskins

They get sold for profit by the hospital for various uses, cosmetics among others.

What this should mean for redpill men

Don't circumcise your sons. Be aware, in the united states in rare occasions, circumcision happens without parent's consent.

Foreskin regeneration is being researched, but probably 16 years from being available (foregen is researching this by using stem cells from your own body).

If you're patient, there are many who can with patient stretch their surrounding skin into becoming a kind of pseudo-foreskin, getting about a third of the benefits from an original foreskin. This is a long process, but the people I've heard from that have done it are quite positive about it and report better sexual experience. This is just anecdotal data though, I should study this more. It might be something you want to look into and if anyone knows more, please share.

And if you're not circumcised and you're considering it... best not to do it if you value your and your partner's sexual pleasure.

Conclusion

Male sexual health is a very understudied subject as the summary above should tell you. Fuck the people that censor and perpetuate this crime against boys.

Cutting of the female genitals is highly stigmatized and illegal while cutting a male's genitals is done for

profit, encouraged and is fully legal.

Edit: I crossed out "(6 out of 7 women report preferring uncircumcised men)" because it was correctly pointed out that this is from a study with a tainted sample. It was an addition I made to Eric Clopper's work and it was a mistake on my part. One of the studies that Clopper bases the fact that both men and women report more difficulty to orgasm when the male is circumcised is this one:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21672947>

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

Halitenina[M] [score hidden] 1 August, 2018 01:47 PM stickied comment

I approved this post because the OP attempted to provide some kind of evidence rather than just make wild claims. His "sources" aren't legitimate enough to arrive at any kind of definitive conclusion, but it's a good way to kick off the discussion.

Leave your blind opinions out of this. I expect you to have some kind of evidence, if only anecdotal, in your response.

What happens with collected foreskins

They get sold for profit by the hospital for various uses, cosmetics among others.

I am going to need to see some kind of source on this, though.

nordicpolarbear • 126 points • 1 August, 2018 03:17 PM

Thanks for all this great information. This reinforces my decision to not have my son circumcised. So happy for him as I am circumcised and wish that I wasn't.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 158 points • 1 August, 2018 02:49 PM*

I hate the way feminists always belittle the importance of this. It's like a litmus test of their true feelings about men... ("A man thing? Oh that's not important...")

Great post, very solid, and I totally agree.

I am circumcised because when I was born in Australia in the 60's it was done for all boys automatically.

But my son is not. Problems? He got an infection when he was two. We bought some medicine and it was gone in a week. Zero problems since then. He's nine now.

I think circumcision is abuse of boys, just as clitoridectomy and infibulation are for girls.

Sadly there's religion and custom involved and it probably won't be until the old guard dies (and I'm in my 50's myself) that our culture will accept the truth - because truth it is.

"it's more hygienic" is as much nonsense as the idea that masturbation sent you blind. And as for the "benefit" of reducing masturbation, masturbation is actually good for you (and your sperm quality)

This old nonsense needs to die.

elucubra • 76 points • 1 August, 2018 05:41 PM

Uncut here. Hygienic? Fuck! Just wash it. What about women? Their labia are all folds. Washing isn't that hard.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 45 points • 1 August, 2018 05:54 PM

Good comment!

We don't live in tribes in the desert any more. We have access to showers and baths.

Get rid of outdated laws and customs.

[deleted] • 14 points • 2 August, 2018 01:21 AM

Yeah man. 80% of the humans on this planet are retarded. You can't tell right away by looking at

them, but they are. It's really something to be aware of. Could be your doctor. It could be your lawyer, sadly. 80% bro. Watch out.

roosterinflight 1 points 1 August, 2018 06:28 PM [recovered]

It's the Jews who perpetuate it.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 32 points • 1 August, 2018 06:33 PM

I definitely think that's part of it. Wasn't Germany considering making it illegal about a year ago but there was such a huge outcry from Jews that they relented?

(Could be wrong on this, just a vague recall...)

-Fidelio-[S] • 28 points • 2 August, 2018 03:46 AM

No you're right. This happened in 2012. They realised it was illegal based on the fact that it was damaging when no medical necessity for it. It took the jewish lobby only 3 months to get it back legalised and was done so for "religious tolerance".

Take note americans that when it came to court, they did not argue on the basis of any medical benefits, because they knew they would lose that argument. They argued on the basis of religious custom.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 3 points • 2 August, 2018 04:08 AM

Yep that's the one. Thanks.

[deleted] • 21 points • 1 August, 2018 09:09 PM

If WW2 had gone their way they would've outlawed it 60 years ago.

3rdtimethecharm67 • 5 points • 5 August, 2018 06:36 PM

I was 13 when my nephew returned home from the hospital after circumcision. It was readily apparent that he was deeply traumatized and despondent. I did not circumcise my son, not my choice.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 2 points • 6 August, 2018 04:44 AM

Thirteen! Poor little bugger.

[deleted] • 323 points • 1 August, 2018 02:04 PM

Remove parts of Male Genitals: "Circumcision"

Remove parts of Female Genitals: Female Genital Mutilation!

The fact that men are getting fucked on this is baked right into the wording. Regardless of whether you like the end result or not, the reality that this is being performed on unconsenting infants is reprehensible.

To anyone who is on the fence about this or is pro-circumcision, go find a video of one being performed; I guarantee it'll change your mind

RoninUnderground 1 points 1 August, 2018 03:12 PM [recovered]

To anyone who is on the fence about this or is pro-circumcision, go find a video of one being performed; I guarantee it'll change your mind

Well, maybe. I was personally present for one when I was in middle school shadowing a surgeon, but I've

always been pretty desensitized and detached. Looking back though I remember all the signs of extreme distress in that baby boy, he was in absolute terror and there is no way that can be positive for a person all for an elective procedure. Do not fucking do this to your kids unless you absolutely must.

-Fidelio-[S] • 86 points • 1 August, 2018 02:41 PM

Someone recently said it quite well to me:

Female circumcision is unacceptable, taboo and illegal. Male circumcision is encouraged, for profit and fully legal.

Prophets_Prey • 10 points • 1 August, 2018 10:39 PM

Exactly. We should let the children decide themselves if they want to get circumcised. When they're old enough to understand the repercussions of their decision, then they'll choose. It's not fair to have that choice taken from them.

Crass_Conspirator 1 points 1 August, 2018 10:08 PM **[recovered]**

To be fair, FGM involves cutting off the clitoris which would be equivalent to cutting off your glans, so it's very different.

[deleted] • 18 points • 1 August, 2018 10:23 PM

Understood. Nevertheless, I think it's perverse when removing part of someone's genitals is normalized at all in a society. Plus, think of how hypocritical it is when we criticize cultures who practice FGM while simultaneously getting our sons circumcised

Crass_Conspirator 1 points 1 August, 2018 10:31 PM **[recovered]**

I agree fully. I just think it's an apples to oranges argument when it comes to FGM and circumcision. There would absolutely be outrage if people were going and cutting baby boys glans off.

GrapeCulture69 • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 12:24 AM

Even at that, there are more nerve endings in the foreskin than the exposed part of the clitoris. And not all female circumcision removes clitoral tissue. Many types just remove the clitoral hood, some labia, or makes a ritualistic scratch on the clit. Those are all still banned in the U.S. despite being less invasive than male circumcision. It's pretty weird to me...

BurnerKingYes • 7 points • 2 August, 2018 06:15 AM

No, this is a common misconception. The WHO describes four types of FGM with several subtypes. The type most people think of when they hear "FGM" is infibulation, the most severe type.

Less severe types of FGM exist and are very much analogous to male posthectomy. FGM Type IA amputates the female prepuce (clitoral hood) while male posthectomy amputates the male prepuce (foreskin). They are anatomically equivalent procedures.

myopinionisvalid • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 11:50 PM

True. It would be equivalent to cutting off inner and outer labia, and keeping clit exposed to the elements and clothing to dry out, and keratinize.

DwightWolfail • 137 points • 1 August, 2018 12:35 PM

I never got why they circumcise babies in the USA. And as a child, it seemed pretty disturbing. Not from a visual POV, but from a physical one. When I was a child, it was really irritable for me to touch the head without the foreskin. As you said, it also helps with masturbation, because you can also roll the head. Apart from that, my LTR goes absolutely crazy when I mop her clitoris with my foreskin...

It is really a matter of common sense...

joner888 • 51 points • 1 August, 2018 04:36 PM

Jewish Influence ? Might be the reason. In Europe it's extremely rare to get circumcised unless you have something wrong with your pee pee.

RedHeimdall • 42 points • 1 August, 2018 06:08 PM

Bingo. I want reparations from Israel for the theft of my foreskin!

joner888 • 10 points • 1 August, 2018 06:11 PM

Well they have been getting generations for WW2 since 1946 even "2nd generation" holocaust survivors

I_BET_UR_MAD • 17 points • 1 August, 2018 06:17 PM

Israel is extremely influential in US politics but idk if it's reach extends to circumcision. Most of it is Kellogg imo

hivemond 1 points 5 August, 2018 12:09 PM [recovered]

Jewish Influence ?

No, more like conservative Chritian influence combined with pseudoscience. Dr Kellogg was heavily involved in the Seventh Day Adventist church and pursued a lot of crazy theories. We can pretty much blame American circumcision on him.

He's also the creator of Kellogg's Corn Flakes which is pretty much where "junk food" breakfast cereals got their start.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Harvey_Kellogg

LucianFarsky • 1 point • 13 September, 2018 05:37 AM

The christian bible is actually anti-circumcision. Would have been nice if they took that into consideration before doing it to their kids

RoninUnderground 1 points 1 August, 2018 02:18 PM* [recovered]

It is really a matter of common sense...

It should be, but bring it up in a public forum here and all you get is screeching about hygiene, cultural norms, appearance. Almost no one here who supports the practice gives a fuck that it's not actually necessary and therefore deeply violates the child.

FreyWill • 41 points • 1 August, 2018 06:56 PM

That's because no one wants to admit they might have a mutilated penis.

RoninUnderground 1 points 1 August, 2018 07:01 PM [recovered]

For many of the men, yes. The women, hell, the stated reasons vary wildly.

FreyWill • 22 points • 1 August, 2018 07:02 PM

Of course women will jump to appearance. We're just an accessory to them anyway.

stevemunoz117 • 3 points • 6 August, 2018 08:26 PM

This right here. It really is a bitter pill to swallow knowing they were cut for no good reason. They grew up in this big lie and they can't accept it.

deville05 • 44 points • 1 August, 2018 03:07 PM

Mop her clitoris with my foreskin is the best description lol

FloorBufferOverflow • 14 points • 1 August, 2018 06:58 PM

"mop her clitoris with my foreskin"

I'm sure this isn't the first time you've heard this, but ... thanks for the tip

[deleted] • 9 points • 2 August, 2018 05:12 PM

"Thanks for the tip". I'm dying.

DwightWolftail • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 07:20 PM

You're welcome lol. The copyrights are all mine. First time written in the english language though.

ricoue • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 07:54 PM

'merica

pissspoordecisions • 21 points • 1 August, 2018 07:00 PM

What's annoying now is most US females find uncircumcised dicks gross

DwightWolftail • 19 points • 1 August, 2018 07:21 PM

Really ? Well, I suppose it has to do with how rare it is ..

RisingTideXIII 1 points 2 August, 2018 12:08 AM **[recovered]**

Literally have had a woman talk shot about uncut docks , and then eventually deepthroat my uncut dock sometime later.

We know women will say whatever they have to in the moment, but what they do is what matter

pissspoordecisions • 11 points • 2 August, 2018 12:48 AM

My girlfriend and I got in a tif about it cause I told her I'm not circumcising my son if we ever have one - she went and asked all her friends their opinion and they all agreed with her. Except the one in med school for PT, who understands there's not much benefit to it and told them it's just aesthetics.

stevemunoz117 • 2 points • 6 August, 2018 08:30 PM

I'm curious as to what happened to you son. Was the procedure still done? What are her feelings now?

stevemunoz117 • 3 points • 6 August, 2018 08:29 PM

They're just as ignorant and uneducated on the subject like men. They've been fed a lie their whole lives.

Phoenix torment • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 12:02 PM

That's just a convenient shit test.

[deleted] • 50 points • 1 August, 2018 03:58 PM

Not salty about myself being circumcised (can't do anything about it now and I've had plenty of women compliment me on "my looks"), but this shit gotta stop. Literally the exact same thing as female genital mutilation but seen in an entirely different light.

thomascoopers • 11 points • 1 August, 2018 10:23 PM

Honestly, that's why it's almost more dangerous - male genital mutilation is still seen as socially acceptable to a frightening amount of people.

My good friend is dating a staunch feminist and she sees no issue with MGM. But she's a decent 9/10, so.

StandardDeparture 1 points 1 August, 2018 01:26 PM* **[recovered]**

Stay on top of your game when your son is born too. I had to reiterate multiple times that he would not be circumcised, even though it was on the "plan" document they had us fill out.

-Fidelio-[S] • 91 points • 1 August, 2018 02:17 PM

Stay on top of your game when your son is born too

Good advice.

I've read stories, like for example where a doctor will circumcise the moment the mother went to the bathroom despite repeatedly saying that she didn't want it. Is it possible those stories are made up? Yes. Better safe than sorry, though.

FreeRadical5 • 51 points • 1 August, 2018 05:55 PM

That's how you get a dead doctor.

StudntRdyTeachrApear • 21 points • 1 August, 2018 08:08 PM

Murders go unsolved at an alarming rate.

Prophets_Prey • 10 points • 1 August, 2018 10:51 PM

Just another suicide I guess.

Your_Coke_Dealer • 4 points • 2 August, 2018 04:03 PM

Doctors have a very high suicide rate I hear

YerubediahRosenbaum • 9 points • 2 August, 2018 12:39 PM

Sounds like a textbook case of Sudden Doctor Death Syndrome.

Theguygotgame777 • 119 points • 1 August, 2018 02:08 PM

I thought I was missing nothing by being circumcised. This is pretty fucked up.

MoDuReddit • 74 points • 1 August, 2018 03:17 PM

The Redpill of truth is never easy to swallow.

eyewant • 54 points • 1 August, 2018 03:32 PM

Im glad this redpill is finally being posted on this sub. I posted it a few years back and circumcised copers didnt want to accept that they had been damaged.

-Fidelio-[S] • 41 points • 1 August, 2018 03:29 PM

Sorry to be the bringer of bad news, mate.

Reliiq • 16 points • 1 August, 2018 06:59 PM

Bad news? Im one of those that got cut because medical reasons, I never had any problem with being cut and yes it looks better than having a foreskin. You make a point about not allowing boys to be cut just because its a fucking ritual, but there are others that might benefit from it, its never just white and black.

meateatercat 1 points 2 August, 2018 04:05 AM* **[recovered]**

Speaking as someone who was cut by some Jewish butcher, you only think it looks better because you don't want buyers remorse.

Reliiq • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 07:11 AM

No, I think because I see the difference and trying to get something positive out of it. Ive been cut at 16 so I know the whole process - its fucking terrible experience. And no it doesnt make you feel less/lose all senses and other bla bla bla mentioned at OP post. As I said earlier its not worth it for the looks or religious believes, and no kid should ever go through that procedure, unless its a medical condition (in that case you get quality of life improvement just like I did and looks is just a bonus).

HotStickySemen 1 points 1 August, 2018 09:54 PM* **[recovered]**

Circumcision is very rarely required because of some illness. The chance of that happening is very low and even if it were a problem, its easier for someone to undergo circumcision at a later age. We're talking about all the unnecessary circumcisions here.

Do you believe its worth it for boys to go through with this ritual (calling it as you do) when they experience the immense pain at such an early age? Lets also say that the doctors do use an anesthetic (which is not used in a large amount of procedures), do you think its worth it for a male to lose all of the possible pleasure contained from the nerves of the foreskin and also have desensitized glans (a result that comes as a lack of foreskin and the glans' exposure to the environment) because it "looks better" to a woman? Do you even redpill?

I'm circumcised too but i was cut at 16 years of age due to a medical problem. The reason i write this is because i know of the difference. Yes, things are not black and white but we're not against unnecessary circumcision just because its a fucking ritual.

RaidenDark • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 05:16 PM

There is evidence aplenty to end this silly tradition, but not enough for you feel like you're missing out. Don't worry about it. There's nothing to be gained by doing so anyway.

Brokdoidao • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 06:11 PM

Dude, this post is not all true. I was uncut my whole life but chose to get a circumcision at 20 years old. Its a much easier life, and my dick was WAAAY too sensitive before circumcision, sex was even painful to the point where I couldn't cum most times.

52electrons • 24 points • 1 August, 2018 08:40 PM

And the difference is, you were an adult and had a choice and made it.

Brokdoidao • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 08:45 PM

I know. I'm just saying that to let that guy know he shouldn't be that upset. Being uncut and cut, each has its benefits.

KIZAN • 21 points • 1 August, 2018 06:59 PM

I got circumsized as a baby, I have no point of reference of how sensation non circumsized feels. As it was described, I feel jealous as it seems sex could be more pleasureable than I know it to be. It sucks but there's nothing I can do about it.

homegreens 1 points 1 August, 2018 03:50 PM **[recovered]**

I had a boy 3 months ago and I was surprised by the number of healthcare workers that try to get me to change my mind. I said no he is not getting cut. Men went thousands of years without and we are still here and if I could sue my parents I would

KrustyKrabReject • 14 points • 1 August, 2018 10:56 PM

Were the healthcare workers pushy about it?

allSmallThings • 7 points • 2 August, 2018 01:17 PM

Every day so-called "health care" bastards are pushing circumcision. Also see what's going on in the Philippines with young teenagers, and in Africa with the WHO. I've spoken with several parents about this and they were pressured, lied to, or manipulated, at a very vulnerable moment right after the birth. Also watch out for pressure and guilt from family members. This is a sick obsession. The mutilation of children must stop.

www.doctorsopposingcircumcision.org

allSmallThings • 2 points • 2 August, 2018 01:18 PM

Congratulations! Glad you stuck to your guns.

stevemunoz117 • 1 point • 6 August, 2018 08:38 PM

May I ask where you're from? In my case I declined the procedure. I mentioned it verbally and wrote it on paper when signing documents. That was the end of it.

Hass7771 • 15 points • 1 August, 2018 04:21 PM

Damn this makes me feel annoyed, if I ever have a kid it'll be his choice when he's older

MilkMoney111 • 1 point • 6 August, 2018 02:07 AM

My parents did the same with me. I ultimately decided to get circumcised when I was 16 and I'm glad I did. I also appreciate my parents leaving to option open to me.

MushroomTaco • 76 points • 1 August, 2018 01:09 PM

Nice one bruv. Routine infant circumcision is evil. Its a Red Pill in its own way. The practice in America was started by people who hated male sexuality so much they were willing to mutilate infants. And it continues because its a great way to scam a couple more bucks out of childbirth, and sell the foreskin for cosmetics. And

nobody really gives a fuck.

There are no health benefits in 99.9% of cases. If you think it looks better or you really don't care about the thousands of lost nerve endings, go ahead and snip your shit. But don't force it on an infant.

MoDuReddit • 21 points • 1 August, 2018 01:50 PM

sell the foreskin for cosmetics

That sounds really disgusting, is it really the case? I thought it was bad enough when I learned that Rabbis used to suck the blood of the infants when they cut their dicks.

-Fidelio-[S] • 26 points • 1 August, 2018 02:46 PM

Yes, just google oprah + foreskin or cate blanchett + foreskin.

Alternatively go to the sticky at the top of these comments. I've placed a reply with some links.

MushroomTaco • 23 points • 1 August, 2018 02:37 PM

Yep, it's not common knowledge, but you can find articles about it on all the anticirc sites of course, but even cuck sites like Huffpo and Jezebel have written about it. And the sucking baby dicks thing hasn't gone away either. There was a baby a couple years ago in NYC who got herpes from it. Sick.

F0rward- • 9 points • 1 August, 2018 11:24 PM*

Sucking baby dicks? Elaborate?

Edit:

<http://www.nydailynews.com/new-york/history-blood-sucking-circumcision-ritual-article-1.3014678>

Wow that's fucking weird

drbldmny • 1 point • 22 August, 2018 12:29 AM

it's a jewish pedophilia ritual

newName543456 • 12 points • 1 August, 2018 07:52 PM

Hygiene "argument" was always hilarious to me.

Like, motherfuckers, do you not know how to wash yourself?

RoninUnderground 1 points 1 August, 2018 03:02 PM* **[recovered]**

Background: I was cut at birth like so many, I always thought this was a good thing, family always said this was the right way. I eventually dug into the topic like OP and completely changed my take on it.

So get this. When I presented some of this to an ex of mine while we were dating she *got angry with me* and claimed I was selfish for wanting to have had my bodily autonomy respected and wanting to experience sexual pleasure as nature intended. She was never that bright though.

Don't be stupid. Don't ignore this. Exercise due diligence and research, no one can make you reach the same conclusion, but we can present you with new information and if you're really red pill then you'll critically assess its validity and implications.

Pokeylaw[] • 14 points • 1 August, 2018 04:45 PM

When I have my son I'm making sure he's not circumcised there's no reason to do it.

xNightly • 54 points • 1 August, 2018 02:09 PM

I was circumcised when I was a baby. Is this shit for real? Kinda makes me pissed off with my parents.

-Fidelio-[S] • 54 points • 1 August, 2018 02:25 PM*

They might have been misinformed by a doctor. It was hard to find out these kind of things pre-internet. You might want to talk with them and find out their choices before judging them.

xNightly • 34 points • 1 August, 2018 02:35 PM

I was circumcised due to religion (Islam). Won't lie, I've never had an issue with it to this day but if what you mentioned above is true, especially the sensitivity part, it kind of makes me feel like I've missed out.

ifcouldifcould 1 points 1 August, 2018 02:59 PM* **[recovered]**

It's somewhat true. If your dick is functional it really isn't anything to get overly upset about. Break the trend, don't do it to your kid and don't hate the ignorant for being uninformed.

-Fidelio-[S] • 26 points • 1 August, 2018 03:20 PM

This. Don't talk yourself into problems if you're not experiencing any with it. Just break the cycle.

iqbal002 • 10 points • 1 August, 2018 04:17 PM

Me too got cut due to religion(Islam) but now I m thinking of ways to save my son !

deville05 • 19 points • 1 August, 2018 03:11 PM

Don't be.. the info is only jsut coming out. It will take another 20-30 years to change minds. Your parents are just doing what they know

midnightreider • 14 points • 1 August, 2018 03:46 PM

Exactly. This post created some brief resentment with my parents but then I remember when I was a kid my father telling me I was circumcised and that the doctors really pushed it when I was born. My parents didn't know better.

Psypherus • 25 points • 1 August, 2018 04:03 PM

Evolution hasn't left us any extra bits that aren't beneficial in some way. Even the appendix has been shown in recent years to have a role in maintaining the gut biome.

Incel9876 • 1 point • 4 August, 2018 12:22 AM

Evolution hasn't left us any extra bits that aren't beneficial in some way. Even the appendix has been shown in recent years to have a role in maintaining the gut biome.

You mean: Creation/God hasn't given us any extra bits that aren't beneficial in some way. The appendix has always been considered a vestigial organ, an alleged proof of evolution, since Charles Darwin himself. Find contrary evidence, spin into proof of evolution. Just like all the soft tissue from dinosaurs (aka "dragons"), it is never, "Maybe dinosaurs are only thousands of years old," but rather, "Isn't it amazing how soft tissue can last tens of millions to hundreds of millions of years?"

djh860 • 10 points • 1 August, 2018 05:17 PM

When they do it to girls it's called mutilation

jontycampbell • 9 points • 1 August, 2018 07:12 PM

If the Prepuce is 'just a piece of skin' (it isn't), and was useless to Neuropsychosexual and mechanical functioning, it would have evolved away in earlier species of Homo.

It is truly a wonder of natural selection, providing an array of sensory, mechanical and urinary assistance functioning:

- Prevents infections entering the urinary meatus- Contains yards of sensory nerves and capillary beds, thousands of sense organs -Meissner's corpuscles- that detect pressure, stretching forces that provide neurological feedback on the optimal moment for ejaculation.- scoops competitor sperm deposits away and lubricant up the shaft by rolling over the glans on the start of the upstroke, thus trapping some in the coronal space -Provides tube-like directionality and a kind of 'suppressor', as it were to high pressure flow exiting the urinary meatus.

Ingenious, huh?

tiger_stu • 10 points • 2 August, 2018 03:03 AM

I am English where is is not the done thing. I told the nurses in the Hospital (in NY) when my wife was giving birth that if anyone went near my son and tried to circumsized him there would be hell to pay.

My wife was worried he would be 'different' at school. Yes, he is different. As in not mutilated for no reason.

I have never regretted it for a second. It is a barbaric act that needs to be banned.

[deleted] • 31 points • 1 August, 2018 03:21 PM

Interesting stuff! Thanks for including Canada in your list too, usually gets lumped into the states. Circumcision is an odd scenario in regards to the social implications. I know a few guys that are uncircumcised, while the majority including myself are. The guys with a foreskin are usually teased a fair amount about it, and I'd be lying if I said I wasn't part of that at sometime. Even though the benefits are in the favour of the uncircumcised, the majority will try and diminish it.

Another fact I think is over looked but they you touched on a bit is the psychological impact of the actual procedure. The entire hospital birth process is supposedly an disruptive and harsh process for a newborn. I imagine mutilating the genitals has a lasting impact, even if we aren't able to recall the specific memory.

Andrusz • 8 points • 1 August, 2018 05:13 PM

It's funny you mentioned getting "teased" for not being circumcised as a youth in Canada, but I remember very vividly being teased by my peers as a youth for that very reason and it never sat well with me until I finally started to have sex.

Once I began having sex however all that changed.

Your_Coke_Dealer • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 04:09 PM

The social implications are rarely bad for not being circumcised, but in one instance I remember, hilarious.

One of my friends pantsed another friend, and another onlooker was so surprised to see the pantsed friend was uncircumcised that he went off "holy fuck, this nigga ain't cut! This nigga ain't cut!" Alcohol was involved.

coolredpill • 9 points • 1 August, 2018 06:25 PM

Am uncircumcised, grew up feeling insecure about it, parents told me my dick was too small for the doctor to do it when i was born LOL

Guess i feel better after reading this. I often considered getting it done as an adult but just never decided to cuz

apparently i will be writhing in pain for a week or so not being able to move, and i dont wanna pay to do that and miss work

Oh and no bitch has ever had a problem with it. They never mentioned about it either.

As fof hygiene i just wash inside and out with water like normal

allSmallThings • 3 points • 2 August, 2018 01:42 PM

You are very lucky!

www.doctorsopposingcircumcision.org

RoastedDuckinator • 15 points • 1 August, 2018 04:22 PM

circumcision is how you control the goyim

-Fidelio-[S] • 12 points • 1 August, 2018 04:33 PM

But also the chosen people themselves.

kikkamokkeli • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 05:59 PM

To each his/her own with genital mutilation in adult age. But aside from medical problems, there are no compelling reasons to do it to minors who are not able to consent to it.

Ill_Will7 • 6 points • 2 August, 2018 12:17 AM

I have done a lot of research (internet) on this subject.

My El Salvadorian friend is uncircumcised and told me that Americans do it to keep them less sexually charged.

Makes me sad because I am and never had a choice in the matter. I cant blame my parents because they were indoctrinated in this system and thought they were doing what was best for me.

He gets an incredible amount of pussy if he wants and has a much deeper grasp of sexuality and overall success in life compared to me.

Nothing is true, Everything is permitted

kylerosa21 • 7 points • 1 August, 2018 05:03 PM

I used to think that being uncircumcised was terrible since I assumed a majority of men were circumcised, but recently (just the other day) I was thinking about how i don't really mind being uncircumcised m and this post kinda reinforces that belief.

allSmallThings • 3 points • 2 August, 2018 01:41 PM

About 70% of men are not circumcised, when you count worldwide.

There is an uncircumcised reddit if you want to talk about it.

LucianFarsky • 1 point • 13 September, 2018 05:53 AM

You're really lucky to be. Myself and thousands of other people wish we hadn't been. And we have no choice in the matter at all.

[deleted] • 7 points • 1 August, 2018 06:22 PM

Kind of a no brainer really. Imagine all those sensitive nerve endings rubbing against the fabric of your Calvins, unprotected, they end up desensitized. Now imagine them protected by a thick wall of skin for 17-18 years, only truly stimulated by the first girl you fuck.

LucianFarsky • 1 point • 13 September, 2018 05:45 AM

It's not just a thick wall of skin. It's actually the most sensitive part of the entire penis

kimokos 1 points 1 August, 2018 07:38 PM [recovered]

Any information on foreskin restoration?

-Fidelio-[S] • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 07:56 PM

Foregen is a company working on full foreskin regeneration, but that will take years and clinical trials. They are the first company to put these modern medicine practices to use for foreskin restoration. I've heard a couple of methods that stretches out other skin into a pseudo foreskin and people sound pretty positive about the result. It can take a long time (2-5 year) and requires daily actions. However the glans protection judging by individual anecdotes are positive.

I am not informed enough to advice on it, I suggest you use google. But I'll ask around just in case someone can tell me more.

seniorthrowayp • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 12:27 AM

I'll be looking into this myself, but if you get some more detailed info, let me know as well.

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 12:54 AM

Ok, I take no responsibility for the following info. I have not tried it and I don't know the expert who it came from well, so I advice you to do your own research before trusting this. Supposedly these three methods/ devices are popular:

TLC and TLCX tugger <https://tlctugger.com/>

DTR Bell <https://www.foreskinrestore.com/dtr.html>

Cat II Q <https://catstretcher.com/>

riggedved • 8 points • 1 August, 2018 09:14 PM

I never got circumcised. neither do people of my religion or country do. And Ive had girls tell me that my penis is much, much more pelasurable inside their pussy than a circumcised penix.

WalkingInfinite • 2 points • 2 August, 2018 04:44 AM

Oh man, if only you knew how envious I am about it...

8700nonK • 2 points • 2 August, 2018 02:58 PM

There is 0 reason for the uncircumcised penis to be more pleasurable. The skin just rolls down and it looks (and most probably feels) like a circumcised one (I'm not circumcised btw).

WalkingInfinite • 3 points • 2 August, 2018 04:10 PM

The rolling itself is the thing that makes intercours more pleasurable. It's being said on the internets and that's what a couple of my friends told me. For obvious reasons, it's the only source available :D

LucianFarsky • 1 point • 13 September, 2018 05:48 AM

In an cut penis, the only method for achieving pleasure is friction, which does often lead to tearing abrasions and pain. In an intact penis, the primary method of pleasure is the gliding action, which causes none of those issues. For both parties involved

I_am_Jax_account • 8 points • 2 August, 2018 05:07 PM

I wish my grandfather was still alive. He had all sorts of "crazy" ideas that he imposed on the family that ran contrary to the wisdom of his time but he imposed his will as the breadwinner of the family. He made sure no one in the family was circumcised. He asserted that career-oriented, unmarried women would be miserable and that liberals pushing masculinity and work on women was wrong (he actually wrote a self-published book on that). He asserted that there was nothing morally wrong with homosexuality (also in his book). He thought women should not raise men (also in his book). And he never lived long enough to see culture begin to vindicate him. Instead, most people probably thought he was just eccentric.

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 06:59 PM

Redpilled grandfather. Nice.

Do you still have multiple copies of the book? I would buy one for sure. Sounds worth reading.

I'm not completely convinced with homosexuality not being a moral wrong. On its face I agree with it, but when you look at some of the practical numbers of homosexuality, it looks very harmful to society. The very high degrees of child abuse and STD spreading, is what I mean. And let's not even mention the pozzing community or those who have a circumfetish.

RoninUnderground 1 points 1 August, 2018 02:16 PM [recovered]

I was cut, thought it was totally normal and even a good thing. I've done my own research and while some studies list slightly different rates of things like partner satisfaction, incidence of complications, etc. the conclusion is still perfectly clear to me. OP is correct, there is zero compelling reason to do this to your son except in dire medical need. Claims of hygiene are overstated, learn how to wash your kid properly instead of cutting him up. If he has phimosis it typically won't show up until much later when he can consciously deal with the pain and simple treatment options rather than remove that choice from him in a painful and traumatizing way. Many people say "But if you do it at birth he won't remember it!" That's exactly one of the big problems, unpacking and healing trauma from a source you can't recall in any detail is some of the hardest to overcome. What's worse for me is I didn't realize this until it was too late for my son. Don't ignore this.

MushroomTaco • 32 points • 1 August, 2018 02:47 PM

"They wont remember it!"

If you tried that line with a judge after roofieing and raping a chick i doubt he would take it very well.

RoninUnderground 1 points 1 August, 2018 02:52 PM [recovered]

It belies the assumed innocence of the procedure. They also stupidly believe that not remembering something in the way adults remember things means that it has no effect. A brief study of childhood trauma and associated PTSD and repressed memories should be enough to convince someone with a lick of sense that this is patently false.

midnightreider • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 03:56 PM

Are you saying that there is underlying trauma that stays when you're circumcised right after birth?

LucianFarsky • 1 point • 13 September, 2018 05:52 AM

Yes there is. There's huge amounts of evidence to support it. And children circumcised at birth have approximately 50% rate of PTSD symptoms.

I provide evidence.

https://www.academia.edu/6395216/Alexithymia_and_Circumcision_Trauma_A_Preliminary_In

vestigation

<https://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/moral-landscapes/201501/circumcision-s-psychological-damage>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9057731>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1595204/>

suitcasecity • 14 points • 1 August, 2018 05:01 PM

I never understood it, aside from the cultural norm there is absolutely no point to it. Makes me think how crazy society is.

Like what if someone decided one day that we only needed one ear or 4 fingers on a hand and started marketing it to hospitals with supposed benefits (which im sure they could find some) and then making it a cultural norm.

Crazy

MoDuReddit • 4 points • 1 August, 2018 09:27 PM

I'm sure there'd still be people here posting how it's natural and that cutting off one ear has been done for thousands of years.

kaazssz • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 11:42 PM

Me and my ex wife were going to circumcise our son. I'm glad we didn't.

Zahlx 1 points 1 August, 2018 03:19 PM **[recovered]**

Dicks seemed to work just fine for about 350.000 years. I don't see a reason to mess with a proven concept.

RoninUnderground 1 points 1 August, 2018 04:11 PM* **[recovered]**

Historical record of cutting penises only goes back 5-6 thousand years, so the proven concept is being uncut.

Tootblan45 • 9 points • 2 August, 2018 12:27 AM

The BEST pro-circumcision arguments I've ever heard are all awful:

Circumcision lessens the incidence of penile cancer.

Yeah well if you remove a woman's breasts (like Angelina Jolie) there is less tissue for cancer to inflict.

Even if this point is completely true, and based on the ridiculous range of benefit offered it's dubious, it *still* isn't anymore valid than advocating all women have double mastectomies to lower the chance of breast cancer.

Circumcision lowers the rate of STD's, particularly AIDS.

The main study used to back this claim was done in Sub-Saharan Africa, with a decidedly non-random sample population. Those that who were circumcised were far more likely to be devoutly religious and married.

Just the fact that the population was highly stratified like this should call any conclusion into question. But it makes sense that married people are less likely to contract AIDS.

Baby boys should look like their father

This sounds almost like a way to justify the father's mutilation. Because if the father had acid dumped on him, for example, no one would ever suggest to subject the child to it.

There really aren't any good argument FOR circumcision. It's mutilation...by definition and it's barbaric.

-Fidelio-[S] • 3 points • 4 August, 2018 10:04 AM

Also note that penile cancer typically only happens to 60+ year old men, and in rarer cases to 55+ year old men. It's one of the rarest form of cancer. You have more chance of dying to complications of the circumcision than it preventing penile cancer in your life (though I guess if you die it does prevent penile cancer in your post-retirement life).

Tootblan45 • 2 points • 4 August, 2018 11:37 AM

Good point to add.

The thing is though...even if those three arguments were legit, parents STILL have no right to mutilate their children.

CommJimHoredem • 15 points • 1 August, 2018 01:16 PM

Appreciate you doing this research!

-Fidelio-[S] • 12 points • 1 August, 2018 01:18 PM

That's a bit to much praise; I just summarized it. But thank you.

CommJimHoredem • 8 points • 1 August, 2018 01:22 PM

Well, thx for summarizing. I never thought of possible lingering traumatic effects.

da_Crow • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 09:01 PM

Even though I haven't been negatively affected by being circumcised, if I ever have a son I won't have him circumcised. I'll teach him proper hygiene so he never has to get cut either. It just seems natural to me.

CocaineOnYourClit • 80 points • 1 August, 2018 10:35 AM

I'm circumcised, never had any problems and have only received compliments on it from women, and I live in a country where 99% of men are not circumcised. Would get circumcised again . But I agree that we shouldn't perform it on children who cannot consent to it

-DeadLock • 3 points • 5 August, 2018 02:50 PM

You probably have a circumcision that isnt severe. I have scarring until halfway down my shaft and all the nerves scraped away. I only learned to ejaculate at age 17 and I have never been able to cum in a woman. It really makes my head spin and reading about it easily tumbles me into a depressed mood for weeks .. Gotta go... But please stop ... Because I can virtually guarantee they didnt scrape away as much as they did for me.. And getting complements from women about it.... Not a good enough reason to promote mutilation of male genitalia.

CocaineOnYourClit • 1 point • 5 August, 2018 02:58 PM

The problems you have had with circumcised, and I'm sorry that you have them, are very rare though

LucianFarsky • 1 point • 13 September, 2018 06:01 AM

Not that rare. Myself. And at least a dozen other people I know suffer very similar experiences

-Fidelio-[S] • 16 points • 1 August, 2018 10:39 AM

Were you circumcised as an infant or were you circumcised later in life? If later, before or after you had your first sexual experience?

CocaineOnYourClit • 14 points • 1 August, 2018 10:48 AM*

Not as an infant, it was after 2 sexual experiences. Not too much difference in the quality of sex. I feel like the orgasms are more powerful (sometimes too much when it feels like my glands are getting crushed when I cum inside). However I last a bit longer and masturbation is now impossible without lube

SuwinTzi • 13 points • 1 August, 2018 04:22 PM

I'm cut but I can jerk it w/o lube? What do you mean by its impossible?

CocaineOnYourClit • 0 points • 1 August, 2018 04:23 PM

I guess it depends on how your cut. Do u use spit? Because with lube I meant spit aswell

SuwinTzi • 7 points • 1 August, 2018 04:28 PM

I use no lube at all. And no idea if there that there were different kinds of cuts?

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 August, 2018 07:53 PM

Yeah, there are. If you choose to get it done as an adult, you can choose how much you want cut off. If you're a baby, the so-called doctor cuts off whatever he/she wants to cut off.

-Fidelio-[S] • 18 points • 1 August, 2018 02:33 PM*

masturbation is now impossible without lube

This definitely seems like a common trend. Thanks for sharing.

Interesting about the different (more powerful!) orgasm experience.

LiftingShithead • 11 points • 1 August, 2018 04:22 PM

I was circumcised for medical reasons 4years ago. I don't know what it is called in English. Basically my foreskin had a white ring underneath that got tighter so i get checked and told a circumcision is necessary.

I had sexual experiences in a 1,5year-relationship before that.

I would say that i got a bit less sensitive. I miss the feeling on the foreskin a bit but sex and masturbation both work fine.

LucianFarsky • 1 point • 13 September, 2018 06:00 AM

I believe the condition you're referring to is phimosis. And it's a lie that it requires circumcision. There's about a dozen different ways to treat it without cutting the most sensitive part of your penis off. And I hate to have to tell you, but as a cut guy I know, the sensitivity will only decrease with time as your glands dry out and become keratinized

LiftingShithead • 1 point • 13 September, 2018 07:09 AM

You are right, i read that there were different ways for treatment after the operation. How long is your operation ago? Will the sensitive decrease consistently or will it stop decreasing at some point?

LucianFarsky • 1 point • 13 September, 2018 09:40 AM

I was cut at birth. And I have noticed a relatively consistent decline over time. Tiny bits here and there, but it definitely adds up. The decrease in sensitivity over 20 years

is enough that I cant actually achieve orgasm during sex. Eventually the glands will become keratinized enough that it cant decrease any more than it has. Options to treat/prevent this include foreskin restoration as your best option, or something like the man hood <https://manhoodcanada.com/> for the short term.

LiftingShithead • 1 point • 13 September, 2018 10:15 AM

I can clearly feel less sensitivity and it's harder to get an erection but i wasn't sure if the second part was because of the circumcision or just psychological. I don't feel like i have a harder time to cum tho. Thank you for the insights!

LucianFarsky • 1 point • 13 September, 2018 12:06 PM

Having a harder time reaching orgasm won't be a sudden thing. Like the loss of sensitivity it'll happen over time.

MoDuReddit • 15 points • 1 August, 2018 03:15 PM

masturbation is now impossible without lube

Not that you should be wanking all the time, but that spells like a disability to me. You know what they do with women who can't orgasm? They prod electric needles into their spine to directly stimulate a deep orgasm.

You guys cut off part of your dick (no judging) and you need to go to the pharmacy to be able to wank.

[deleted] • 3 points • 6 August, 2018 07:52 PM

That's how I see it, a disability. I, too, have trouble in that area. It's always been like this for me, though. I recently purchased this device , and I wish I'd owned one of these 20, or even 10 years ago, it's amazing. I actually feel pleasure during masturbation, not pain, and I can actually cum from it.

MoDuReddit • 2 points • 6 August, 2018 09:03 PM

Glad to hear you found comfort. We all have our cards of life dealt, now we need to make the best of it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 August, 2018 09:33 PM

That's all we can do. I'll always despise the fact that this was done to me, but I can't fix it.

eyewant • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 03:31 PM

Maturbation without lube is impossible for me too. Circumcision is destructive.

ApwoperMuser • 3 points • 5 August, 2018 01:42 PM

Why did you get circumcised? Did you get your frenulum removed?

Viernas • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 11:13 PM

I'm sorry for you. When I pull back my foreskin in my jeans it hurts to walk around. It's really sensitive and thats how a penis is supposed to feel. You will never experience how pleasure really feels. I'm sorry you were mutilated at birth.

CocaineOnYourClit • 5 points • 1 August, 2018 11:16 PM

You will never experience how pleasure really feels

Lol as I said before, I was circumcised after I've already had sexual experiences. The sex wasn't noticeably different apart from orgasms being more powerful, and on rare occasions my glands feeling like they are getting crushed when I cum inside. Find some other arbitrary thing to put down others with and feel better about yourself and your miserable life

allSmallThings • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 01:33 PM

Adult circumcision is very different from infant circumcision.

For the adult, they usually only remove problem areas, and the most sensitive frenulum (the male clitoris) , is left in place.

In infant circumcision, they remove 20-50% of the penis tissue (yeah crazy). A baby penis is so tiny, you can't see where you are cutting. The procedure has no special surgeons or standards, and results are very random, as well as sometimes severe injuries . The frenulum and most of the sensitive tissue is chopped off in infant circumcision.

InterNetting • -1 points • 1 August, 2018 03:51 PM

You might not have any noticeable problems, but you'll never experience being uncircumcised to know the difference. It's like saying your old beater car is wonderful because it's never given you any problems. But if you've never driven a S-class Benz, you'll never know the difference.

lbylis3 • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 04:33 PM

What you are talking about is the difference between an S class and AMG GT.. two different experiences, yet I don't see how one is better over the other. S class owners talk about how you are losing out on luxury while AMG GT owners would tell you performance is everything.

Which is better?

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 04:40 PM

That's a fair way of looking at it

CocaineOnYourClit • 0 points • 1 August, 2018 03:53 PM

Lmao I was circumcised at 17, *after* sexual experiences. As I said earlier the sex isnt much different, except the orgasms are more powerful now and sometimes (rarely) too much to the point where my glands feel like they are getting crushed. I dont know what it is with uncircumcised guys who always want to feel better about themselves for not being circumcised

InterNetting • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 03:59 PM

Oh no buddy, I don't have to do that. Everything that OP has pointed out in his post has done that already. And my point may not apply to you personally, but it's still valid.

CocaineOnYourClit • -3 points • 1 August, 2018 04:03 PM

Everything that OP has pointed out in his post has done that already.

Not really

And my point may not apply to you personally, but it's still valid.

No it isn't, the majority of people who were circumcised after being uncircumcised say the same thing. The sex isn't noticeably different. But if it makes you feel better for being pathetic, keep telling yourself that

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 03:52 AM

Some men who get married don't get divorced, but that doesn't mean you should do it.

lv1crown • 10 points • 1 August, 2018 03:33 PM

I was circumcised and I guess I'll never know what it's like to be uncircumcised, but I've never had a problem with it. But I will say I guess if everyone didn't get circumcised I guess it would be better?

-Fidelio-[S] • 7 points • 1 August, 2018 03:35 PM

It's not life ending, otherwise south korea couldn't have survived 1 generation of circumcision, the US 4 generations of circumcision and semites about 4000 years of circumcision.

I'm glad you didn't have a problem with it. It's a good idea to prevent this for future generations.

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 August, 2018 11:24 PM

People are making a big fuss about it like it destroys pleasure... But it doesn't.

NikolaGeorgiev • 18 points • 1 August, 2018 12:01 PM

"4. Stimulates partner's genitals (6 out of 7 women report preferring uncircumcised men)" Please link the study, I wanna share this with people.

-Fidelio-[S] • 16 points • 1 August, 2018 12:05 PM*

Here you go.

<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1046/j.1464-410x.1999.0830s1079.x>

edit: since some of the data is somewhat hard to extrapolate, someone made an image that summarizes some of the studies findings.

Late edit2: Note that /u/replis gave good criticism of the above link: part of the survey participants were gotten by advertising in an anti-circumcision newsletter and as such there should be considerable caution at taking that study too serious. This might be a better study, more participants, national survey in denmark. Similar results, but not exactly the same of course: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21672947>

Replis • 22 points • 1 August, 2018 12:50 PM*

Quote from your source, the first paragraph:

To date no study has investigated whether this dramatic alteration in the male genitalia affects the sexual pleasure experienced by the female partner or whether women can physically discern the difference between a penis with or without a foreskin. The impact that male circumcision has on the overall sexual experience for either partner is unknown.

So basically, this debunks what you said, instead of supporting it. I didn't read rest of this source, but this isn't academic or study at all. This is just a writing that gives some studies as source material for its statements. Which can be true, I don't know, I didn't read the sources this writing refers to.

But this writing is:

1. Not a study.
2. Not objective.

Need to say "dramatic alteration" in this writing is one of the proof that this is not a study nor objective.

EDIT: Someone pointed out, that "to date" no study has investigated", which is also BS: Let me explain why: They didn't have their own study. Also they don't say "Until now" or something like that. Also, I want to add: But what is their study? How did they study?

If they have their own study, which is subjective as we can see from the writing, will not be accepted.

SmamelessMe • 15 points • 1 August, 2018 01:30 PM*

"to date no study has investigated"

This is academic speak for "Look at how rare / touchy this topic is, we're the first ones to look at it seriously. Look at us, how smart / fearless we are! We got brains / balls of steel yo!"

If you want to disprove a study, attack its metrics, data and methodology.

Hint: they're found in the actual study (that's why they're called studies).

-Fidelio-[S] • 13 points • 1 August, 2018 01:00 PM

When they write:

To date no study has investigated

What that means is that prior to the study that you're reading, this wasn't studied. They're giving the reason for why they did the study.

Replis • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 01:02 PM*

But what is their study? How did they study?

If they have their own study, which is subjective as we can see from the writing, will not be accepted.

Do you understand what I'm trying to say? They give other "source materials" as proof instead. Which shouldn't be, because you claim it's a study itself.

-Fidelio-[S] • 9 points • 1 August, 2018 01:20 PM

You know that it is normal for a study to mention other related studies in the introduction to frame the subject?

You're asking what the study is. That's under "methods".

Like it's fine if you want to criticize the study, I'm sure like all other studies, it's not perfect. But take the time to read it first instead of making these snap judgements.

Replis • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 01:32 PM

Look, it's subjective. Do you understand what I'm saying? You can read it yourself, and tell me if it isn't subjective. I think it's trying to find proof for its statement, instead of finding the truth.

BTW, I checked under the "methods" and this is literally the first paragraph:

Women having sexual experience with both circumcised and anatomically complete partners were recruited through classified advertisements in magazines and an

announcement in an anti-circumcision newsletter.

So basically it refers to a **survey** for it's study, which is "partly" made by "anti-circumcision newsletter".

Gee, I wonder if this "study" you mention doesn't have any study itself, only giving other sources as materials, and **subjective writing** as hell will give me the truth.

How can I believe all of this, if the author doesn't want me to circumcise?

boredepression • 4 points • 1 August, 2018 01:55 PM

Its not like they will find many participants for an actual sex study with multiple partners where they can study the orgasms is it? I mean sure, plenty of guys but the ladies asd will prevent participation.

Replis • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 02:06 PM

No, I'm fine with surveys really. But make it objective. That is enough for me.

boredepression • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 02:13 PM

How do you make something that is subjective, like perceived pleasure, objective, when you cannot control the study and have actual real data, such as brain scans or bloodwork to determine actual pleasure metrics? Look I agree with you on that point, but there are limited ways to study such a touchy subject. At least they have a potential starting point from the subjective data, right?

RoninUnderground 1 points 1 August, 2018 02:48 PM **[recovered]**

How can I believe all of this, if the author doesn't want me to circumcise?

Obviously I'm not OP but I have done my own digging, have uncovered the same info as OP, and agree with basically everything he presents here. I don't care if you want to cut yourself, 100% your choice. Kids are not property, however, and being a purely elective cosmetic procedure except in rare cases it is deeply wrong to take that choice away from them.

Replis • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 02:58 PM

Ok, I respect your opinion.

The point is this: This wasn't a source, and wasn't giving us the truth, while OP stated that it was. I didn't research what else he said, or gave as source, except this one. He may be right on other things, I do not know. Didn't check.

Also, your opinions are not in contrast with the facts that I just gave.

RoninUnderground 1 points 1 August, 2018 03:08 PM **[recovered]**

Fair enough. I didn't attack the claims you made, simply made the case that your claims don't invalidate the rest of OP's findings. You're right, it is good to be objective whenever possible.

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 02:14 PM

This is actually good criticism of the study that I had overlooked.

Thank you.

Replis • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 05:49 AM

Your 2nd source is a study about "possible sexual consequences of male circumcision. "

u/NikolaGeorgiev asks about source about women preferring about uncircumcised men.

Let's just check the conclusion of the 2nd source:

Circumcision was associated with frequent orgasm difficulties in Danish men and with a range of frequent sexual difficulties in women, notably orgasm difficulties, dyspareunia and a sense of incomplete sexual needs fulfilment. Thorough examination of these matters in areas where male circumcision is more common is warranted.

So basically circumcised men have more difficulty with orgasms, or dyspareunia (pain on the penis during or after intercourse) OR have incomplete sexual fulfillment.

So, this isn't about women preferring uncircumcised men, but about medical problems, which can be true. The study which National Institutes of Health refers to has no reason to be subjective about this so I say it is trusted about the study u/-fidelio- gave.

But let me say this: Here in The Redpill we have fair amount of circumcised men. Which one has problems with orgasms? Which one has pain during intercourse? Or "incomplete sexual fulfillment"?

Conclusion: Circumcised men have more problems with orgasm difficulty, pain on penis during intercourse, or "incomplete fulfillment". There are no other conclusions we can get from this study.

SO, if you are circumcised, but do not have medical difficulties with your penis, then there is nothing else to worry about

As a circumcised person, I do not have medical problems down there. Do you have one?

Disclaimer: I do not care whether or not you are circumcised or not, i just made an analysis about the 2nd source. I think everyone can make their own choice on this matter

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 06:13 AM

So, this isn't about women preferring uncircumcised men, but about medical problems, which can be true

The study described that women experience "orgasm difficulties, dyspareunia and a sense of incomplete sexual needs fulfilment" more with circumcised men. But also note: I've read the study and the disparity is far smaller than the earlier study you accurately discredited. We are talking in this case about 10-15% differences, rather than 60% differences, roughly speaking.

But with that said, there is still a marked difference in a robust study, so it's worth considering if people consider circumcision for themselves or their sons.

SO, if you are circumcised, but do not have medical difficulties with your penis, then there is nothing else to worry about

Good point.

I know that not everyone who is circumcised has issues with it (the research shows that clearly).

My thinking about it is like this: not everybody who gets married is divorce raped by his wife.

However, since there is barely any upside and a whole host of potential downside, I would advise against getting married for men.

I agree with the the part of your conclusion that I quoted above.

Though since there are potential complications to the surgery itself (including death), I would advise strongly against circumcision for anyone who is considering it of themselves or their sons.

I understand that this message is less useful for circumcised men, much like the message to not get married is less useful to married men.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 02:12 PM

http://sexasnatureintendedit.com/10F/1hook_scrapes.html *NSFW*

pm_me_tangibles • 20 points • 1 August, 2018 01:40 PM

Thank you for compiling this. What you have written deeply resonates with my personal experiences.

I cannot tell you how many conversations I've had with ignorant people who think "well it's just a useless bit of skin" or "women prefer prefer a circumcised dick, it's so pretty". As if as a society we deem it acceptable to make babies undergo cosmetic surgery to please their future partners' whims.

HotStickySemen 1 points 1 August, 2018 10:13 PM* **[recovered]**

Women will like anything as long as its either really pleasurable or widespread enough in society. Women in countries where the majority of men are circumcised. Oh and the country needs to be liberal too for women to express these ideas.

pm_me_tangibles • 2 points • 2 August, 2018 11:55 AM

I think most people (men & women) are simply ignorant of the dep extent of damage it does. Most people are so ignorant they literally see it like an external appendix - a useless bit of skin. So their ignorance is naive not malicious.

banthrow • 20 points • 1 August, 2018 03:31 PM

Dudes from US: Is totally insane and absurd that you think that removing a part of your body have a natural advantage. If there were any advantage, we would have evolved that part out millions of years ago. Please, don't remove random parts of your body.

RoninUnderground 1 points 1 August, 2018 04:16 PM **[recovered]**

"It's just a foreskin!"

"They won't even remember it!"


"You should be embarrassed of your gross dirty smelling slime shitty foreskin dick"

Actual arguments people use. It's so ingrained here, and few are willing to believe they were violated at birth or that they violated their own children, that they will absolutely hurl every excuse they can at the practice.

banthrow • 13 points • 1 August, 2018 04:47 PM

Also girls says they like it more that way. So if women like mutilated penises I should mutilate mine? that's the ultimate betaification. Cutting your dick because of what women think.

thomascoopers • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 10:16 PM

I'm not even sure how true that is, even for the States. I managed to lay a few girls when I was in the States (have been twice) and all of them said they loved an uncut cock. Sure, that's purely anecdotal, but _/_

SHGrade • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 10:34 PM

Some women disagree, when erect, foreskin is pulled back anyway exposing the head, how I normally see my husband's dick when I care what it looks like. Neither my husband or our son are circumcised.

karlnuw • 2 points • 3 August, 2018 09:40 PM

Exactly, erect penis look more or less the same whether cut or intact.

Amiska5v5 • 10 points • 1 August, 2018 06:14 PM

I got cut when I was 15. I noticed the sensitivity on the forehead got reduced more and more everyday. Now I am 27 and its MUCH less sensitive than I remember it to be.

Mixed feelings on it as I can have issues with premature ejaculation, so less sensation can be a good thing for me, but some part of me wish to have try sex without being cut to feel the difference. I never got to experience that.

Personally I think it looks better cut tho.

Reniboy • 4 points • 2 August, 2018 12:19 AM

Doesn't that happen for everyone? The more your rub it, the less sensitive your dick would be. Has less to do with circumcision and more to do with the level of stimulation available. I don't think any normal dude's dick at 27 is at the same level of sensation/sensitivity as it was at 15.

HotStickySemen 1 points 1 August, 2018 10:20 PM* **[recovered]**

Well, which would you choose: a desensitized glans and a lack of foreskin (a lot of nerve endings) or you trying to impress a woman with a "better looking" (it could very well be better looking, but women are much more subjective and a lot of factors except the image of a penis will affect a woman's opinion of it.). Is it really trp wise to lose all of that pleasure? If you've done everything right, a woman will fuck you no matter if its circumcised or uncircumcised.

Amiska5v5 • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 10:27 PM*

Relax dude. Yeah I said personally I think it looks better. Doesn't mean I really care about the looks all that much, nor do I know if women prefer it or not. Don't really care about that either.

G5_Shadows • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 09:24 PM

I've always enjoyed having sex alot, even though im circumcised, it's the greatest natural feeling in the world. It's upsetting knowing I could have been feeling even better with an additional 8000 nerves. I feel like I'm missing out and there's nothing i can do to get it back.

Then again I have nothing to compare it to. I will never really know what it'll feel like so i guess i shouldn't be bothered, but I only live once and my one shot has been tainted. Very upset with my mother saying the reason she did it was because "circumcised penises look better". Very upset.

kafkian • 4 points • 1 August, 2018 10:17 PM

I'm a lucky European, over here we don't get circumsized and I don't care if someone thinks my dick looks like a shar-pei. My reasoning is that it must be there for a reason: if it ain't broken don't fix it

Crass_Conspirator • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 10:27 PM

Agreed. I'm not circumcised and I don't want to be. My father is circumcised and insisted my brother and I not be. My brother ended up going and getting circumcised at 18 due to societal pressures, and he told me "never get circumcised".

[deleted] • 2 points • 2 August, 2018 01:18 AM

Im pretty lucky to be uncircumsized. It's definitely awesome

RedHoodhandles • 4 points • 2 August, 2018 08:37 AM

I was circumcised as a kid. Phimosis. I remember lying in the hospital bed, banging my fist against the wall and screaming that I don't want to die. The pain was unbearable.

I don't know what pleasure was taking from me in the first place with the foreskin but compared to sex in my early twenties (I'm 30 now), my glans has decenticised. Well what do you expect? Its constantly rubbing against my underwear. And no that's not a good thing because now you can fuck longer.. I guess 5 to 10 year from now I will have problems cumming with condoms (so much for the plating). I never came via bj.

Half a year ago (in line with self-improvement and because a plate wanted me to cum with her mouth) I went partly down that rabbit hole of foreskin and foreskin restauration. It could be worth it but I'm not wearing a plastic cup around my dick all day.

'It is cleaner.' 'It looks better.' Lol... Circumcision is barbaric and you are a special kind of dumb if you believe it's not that big of a deal.

My parents didn't know better and I don't hate them for it. I wish they did and so, kind of, I do.

-Fidelio-[S] • 5 points • 2 August, 2018 08:44 AM

How old a kid were you? If done before puberty there is a good chance that it is a misdiagnosis.

There is serious lack of information about this in the United States in particular, where a healthy penis can be misdiagnosed for having phimosis, because the misinformation goes right up to the medical professional education.

RedHoodhandles • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 08:55 AM

I guess I was 4 so yeah, the harm is done.

[deleted] • 4 points • 2 August, 2018 05:04 PM

I made our decision on circumcision for my son. I chose not to. Have wrestled with that choice ever since. Now, I no longer will. Thanks for this. Made my day.

WalkingInfinite • 15 points • 1 August, 2018 10:55 AM

I've been circumcised at age ~7 and thus obviously can't compare my current sensations and sensations of uncircumcised man. But I'm pretty sure that I've lost a lot. Yes, I can last for a really long time (sometimes it's up to 3 hours), but is it normal for a man to try hard in order to achieve an orgasm? Some men say that they would be so much more confident if they could last that long, but believe me you won't be confident if you are always afraid of not getting hard because of lack of sensitivity...

roosterinflight 1 points 1 August, 2018 07:04 PM **[recovered]**

I have the exact same problem, dude. I have a nice dick. Like, truly blessed, I get compliments and surprised looks from every girl. And sometimes it scares the shit out of me to use it.

Why? Because I lack sensitivity, and maintaining erections is hard. I can usually get things going after a few

tries, and everything is fine. But, it's hard getting over the burning shame to want to keep trying after a failure or two.

WalkingInfinite • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 04:40 AM

Try using pills. One day this bright thought came into my mind and still I always carry a little amount with me. Just in case. (It's not expensive at all if you buy so-called generics, which are absolutely legal and cost as much as ten times fewer. Have no idea are they being sold in your country though.)

Also, lubes help a lot :)

[deleted] • 2 points • 6 August, 2018 08:00 PM

I'm in a similar position to you. The worst part is when the woman either takes it as a personal slight that you take so long to come, assuming it's because you find her unattractive, or assumes you're gay. And when condoms are involved, it's a complete waste of time for me.

WalkingInfinite • 1 point • 7 August, 2018 12:26 PM

Condoms... By the way, have you ever tried using them as a temporary foreskin? I do it from time to time if there is a possibility to do so. Basically, I use some hand cream or some oil to moisturize the limb and after that I put a condom on it. Sensitivity rises a lot, but still... it's not that kind of sensitivity that makes you feel more pleasure, it's just more *sensation*. Very sad

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 August, 2018 12:43 PM

I tried it once or twice, but I gave it up because I piss a lot. It's ridiculous that we have to resort to all these measures to approximate what we were born with. I will **never** stop hating circumcision.

WalkingInfinite • 1 point • 7 August, 2018 08:34 PM

Totally agreed, it's ridiculous and unfair, and I personally find it superiously ridiculous that my father, a smart man, didn't use his brain when he's been making the decision. No hate, though, it's all about a culture.

But have you tried foreskin restoration? It actually works, though I still question the looks and effectiveness of restored skin (I mean, the ratio of not-foreskin skin looks and its effectiveness), but even now, despite me doing the exercises rarely, I can feel some rolling during sex.

MoDuReddit • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 01:46 PM

Thank you for your honest story. Yeah, when you're a teenager, it can be kind of awkward to cum too easily, but it's just something to get better at.

bannedbynewsmods1 • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 04:47 PM

I'm uncut and can last for pretty much as long as I want

oneorigin • 28 points • 1 August, 2018 12:32 PM

Better repost it to women's subs, because cut men have an inferiority complex, that makes them ignore truth, and force circumcision to their kids.

Uncut men in non-barbarian countries view this practice as barbarian or as a religious insanity.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 39 points • 1 August, 2018 02:51 PM

I'm cut but I made sure my son wasn't.

Some of us can see the truth.

[deleted] • 22 points • 1 August, 2018 01:13 PM*

Every smokescreen in the book is thrown up by cut men trying to get out of Plato's cave with zero means to, only then claiming the cave is better and the real losers are outside of it all the while being completely ignorant to the natural anatomy and ignoring any argument otherwise when cutting their own kid. Break. The. Cycle.

-Fidelio-[S] • 7 points • 1 August, 2018 01:08 PM

It's never easy to accept topics that go against what you've previously been told, because it also asks the person to accept that their parents/ doctor lied to them or unwittingly misinformed them. I think a high degree of skepticism is natural and healthy.

Although I hope they also apply that skepticism to the claims they had formerly accepted as truth.

[deleted] • 1 point • 5 August, 2018 11:26 PM

You guys really exagarated this a bit much

LazyLizardd • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 05:47 PM

This shit hit me hard. I was clueless

intactisnormal • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 09:49 PM*

A good post OP. In case anyone questions your stats, the Canadian Paediatric Society's paper pretty much backs them all up, table 1.

<http://www.cps.ca/documents/position/circumcision>

And here's a study showing that the foreskin is the most sensitive part of the penis. Full study [here](#).

memamu76 • 8 points • 1 August, 2018 11:08 PM

Christopher Hitchens: babies are born as amazing bundles of potential, pure and totally dependent on you for care. Who in their right mind would think, "Lets take a knife to their genitals?". It's sickening.

I don't care if it's your religion, it's not the baby's religion and you have no right to force it on a baby, who has life long consequences.

If you have a faith you'll find that a very strong comment to make; but it is a fair point in an open discussion.

ChadsCock • 29 points • 1 August, 2018 10:33 AM*

This is all very true. It's amazing how savage we are while we presume since we have advanced technology we must be modern.

The United States has the most fatal pregnancies of all modern nations, it's so bad we have more per capital than Libya because doctors insist on forcing women to push up against gravity since it increases the likelihood of "complicated deliveries" which make a lot more money.

Once a woman has one complicated delivery she is forever stamped as complicated which means she would require a cesarean every time hence forth.

So we basically deliberately murder ourselves for money including mothers along with their children

Every time we look back at history and talk about something dumb we used to do and then claim "nobody knew" they're lying. We are forced to be dumb when it's convenient even if as individuals we all know better

dr_warlock • 5 points • 1 August, 2018 05:46 PM*

Would like more reading on this subject

ChadsCock • 2 points • 4 August, 2018 09:10 AM

<https://www.vox.com/2016/8/8/12001348/more-women-dying-childbirth-america>

American health care! But we're really great at heart surgeries so keep deep frying your arteries. Practice makes perfect

anothdae • 25 points • 1 August, 2018 02:22 PM

The United States has the most fatal pregnancies of all modern nations, it's so bad we have more per capital than Libya because doctors insist on forcing women to push up against gravity since it increases the likelihood of "complicated deliveries" which make a lot more money.

This is absurd.

We have complicated deliveries because women are very unhealthy in the US, and have babies much, much, much later than the rest of the world, and our health reporting is a lot better.

As someone in medicine, and actually currently in OBGYN, the people with "complicated deliveries" are 99% of the time gestational diabetes causing macrosomia.

Most of the statistics you hear are bullshit anyway... if you don't smoke and drink during your pregnancy, and aren't diabetic... you will be fine.

Once a woman has one complicated delivery she is forever stamped as complicated which means she would require a cesarean every time hence forth.

This is completely untrue.

After a C section you have to wait 2 years before attempting a vaginal delivery, to allow the uterus to heal. If you don't, you risk uterine abruption and fetal (as well as maternal) death.

If you have had an oldschool c-section (verticle uterine incision), your risk is much higher as well, forever.

If you have had multiple c sections, you also need another, as the rates of uterine abruption rise to over 50%.

But apart from all that, vaginal deliveries are encouraged, even after c sections.

So we basically deliberately murder ourselves for money including mothers along with their children

This is again, fucking stupid.

Once you see a mother die from giving birth, your tolerance for doing things "naturally" drops. Because that is the natural course. For mothers to routinely die.

C-sections save lives.

fuck off with your bullshit.

clamops • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 04:50 PM

Do you have a source for this? Genuinely curious

ChadsCock • 1 point • 4 August, 2018 09:11 AM

<https://www.vox.com/2016/8/8/12001348/more-women-dying-childbirth-america>

djh860 • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 05:13 PM*

I didn't have my sons cut now 23 and 25 and they don't seem to be totally cool with being different .

allSmallThings • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 01:57 PM

They are lucky to be intact!

There is a reddit here for intact men to discuss their feelings on the topic.

Casanova-Quinn • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 06:20 PM

Totally agree with this. On a related note, if you're circumcised guy who has trouble *feeling it* during sex, your problem is likely caused by too much rough masturbation (aka death grip). Your hand can move faster and grip much harder than a vagina. This makes real sex seem dull. It can be fixed by only masturbating with a sex toy that can't be squeezed (like a flesh light). Furthermore, actually *fuck* the toy (thrust your body) instead of just moving your hand. The point is, your masturbation habits should be as similar as possible to actual sex.

Chitlinsandgravy • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 08:00 PM*

My dad left me intact. I've had no major health issues related to my foreskin in 37yrs. Only negative side effect I'm aware of was enhanced tip sensitivity due to it constantly being wrapped up. Kept it pulled back until it was no longer sensitive to the touch when wearing tighty whiteys.

I've always wondered/considered if it was a measure of controlling/limiting male sexuality thru mutilation. The shaming that can come with being uncircumcised, from men, can be astounding. The conditioning required to program such a response...

Only issue I ever had with women was if I had a case of sweaty dick.

banthrow • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 11:03 AM

The shaming that can come with being uncircumcised, from men, can be astounding.

How somebody can shame you with your dick in her mouth?

Chitlinsandgravy • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 04:13 PM

The men I've mentioned it to bud. Most seemed conditioned to think that bring uncut somehow isn't masculine. I addressed my experience with women.

banthrow • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 06:44 PM

If they think you are not masculine enough then you can prove them that your fist in their teeth still works perfectly.

Chitlinsandgravy • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 07:18 PM

Never. Why would I enter another man's frame, especially if their acting on social conditioning?

Chitlinsandgravy • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 07:20 PM

Remember, Spergy behavior is symptomatic of a society that suppresses male sexuality. Once you can get the masses to do the work, right?

No way I'll allow myself to be drawn into conflict with men that act out due to a need to do so. A need they themselves are unaware of.

banthrow • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 07:23 PM

I still think a good punch is the fastest way to solve some problems.

Chitlinsandgravy • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 07:41 PM

I don't disagree. However, I personally refuse to let other people decide which battles I fight. Not when 1. I can let them talk, unphased. Let alone my ability to maintain frame, and draw them into it later. And 2. Other peoples behavior is a reflection of the relationship they have with themselves/ their character/values.

I'm pretty aware of other men's need to maintain their current perceptions.

There's no evidence I can provide to a man who's not willing to consider it at any given moment.

Chitlinsandgravy • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 05:29 PM

Given this some thought. I didn't address my experience with women. I was attempting to provide contrast between my experiences with both sexes in relation to being uncut.

My experience with women has been good. I learned early on no one likes sweaty dick. Showering with women solves that. And creates opportunity/feelz.

sammysep • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 08:54 PM

Wanna just comment on the restoration part, has anyone here tried restoration through a non-surgical procedure ie wearing a restoration device? If so any results? And if results achieved, how long did it take?

BrewandRun • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 09:39 PM

Why the fuck would anyone cut off the tip of their dick just to pander to the opposite sex. Or worse yet some bullshit religions organization who's leaders have never had a proper sexual relationship.

EdAnt • 3 points • 2 August, 2018 09:12 AM

This is a great source of aggravation for me. I had my mind made up when my son was born not to have him circumcised. There was a young especially cuntly nurse who I had to tell this to. I had just seen my son born, so I wasn't quite myself, anyway, and I was a total soy boy at the time. The nurse began screeching at me and telling me how fucking evil I was for not having him circumcised, berating me, Etc. I caved. My son is circumcised today, just like me. This is just one of a thousand little life-altering mistakes I've made that the red pill could have prevented. I find solace in the fact that my boy won't make any of those same mistakes.

Lionlocker • 3 points • 2 August, 2018 10:00 AM

Literally the only argument anyone can make is that women prefer the aesthetics of the mutilated penis. And no one is surprised how dumb that opinion is to have, or that argument is to make.

masterofmydomain_ • 3 points • 2 August, 2018 06:30 PM

i always laugh when religious zealots argue about it. you were made in god's image right? better cut the tip off your dick then.

i would never have my [future] son[s] circumcised. shit is barbaric.

thishicbeat • 5 points • 1 August, 2018 02:14 PM

Protip: you can temporarily disable your foreskin with a cock ring. I do it all the time and it's fun.

RpwomN • 7 points • 1 August, 2018 03:27 PM

I am so pleased to see this post here. I will be saving this to show anyone who has a conversation about it with

me. I've been trying to educate those around me about the harmful effect circumcision has, but it's difficult to show stats in the moment.

Thank you for this post.

suckisuckilongtime • 5 points • 1 August, 2018 06:29 PM

I was circumcised at age 5 and I remember all of it, under no anaesthesia AMA

-Fidelio-[S] • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 06:35 PM

1. Did you know what was going to happen?
2. Was it done in a western country?
3. Was it done for religious reasons?

suckisuckilongtime • 5 points • 1 August, 2018 08:26 PM

1) No dude turned up to my house and snipped me on my bed lol 2) Yes UK wasn't done in hospital but at home 3) Yes but myself am no longer affiliated with any religion

knowledgelover94 • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 10:02 PM

Were you crying/shrieking in pain?

suckisuckilongtime • 2 points • 2 August, 2018 12:22 AM

Yes they held a magazine in front of my face so I couldn't see the blood or knife cut my dick skin off, I was crying before it even happened lol

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 12:33 AM

Oh that's a paddlin.

We'd be having a 'remember when' day for that shit.

suckisuckilongtime • 2 points • 2 August, 2018 12:41 AM

Lol I saw that exact episode today

tolstushki701 • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 11:31 PM

I was circumcised without any anesthesia. It affected me in a very bad way...I loose erection when a woman touches my abs and desire to have sex disappears. Up to this day I remember how I cried and how people were holding me down and I believe this painful experience somehow got stored in my body's memory. I don't care what your religion is it is all bull shit, if your kid suffers like me nothing good will come out of it.

Devils_Duke • 13 points • 1 August, 2018 11:09 AM

I'm circumcised for medical reasons (all the men in my family are born with tight foreskins) and I can tell you that, while I've never had sex uncircumcised (I got it done when I was 11), it really has no negative effects on my sex life. It doesn't get in the way of me enjoying sex (a lot) and it doesn't seem to prevent me from pleasing my lady callers (if I do say so myself). If there's any difference between circumcised and uncircumcised, I'm fairly sure it's negligible.

Most of the nerve endings are in the actual head of the penis rather than the foreskin, so getting rid of it doesn't make that much of a difference on pleasure.

Girls either like a circumcised dick (it's "tidier" or "neater") or couldn't give a flying fuck (excuse the pun). And

I have a lot of fun teasing my uncircumcised buddies about how saggy and disgusting their dicks are going to look when they're 70.

Not a criticism of the contents or intention of this post; just a few thoughts from the perspective of a medical circumcisee (that's definitely a word). While I truly don't think there are any negative effects of circumcision, and would even go as far to say I'm glad I'm circumcised, I do think the practice of fashionable circumcision is ridiculous. Doesn't really happen here in the UK!

And by the way, the idea that it was intended as a masturbation deterrent is actually laughable. I can jack it with the best of them!

SmamelessMe • 17 points • 1 August, 2018 01:34 PM*

I don't want to doubt the reason why you were circumcised, but when it happens to your kids, make sure to exhaust all other solutions before invasive surgery.

I too was born with Phimosis. A few weeks of stretching after warm baths fixed that while I was still a little kid.

Edit: removed rest of the post. OP addressed that.

AggressiveProgrammer • 5 points • 1 August, 2018 03:54 PM

The only thing to be said is babies should not be getting skin cut off of their dick for non medical reason without their consent. Even phimosis can be cured by stretching of the glans. Sure, circumcision is not the end of the world, however as a circumcised male myself I can clearly see that circumcision has had a very, very negative effect on my life and it was done for no reason whatsoever. If an adult who is well informed wants to cut skin off his dick, OK, but you can never defend someone cutting skin off of me without my consent. Especially considering that you have to go through pain as a baby, for no fucking reason. It's NOT normal or ok to cut up a LIVE baby all just so some mindless parent can continue a pattern.

Devils_Duke • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 08:42 AM

Would you mind going into what negative effects it has had for you?

AggressiveProgrammer • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 04:05 PM

It's something I don't even wanna talk about, that bad.

intactisnormal • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 09:47 PM

Most of the nerve endings are in the actual head of the penis rather than the foreskin,

The foreskin is actually the most sensitive part of the penis. Full study [here](#).

-Fidelio-[S] • 19 points • 1 August, 2018 11:42 AM*

Most of the nerve endings are in the actual head of the penis rather than the foreskin, so getting rid of it doesn't make that much of a difference on pleasure.

Nerve endings in the glans are not as concentrated as in the frenulum, which is removed in circumcision.

Girls either like a circumcised dick (it's "tidier" or "neater") or couldn't give a flying fuck (excuse the pun)

Research does not support your anecdotal experience:

To summarize a few bulletpoints from this study:

Women were more likely to have a vaginal orgasm with an intact partner

Women were more likely *not* to have a vaginal orgasm with a circumcised partner

Circumcised partners were more likely to have premature ejaculation

Women were more likely to report vaginal discomfort with circumcised partners (either often or occasionally) compared to intact partners (either rarely or never)

<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1046/j.1464-410x.1999.0830s1079.x>

Not to detract from your personal experiences I think it's always good for people to share.

Devils_Duke • 10 points • 1 August, 2018 12:45 PM

What I'm getting at is that if you're not making a girl cum, it's not because you're circumcised. A bit like how a 7 incher will probably do a better job than a 6 incher, but a 6 incher is always sufficient. If you're good in the bedroom, a lack of foreskin isn't going to hold you back. And trust me, sex is still very fun. We're really "majoring in the minors" here. Any differences are negligible when it comes down to it. See what I'm saying?

TangoZulu • 10 points • 1 August, 2018 02:47 PM

Don't you think that the results of that study may contain inherent bias in the answers due to the fact that a portion of the respondents were found via an ad placed in "an anti-circumcision newsletter"? Women subscribing to an anti-circumcision newsletter would hardly seem an unbiased opinion, would they?

-Fidelio-[S] • 5 points • 1 August, 2018 02:55 PM

Yes, this is a good criticism that I had overlooked until someone else pointed it out to me minutes ago in another part of these discussions. You are right on the money and I bow for not having seen that myself.

I think there's good cause to not take that study, which wasn't that large to begin with, too serious.

There seems to be a more robust study with somewhat comparable results that Clopper mentioned in the video I linked in the OP.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21672947>

National health survey with 5552 participants.

Circumcision was associated with frequent orgasm difficulties in Danish men and with a range of frequent sexual difficulties in women, notably orgasm difficulties, dyspareunia and a sense of incomplete sexual needs fulfilment

gaspaonrocks 1 points 1 August, 2018 12:20 PM* **[recovered]**

Circumcised partners were more likely to have premature ejaculation

Well EVERY guy I know that were circumcised (myself included) all agree that it reduce the sensitivity, being exposed to all sorts of friction in the pants.

After a quick ctrl + F in the paper you give, I show you this :

In women who preferred circumcised men, there was no difference in their comparison of circumcised and unaltered men other than overall rating and a **higher rate of premature ejaculation**

in their unaltered partners (4.63, 2.36–9.07)

To me this means that unaltered men are more likely to premature ejaculate.

So yeah, do it or don't, you (or your offspring) can still be a king in the sack.

-Fidelio-[S] • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 01:05 PM

To me this means that unaltered men are more likely to premature ejaculate.

But what it really means is that the 1 out of 7 women who prefer circumcised men over uncircumcised men, experienced a higher premature ejaculation rate from intact partners.

You can't take 1/7th of the data and generalise it to 7/7th.

simplisticallysimple • 2 points • 4 August, 2018 09:59 AM

How can you know that it doesn't "get in the way" of you enjoying sex? You have no basis for comparison. Sounds like sour grapes to me

Devils_Duke • 1 point • 6 August, 2018 08:23 AM

Because I enjoy sex. Therefore it doesn't get in the way. I'm not making a comparison; I'm saying circumcision or not, sex is still great. I'm actually really not being bitter (or sour) about this at all so I don't know where you're getting that "sour grapes" conclusion from. In fact, the complete opposite is true. My whole argument is that being circumcised is really not that big a deal and any differences are negligible in terms of the effect it has on your life (although I'm sure there is the odd exception here and there).

simplisticallysimple • 2 points • 6 August, 2018 09:11 AM

I know you enjoy sex. That's a moot point. I'm saying you simply can't possibly know the difference if you've only experienced sex circumcised. In other words, you would probably enjoy sex even more uncircumcised. But we can't really know now, can we?

Devils_Duke • 2 points • 6 August, 2018 10:42 AM

It's not moot at all. As long as I enjoy it, I'm happy. It's irrelevant to me how much more you might enjoy sex. Should I feel bad because it feels 1% better when a girl touches your pee-pee? Do you feel bad because there's someone out there who's an inch taller than you? You need to get out of the mindset of comparing yourself to other people man. It's unhealthy.

oneorigin • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 12:35 PM

And I have a lot of fun teasing my uncircumcised buddies about how saggy and disgusting their dicks are going to look when they're 70.

Which means that you feeling inferior.

Devils_Duke • 5 points • 1 August, 2018 12:54 PM

Irony - you should try it some time

[deleted] • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 04:41 PM

The good news is there is a non-profit organization, Foregen, actively working to create a regenerative surgery for foreskins. Donor foreskins are taken, decellularized, which just leaves the foreskin scaffolding, then conduct

microsurgery to attach the foreskin to the patient and allow the patient's cells to basically become the new foreskin.

www.foregen.org

fallOut • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 05:28 PM

Check your math. World war 2 didn't end 50 years ago.

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 05:45 PM*

Right, I shouldn't have written "exactly when US took control" because I was forgetting about the Korean war and such.

The 50 years is actually accurate, it's the rest of that statement/sentence where I was in error. Thank you and sorry for that.

fallOut • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 08:06 AM

Word. It's tricky when an author fudges some details. You never know they fudged other details.

I am a drone operator. I have actively been involved in air strikes that the media later reported incorrectly. Combined with other incomplete stories we get posted here on Reddit I know journalists get it wrong more often than not.

I know the actual truth, not just what some village claimed happened. So I know how important it is to get your minor facts straight because it takes away from the rest of your article.

Rollo_Mayhem3 • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 06:08 PM

try putting a cotton sock over your cock and jerk off...you'll see an increased in pleasurable sensation...it would make u cum faster because you would not be used to this type of stimulation. I think it is a better way to get use to fucking, particularly without a condom --if you do that.

i guess that was what the foreskin did. This my anecdotal evidence.

MoDuReddit • 5 points • 1 August, 2018 09:38 PM

I was very late when I understood in American Pie, what the deal was with wanking, socks and lube was.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 09:26 AM

That's just stupid. You increase the coefficient of friction to much which will lead you to desensitize your nerve endings.

berniel64 • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 10:57 PM

Excellent post. My dad was from the old country, Italy, didn't believe in circumcision. I'm Glad he didn't the protection makes a difference.

seanneko 1 points 2 August, 2018 12:09 AM* **[recovered]**

Australia is not a country that practices routine circumcision. The cited figures are probably referring to the population as a whole which would be skewed by older generations, as circumcision was far more common (perhaps even the norm) in the past.

With a few exceptions, it is generally not done for religious/cosmetic purposes anymore.

[deleted] • 2 points • 5 August, 2018 07:19 PM

Elliot Hulse recently posted a great video covering the topic as well:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b4kSQq12osY>

Not sure why mods would even question the approval of this post. The truth of MGM is as red pill as it gets.

Thanks OP

[deleted] • 9 points • 1 August, 2018 01:47 PM

There is a reason only women and uncut men debate this...

PS2Errol • 4 points • 1 August, 2018 06:25 PM

An abominable procedure that should be done only if there is an urgent medical need. Otherwise it should be outlawed.

Thtb • 4 points • 1 August, 2018 01:08 PM

Apperently you are twice as likly to be a autist if ya dick is cut, compared to uncut dudes.

eyewant • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 03:53 PM

It changes the brain permanently and irreversably. (higher anxiety, hyperactivity, attention problems, mood disorders)

Ive been trying to tell people this but they dont believe me. Isnt it common sense that if you put a baby through immense pain, its brain will change?

ventuspilot • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 05:44 PM

You might want to add a section on different circumcision styles. Sometimes doctors fold back part or all of the foreskin preserving the nerveendings as well as the frenulum, sometimes they cut away as much as they possibly can. Different styles very likely will have different impact.

Also keratinization and further desensitization due to lack of protection usually happens later in life.

Last I would guess there is a difference between circumcision with vs. without anesthetics.

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 04:55 AM

I'd love to read it if you did a writeup on this. I have not studied either keratinization or different circumcision styles sufficiently to wrote about it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 04:01 PM

I'm circumcised and I will let all my sons get circumcised. Thats what my religion teaches and thats what we've done for 1400 years. I will not fail my religion and purpose in life just to gain some pleasure.

allSmallThings • 2 points • 2 August, 2018 01:54 PM

There is a group of Muslims against circumcision, you can find it online.

See also

www.doctorsopposingcircumcision.org

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 05:51 PM

Yeah. "Muslims" who sold their values to please others lol

[deleted] • 2 points • 6 August, 2018 08:37 PM

You will *let* your sons get circumcised? This makes no sense. If you mean you plan to leave the choice in their hands, while this is admirable, you're not "letting" them do shit any more than my father "lets" my grown ass drink alcohol. If you mean you plan to inflict circumcision upon your sons, you're still not "letting" them do shit, the word "let" implies choice.

At some point, almost every parent has to tell their kid to think for themselves and not follow the herd. Maybe they should set better examples in that regard.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 August, 2018 05:45 PM

English is my third language. Pretty hard to nail every words exact meaning. I think you got what i meant.

Every man in our community has to go through certain things. What he does after that defines who he is. But first he needs to be part of the pack.

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 August, 2018 10:10 PM

It sounds like a load of tribal nonsense to me.

MoDuReddit • 4 points • 1 August, 2018 09:35 PM

That's a very bluepill mindset, do you not have individuality? I urge you, please, for your sons' sake, to reconsider or at least delay that procedure until they're of legal age.

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 August, 2018 11:28 PM

Im as redpill as one can get. I read a lot of articles here and try to get as much input as possible. But that doesnt mean I implement everything written here. Im taking all things that benefit me and my purpose and leave all the other stuff. Getting circumcised is a part of becoming a man in the Islamic religion. If you dont do it you will loose all respect from your Brothers and elderly. We think it is beta/bluepill mindset if you change your religious beliefes because someone doesnt understand the meaning behind it and writes an article about it.

MoDuReddit • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 11:32 PM

Im as redpill as one can get.

Getting circumcised is a part of becoming a man in the Islamic religion. If you dont do it you will loose all respect from your Brothers and elderly.

So bluepill as shit: do what others expect you to do, not what you want to do. I'm not even touching the religion aspect. You can claim yourself whatever you want, but I don't think succumbing to peer pressure is positive for yourself, and your sons.

EDIT: I'm confused, in Islamic religions, you cut your son's dick when he's a baby or when he's 12?

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 12:25 AM

We cut the foreskin before the age of puberty.

If you want or not, everyone is part of a community and is "succumbing" to it values. The red pill here is to understand this and to use it to your advantage. There are no lone wolf alphas without a community. Thats a hollywood fiction story.

[deleted] • 4 points • 2 August, 2018 04:41 AM

islam is a religion based on fear

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 07:29 AM

We actually believe that its based on fear. But also Love and Hope. These are the three big motivators.

Beside that what is wrong with fear?

[deleted] • 4 points • 2 August, 2018 12:49 PM

Women worship based on fear. Dictators rule based off fear. It's simply pathetic.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 05:56 PM

Thats how the world works. Even in democratic societies. People dont steal, murder... because of the fear of getting caught and being sent to prison. Without fear every society will colapse because people will see no boundaries.

[deleted] • 1 point • 23 August, 2018 06:58 AM

Only if you suddenly take away boundaries at our current state. If you have a society which was from the beginning "anarchy" it would work perfectly.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 07:31 AM

Just to add something I forgot. Peer pressure of course shouldnt be the Main reason. It should be your believe. But peer pressure sure helps with that.

HotStickySemen 1 points 1 August, 2018 10:35 PM* **[recovered]**

If that piece of skin prevents you from having a religious and spiritual life,let's just say that you don't have enough faith and belief.

thomascoopers • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 11:41 PM

Lol I love you disillusioned cutfags. Always rejuvenates my breath.

otszx • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 04:33 PM

Had to get circed because of phimosis. Only had sex once with my foreskin and it hurt and I couldn't finish.

roosterinflight 1 points 1 August, 2018 07:09 PM **[recovered]**

Outlier argument. Phinosis affects few men, and is usually correctable without surgery.

Your argument doesn't support circumcision for the vast majority of males.

I don't understand why people can't learn how to debate properly.

otszx • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 07:40 PM

Not trying to justify circumcision. Multiple urologists told me that it was the only way to cure it for me, of course I would have liked to keep my foreskin.

drink_tea_with_me • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 05:29 PM

What am I gonna do? Get un-circumcised? If I theoretically had the opportunity to get it undone, I simply wouldn't. I like how it looks compared to the...alternative. I guess I should count myself lucky that nothing bad happened. I'll consider this research if I ever have a son, but my personal experience will outweigh anything outlined here. Good post though.

HotStickySemen 1 points 1 August, 2018 10:32 PM* [recovered]

You've never experienced how an uncircumcised penis feels like. Why would you immediately refuse it without trying since you're judging by experience?

drink_tea_with_me • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 10:52 PM

I'm just saying I like the clean look better. I agree, that's the only thing I can base my opinion off of.

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 August, 2018 09:04 PM

What am I gonna do? Get un-circumcised? If I theoretically had the opportunity to get it undone, I simply wouldn't.

When posed with hypotheticals like this, I always ask myself, if I could drink a magic potion to change x or y about myself, assuming I was sure there were no side effects, would I take it? In this case, I would. Why not? Given the choice between a potion to fix my penis or one to make it bigger, I'd go with the former without a second thought, and it's not even like I'm huge.

nearedge • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 03:20 PM

Does the percentage represent the amount of foreskin removed?

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 03:22 PM

Which line are you asking this question about? Can you quote it?

TheAfroNinja 1 points 1 August, 2018 03:47 PM [recovered]

Circumcision can cause HIV then eventually AIDS? Didn't know HIV was caused by bacteria...

-Fidelio-[S] • 5 points • 1 August, 2018 03:53 PM

You misread. There is a (false) claim that circumcision helps prevent HIV.

bannedbynewsmods1 • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 04:36 PM

A side reflect of being uncircumcised is that girls want to fuck without a condom

ricoue • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 07:53 PM

<https://stonetoss.com/comic/cutting-corners/>

<https://stonetoss.com/comic/flesh-and-blood/>

So that this doesn't get counted as a low effort comment, can you give me a solid source where it says why and how he was removed from Harvard? The claim that he was removed for the linked video seems a little outlandish.

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 07:58 PM

See under the youtube video.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 09:44 PM

Nah I got cut when I was 16, I had medical reasons needing it done

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 10:19 PM

Did you notice a big change in penis sensitivity?

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 10:41 PM

I was pretty much a virgin before that so I wont lie and say yes or no. I just know how much that tight foreskin hurt all my life and with one snip, that problem went away forever. I also pretty mjch made the decision myself, my parents were against it, they wouldnt believe me when I said it hurt ALOT

memamu76 • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 11:14 PM

It's a good point you raise; or as a child you were in pain, aware of what was happening and gave your consent.

Discussion with senior medical consultant yesterday: circumcisions for medical reasons are done to make the child (or adult) have less/no pain and so they can wash when showering.

Crucially, they're usually done when you're a child - not a baby.

There is also a group of men aged 17-21 who opt to have a circumcision so that sex isn't painful, but they make this decision as a consenting adults. This happened to two friends at uni: fully agree with their decision to do it as adults.

VoidInvincible • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 10:04 PM

I was circumcized. Am I fucked? Am I less of a man for it?

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 11:50 PM

What is the default in the USA ? Do you have to tell your doctors not to cut or do they do it unless you tell them not to (for your infant son).

allSmallThings • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 02:01 PM

80% of male babies are still cut.

Some places still do it without asking, but usually papers have to be signed.

Many vulnerable parents are pressured by doctors and nurses. See the other comments in this post.

bradwbowman 1 points 2 August, 2018 12:04 AM **[recovered]**

My dick is circumcised and it works very well. I am very curious how having a foreskin would be however.

EdmondDaunts • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 07:10 PM

The Philippines doesn't circumcise babies. They wait until they are 12 or 13. It's a "rite of passage".

Most UK men aren't circumcised.

DubbleFUPAwitCheez • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 08:40 PM

Ugh, only animals and babies illicit emotions of sympathy from me so the ptsd/seizures/etc got it out of me. I already was considering not doing it if I had a son but I think that decided it. Worst case I will always say, if it's important to him when he's older he can do it himself.

Rocopoco • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 04:59 PM

Conclusion everything is evil and has his hidden agenda. I'm gonna leave this sub reddit

TimRusso 1 points 3 August, 2018 07:51 PM **[recovered]**

I've had sex with the same woman cut/uncut. Not many men can say that. Sex felt marginally better uncut. I chose to get circumcised as a teen because I was made fun when I was younger and it made me insecure.

Nobody wants to be the only one in the locker room who's dick looks different. I really think that's what perpetuates it.

-Fidelio-[S] • 2 points • 3 August, 2018 07:53 PM

A question if you don't mind me asking: Did you have sex with or without condom with her?

WholesomeAwesome • 1 point • 3 August, 2018 10:44 PM

Is information about circumcision readily available?

this is an important heading. same wiht other subjects and is a very important point when every nigger starts asking for sources

MilkMoney111 • 1 point • 6 August, 2018 02:05 AM

I'm just giving my own anecdotal input here so take it as you will, not meant to discredit your work on this post, just offering a different viewpoint...

My mother chose not to snip me when I was a baby after seeing my brother go through it. I was hypersensitive on the glans and could not tolerate any stimulation where the foreskin was pulled back.

At the age of 15 I had attempted sex with a girl and it was so uncomfortable I could not even stick it in without cringing away by the foreskin being retracted as I tried to stick it in.

At 16 years old I made the decision to get circumcised and was able to have insurance cover it. I much prefer it as my glans is no longer hyper sensitive and I can have sex without any discomfort whatsoever. I think it feels much better and looks much more pleasing to me.

Again, just personal experience.

stevemunoz117 • 2 points • 6 August, 2018 10:26 PM

Its normal for the foreskin to hurt at that age. Its skin after all so in essence the older and more sexual you become (the more you use your tool) the more flexible the foreskin becomes. You need to give it time to "stretch". Sort of like breaking in a new pair of shoes.

After that initial period you would've been fine. But hey, different strokes for different folks. (No pun intended)

[deleted] • 1 point • 6 August, 2018 01:54 PM

Circumcision is bad, but for those of us who cannot undo it, take some comfort in the fact that many men who are circumcised as adults say sex afterwards is relatively similar. The non-scientificallly studied hypothesized reasoning is that, if you don't have a foreskin, you can't feel it anyways--but you can feel what you do have. And you may have less nerves in what you do have, but those nerves are concentrated in a smaller area, so they're stimulated to reach a similar level of pleasure.

There's also thinking that if you're circumcised as a baby then the brain will re-wire the penis nerve receptors to allocate more space to, again, what you do have rather than what you don't. So I advocate heavily against circumcision as I've always wished I could experience "true" sex, but in all likelihood, we aren't missing out on a whole lot.

SuperduperCooper23 • 1 point • 7 August, 2018 06:18 AM

I've restored my foreskin like the OP mentioned. To give some comparison, sex is probably 10x more pleasurable than before I restored and I still enjoyed sex a lot before.

The difference is that the foreskin keeps the head moist and sensitive (it's supposed to be an internal organ), and

the foreskin protects the head from uncomfortable rubbing during sex so the experience is pure pleasure.

RPInjectionToTheVein • 1 point • 7 August, 2018 11:14 AM

Uncircumcised born in the USA cause parents were European. What's with this happening in hospitals without consent? Would really like to avoid that for my boys when the time comes.

[deleted] • 1 point • 9 August, 2018 05:11 AM

Here's how I've tried to explain being uncircumcised to people who are. It might sound a bit dumb, but we do also know what it feels like to be uncircumcised in a way. At some point in an uncut mans life our dicks get stuck with the foreskin rolled back for a bit and the helmet dries out. When that happens we sort of know what it feels like. You cut men can't relate to us because you're never getting it back.

The closest comparison for somebody who doesnt have a foreskin is if you pull your tounge out of your mouth and hold it there until it dries out. You still have feeling with your tounge, but its feels weird and numb. Thats kinda what it feels like when your uncut dick dries out, you can feel shit but it's reduced.

I believe there is one major advantage to being cut though, without a condom you can go through a hell of a fucking and not damage your dick(Im assuming). There have been a few times where my foreskin got super worn and red because of a massive fuck session, felt like it was going to tear at one point. This can also happen if a girl starts by riding you and the outside of her pussy isn't wet enough yet, as she might force it and do some damage.

Also you have to be way more careful with hygiene. I piss in the stall if I can, just to dry things off with some paper because that shit gets stinky if you don't clean it properly.

If I have a son I wouldn't have him cut but there are certainly advantages to it.

www • 1 point • 9 August, 2018 11:12 AM

Circumcision is a semite tradition.

The reason for US to adquire this tradition is because it had been populated by ortodox protestants aka quakers/menonites, and those had strong views on masturbation.

While I generally adhere to this post I would point one advantage of having a keratinized glans: female stimulation is stronger, and ejaculation control is easier is the middle of a female vaginal contraction.

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 9 August, 2018 01:03 PM

female stimulation is stronger, and ejaculation control is easier is the middle of a female vaginal contraction.

The studies I've read so far indicate lower female sexual pleasure and more female orgasm difficulty with a circumcised partner. Do you have any studies that indicate otherwise?

www • 1 point • 9 August, 2018 01:09 PM*

Nope, just wild tells from SOME females, I'm myself uncut, and haven't seen much dicks in my life: I've been telled the glans collar seems to raise out with keratinisation on circumcised pals. The same women, however, also told me it seems to change the way mens are making love for something harsher, thats not the taste of everyone. But do YOU have contradicting refs?

-Fidelio-[S] • 2 points • 9 August, 2018 01:19 PM

There's this one from the OP:

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21672947>

Male circumcision and sexual function in men and women: a survey-based, cross-sectional study in Denmark.

Circumcision was associated with frequent orgasm difficulties in Danish men and with **a range of frequent sexual difficulties in women, notably orgasm difficulties, dyspareunia and a sense of incomplete sexual needs fulfilment.**

www • 1 point • 9 August, 2018 01:22 PM

The male part seems plausible, the female part seems subjective (circumcision in Denmark isn't common). Yeah, probably difficult to draw a clear line here.

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 9 August, 2018 01:31 PM

Since for some reason negative effects of circumcision can not be studied in Canada or the United States because that would be "unethical", European and Korean studies are the only ones you're going to get on the subject.

That coverup tells me more than any study could.

jamesoll • 1 point • 9 August, 2018 08:39 PM

I had a partial circumcision due to very tight foreskin of which would not be fixed through creams and stretching. Sucks for me. But i wasn't fully circumcised... a bit of my skin still glides over my head.

jamesoll • 1 point • 9 August, 2018 09:03 PM

How does having a circumcised penis effect a woman's orgasm though? Makes no sense.

allSmallThings • 1 point • 11 August, 2018 10:24 PM

Your argument was also used to support FGM, which is, of course, banned in most countries.

morval1310 • 1 point • 18 August, 2018 12:03 AM

Man this gets me depressed as fuck.

Yekelton • 0 points • 1 August, 2018 06:50 PM

I was circumcised at the age of 30. One reason why is that I got a yeast infection when I was about 25, which the doctor told me wouldn't have happened if I were circumcised. But the main reason (unrelated to the yeast infection) was that it got extremely uncomfortable during sex as the years went on. Even after getting in the habit of using lube each time, my foreskin still ended up getting slight tears in it. It eventually ended up as what looked like a paper cut forming a ring around my penis. It was very painful, every time I touched myself, even when I went to pee. It was especially painful when I got hard and the cut would stretch out and separate. I tried to let it heal several times, for months at a time, but each subsequent cut was even worse. It was obvious that the problem wasn't going to get better on its own.

I had no choice but to get snipped. It was the best thing I ever did. Sex feels better, my penis looks better, everything is more comfortable. I remember there being sensitivity in the foreskin and I thought sex would be less physically stimulating after I got snipped but that isn't the case at all. It is, without a doubt, a myth that sex feels not as good for circumcised people.

I was against circumcision before this, I didn't see any medical reasons for it and I didn't understand how it's able to be done without consent. But now I trust that there clearly ARE medical reasons for it. It's not something that was "made up" to make money or whatever. Life is much better now. I have a friend with a very similar

story (he got circumcised when he was 18). People really need to be more open minded about this issue, there are two sides to the story.

MoDuReddit • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 09:33 PM

I was circumcised at the age of 30.

So, you weren't mutilated by non-medically trained person when you <1 year old? Than you've completely missed the point. You can tear off ears and limbs if you want to, just don't do it on kids.

BankruptAce5 • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 05:25 PM

I am circumcised and I love it. Never had any problems, and I like that I don't have all the loose skin. Also, not bothered for a second that the most sensitive part of my body isn't present. I have enough fun just the way it is.

allSmallThings • 2 points • 2 August, 2018 01:49 PM

That's great! At the same time, please don't do it to your children.

www.doctorsopposingcircumcision.org

[deleted] • -1 points • 1 August, 2018 01:29 PM

Anecdote: Recently fucked an older married woman, claims I'm her second. She was prattling on about how uncircumcised (me) feels 'so strange, weird, feel all that skin!'. Her husband was cut so it was a big difference for her. This was after sex when the boner had died down. She didn't seem to complain during the reaming. Also first time it'd ever come up, so either all the other women I've fucked had no problem with it, or uncut was all they'd know. I'm not sure if it's common here in NZ or not.

I'm actually considering adult circumcision. Based on some reading and online reports from guys having had it done in adulthood, they say it makes a major difference in hygiene and.. 'smell and taste' - guessing wife was hovering over the keyboard when they wrote their report. Aesthetically looks better. Plenty of reports going either way that sex is better or worse due to sensitivity, but I can see benefits in both outcomes.

Every article you look at will either be for, against, or show what seems to be the truth - everyone has a different opinion. Studies all seem to come to different conclusions. You can find a wealth of studies and anecdotal evidence to support whichever side of the argument you take.

Brutal Pun Warning

I guess it really is different strokes for different folks.

-Fidelio-[S] • 12 points • 1 August, 2018 02:22 PM*

I hope for your sake that you don't. Some people have no problems with a circumcision and some people have deep and permanent problems as a result of it. I know it's hard who you should trust, why should you trust me, a random stranger, over another random stranger?

Please do one thing for me. Watch the full video.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FCuy163srRc>

I mean it's your penis to do with as you please. But you should take at least the two hours to get more informed, as you might regret the choice for a lifetime.

Another pun coming

It's just a little tip.

NoDescription4 • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 06:51 PM

<https://img.4plebs.org/boards/pol/image/1405/21/1405210773153.gif>

roonacam • -4 points • 1 August, 2018 11:21 AM

Yah but your penis looks way better

MoDuReddit • 8 points • 1 August, 2018 01:42 PM

I don't know about you, but I leave my dick appreciation for my plates.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 9 points • 1 August, 2018 02:53 PM

It only looks better to you because you're used to it looking that way. You are acculturated or acclimatised.

If you were used to seeing them the other way you'd probably think that way looked better..

Theinternetroll 1 points 1 August, 2018 01:29 PM [recovered]

Why would this be downvoted?

Sure, there might be benefits to being uncircumcised, but who wants a disgusting goopy anteater cock?

I'd rather have a dick girls like to suck than a dick that feels a little better to jack off.

Ffs guys wake the fuck up

digg_is_awesome • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 06:38 PM

If a girl won't blow you for not being mutilated then get a new girl.

MoDuReddit • -1 points • 1 August, 2018 01:44 PM

who wants a disgusting goopy anteater cock?

In this context of discussion, this is equivalent of saying "who wants to cut off half their dick and not being able to cum" when talking about circumcised men.

Ffs guys wake the fuck up

Do as I say, not as I do.

Theinternetroll 1 points 1 August, 2018 01:46 PM [recovered]

Half?? My dick was 16 inches before?

And I already cum too fast, so sensitivity isn't an issue

And my dick looks great, so that also isn't an issue

MoDuReddit • 5 points • 1 August, 2018 01:47 PM

You surely are living up to your /u.

RoninUnderground 1 points 1 August, 2018 02:31 PM [recovered]

purely subjective, firstly most women who want your dick won't care if it's cut or not, secondly some women don't even know the fucking difference

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 02:54 PM

I know a guy who was rejected for having an uncut one.

I am cut but was never asked; personally I would prefer to be uncut.

PS2Errol • 4 points • 5 August, 2018 12:46 PM

Probably a lucky escape from the girl in question - who was presumably an abhorrent specimen.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 1 point • 6 August, 2018 05:21 AM

She was an aerobics instructor. Great body. Owned her own home at the age of 28. Made good money. Worked a regular job as a food photographer during the day then did aerobics at night. Driven and successful.

At one stage on her birthday he gave her some roses and a copy of the script from a movie he knew she loved. Her reply was this:

"Please don't take this the wrong way but..when someone gives me a gift I like it to actually be worth something..."

He was really upset and talking to me about it and I advised him straight away to dump her....before he did though she dumped him for the penis thing.

Honestly though he's better off without her. I knew her too, I went to the same gym. In a moment of self-reflection she told me "one day I'll probably wind up a lonely old maid in a house on my own.." and I thought, yes you will. BUT you'll deserve it.

Didn't tell her that though.

2914iwiK • -7 points • 1 August, 2018 11:16 AM

Most studies show that circumcision has little impact on male sexual pleasure. It really does not matter whether you get it done or not.

Personally I am glad I got circumcised, it looks better. And if you lack sensitivity I recommend less wanking and more sex.

TheDevilsAdvokaat • 3 points • 1 August, 2018 02:52 PM

Not what I heard; I heard most studies showed there was quite a difference.

-Fidelio-[S] • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 11:43 AM

Most studies show that circumcision has little impact on male sexual pleasure

I do not think this is true. Source?

2914iwiK • 3 points • 4 August, 2018 08:48 AM

I do not think this is true. Source?

I have no bias here. You can find studies that show decrease sensitivity, and find studies that show no decrease. At the end of the day this debate is largely driven by your feelings.

If you want to believe that you were wronged because you were circumcised, so be it, you can be angry. You can indulge your feelings all you want. But the science does not support your feelings in this area.

And, since I quite hate discussions without facts, I will link a few studies for you.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17155977>

RESULTS: There were no significant differences in sexual drive, erection, ejaculation, and

ejaculation latency time between circumcised and uncircumcised men. Masturbatory pleasure decreased after circumcision in 48% of the respondents, while 8% reported increased pleasure. Masturbatory difficulty increased after circumcision in 63% of the respondents but was easier in 37%. About 6% answered that their sex lives improved, while 20% reported a worse sex life after circumcision.

Study shows decrease in pleasure.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18086100>

Read the study. There was no decrease in sexual pleasure for ADULT CIRCUMCISION.

Just google it. You can find lots of studies, and they will go both ways. You cannot conclude one way or the other, unless you use your feelings.

Cheers mate. /u/quicksiver is also right here.

-Fidelio-[S] • 3 points • 4 August, 2018 09:31 AM

I will analyse both sources in separate posts. Here is the study about sexual pleasure that you mentioned. I didn't just read the abstract, I read the study itself and I'll give you my thoughts on the study.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18086100>

This is a study done in Uganda. I suppose that is one of the few places in the world where you can have a large study and randomize who gets to have an adult circumcision, as they did for the study. Besides south-saharan africans being genetically substantially different from people with asian or european genetics (which are both much closer to each other).

But that's just my preliminary observations. If I look at how they judged "sexual satisfaction", it is notable that they have it at 98% for uncircumcised and 99.9% at circumcised. In any case, those percentages seem high for either group, so let's look at what it's based on.

Another notable point: 72% has not had a high school education, which explains the juvenile level questions that the results are based on.

The single question that they're basing the result on "no decrease in sexual pleasure" is

Over the past 6 months, how would you generally rate your satisfaction with sexual intercourse?
Do you feel; (PROMPTED)

Very satisfied 1

Satisfied 2

Dissatisfied 3

Very dissatisfied 5

No response 9

Other (specify) 6

And they're not publishing the full results of this either; they're combining "very satisfied" and "satisfied", so even if satisfaction decreased somewhat, we wouldn't know from the results they published. Let's say they were not being intentionally deceptive with this, a single question is a very poor way to judge this kind of thing on; typically you want to have a couple of questions that cover it. The other questions all had a 98% result of the first option given in the questionnaire, which may mean they were just trying to get through the questionnaire as quickly as possible. Which given that

they had no high school education, they would not likely see the value of such study and were likely given an incentive to participate.

But even if you discard every single thing I wrote above; then a final glaring point remains; both groups had to wear a condom for every sexual encounter for the duration of the study.

2914iwK • 1 point • 10 August, 2018 11:09 AM

>This is a study done in Uganda.

Right. Demonize the location, rather than focus on the results. That is a common tactic to ignore science and stick to feelings.

>Besides south-saharan africans being genetically substantially different from people with asian or european genetics

Still unrelated to sexual pleasure. Are you really telling me that sexual pleasure is based on race?

> Another notable point: 72% has not had a high school education

Again, has absolutely nothing to do with sexual pleasure. Your level of education does not impact how your dick feels.

>But even if you discard every single thing I wrote above; then a final glaring point remains; both groups had to wear a condom for every sexual encounter for the duration of the study.

You ignored the results of the study for irrelevant reasons that have nothing to do with sexual pleasure.

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 10 August, 2018 11:54 AM

Both groups had to wear condoms for every sexual encounter, so each of these points are void in the first place.

Not that I believe that they actually did use condoms every time, but that's another point.

-Fidelio-[S] • 2 points • 4 August, 2018 09:46 AM

In this post I'll analyse the other source you've provided.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed-/17155977>

RESULTS: There were no significant differences in sexual drive, erection, ejaculation, and ejaculation latency time between circumcised and uncircumcised men. Masturbatory pleasure decreased after circumcision in 48% of the respondents, while 8% reported increased pleasure. Masturbatory difficulty increased after circumcision in 63% of the respondents but was easier in 37%. About 6% answered that their sex lives improved, while 20% reported a worse sex life after circumcision.

Okay, so from that I have to take that there was as you previously claimed:

circumcision has little impact on male sexual pleasure. It really does not matter whether you get it done or not.

But the very quote you give shows that sexual pleasure did decrease. It decreased in a huge way for masturbation. And a larger percent reported that their sex life worsened after circumcision.

So here's another quote from that same study. Their conclusion in full:

There was a decrease in masturbatory pleasure and sexual enjoyment after circumcision,

indicating that adult circumcision adversely affects sexual functioning many men, possibly because of complications of the surgery and a loss of nerve endings

And let's consider the following; they only selected sexually active men for this study. But that's also getting a non-average sample, because we don't know which circumcised men would have been sexually active if they had been uncircumcised.

Finally one more quote from the same study:

However, circumcised men were more than three times more likely to report less enjoyable sex lives after circumcision than better sex lives (28 vs eight men). While decreased sensation was the most frequently cited reason (21 of 28 men) for a less enjoyable sex life, complaints about the physical effects of circumcision on their penises and consequent adverse effects on sex life were also prominent (13 of 28; multiple complaints were separately counted)

So I think these two are very poor sources to support the idea that "Most studies show that circumcision has little impact on male sexual pleasure", because one of the two studies shows that male sexual pleasure does decrease as a result of circumcision and the other is a study that is best thrown in the trash for more than a few reasons.

2914iwiK • 1 point • 10 August, 2018 11:11 AM

>because one of the two studies shows that male sexual pleasure does decrease as a result of circumcision and the other is a study that is best thrown in the trash for more than a few reasons.

You trashed the study that doesn't conform to your viewpoints (feelings), while accepting the study that agrees with you.

-Fidelio-[S] • 1 point • 10 August, 2018 11:38 AM

No, I trashed the one that had shit methodology and didn't even check the methodology of the other, because the results proved the opposite of what was claimed.

You are just making this personal feels argument, because you can not address any of the points I've made.

2914iwiK • 1 point • 10 August, 2018 12:17 PM

I did address all your points. I am waiting for your response.

-Fidelio-[S] • 2 points • 4 August, 2018 09:48 AM

Let my response to these sources not mislead you: I appreciate that you're taking a factual approach to this. I am always open to the idea that I might be wrong and I place value in the fact that you looked and sourced studies to support your position. It is far more valuable than having any kind of shouting match about these things.

I think if you read the studies carefully and think about them, you will not find them to be a good support of the original position you took. There may be better studies out there that would support it. If you find them, I hope you will continue to share them.

BangFlashOut • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 04:27 PM

I myself am circumcised never really felt I was missing anything although I wouldn't know if I was. Truth is hard to swallow

-Fidelio-[S] • 2 points • 1 August, 2018 04:35 PM

Despite the many things that you can suffer due to this, there are other people who do not suffer any of the consequences. It's like jumping out of a 2nd floor window. You shouldn't do it. It's dangerous. You'll likely get permanent damage. Although for a good percentage they'll have not a single injury.

rubenescaray • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 05:10 PM

From someone who has been circumcised since birth but for a medical problem.

This is true, my life would be so different if I weren't circumcised.

Most pleasure in sexual encounters either solo or with a partner comes from stimulation of the penis's head, without foreskin we have lost a huge part of our sexuality.

knowledgelover94 • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 09:57 PM

So what exactly are the motives for this global conspiracy? A plot to decrease male pleasure and sell foreskin? Or do you think scientists thought it would help but it doesn't?

-Fidelio-[S] • 5 points • 2 August, 2018 12:48 AM

1. It is mandated by Jewish religion
2. It is very profitable
3. It is a tradition of fathers wanting their kids to look like themselves
4. It is an effort to reduce sexual pleasure

UpYourSMVorDieTryin • 2 points • 2 August, 2018 04:19 PM

To prevent bonding, that's the goal.

When you have a foreskin you make love, when you're circumcised you "fuck" and this is not blue pill shit.

I wanted to make a thread about this, read "sex as nature intended it" this book should be in the sidebar. The most important part of the 1st post was this: "It is hard for a woman with whom an uncircumcised man has had sexual intercourse to separate from him"

SMR11 • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 12:41 AM

Every comment on here is "derppp it helps with masturbation!!!"

Yet, anyone worth their salt on here knows the merits of things like no fap, semen retention, etc

cyberkrist • -4 points • 1 August, 2018 02:59 PM

Anecdotal - Circumcised for 42 years. No health issues, no PTSD, no sexual discomfort, like sex, women keep coming back so....

Another non-issue for our way too bored society! And some of you comparing FGM to male circumcision need to do some homework. FGM is the equivalent of cutting your penis OFF!

-Fidelio-[S] • 10 points • 1 August, 2018 03:07 PM

Clitoris has less nerve endings than the frenulum and the frenulum is part of the foreskin that is removed.

Maybe you should take your own advice and do some homework. For example watch the video I linked.

Or this quick one that compares male and female circumcision

eyewant • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 03:58 PM

Why argue which one is worse? Why cant people realize men have less rights in this regard. You cant even pinprick a girls vagina, but you can lop off an entire foreskin. Also the clitoris cutting fgm is rare.

PS2Errol • 2 points • 5 August, 2018 12:44 PM

Frenelum contains the most densely packed nerves of any part of the male anatomy.

NeverGiveIn56 • -3 points • 1 August, 2018 07:21 PM

So I disagree, but not completely. I just don't think this is as big of deal as this thread makes it. I know plenty of American men who have fantastic sex lives, are circumcized, don't have PTSD, and live very happy lives who "got cut."

I think we just need more information, like you said. We need real multiple studies conducted by financially independent parties. You show some sources but like the Mod said (thank you for the disclaimer, good work Mods) they aren't definitive.

Honestly it sounds very similar to others who scream that doctors are evil and cancer is a conspiracy and can be cured by juicing vegetables. Who cares if they sell the foreskins, it's called economies if scope. They would literally be throwing money away if they didn't. But I know I know... I'm an autist because I disagree with the dogma in this thread.

Here's the bottom line problem I see: we won't ever get the research we need on this because as you said, it's a "man" problem and men don't deserve an opinion in today's world. Doctors operate according to the scientific method, and untill we can get definitive proof of a theory that supplants the current procedure, it won't change, and that's not bad. However you may very well be right, and if the research proves it, I will happily not circumcize my future sons. Untill then, I'll stick to letting them decide when they're older.

PS someone earlier said that religion is the reason hospitals still circumcize today. I'm sorry, are you kidding me? Religion has been kicked out everywhere that makes decisions for the masses. Don't be a dumbass.

-Fidelio-[S] • 6 points • 1 August, 2018 07:50 PM

You won't get anything definitive. Because eric clopper was fired from Harvard for the video above. A canadian group was stopped and threatened with litigation for wanting to study adverse effects of male circumcision. When they tried to make circumcision on babies illegal in the netherlands it was stopped by the jewish lobby. When they tried in iceland it was stopped by the one rabbi they have. Denmark is in the process of making it illegal. Not many people will want to risk their medical careers to study adverse effects of male circumcision.

Xexitar • 1 point • 1 August, 2018 07:41 PM

Why is this post even here? What's wrong with being circumcized? I've been circumcized since I was 15. Absolutely zero issues.

Is this literally just because the female equivalent is called female genital mutilation? We're starting to sound like fucking feminists if we're actually complaining about this.

allSmallThings • 4 points • 2 August, 2018 02:17 PM

Glad you are well! The point is, don't do this to little babies.

Did you ever consider that your anxiety might have something to do with circumcision?

www.doctorsopposingcircumcision.org

Xexitar • 1 point • 2 August, 2018 09:04 PM

I had mine cut because the foreskin was too tight. My dick is way more sensitive now and feels great. There's really no issue with circumcisions. Except babies I guess. There should be some element of choice involved.

wiffofass 1 points 1 August, 2018 11:05 AM* **[recovered]**

I've always wished I was circumcised so that my dick would look like in porn vids.

allSmallThings • 5 points • 2 August, 2018 02:15 PM

If you pull back your foreskin it looks the same as uncircumcised. No cutting needed.

[deleted] • 2 points • 6 August, 2018 08:02 PM

Go and get circumcised, then, if it means that much to you. If fixing my penis were as simple as that, then I'd have done it years ago, I wouldn't even care if it hurt.