

# AlreadyRed

## Top Posts

compiled by /u/dream-hunter

[www.TheRedArchive.com](http://www.TheRedArchive.com)

• • •

## **Top most upvoted posts made in the subreddit /r/AlreadyRed in a single PDF file**

### Disclaimer:

I am not the creator of the posts; all I did is compile them into a single PDF file. Every single post is credited by its author below the title of the post, along with a link directly to the post.

Please redistribute the PDF file to as many people as possible, as the information contained is priceless and future generations should have access to it. If at any time the PDF file is lost, it can be officially downloaded from [TheRedArchive.com](http://TheRedArchive.com).

Enjoy, and if you are a fan of red pill, don't forget to check my website [TheRedArchive.com](http://TheRedArchive.com) for an archive of content related to The Red Pill community including many subreddits and blogs.

Best Regards,

[/u/dream-hunter](#)

May 12, 2023

• • •

## Table of Contents

---

107 upvotes - Cry me a fucking river...	11
86 upvotes - Some people will never "Get it" [Xpost] [Now 30% longer!]	12
83 upvotes - Entrepreneurship	15
76 upvotes - The Red Pill Handbook	20
67 upvotes - Hamster Baiting	21
59 upvotes - I really want to discuss and study the Gervais Principle. Everything else just seems trivial now. Could we start with distinguishing different types of talk?	22
57 upvotes - Women are pre-programmed radar beacons for male SMV. It's about Survival.	24
54 upvotes - On the tacit understanding that you want to fuck her.	26
53 upvotes - Oversaturation of new members within Redpill community	27
50 upvotes - Society likes to ignore a woman's past sexual behavior when judging her character. Don't fall into this trap.	29
50 upvotes - Analysis of recent OkCupid Trends post (RP truths abound)	32
50 upvotes - [Meta] What is the "redpill" to you? Is it even about "sexual strategy" anymore?	34
49 upvotes - Girls Are Simple	35
48 upvotes - Everything you need to know about shit tests	39
47 upvotes - [r/sex best of] Kegels	41
45 upvotes - There is a "new masculinity" wherein women & betas re-label traditional markers of male success as "sexist" or "divisive". It's a comforting mechanism by which losers will try to redefine failure as success and success as wrong.	42
44 upvotes - Ditching Friends	45
44 upvotes - On why rad fems are social deviants, why they don't get it, why they bitch, why the world is rough, and why not to be like them. [Long]	49
44 upvotes - Worked with a strict feminist female friend today...	52
44 upvotes - Why do women take general statements as personal attacks? [Serious]	53
42 upvotes - All men with game are just good salesmen	55
41 upvotes - Four major languages spoken in organizations among Sociopaths, Losers and the Clueless: Posturetalk, Babytalk, Gametalk & Powertalk	57
41 upvotes - An Assortment of Red Pill Reports/Studies (PDFs) [TRP X-Post]	58
41 upvotes - Being called a "submissive" woman has become a dirty word due to sexism from feminists and bluepill society	61
41 upvotes - Powergame	63
40 upvotes - Byronic vs Dark triad.	65
40 upvotes - Spergs, Cynics, and Manipulators: How PowerTalk impacts the lifecycle of cultures.	67
40 upvotes - Red Pill Axioms	70
39 upvotes - How much is too much?	72
38 upvotes - Women will Beauty/Swole-shame while Men offer Praise (How men & women differ in their approach to higher status entities)	73
37 upvotes - The Nuances of Rationalising the Dark Triad Personality Type	75

36 upvotes - Women's deep insecurities that men forget about. ....	78
36 upvotes - The evolution of The Red Pill .....	80
36 upvotes - Erotic Red Pill Fan Fiction .....	82
35 upvotes - TRP is going to be the next In Crowd .....	84
34 upvotes - The "Low-Status Alpha"...a 3rd type of man besides traditional alpha vs beta .....	85
33 upvotes - She Will Never Respect Me .....	87
33 upvotes - Dark Triad Traits Are Beneficial in Everyday Life .....	89
32 upvotes - "I'll be your nemesis" [xpost /r/trp discussion] .....	91
32 upvotes - How Women Argue .....	93
32 upvotes - advertising this subreddit .....	94
32 upvotes - How to Apply The 48 Laws of Power (Become More Effective in Your Manipulations) .....	95
32 upvotes - Developing your inner strength by increasing abundance, an alternative to the fake- it-till-you-make-it approach .....	98
31 upvotes - Hatefuck .....	99
31 upvotes - Pleasureman Explains The Decline .....	102
31 upvotes - Get Them To Invest In You (X-post from /r/TheRedPill) .....	104
31 upvotes - The Danger of MGTOW .....	106
31 upvotes - Misplaced expectations: "I'm angry that women are boring, not honorable, & don't have solitary pursuits." .....	107
30 upvotes - Resilience, the universal key to being successful. ....	109
30 upvotes - A philosophical view on self-improvement [X-post TRP] .....	111
30 upvotes - Red Pill: The End Game .....	112
29 upvotes - Discussion on The Gervais Principle. (x/post) .....	114
29 upvotes - Narcissism and Game .....	116
29 upvotes - Hypogency in Women: "How much ability and responsibility do women have in regulating their own behavior?" .....	117
29 upvotes - Players vs Spectators in the Sexual Marketplace & Game .....	119
28 upvotes - Chicken A La Elam .....	121
28 upvotes - The death of the hipster could be the rise of a return to a more masculine culture -- one that will find men venturing deeper into a RP mentality .....	122
28 upvotes - The progression of anal sex .....	123
27 upvotes - she's Bored and I'm Boring .....	125
27 upvotes - On TRP's "concern trolling" and a few other things. ....	127
27 upvotes - Oscar Wilde's Red Pill. ....	129
27 upvotes - A fun glimpse into how females behave around dark triad tropes. ....	131
26 upvotes - Do you live by a code of morals, and if so, why? .....	135
26 upvotes - Women are like hard drugs .....	136
26 upvotes - [LTR Game] Small Tasks .....	138
26 upvotes - Women's Primordial Fear .....	139
25 upvotes - Serial Monogamy .....	140
25 upvotes - Rethinking Emotions .....	141
25 upvotes - The Feminist Thought Police .....	142
25 upvotes - Korean commentator insightfully debunks feminist lies, propaganda and blatant	

misuse of statistics (Tons of applicability to the West) .....	143
25 upvotes - Woman love to be validated and beta men will line up to provide this to them. My view on a post on r/theredpill .....	145
25 upvotes - The Power Game .....	146
25 upvotes - Producer and Looter tendencies of the Redpill .....	147
25 upvotes - My favorite video on raw lust/desire. Woman interviews bodybuilder James (flex) Lewis. She can barely hold herself together. Watch the daydreaming, watch the lip bite. Oh, that girl in the pink, that's James' wife. ....	149
25 upvotes - On the Cruelty of Life and Those who Persevere .....	150
24 upvotes - Push-Pull in Business .....	152
24 upvotes - Playing the Hand You're Dealt .....	154
24 upvotes - Women & The Death of Femininity .....	156
24 upvotes - 2 hour interview with Gad Saad (Redpill gems from a professor) XPOST from /r/theredpill .....	157
23 upvotes - The Heart Symbol .....	158
23 upvotes - Dark Triad Psychopathy Q&A .....	159
23 upvotes - Further down the rabbit hole .....	160
23 upvotes - LTR's and Friendship .....	163
23 upvotes - To hell with circumstances, I create opportunities. ....	165
23 upvotes - Become Smarter, Stronger and More Refined .....	166
23 upvotes - For Latin American RPer's there is only one thing: TO WIN. ....	167
22 upvotes - 5 Minutes of Alpha, 50 Years of Pining: Share your stories .....	169
22 upvotes - How to effectively use porn .....	170
22 upvotes - Inspired by /u/illimitableman's post, how do you all fit on this dark triad personality test? .....	172
21 upvotes - she Wants To Cheat .....	173
21 upvotes - The Different Types of Manipulator: from read it out of a book, to untamed psychopath, to actualised master. ....	176
21 upvotes - Understanding The Dark Triad - Q&A (Part 1) .....	178
21 upvotes - Fear & The Gervais Principle (x-post /r/theredpill) .....	179
21 upvotes - Central hub of MGTOW shuts down. We were right (surprise). ....	181
21 upvotes - The Red Pill "End Game" .....	183
21 upvotes - What's your long game? .....	185
20 upvotes - Do Nothing Which Is Of No Use .....	186
20 upvotes - [Meta] Maintaining quality in the Red Pill community as we grow .....	187
20 upvotes - Reliance on Game and Assumed Advantages Will Blind You .....	188
20 upvotes - The mods are tinkering with the mod bot .....	189
20 upvotes - Therapy And Women Who Don't Want Children .....	190
20 upvotes - You're all fucking naturals .....	191
19 upvotes - A Swift Kick in the Ass .....	192
19 upvotes - The issue of women's special treatment in the military, from a Korean perspective .....	193
19 upvotes - The Game of Power .....	194
19 upvotes - Putin, Shit Tests, and World Politics .....	196
19 upvotes - Feel like preachin' to the choir. ....	197

19 upvotes - Cuckolding other guys' gfs/wives is fine. Sexual strategy is amoral. (Don't be a Bro Knight) .....	199
19 upvotes - Wedding Ring Theory .....	201
18 upvotes - She Wants to Hurt Me .....	202
18 upvotes - Is plate spinning only a short term solution to treat symptoms of a potential oneitis or piss poor behavior in women? .....	204
18 upvotes - LTR game and selling your jizz .....	206
18 upvotes - Generalization and the archetype .....	207
18 upvotes - Woman Had Enough Opportunities to Prove Themselves in he Past .....	209
18 upvotes - Sober game .....	211
18 upvotes - Why Feminists Hate Interracial Dating .....	212
18 upvotes - Russel Brand is easily one of the strongest naturals out there. This is my favorite interview with him when it comes to holding frame like a champ while acting aloof and dominate. ....	213
17 upvotes - Women and psychopaths - A superficial treatise .....	214
17 upvotes - 5 minute sex .....	216
17 upvotes - Manipulation isn't morally wrong. ....	217
17 upvotes - Girls and Bosses .....	218
17 upvotes - Truths About Game That Men Need To Know .....	221
17 upvotes - How to be a Gentleman - TV Show .....	222
17 upvotes - The Fallacy of Gender Gap Mathematics Research .....	223
17 upvotes - [X-Post] 47 Seconds .....	225
17 upvotes - Economic and marketing practices in relation to the sexual market .....	226
17 upvotes - Women of Substance (High Quality RP Women) are MADE, they are NOT born. ....	229
17 upvotes - Power and Violence. Violence is Golden. ....	230
16 upvotes - Faking Confidence .....	231
16 upvotes - The Collapse & The Evolution of Awareness .....	234
16 upvotes - what are traits every man should aspire to have? .....	235
16 upvotes - [Best of TRP dumping] .....	236
16 upvotes - [LTR Game] Disagree and minify/amplify .....	237
16 upvotes - How Law Enforcement Training and Experience Opens A Man's Eyes to The Red Pill .....	238
15 upvotes - Financial Planning .....	240
15 upvotes - why is hate so innate for red pill pickup philosophy? Because it is a defect position within the social contract .....	241
15 upvotes - Practical application of inner game and dark triad .....	243
15 upvotes - Let's talk about implied social contracts, rules, obligations, responsibilities, and duties. ....	245
15 upvotes - Let Women Follow Their Nature .....	248
15 upvotes - "Portals and Flags": Venkatasash Rao on winning arguments and 'seduction' .....	250
15 upvotes - "Happy valentines day babe, I got you a present" .....	252
15 upvotes - Patrice O'Neal on disregarding the implied & conditoned "social contract" between men and women .....	253
14 upvotes - Having Goals .....	254

14 upvotes - Politics and Power .....	255
14 upvotes - Why Women Do Not Make Good CEOs .....	256
14 upvotes - Sudoku Phone/Text Game .....	259
14 upvotes - [Repost] Honest Red Pill Assessment of OK Cupid .....	260
14 upvotes - A Discussion of LTR game, Oneitis, and the "Ideal" woman. ....	262
14 upvotes - When movements become co-opted and lose their purpose, and when will it be too late for TRP? .....	263
14 upvotes - RoK gone Beta. ....	264
14 upvotes - As a followup to redpill80's post, I will share my experience with meditation and its effects on my life and well being. ....	265
13 upvotes - [X-post TRP] Subclinical Primary Psychopathy, but Not Physical Formidability or Attractiveness, Predicts Conversational Dominance in a Zero-Acquaintance Situation ...	267
13 upvotes - Rituals and Drama in Victorian-era (1800s) Courtship -- An academic take on the development of early Shit Tests similar to their contemporary counterpart .....	269
13 upvotes - Book review/summary: The Wisdom of Psychopaths [x-post TRP] .....	270
13 upvotes - Strategy: Switching the relationship dynamic to having your needs met. The "Last Resort Strategy" .....	273
13 upvotes - "The Radical Plan To Eliminate Earth's Predatory Species"; wherein environmentalists want to stop all 'inequality' in nature by eliminating predators .....	274
12 upvotes - Former EC here. One of the mods banned me yesterday for writing a post that was #3 on the front page at the time (and also removed the post). I'm not here to whine. I just want to know what the hell is going on with that sub? .....	275
12 upvotes - Is TRP biased against money and status? .....	277
12 upvotes - Recalling an eg of Female Fear of Rejection and Denial of Cheating .....	278
12 upvotes - A brief evaluation of the usefulness of social media for AR men. ....	279
12 upvotes - Rising with a Leader .....	280
12 upvotes - Jordan Peterson, PhD psychologist and philosopher, explains TRP in 4 minutes. Other highly recommended videos to the side. ....	283
12 upvotes - [Repost] Dominance, not "looks", is sexy .....	284
12 upvotes - [repost] On "shitting where you eat" and the value of multiple social circles .....	288
12 upvotes - Law 01 - Don't Outshine The Master: In-Depth .....	290
12 upvotes - Is biology a flexible imperative? .....	293
11 upvotes - Ecco Hominis! How to become who you want to be. ....	295
11 upvotes - Should salary prospects guide the choice of a college major (and the benefits that came along)? YES .....	297
11 upvotes - A discussion on rationality .....	299
11 upvotes - IRC Channel: #AlreadyRed .....	302
11 upvotes - Red pill and rationality .....	303
10 upvotes - Compliment/Confidence transaction .....	304
10 upvotes - Dressing down and approach ability .....	305
10 upvotes - The dictator's handbook .....	306
10 upvotes - A good poem for exclusivity talks. ....	307
10 upvotes - My thoughts on the 1-10 scale .....	308

10 upvotes - Is there a "gentle" introduction to the concepts? .....	309
10 upvotes - What is game? .....	310
10 upvotes - The Psychology of an intelligent Crazy Bitch aka "Lucifer's Daughter" [X-post from /r/TheRedPill] .....	311
9 upvotes - Most people hate themselves, and how to be different .....	312
9 upvotes - Excerpt from 59 Seconds by Richard Wiseman .....	313
9 upvotes - "Kimchi bitches" (Redpill issues in modern Korea) .....	314
9 upvotes - Do you believe that sex is the underlying motivation for all your endeavors? .....	315
8 upvotes - How do I become more self-interested? .....	316
8 upvotes - To Be or Not To Be...in a LTR? .....	317
8 upvotes - Don't be afraid to fail - Outcome Independence .....	320
8 upvotes - On death and light heartedness .....	321
8 upvotes - Monday Guilt .....	323
8 upvotes - Stanley the psychopath. Excerpts and analysis by Chateau Heartiste from The Mask of Sanity, a book written by American psychiatrist Hervey M. Cleckley, first published in 1941. ....	324
7 upvotes - Everybody has an opinion .....	325
7 upvotes - I think MGTOW, in terms of a sexual lifestyle, is absolute BS. What does AR think? .....	326
7 upvotes - XXL (RVF) on going direct v/s indirect .....	327
7 upvotes - BBC Sex ID test results .....	328
7 upvotes - [Blogspam] My Blog, some good links, some great stuff written by me. ....	329
7 upvotes - Running down the hill versus walking down it. ....	330
6 upvotes - How do similar behaviors affect the male and female? .....	331
6 upvotes - I had a realization today: MRA and TRP understand the same concepts, but MRA are the Jedi's and TRP is the Dark Side .....	332
6 upvotes - Red Pill Failures .....	334
6 upvotes - Is betaness obsolete? .....	335
5 upvotes - SHOCK: Medications effect men and women...DIFFERENTLY .....	336
4 upvotes - Selling snake oil and silver bullets .....	337
4 upvotes - Another fairly mainstream piece about marriage rates and trends although they see the numbers their conclusions are screwed and skewed. ....	338
4 upvotes - My Name is Delicious Tacos, and I'm an Alcoholic .....	339
4 upvotes - TRP and My Girlfriend .....	340
3 upvotes - Common faults with common relationship advice. ....	342
3 upvotes - Krauser releases "Daygame Mastery" .....	343
2 upvotes - Do what the f*ck you want to do .....	344
2 upvotes - How can we save young men stuck on the path of the beta? .....	349
2 upvotes - Dissecting IllimitableMan's post "The Psychopathic Paradigm" .....	350
2 upvotes - Morality is cultural .....	351
1 upvotes - I [31m] told my girlfriend [30f] that she is not a trophy wife or status symbol and that we are similar in attractiveness, she views it as me calling her old and ugly .....	352
1 upvotes - Introduction/ I was Already Red .....	353
1 upvotes - Ancient Greek and Viking Masculinity .....	356
1 upvotes - The "comfort test" shit test .....	357



1 upvotes - "Hell hath no fury like a woman scorned" is a load of bullshit .....	358
1 upvotes - Cucked by an Angel: A Compilation of Cheating Sluts and Male Hamsters .....	359
1 upvotes - The Gentleperson's guide to forum spies .....	360
1 upvotes - Are you Platos escaped prisoner in a world on easy mode? .....	361
1 upvotes - Strategy to get a woman beta buxx? .....	362
1 upvotes - True Success .....	363
1 upvotes - Women carry the DNA of male offspring and past sexual partners in their bloodstream. Providing yet another scientific basis for the male instinct to place higher value on childless virgin women. ....	364
1 upvotes - X-post, DarkEnlightenment: A super-short history of, "Why do people make such a big deal about college?" .....	365
1 upvotes - what is a fair division of household chores if one partner brings in 100% of the income? .....	366
1 upvotes - Women are like pre-programmed radar beacons for male SMV. It's about survival. .....	367
1 upvotes - Love is not a form of currency .....	369
1 upvotes - Peter Griffin: Red Pill Hero (An Analysis and Critique of Mainstream Media) .....	370
1 upvotes - One Hamster to Rule Them All .....	371
1 upvotes - [RP Theory] The hunt for life-long pedestal .....	372
1 upvotes - Wife is Smarter than Me. Feeling Masculine? .....	373
1 upvotes - Be angry, but don't be bitter. ....	374
1 upvotes - Why slut shaming women is justified and slut shaming men is not. ....	375
1 upvotes - 123 .....	376
1 upvotes - Time to take this to the next level. ....	377
1 upvotes - Field Report: Churchian Lulz .....	378
1 upvotes - Early signs you were stoic / RP? .....	379
1 upvotes - Insecurity about dick size .....	380
1 upvotes - This is exhausting. ....	381
1 upvotes - Woman love to be validated and beta men will line up to provide this to them (or "how to troll r/theredpill") .....	382
1 upvotes - TIL that increasing leads by 150% is of no consequence. ....	383
1 upvotes - Why average and above average women (6-8) act like they're hotter than beauties (9-10) can be explained by extrapolating the Dunning Kruger effect .....	384
1 upvotes - Being an omega video game player makes you aggressive :-)	386
1 upvotes - Collection of Redpill (and politically incorrect) Science articles. /r/RedPillScience .....	387
1 upvotes - The Law of Large Numbers, Or How To Succeed .....	388
1 upvotes - Your thoughts on the "Ring of Gyges." .....	389
1 upvotes - TRP is going to be the next In Club .....	390
1 upvotes - What is your opinion on Christopher Hyatt's books ? .....	391
1 upvotes - Holy fuck men, online dating is god awful .....	392
1 upvotes - The Break Up Guide - Hope it helps someone .....	393
1 upvotes - Dead obese woman had so much body fat she set the building on fire during her cremation .....	394

1 upvotes - Ideas behind TRP .....	395
1 upvotes - Killer Instinct and the Screening Mentality - the best way to actually get laid a lot .....	396
1 upvotes - On the implications of powertalk and other language categories. ....	397
1 upvotes - test .....	399
1 upvotes - Age for sexual prime / highest sexual value .....	400
1 upvotes - New subreddit: TrueBluePill .....	401
1 upvotes - test .....	402
1 upvotes - test test .....	403
1 upvotes - "Life in the Fast Lane - Why do sex, drugs, and Rock N' Roll always seem to go together? "; or How Our Sexual Strategies Develop with Gender Differentiation. ....	404
1 upvotes - Just watched House of Cards Season 2 Episode 1. Holy shit if you want to see a dark triad character. ....	405
1 upvotes - Blue Pill -> Red Pill Strategy - 1 week duration - ultimatum - nuclear option. .....	406
1 upvotes - Ideology .....	407
1 upvotes - Dread Game .....	408
1 upvotes - Dark Triad .....	409
1 upvotes - Examining one aspect to the background to human behavior and attraction. ....	410
1 upvotes - Desires .....	411
1 upvotes - Red Pill .....	412
1 upvotes - Analyzing the rational behind dating advice from both sexes .....	413
1 upvotes - what is this subreddit about .....	414
1 upvotes - My Secret To Cold Approach .....	415
1 upvotes - The Core Formula .....	416
1 upvotes - What the hell is so red pill about the Red Pill? .....	417
0 upvotes - Platecount! Why don't we settle the "redpill doesn't work" debate once and for all and start a platesub complete with pictures and numbers? Sharing plate adventures would be fun! .....	420
0 upvotes - Don't respect my orientation .....	421
0 upvotes - In Wretched Defense of Roosh .....	422
0 upvotes - Sex is the prize .....	424
0 upvotes - Common phrases we hate .....	425
0 upvotes - /r/TRP Sub Needs to Improve .....	426
0 upvotes - Donald Sterling .....	427
0 upvotes - Woman Who FAILED Marine Trainging: IT'S THE MARINE'S FAULT! .....	428
0 upvotes - It saddens me how Blue Pill my Father is. ....	429
0 upvotes - testetsts .....	430
0 upvotes - Red Pill Shenanigans [Music] .....	431
0 upvotes - Girlfriend gets headaches after sex. ....	432

# Cry me a fucking river...

107 upvotes | May 25, 2014 | by [puaSenator](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

It's happening... Unfortunately, it's fucking happening.

So a good 2 or so years ago I joined the PUA community (hence the name) and quickly left. I was there to hone my pussy skills and not join some sort of social movement. But that's what PUA had devolved into. It became this space for men to talk about how to fuck sluts, to some sort of place where men had to qualify that "men can be like that too!" and "Just be awesome super happy and women will flock to you!" Typical bullshit, and I'm thankful I found TRP around the same time as a sort of redemption of the PUA mentality.

What I love about TRP is it holds nothing back. It's a space where everything is to be criticized and discussed. If there was ever a situation where a man came here and talked about how he failed with someone, we'd always point out how HE fucked up and how HE failed, then we'd offer advice and criticism to build HIM up as a better man to make sure that the same shit never happens again. We recognized his failures as a leader and scrutinized his failures that lead him to having a defunct relationship.

Then the MRA crowd joined the discussion. This led to a part of the narrative changing from "you fucked up, this is how to fix it" to "I'm a victim and it's HER fault I failed." -- nothing more than a bunch of whiney neckbeards trying to justify their failures by removing their own agency. In fact, what "triggered" this post is something posted in the main sub where it was pointed out that 40% of domestic violence was carried out by women and how men have it just as bad... Or some stupid shit like that. That post was nothing more than a victim'hood attempt at trying to say "OMG us men have it bad too! It's not fair that womyn are treated better here! We are victims! We need help!" Some BS like that.

Well I got news for you: No one gives a shit. You're a man. You're disposable. You inherently offer nothing to society. Stop acting like a woman and attempt to lower the bar to make your weak ass competitive. Why should any TRP man give a flying fuck about female on male domestic violence? We aren't the type of people that are ever going to be in that sort of weak ass pussy position -- or so I thought. Solving the problems of beta males is not something I ever thought would be discussed, since I have been under the impression that we are focused on awaking betas into their inherent alfalfa.

I mean, I'm fucking over men talking about "Us men have it hard! Life is tough! We need attention drawn to this issue!" Fuck that. Life is tough, and as men we aren't here to fuck around and recreate the game on easy mode just so we can say we won. Instead, the game needs to be hard, really fucking hard, difficult as fuck, so when a man does win, he can say he actually worked for that victory.

All this, "Men are being oppressed!" BS is just fucking obnoxious. No no no no no, it's not just obnoxious, it's fucking insulting. It's insulting to the male gender when we lower our standards to that of bitches. It's fucking embarrassing when MEN try to bitch about how difficult it is to be a man, rather than actually becoming a man.

/Haven't been here in a month, a bit drunk, and thought I'd vent a bit.

/EDIT: accidentally a word

## Some people will never "Get it" [Xpost] [Now 30% longer!]

86 upvotes | March 6, 2014 | by [puaSenator](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

On the subject on TRPsubmitter's recent submission:

<http://www.ribbonfarm.com/2009/11/11/the-gervais-principle-ii-posturetalk-powertalk-babytalk-and-gametalk/>

It's a really good read if you haven't read it already. It basically breaks communication into 4 primary ways of communication with 3 different players. Even though that article doesn't specifically dive too deeply into the subject, but to me the most important aspect is the "clueless" character.

Maybe it's because I'm the type that is very transparent and when someone says something stupid in regards to a social interaction, I may not say anything, but I will think, "is this person serious? Are they really that dumb? Do they not see the context of that discussion?"

Coincidentally, as I type this, I actually just got a PM that describes this type of person perfectly:

I was talking about something saying how the reason people say a teenager shouldn't take steroids. I was explaining that it's not like alcohol, which we discourage because we don't think teenagers are responsible enough to drink, but because it has serious long term irreversible health impacts at that age. In which the person responds with, "Yeah, but alcohol is bad for you too." *Sigh* -- Who gives a shit about the details, this guy is completely missing the point.

Now, any competitively social person can realize why all I could do was roll my eyes. All I could think was, "Do you not understand what I'm saying with the bigger picture? Do you not understand what I'm saying beyond just my words?"

Another good example of this type of person would be: let's say you're hanging out with your buddy. You ask him how he it went with that girl he was with last night, and he responds with, "Well, it was whatever." You obviously understand that he's saying it was just no big deal, but that it didn't go great. If it did go great he would word it differently. So you respond with, "Yeah man, I feel you. Telling you, women are bitches. Can't win 'em all." Now any competent person can see what you just said, which was, which was basically, "I empathize with you, and you can't win every date."

However, your typical "clueless" person doesn't read the situation the same way. The only thing the clueless person understands is the face value of the conversation. The first part is that your friend doesn't want to talk about it, and it's impossible to know how the date actually went, and that you just called all women bitches. The subtle communication relayed between the two parties is completely mysterious to them. They only know what was verbally put right in their face, and they interpret it as exactly that. I'm sure you've ran into these people. For instance, I'd say something like, "Women with short hair aren't attractive, and most men would agree with me on this." Now obviously I'm not saying ALL women with short hair aren't attractive, but that's not going to stop them from freaking the fuck out with what you just said. "Oh, so women can't be attractive with short hair? Pretty sure Reddit has an obsession with Jennifer Lawrence and she has short hair! And what do you mean ALL men don't like women with short hair?! Do you have a source on that? I didn't think so. So before you start speaking for all men, you need to STFU!!!!!!!!!" -- Yeah, bitch, shut up. I want to explain to them that they are misunderstanding me, but the reality is, they are incapable of understanding. We speak and understand in completely different ways.

Does that remind you of a certain group of people that only look at TRP with at face value not

understanding the context of what we are saying?

Another quick example is an article on the front page when the police said that people can't lay down at the park because they are a safety hazard since people can trip over them. In reality, what he was saying was, "I need an excuse to prevent the hobos from taking over the park. But I need a politically correct reason to appease the clueless. But you guys all know the real reason. I don't need to say it."

A final quick example of this is, since I'm watching JRE right now, is they are playing a video that's really stupid video and Joe just says, "Hey this video is scary turn it off, I can't watch it any more." What he's really saying is, "This video is stupid, turn it off." But he's able to communicate the idea while giving the person who put on the video an out without looking stupid for putting on a stupid video. However, if I were to look over to a clueless person and say, "Hahaha Joe thought that video that guy picked out was stupid!" The clueless would look over at me and say, "You don't know that. He never said that. It's impossible for you to know. Maybe he was just really scared." Yeah, sure bitch.

What's great about this form of communication is that it allows all parties to explain what they need to explain, but at the same time don't have to go on the record for saying it.

It's the same way when you ask to have sex with a girl and you invite her to your room to check out your guitar collection. Any reasonable person knows what this means. It means we are going back to have sex. However, if she declines, you have an out. You never asked for sex, you just wanted to show her your guitar collection and she wasn't interested.

But let's say she does say okay. You get there, play her a song her two, then go in for the move, grab her ass, and she freezes with shock. You then say, "Come on, I got to be up early." And she responds with, "OMG I can't believe this. You didn't say we were coming here for sex. I didn't give you any expressed consent! We didn't talk about this before!" Does this attitude remind you of any specific group? And I assure you, it's not just bluetards.

Ever invite a girl back to your place and she starts talking about sex, and then even says, "When we get back to your place, we should have sex," in a non-joking way. Now a rational person thinks, "Yeah, no shit." But to this group of people, this is literally how they see the world. Words are literal, and communication must be direct. They are completely oblivious to implications and indirect verbal communication.

---

Now, let's raise it up just to a higher level. Let's involve TRP -- TRP, without doubt, is mainstream within the more fun and exciting parts of society. If anyone has been out with attractive social people, this is completely evident. Hence the reason why TRP jives with so many people and they come to these subs. However within those circles, it's not talked about directly. Because by talking about it directly removes all possibility of plausible deniability which is crucial in the great chess game of powertalk. A guy trying to make the girl on the other side of the room jealous by dancing with another girl in eyesight of his real target, because it raises his SMV, isn't going to tell his buddy what he's doing in this fashion. He's just going to say, "Yeah man, just doing what I do." His buddy full well knows what is going on, but by him not saying it, under no circumstance can his said buddy ever use it against him. Say for instance, there is a falling out that night for some reason, he can't run over to her and say, "Yeah, Jim told me the only reason she was dancing with her was to make you jealous!" Thus revealing his hand.

It's not only spoken this way just out of careful defense, but to avoid the clueless who may hear. If a

clueless friend of Becky overheard Jim say, “Yeah man, just doing what I do,” all she can take it as is at face value. To her, Jim isn’t actually trying to make Becky jealous, because he’s never actually said it, but if he did say it, she now has irrefutable proof. This is why people who “get it” play by the these ambiguous rules. And when you do “get it” and not play by the ambiguous rules, it pisses off everyone else that does “get it”. Heck, if you do start acting direct, about certain things, you can even expect those that were once on your side, to side with the opposition simply because you’ve removed the ambiguity and gave them no choice.

Last summer I had to learn this the hard way. I broke the powertalk rule and decided to be direct with a "clueless" person.

(Continued)

# Entrepreneurship

83 upvotes | May 15, 2014 | by [alreadyredschool](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

When you want to open a business and head over to [/r/Entrepreneur](#) [/r/startups](#) or [/r/smallbusiness/](#) you will find tons of feel good bullshit that tells you to just start a business, opportunities are everywhere and motivation is everything, just be yourself and you will be successful. Expect to fail, but stand up again and start again!

I even was at an expensive speech from a business consulting guru. She just talked about the people she interviewed for her book and how they became successful after failing or overcoming initial problems. Not once did she mention the traits an entrepreneur must have. The skills and knowledge will be crucial for your success.

The most important thing you must know is that studying entrepreneurship is stupid. You won't get a job with a degree in entrepreneurship, you will just waste time and money. With a library card you can learn everything you would learn in that time for free. What you should do is: Earn money, get to know people, befriend successful people, have an idea and a vision, write a business plan for you and your stakeholders, to get financial support. Get to know the market, suppliers, buyers and competition. Knowledge about marketing is very important. If you want to start a business, start reading. Become the ideal entrepreneur, step by step. Start by becoming and intrepeneur.

Here is the harsh truth: You will work more than anybody else, your social life is going to suffer (<http://www.inc.com/magazine/201309/jessica-bruder/psychological-price-of-entrepreneurship.html>), you won't earn anything for 2-12 months. The risk of failure is always there, but the possible reward is great. Most businesses fail because the owner has no idea about controlling and accounting!

Do you really want to be an entrepreneur?

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/1se3ir/dear\\_aspiring\\_entrepreneur/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/1se3ir/dear_aspiring_entrepreneur/)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/211fk5/a\\_rant\\_no\\_one\\_in\\_their\\_right\\_mind\\_would\\_want\\_to/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/211fk5/a_rant_no_one_in_their_right_mind_would_want_to/)

We are red pill men, we can doubt ourselves without becoming insecure and wanting to stop. Doubt yourself to become better. Destroy crippling fear and learn from weaknesses. Make a SWOT analysis, improve strengths, weaken weaknesses, seize opportunities, lower threats.

If you want to start buy some books, read the articles and find out which books are tackled in an entrepreneur course.

Here this stuff is free, except for like 10 books (some of them are available as free audio books), what can you loose? The sidebars of the said subs are also great (also: [r/EntrepreneurRideAlong/](#)), gilded submissions are good too.

## Organisation:

[http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/20w4f3/flow\\_chart\\_should\\_you\\_raise\\_money\\_for\\_your\\_startup/](http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/20w4f3/flow_chart_should_you_raise_money_for_your_startup/)

[http://www.trybetty.com/assistantto/betty-is-now-assistant-to.html?utm\\_expid=76989002-1.7dLFvYW2TNmDm7aAOlkc9g.0&utm\\_referrer=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.reddit.com%2F%2Fstartups%2Fcomments%2F20cr3b%2Fscheduling\\_on\\_email\\_sucks\\_so\\_i\\_did\\_something%2F](http://www.trybetty.com/assistantto/betty-is-now-assistant-to.html?utm_expid=76989002-1.7dLFvYW2TNmDm7aAOlkc9g.0&utm_referrer=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.reddit.com%2F%2Fstartups%2Fcomments%2F20cr3b%2Fscheduling_on_email_sucks_so_i_did_something%2F)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/21qv5/list\\_of\\_some\\_amazing\\_free\\_services\\_offered\\_by](http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/21qv5/list_of_some_amazing_free_services_offered_by)

/

<http://www.inc.com/ss/13-productivity-hacks-help-you-get-more-done>

<https://medium.com/business-startup-development-and-more/702ecdd3223d>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/24q2y6/my\\_business\\_will\\_have\\_lots\\_of\\_people\\_calling\\_me/](http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/24q2y6/my_business_will_have_lots_of_people_calling_me/)

<http://www.wework.com/magazine/inspiration/productivity-hacks-change-business/>

<https://trello.com/>

<https://getpocket.com/>

<http://www.success.com/article/1-on-1-david-allens-two-minute-rule>

### **Marketing:**

<http://blog.marketmesuite.com/getting-started-series-create-a-facebook-business-page-youve-always-wanted/>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/2193st/becoming\\_twitter\\_a\\_beginners\\_guide\\_to\\_user/](http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/2193st/becoming_twitter_a_beginners_guide_to_user/)

<http://swedishstartupspace.com/2014/03/27/marwans-list-5-pr-stunts-will-thank-later/>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/220k1s/what\\_to\\_sell\\_online\\_doing\\_it\\_differently/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/220k1s/what_to_sell_online_doing_it_differently/)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/246nci/stop\\_fapping\\_start\\_marketing/](http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/246nci/stop_fapping_start_marketing/)

<http://blog.clarity.fm/the-hackers-guide-to-getting-press/>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/24ndf4/facebook\\_is\\_dead/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/24ndf4/facebook_is_dead/)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/24tn2k/5\\_advertising\\_and\\_marketing\\_techniques\\_for/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/24tn2k/5_advertising_and_marketing_techniques_for/)

<http://blog.wamenterprisesllc.com/5-must-read-marketing-articles-for-every-small-business>

### **Accounting:**

<https://whizkins.com/store/14-accounting-applications-prices>

<http://www.forbes.com/sites/acton/2012/09/15/the-only-three-reasons-entrepreneurs-need-accounting-and-finance/>

<http://www.entrepreneur.com/video/226052>

### **Books:**

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/oh0j4/best\\_startup\\_books\\_help\\_me\\_develop\\_this\\_list\\_with/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/oh0j4/best_startup_books_help_me_develop_this_list_with/)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/25afw4/great\\_entrepreneurial\\_book\\_that\\_nobody\\_seems\\_to/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/25afw4/great_entrepreneurial_book_that_nobody_seems_to/)

<http://vusal.me/handbook/>

<http://leoexplor.es/great-books/2012/09/19/the-millionaire-fastlane/>

<http://www.inc.com/jeff-haden/how-to-start-a-small-business-in-a-few-hours.html>

### **Start**

<http://www.sba.gov/content/follow-these-steps-starting-business>

<https://netguru.co/blog/posts/spare-time-startup-how-to-launch-a-startup-while-still-working-full-time>



<http://onstartups.com/>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/1zwe03/knowledge\\_in\\_starting\\_upbusiness/](http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/1zwe03/knowledge_in_starting_upbusiness/)

<http://www.entrepreneur.com/article/200730>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/o0p7y/archive\\_of\\_small\\_business\\_owner\\_advice\\_from\\_reddit/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/o0p7y/archive_of_small_business_owner_advice_from_reddit/)

## **Tricks**

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/23cta0/massive\\_cheatsheet\\_for\\_mobile\\_app\\_development\\_for/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/23cta0/massive_cheatsheet_for_mobile_app_development_for/)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Economics/comments/2170pt/effective\\_tax\\_rates\\_for\\_us\\_companies\\_from\\_under/cgaf712?context=3](http://www.reddit.com/r/Economics/comments/2170pt/effective_tax_rates_for_us_companies_from_under/cgaf712?context=3)

<http://www.paulgraham.com/bronze.html>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/24q0ln/how\\_i\\_built\\_a\\_27000year\\_passive\\_income/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/24q0ln/how_i_built_a_27000year_passive_income/)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/smallbusiness/comments/207qv4/what\\_are\\_your\\_greatest\\_legal\\_needs\\_for\\_which\\_you/](http://www.reddit.com/r/smallbusiness/comments/207qv4/what_are_your_greatest_legal_needs_for_which_you/)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/22fzyw/share\\_your\\_best\\_3\\_startup\\_tips\\_ill\\_start/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/22fzyw/share_your_best_3_startup_tips_ill_start/)

<http://listenloop.com/build-products-customers-use/>

<https://netguru.co/blog/posts/how-replacing-goals-with-systems-can-make-you-better-at-almost-anything>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/20cpi0/6\\_ways\\_to\\_doubt\\_yourself\\_and\\_do\\_it\\_anyway/](http://www.reddit.com/r/startups/comments/20cpi0/6_ways_to_doubt_yourself_and_do_it_anyway/)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/smallbusiness/comments/20eg0o/10\\_things\\_you\\_can\\_learn\\_from\\_the\\_apple\\_store/](http://www.reddit.com/r/smallbusiness/comments/20eg0o/10_things_you_can_learn_from_the_apple_store/)

<http://de.slideshare.net/rejoiner/25-entrepreneurs-tell-what-they-wished-theyd-known-before-founding-their-first-startup>

<http://blog.cultureamp.com/8-culture-hacks-for-your-startup>

Networking = befriending successful people, not getting to know them, but getting them to like you.

<http://www.businessballs.com/business-networking.htm>

<http://alumni.berkeley.edu/services/career-services/resources/articles/networking/tips-how-network-successfully>

Business cards, imagine being at a expo and you get 50+ business cards, you won't remember all, you won't look at all, and some of them will look so great that you try to remember. Always invest in good cards!

<http://www.entrepreneur.com/article/71900>

<http://www.entrepreneur.com/article/225962>

<http://www.businessinsider.com/entrepreneurs-best-productivity-hacks-2014-3?op=1>

<http://www.businessinsider.com/successful-people-productivity-hacks-2014-1>

## **The mistakes of others make good teachers:**

<http://www.forbes.com/sites/danschawbel/2012/05/31/how-to-start-a-business-with-only-100-in-the-bank/>

<http://www.entrepreneur.com/landing/224842>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/21roc/6\\_things\\_lexell\\_watches\\_learned\\_from\\_kicstarter/](http://www.reddit.com/r/Entrepreneur/comments/21roc/6_things_lexell_watches_learned_from_kicstarter/)

<http://www.techendo.co/posts/5-mistakes-every-entrepreneur-makes>

<https://netguru.co/blog/posts/mistakes-were-made-why-startups-fail-and-how-to-avoid-making-the-same-mistakes>

<https://medium.com/failure-inc/faa1751563ca>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/smallbusiness/comments/24ooga/what\\_was\\_the\\_biggest\\_problem\\_you\\_faced\\_when\\_you/](http://www.reddit.com/r/smallbusiness/comments/24ooga/what_was_the_biggest_problem_you_faced_when_you/)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/smallbusiness/comments/24th0l/how\\_not\\_to\\_fail\\_miserably\\_in\\_business/](http://www.reddit.com/r/smallbusiness/comments/24th0l/how_not_to_fail_miserably_in_business/)

### **Watch these:**

[http://www.ted.com/talks/rory\\_sutherland\\_life\\_lessons\\_from\\_an\\_ad\\_man](http://www.ted.com/talks/rory_sutherland_life_lessons_from_an_ad_man)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qp0HIF3SfI4>

[http://www.ted.com/talks/dan\\_ariely\\_asks\\_are\\_we\\_in\\_control\\_of\\_our\\_own\\_decisions](http://www.ted.com/talks/dan_ariely_asks_are_we_in_control_of_our_own_decisions)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/seth\\_godin\\_on\\_sliced\\_bread](http://www.ted.com/talks/seth_godin_on_sliced_bread)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/malcolm\\_gladwell\\_on\\_spaghetti\\_sauce](http://www.ted.com/talks/malcolm_gladwell_on_spaghetti_sauce)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/tim\\_harford](http://www.ted.com/talks/tim_harford)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/steven\\_johnson\\_where\\_good\\_ideas\\_come\\_from](http://www.ted.com/talks/steven_johnson_where_good_ideas_come_from)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/cameron\\_herold\\_let\\_s\\_raise\\_kids\\_to\\_be\\_entrepreneurs](http://www.ted.com/talks/cameron_herold_let_s_raise_kids_to_be_entrepreneurs)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/dan\\_cobley\\_what\\_physics\\_taught\\_me\\_about\\_marketing](http://www.ted.com/talks/dan_cobley_what_physics_taught_me_about_marketing)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/jason\\_fried\\_why\\_work\\_doesn\\_t\\_happen\\_at\\_work](http://www.ted.com/talks/jason_fried_why_work_doesn_t_happen_at_work)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/dan\\_pink\\_on\\_motivation](http://www.ted.com/talks/dan_pink_on_motivation)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/richard\\_st\\_john\\_s\\_8\\_secrets\\_of\\_success](http://www.ted.com/talks/richard_st_john_s_8_secrets_of_success)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/rachel\\_botsman\\_the\\_currency\\_of\\_the\\_new\\_economy\\_is\\_trust](http://www.ted.com/talks/rachel_botsman_the_currency_of_the_new_economy_is_trust)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/ron\\_eglash\\_on\\_african\\_fractals](http://www.ted.com/talks/ron_eglash_on_african_fractals)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/andrew\\_mcafee\\_what\\_will\\_future\\_jobs\\_look\\_like](http://www.ted.com/talks/andrew_mcafee_what_will_future_jobs_look_like)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/gary\\_vaynerchuk\\_do\\_what\\_you\\_love\\_no\\_excuses](http://www.ted.com/talks/gary_vaynerchuk_do_what_you_love_no_excuses)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/nigel\\_marshall\\_how\\_to\\_make\\_work\\_life\\_balance\\_work](http://www.ted.com/talks/nigel_marshall_how_to_make_work_life_balance_work)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/elizabeth\\_gilbert\\_on\\_genius](http://www.ted.com/talks/elizabeth_gilbert_on_genius)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/shawn\\_achor\\_the\\_happy\\_secret\\_to\\_better\\_work](http://www.ted.com/talks/shawn_achor_the_happy_secret_to_better_work)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/jamie\\_heywood\\_the\\_big\\_idea\\_my\\_brother\\_inspired](http://www.ted.com/talks/jamie_heywood_the_big_idea_my_brother_inspired)

[http://www.ted.com/talks/jessica\\_jackley\\_poverty\\_money\\_and\\_love](http://www.ted.com/talks/jessica_jackley_poverty_money_and_love)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FDqmxp8gAUg>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6CAQg3iTNmo>

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G-PeDWkM\\_9M](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G-PeDWkM_9M)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aN-N68FXedU>

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FRR\\_jEDAfoo](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FRR_jEDAfoo)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OjYceP2Fup4>  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UmCtWskzmAQ>  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vFPmGHY6CcQ>  
?? <https://www.youtube.com/user/byucet/playlists>  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KYneLGRTgy8>  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2nMD6sjAe8I>

Edit: **Management**

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mission\\_statement](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mission_statement)  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Strategic\\_management](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Strategic_management)  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate\\_identity](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Corporate_identity)  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Organizational\\_culture](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Organizational_culture)  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Time\\_management](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Time_management)

Especially the Pareto analysis and The Eisenhower Method

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ultradian\\_rhythm](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ultradian_rhythm)

This goes hand in hand with time management, learn to listen to your inner clock, work in your most productive minutes on the most important tasks (20/80 Principe). Will reduce stress and burn out, you will be more productive and less exhausted.

<http://lateralaction.com/articles/productivity-ultradian-rhythms/>

<http://blog.idonethis.com/post/33892676864/science-of-better-energy-management>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stress\\_management](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stress_management)

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SWOT\\_analysis](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SWOT_analysis)

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Business\\_ethics](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Business_ethics)

<http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/02/13/uk-etiquette-commandments-idUSLNE81C02P20120213>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Total\\_quality\\_management](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Total_quality_management)

Quality certificates are a pain in the ass and no customer really looks at them. What you really want is to focus on quality rather than make legal fights about certificates. Happy customers bring their friends.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marketing\\_buzz](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marketing_buzz)

The cheapest form of marketing and one of the most effective in bringing new customers to your business.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crisis\\_management](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crisis_management)

**TL;DR:** Reading is the most important skill a business owner needs, read everyday, learn to speed read, if you want to be successful be ready, more knowledge can't hurt you.

\*Will (ninja) edit if I find stuff that I forgot.

Best regards, your ARS

# The Red Pill Handbook

76 upvotes | June 10, 2015 | by [GayLubeOil](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

As many of you know the Reddit platform is a double edged sword for the Red Pill community. On one hand Reddit is easily accessible, has a voting system that rewards quality content and has a low barrier of entry for new writers. On the other hand [Chairman Pao](#) is making a mess of things lately, the teenage ADHD crew snubs quality advanced material and reading on a monitor for long periods of time sucks dick balls.

I'm a huge fan of [The Red Pill Handbook](#). It has some of the best content ever produced by this community organized in a clear logical way. The only problem is that it is in .pdf format and no one ever gets through a 400 page pdf. I think it would be a good idea to produce a physical hard copy of The Red Pill handbook. That way when Chairman Pao makes the great leap forward we will have a physical copy that she cant fuck with. Plus a community written Red Pill book would be an awesome thing to have on your bookshelf and a great gift parting gift for your Woman's Studies Professor.

We can run a contest on the main sub to find a Red Pill Artist for the cover art. The whole project can be funded on kickstarter and each hardcover book will cost around \$2- \$5 to [print in China](#).

I think this is an excellent project for us to undertake while this community still exists.

# Hamster Baiting

67 upvotes | May 26, 2014 | by [GayLubeOil](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

The visibility of the Red Pill subreddit is rapidly growing. Many other subs have begun linking to /redpill including /conservative and /xkcd. In addition, some prominent youtubers have added the redpill to their lexicon including Stefan Molyneux, Youtube's most popular philosophy show. Eventually some prominent media personality like Anderson Cooper is going to utter the words "The Red Pill" at which point there will be a shitpocalypse.

Obviously all of this does and will generate increased scrutiny from feminists. If a feminist writes her dissertation on the Red Pill community, I honestly wouldn't be surprised. My primary motive is to have an interesting discussion with like minded men. However with all the attention I receive from feminists its hard not to leave some rage bait in my posts. All publicity is good publicity. Pissing off feminists is my favorite cyber sport. Here are some tactics that have worked very well for me. I implore you to try some of them out in your next Red Pill post.

**Compare women to stuff:** It doesn't matter what. Cats, children, Pokemon, i've tried all of these with great success. As soon as I make any analogy or metaphor it quickly becomes a /bluepill post title. My theory is that bluepillers are autistic and incapable of understanding creative language. What they don't understand makes them angry. What makes them angry gives me lols.

**Talk about female value:** Most people on the /bluepill have self esteem issues. While the majority of their time is spent talking about how they would absolutely never ever fuck a redpiller, they go apeshit when a redpiller says they wouldn't fuck them. Any discussion of why you wouldn't consider a woman because of her weight, ideology, inability to cook is fuel for ire. Whenever a woman reads about how certain men would never consider dating her, it puts her self esteem at risk. Most bluepillers are white, so talking about how more awesome Latinas and Asians are is another great tactic. Any challenge to value is met with rage.

**Challenge female veracity:** Another way of challenging female value is to call some or all of them liars. I did this when I challenged the 1/4 bullshit statistic, now im doing it with domestic abuse. If you deny rape and abuse, bluepillers will quickly invent fake rape and abuse stories in response. These stories will evoke rage and sympathy from other bluepillers, at which point it will become a self sustaining shit storm.

**Pictures:** Pictures can rapidly generate an emotional response. That's why they are used heavily in advertising. Some bluepillers lack the mental faculty to read and understand arguments. That's okay. That's why I sometimes include pictures in my writing to anger them. Reminder of past failed relationships. Remind them of their weight and stupidity. If all else fails link to a rap video and outsource your hate farming.

# I really want to discuss and study the Gervais Principle. Everything else just seems trivial now. Could we start with distinguishing different types of talk?

59 upvotes | May 8, 2014 | by [RPtooLate](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I've read all the way through the Gervais Principle and started on the comments. While it's amazing to see this underlying structure in everything I'm also frustrated because I don't really understand it yet. I think I have a good idea what Power talk is. I can kind of grasp what straight-talk and baby-talk are, but I'd like to be sure. Also, posture-talk seems to be an attempt at powertalk without actually having anything at stake?

Further topics could be addiction, movements and politics, applying these principles to business and marketing, how to actually utilize these principles to become a leader, and I'm sure much more.

Oh, and ages ago I actually did read about Powertalk(not directly but now I recognize it) in a book/article that was discussing interaction between CEOs(sorry I have no idea where). What else is out there, albeit with different nomenclature, in Sociology, Psychology, Sales, Marketing, and Business that would help one to understand these things better.

---

Here are my relevant notes so far:

S power -> S S straight -> L (rare) S baby -> C L straight -> S  
(rare) L game -> L L baby -> C C posture -> S C posture -> L C  
posture -> C S Hierarchy CCC  
LLLLL

The Clueless distort reality The Losers distort rewards and penalties The Sociopaths distort the metaphysics of human life

1. The less-developed person does not know what he/she does not know, and is typically attempting to operate from their regressed comfort zone of strength, which to you represents a zone of unrewarding mediocrity that you are attempting to leave/have left behind. This lends your opponent confidence.
2. Your own knowledge is fresh, unstable and not yet ingrained as second nature. You are acutely aware of, and anxious about, your beginner status in your new level. This makes you lack confidence.
3. To win through persuasion, you must teach (a superior-inferior transaction) without first reversing the default unfavorable status relationship (you: not confident, low-status, he/she: confident, high-status)

What distinguishes Powertalk is that with every word uttered, the power equation between the two speakers shifts just a little. Sometimes both gain slightly, at the expense of some poor schmuck. Sometimes one yields ground to the other. Powertalk in other words, is a consequential language. Gametalk is all about multiple (usually two) levels of communication. the basic motivation in

Babytalk is “humor the baby”

Attempts at Powertalk, but actually Posturetalk: Toy Guns and Treacle Treacle is a vocabulary drawn from apparently win-win/play nice frameworks, but deployed with adversarial intent.

---

These are previous discussions:

[http://www.reddit.com/r/AlreadyRed/comments/1zmm02/four\\_major\\_languages\\_spoken\\_in\\_organizations/](http://www.reddit.com/r/AlreadyRed/comments/1zmm02/four_major_languages_spoken_in_organizations/)

<http://www.reddit.com/r/AlreadyRed/comments/20nnl6/powergame/>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/AlreadyRed/comments/1zpofw/some\\_people\\_will\\_never\\_get\\_it\\_xpost\\_now\\_30\\_longer/](http://www.reddit.com/r/AlreadyRed/comments/1zpofw/some_people_will_never_get_it_xpost_now_30_longer/)

# Women are pre-programmed radar beacons for male SMV. It's about Survival.

57 upvotes | September 2, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I came across some great comments in a thread on the OKcupid topic, which featured a wall-approaching woman who is trying to date a beta guy and doesn't understand why she is pining for her past alpha cock when she "knows" that good girls should like Nice Guys™

Comments correctly reinforced that Nice Guys™ are not attractive to women. They also do not understand why. And any chance to understand it has been brainwashed from them due to feminism.

| "Women are completely oblivious to their own natures..."

[/u/wakethfkupneo](#)

Women have no incentive to understand the nuances of human sexual dynamics. In that vein, most women succeed simply by existing. Recall that their mating strategy is to get pretty and simply wait. Top that up with a heavily-frontloaded SMV.

Men, on the other hand, have every need of that knowledge. Men can easily fail and fall through the cracks. There are tons of men that no one wants to fuck. Men need to figure out what the problem is and how to fix it -- in this case, repairing their abysmal SMV's.

Just the nature of the game.

[/u/Cyralea](#)

---

These comments got me thinking as follows:

Women do not find these guys attractive because they are like pre-programmed radar beacons for male SMV, at least regarding their sexual nature.

While being nice shows you adhere to "modern" social expectations/legislated behaviors that have been developed within the past few hundred years, it also indicates you lack evolutionary fitness indicators.

Basically, being "nice" is a turn off (emotionally, sexually, evolutionarily...except not logically, which is why women still hold onto it as a fantasy).

Women, with their male SMV radar, can sniff this out like a good police dog. They are programmed to do this. It's in their nature and evolution gave them this incredible ability to *ensure* that 50% of their child's genes are the *best available*. We can give ladies props in this regard.

That is why they inexplicably, mysteriously, confusingly (insert hamster word here) are driven to mate with alphas. This is why they find beta nice guys unchallenging, boring, and disgusting. Their radar alerts to them to the fact that men who are unable to take, assert, punish, execute, etc. are simply not as good at surviving as potentially possible, and thus their child would not be as good at surviving either.

In short, Nice Guys™ as an institution represents everything that is risky/unsafe for women's own



procreation and survival.

**This is why women are confused when they meet a "safe" Nice Guy and like his beta bucks but aren't necessarily attracted to him; In terms of evolution/sex, he is actually the most UNSAFE option**

---

### Clarifications/Additions

*Okay...why you acting like girls just wanna get knocked up? Not every girl is looking for a baby daddy*

Yes, but while not all sex is not procreative these days, a) some of it still is, b) regardless, the factors/motivations that *drive* humans to have sex (regardless of intent) haven't changed.

*Um, bad boys aren't the best at surviving! Nice guys are reliable and don't get in trouble in today's society!*

a) Firstly, being reliable doesn't mean you are going to have higher social/money/sexual capital to spend. It only means you don't lose whatever little SMV you have in the first place. Congrats. b) Most importantly, survival is meant literally. I don't care about surviving during rush hour and making a good impression at weekly church bingo night. I mean surviving by dominating those who want to harm you + procreation.

We are fortunate to live in an era (and most of us, a part of the world) where "survival" as a word has lost its real meaning. But make no mistake, the Dark Triad "sociopaths" our society labels today would have been village/city/country/army leaders hundreds or thousands of years ago. These are the real survivors. And most importantly, they're the men who were most powerful thousands of years ago when women's evolutionary instincts were imprinted.

This is why women are attracted to "bad/crazy/evil" guys. **Take away the moral presuppositions, and you realize women are attracted to "survivors" and "powerful" men. That's why redpill sexual strategy is "amoral", because survival is ultimately amoral.**

## On the tacit understanding that you want to fuck her.

54 upvotes | March 3, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This post was inspired by puaSenator's excellent post here from last week.

One of the first things I discovered when I got into sales as a younger man (a field I have since left), is that successful businessmen don't respect or like salesmen who call them and start acting like "nice guys." If you say you're sorry for calling at an inconvenient time, if you ask them how their day is going, if you act in any way as though you want to be friends with them, they will shut you right the fuck down.

Why is this? Why don't they like the young, rookie salesman who calls them up and immediately starts kissing their ass? Is it because businessmen only like to buy things from assholes? Of course not.

The reason why successful businessmen generally loathe this kind of salesman is because they know damn well that if someone is calling them up on the phone, out of the blue, and wants to talk to them about money, then *they're trying to make a buck*. Whatever the salesman says, he's not really sorry for calling (if he was he wouldn't have done it), he doesn't actually give a shit about the businessman's day, he doesn't want to be friends, he just trying to make a buck.

Compare this to the pushy, hard-closing salesman who calls the businessman. The pushy salesman puts up no pretense that he's not trying to sell you something. That's a given, of course he's trying to make a buck. What the pushy salesman spends his time doing is convincing the businessman, with the absolute, unshakeable confidence of a man who's done it a thousand times before, that his product will also help the businessman make a buck as well.

Now the parallels between the salesman and the guy trying to get laid are well known. What I would like to point out, here, is the parallel between the businessman and the woman you are trying to fuck.

The fact is, when you approach a woman you just saw at a bar, or in a grocery store, or online, they all *know* that the reason you are approaching them is that you are trying to fuck them. That's why any kind of game that involves you trying to act like a "nice guy" who is mainly interested in "who they are as a person" or some such shit tends not only to fail miserably, but even leads to the girl thinking that the dude is "creepy."

Just as the businessman tacitly understands that the salesman is really only calling in order to make a buck, women tacitly understand that a guy in a bar is really only approaching her because he wants to fuck her.

Now here's the subtlety: Women will want your attention *more* if they genuinely doubt, for a moment, that you want to fuck them (I'm talking attractive women here, not fatties who know they have no chance). But "nice guy" game, which is based on the pretense that the guy is really just interested in "her personality" or "who she is as a person" doesn't convince her of that at all. It merely convinces her that you *really* want to fuck her, would be willing to take all manner of shit from her in order to do so, and, most importantly, are afraid to be more direct in your manner because you don't actually have something for her that's worth having.

# Oversaturation of new members within Redpill community

53 upvotes | July 6, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

The number of new members are grow much faster than those who are already RP. There is a risk of echo-chamber/hamstering in the main sub and possibly also this one. I want to start a discussion on the direction of reddit's RP community and the dangers of having vast majority of the community being relatively new.

We have already seen a further slip towards hatred of women rather than the understanding and acceptance of their behavior. This comes from the fact that more people are in the anger-hate phase than there are "mature" red pillers. RP first phase being that you take women out of their pedestal, if this large section remains the majority, few will get out (due to their ignorance of "other" phase of red pill as the ones who escape will not return to the sub).

We can look at reddit as a forum and see how the behavior mirrors that of RP. The newer members will stay in the (old) defaults for couple of years as more and more escape from those subs into smaller and higher quality subs. The vast majority will be locked out to enjoy [r/aww](#), [r/funny](#) etc. While even more advanced subs will get overpopulated at some point and add "True..." and as time goes maybe even more "TrueTrue..." and so on. The idea is that those who are caught in the general/default sub will rarely venture outside as the quality deteriorates.

It's harmless for reddit in general and it's were they make most of their money as clueless people come to be a part of "community" and dedicate their time and efforts towards it. However for a self-improvement sub, the results are far worse, the introductory will lure in many, but the content will be distributed by people who don't understand it. They will be stuck in hate phase and will just go from bitter and ignorant to bitter with knowledge. No enlightenment there.

## What does all of this have to do with you?

First off we must make sure there aren't complete isolation between this and future subs where people who have matured will go and the main sub where people will be stuck in echo-chamber. yes you have your own self to think about, but the vacuum you leave mustn't be for trolls or well meaning but ignorant RP to fill. There is only so much that mods can do, and in the end it might become more harmful than reddit ever was. Ignorance is one thing, but ignorance and hate aren't a good mix and we wouldn't want more of that idiot who shot people due to his ignorance and hate.

I would like to add that there is many problems with RP, but like most models it has certain level of use, but one of the dangers of RP even for those who have been around for years seems to be the worship of men. I know many men and they are not as honorable and stoic as RP seems to think, I know many of them are also RP in that they don't put women on pedestal, but they are guilty of many things that we associate with hamstering. Even here you will find such things. They are approval seeking, "look at how much progress I made in the gym the last week, look more fit right?", "I'm a great cook, isn't that the best food you have ever tasted", "I'm married now, but in my days I used to get so much ass", "let me show you my game by flirting and getting phone numbers" when we are out just hanging. These things are not only annoying and at times cringeworthy, they are also failed attempts at increasing their MV/position within a group.

Unlike the men you portray in this sub and others, men do hamster. The qualifier for hamstering to me is simple, are they trading in approval or knowledge/kindness currency? If they are saying

something that has more bragging and approval seeking than it has substance then IMO they are hamstering, deluding themselves into thinking that saying something equals being that something.

It's all posture talk that leaves you with no more knowledge than what you started with. For someone who is ignorant, posture talk looks very much like power talk, they have no knowledge to separate the two. It's something BP mock RP with and often it's true. Very few have reached Alpha status, the fact that vast majority of the supposed alphas are scared of being fucked over by women says a lot.

Educate the new guys, help them, try not abandoning main sub completely when you find higher quality subs, after all that is the ideal man. Avoid posture talk, avoid bragging, after hours of reading you should have a lot of gems, share them. If you want to enter a new era where men will stand up to women (even if it's not in MRA political movement) then you will have to educate them. I've seen teenagers who have joined RP in thousands, don't abandon them to those who haven't been around for more than a month. It's necessary to go from pedestal to dehumanizing before treating them like normal, but I fear many are stuck in the first stage and they are surrounded by their likes.

This isn't concern trolling, every one of you should know how fucked up a BP who has had a taste of RP without becoming RP is. It's the extreme emotional state combined with hatred. A lot of the younger guys will also meet less sophisticated women who aren't as advanced in their methods as the older ones.

# Society likes to ignore a woman's past sexual behavior when judging her character. Don't fall into this trap.

50 upvotes | September 28, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

There's a top post today about a guy who found out that his gf got rammed in a fivesome in a public restroom. He found out she lied about this AND her total partner count. Of course, the girl is "soooo confused" as to why her bf has now shut her out. "Eww why r menz so intimidated by an strong, outgoing womyn?!?"

This comment caught my eye:

It could be the number that threw him off, or the fact that you lied to him. You'll have to figure out which one it is.

This is indicative of how wider society views this issue. Whereas everyone agrees that it's bad that she lied, society gives women a free pass on their high partner counts & past orgies/threesomes/etc as if they are totally irrelevant to a woman's character/sexual attitude.

---

## "How did we come to the point where we judge/predict someone's future behavior based on past actions for virtually EVERY facet of their lives...except for sex?"

Who is most likely to blow their money at the casino...The person who's never touched a blackjack table or the person who has a gambling problem? Who is the better employee...The person with an excellent work history or the person who has been fired 5 times for negligence?

While the answers to the above are obvious, we live in a society where men are encouraged/forced/shamed into ignoring a woman's past partner count when trying to figure out what kind of future wife/gf she will be.

The truth is that a woman's past sexual behavior (partner count) is a GREAT predictor of her future sexual behavior.

There is literally no better predictor of future outcomes than past empirical data. And one key piece of data that determines how you view sex...is actually how much you've had sex. Duh.

---

## "Why does this issue exist?"

As with most RP issues, the existence of the problem can be mostly attributed to: a) women will act (even subconsciously) in their own sexual self-interest, **unless men/society hold them accountable**. BUT b) Newsflash: bluepill men don't hold women accountable because they don't want to "ruin their chances" of getting their dicks wet and/or can't stand up to women shaming them for actually wanting to be men.

In that context, we can realize that women want the freedom to behave a certain way (which is fine) but without any consequences (which is not fine).

In RP terms, they want to maintain their access to high SMV men while ALSO engaging in low female SMV behaviors (i.e. sleeping around). However, women don't realize that they *forfeit* their exclusive access to high SMV men the instant they stop acting like high SMV women (i.e.

feminine/non-slutty women).

On a side note, it's interesting that the only times women "complain" about men refusing to date them for their sexual past is when it's high SMV men. No woman complains about the beta, because they know they have the betas on lockdown. It's only when a high SMV man like George Clooney continuously dumps his aging gfs (thus denying her access to his money/status) that women get all fussy and accusatory.

---

### **"Ugh, why do menz even care about this?"**

Because men value sex. A lot. We don't go around telling women not to look for high SMV/Dread game/asshole men (at least RP men don't), because we understand that security/money/power/status/height/etc are all important to women. We're over our butthurt and now strive to excel in as many of those areas as possible because we know that's what women respond to. So when it comes to something that we as men value, we are going to judge women harshly on that. Telling men to "grow up" is not gonna change our nature as determined by evolution. In short, men wanna fuck but we don't want to fuck something worthless.

Beyond just fucking, we want to make sure our long-term investment is sound. We want good gfs/wives/mothers. We don't want to make a mistake. So when a girl is confused why her 80 partner count bothers men, we perk up and think "Ya know...this girl had sex like it was nothing...so she probably will again".

And if you're a woman asking why men would ever come to that conclusion, you should reflect and ask yourself how the hell are you NOT coming to that conclusion?

---

### **"But I'm a new person now! That was my past & you're the one I choose to be with!...oh btw, I won't do deepthroats anymore like on that past sextape, mkay?"**

This is a manipulative tactic employed by women with regards to this issue. It's also incredibly disingenuous.

It shames men for predicting a woman's future behavior based on her past (which we've already established is normal for pretty much everything in life). It also allows women to escape judgment and once again *redefine* themselves as high SMV women, which they are NOT anymore.

It also fundamentally misunderstands what is important to men. The solipsism of women assumes that because women's long-term goal is a steady beta bucks relationship, that must obviously be the most important thing to men as well; men should *want* to give beta bucks away. Thus, all of her past is null & void, because the "important thing" is that she is ready to commit and settle down RIGHT NOW. Nothing else matters to her...so why should it matter to the man?

Chalk it up to the male ego or pride, but men take "ownership" of mostly everything in their lives. As fathers, husbands...even as car owners (guys have named their cars/boats female names since ages ago). The role of men is as stewards of society and family units...and what those in our charge do definitely reflects back on us. Men are also competitive and a perceived inability to handle our shit is poisonous to our self-image.

In that context, one can easily understand why we don't like the idea of our gf getting pounded 5-ways in a bathroom and then our friends/family finding out about it. We're now the bf who allowed

our gf to get nailed to the urinal wall. No thanks.

---

**TL;DR** a) Society gives women a free pass on their sexual behavior; it's regarded as a non-topic. b) This problem exists because bluepill men enable these behaviors (high SMV men receive the criticism). c) Men care about this because we care about sex, and our masculinity is defined by how sexual-able we are (and yes, we prefer our masculinity to be this way). d) Women who try to sexually "redefine" themselves are being disingenuous and not fooling anyone.

On a personal note, I have ZERO issue with a woman being promiscuous, cause that's who I fuck; the problem is when women are promiscuous yet *pretend* they aren't while also demanding the same things they observe non-promiscuous women receiving (i.e. multiple dates from men, marriage, etc).

# Analysis of recent OkCupid Trends post (RP truths abound)

50 upvotes | July 28, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

For those who don't know, OkCupid trends is the data analysis wing of Okcupid. It's years old and updates only 1x every couple years. Every time there's a new post, people go crazy because it's always redpill in nature. People are so so surprised how shallow and SMV-oriented people are.

Here's the [recent post](#) with my summary/analysis below:

## Experiment 1:

**Question:** What is the role 'looks' plays in people's consideration of the opposite sex

**Method:** OkCupid removes photos from their site for one day and measures response rates

**Results:**

When the photos were restored at 4PM, 2,200 people were in the middle of conversations that had started "blind". Those conversations melted away. The goodness was gone, in fact worse than gone. It was like we'd turned on the bright lights at the bar at midnight...Basically, people are exactly as shallow as their technology allows them to be.

**Redpill Lesson:** The advice given by women, feminists, and beta men who desperately want to establish something attractive about themselves is to "Be Yourself" and "Looks don't matter" because there's "someone out there for you!". And if you fail, instead of taking accountability and improving your looks/game/SMV, you simply chalk it up to "not being a match".

This is fool's gold and is propagated by both men and women. Women say this because that is what they want to be true for themselves. Beta men say this because they want to hamster away the fact that they are NOT attractive in terms of SMV/looks/body; thus, saying that attraction is simply "random" and there's a "match" for everyone validates themselves.

## Experiment 2:

**Question:** Looks vs personality? Which one wins?

**Method:** a) Allow members to rate profiles in terms of "looks" and "personality". Look at a correlation between these two factors. b) Take profiles and hide the text/info. Look at how the rating changes (if at all) if people can *only* see photo with no information.

**Results:**

a) In short, according to our users, "looks" and "personality" were the same thing [Graph](#).

b) Essentially, the text is less than 10% of what people think of you. [Graph](#)

**Redpill Lesson** When you ask women explicitly "what do you value in a man", you'll get a plethora of bullshit responses (good listener, generous, supportive, etc). In short, personality traits are cited while anything regarding looks is dismissed.

This is because women will actually hamster away *any* potentially prohibitive personality trait as being good IF a man's looks (aka SMV) is high enough. That past criminal record becomes "him lashing out as a youth", that unreliability becomes "a mercurial attitude", that lack of communication



becomes "him just putting up a shell!"...IF his SMV is high.

The point is that looks/SMV will win out in the end. Women will justify whatever actions you do if your SMV is high enough. The local lonely guy at the bar who walks in shirtless is a "creep who is visually raping women", whereas the buff celebrity who does the *same* thing "is so craaaaaazy and so unique!"

### Experiment 3:

**Question:** The Power of "Power" **Method:** Take two people with bad match% and fake them into believing it's a high match%. Do they respond differently if they "believe" someone is better?

#### Results:

Not surprisingly, the users sent more first messages when we said they were compatible...When we tell people they are a good match, they act as if they are. Even when they should be wrong for each other.

#### Redpill Lesson:

And if you have to choose only one or the other, the mere myth of compatibility works just as well as the truth.

What I mean by The Power of Power is your Frame. Your frame dictates how people respond to you; it's how you carry out your actions, not the actions themselves (within reason; don't be facetious). This is why we say that "RP is amoral". This also supports the notion of how women yearn for authority and seek any avenue to give up their agency; they will respond to any framework that allows them to follow a predefined structure.

This is also known as Hobson's choice, which is a psychological technique where you only offer a person one *viable* choice but present it as an illusion of two choices. This gives the person the illusion that they have "power" while it is actually you who control the situation.

It is creating a frame that only allows women to do what you want while you *present* it as what they *should* want.

# [Meta] What is the "redpill" to you? Is it even about "sexual strategy" anymore?

50 upvotes | April 21, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I think the RPsphere is at a crossroads.

## What's going on:

- I see plate-spinning being denigrated. I see activities such as working out, clubbing, getting money and studying game labeled as "worshipping pussy", as if having sex or spending *any* effort to get laid at all is equivalent to putting women on a pedestal (isn't this what feminists say about PIV sex?).
- I see upvoted posts on our beloved brother subreddit talking about how LTRs are the ultimate goal. That "sharing your feelings" is rewarding and will actually attract women. (*"If she isn't attracted to your feelings, then she isn't the type of high value woman you want anyway! You see? I rejected her first!"*).
- Somehow, seeing a woman from afar and saying "nah I want to do some computer shit tonight instead of trying to bang that girl. I have no desire for sex!" has become more "alpha" than working out for 2 hours to become attractive so that you can actually bang that same chick. Somehow eliminating (or redefining) the desire for sex + plate-spinning has become the new solution to our SMV/sexual strategy problems.
- I see tons of field reports being downvoted in favor of the typical angry BP examples and posts celebrating *"Thanks TRP! I looked at a woman today and didn't feel like shit about myself anymore! Am I alpha now?"*. Now, actual FRs are denigrated as "pussy worshipping" while "Former incel makes eye contact with former unicorn and doesn't blink" is lauded as a "you go bro!" moment.

**In other words, I see sexual strategy being denigrated for "inner game" fluff with *no* consideration of actual in-field results (aka actual sex!).**

## So my question: Is TRP even about sexual strategy anymore?

My take: I came to [/r/theredpill](#) back when it was like 2k subscribers for one reason: SEX. I still promote the idea that TRP = sexual strategy. Nothing more. Nothing less. Anything else is fluff, such as "be moral!" or statements like "TRP is personal so don't tell me that sex is the goal!"

I believe that this new MGTOW-like movement has one purpose: It's yet another way for guys who can't get laid to be considered equal to those who actually do get laid. In other words, it's a way redefine success in the sexual marketplace as "opting out" of the sexual marketplace (and these things should never be considered equal).

To me, that's not success. That's failure. Opting out or attempting to redefine your inability to be successful as "it was my choice!" is disingenuous.

# Girls Are Simple

49 upvotes | November 13, 2015 | by [MisterDorimant](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

AWALT: All Women Are Girls.

*"It does no harm to the romance of the sunset to know a little bit about it." - Carl Sagan*

For as long as I can remember, I have balked at the notion that girls are mysterious, magical, mystical creatures that nobody can possibly understand. As if they are beings from another dimension.

They're not.

They're just human beings after all.

Throughout human history, we as a species have indulged in a long trend of such thinking: mystical, magical thinking. Obviously, religion and spirituality are 100% to blame for this. Take comfort that our history is no longer being written in blood (for the time being).

There are two sides to this coin. One is spiritual (new age, woo, astrology, feminism, leftism, environmentalism, etc.) and the other is religion (Abrahamic, non-Abrahamic, tribal, organized, disorganized, etc.). Fortunately, most Westerners got a brief, cursory education in The Scientific Method when they were young, or at least an attempt may have been made. But how does it work? It can be summed up in one word: **DOUBT**.

Science isn't about proving things. It's about **disproving** evidence that conflicts with a hypothesis. If you say "the sky is blue" but someone brings evidence to the table that the sky is in fact purple, you can say "well yes at sunrise or sunset but nevertheless it is blue **most of the time**, therefore we can say that it is mostly, usually blue." And we go from there. See, you don't have to have **absolute proof** to make such a generalization. "The sky is blue" is true **enough**.

Generalizations are not bad things. All girls are born with 2 X chromosomes, all men are born with an XY chromosome pair. Therefore, certain traits and behaviors **CAN be generalized**, with very few exceptions.

**YES we motherfucking CAN generalize.**

Doubt is the greatest tool that we have. Doubt is a **GOOD** word, not a bad one. Doubt (unlike faith) is **natural**. We are all born naked, screaming, and covered in blood, with a natural curiosity about the world. It is our parents' responsibility to encourage us to ask questions about the world. Kids, especially young kids, **WANT** to learn. Unfortunately, as we age, that desire gets sucked out of us. For some it happens earlier in their lives. for others it takes years.

Even worse, it's often replaced by a "need" to have **FAITH**. And that is **EXACTLY** where **ALL** of our problems come from.

Why do we need faith? The short answer is, we don't. We simply have no **NEED** (strictly speaking) for it whatsoever. Some people seem to think it gives a sense of purpose or meaning to life. I say they haven't tried living without it long enough to see that is in fact **completely opposed** to their natural state of mind.

Through the education system, we are **supposed** to learn how to ask **GOOD** questions. Well, that's how it was 50+ years ago.

Unfortunately, parenting is a lost skill these days. And the education system we have is a total

disaster. And that's why you're reading this.

The system has failed. If anyone ever tries to tell you that we're headed for a crash and society is about to come crumbling down, look them in the eyes and say "bro, society is already finished **RIGHT FUCKING NOW.**" That's right. Society has already crashed. Talk to someone in what's left of the middle class, it's fucking **OVER.** People are scrambling just to **survive** anymore.

**Because some idiot thought that men and girls were equal.** We are not. And god damn it, that's a **GOOD THING.**

Girls don't operate on doubt, though. They are too pampered, too coddled, too privileged, and too self-entitled to even consider it. Girls operate on faith. That is to say, they **HAVE** faith. Because faith gives their feepees the tingles. To them, doubt is a horrible thing, worse than Hitler. That's why they can never be held accountable for their actions. That's why they never face consequences.

**Yet they need men to doubt them.** They look up to us for that. They need dominance. They need to rely on us to make rational decisions. They need to look to us to be unemotional. They need to respect us. They need to be called out on their bullshit. **They cannot resist a challenge.**

But they don't **LIKE** any of that. They will always try to get you to see the light, step into their frame, and see it from their point of view because feeeeeeeeeeeeeeeelz.

It's been this way for centuries. It used to be that you could say "tough shit," give them what they need, and they would **HAVE** to take it. Now the law is on their side and they can almost always get away with literally anything their little hearts desire. And it's getting worse every day. False rape and false abuse allegations are just the tip of the iceberg. Now they are pushing to be able to extract child support from men who are not even the biological fathers of their children. Not because she wants or even needs the money but **just to gloat while he suffers.** It's getting to the point that men will **HAVE NO OTHER CHOICE** but to ignore them and everything they do and say. Guess what, they don't like that either. Too bad, we will have no other choice.

After years of studying science, I have learned much about the universe in which we live. And the one thing that blows my mind over and over is how orderly it is. Even in chaos, there is order. Things that seem random ... aren't so random.

I've known crazy bitches that claim to have seen ghosts in their lives. And they were **SERIOUS** about it. Back when I was young, my traditional, Great-Depression-surviving dad would say shit like "that only happens in the movies." It was clear to me then that such things weren't real, and it's clear to me that they aren't real now. I'm always the first to call bullshit, and that never sits well with anyone. Too bad, so sad. I'm really not interested in your retarded delusions, but you've got some nice tits so I'll hit it and quit it and fuck your complaining.

Believers, if I am not allowed to doubt your evidence, that's a **RED FUCKING FLAG.** If I am supposed to take your evidence on faith alone, that's a **RED FUCKING FLAG.** If I am supposed to trust you on your word alone, that's a **RED FUCKING FLAG.** Count me **THE FUCK OUT.** And that goes for you eeeeeeee-egg carrying beings too. Nobody is above scrutiny, not even Bill Gates. Not even the Queen of England. No gods, no goddesses.

The general consensus, for years, amongst men has been that girls are a mystery. Or in modern terms, "don't try to understand girls, girls understand girls and they hate each other."

And that right there is some beta bull-fucking-shit.

They're really not that hard to understand.

Girls **CONSTANTLY** think about sex. Partially because it's just in their nature to do so. Partially because of the multitude of cocks being offered to them every moment of every day. Need I remind you that even fats and fuggos are seeing more opportunities than you? Every heterosexual male wants to put his dick in a vagina, and sometimes even betas get "lucky." It's natural to want that and no man need ever be ashamed of wanting that.

But girls don't fantasize about troglodytes. They don't fantasize about fat, pimple-faced losers. Just as you might fantasize about supermodels, porn stars, ballerinas, contortionists, and cheerleaders, they want the best they can get. They fantasize about studs, Chads, and thugs. Difference is, they have a much better chance of actually fulfilling those fantasies than you. The game is rigged, but it's really not such a big deal.

Why? Because girls are gullible, stupid, insecure, whimsical, and impulsive. And why is that? Because they are predisposed (and encouraged) to take everything on faith. On the other hand, you, as a male, have a much larger capacity to use **DOUBT**.

**There is no such thing as a female atheist.** They might say they are (because it's been popularized), but they aren't. Girls don't even have the slightest idea how to use doubt. Because with girls, realz end where her feelz begin. Logic, to her, is more like a game of Count Da Money than one of simple arithmetic.

They also have a much sicker, darker side than they put on.

They look and act like perfect little princesses on the outside but underneath the makeup, underneath the fashion, underneath the body mods, underneath the facade, underneath the charade, their desire is insatiable. Not only do they want to have **ALL** of their orifices obliterated by objects far too large to fit, but they want to be flogged, smacked, choked, restrained, spit on, bruised, used, cheated on, degraded, humiliated, and finally rejected and tossed into the dumpster **BY MEN THEY ARE ATTRACTED TO**.

They don't want to "make love." They want to get **FUCKED**. They want to have messy, filthy, squirty, blood, sweat, semen, urine, poop, and puke-covered multi-species orgies. Bam ba bam.

Underneath it all, girls aren't really girls. They're whores, sluts, skanks, strumpets, trollops, skeezes, hookers, and strippers through and through. Many don't even bother to hide it anymore, they're so hooked on attention and validation. And they **WILL** throw themselves at you if you are attractive enough. If you are **masculine** enough to doubt them. Be **willing** to question them. Be **willing** to disagree with them. Be **willing** to tell them "no." Be **willing** to call her an asshole if she doesn't want to suck your dick. You are owed sex because Y chromosome. Play it. Play that motherfucking card.

**Be prepared to crush their authority with your looks alone.** Like it or not, it's not how you feel, it's how you look. If you look like shit, you'll attract shit. If you look like sex, you'll attract sex.

Most importantly, **always be ready and willing to walk away.** Always have your bags packed and **LEAP** for that opportunity, because that's the one you REALLY want! Who knows, she might follow you, which you may or may not want. Your. Call.

Girls don't want **ANYONE** knowing this. And at the same time they **DO** want **EVERYONE** to know this, especially the people they find attractive.

What they do **NOT** want are complexity and complications. And they don't want you to think **THEY** are complex, complicated, and unattainable. K.I.S.S.: Keep It Stupidly Simple.

So here's the "big secret" that's been staring us right in the face all these years.

**Some** girls may be **easy**, but **all** girls are **simple**.

Always have been.

Always will be.

# Everything you need to know about shit tests

48 upvotes | December 15, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Link:

<http://illimitablemen.com/2014/12/14/the-shit-test-encyclopedia/>

## Synopsis:

I've never really seen any extensive posts on the nature of shit tests and people tend to rely on "agree and amplify" as their crutch for dealing with shit tests (which is not always a wise move) so not having written anything related to TRP theory in a while my latest piece looks at shit tests, explains them and gives some examples. Enjoy. Any questions pertaining to the article topic? Feel free to ask in the comments (here or on the blog, it doesn't matter.)

If you're relatively new to TRP and are unsure about "what a shit test is" I urge you to check this piece out in its entirety.

## Excerpt:

### Contents:

- 1.) Introduction
- 2.) What Are Shit Tests & What Purpose Do They Serve?
- 3a.) Shit Tests & Game
- 3b.) Shit Test Passed & Shit Test Failed: An Example
- 3c.) Examples: Standard Shit Tests Women Use
- 4a.) Shit Test Variation & Severity
- 4b.) Basic Shit Tests - Frame Probing & Word Play
- 4c.) Advanced Level Shit Tests - Psychological Games
- 4d.) Nuclear Shit Tests
- 5.) Passing Shit Tests
- 6.) In Closing

### Introduction:

Many in the manosphere seem to think that shit testing is a social device unique to women; whereby a form of social test is employed to determine the social fitness of a male in order

to discern if he is a viable sexual option or not. Now whilst this isn't wrong per se, it is an incredibly limited and rudimentary view of shit testing. Shit tests are a basic yet vitally important part of understanding and applying the red pill philosophy to your life. Even if you don't agree with red pill philosophy per se, shit tests still affect you. As a basic social dynamic, shit tests are something so intricately unavoidable that you're going to want to be able to identify and quash them as a matter of due course. Now without further ado, let us begin.

### **What Are Shit Tests & What Purpose Do They Serve?:**

Why are they called shit tests? Well when somebody "gives you shit" and fucks around with your head to see how you will react, what you are experiencing is typically a (series of) shit test(s). Everyone has been shit tested, gets shit tested and will continue to be shit tested; It's an unavoidable part of human interaction. We use shit tests to make value judgements about people and likewise they can be used to determine how you cope under pressure. The underlying theme behind the mechanism of shit tests is that they will always test your mettle. Hence the name is not only fitting, but likewise accurate. Shit tests don't always have to be questions, they can be blanket (but accusatory/provocative) assertions. These assertions will be designed to elicit an emotional reaction from you and push you into a state of reactivity, causing you to reveal information about yourself.

"Ok, I get that, but why not just ask me what you want to know rather than play these silly games?"

Humans have a propensity to lie and tell people what they think they want to hear. This is especially true of women and the effeminate men who emulate them; both are consensus seeking creatures who crave group approval. This goes some way to explaining why women regardless of social standing indulge in vapid social pleasantries that men of substance have neither the time nor inclination for, but I digress.

On the immediately observable surface level the majority of people are concealing their true identity. Thus in order to make accurate deductions about the personalities around us we must challenge them subtextually and draw conclusions about "what they're really like" based upon their responses. Shit tests can be blatant or they can be covert, how they manifest depends upon the intent and personality of the individual employing the test. The sum potential combination of differing shit test scenarios is so vast that I cannot possibly give an example of each and every possible outcome in this article. Therefore I shall instead bestow you with the knowledge necessary to refine your own analytical capabilities so that you may act accordingly when you find yourself being shit tested.



## [r/sex best of] Kegels

47 upvotes | June 27, 2014 | by [alreadyredscool](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I didn't want to post this before getting results, all I can say is: Do kegels!

[http://www.reddit.com/r/sex/comments/17bfbn/kegels\\_a\\_guide\\_to\\_better\\_everything/](http://www.reddit.com/r/sex/comments/17bfbn/kegels_a_guide_to_better_everything/)

Archived: <http://redditlog.com/snapshots/762081>

### Benefits:

- Stronger orgasms
- Potential to become FULLY multiply orgasmic
- Easier, faster, and harder erections
- Greater orgasm control, both for delaying or triggering orgasm
- Greater ejaculate volume
- Increased sexual health
- Ability to flex and stimulate your partner
- Help to maintain erectile function as you age
- Increased prostate health, decreased chance of prostate cancer

### Exercise:

The cycle is squeeze, relax, push, relax. The goal is to squeeze harder, and longer. Start wherever you are comfortable, perhaps 2 seconds each, for 30 cycles.

Alternate your workouts:

Long Holds: Work up to holding each stage for 30 seconds, for as many cycles as you feel like.

Should aim for working up to 30 minute sessions. These are the principle strength building exercises, and are also good for developing orgasm delay control in male-bodied people.

Short Pulses: Squeeze for 3 seconds, and go directly into a push for 2 seconds. Try for as many cycles as you can. As you get better, shorten the time to 1 second per cycle. These are amazing for directly strengthening orgasm intensity. They more closely mimic the rapid, rhythmic contraction of orgasm. They are not as good for increasing strength as the long hold type.

# There is a "new masculinity" wherein women & betas re-label traditional markers of male success as "sexist" or "divisive". It's a comforting mechanism by which losers will try to redefine failure as success and success as wrong.

45 upvotes | October 7, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Posted this in [/r/theredpill](#) too, but I usually crosspost here too. This is targeted towards newbies, but I still wanted to post here:

There's been a few posts arguing how the anger phase of swallowing TRP is necessary. Ok, fair enough. It's what the anger phase *leads to* is what I want to talk about today.

---

## MGTOW or "Combative opt-outers"

There is an underlying movement (not just among TRP but the Internet and confused young men in general) that buys into the idea that "masculinity is divisive". They themselves have been on the short end of the stick with regards to sex, success, status, etc. They don't like their current positions (who would blame them?).

So what happens? They look at those *more* successful than them in the sexual/life marketplace. Instead of emulating alpha men and doing what is required to be successful (lift & make economic decisions that don't involve a liberal arts degree), they try to **redefine success as not-success by making it seem like their failure & shortcomings were a conscious decision and therefore demand acceptance.**

Thus, any and all negative things now become positive:

- "Nah bro, I'm MGTOW. Men who spend any effort to have sex with women are simply bowing to women!"
- "Yeah she kinda ditched me for that buffer dude...but fuck her! True alphas don't care and I certainly don't care at all!"

Uhh, what? These are safety mechanisms. They FEEL GOOD. But they deny the harsh reality that is the sexual marketplace: either improve your SMV factors or be alone. You don't get to redefine "alpha" as some lone wolf who literally no one likes being around, just as long as he "accepts himself" or some bullshit. And you don't get to demand that women like/fuck you when you obviously are not as good as another more attractive man.

In other words, Masculinity itself is divisive. It is exclusive. It is a crucible in which men are purified. No matter how much society hammers that "success = accepting everyone!", real men know that dominance is the hallmark of all great men (as well as the hallmark of all great leaders that men want to follow and women want to fuck).

---

## The comforting arms of mediocrity

The above section focused on men who actually do self-reflect on SMV, yet come to a "throw your

hands up in the air and walk away" conclusion. Here, I want to focus on a trap many MORE men are falling into.

<http://www.independent.co.uk/life-style/health-and-families/features/dear-young-men-the-old-stereotypes-of-what-it-is-to-be-a-man-are-a-load-of-rubbish-9775874.html>

Cue entitlement: Today's society has this idiotic idea that literally everyone is a special snowflake and *deserves* a pat on the back + appreciation. Further, it is all based on one thing: criticism means excluding people from certain benefits, which is off-limits.

This is great news to the bottom 80% of men. Now, FINALLY, they can be attractive/alpha/included/special/cool too. Why buy into traditional masculinity and work hard when you can be lazy/unattractive and claim manliness as well? What a great deal!

This is a huge problem I am seeing these days. So I want to draw attention to it. Here's a few examples from the article of what a disenfranchised man might see and buy into:

No, there's nothing wrong with masculinity – until it's used as a gauge for measuring and excluding people, whether they're women or other men, or people who don't identify as either.

Since when does masculinity include people who literally AREN'T men? I don't even understand this. All I know is there's a problem when literal scientific labels are now deemed as "exclusive".

Regardless of whether masculinity appeals to you, either as something to embody or to simply admire in others, understand that it's purely a matter of personal taste ...

A matter of personal taste? Sorry, but you can't opt out of gender. You either have a penis or a vagina (apologies to our hermaphrodite lurkers).

Don't take away my success at being a man just because manhood doesn't appeal to you. Go be alone and be quiet in the corner if you want nothing to do with manhood. I won't bother you, I promise...yet somehow I know you're not going to shut up, are you?

Get good grades and make some friends, but don't worry about being cool...Failing to "fit in" at school is a good thing (next to photo of a boy wearing a skirt...sigh)

How about get good grades, make friends, AND be cool? That sounds a lot better to me. Why shame men who actually and desperately WANT to be cool and be sexual? For many men, that is how we define ourselves.

And let's call a spade a spade. Failing to fit in = failing to fit in. Period. You don't call the loser of a game the "2nd winner". You don't call a social loser "a solitude winner" or some bullshit.

young men need to understand as early in their lives as possible that men have a long history of getting their way for no good reason. This advantage comes, of course, at the expense of fellow human beings, and we need to learn to be aware of it and eliminate it wherever we see it.

For no good reason? Men also have a long history of having a shorter lifespan, building civilizations, maintaining armies, and making scientific discoveries. If women were just as effective (or more effective) leaders, then there would be more.

And let's not do anything at the "expense" of fellow human beings! What terrible advice. Survival (especially financial survival) is all about taking value and opportunities away from others. If that

truth is lost on you, then you've been sheltered.

---

TL;DR It is easy and comforting to opt-out of sexual pursuit. It is easy to re-define masculinity as something that is soft. Beta men will try to do this so they can now be successful. Feminists will try to do this in order to keep beta men away & hamster away their alpha tingles. But while the idea of traditional masculinity IS under fire, it is as strong as ever. It's simply more covert now, which is why posts like these drawing attention to it are needed.

# Ditching Friends

44 upvotes | November 15, 2015 | by [dtpilgrim](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Found this on a Red Pill blog and thought it was a good read.

TL;DR: Long post about a Red Pill dude letting go of his old friends but struggling with becoming truly Red Pill. Source: INTPlayer.wordpress

-Introduction-

‘Ditching Friends’, I can’t believe it’s gotten so far that I’m actually writing this. There was a time when I was doggedly loyal to my old high school friends. They’d done so much for me. I didn’t even have a lively social life until I was 18, and they were such a huge part of my life back then. We had a large group of 14 friends, girls and guys, and we would meet every weekend and go to parties together, celebrate birthdays together and just experience a lot of all-round fun. Whenever I see those pictures from way back when, I feel nostalgia and appreciation for having received such an active and caring gang of friends.

But people change.

Or rather, paradigms change. When I met my old friends I was coming from a position of weakness. I thought I was ugly and antisocial. I didn’t even have hopes of romance. I wanted security, love and appreciation. I wanted to ‘catch up’ on all the things I wasn’t allowed to experience during my darker days. From my view back then we were all equal, all good people, friendly, kind and considerate. We had mutual values and mutual dislikes. We were in the same city, from the same school, going to the same clubs and listening to the same music. I was insecure and, in a way, they gave me affirmation.

But it wasn’t all roses and daisies. I was wearing one set of glasses that were foggy and dull. I was choosing to see what I wanted to see. Reality, however, had a different view. In this view, I was still a virgin, asexual and a pretty ‘nice’ guy. In this view, I was liked, but not respected, heard, but not listened to, acknowledged, but not esteemed. I had my secure little place in the order of things, but I was a boy and not a man.

While I tried to be nice to everyone, my other friends were making out with each other. While I was considerate and sacrificed my personal preferences for the good of the group, others just hogged attention with little regard. While my ideology was a combination of utilitarianism and the ‘Golden Rule’, theirs was one of ME ME ME ME ME ME ME ME ME ME ME WHATS IN IT FOR ME-ism.

I was a ‘principled’ coward unwilling to play ‘games’ or ‘manipulate’, and they were Blue Pill schemers participating in the status games.

... or something like that. At the end of the day I can only really blame myself for being Beta. But I really tried to compensate.

-The Next Step-

I studied in another city, but I was determined to remain involved with my high school friends. I would take the effort to come visit every weekend. I went to their parties, joined their festivals and went on vacations. I wanted this feeling of connection, and the protection of the group. I was pretty alone in my new city, and I still wanted to delegate my responsibilities to ‘the group,’ rather than seek answers in myself. I was young and like most people, so I didn’t really mind, though I was still

in asexual purgatory.

Skip forward a few years, and the perpetual asexuality became just too much. I battled a depression, battled a failing University career and battled a lack of confidence. There were struggles, but I grew stronger. With discovering the Red Pill, game, the Manosphere, Roosh, I felt like a man born anew. I embarked on my game campaign, and returned a changed man.

Perhaps a bit too changed.

Once I discovered the Red Pill paradigm there was no going back. My foggy blunted glasses were replaced by cybernetic eye-implants, and the matrix of social relations, Machiavellianism and power dynamics was revealed. Reality.exe was installed, and the realizations made me perhaps wiser, but more definitively alarmed.

-Excavate the Ego-

I was alarmed, because I had unearthed something unnerving.

Underneath layers of nice guy conditioning, self-effacement and self-sacrifice was an ego with wants. So deep, so unconscious that I could barely comprehend it, were my own little selfish desires.

I let others dictate the music and the clubs we went to. But I wanted to put on 80's hair metal rock and go to hip hop clubs.

I let the group dictate the topics of conversation: relationship drama, funny youtube clips and personal anecdotes. But I wanted to discuss history, philosophy and truth.

I put what I thought were the desires of my friends first, in the hope they would do the same for me.

To date, they remain woefully unconscious of 17th Century European Power Politics, but exceptionally well versed in internet entertainment.

Not only did I have this fearful and malnourished ego that had forgotten what it was like to desire, I also realized that I had fundamentally different interests, values and motivations than my high school friends.

If I'm true to myself, my values are: risk-taking, entrepreneurship, fun, independence, knowledge, understanding and truth.

Their values as a group are: empathy, harmony, fun, stability and light fluff.

We only share one common value: fun. I am voluntarily choosing to place myself into a social reality where almost none of my interests are valued and where I am destined to be disadvantaged from the onset.

So why do I keep doing this?

-We Are Creatures Of Habit-

I've known these friends for almost a decade. I've spent perhaps a thousand hours in their company. There has been so much mutual investment that I'm stuck in the sunk cost fallacy. I've been unwilling to cut my losses and been afraid of the consequences. And so I keep on investing.

But the return on investment is nearing the definition of insanity. My status within the group has been largely solidified. My 'role' has been defined. 'Who I am,' has already been decided in their minds and even when I try to supply evidence to the contrary it still doesn't change anything. The degree to which their perception of me differs so vastly from my self-perception is incredibly frustrating.

One night, I'm lying next to an Italian American sorority queen who tells me 'You're amazing'. The

next day my female high school friend starts a conversation with me asking me how I am doing, and 30 seconds later announces she's going back inside and leaves me at that.

One week, I'm meeting with a committee organizing a one-day congress with a budget of 4,000 euro's. In the weekend after, my friends are telling me that 'I'm trying too hard to do things, I'm too concerned with girls, I shouldn't try to be something I'm not.'

One year, I'm reading 30 books on human nature, power dynamics and psychology. A year later, I explain the dynamics of Alpha and Beta pointing out real-life examples based on body language. My friends tell me: 'I don't really agree with you and it doesn't feel true to us.'

I want to share what I believe, but I am being forced to acquiesce to a social reality that values emotional judgments over factual reality, feel-good myths over truth.

I want to be acknowledged for how much I've grown, how much I've learned, how much I've achieved in just two years of hard work. But none of it counts for shit around my old friends. They don't value what I value, and when I'm around them I fall into old habits of low-status behavior, appeasement and making space for others.

The result is that while I'm currently averaging an 8/10 for my Master's degree, bedded 16 girls in a year and spend 20 hours a week being an INTP theory-addict, am being given 'advice' by a guy who lied about going to University for four years, is working a dead-end job with no degree and used to believe wasps bite rather than sting.

#### -The Tipping Point-

Yesterday was the tipping point for me. I was on the verge of writing this article when I was invited over for sushi. A sucker for bad habits, I decided to take the train to my old city and meet my buddies. I was going to really put effort into changing their impression of me. In the train, I wrote out a plan of what I wanted to share. I was going to share a vulnerability with them, I was going to tell them how happy I am now and I wanted to guide it all into going out together and having an epic night out.

It didn't turn out the way I planned. For the first 1/3rd of the night I was actually holding frame and guiding the interaction. But it was over before it began; and by the end I'd fallen into old patterns and had to submit to a frame wherein I was disrespected, undervalued and humiliated.

While I might be Red Pill around chicks, I'm still Beta in asexual situations. I don't want to lower people's status, I want to acknowledge people's virtues and have mine acknowledged in turn. I don't want to recall someone's past blunders, I want to talk about people's purposes and plans for the future. I don't want to cut people off. I don't want to interrupt people. I don't even want to be establishing 'frame' when I'm around friends.

But the reality is that, while in nature I'm a pacifist, they are not. The reality is that, while I want to play fair and want everyone to have a good time, they do not. The reality is that social reality is decided by the one who holds frame. The reality is that in a 3 vs 1 you can never win. The reality is that they don't want to acknowledge my growth because it would mean acknowledging their own stagnation. The reality is that my frame was hostile to theirs. The reality is that frame dictates reality, and that it's a scarce commodity that is either monopolized, or lost completely. The reality is that I either take responsibility for holding frame, or lose.

The reality is I can't change their perception of me because I don't have the skills to hold frame.

#### -Transformation-

I told my friends I was dating two girls at the same time, that I'd helped a friend get a threesome, that I was acing my studies, that I was going to the gym, that I'd taken professional photo's for a guy to help him with his tinder account, that I'd run a committee with a budget of 4,000 euro's, that I'd been reading a bunch of fascinating books and... that I was really, really happy.

Everything that I thought was cool and worth telling was discounted, disliked or denounced.

So I need to change. I might have learned game. I might be Red Pill around chicks. But I still lose in social reality. I still have to submit to incompetent leaders because they have stronger frame. I still have to deny my desires to make room for those who are willing to play dirty. I'm still only halfway Red.

If I want to reach the next level the 'boy' in me must die. That old self which was nice, Blue Pill, Beta, self-effacing, and whatever else, that person who put others above himself, needs to make place for the phoenix:

A phoenix that is amoral, purposeful and willing to play ball.

-Children Of An Absent God-

For the longest time I was afraid of this ideology; the Machiavellian view. The idea that things are scarce and power dynamics underlay everything. The idea that everyone is fundamentally selfish. The idea that there is only power and those willing or unwilling to wield it. The idea that you must hunt or be hunted, hold frame or hold nothing.

In the ultimate chapter of The Gervais Principle, Venkatesh Rao introduces us to the inner world of the truly Red. At the top of power, there is no almighty arbiter who separates right from wrong. All morality and belief is man-made. Man alone bears the responsibility of creating reality. Man alone is responsible for his own destiny.

For the longest time I wanted to serve at the altar of friendship. My 'God', my source of morality, truth and safety was my group of friends. Perhaps it's time to leave this religion and search for the answer in myself.

This means letting go of my old friends, embracing the new, and crafting a social life based on my values, my interests and my desires.



# On why rad fems are social deviants, why they don't get it, why they bitch, why the world is rough, and why not to be like them. [Long]

44 upvotes | May 30, 2014 | by [puaSenator](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

So after a string of posts I got thinking, and I'd like to share some perspective:

The world is filled with people with widely different perspectives. People who grew up rich, will never truly understand what it's like to grow poor. Sure they'll be able to get a general idea for the other, but many subtle differences and perspectives will never be truly grasped and understood by the others. A man will never know what it's like to constantly being pressured to be attractive, but we can get an idea of what it's like to have that pressure everywhere they go. And at the same time women will never be able to truly understand what it's like to have a constant overhanging demand from society to become successful and create value for the world, though some women can get an idea of how stressful that can be. And finally, someone that grew up with a healthy group of friends who were social and never had problems in HS, aren't going to know what it's like to go through HS relentlessly bullied, have no friends, and partying until the sun comes up.

**And that is what this post is about. It's about the perspective and characteristics of victims vs winners.**

I want to start with the characteristics of a HAES or rad fem. One thing you'll notice throughout their entire network it's filled with bitching -- constant bitching. No constructive criticism, no self help, just outright bitching about the shitty world. In fact what I find funny about the specific SRS network which has a slew of alternative subs to cater to their desire to have a "safe space" w/o any sexism and patriarchy which discuss subjects ranging from books, movies, and even gaming. However, instead of just talking about those subjects in their safe space without any Shitty Reddit sexism, instead they just bitch about the subjects. They'll bitch about sexist movies, how sexist this book is, or how the male dominated gaming community acts like a male dominated space.... Bitch, bitch, bitch, wine, wine, wine, me, me, me -- Absolutely annoying and no fun if you ask me.

Now, do you think you'd ever want to hang out with someone who does that all day? Think about how unpleasant of a person those people must be. God, I'd drown myself before hanging out with the type of person that just wants to bitch about games and movies not being fair, rather than just enjoying them for what they are. Take for example this famous [rad fem](#), or this famous [HAES leader](#). Would you ever want to hang out with those type of people? Do they seem fun and pleasant to be around? What about people you look up to and respect, or even that fun group of people you met in a hostel in Europe, do you think they'd hang out with these type of people? Of course not, because these people are losers.

And this is why they have a skewed view of the world. They see the scope of the world through the lenses of social rejects and failures. Take for instance the rad fem crowd who bitches about creepy men, rapists, and the rest of the lot. To us normal people, sure we hear about them, but we never actually deal with them, because trust me, just about every guy I know would love to beat the shit out of a rapist or make fun of a creeper. But I don't see them often, and while the quality girls do see them, they aren't generally a problem because they've learned how to deal with them and get them out

of their immediate life immediately.

Now think about the type of people hanging out with these rad fems. No decent and well adjusted human being wants to hang out with them. So imagine what type of peer group they have. Imagine what type of baggage and psychological issues these people have. The only people they'll be associating with are going to be the garbage of life. So of course they are going to encounter scummy potential rapists who stare too long while licking their lips, or a guy just generally creeping. It's not a symptom of patriarchy, but a symptom of hanging out with shitty people that are willing to hang out with their loser asses.

I'd bet money these rad fem groups generally consist of girls with abandonment and insecurity issues who dress like clowns, socially anxious girls, and just about everyone of them would be considered a "crazy GF with issues." I would bet MONEY that most of these people don't have healthy and "normal" peer groups. I KNOW they are all fucked up severely and ALLOW other fucked up people into their lives. No wonder she views the world with a lens that tell her men are fucked up, because the only men that would ever want to be in her life are the type of garbage that would hang out with some crazy bitch like that, and just feed into her bias.

~~To me, or any girl I know, the idea of having an abusive BF is ridiculous. The type of women I know don't put up with that shit, and don't allow them to get into those situations in the first place. That sort of shit doesn't creep up one night after discussing what movie to watch. No, this shit has signs, very clear signs, that any healthy woman wouldn't put up with. Normally these women are the type that love drama because it's how they get attention, so they'll push his buttons, yell at him, insult him, and eventually he'll flip his shit because the guy is fucking crazy, and he hits her. This shit doesn't come out of nowhere, the signs are really fucking clear. Normal healthy people don't get into these situations. And in the rare case they do, they quickly learn from it and make sure it doesn't become a pattern~~

### **Vicims vs winners**

So what creates these sort of social rejects that spend all day online bitching about how shitty the world is? What creates a personality that wants to be seen beautiful, wear clothes that display beautiful female traits, but be fat and demand people respect an objectively unattractive person as attractive -- without actually doing the hardwork of staying in shape and actually BEING attractive? What triggers a 2XC post where one person says, "Hey life isn't actually that bad. Yeah, sometimes shit happens, and sometimes guys creep me out. But I've learned to deal with it and am quite happy!" getting the response of "No! But you don't understand! Life screwed me over! Life is scary! Everything is scary! Everything sucks!"

Well, one person is a winner. One person has discovered life isn't all peachy and is infact a bit brutal, so she's discovered to overcome her short comings and sees life as just fine. Meanwhile, another person has given up and decides to play victim. One type of person is the type that looks at life and sees successful people and says "Oh they just got lucky and I got passively screwed over by life," and the other person says, "What's luck? You mean hard work? You mean seizing an opportunity? You mean learning from my mistakes?"

And when you get a perfect storm of a victim and a loser, you get a rad fem who just bitches about everything. Who sees the world as shitty, and externalizes all their problems. You get someone who feels that the world is what is wrong, and the bar needs to be lowered to make it fair, rather than the world is competitive and they need to raise their own bar.

Rather than looking inside and asking, "Why was I in 3 abusive relationships? Why do creepy guys hit on me? Why have I been raped." Rather than thinking, "Well when I walk down a dark alley with a huge bag of money, maybe I shouldn't get black out drunk with somebody I don't know. Maybe I need to figure out what I'M doing that's attracting these negative elements into my life. Maybe I should stop bitching, and start fixing."

### **I feel sorry for them**

I do. I really do feel bad for them. I'm not a sociopath, I do have empathy, and I do recognize it's tough. I mean, I feel bad for them that life and their leadership growing up has lead to them being picked on in school, to them having low quality friends who only hold them back, to an environment that eats away at them, to being fat and dealing with the rude comments, to being in an abusive relationship, to being rapped, to all the other bullshit a loser has to go through.

I'm sorry that no one taught them that the world doesn't owe them shit, and if they want something they have to get it themselves. No one showed them the fruits of labor.

But I don't pity them. I don't pity them even slightly. While society, parents, and good old fashioned bad circumstances may have lead up to the circumstances, no one is stopping them from fixing it themselves. No one is stopping a fat chick who wants to wear a bikini and be giving all the privileges that come with being adored by men, to actually work hard and lose weight. Nothing is stopping a person who grew up in an abusive home seek out counseling and break the cycle. Nothing, not once, ever stopped the sicko Rodgers from approaching women and learning how to game. Nothing stops these people, but they still manage to play victim. I have no pity.

### **For society to remain competitive it must have competitive citizens. Progress is the result of natural selection.**

It's why we have shaming. When society members of society shame a person, it's not because it's trying to insult you and destroy you as a person, instead society is trying to make you competitive. It's saying, "Hey, being fat is unhealthy, and it's going to hurt you getting ahead in life. You MUST stop being fat. We are NOT going to make being fat OKAY. We are going to make it difficult for you to be fat until you come around and start competing!"

When you are an ideal citizen society praises you, when you are failing what society considers successful, it lets you know you're being rejected until you get your shit together. It's not meant to destroy you, but to help you. And by saying "It's okay" doesn't help you.

## Worked with a strict feminist female friend today...

44 upvotes | April 18, 2014 | by [puaSenator](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I never saw her in person before this but have talked to her several times. She's from a few states away from me but is a beast when it comes to activism. So we met up today to meet with a rep and had a blast.

After the rep left we end up hanging out and bullshitting. The whole feminism subject comes up and we start going back and forth -- nothing too challenging, but I made it clear that I think feminism is a joke. She even brought up MRAs and talked about how they are just as bad as the SJW feminists. She ends up getting a call from her BF so I leave because it was time to leave an hour ago and I wasn't just going to stand around while she talks to her BF. So I hug her, and part. Nothing serious.

A few hours later I get a text that basically said, "Hey Senator, I had a blast today and it was amazing meeting you, even though we don't agree on everything :) We should hang out more. Let's get some drinks in the city and have some fun!"

AWALT.

EDIT: I should probably give some context. During the whole time she was being cute and flirting with me -- she even asked that I feel her ass to see if it's firm enough for a "guy like me". She then went on to talk about her BF and how they are going through issues and he's just not filling her emotional needs... blah blah blahhh... Soon as a chick starts talking shit on her SO, I just see it as a hamster justifying the next potential branch swing.

# Why do women take general statements as personal attacks?

## [Serious]

44 upvotes | April 25, 2014 | by [puaSenator](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This is something that bothers me, and encounter quite frequently. I've never really been able to find a definitive answer to this, which annoys me because I don't like loose ends -- and I don't think simply solipsism alone is an adequate answer.

The reason for this post was triggered by:

[http://takimag.com/article/feminist\\_fallout\\_a\\_roll\\_call\\_of\\_regrets\\_gavin\\_mcinnes](http://takimag.com/article/feminist_fallout_a_roll_call_of_regrets_gavin_mcinnes) posted in [/r/TheRedPill](#)

Soon as I read it, I thought to myself, "Even though the author repeatedly tries to point out that women are just as capable as men, but trying to do everything a man does may not lead to the happiest path in life, the comments are going to be filled with the offended."

I thought this, because it's a trend I see enough to create a stereotype. I don't understand it, I simply don't. Just because one gender is better at doing something, for some reason that seems to be a personal attack on the opposite gender. I mean, it's not a big deal. Strengths and weaknesses are a part of life. It's not sexist, the same way it's not elitist, to say X group generally is better/happier doing something than Y group. I mean, there are much taller men than me who genetics blessed with height, and when they say, "Hey, I'm a better basketball player than you." The reality is, yeah, they are. I mean, sure I can be the next Pippen, but that's unlikely. Genetically, the 6'8" players are going to wreck me at basketball. That's not a bad thing. That's not an act of oppression. It just is; it's reality. The same way that a at-home wife is probably better than an at-home dad because the momma has some built in feeding tits that I can't possibly compete with.

Anyways, lo-and-behold the top comment:

So women are silly and cute when they pretend they're men? Is it akin to watching a dog try to talk or stand up on its hind legs like people? Men in theory can have children until they're old but the chances of them siring "special" children increases as soon as men leave their youth.

The first part is her completely trying to take the comment as offensive. Even though the author is trying extremely hard to make it very clear that men and women have equality opportunity, women have all the right in the world to take any path in life they wish, and women are just as capable as men in most regards, the commentator still views at as saying "Women aren't good at doing things as well as men, and when women try to do things men do they are just being silly."

I mean, just read the article and then the first part of the commentator. It's like they are in separate worlds. The commentator is missing the point only to take it as a personal attack.

Then there is the later part. Again, she's so upset that she feels the need to say the equivalent of, "Well men have problems after 30 too!" as if presenting a biological fact is somehow an attack on her personally as a human being, and feels obligated to retaliate and punch back. While it's true that the older the man gets the greater the risk of mental health issues in the offspring risk, the risk is not even remotely near the risk of women trying to have kids at 40; who cares whether or not that's true for men? It's moot and irrelevant. The point is women tend to have regret not having kids earlier in their

life. Done. That's it. No one is trying to claim superiority or inferiority, just fact. It's not a personal attack on anyone, so why turn it into one?

Like I said, I see this ALL THE TIME! I know, it's anecdotal evidence, but it happens enough for me to start building a stereotype around it. For instance, I'll say something like, "Women with short hair generally aren't attractive. Sure, there are a few here and there -- I'd definitely fuck that Harry Potter chick -- but overall women with short hair aren't attractive." Then I'll get a woman to respond with, "OMG! So women with short hair can't be attractive? That's bullshit! Guys drool all over that Jennifer Lawrence! Such a contradiction! Plus, do I need to grow my hair out now to appease you?"

Obviously I'm exaggerating a bit to make a point, but you got the idea. I mean, we are on TRP, so we see it all the time with the opponents... If you ever say, "Yeah, I think girls in their 20s are hotter -- considering all other things being equal. I mean, come on, objectively think about it. Obviously girls in their 20s are physically hotter. You'd have to be an idiot or a guy with a kinky fetish to think otherwise." And then the response is something like, "OMG Okay, so since I'm 32 I'm useless now?!" Obviously I never said that. I'm not personally trying to offend this lady. For all I know, she's smoking hot. But I wasn't talking about her. I was talking about the general truth of attractiveness.

I really don't understand it. I can go on and on giving examples I encounter all the time where someone says something, from preference to truth, and a woman takes it as a personal attack.

My current leading theory is it has a lot to do with women wanting to be seen as sexual objects encapsulated with solipsism. They want to be desired by men. So when a man gives his personal preference on something, they take it as an attack on their capacity to be that sexual goddess they desire to become (notice the HAES movement. You'll never see men in that movement. It's just women trying to redefine attractive in their favor). If you point out that women, statistically, are happier being mothers, again, they take it as a personal attack claiming that she as an individual is incapable of being happy as a non-mother, and subsequently a bad partner in the eyes of men.

Again, I just want to point out that this is fresh theory and I really am just throwing shit at the wall trying to figure out this aspect of female defensiveness and internalization.

## All men with game are just good salesmen

42 upvotes | February 23, 2014 | by [puaSenator](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

A common objection to PUAs is how "it's creepy", or "The whole idea of them having routines, and thinking through every situation, just seems so manipulative!" And I'll admit, it is manipulative. But you'd have to be absolutely naive to think "naturals" aren't being manipulative. The only difference between a PUA and a natural is the PUA started with a script, just like an entry level sales intern.

See, women often have this objection, because they don't have to actually game themselves. To them, when they find a guy to be with, they didn't get him because she was good at game. No, because a women's role isn't to sale a product, but to be a potential customer. To them, relationships and ONS develop from just "going with the flow" and seeing how she "feels about it." She's the customer, not trying to sell a damn thing. As the gatekeeper of sex, it's not her job to sell anything, instead, it's her job to (shit)test the salesmen to see if the product he's trying to sell is any good, or if he's just a scam.

No great salesman is naturally a great salesman, he's just more experienced than the rest:

Like EVERYTHING in life, to be good at something, it takes practice. A great salesman wasn't just born great at navigating society. Not a single one. Instead, the "natural" has just been selling for a really long time.

Since they were kids they were selling their parents on buying them something, selling their friends on where to play, and even selling their teachers with their charm to turn the other cheek when they dick around in class. They know the tricks. They've practiced it all. They know what works, and what doesn't. Every great salesman has routines. Meanwhile, the other great salesman started out in a call center or a retail outlet. They were trained and given a script. They were told, just say this, and just do this -- to every customer that walks in. They tell him, "You suck at sales, so for now, just stick to the script and never veer from it. Your odds of closing a deal will be much higher than if you tried your own shitty sales tactics." Then eventually he'll have that script mastered. He'll learn exactly what works, and what doesn't. Then he'll start incorporating some of his own stuff into the script. Then eventually, he's done with the script entirely and has his own pitch that works best for him. With enough experience, just like the natural, he has a rebuttal and retort with anything you can possibly throw at him. He too is now a great salesperson.

This is just like game. You'd have to be absolutely crazy to think that the "natural" player is any different than the player who started out with a script. When a natural is talking to a woman, he definitely knows what he's doing. He's heard every rejection in the book, so he's familiar with how to respond. His reactions are planned and intentional. When a natural wants to get a girl to bed, damn right he has a "manipulative" tactic he's going to use. He knows how to calm the potential customer down, how to deal with shit tests, and how to ease her into the idea of coming to his house.

When we give advice to someone on "How do I deal with this shit-test?" Yes the answer we may give is a routine, and it is manipulative -- just like the natural's. However, the answer a natural will give is also a routine, and intended to be manipulative. He's just a salesman trying to close the deal.

However, the only difference, is the natural learned his through experience and by watching others, while we openly discuss what works and what doesn't. In fact, this is probably the most effective person there is, and women should be scared of this person. Because unlike the natural who will fail and go back home either thinking through how they failed to close the deal, or maybe talk to a buddy, a PUA will go back home and talk to hundreds of people ensuring that the solution they get is going

to be far more effective than what just one guy could give.

Players on both sides are ALWAYS trying to close a woman. They are ALWAYS running routines. Because men are salesmen trying to make a buck/pussy. The difference is one salesguy just has one really good mentor to get advice from, while the other sits on a committee of salesmen always giving each other tips and advice.

So if there are any women out there reading this that think these type of open forums of discussion are "manipulative" just remember, all guys are manipulative -- just some openly talk about it and others don't. And you should be afraid, really afraid. This shit is like "Project Manhattan" of getting laid. I'm far from the "nerd" type -- I'm a semi-natty using TRP/PUA like steroids--, but the truth is, a good portion of guys that start out on their journey here are or were pathetic nerds, and it gives me incredible joy to know that we are building an army of these assholes by giving them the secrets to nuclear power. It's like when the world resented Germany for their role in the wars, but now they are an economic powerhouse, and they run the entire EU. I bet the German's fucking love it. I fucking love it.

And remember, Always Be Closing.

EDIT: I never actually proof read the shit I post -- I didn't in college either. So typo's an clarity are subject to whatever.



## Four major languages spoken in organizations among Sociopaths, Losers and the Clueless: Posturetalk, Babytalk, Gametalk & Powertalk

41 upvotes | March 5, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://www.ribbonfarm.com/2009/11/11/the-gervais-principle-ii-posturetalk-powertalk-babytalk-and-gametalk/>

I came across this awhile ago and think it's quite brilliant in its simplicity. The most effective theories are those that seem self-evident and are easy to digest.

This guy ([Bio](#)) is one of those professional "consultants"/writers/speakers, which means a whole lot of people think he's important enough to pay him to think.

TL;DR He goes into the different implied languages spoken amongst hierarchies and systems in which power is fluid. He comes up with classifications for the players (Sociopaths, Losers, Clueless), their "currency" (information), and how they exchange or don't exchange that information (4 talking forms).

I want to know some further examples of different "talks" people can come up with. What situations are most common at work or in game?

# An Assortment of Red Pill Reports/Studies (PDFs) [TRP X-Post]

41 upvotes | August 26, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

So I have a collection of PDFs on my laptop of various reports, studies and manosphere-created projects which are all of incredible significance to red pillers.

If you don't like reading academic writing I recommend skipping all the reports and instead just downloading both versions of the Book of the Pook. **They are both different in format and contain different content.** To save the files you **may** need to right click on the links and go to "save as" otherwise depending on your settings, Adobe will just load up the file in your browser directly from my blog. Enjoy.

## A Brief Report on the Dark Triad of Personality

**What it's about:** *"Of the offensive yet non-pathological personalities in the literature, three are especially prominent: Machiavellianism, subclinical narcissism, and subclinical psychopathy. We evaluated the recent contention that, in normal samples, this Dark Triad of constructs are one and the same. In a sample of 245 students, we measured the three constructs with standard measures and examined a variety of laboratory and self-report correlates. The measures were moderately inter-correlated, but certainly were not equivalent. Their only common Big Five correlate was disagreeableness. Subclinical psychopaths were distinguished by low neuroticism; Machiavellians, and psychopaths were low in conscientiousness; narcissism showed small positive associations with cognitive ability. Narcissists and, to a lesser extent, psychopaths exhibited self-enhancement on two objectively scored indexes. We conclude that the Dark Triad of personalities, as currently measured, are overlapping but distinct constructs."*

### Download:

<https://illimitableman.files.wordpress.com/2014/08/a-brief-report-on-the-dark-triad-of-personality-the-university-of-british-columbia-canada.pdf>

## Dual Sexual Strategy in Females – Is the Mysterious Nature of Women Explained

**What it's about:** *"Thornhill and Gangestad try to show that women have a "dual sexual strategy," which means that they adopt different mate choice criteria and different sexual strategies in fertile and non-fertile phases of the menstrual cycle. When fertile, they try to choose a mate with "good genes", and when non-fertile, they adopt strategies to retain a male partner from whom they may obtain some material benefits. Furthermore, Thornhill and Gangestad claim that to be selective in the fertile phase of the menstrual cycle (i.e. preferring males with cues indicating high genetic quality), females should not "openly" advertise ovulation."*

### Download:

<https://illimitableman.files.wordpress.com/2014/08/dual-sexual-strategy-in-females-e28093-is-the-mysterious-nature-of-women-explained-university-of-wroclaw-poland.pdf>

## Gender and Sexual Orientation Differences in Sexual Response to Sexual Activities

**What it's about:** *In this study, the authors investigated the hypothesis that women's sexual orientation and sexual responses in the laboratory correlate less highly than do men's because women respond primarily to the sexual activities performed by actors, whereas men respond*

*primarily to the gender of the actors.*

**Download:**

<https://illimitableman.files.wordpress.com/2014/08/gender-and-sexual-orientation-differences-in-sexual-response-to-sexual-activities-versus-gender-of-actors-in-sexual-films-university-of-toronto-canada.pdf>

**Parasites and Raven Mothers - A Comparison Between German and Japanese Single Motherhood**

**What it's about:** *Having a child out of wedlock used to be associated with shame and scorn. This is mostly not the case anymore in the western world. Therefore, freed from social sanctions, single motherhood has become an additional family-choice alternative for women, along with marriage and childlessness. Yet, the institutions that influence women's decisions differ across countries.*

*We compare the institutional frame, in particular labor-market characteristics and family law, in Germany and Japan and, in addition, the interaction between culture and institutions. Both countries had a very traditional (one-earner) family system until the second half of the 20th century. Now we can observe that social changes that happened in Germany decades ago are happening only now in Japan. We analyze if and how the consequences in terms of family structures and fertility rates that resulted in Germany can be transferred to Japan.*

**Download:**

<https://illimitableman.files.wordpress.com/2014/08/parasites-and-raven-mothers-a-comparison-between-german-and-japanese-single-motherhood.pdf>

**Sex as Female Resource for Social Exchange:**

**What it's about:** *A heterosexual community can be analyzed as a marketplace in which men seek to acquire sex from women by offering other resources in exchange. Societies will therefore define gender roles as if women are sellers and men buyers of sex. Societies will endow female sexuality, but not male sexuality, with value (as in virginity, fidelity, chastity).*

*The sexual activities of different couples are loosely interrelated by a marketplace, instead of being fully separate or private, and each couple's decisions may be influenced by market conditions.*

*Economic principles suggest that the price of sex will depend on supply and demand, competition among sellers, variations in product, collusion among sellers, and other factors. Research findings show gender asymmetries (reflecting the complementary economic roles) in prostitution, courtship, infidelity and divorce, female competition, the sexual revolution and changing norms, unequal status between partners, cultural suppression of female sexuality, abusive relationships, rape, and sexual attitudes.*

**Download:**

<https://illimitableman.files.wordpress.com/2014/08/sex-as-female-resource-for-social-exchange-florida-state-university-usa-kathleen-d-vohs-of-university-of-british-columbia-canada.pdf>

**Sexual Infidelity in a National Survey of American Women:**

**What it's about:** *The purposes of this study were (a) to estimate the annual prevalence of, and to identify the predictors of, sexual infidelity in a population-based sample of married women (N 4,884); and (b) to evaluate whether the prevalence and predictors of infidelity varied as a function of whether the assessment of infidelity was based on a face-to-face interview versus a computer-assisted self-interview. Annual prevalence of infidelity was much smaller on the basis of the face-to-face*

*interview (1.08%) than on the computer-assisted self-interview (6.13%). Although many of the predictor variables replicated results from previous studies (e.g., demographic variables, religiosity, sexual experience), findings also indicated that childhood sexual abuse (i.e., forced sex) predicted greater probability of infidelity. Finally, the magnitude of the association with infidelity for 4 of the 9 predictor variables differed between the 2 methods for assessing infidelity. This study's findings underscore the importance of assessing infidelity with methods such as computer-assisted self-interviews that minimize the influence of social desirability and impression management.*

**Download:**

<https://illimitableman.files.wordpress.com/2014/08/sexual-infidelity-in-a-national-survey-of-american-women-differences-in-prevalence-and-correlates-as-a-function-of-method-of-assessment-university-of-colorado-at-boulder-texas-am-uni.pdf>

**The Book of Pook**

**What it's about:** Many different overlapping areas of the manosphere, mostly game but there is some literature on increasing testosterone and criticism of feminism/the cultural decline.

**Download:** <https://illimitableman.files.wordpress.com/2014/08/the-book-of-pook.pdf>

**The Book of Pook & The Mill**

**What it's about:** Many different overlapping areas of the manosphere, mostly game but there is some literature on increasing testosterone and criticism of feminism/the cultural decline.

**Download:** <https://illimitableman.files.wordpress.com/2014/08/the-book-of-pook-and-the-mill.pdf>

# Being called a "submissive" woman has become a dirty word due to sexism from feminists and bluepill society

41 upvotes | June 17, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I have had multiple prior sexual partners who have been very outspoken, confident, image-conscious, selfish (self-oriented) and even outright "bitchy"/moody at times. Yet in the bedroom and in 1:1 moments, they loved being submissive and yielding to the a "stronger" presence. They liked being "pursued" and "taken" in dating interactions. They loved being tied up, controlled, BDSM, etc in bedroom interactions.

Further, I've had many sexual partners who are simply submissive by nature. It's their personality to "wonder what others are thinking" and yield to that. Or they simply like me taking control of the situation (both in public and in bed). Not sure how else to describe them other than "submissive".

**Question 1: Why has society and BPers in general marked "submissive" as a dirty word? Why has it become something to hide for women as if they committed a crime?**

**My take:** This is cognitive dissonance resulting from society telling women that their value lies in how well they compete with men (be independent, have a career, marry late, get a raise, "you don't need a man") versus the feminine reality (which is naturally submissive and dependent on both men and society/interactions at large).

What happens is women who are simply *not* built to be leaders are being shamed by society when they inevitably find out they really DO want a strong man, want to stay at home, be a mom, etc.

I feel bluepillers are the worst perpetrators of this. They have expectations that are simply NOT congruent with many women's natural personalities. This leads to silly jokes/memes about the "friendzone" and moreover hatred against both sexually successful men & sexually submissive/available women.

Unfortunately, given that women ARE naturally submissive, they bow to society/feminism's whim and start to buy into the "I need to be strong" idea. When they feel bad after a failure (or wakeup after being tied up & pounded doggystyle for 5 hours), they start to feel immensely guilty and beat themselves up for NO reason at all.

---

The Flipside:

Some men obviously have a preference for submissive women too. Some men have a preference for blondes/brunettes. Some women have a preference for tall men. Some women have a preference for outgoing men.

I think all 4 of the "preferences" I just listed are perfectly fine. Yet, I only ever see the 1st one (men liking submissive women) being criticized by society/bluepillers, as if that makes the man "weak" or "sexist" or "unable to handle \_\_\_\_\_".

**Question 2: Why does society vilify men who like submissive women?**

**My take:** Even worse than the criticism against submissive women for being "broken", men who actually LIKE submissive women are seen as perpetrators of something terrible. To larger society, the only reason why women like being submissive is because "sexist men" force them to be or set up

a "patriarchy".

The reason this stigma against being "submissive" exists is because the most sexually prolific men are those who have a RP mentality (no LTRs/marriage, plate-spinning, aggressive, powerful, high status) and the most sexually *desirable* women also have a RP mentality (submissive, feminine, supportive, uses their beauty).

As a result, the inability of some men (bluepillers) and women (feminists) to deal with their more sexually successful peers has led to "shaming" of them. So they pick out a key feature in both (submissiveness versus attraction to submissiveness) and attack it.

**But the entire attitude that prefer submissive women is "bad" is based on the assumption that women have no agency.**

If you actually give women credit and allow them the agency to be submissive, then it no longer becomes taboo or wrong. And if being submissive is not wrong, then liking submissive women can also not be wrong, and you can no longer call men who like submissive women "weak" or "creepy" or "sexist".

TL; DR Embracing feminine/submissive women is *not* sexist, but calling women "weak" for wanting to be (and the men they selected as partners as "creeps" for liking them) **IS**.

# Powergame

41 upvotes | March 17, 2014 | by [noPTSDformePlease](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

on Powergame

Its come up recently that TRP has basically reached the limits of understanding women. As in, the idea-space has been explored thoroughly. So whats next?

Powergame.

What is Powergame? Powergame is a method of interacting with other entities in the world in order to gain power over them. It has little to do with gender and more to do with human nature in general. It is politics. I'm sure that many of you have noticed opportunities for using "seduction" tactics on people you are not trying to have sex with. And it works, regardless of gender.

So lets start a list of various material that already exists that focuses on Powergame. Lets find the basic political theory that is already out there so we can do our base level research. A lot of material is in book form and might not be directly linkable. That is ok. Our focus is on finding out how politics actually works, not how people hope that it works. Reality over fantasy.

I'll start by saying that the best case for understanding how something actually works is to read material that is actively trying to discredit it. For example, The People's History of the United States, by Howard Zinn, is an anti-american history book of the american empire. It portrays a negative image of america, but the image it portrays is very well researched and very accurate. It will open your eyes to how the american elite has actually maintained power over the masses throughout the years, not how everyone thinks they maintained power. Another example is redpill itself, which has a very accurate understanding of the true goals of feminism but is still trying to discredit it.

Books: (I have read all of these and highly recommend them)

- - The Art of War, Sun Tzu
- - 33 Strategies of War, Robert Greene
- - Tempo, Venkatesh Rao
- - The Joy of Selling, Steve Chandler
- - Propaganda, Edward Bernays
- - Influence: the Psychology of Persuasion, Robert B Cialdini
- - How to win friends and influence people, Dale Carnegie
- - Battle Leadership, Captain Adolf Von Schell
- - The soldiers load and the mobility of a nation, USMC
- - FMFM1, Warfighting, USMC
- - Tao Te Ching, any translation
- - The Prince, Machiavelli
- - Guerrilla Warfare, Che Guevara
- - on Guerrilla Warfare, Mao Tse-Tung
- - Soft Power, Joseph Nye
- - Rules for Radicals, Saul D Alinsky
- - Understanding Power: the indispensable Chomsky, Noam Chomsky
- - End The Fed, Ron Paul
- - Atlas Shrugged, Ayn Rand

- - The Fountainhead, Ayn Rand
- - The Ugly American, William J Lederer
- - Benjamin Franklin, Walter Isaacson
- - My Early Life, Winston Churchill
- - Concerning Dissent and Civil Disobedience, Justice Abe Forbes
- - MoneyBall, Micheal Lewis
- - The Conquest of Gaul, Julius Ceasar
- - First to Fight, Krulak
- - Eagle Against the Sun, ???
- - Making the Future, Noam Chomsky
- - Imperial America, Gore Vidal
- - A People's History of the United States, Howard Zinn
- - Revolution 2.0, Wael Ghonim
- - good strategy, bad strategy, ???

Other books are welcome in the comments, but so are linkable articles/websites like the gervais principle or similiar. Basically lets use this thread as an idea depository. If you know of something that would help others better understand Powergame, post it below.

editing to add some more:

- - the Game of Thrones series, George RR Martin
- - Starship Troopers, Robert Heinlien

editing again to add those contributed from the comments (3/25):

- - The 48 laws of power, Robert Greene
- - "The 50th Law", 50 Cent and Robert Greene
- - "What everybody is saying", Joe Navarro
- - Impro, Keith Johnstone
- - Reframing, Richard Bandler and John Grinder
- - Meditations, Marcus Aurelius.
- - Genealogy of Morals, Nietzsche
- - Games people play, Eric Berne



## Byronic vs Dark triad.

40 upvotes | June 5, 2016 | by [Awes300meness](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I know that women prefer men with dark triad traits but a combination of dark triad and Byronic could trump both. [Studies](#) 10.1080/01639620600721411) show that these traits are also panty droppers. Women, by nature, are probers. They're pokers. They like to stick curious eyes and investigative fingers into places others would rather they didn't; they test men ceaselessly, checking to see if a guy's still strong NOW... and how about now... and, what about now? They object to things, hoping those objections will be overcome, disappointed when they aren't. They protest about things they don't really care about, just to see what your reaction will be or get attention. They play games because they like to play games, because they're good at them, and because the men who beat them at their own games excite them and arouse them and energize them. These traits are: \*Arrogant \*Cunning and able to adapt Cynical Disrespectful of rank and privilege Emotionally conflicted, bipolar, or moody Having a distaste for social institutions and norms Having a troubled past or suffering from an unnamed crime Intelligent and perceptive Jaded, world-weary Mysterious, magnetic and charismatic Rebellious Seductive and sexually attractive Self-critical and introspective Self-destructive Socially and sexually dominant Sophisticated and educated Struggling with integrity Treated as an exile, outcast, or outlaw

I believe I've not touched up enough on this but I believe it's a good point.

Don't show all your cards.

You know this one, if you've been reading here a while; we talk about it a lot. Don't spill the beans. Don't go divulging everything about yourself when first asked; keep some back. Girls don't do it with you; and you should not do it with them. It kills intrigue and makes a man boring - leave some things to the imagination. This is a part of why deep diving and being a conversationalist revolve around getting girls talking - instead of you talking.

Reveal yourself in layers.

As you begin to dive deep and get to know a girl in conversation, she'll begin seeking to find out more about you and probe and learn too. As she does so, you want to start with revealing only the more superficial levels of your motives, while hinting at deeper ones. Too abstract? Here are some examples:

A girl you're talking to asks you why you moved to town, and you say, "In search of a new adventure," or, "My old town was great, but after 4 years there I felt like I'd done and seen and experienced everything there was to offer. I need something more."

A woman you've just met asks you why you chose the profession you have. "Well, everyone needs a calling - something they can use to impact the world;" you say, "this one's mine," or, "It wasn't my first choice, but it was the best choice available to me to get to where I needed to get to." What do each of these do? They inspire the girl to dig deeper. She's peeled off the first mask - your polite social mask, of "this is what I am and this is what I do." She's discovered the next mask underneath - that you in fact are driven by something other than chance or fate.

In the town example, you're an adventurer; but what drives that, and what kinds of adventures? Or, you'd done it all; but what more are you looking for now, and when will you know you've found it?

In the profession example, you've found your calling; but what kind of impact are you trying to

make? Or, you took a practical choice to get you where you want to go; but where was that, and what would you have chosen instead?

Show some of your "damaged" side... but only if it's unexpected. You might talk about getting arrested, or getting in fights, or getting shot at or stabbed. You might talk about a scuba diving accident where you nearly drowned, or the time climbing a mountain you nearly went over the cliff. You might talk about how you were a loner for a long time, and never had friends. But whatever it is, it should be mentioned only briefly in passing, and it should come as something of a shock.

Don't talk about how you used to be a loner, obviously, if you still seem like a loner; do it when you're clearly the most social guy in the room. And don't talk about your jail time or fist fights if you seem like a tough guy or hood; do it when you seem clean cut and refined. Don't talk about your adventuring among a group of adventurers; do it among reserved polite society.

You need to pick what's "damaged" based on the particular society. Women are enamored with Byronic heroes in romantic tales because these heroes have unexpected "damaged" histories that are thrilling and exotic to the woman. If a girl's been in and out of jail herself, she won't be too impressed about your night in the drunk tank. But if she's been surrounded by people who'd never dream of breaking the law all her life, when she stumbles across this fact about you she'll have just peeled back another mask. Also, obviously, the same rules apply here as everywhere else in conversation; don't launch into an overlong story simply because you think it'll be great for showing how vulnerable you are. Instead, just mention it, in intriguing fashion, and give her the opportunity to ask more, dig, and probe if she's interested.

Be the holder of forbidden knowledge.

The easiest way to get someone to push a button is to put a big, fat "DO NOT PUSH" sign next to the button, with no explanation whatsoever why not to push it. If a girl asks you about something and your response is along the lines of, "Well... we all have our little secrets," or, "It's not that important," you will drive her mad with curiosity trying to uncover what these hidden truths from you are.

Being highly perceptive and using cold reading and accurately guessing or intuiting things about her can push this agenda too. She'll wonder how you knew that, and assume there's a lot more you must know, too. That last one's optional, but the first three are more or less the order of the day for the Byronic hero. They are the essential elements in the cookbook; to learn how to be vulnerable, you must learn and use these.

# Spergs, Cynics, and Manipulators: How PowerTalk impacts the lifecycle of cultures.

40 upvotes | March 7, 2014 | by [Whisper](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

The conversation so far:

[http://www.reddit.com/r/AlreadyRed/comments/1zmm02/four\\_major\\_languages\\_spoken\\_in\\_organizations/](http://www.reddit.com/r/AlreadyRed/comments/1zmm02/four_major_languages_spoken_in_organizations/)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/AlreadyRed/comments/1zpofw/some\\_people\\_will\\_never\\_get\\_it\\_xpost\\_now\\_30\\_longer/](http://www.reddit.com/r/AlreadyRed/comments/1zpofw/some_people_will_never_get_it_xpost_now_30_longer/)

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1zrcs3/on\\_the\\_implications\\_of\\_powertalk\\_and\\_other/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1zrcs3/on_the_implications_of_powertalk_and_other/)

So we can separate people and their modes of speech into:

- Spergs (not literally people with Asperger's syndrome, but kinda like that): Speak StraightTalk (saying exactly what you think is the truth). Believe what they are told. Spergs are *believers*... people who cry at sad movies, people who believe in god, people who are patriotic, people who try to do the right thing, people who think Coke tastes better than Pepsi (or vice versa), people who buy lottery tickets.
- Cynics: Speak StraightTalk, GameTalk (manipulating others to make themselves feel better), and a small amount of PowerTalk. But unlike manipulators, they aren't very comfortable speaking it, or very good at it, and they tend to slide back into straighttalk if they try to relax or stop paying attention. Cynics are *unbelievers and iconoclasts*. Angry atheists, people who think all politicians are corrupt, people who think Coke and Pepsi both taste like malted battery acid, and probably have the same formula, people who think gambling is a tax on people who can't do math.
- Manipulators (usually not actually sociopaths, who are rarer altogether): Speak PowerTalk fluently and naturally. They don't have to school themselves in powertalk, because it is easy, relaxed, and natural for them. Manipulators are *pretend-to-believers and convincers of others to believe*. Cult leaders, people who write ads to convince people Pepsi tastes better than Coke (or vice versa), people who pass laws named after dead children, people who sell lottery tickets.

All societies and cultures are built and sustained by Spergs, because Spergs are the only ones that create real and lasting economic value. Cynics get by putting in as little effort as they can, and Manipulators never willingly build anything... it's much more efficient to let it Spergs build it, and then take it from them. Cynics can build things when they are forced or bribed into it. Manipulators only build things when they have to act like Spergs, either to pass for one, or because there's no opportunity to steal. But Spergs are the actual builders.

Societies start out innocent, whether they are nations or subcultures, whether they begin with revolution or exodus or simply through joining together to form a collective. What innocent means, in this case, is that spergs vastly outnumber cynics and manipulators. These societies are highly productive and good to live in... people work together, trust each other, and produce.

The problem is they aren't stable, because, while the strongest societies are made of spergs, those who

prosper most in society are the manipulators. So while its in everyone's best interest for spergs to outnumber everyone else, it isn't in anyone's best interest to *be* one of them.

This means there is an inevitable flow. It's slow at first, of course. In innocent societies, cynics point out manipulators... and the spergs lynch them. So manipulators have to hide very well indeed, and have to act very sperglike. But eventually, the number of manipulators grows, and with them, the number of cynics (since manipulators create them). But the rising number of cynics actually makes it safer to be a manipulator. There's a boy-who-cried-wolf effect, and gradually the manipulators become common enough to form alliances.

The tipping point is when there are enough manipulators that their activities appear mainstream... and then, when the cynics point them out, the manipulators can call the cynics crazy, and instead of being lynched themselves, they can *actually get the spergs to lynch the cynics*. Using words like "negative", "crazy", "unpatriotic", "conspiracy theorist", "tax dodge" and "part of the problem".

They come up with soundbite political slogans to keep the spergs yelling at each other, and different political parties that pretend to hate each other so that it will look like voting matters. And then say that the problem is people who don't vote.

If they want to spy on internet traffic, they just say it's full of terrorists and pedophiles. If they want to silence someone, they call him a racist or a sexist. Whatever.

Point is, when there's enough of them, the cynics stop wanting to get manipulators lynched (because it's hopeless), and start wanting to become manipulators. They stop hating them and start envying them.

This leaves manipulators free to devour the spergs as fast as they can. Now, here's the tricky part. The manipulators don't win.

Because manipulators are utterly dependent on spergs for survival. Manipulators don't produce anything, so they can't survive on their own. And once the manipulators' numbers are no longer being kept in check, they run out of spergs. They either turn into cynics (who limit their production to preserve their quality of life in a parasite-rich environment), or they're just supporting too many manipulators and don't have anything left to steal.

Manipulators are the ultimate survivors in a stable society, but they destroy the very thing they depend on for survival.

Cynics can spot the decay, but they can't stop it.

Spergs can produce, and could save society if they could work together... but at the head of every SAVE SOCIETY NOW march is a manipulator, quietly lining his pockets with the donation money. Such a society has left innocence far behind and is now in a state of rot. This rot cannot be stopped. Because it makes no sense to be a sperg in this situation. It's suicide. But spergs are the one thing society needs to save itself and survive. So people run about trying to make everyone else bake more pie, while they themselves concentrate on fighting for a bigger slice. But anyone who actually stops fighting over slices in order to bake... immediately loses his whole slice.

When the decay has become so advanced that even the spergs can spot it, who is who, and to what degree, can be measured by their responses.

Spergs ask themselves how to save the culture.

Cynics ask themselves how to avoid going down with the ship.

Manipulators ask themselves how to use the collapse to make a buck and get laid (by pretending to care deeply about saving society, for example).

Who wins? No one. You can't win, you can't break even, and you can't quit the game. Spargs get eaten, cynics become metaphorical (or literal) refugees, and manipulators run out of spargs and eat each other. Or get burned at the stake.

And the cycle begins anew.

# Red Pill Axioms

40 upvotes | March 31, 2014 | by [deepthrill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

The mods have come up with a list of a few core tenets which we believe are the basic underlying axioms of those who are AlreadyRed.

They have been added to [our wiki](#).

This thread is a meta thread to discuss these tenets.

If you think something is missing, discuss it here, and the mods will decide if it's something we want to add.

Edit: Updated text based on discussion as of 2014-04-01 11:36am EST:

~~~~~

To have a discussion about advanced topics, there are several axioms which will be assumed to be true. This is not the place to discuss whether or not those axioms hold true (try [/r/theredpill](#) or [/r/purplepilldebate](#)). Rather, given that those axioms hold true, how do we take our games and lives to the next level.

This list is not meant to be exhaustive, but note that it's difficult to find widely agreed upon topics which all red members find correct. We also don't want nitpicking if something (only) holds true 99% of the time. At some point you need to decide upon a level of significance before considering every single "exception which disproves the rule". In science, it's typical to use a 5% degree of statistical significance, so we'll say something should hold true approximately >95% of the time.

- Dreadgame works.
- Alpha fuck, beta bucks.
- Nice guys finish last
- TRP aims to change yourself to thrive in this world, whereas MRA or Feminism aims to change the world.
- Pay attention to what people do, not what they say.
- AWALT (applies to topics such as hypergamy, women being attracted to a leader, etc.). The individual differences come from the *degree* to which a women is like that. Everything in this universe is a spectrum.

(Added on 2014-04-01):

- Women only care about how you make them feel.

- If you pedestalize someone, said person can only look down on you.
- Never accept less than you deserve.
- *Self* esteem should only depend on yourself, not external validation.
- Focus on yourself first, then care about others. E.G. Diet, strength, mental frame.
- Sunk cost fallacy makes you act stupid; recognize your mistakes and deal with them.
- Maintain frame and stick to your guns, people will respect you for it.
- Worrying about things that are out of your control is a waste of time. Focus only on what you can change.

## How much is too much?

39 upvotes | April 1, 2014 | by [Nitzi](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I know many will say TRP is no ideology but I am going to fight this belief here.

TRP is a full blown ideology. We have the classical dichotomy that is required for every ideology. We have our counterpart (BP), we have our own culture and slang, we have gurus (Endorsed), there even is the classical adoption phase when entering (unplugging), an initial rite to enter (get rejected 10 times a day). And don't speak about TRP, that is a typical sign of cults.

So under the premise that it is an ideology, we stall our progress and just try to justify our behavior and prove our theories.

As soon as ever a philosophy begins to believe in itself. It always creates the world in its own image; it cannot do otherwise; philosophy is this tyrannical impulse itself, the most spiritual Will to Power, the will to "creation of the world," the will to the causa prima. - Nietzsche

Its followers search for evidence that proves that their findings are correct and dismisses all other evidence. Normally something becomes true when you mention 3 exceptions. That is the sheep mentality. First one, then two, then all. But exceptions don't count in an ideology. So they stay exceptions and are dismissed. You stop questioning everything when you believe in an ideology.

It would be a logical fallacy to say that something is wrong just because it is stated from an ideology. But we must recognize confirmation bias and question everything or else we will just commit intellectual incest instead of doing actual progress.

Edit:

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/230wh5/the\\_map\\_is\\_not\\_the\\_territory/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/230wh5/the_map_is_not_the_territory/)



# Women will Beauty/Swole-shame while Men offer Praise (How men & women differ in their approach to higher status entities)

38 upvotes | July 26, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

**"Men climb the mountain to reach the top, women complain that there is a mountain top and declare wherever they to be the new mountain top." - 4chan**

I saw something pop up on the sports news today. A story about a beautiful Kazakh volleyball player and how her beauty has garnered her nothing but adoration from fans but jealousy/resentment from her less popular teammates. [Article \(and pics\) here](#).

This got me thinking about the old quote above and how it's interesting how different men and women are with regards to praising higher status/SMV individuals.

**Women:** As 4chan/anon so eloquently puts it, when women encounter something/someone that is higher status than them, they desperately *want* it to be a part of it. If they succeed in securing that thing/person of higher status, **that means they have been validated**.

Yes, men like being validated too. But women have specifically evolved to seek validation/security, because securing validation from high status sources = survival for women (Men were able to survive through physical means long ago; women could not).

But what happens when women **fail** to secure this validation from high status sources?

This explains why you see women swole-shaming fit men who have sexual standards and refuse to give attention to ugly women. This is why women beauty-shame attractive (and capable) women like the Kazakh volleyball player. They know they never will get the buff rich guy at the gym and/or will never look like Miss Volleyball, so they resent them and end up **redefining the very definition of high status/beauty**.

Thus, you end up with much of Western society, which explicitly **attempts** to redefine "beauty" as a 160 lb Dove body wash "model" and "attractive man" to mean "nice guy" (as opposed to Asia, which doesn't have a massive internal guilt/denial complex whenever a beautiful woman is praised in the media).

However, true attraction/beauty/status wins out in the end. No amount of hamstering can remove the visceral/subconscious reaction to high status/SMV/attractive/Redpill men or women. This is why beautiful women will always be resented by uglier women (And a mini FR: This is also why I banged a petite Thai girl this weekend even though she texted me "I'm not an easy girl" before our date).

**Men:** In contrast, men will recognize the power of status and aspire to achieve it.

When's the last time you heard a man say "Ugh, Arnold Schwarzenegger's body was propagating unfair standards for my body! I'm worth it too!?" No, men say "Get to da choppa! You're an inspiration and got me into lifting!"

What about "Ewww, that girl over there thinks she's SO hot...it's such a turn off!" Even if they have no chance, they will say "Dayum that girl is fine! Props to that guy she's with!"

(For those who noticed, it took only 3 lines to explain men's mentality versus a wall of text for women. This is not an coincidence).

---

I asked TRP this too, but comments here are typically longer so I think it's worth asking AR too: what are some stories you guys can tell of outright beauty/swole-shaming you've observed?

# The Nuances of Rationalising the Dark Triad Personality Type

37 upvotes | July 8, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This is a copy-paste of one of my posts at TRP I sent in response to someone. Enjoy.

Contrary to a lot of the bullshit misinformed posts in that thread about DTs, yes they may not be down for you in a fight (depends on threat assessment and current mood), yes they will lie to you, yes they are calculating but the same can be said for beta men, fuck even the average man on the street who will also possess these qualities who are not DT at all, rather than fear (the reasoning the average man will sell you out/lie to you) a DT will be disloyal for more respectable purposes, they value their own self-preservation above sacrifice for others, **that is not malevolent per se, just selfish, selfishness is something we advocate here on the path to self-improvement, DT's possess this trait INNATELY.**

If you've had good and bad experiences with DTs (I've been friend and foe of the sociopath) and a DT is not gaslighting you or **exploiting you harmfully** in any way, they probably like you, contrary to the bad rep they get and some of the crappy comments in here, DTs want friends too, they want to like people, they just don't like most people, they view them as disposable and with contempt, if a DT keeps you in their life for 10 years or whatever extended period of time, you are not disposable to them, especially if they don't keep you around for financial or sexual reasons, but for psychological ones. Psychological bonds are overvalued by DTs because they rarely form them, mainly because they don't trust or value most people, being DT is a lonely existence which is part of the reason many DTs fill the void with distractions in the form of superficial and essentially disposable, shallow relationships with people. DTs however, like normal people, will form affinities for people they become repeatedly familiar with that do not upset them or violate them in anyway.

You don't understand DTs unless you are one yourself, borderline, or have been friends with one. DTs do not value anyone more than themselves, but they do value loyalty and closeness, just due to their character they have immense difficulty forming trust/loyalty/closeness and meaningful friendships, this doesn't mean they don't want these things, it means they don't know how to get those things without giving up their identity and very few people will trust them enough (unless they are good at covering up the fact they are DT) and likewise, they will trust very few people enough in order to allow that sort of relational intimacy to flourish, and yes, I'm talking strictly platonic here.

A male DT may know a lot of people on a superficial basis and even socialize with them, but that is often as a means of self-improvement, a way to gain social capital, they see it as necessary because they are tactical in nature, but unlike the social climbing neurotypical woman, they don't take pleasure out of all the nuances of socialising, (female DTs tend to vary on whether they like to socialise or not, my experience suggests they can perform adequately, but do not necessarily enjoy it, they feel obligated to do it as a survival mechanism.) DTs part and parcel are not very sociable people, socialising usually a machiavellian chore rather than a pleasure for them (unless they are sadistic types who can

mock someone) and although it is something they can succeed at (being seen with high smv people and networking) they don't really enjoy it because they despise most people they come into contact with, as well as harbouring pretty negative views of humanity as a whole.

They hardly EVER let anybody in, if a DT considers you a close friend and keeps you around for a long time, they like you, and shit, sometimes they'll even do good things for you because they know you're going to owe them for it later and they like the sense of control they have over you knowing you owe them, again that is not necessarily malevolent (although it can easily go that way if you fuck them off), but DTs are insecure people when it comes to relationships, they may be excessively confident about many things but trust isn't one of them, knowing someone owes them is like an insurance policy for a DT, they like that sense of security, having the upperhand as to speak "just incase." Funny because, non-DTs are exactly the same in this regard.

As long as you know what you're getting yourself into with a DT it's not that bad, they can be pretty cool people. I'd take a 10 year friendship with a DT guy than with a bluepill mangina anyday, shit I'd take a 10 year friendship with a DT over the average guy, they are intelligent people as long as they are on the functional end of the spectrum, if you don't walk in expecting some intimate friendship where you pour your hearts out to each other and have undying loyalty you can have a lot of fun with them, shit they will even improve your life if improving your life improves their life (eg: pay for you to come out with them because they enjoy your company vs. the average person.) **A weakness of the DT is they have less options than non-DTs when it comes to meaningful friendships, if you have a meaningful friendship with one they will ascribe it more value than the average person because it is a rarer occurrence for them.** If you are however, a face in the crowd, you are entirely disposable to them, DTs are very "all or nothing" type of people.

A lot of people on TRP have black and white views on the dark triad, which tells me you've never known any actual sociopaths and just go off scripted stereotypes out of movies and shit that you've read, let me say it really simply and this will sound hilarious: not all psychopathic, narcissistic, machiavellian people are the same, they have unique likes and dislikes, various different intelligence levels, very different ratios of M:N:P and some traits are more pronounced, or otherwise more dominant in their psyche than others, someone with 70 psychopathy will care about some things some of the time, whereas someone scoring 100 psychopathy is the equivalent of a man emotionally dead from the high T of steroid abuse.

There's a lot of nuance involved with a DT, DTs aren't all one and the same in personality despite their personality traits, female DTs like normal females are more impulsive prone to neurotic outbursts/betrayal than male DTs (even the functional females), a female DT is like a DT on roids, a female DT with high P has no logic except machiavellian logic (which is supercharged), at least male DTs have the capacity to reason and will listen to that reasoning in some decision making processes, they are not entirely governed by machiavellian logic, although, that does factor heavily, especially if they feel they're going out on a limb. Sometimes DTs will help you and then apply machiavellianism retroactively,

but in the moment, "do a good thing because they like you." DTs can be influenced by others too, they just tend to be more psychologically aware and self-aware than the average person. You see, you can't caricature a DT because they are fucking complex.

Basically and quite hilariously you cannot generalise a DT very easily, DTs by nature are unpredictable, sometimes they will do things that seem quite benevolent, we can agree they care less about most things, are generally more negative than positive, are quite manipulative and have a form of superiority complex, but how they govern morality and what their personality is aside these traits like any other human, varies vastly. Most of the DTs I have met have been VERY different people who have fuck all morals for somethings and then are incredibly moralistic (with a self-interested spin) about specific things they feel passionate about, the thing that sticks out most is how good they are at manipulating people, DT doesn't necessarily mean unreservedly evil all of the time, but they do possess a capacity for evil should the situation demand it. Another nuance: some DTs, particularly men, are manipulative in nature, but not very intelligent with their manipulations, this is to say: they want to be manipulative but the sophistication of their manipulation is limited hence why they like the company of advisors/consultants and the like, they take immense pleasure in discussing strategy and honing strategy and sharing these discussions with people they respect enough to have such discussions.

Picture this: a dark triad person who uses their power to help people, there's a mind fuck for you. That is someone who is high mach, high N but borderline P so a 2/3 DT. There's enough nuances to write a book on this shit, but if you want to engage in reductionism so it falls down to "DTs are the boogeymen of humanity" then so be it, DTs aren't all bad, but when they're bad, yes, they're very bad, it can get intense and that intensity of relationship can be very addictive to both men and women alike, hence the attractiveness of the DT. When so many people are unequivocally boring, the intelligence and intensity of a DT can be a breath of (potentially carbon-monoxide filled) air.

As this post may suggest, DTs are full of contradiction, that is part of their charm and equally, their mystery. Rationalising DTs is like rationalising the universe, it's the astrophysics/quantum mechanics of human psychology.

Edit: typos

## Women's deep insecurities that men forget about.

36 upvotes | March 24, 2014 | by [aaron\\_the\\_just](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Women struggle with insecurities that men simply don't, and I think, as men, we should use these to our advantage. These are the insecurities I have proven to myself that most women experience.

(1) "Does my vagina smell bad?"

There have been countless incidents where everything else was closing fine with a young lady, but she really, really wasn't interested in me going down on her. It turns out she's concerned about vaginal odor. Of course, the girls most concerned with this usually ended up having pudenda that smelled like a rose garden and tasted like honey: women are simply more sensitive to bad smells than men are, and one of the worst things a typical woman can imagine is people smelling her vagina in a negative way--and the worst person to smell that would be a high-value man.

(2) "Am I beautiful?"

Beauty is, by definition, not the norm, and most people are not beautiful, unless we redefine the term. Yet a typical woman struggles with this, day in and day out, to wish to be beautiful, to be above average, to be noticed by other women and to be noticed by desirable men.

A wise man learns to feed the validation-seeking monster inside every woman just enough kibble to keep her craving more. He doesn't "Like" her Instagram selfies, but he'll make her feel that she's somewhere in the top 75%-80%. He'll never let her feel, though, that there isn't some woman out there more beautiful than she. (And there always will be.)

(3) "Am I pretty?"

Girls want to be cute. Despite being exactly what men are hard-wired to desire, girls are deathly afraid they aren't cute, they aren't feminine, they aren't measuring up to whatever high-value men want. (The army of beta orbiters after a girl does nothing to change this.)

This neuroticism is innate; it can no more be changed than the nagging feeling inside every man that he should be physically stronger and more competent. (No matter how strong a man may be, he'll always encounter a situation where he wishes he had just a bit more strength to move that object out of the way, or carry that box with just one arm instead of two.)

(4) "Is my ass gross?"

Despite the deep lust women have to be anally toyed with and penetrated, women, well, don't want to smell like shit. One of the greatest gifts a man who is a practitioner of anal pleasure can give a woman is the gift of her feeling that she has a clean anus.

(5) "Am I unable to have a baby?"

Even amongst women who have no desire to have a baby... the knowledge they COULD have a baby is extremely important to them.

Few things are as crushing to a typical woman's soul as finding out she is, indeed, irreparably infertile.

(6) "Can people tell I'm on my period?"

To see a woman truly mortified, witness a girl in the midst of her feminine cycle goosed by a dog. I use to have a German Shepherd who was an expert at this, bless her soul.

(7) "Am I crazy?"

Whether crazy or not, every woman sits around, concerned about this. Actual mental illness is neither a choice nor readily treatable.

(8) "Do people think I'm crazy?"

The gist of the issue for the feminine persona is the perception of others. The approval of the female herd is important, but not as important as the acceptance of the alpha male. And in short, no woman wants to be seen as crazy--especially when nagging doubts in her mind tell her that she, indeed, is.



# The evolution of The Red Pill

36 upvotes | March 16, 2014 | by [puaSenator](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Nearly 2 years ago to this day I was first introduced to the seduction community. I found it via my iPad on a train in London -- half drunk, and certainly miserable that I had to start the week. Like many of us, it was through a book called "The Game" which was a best seller on iTunes at the time. I also heard bits and pieces about it through the grape vine, but never really put too much interest into it up until this moment.

While outdated, the book jived with me at the time. I was already busy running around, chasing pussy, but for the first time I had something that laid out my actions clearly. For the first time I was able to reflect on my most confident moments where I was having a lot of success and think, "Oh... Yeah, I was negging her and I had no idea. That's why she respected me," or during my worst, "Oh, yeah, I was talking far too much and agreeing with everything she said, regardless if I actually agreed. I was being beta. She resented me..."

By the end of the week I had finished the book and became a fucking savage on Saturday. Literally within a week's time I went from having a GF I was with "just because", to dating 3 chicks -- one of which I fucked at a club with her BF less than 100 feet away.

"Holy shit" I thought to myself. I have cracked the mother fucking DiVinci Code.

From there I subbed to [/r/seduction](#) but quickly grew tired with the amateur league there. I was more concerned with improving theory; not rehashing the same old shit over and over. But out of sheer coincidence, [/r/TheRedPill](#) was linked on the side bar -- my memory is a little weak, but it wasn't linked for more than a week or so.

When I came to TRP, at the time, it was more of a post-seduction sub. A place to discuss the psychology of interaction. A place to go to when you've grown bored of just bagging chicks on the weekend, but wanted to talk about what to do the day after sex. It was actually a really mature and interesting sub at the time. A place for players to help each other play the game.

Since then, it's obviously evolved. It's gone from 2k subs, to 41k subs since then. The narrative and content is completely different today. It has changed from a post-seduction forum, to a complete replacement of PUA. Teenagers are now coming here to learn theory from scratch. Reflecting the evolution of TRP theory. Through natural selection, we've been able to show that TRP is more valuable than PUA -- because at the end of the day, we are just concerned with the most effective strategy to get laid is. And if it was PUA that was more successful, we'd be spending our time discussing scripts rather than lifestyle.

Today, there are a lot of bitter people that absolutely resent everything we teach. They are the same people that resented PUA when it was young, and now agree that PUA is "cool" but "TRP is a fuckhole" (direct quotes). Now that the TRP fork of PUA has become far more successful as a sexual strategy, TRP is the new enemy. Just a bunch of misogynistic assholes.

---

Side note: TRP reminds me of my bio professor who told me that women love assholes -- it's their fault they exist. Because if women actually didn't like an asshole, that natural selection would have weeded them out millennia ago. If women really wanted nice guys, then the most successful mating strategy would be to be a nice guy. Yet, here we are, and women still love a nice dick (pun



intended).

---

One thing is for sure, "TRP" will not be here 2 years from now. I don't know what will replace it, but something will improve on the theory and take the lead -- the same way that TRP took over PUA. I honestly can't even begin to guess what will take over TRP's place, but we'll see soon enough -- hopefully long after we've already used it up for our own needs. The idea of an army of nerds using TRP to fuck sluts really gets my vagina wet. I can only imagine how bad it's going to get 10 years from now.

These evolutions are becoming more clear by the day. For instance, [/u/TRPsubmitter](#) triggered a fork in TRP theory with his post on powerspeak. And now the front page of the main sub is filled with new theory rather than rehashed boring shit we've already heard.

So keep the vitriol high, our cocks hard, and don't be afraid to slap a slut.

~Senator

## Erotic Red Pill Fan Fiction

36 upvotes | February 20, 2014 | by [GayLubeOil](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

My Erotic Red Pill fan fiction was deleted both from Red Pill and Blue pill, despite the fact that it was getting rapidly upvoted. It combines alot of Red Pill concepts into a short fun story, and was one of my favorite posts. Either way here is my repost. I hope you guys enjoy.

Sandra was a interesting woman, she was a little on the chubby side, and had a few tarot card inspired tattoos. In university she majored in Applied Woman's Studies. Ironically, it was a difficult major to apply. After graduating she held several odd jobs. She worked for a little bit as a SpermJackalope, forcefully sperm jacking antelopes so that their antelope semen could be tested for antelope gonorrhea, a serious antelope disease. After that she worked at Meowschwitz a non profit that put down stray cats. Finally she worked at an experimental Bluepill school for parents who wanted to raise their children gender neutral. The job didn't last long because her feminine hips and supple breasts made some of the 'Offspring' as the children were called, embrace evil cis-gendered man-rapist identities.

Sandra was working at a University Library, it was an easy drama free job and she was well qualified. Sandra was re-magnetizing the returned books when a large man approached her. He was tall, unnaturally muscular and had a stoic unshaven Eastern European face. 'Excuse me, but do you have Women are not Responsible for Anything, by notable Feminist author Harriet Potter.' The man said while drinking a chunky substance from a shaker cup. 'Is that water ?' Sandra retorted, being a rule stickler of a woman. "Yea" The man said with a Russian glint in his eye. Its water, I need it to wash down these bitter red pills. "I have it but its at my house, I love that book and I always check it out." Sandra said apologetically. Sounds like an indicator of interest to me, ill be at your house at 9.

Sandra's husband Harrison was sitting by the fire place enjoying his hot coco. The doorbell rang. Sandra opened the door. A massive man towered over her holding flowers. Happy Valentines day he said with that same smirk on his face. "Well me and my life partner Harrison don't celebrate valentines because its perpetuates traditional gender roles." Sandra informed the man nervously. I celebrate it, said the man, without any emotion on his face. "Would you like some herbal tea" asked Harrison. "Id like Sandra to read for me ." said the man as he lead Sandra into the bedroom. Ok said Harrison, Sandra is independent enough to make her decisions and I trust her.

Suddenly Sandra was alone with the man. He grabbed her by the waist. I want to show you something, he whispered. Normally Sandra would object to such rapid advances. Normally, Sandra insists on active consent with Harrison, but she felt safe in the man's arms. For once in her life she felt like she didn't have to prove anything, she didn't have to be a strong independent woman, she could just be. "Harrison wouldn't approve of this," Sandra said in a fit of last minute resistance. Harrison is a very understanding man, he will understand that this is his fault, if he asksmen he will know this.

The man stood behind Sandra and slid her panties off. He bent her over a table and she felt something poking her in the anus. Just don't go in dry she pleaded. Its okay I brought something just for the occasion. Sandra felt some oil on her butt and then penetration, then the emotionless Terminator pumping began. After 30 minutes of pumping the man whispered into her ear, im going to endorse you. Sandra felt herself being filled like a jelly donut.

Then in a flash the man was gone. He slipped out of the window, immediately after his climax he disappeared. She heard the unmistakable sound of a motorcycle in the night probably a DarkTriad. Sandra was confused and aroused she still had semen dripping out of her. She noticed a bottle laying on her floor,a bottle that wasn't there before. She picked it up and read the label: **GayLubeOil**.

**GayLubeOil** she yelled, that misogynistic fucker **GayLubeOil** that rancid miscarriage hating troll! Sandra was furious as semen streamed out of her onto the floor. Harrison burst into the bedroom completely forgetting about their nine knock rule. You sounded upset so I brought you some herbal tea, Harrison said apologetically.

Sandra Looked Harrison in his understanding eyes and yelled **GayLubeOil** into the cold February night. She held Harrison close and began reading her favorite book out loud to him: **Women are not responsible for Anything**

# TRP is going to be the next In Crowd

35 upvotes | March 23, 2014 | by [johnnight](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

We know that women respond to male status. They seek to be near men of power, in male elite power houses.

This is how fraternities thrive. Men, who have some slight initial advantage over other men create an exclusive club. Membership of this club signals higher status and the selection process guarantees the quality of members. Their advantage is hereby multiplied and their social status elevated. Women are instinctively drawn to socialize with the members of the club, because the social proof makes the choice of HSV mates so much easy. The frat members on the other hand get the women, which is the main benefit of being a frat bro. This cycle feeds itself.

Going somewhat into evo-psych territory, if a group of male hunters forms an own group in the tribe or signals the intention to leave the tribe, it makes a lot of sense for single women to join them because of potential power takeover or the benefits of a skewed sex ratio. We have proof of this in the military and oil fields environment.

Some in the MGTOW movement talk now about (non) surprising attempts of women wanting to join this male only club and describing themselves as MGTOW. On the face of it, it would make no sense for women to want to be with men, who have explicitly said that they want to have nothing to do with women anymore. But as I said, there are benefits to it: allying with a new power organisation or benefiting from the sex ratio.

This would not be happening, if MGTOW did not look like an organised group. If MGTOWs were perceived as single sad loners living in basements, they would be completely shunned. There is absolutely no benefit for a woman in associating with a solitary woman-hating loser. But if the woman-shunners form a team that has power, suddenly the game changes and they are worth joining. Notice how it does not matter WHAT the male group believes in. Women believe, that no matter what men believe, they can still be seduced for the benefit of women. It can be religion, conservatism, antifeminism, misogyny, patriarchy. It's enough, that the male exclusive group has formed and that in itself has value.

**What I predict is that at some point very soon the sentiment towards TRP will flip and TRP will become the In Crowd That Women Better Join.** It's enough that it will be perceived as something organised and trendy among men. The fact that TRP is not women-hostile is also a benefit. We still want them in our lives, but on our terms. (They do accept this and they will try to circumvent the terms with their awesome seduction powers.) The fact that we are about self-improvement is a benefit. Fitness is attractive. We are making HSV men. All our field report stories about success with women prove and improve our attractiveness more so.

There is a small advice in this for all the sad losers out there. If you are a sad loser, don't stand alone. Better make a Club of Sad Losers and start looking like you have some power. If you are bullied by jocks in high school, create your own elite high school gang. If the group looks like it can destroy the current social pecking order, women will be drawn to this.

**tl;dr It is natural for women to want to join male exclusive groups. TRP will become attractive to women, when it is perceived as having power and high value men.**

# The "Low-Status Alpha"...a 3rd type of man besides traditional alpha vs beta

34 upvotes | June 10, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This is a very exploratory post.

Living overseas in Korea, I have often seen interactions between expats and native women or vice versa. The unique thing about expats is that many have "opted out" of traditional society back in the West and live quite independently in their expat country.

Throughout the years, I have seen one particular phenomenon. I'll call it the **Low-Status Alpha**.

This type of man is low-status monetarily but alpha socially.

## **Socially Alpha:**

- He is the AMOG, very social, has great game, great looks & personality versus other expats and native guys.
- He provides a "way out" for many native girls; they can "have fun" and not worry about more rigid Korean social expectations.
- Expats generally don't care about looks/makeup/fashion as much as Korean guys. Girls who weren't getting attention before find themselves pursued.
- The expat lifestyle is still very "college" like; lots of random road trips to the sea, partying, music festivals.
- The girl feels she is "special" because her bf is "different". She's also exposed to more beta orbiters because expats hangout in "groups" more than Koreans, who restrict outings to 1:1 dates.
- A more DGAF attitude; Anyone who has lived overseas knows that you feel a sense of "freedom" to do things you'd never do back home.

## **Low-Status:**

- Women almost *always* lie to non-close friends and family that they're dating a foreigner (this even applies to asian-americans). Expat and army guys have a bad reputation here.
- Expats typically don't care as much for the future (or money); Korean women know this and are terrified they are "wasting" their prime youth.
- Most white guys here are English teachers or in low-paying jobs. Comfortable living but not rich by any means. More than money, many expat jobs have low job security, which also worries native girls here.
- Many non-Western countries have more traditional cultures regarding interracial/intercultural dating. Basically, a woman who has a LTR with a foreigner has made a conscious lifestyle choice to be different, and not all women can handle this.

---

I am writing this because I have seen many good friends of mine fall to this. I've known many quite

dashing expats who are social butterflies screw their way through Korea, only to get oneitis for one Korean girl for 2-3 years, then have her dump him unceremoniously when she hits 28 and marry a Korean guy 2 months later through a blind date.

Every single guy was crushed by this and didn't understand that they only satisfied the "social" alpha part and neglected the "status" part, which many traditional women are *always* searching for (in fact, I'd say girls from traditional cultures value status over social ability).

One of my very best friends here who is a white dude just got dumped by his gf of 2 years. He is a pretty buff, social, smart guy who does carpentry, sports, etc. He has a garden. Yet, last week his gf upped and moved out after months of telling him she wanted security/a future/kids and a house and she was tired of lying to her family/wondering about her future/etc. It's hypergamy. But it's quite interesting to see inter-Alpha hypergamy.

**Does this fall into the same alpha fucks beta bucks dynamic we talk about when women hit the wall? My theory is that she is moving from a "Low-Status Alpha" to seeking a "High-Status Alpha OR Beta". Again, I believe the social ability doesn't matter as much as status when women hit the wall. Women will take a High-Status Alpha (ideal) but also a High-Status Beta as well (who still gives her a secure future).**

Thoughts?

# She Will Never Respect Me

33 upvotes | December 4, 2015 | by [MisterDorimant](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I think that one of the most important Red Pills is [this one right here](#). Guaranteed to stick in your craw and burn like a motherfucker. It never goes away. It keeps resurfacing and just cuts deeper and deeper.

For a dose of Maximum Strength, I recommend thinking in first person: "she will never love **me**." I have thought about making a post about this but I don't think there's quite enough to say about it. All I can say is that thinking in first person (me, me, me, I, I, I) has done me a lot of good in general.

But I for one don't even want love from girls. That part of me died a long time ago. It's just never been a high priority for me. I figured if I wanted love from a girl I'd go to my mom, and it was clear that she was really the only one that possibly could. I was an "oops" child but she was pretty good to me. She had her moments of abusive behavior and went completely crazy as she got older but she cooked, cleaned, washed my clothes, and even encouraged me to lift. It's all in the past because she's dead now. So there's no girly love left for me. Not a big deal. I consider myself one of the lucky ones to have even got a little.

What I want from girls is **sex**. And "she will never fuck me" doesn't work, because she will. All girls are total and complete sluts these days with no loyalty and very little shame. It's simply a matter of screening and game fundamentals.

The downside is that as girls get loose from all the cocks being thrown at them, they lose their ability to behave respectably. As a result "respect" is a word that has completely lost its meaning, both for Men and girls. It gets thrown around so much that it's lost **value**. It's become obligatory to respect people simply for being human, which is very dangerous. **Nobody** deserves automatic respect. In fact, the default stance **should** be to **not** respect them and see if they are worthy of it.

That's called "using judgment," or in modern terms, "judging." Somehow, this became a bad thing. But it's not.

"Only God can judge," you say? Yeah. I will be the judge of **that**.

I've struggled with this for a long time. As time went on, it finally dawned on me: this is one of her many ways of saying **she will never respect me**.

Yeah, no shit, right? I used to think it was just born out of childish maliciousness. Bitchiness. Because they can get away with it. Nope.

That was before I realized it's because she's **utterly incapable** of respecting me. Whether it comes from instinct or because this bullshit is encouraged starting when they are young and throughout their entire lives, girls just don't respect Men at all. And as they have gone from the "free love" of the 1960s to going feral in the 1990s to the unhinged degeneracy of Slut Culture today, they've respected Men less and less. It's probably a combination of both genetics and environment.

What's more, if we think about this rock we live on as "Mother Earth," all the unfairness we face as Males and all the misandry in the world can be explained by this. Nature is **hostile**. She doesn't love you. She doesn't want you here. She will make **nothing** easy for you. Especially not the things you really want or need.

It just fits, whether on a planetary scale, with all the girls in existence, or with individual girls.

Respect is predominantly a Male thing. Always has been. Respect is about accomplishment. And the accomplishments of girls have always been on very shaky ground. With the exception of female athletes and a smattering of other cases, their accomplishments have always been handed to them (or they steal credit). They don't even know the meaning of the word.

The other definition of respect is to be able to appreciate what someone or something is capable of. Girls are capable of great lies, harm, destruction, heartbreak, misery, suffering, betrayal, fraud, and evil, and it is growing day by day. Yet for us, we Men continue to be stripped of our masculinity, and this is another reason that they cannot respect us.

They say they want respect, but do they even know what they are talking about? No, they only want **to be respected**, they don't give any of their own. They don't even know how, because they have a tendency to "respect" **everything** by default, especially the most "positive" bullshit you can imagine (the things that make them feel good about themselves). This dilutes the value of any of the respect they could possibly give into worthlessness.

Do I **want** to be respected by girls? Of course. Yet I've always known that it was a losing battle. I have felt compelled to try to earn their respect regardless and I've **always** been disappointed. They only think about **themselves**, and their words and actions demonstrate it. There is no getting around it.

Trying to get respect from a girl is like trying to get a refund from a hooker.

Neither of you will get what you want, and you'll both walk away disappointed and insulted.

She might respect the fact that I do things for her or that I give her things, she might respect my career, money, status, accomplishments, power, and cocksmanishp but she will never respect **me**. Not in the ways I think I should be respected. Not how I deserve to be respected.

She will do whatever she wants, whenever she wants, with whoever she wants and fuck me if I stand in her way.

No matter what I do or say, no matter who I am or what I've done, she's going to ignore me, tease me, abuse me, badger me, belittle me, shame me, fuck with me, shit on me, talk shit about me, talk shit to me, shit test me, pick fights with me, and presumptuously accuse me of being a creep / loser / rapist / scumbag / shithead / douchebag / asshole / deadbeat / shitlord forever because she will **NEVER** respect me.

Not even when my dick is inside her.



# Dark Triad Traits Are Beneficial in Everyday Life

33 upvotes | June 6, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Growing up as children, we were taught that it is our duty to treat people the way they treat us. We were taught that people who are morally conscious are seen as social perfection and thus rise in society's ranks. We were taught to look up to people that were helping their community, people who put the greater good above their own desires and needs. We were taught to subdue our own desires if they were to ever hurt, mentally or physically, anyone else. We are taught to be, above all, kind and caring. We are also taught to be truthful. Honesty is the best policy, or so they say.

I believe that we were taught wrong. And as someone who had swallowed the red pill a long time ago, I wish to express my views of what I have observed to be true in this world. These views I will relay, no matter how cruel and offensive they may seem.

People who are truly considered successful in life are those who have gathered a considerable amount of influence in society. Under this definition, your common constable-like police officer is not powerful. His power over your arrest can be easily broken by lawyers, judges, politicians, and the police commissioner. And to obtain such social influential power, you must be willing to step on other people's toes. Something Dark Triad people are very good at.

**The Benefits of Running Dark Triad in Corporate Life** In the world of business, manipulation is key in moving up the corporate ladder. Anyone who is higher up in ranking than you does not want to give up his position to you. He has a family to feed, and his own financial needs to meet, why should he? Getting a promotion is tough business in the corporate sphere. "In most organizations, promotions are governed by unwritten rules—the often fuzzy, intuitive, and poorly expressed feelings of senior executives regarding individuals' ability to succeed in C-suite positions." "The bottom line: You're left to your own devices in interpreting feedback and finding a way to achieve your career goals." (<http://hbr.org/2009/06/why-you-didnt-get-that-promotion/ar/1>). You are always working for visionaries who are too lost in their own views of how to better their own goals in the company to give you any thought. So what do you do? You manipulate. You use Machiavellianism. You get close to those above you, while keeping your intentions hidden. You pretend to be a humble protege of those in power in your corporation. You learn to play those who are important against each other. You play by the 48 Laws of Power. Furthermore, you believe you deserve that promotion, you believe that you are better than others, thus boosting your self-confidence through Narcissism. And you wield your manipulation with fearlessness. All while doing this, you protect yourself by feigning daftness or ignorance when the need arises.

Those using Dark Triad traits in the business world also know how to dress well, and to keep an aura around them that impresses fellow co workers. They know through their narcissism that first impressions are important. Through their narcissistic values, they often times dress as impeccably as the upper management, and their aura catches the eye of those above them. Their rank in the eyes of their coworkers rise. Thus, their rise on the social ladder starts. It would be relevant here to say that the number one position that people with high amount of Dark Triad traits hold is the position of CEO.

## The Benefits of Running Dark Triad in Social Life

Other than the obvious benefits of being popular with the ladies, there are other social benefits to

having Dark Triad traits. Before I delve into this aspect, I would like to address a counterpoint that many of you would have. People say that running the Dark Triad in social life would lead you on a straight path to prison. Of course that is why you should always keep into consideration what is considered taboo in society and only perform those acts (i.e. stealing, embezzlement) when you know for sure you will get away with it, and when the benefits to you outweigh the costs.

Now onto the aspect. One of the many things that people most value in a person is fearlessness. Society sees fearlessness as a result of having courage. In reality, fearlessness, in most cases, comes from having shallow emotions, like not feeling fear on a deep level. Psychopathy is the very definition of this ability. Thus a secretly Dark Triad man who is fearless will be valued in his social circle. Another thing that people value in a person is how calm he can be in times of danger or in other words, how he “holds frame”. Since it is much easier to hold frame if you have shallow emotions, this can also be tied back to Dark Triad traits.

Furthermore, self-confidence is also valued in a social circle. Usually the person with the most amount of self-confidence is the leader of the circle. And self-confidence stems from a degree of narcissism. No one wants to be led by a wimp, they would much rather be led by someone who is sure of himself and holds himself in high regard. If you want others to view you in high regard, you must believe that you yourself are extremely capable and possibly the best. Having this air of extreme narcissistic confidence around you can be thus beneficial for your social life.

Lastly, in order to rise in your social circle, you must learn to effectively manipulate through Machiavellianism. You need to make people of your social circle dependent on you, as well as turn them against each other when the need arises. You hide your involvement in these manipulative schemes by inducing them in such a way that the people you are manipulating do not know they are being manipulated. They will not know because you will make them believe that they themselves thought of what you would want them to do. That is the way a Dark Triad man manipulates those in his social circle and reaps the rewards of getting his friends to do anything he wants.

Now, one thing arises in the reader’s mind.....is the use of Dark Triad traits moral? If people who are highly Dark Triad benefit from being such a leech of society, does morality truly matter?

It is one thing to use it in only game, and another to use it in society/career and make it a part of your everyday life

## "I'll be your nemesis" [xpost /r/trp discussion]

32 upvotes | November 26, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

[https://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2nh04r/ill\\_be\\_your\\_nemesis\\_an\\_inspirational\\_text\\_found/](https://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2nh04r/ill_be_your_nemesis_an_inspirational_text_found/)

I came across this just today, but it seems to be a top post there.

Hi. I'm your competition, & whether you like it or not, you're being compared to me and guys like me. I don't have noticeable flaws, emotional hangups, or needy tendencies. When I get blown out, I feel sorry for what she doesn't know she is missing. When I close, it's expected, and when I'm gone, women play dj scribbles while they're thinking of me.

While you are playing video games, I am lifting. While you're picking out your outfit, I'm doing crunches so I can take my clothes off. While you're at your job, I'm at my career. While you're hanging in your parents basement, I'm paying off my mortgage. While you're questioning whether or not she likes you, I've already found out. When you're pining over the one that got away, I'm making her laugh and horny but walking away because she's not that interesting. While you're pissing around on the internet, I'm emailing, texting and calling all the women you're too much of a pussy to try and attract.

And the worst part is, you probably hold women in higher regard than I do, and treat them with more respect, care, and kindness than I ever have. Do you hate me? You should, because I'm the guy she wishes your text was from. I'm the guy that makes you feel 2 inches tall, I'm the reason that women have their emotions guarded, and I'm the guy you'd punch out if you weren't such a little bitch.

If you can't find enough motivation to change your life just by thinking about all the beautiful ladies you don't know yet, you can find that motivation by thinking about me, blowin it on the love of your life's face, then not calling her. You've got to be better, work harder, and do more to be her hero.

Or you can just skip your workout today, stay home tonight & jerk off. Please do, because that makes it so much easier for me and all the other assholes like me that are doing what needs done to impress the fairer sex.

I actually appreciate the Patrick Bateman-like confrontational tone. It very much reminded me of the [Intro to *The Libertine*]([www.youtube.com/watch?v=nUtwSGSRViE](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nUtwSGSRViE)) starring Johnny Depp, who has a very similar monologue.

I think both the written passage and the video clip portray the necessarily cutthroat environment that is the sexual marketplace distilled into a dramatic narrative. In other words, you will rarely find anyone to look you in the face and say this to you; it merely represents the manifestation of all that you're up against. It's the manifestation of your competition and the reality that there's bigger fish out there.

*In basic terms, it's as if the environment is talking to you as a character. This is the environment and*

*hostile climate you're faced with.*

So I want to re-start the discussion on that note.

However, the comments in [/r/theredpill](#) were horrendous and juvenile. They take issue with "crunches are outdated" and "I play video games...so what?" and "herp derp mortgage isn't actually a good thing in this economy!". They are like middle school kids who completely miss the point of the post. Video games are a metaphor for being dorky and anti-social; crunches represent improving your body; mortgage represents financial status. For every underwear model who happens to play video games, there's 10 million who sit on their ass and play video games.

*Basically, don't take the post too literally, as if the OP is telling all of us that he's awesome and we should be wary. Again, the speech itself is the sexual marketplace as a literal character explaining that things are actually harder than they seem and stacked against us*

Further, I see the same alpha-shaming that I see feminists use. They don't like the post's confrontational tone, so they shame the writer as being "too douche", "too competitive", "a slave to women", etc. What happened to examining results? What happened to sexual strategy is amoral?

EDITS: bolded parts

---

My thoughts:

1) Is the tone in the passage/video really inappropriate? Or has [/r/theredpill](#) moved past being able to handle harsh language? (I recall posts a couple years ago being worse than this)

2) I believe the kneejerk anti-reaction to the post is a natural male reaction to seeing someone better than you. Namely, you hate it. You're a big fish and comfortable with yourself, then you see some bigger fish come blow you out of the water, confront you, maybe even insult you, and now you're very uncomfortable.

BUT, if his results are superior to yours...then I believe you must ignore the harsh tone and examine "what exactly IS he doing? How is he getting these results?"

In this case, there are a bunch of guys who act just like this. I've had the experience of meeting some millionaire lifestyle bachelors and while they were 100% insufferable (and dismissive of others), they were also 100% results-oriented and effective with women. If you kept up with them, great...but they don't care either way.

3) I think the attitudes in the video/passage should be implicitly adopted by the redpill. Again, the passage is a dramatic narrative and no one would be all wannabe badass and say this randomly to someone's face. It's more the lifestyle and attitude you carry around. In fact, the entire passage can simply be manifested in telling your friend "NO" when he asks you to skip the gym. It's not complicated.

# How Women Argue

32 upvotes | March 9, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://illimitablemen.com/2014/03/09/how-women-argue/>

Excerpt:

The fundamental difference in what women say they want and what they actually want in practice is a product of the notion that women tend to exercise rationalisation, not reason in and of itself. Most women have extremely weak reasoning, you'll notice in arguments with them that they will try to attack the credibility of your logic to try to make themselves look better, this is the classic "I can't beat the competition so I'll try to make the competition less effective" strategy that women employ on a grand scale with ideas like fat acceptance, but applied on a micro-scale in their interactions on a one-to-one basis.

Questioning a mans logic and credibility is a way a woman essentially "brings a man down to her level of absurdity." There comes a line of questioning so invasive, so interrogative and so unreasonable, that a man, feeling like he is on the defense, will yield his logic to his sense of frustration, and then the woman who deliberately and calculatingly imposed this form of mental tyranny in her sense of outrage will then use this frustration as a weapon against the man to further reduce his credibility by pointing out quite proudly that he is in fact no more logical than she!

Women will hold you to your logic as it forces you to take responsibility for things they do not wish to, but they are bound by no such logic themselves because they have no prevailing internal dialogue that is actually based on logic, at best they tend to have segmented ideas based on emotional thought layered with rationalisation that works to present a veneer of intellectual credibility, which is later necessary for the purpose of saving face. What women are doing here is exploiting the nature of logic and the sense of duty to the truth which is inherent within it, they make you feel bad by making you feel like you violate your own sense of duty to the truth whilst simultaneously feeling no such duty themselves. This gives them an edge in verbal combat as once you are emotionally compromised within your own frame of reference, questioning your own sense of logic due to your emotionally provoked slip-up, they can then exploit this momentary weakness to dominate the agenda.

## advertising this subreddit

32 upvotes | February 24, 2014 | by [RedPillD](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Mods, feel free to delete this if it's not wanted.

I've noticed some people have begun advertising this subreddit in the [/r/TheRedPill](#). I don't know about others, but I was invited here. I had no idea this subreddit existed before then. This subreddit feels very exclusive and implies that it's members are "already red".

Right there in the sidebar it says

For that reason, we promote less content, but higher quality, less active members, but higher member quality, and etc.

It's not like this is a private subreddit. Anyone can join and post. If all the masses know about this subreddit because people are mentioning it in TRP, everyone, including the newbies, will subscribe and spread their shit here.

# How to Apply The 48 Laws of Power (Become More Effective in Your Manipulations)

32 upvotes | April 25, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

**Full article:** <http://illimitablemen.com/2014/04/25/how-to-apply-the-48-laws-of-power/>

**Meat of the article (not a TLDR by any measure):**

Now in paragraph 1 talents which are fundamental to the weaponization of Machiavellianism were mentioned, so to begin:

Firstly, you need to possess an analytical mind, a mind that thinks more than it feels, it is imperative that your modus operandi utilises logic rather than emotion if you are to apply the laws of power effectively. Emotive reasoning encourages fallibility, transparency (which is anti-Machiavellian) and the exposure of one's weaknesses, women in particular find this talent incredibly difficult to master as it in essence goes against the very basis of their natures. Emotional reasoning leads to incorrect deductions and poor judgement. Emotive reasoning is inward (solipsistic) whilst logical reasoning is outward (abstract), in order to analyse one must be looking outward and comprehending the outward with as little confusion from the inward as possible, if this is achieved one can deduce with great precision.

Secondly, your mind must be mentally fit rather than lethargic, you must develop the muscle of your wit, that is to say you should understand people's words and actions on multiple levels, entendre, innuendo, puns, they are the language of subtext, if you cannot pierce the realm of subtext, you cannot hope to be a successful Machiavellian. If you cannot understand the subtleties of a person's character, you cannot hope to ever truly know and connect with them as the nature of their being in its entirety is beyond the limits of your mind's understanding. Subtext is a realm of communication all of its own, if you are blind to it, it will become your Achilles's heel and your obliviousness will reduce your overall effectiveness.

Thirdly, and this is something of an extension of the last point, articulacy, the silver tongue, you need the competency to verbally riposte with mental dexterity. This skill is incredibly important, mostly as a means of defence as to aid with deflection and pressure reversal, however, it may also be used as a tool of interrogation should that be one's proclivity. It is an especially useful skill when one is caught off guard by an ambush, that is to say, a psychological test is posed to you abruptly in full-view of an audience where there is surmounting social pressure for you to respond with an air of effortlessness or otherwise incur a social penalty. A successful Machiavellian knows how to defend themselves from Machiavellian devices as well as attack with them and thus needs to be quick off the draw.

Fourthly, you must develop emotional intelligence (this is where women make up for their weakness in point 1), this doesn't mean that you should analyse with emotion but rather that you must be capable of communicating with it, understand the relationship between

emotions by learning how they invoke and relate to neighbouring emotions. Likewise to be capable of this you should have an intricate grasp of the characteristics and depth of each emotion. You need to understand the nature of each emotion itself so that this can be leveraged to influence people into predictable outcomes. Portraying the correct emotional appearance for the context at hand as well as understanding how other people's emotions form the basis of their disposition is another fundamental facet of emotional intelligence. By understanding emotion you can effectively fill another person's emotional void by making yourself the source of the emotion they lust for (law 11 - keep people dependant on you.) Understanding someone's emotional makeup is the most effective way of influencing them as it allows you to be a supplier of what they mentally crave. With enough knowledge of a particular character anyone can be a source of emotional sustenance for another regardless of the amount of physical resources they possess, this ability to be an emotional object of desire can allow for great social mobility and thus it's importance is not to be underestimated. Likewise, emotional intelligence combined with an understanding of a person's interpersonal relationships can be used to identify a person's emotional weak spots and leverage those for gain should you choose to engage in a more aggressive approach.

Fifthly, you must develop the ability to cold read, that is to say, detect, comprehend and understand subtle non-verbal cues such as vocal tonality, posture/body language, eye/facial movements, choice of clothing/accessorization as well as accent and lingual register (e.g.: posh speak, Ebonics etc.) This allows you to deduce someone's state in real-time; quickly deduce the type of character they are presenting to you, as well as process feedback about how they currently feel about you. Knowing how people truly feel about you regardless of what they say as well as having the ability to make accurate generalisations about a person without having to psychologically probe them for the information is an invaluable skill. Cold-reading is undoubtedly a prerequisite on any budding Machiavellian's list of talents as it contributes to the previously mentioned importance of critical analysis, the need for a mind that can reach outward rather than one that is predisposed to imprison itself inwardly.

Sixthly is superficial charm, Machiavellianism requires constant in-field analysis and ample socialisation, every interaction you have should have meaning to it, in your conversations you should be summing a person up and collecting information on each of those you interact with so that you may come to understand the true nature of the people you deal with. Likewise in the process of doing so you may also happen upon valuable information which has the potential to grant you personal power over said people (e.g.: secrets, admissions in confidence etc.) It is so incredibly common nowadays that the average man or woman seeks to greet you with an inauthentic version of themselves, their fabled social representative, it is this form of learned defence mechanism people employ that you must overcome in order to become properly acquainted. It is thusly important that Machiavellianism is veiled with charm and/or humour, charm is the anaesthesia of suspicion, it allows you to probe deeply into the psyche of others without causing pain or suspicion, just as in the physical realm chemical sedatives allow doctors to operate on a person in much the same way. If people do not feel they can trust you, if you lack the ability to make people feel comfortable with a charming disposition, no matter how superficial that charm is, then the interrogative nature of a Machiavellian will arouse ill-feeling that can



result in ostracisation. For best results one should always apply charm, charm is necessary for comfort.

These six components are that which make up the vocational toolbox required to facilitate a person's successful application of the 48 Laws of Power, reading the book and having none or perhaps only one or two of the qualities I just listed will not turn you into a competent Machiavellian as you will lack the vocational competency to effectively execute the laws of power. The book in and of itself is not enough to create a Machiavellian, but merely acts to enable the oblivious everyday man or woman to recognise when they're dealing with a Machiavellian. If you wish to become a successful Machiavellian you must achieve competency in the skills previously listed for if you do not you will be deficient in ability, crippling your effectiveness.

# Developing your inner strength by increasing abundance, an alternative to the fake-it-till-you-make-it approach

32 upvotes | April 10, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

One of the core tenets of TRP is the practice of abundance. Although it is effective to some degree to try and rewire your brain by thinking with a mentality of abundance, TRP at its core is built on biological, observable, and verifiable reality, which means practicing abundance is ultimately more effective when it actually exists in the world and not only in the mind. Actual existence creates verification/proof and feedback loops of having something tangible instead of trying to maintain a mental construct.

I have an issue with faking behavior by starting with a mental construct because in many cases, there's no clear plan for how to take that delusion and convert it to reality. The overall idea is that we create perception and then strive to become that perception over time. I think the reason that faking actually works is because it forces oneself to move past a scarcity of handling rejection, to having an abundance of confidence in learning how to move past rejection and failure. In other words, the real dynamic is increasing abundance.

However, faking it is not the only starting point for increasing abundance. I have found it to be more effective to practice compounding small wins over time by starting with an ability or attribute that you possess and working to improve it. This improvement exercises the development of your will, which makes it possible to tackle other and bigger challenges. So say for example you have an aptitude or interest in mechanics. Spend time, take a class in small engines or welding, or some other manly thing where you build and improve mechanically. Suddenly you go from having a practical scarcity of knowledge and skill, to having a real, verifiable, demonstrable abundance. It may not ever matter to anyone, and it may not increase your SMV right away, but you can point to it and say "fuck yeah, I did it." This can apply to anything... literature, business, cooking, style... whatever is important to you.

Something small that matters a lot to you where you have abundance is a fantastic starting point mentally to give you confidence to pursue a sexual or other strategy on your own terms. Because what happens is that your willpower gets exercised, and it's like lifting. Eventually you get huge inside with your inner game because there's a snowball effect in taking on new challenges, and achieving abundance in your life in the way that is meaningful to you. What you learn and what you keep, nothing and nobody can take that away from you.

When the world looks impossible and so challenging, get off your ass and achieve a small win. Any small win. And build on it. Have abundance in something, and keep working on changing those areas where you have scarcity. Because, fuck it, that's why. Celebrate yourself.

# Hatefuck

31 upvotes | September 26, 2015 | by [MisterDorimant](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

So you want to get laid. Congratulations, take a seat.

You took the red pill, are lifting and improving, studied game until your eyes bled, and have developed a strong sense of narcissism. Congratulations, take a seat.

You correctly see that women are nothing more than a collection of wet holes that exist only for your pleasure and that most aren't even remotely worthy of that privilege. Congratulations, take a seat.

You know that the weak, whiny, bitchy, incompetent, overvalued, privileged, pampered, coddled, spoiled rotten life support system for those holes is not your friend and has her own agenda..

Congratulations, take a seat.

Indifference makes the difference with women. You have to ignore them to get them interested, create opportunities for sex, still be able to pull the trigger when the time comes, and be able to run Dread Game should you like her enough to accept any offers of a relationship she gives. But with competition and their never-ending list of standards and tunes for you to tap-dance to, you're still not getting the success you are entitled simply for being born with a dick (and you **ARE**).

You're getting scraps or attracting low value women.

Worse, everyone and everything is standing in your way, and they aren't going to make it easy for you.

And even though you maintain frame, composure, and speak with conviction, you're still finding yourself frustrated from time to time. Eventually you become bored and develop a truer sense of disinterest to the point of staying indoors for months.

Women try to make it very easy to hate them. AWALT: they are lying, cheating, self-absorbed teenage prosties that can **AND WILL** have their cake and eat it too. You can expect nothing but to be shut out of their reindeer games.

But you don't hate women. You can't even hate them if you **TRY**. Your brain just won't let you. **They really cannot help what they are, how they behave, and what they are attracted to**, and you just want to take the skinboat to tuna town. Yet after wasting countless days studying gynocentric sex manuals you have discovered the truth that **YOUR** pleasure is all that really matters, not hers.

I know **WHY** I am failing. But **HOW** the fuck do I spin this muhfugqin baseball cap around so it's pointed in the right direction?

Law 36 is the key. But this isn't a magic key. In this circumstance, it's just an ordinary key. In this circumstance, it only works on the correct lock, just like any other key would. What, you were expecting a miracle?

Disdain is defined as "to regard as beneath consideration, to treat with contempt, disrespect, scorn, disinterest, and unimportance." "Hate" in a nutshell, according to the political correctness sensitivity police.

If you are unattractive and treat women with such disdain, you'll get mixed results and quite probably some White Knights sent your way. You might get "lucky." But here at Dorimant Sugarfree Industries, we aren't interested in luck. We are interested in **SKILL**.

So which is the correct lock? What should I hate **to maximize my sexual success?** Read carefully

over the following questions and you will probably find yourself realizing that, while you may have never asked these questions to yourself, you **HAVE** found yourself placing this contempt accordingly.

Should I hate women? No.

Should I hate girls? No.

Should I hate a particular girl I have targeted for insemination? No.

Should I hate females of any species? No.

Should I hate myself? No.

Should I hate the world? No.

Should I hate the cosmos? No.

Should I hate the media? No.

Should I hate porn? No.

.

.

.

Hmmm, what else is there?

Ah that's right, sex.

Should I ha— ... wait a moment.

What has made me sheepish or anxious around girls? Sex.

What has made me behave so foolishly so many times, even when girls aren't around? Sex.

What has made me dress like shit? Sex.

What has made me babble on and on about tiresome, uninteresting things? Sex.

What has distracted me from my passions, hobbies, and work? Sex.

What has caused me to make stupid, irrational decisions? Sex.

Why can't I think clearly? Sex.

What has consumed me with desire, as if nothing else mattered? Sex.

I'm not religious in the slightest but seriously, how can I **NOT** hate sex?

One of my cum receptacles asked me to "hatefuck" her. I thought that meant "slam my vadge harder you fucking pussy," and so I did. Unsurprisingly, it wasn't enough. After I fired off a few rounds, she still hadn't orgasmed herself and insisted that I finish her off. Fun for both of us of course, but anti-climactic and an obvious power play on her part.

What I realized almost immediately is that in order to get even reasonably good sex, I have to **HATE** it. Even when my dick is inside her. Oh do I **HATE** it when **THAT** happens.

But there's more to it than that.

After a month or so of this vibe, I've found myself appreciating myself a lot more. I've accomplished more in this past month than I have all year. And when girls have looked at me I just grunt at them and go about my business.

The deck may be stacked against men, but sex is the **ONE** card that women can play. Hate sex and

they lose **ALL** of their power.

If you want to try an interesting social experiment, try responding to an IOI with a straight-up, deadpan "no thanks, I hate sex." Say it right to her face. Mean it. Walk away.

Take a good, hard look at yourself and your surroundings. You won't have to go very far to find the reasons to hate sex. And they will reveal themselves abundantly to you if you choose to see them.

Women are slaves to their emotions.

Men are slaves to sex.

We don't like to admit that to ourselves, but it's instinctively a male imperative to spread our seed far and wide, preferably with high quality women. In the interest of being attractive, we try to resist or replace those urges, but there's always a little bit of struggle there. We are never truly free. We always desire it and having it in all of the ways that we truly desire eludes us. It is only reasonable to disdain our chains. And we must do exactly that in order to even see them.

You'll know you're doing it right when it's not a matter of becoming celibate or asexual. It's not about /r/NoFap. It's not about MGTOW. It's not about going on an anti-porn / anti-sex / anti-woman crusade. It's not about holing up in your bedroom and being bitter, jaded, defeated, and weak. It's about putting that misplaced and misguided rage you have inside of you where it belongs.

Society will do everything it can to change your mind. And thanks to mass media and advertising, it is rare that you will hear otherwise. But money, power, and most importantly **SEX** just do not matter at all.

Feminists have hated sex for decades and they've gotten laid more than me.

They've done all the hard work of ruining it for just about everyone.

I never thought I would say this, but thanks!

Sex is the worst thing ever.

# Pleasureman Explains The Decline

31 upvotes | March 28, 2015 | by [isthatyourdaughter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I'm sharing these here because I don't want to create the opportunity for a thousand new guys to go shitting up one of my favorite websites, [MyPostingCareer.com](#), with a bunch of gay shitposts. Out of 100,000 guys, it would only take a few herp derps to ruin it. It's one of the few websites in the Hateosphere where you can find guys who actually sound like they leave the house on a regular basis, as opposed to the try hards and spergy wierdos who make "Neoreaction" look like a joke to the undecided. There's some good ideas out there, but there's also a lot of high falutin navel gazing. They'd be better off just calling themselves [Paleocons](#) and letting it go at that.

Ever since I found the manosphere a few years back, the whole **"FEMINISM DID THIS!!"** sentiment you find in Red Pill thought has always stuck in my craw. It's too convenient; you can't use it to explain a lot of the symptoms of modern decline without performing some serious mental gymnastics. And "The Cathedral" doesn't really do much better as a scapegoat, because then you have too much focus on liberalism/progressivism. Left vs. Right is just a smokescreen; it keeps the plebes distracted, keeps them at odds with each other, and gives them a sense that they know how the world works. Power is the only thing that actually counts, everything else is just the emperor's table scraps. You could say wealth and status are important too, but I consider wealth to be merely a physical manifestation of power, and status only matters as a way to gain access to the inner circles of the aristocracy. Wealth and status are tools in the toolbox, but power is its own reward.

We're all here to learn the rules of the game being played in the REAL real world, the court of the 0.01 Percenters and their relatives and hangers-on. But it's also useful to know the rules of the game we're trying to leave behind, the one being played by the remainder of society, which means familiarizing ourselves with the forces that influence the lives of the majority. I think Pleasureman really has a much more realistic and satisfying take on the question of "how did we get here?" than pretty much anywhere else that talks about *"The Decline."* Of course, some of us share Roissy's philosophy of "I'll be poolside," but some guys out there just can't accept a life of self interest and libertinism.

Pleasureman, the big cheese at MPC, is a pretty sharp guy, though he has the sort of "I'm the only grown up in the room" smugness you'd expect from an intelligent conservative. Like most non-celebrity real world conservatives, he holds to the idea that any man out of high school who even remotely cares about his place in the pecking order, is hopelessly immature. So what he has to say isn't directly relevant to RP theory, but it still makes for an interesting read. **Be forewarned:** the website itself is like the Off Topic section of a paleoconservative news forum, full to the rafters of harsh talk and "intellectual racism." Then again, there's probably at least a few of you who lurk there already.

[The Limits of Human Scale](#)

[A Guide to the Managerial Revolution](#)

The Stupidity of Intelligence

The Nerd Sex

Transactional and Relational Methods of Interaction

The Disintegration of Conceptual Life

The Culture of Critique

The Future of Racism

Not by Pleasureman, but pretty damn funny:

Poast Your Favorite Examples of Beta Male Faggotry

A Compendium of Shitlib Inanities

# Get Them To Invest In You (X-post from /r/TheRedPill)

31 upvotes | February 24, 2014 | by [BellatorCordis](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

In order to be desirable to other people, you need to be perceived as having value. Real value comes in many forms, such as wealth, physical strength, charisma, skill, etc. Red Pill philosophies thus assert that in order to be desirable to other people, you need to thus add value to your life. Work out, make wise investments, learn conversation skills... these are all ways of increasing your actual value.

While it is imperative to have real value yourself (and I still strongly encourage you all to work out, learn new skills, and overall become more badass in general), desirability does not stem from it alone. The *perception* of having value is what is ultimately assessed. This is because it is the only thing we can possibly ascertain about another person without being psychic. Face it, you will never really know exactly how actually valuable another person is. You only know how they come off. This is how useless people get promotions, poor guys fuck 20 women on sugar daddy dating sites, and conversely, how the brilliant but socially inept engineer gets passed up for promotion, and rich old guys with no game end up sleeping alone every night.

Consequently, it is in one's best interest to not only improve their actual value, but to improve the perceived value other people have of them as well. Here are two related concepts that will, in a sense, help you hack other people's brains into seeing you as more valuable.

## Sunk Costs Fallacy

Have you ever seen someone start to loose badly while gambling, only for them to double down over and over again? What started as a \$50 loss can turn into \$400 very quickly. Of course, we know that gambling is rigged against us, and that loosing \$50 is preferable to losing \$400. So why do people do this?

From [skepdic.com](#):

When one makes a hopeless investment, one sometimes reasons: *I can't stop now, otherwise what I've invested so far will be lost!* This is true, of course, but irrelevant to whether one should continue to invest in the project.

Because we are hard-wired to conserve resources and recognize their value, we ascribe the value of the resources we invest into said investment. Thus, something silly can suddenly feel like it's worth \$400 of debt, etc. An ugly, mean, unintelligent women seems awfully valuable to a beta who has spent months of time investing in her and thousands of dollars in entertaining her for this reason.

**RedPill Application:** Get other people to invest in you. Accept gifts, rides, people inconveniencing themselves for you, etc. If you're particularly Machiavellian, you can socially engineer scenarios in which people are most likely to invest resources in you. They will translate the value of the resources they invest in you into you.

## The Ben Franklin Effect

Story has it that Ben Franklin had an adversarial relationship with another legislator from Pennsylvania. Ben Franklin learned that this adversary also happened to be a collector of rare books. Ben requested that his adversary lend him a particularly rare book, and emphasized how much of a favor his adversary was doing him. After returning the book, Ben's adversary was actually friendly towards him, and eager to do him political favors in office. Franklin consummates the story



saying that they became good friends until their deaths.

The idea here is similar to the sunk costs fallacy, it being that when someone does a favor for you, they are in turn more likely to future favors for you. As Benjamin Franklin put it himself, "He that has once done you a Kindness will be more ready to do you another, than he whom you yourself have obliged."

**RedPill Application:** Instead of brown nosing, get people who have the objects of your desire to do small favors for you. If someone does enough small favors for you, they will be much more likely to do you larger favors. For example, I make women I am perusing hold things for me, save me seats, wait extra time for me, etc.

**TL;DR:** Get people that have things you want to do you favors and invest in you. They will see you as more valuable because of it.

# The Danger of MGTOW

31 upvotes | February 16, 2014 | by [GayLubeOil](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Alright so this post got deleted from RP. Why idk. Some Neckbeared immediately came crying about how im hating on him. Which is tard-tastic. This post is about how disengaged men in large numbers are a hazard to society. But I guess male solipsism exists too.

Young men are the most dangerous and productive portion of society. On one hand young men take risks, start new companies, explore new ideas and invent things, because they don't have any obligations. On the other hand young men steal, murder and start revolutions because they don't give a fuck.

What a young man does with his life is heavily dependent on how involved he is with his community. If hes married, has kids and is doing a job he loves, he is going to be an asset. If he's unemployed, hungry, not loved and unwanted, things can turn out a lot worse.

There will always be disenfranchised men. One neck beard fiddling around with shit in his basement isn't that dangerous although it can be. A small group of disenfranchised youth is called a gang and can ruin a neighborhood with drugs and violence. A lot of disenfranchised men working together can bring down a country.

While im all for suffrage and maternity leave, the problem with feminism in its current form is it disenfranchises men. Women are given preference in jobs and educational opportunities often times workplaces are made female friendly/less male friendly. While these policies may benefit women at first there may come a turning point where enough men decide to disengage and do something else with their time.

This could lead to decreased economic productivity, social unrest or maybe just a new kind of society. Who knows? Change brings uncertainty.

# Misplaced expectations: "I'm angry that women are boring, not honorable, & don't have solitary pursuits."

31 upvotes | April 24, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Many guys who swallow TRP at first wake up to the stark reality that women don't have as much "value" as they thought they had. This reality hurts many guys and destroys their Unicorn dreams. However, many guys still hold onto misplaced expectations that *their* women should be of the "utmost" character. They still want their unicorn. And any woman they meet who lacks these scholarly pursuits and honorable hobbies (which honestly will be 99/100 women) is to be criticized as "boring" or "lacking honor":

From various other threads:

What a lot of guys on here will find out eventually is that most women are just fucking boring. Seriously step back and think about this for a minute, take away their tits/ass/hole in the legs and what exactly do they offer? Could you stand listening to a women talk to you about stupid shit knowing she didn't have a vagina? Fuck no you wouldn't even put up with it for a second.

---

women are sheeps. sheeps are herd animals, they don't go alone.

---

Also I like to almost interrogate them about their "hobbies" they have listed or that they are really into as they say. It becomes so obvious that they don't do any of the shit they say they do, and that they have no fucking clue about their hobbies.

---

I just like to lobby the hobby question in general. Most of them have no real hobby so they say something generic, but investigate further and they fall flat on there face more often than not. **Hell even asking any pointed question about a moment in their life or something in particular is met with dumbfoundedness.**

True. I agree.

But why waste your time/effort on that anyway? Are you *seriously* trying to learn philosophy from the gym bunny you picked up or are you trying to see her round ass make waves when you slam her doggystyle? (hint: go for the latter)

The above are all examples of misplaced expectations towards women. These misplaced expectations are due to men *still* allowing & even expecting their interactions with women to be non-sexual. Hobbies, solitary pursuits, honor, etc are all non-sexual subjects. A "pointed question about a moment in their life"? Why not a "sex on beach or in car?" type of question instead?

## My point

Why interact with women in any capacity other than sex in the first place? Not only does this lead to

the friendzone and wasted time, but it also results in **frustration**, because you can't change a woman's nature, which lacks these qualities anyway. This leads to the "bitter redpill anger" syndrome and this false BroTeam "Never let a bro down cuz women be cunts!" circlejerk.

My take: I interact with women for one purpose: To stick my dick inside one of their holes. That's it. Women are good at being feminine (some more than others) and that's the *only* thing I want from them. Expecting women to be like men (solitary pursuits, hobbies to fulfill an intrinsic purpose, etc) is expecting someone to go against their nature.

# Resilience, the universal key to being successful.

30 upvotes | September 24, 2015 | by [Jeagerjaquez](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

**Definition of resilience** Psychological resilience is defined as an individual's ability to overcome stress, adversity and hardship.

**The importance of resilience** Resilience is to your personality what muscle strength is to your body. When you lift, you push your muscles to the point of failure due to mechanical stress, and through this cycle of injury and repair, the muscles get strengthened so you can push yourself even further next time. When you encounter adverse situations in life, it's not your physique but your personality which gets tested. You either power through or like the majority of people you give up and spend a lifetime denying your lack of courage.

By facing adversity and refusing to run you grow stronger. Success is not a requirement. All it requires is your refusal to give in to the tempting calls of failure and stagnation, to the people around you telling you not to push yourself to your limit. They want to see you fail, because when you refuse to give up on your goals, you remind them of how easily they gave up on theirs. When you don't give up, ever, you will gain universal admiration as soon as you achieve success; it is an intavability for a man who'll give up only when he's dead. Either he becomes succesful, or he dies while pushing himself to be the man other people read motivational quotes about.

Do you think such a man would think back on his life after a lifetime of not giving up in the face of adversity, and think ruefully to himself: "I wish I was merely content, and didn't pursue my dreams. I wish I'd spent my life admiring other people instead of battling my own demons despite seemingly impossible odds." It's impossible to even imagine that somebody like Henry Ford would've had regrets if he had died before achieving success. People would say he spend a life knowing only failure, but the opposite is true: when you refuse to give up in the face of failure, when you pursue goals and dreams that the average man never even considers, that is truly being succesfull.

Other people will only acknowledge your success when they see material success, like a company, or winning a gold medal. But ironically men like Henry Ford, who'd rather die than give up, have been succesfull all along. Their reign of success started reight where the average people will say his streak of failures started. On Ford's 3th attempt, when he succeeded, nothing changed inside of him. He was extremely determined to be successfull, so success was the initivable end result. If he'd failed on his 3th attempt, he'd have tried again, and again, and again. His success was the inetivable result produced by an extremely resilient personality which was forged through hardship, adversity and mockery. His car company, which still exists today, is merely the evidence of him being successfull, not the source of his success. He'd have been equally successfull without it, because he was resilient, and being resilient is a form of success in itself. Being resilient is intrinsically rewarding, in a way risk-averse people will never experience.

Heroes, from contemporary times up till now, are made, not born. People who succeed at life don't emerge from the womb being the people who they are. They are universally forged in the fires of adversity. They fail, the learn, they fail again, and become stronger each time. Without their failures building their resilience, allowing them to face bigger obstacles each and every time, they wouldn't have become the men they were.

I've long made up my mind. I will never give up, giving up is worse than dying. The soothing low

effort embrace of mediocrity holds no more appeal to me, as I've spend time in its embrace, and sadly know it too well. It is a false type of contentness, it's self deception. Mediocrity is heroin for the soul, but it is fake, and you can't really be happy being mediocre if you are aware of your true potential without even trying to live up to it due to fear of failure. You constantly have your hopes and dreams gnawing their way out from inside you and the pain of surpressing your potential is worse than the pain of repeated failures or mockery and pity from the average and the content. I will realise my dream or I will spit fate in the face as I die trying. Extreme people only emerge from extreme conditions.

**tl:dr:** Resilience is a trait that is only build through enduring hardship and putting yourself at risk of failure. Resilience is one of the traits that's common in all people that are successfull and admired. Success without resilience is like a house without a foundation: when the storm hits, it's gone, and so is it inhabitant. It's the reason why the spoiled rich kid squandering the family fortune and becoming a drug addict stereotype exists. It's because he's never faced hardship and no amount of wealth can buy you resilience.

**Your experiences:** I'm eager to hear your experiences regarding resilience. Have any of you also spend long years apathic and lethargic? Do you agree that only by being pushed to the edge of breaking men can rise above mediocrity?

# A philosophical view on self-improvement [X-post TRP]

30 upvotes | August 24, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

X-post because the discussions here are often different/better.

---

I stumbled upon a good Youtube Channel recently ([Academy of Ideas](#)) which explores and explains several of history's greatest philosophies. In this short post, I'm going to link two video's to the brand of self-improvement preached here at TRP: Becoming the best version of yourself. These videos position the pursuit of excellence as a meaningful way to live your life and provide abstract principles to support this lifestyle.

## **Creativity and the pursuit of excellence**

One of philosophy's main questions is: How should I live my life?

- Pursuit of happiness (Hedonism)
- Pursuit of excellence

Aristotle argues against hedonism as a path because it's one in slave of your animal desires. Instead human beings should pursuit a life of excellence and fulfil their ultimate function.

So what perfection should we pursuit? If we consider creativity to be the uniquely human function, then the perfection of creativity would be a guideline in living your life. Have no passions to explore your creativity? Then design your own life itself (self-improvement) and become a work of art. Such a person has to discard the expectations of society and instead erect his own ideals to pursuit and attain. To let this creative potential atrophy is to live a life of willing slavery and normalcy... which is sadly all too common.

## **Introduction to Nietzsche**

This brings us to Nietzsche, who regarded philosophy as a means to facilitate the emergence of the 'higher man'; One who rises above the uniform masses of society. The higher man is characterised by a selection of powerful (competing) drives resulting in an inner chaos. His challenge is to structure his chaos and pursue an idealised version of himself.

His path is to abandon the herd, it's distractions and limitations, and thereby free his mind to ponder life's questions. This pondering will ultimately lead the higher man to realise that 'God is dead' and that life and suffering is *objectively* meaningless.

However, as Nietzsche explains, there is no objective truth anyway... So why cling to an *objective* meaning of life? Instead derive meaning from the pursuit of your idealised self. Your suffering is no longer meaningless, but instead offers opportunities of growth and learning.

# Red Pill: The End Game

30 upvotes | February 28, 2014 | by [GayLubeOil](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I was originally going to post this as a response, But I think this deserves its own post. People often ask what the End Game is, and I feel that this is the definitive answer.

## THE ENDGAME:

The streets were cold and dark. Ashen rain from the sky. The year was 2044. The Gyno-cracy was in full effect. Years of inefficient policies, bogus sexual harassment claims and the demonization of men: the productive portion of the population had lead to poverty and despair. You must work harder to ensure victory over the patriarchy: the shittily wired loud speaker blurted. Meek castrated men roamed the crumbling streets, nodding in agreement with the loudspeaker. The castration-station drove by always on the look out for those who wouldn't submit.

"Testosterone is the root of all evil, give up your testicles and we will live in a feminist paradise" A speaker from the castration-station blurted. The Inquisitors were on the lookout for the resistance. It was rumored that there was a man who could reverse the effects of castration and the Gynocracy wanted him dead.

The Castration Station opened its doors and 12 Female Inquisitors left the vehicle. The physical standards were pretty relaxed to be an Inquisitor. A 14 minute mile and 2 minutes of looking at a pullup bar was all it took to qualify. 'Reports indicate that this building is the last refuge of the resistance,' The Grand Inquisitor said. After consulting her squad about how they felt about going into the building for thirty minutes, the Inquisition finally decided to bust down the door.

"Give up your testicles and no one gets hurt" the Grand Inquisitor yelled into the dark building. "We have you surrounded," She continued yelling. "Give up and you have nothing to fear", she said one last time as doubt crept into her voice.

Year of anabolic abuse have left the man incapable of fear. The only emotion he could feel was smug cockiness. "I have foreseen this day," the man said. "I will defeat you with the Red Pill truth: women are inferior."

An Inquisitor shined a spot light on the man. He was tall Slavic and lean 280. The man was eating a meat party platter, not really giving a fuck that there were guns pointed at him.

"You cant rape us GayLubeOil, we packed our vaginas with sand", yelled of the Inquisitors. "This is your last chance to give up, before we open fire."

"Russians don't surrender' the Man said as he calmly finished his last piece of ham. "Then open fire," the Grand Inquisitor yelled.

Half the rifles jammed for lack of maintenance. The other half didn't fire because they were on safety. None of the Inquisitors actually practiced operating their rifles, because they were always confident in their proficiency. One of the Inquisitors dropped the spotlight because it was heavy. The room grew dark.

In the darkness the Grand Inquisitor felt a large presence beside her. She could feel his heavy breathing and his Siberian warmth.

A loud slap cut through the air and the Grand Inquisitors helmet fell to the ground. She soon followed, falling to the ground in tears.



Are You done? Asked the man in his deep anadrol voice "Are you fucking done?." "Yes" said the Grand Inquisitor.

Good then bring me a meat party platter.

## Discussion on The Gervais Principle. (x/post)

29 upvotes | May 2, 2015 | by [Johnny10toes](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

The Gervais Principal speaks volumes of social interaction in form of an essay on The Office. If you haven't read it, do yourself a favor and check it out and don't forget to go back to the series home and check out the web only extras. I also urge you to check out everything you can get your hands on about OODA Loops. The Art of Manliness has a good article on it but you may only get an intermediate understanding of it with that article. Or maybe I didn't have a good understanding of it when I read the article. I suggest Certain to Win by Chet Richards to get a more complete understanding of OODA Loop. Instead of a process you'll start to understand it as a way of thinking. The book is geared more towards business applications but makes you understand it in a way that you see where it can be scaled large or small and use it in all manner of things in your life including social interaction. If I understand correctly some coaches in the NFL and College use it to win games.

In The Gervais Principal he talks about ripping off the social masks and standing behind them and how they don't have the same effect once you peek behind them. I think I know what this means but it took me a good amount of time to get it. I imagine it's like a magic trick. It deceives you until you understand what's going on. After that it's no longer entertaining. But the standing behind them is you learning the trick yourself and performing it in front of others. The magicians Creed is to never reveal their secrets. Why? Just as I said above, it's no longer entertaining. I was at home one day and decided to show my kid a trick. There was this quarter sized ball and I told him I'd make it disappear. I threw it up in the air about 5 times and on the 6th I left it in lap and threw my hands up. The ball vanished! He thought it was cool but the funny thing to me were the eyes of my mom, she was visiting. Her eyes got so big. I expected to trick my son but not my 60+ year old mom. Now of course most adults and a bunch of kids know it's not magic but the "how" keeps it entertaining.

Keeping on the same lines you don't tell your LTR that you're using dread game on her. You're not telling your subordinates that you're giving them praise, Novacaine, so they won't mind the drilling. You leave that part out. Even with the win/win you leave it out. Telling a subordinate that you're letting him make decisions while guiding him to the correct decision so that he'll be better next time and make him invested in the job is no good. It's telling him that you don't trust his judgement or his ability and are trying to get something from him. In reality you're giving as much as you're taking.

Currently I can't stand behind some of these masks. They feel fake. I think it's because I no longer believe them or think that since I know something then the rest of the world should. Illogical I know. I saw it happen a few times from one of the guys who happened to be over me at the time. He came out to the job and pulled us all in a group and started with this scripted "First off men I want to tell you that you're doing a wonderful job..." and that's a good one. You praise before you scold so that the scolding hurts less. With him it was off. Why? Because he didn't believe in his product therefore he couldn't sell it.

The unattainable or just out of reach people. Some of these people are here. The difference here is that these guys are giving us a road map to become out of reach to others. The Gervais Principal calls them gods. I hate that term but it illustrates a good point. A point of near worship that some people seem to do. How do you get this? Very hard work while making it look easy. Conceal how hard you have worked for something. Conceal the path you took to get there. Just like the magic tricks. The goal with all the advice of lift, stop putting up with her shit, get some interesting hobbies etc is to

make you appear awesome to other people. Brad Pitt is a normal guy who leads an interesting life. Your favorite senator is a normal person who has drown themselves, worked really hard, at personal relations, speaking and the like.

I still do not understand the power talk except basic. "Take care of our friend." I get that. He only gave one example except for things he said to watch. I do read more in conversation. Things that the person didn't intend to say or things they did not intend to reveal.

Here is one real world use of my listening skills. I am on a job site where there are 4 crews working the same job. My boss comes to me and we have a conversation something like this:

Him: You know it's good for these guys to work with other people.

(This is something I'd told him previously. I do think it's good for people to work with other foremen to get a different perspective.)

Me: Yeah

Him: I think I'm going to start swapping these laborers around a bit so they get experience with other foremen. It'll be good. How are your hands working for you?

Me: If you're trying to get Fictitious Name over to my crew, I don't want him.

This was the end of the conversation. Now I say listening skills and maybe that's true but I had this feeling that something in the conversation wasn't quite right. That's what I was listening to. See my boss is a very good salesman and came from a larger company filled with bureaucratic nonsense. I felt like I was being sold something. I knew that the other foreman didn't like Fictitious Name because I'd questioned him previously. Fictitious seemed to work ok but I gathered that they didn't like him. It seems that Fictitious did work ok, just not often enough to warrant keeping. So by listening to my gut that I was a customer and the prior knowledge that a specific person was not well liked on one crew I was able to put together his real agenda. My suspicions were confirmed in the following days when Fictitious went to work with a different foreman. And I suppose you could reduce this exchange to an OODA Loop. The Observe phase was gathering information about why they didn't seem to like Fictitious, even though I didn't know I needed this information at the time, my boss is a salesman, and then again that changes were going to take place. In the Orient phase we built our snowmobile, a model or map of what is going on, and predicted the future. In the Decide phase I wasn't going to stand for it. The Act phase was my utterance. This cut his OODA Loop in half. It does help that he must have everyone "happy about where they're at." You can read more about what observing can do for you [here](#).

Now that I've shared my thoughts on The Gervais Principle, what are table stakes? Have examples?

# Narcissism and Game

29 upvotes | June 1, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I was reading an interesting article on why models never smile. Article:

<http://www.enjoy-your-style.com/why-models-never-smile.html>

Basically, its because a smile signals friendliness and approachability. This is not what sells expensive clothes and jewellery. The models are told to have the look of haughty and contemptuous. What this signals in our brain is that these models are better than the audience. You see a similar thing with male models, the most common expression is a smirk. Again, signalling they think they're better than the audience. This is appealing to all people because there's a natural instinct to be associated with the most powerful people and a desire to be that powerful person, but its particularly seductive to the people who want to be so powerful that they are the center of attention and people want to be near them even if they act contemptuous of these people. They are so powerful that even if they treat other people like shit, these people are still so happy to be around them. And they associate owning the clothes, having a certain physique, etc. will give them this same power that the model seems to have.

This has similar parallels to Game. In Game, basically you're acting like you're better than the woman. This can be seen through acting arrogant, aloof, uninhibited. Women can't help but be at least slightly more attracted, but there is a subset of women which it is particularly powerful for. Like in advertising, often these are the most neurotic people/women.

Well, all of us have seen improvements in our lives from Game. And besides, what alternative is there? I would suggest that instead of focusing on Game, we just focus on personal empowerment. Personal empowerment is the opposite of the bluepill, and shares similarities but also differences with Game. Aspects of Game like putting your interest first and not pedestalling is good. Not being afraid to take the initiative. Leading to the outcome you want. These are all things an empowered man would do. Other aspects are harmful, like acting aloof, cocky if its not your personality, dread game. They can work on the kind of people you don't want in your life, and hinder connection with the right kind of people.

For example, as I've progressed as a man I've become more immune to the bullshit of others. Car advertising, watches, high-class clothes don't faze me. I know what's important to me, and if a watch will help me get there great, but I know not at this point in my life. At the same time I can see through the bullshit of others. I see a guy posturing, and all I take away is I know I can't have a win-win agreement with this guy, moving on. Same thing with girls. I see a girl with the big sunglasses to hide her eyes which creates the feeling of aloofness, expensive clothing, haughty feel, and I know this girl is looking to be the center of envy, she's not looking to be in a relationship.

Maybe Game still has a place if you're trying to spin plates or increase your notch count. The kind of women that are more open to these things are more vulnerable than other women to good game.

# Hypogency in Women: "How much ability and responsibility do women have in regulating their own behavior?"

29 upvotes | February 15, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## "How much ability and responsibility do women have in regulating their own behavior?"

This is one of the most interesting questions in the Redpill universe. However you answer this question defines whether you hold women accountable when they fuck up or rush to their defense and look for extenuating circumstances as to why she was "forced" or "coerced" to do something.

**Female hypoagency** is the cultural tendency to deny that women have any agency in either their *actions* or the *motivations behind* performing those actions.

On the surface, many feminists say that this is what Redpillers like to do: Keep women controlled; Deny they should make their own decisions; Say they can't control themselves.

But this is also a feminist tactic, because the practical result of female hypoagency is that when a woman does something bad, she will be immune from blame by definition. After all, she can't be blamed if she wasn't the one in control (or further, is unable to control). Examples: hormonal issues (as if men lack any hormones in their body), personal safety issues, peer pressure, etc.

But it doesn't stop there. Acceptance of female hypoagency leads to **male hyperagency**:

In male hyperagency, men are held responsible for all the things women are not. It's a way to abdicate responsibility and create a victim mentality for women.

Examples: "Benevolent sexism". These are benefits that women gain based on their gender, yet by labeling it as "sexism", they somehow make it into a bad thing.

"Women not earning as much money as men". Despite the fact that more women go to college than men & there are more women voters than men, men still get the blame as to why the majority of high earners, CEOs, & political leaders are all men. Despite women having *more* opportunities than men to succeed, their lack of success is men's fault, not theirs.

---

While I stand behind what I wrote above, I came across this comment on a blog, and I think it raises deeper questions:

I suspect that the truth is that around a powerful, dominant masculine presence the part of a woman's brain which is responsible for logic and reason simply shuts down. Pure biological instinct takes over, leaving the three main drives of a woman: 1) Sex with alpha males, 2) protection, and 3) provision. At this point she starts seeking to fulfill impulse #1, sex with an alpha male. No rational thought is involved. Just pure lust.

To women, the notion that a relationship might result is probably the most logical conclusion that they can reach as to their actions after the fact. The truth is that they don't know, and don't understand why they did what they did. So the Hamster kicks in, and draws out this solution. In other cases it resolves itself as "he took advantage of me."

Personally, I am starting to wonder if a man with a dominant alpha frame is irresistible to

women. That is, given the opportunity, she would have sex with him no matter the cost or consequences. Or what some have called “trading 5 minutes of alpha for a lifetime of beta.” Worst of all, the woman has no control over this. She cannot help herself, and really doesn’t have full agency in this kind of situation.

---

This is why I framed the title of this post as a **question**, because I want to see what [/r/alreadyred](#) thinks. What is the balance you strike on holding bitches accountable yet recognizing that parts of them are simply too inconsistent to trust?

I have found myself coming down on both sides in the past. So I want to organize the idea here.

# Players vs Spectators in the Sexual Marketplace & Game

29 upvotes | May 1, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

You may remember my [previous post on Venkatash Rao's Powertalk/Gametalk/Babytalk](#).

I follow his [blog Ribbonfarm](#) and I recommend you do the same.

## Rao on Players versus Spectators

<http://www.ribbonfarm.com/2013/06/26/players-versus-spectators/>

In one of his posts, Rao muses on players versus spectators in both literal and metaphoric games. I think his theory is quite brilliant because it extends to life and sexual game as well:

In both kinds of games, spectators need players to create value, and players need spectators to consume it.

Open up this [main chart](#) before continuing. Or view it on his blog:

Players who are still in the game can be classified into three kinds. **Celebrities** are very aware of the audience and respond to it, accommodating its demands to varying degrees, and in many cases, deriving pleasure from the interaction. **Artists** see the audience mostly as a necessary evil to be tolerated, except for connoisseurs. **Contenders** are players who haven't yet proven themselves and are also very aware of the audience, but play to it in a different way, seeking legitimacy.

Spectators can be classified into three kinds as well. **Cheerleaders** seem to live vicariously through players, feeding off the positive emotions of victory. **Connoisseurs** effectively ignore the players and focus on the quality of the game itself. **Hecklers** seem to live for Schadenfreude. In terms of skill in understanding what they're seeing, connoisseurs and hecklers tend to have roughly comparable levels of skill, while cheerleaders usually understand less, but feel a great deal more.

X as the player attitude and Y as the spectator attitude.

## How does this relate to the Sexual Marketplace and TRP? Who are the Players?

The interactions at the four corners illustrate basic human relationship needs playing out in the context of player-spectator relationships. They involve the least information and the most emotion. The four [middle] cross positions involve less emotion and more information than the corners, with one side dehumanizing the other to an extent. The center position is nearly pure information flow.

### The "Players" X-axis becomes "Players" in the sexual game sense.

However, the PUA community is fragmented and not even a dedicated PUA is necessarily a master of social dynamics or a social alpha male (as evidenced by [/r/seduction](#)). There is even a growing rift in [/r/theredpill](#) on subjects such as LTRs, cheating, unicorns, and whether or not morality should play a role in sexual strategy.

Rao's theory actually accounts for these inevitable rifts:

When boundaries are blurring, and extrinsic markers of player-versus-spectator distinctions weaken, confusing meta-debates can emerge about who the “real” (or legitimate) players are, and posturing around questions of professional and amateur status can emerge.

In this context, "Contenders" can be viewed as those PUAs/Redpillers who champion morality, honor & "sharing emotions" with the supposedly "high value" girl they are on a mission to find.

"Celebrities" and "Artists" likely achieve the same results in terms of sexual accomplishments. However, Artists do so for more self-derived reasons (their personality doesn't allow them to do otherwise. They are who they are.) while Celebrities do so for attention-seeking and the thrill of getting laid. Artists probably have more true Dark Triad tendencies, whereas Celebrities are those who seek to "make up for lost time" by plowing as much pussy as possible.

**Despite differences, both are equally aware of the true *amoral* nature of the sexual market and accept it.** On the other hand, Contenders are either unaware or don't accept this. Instead, they rationalize their own anecdotal experience as the "norm", rely on wish-fulfillment as their reality, or label counter-reality as the result of dealing with "low quality women" (NAWALT, basically).

## Who are the Spectators?

**The "Spectators" Y-axis becomes a combination of bluepill men + doubters + MGTOW who sit on the sidelines.**

In this context, "Cheerleaders" are the bros you occasionally meet and give you props, but whom are ultimately doomed to hopelessness despite their best efforts.

"Connoisseurs" becomes long-term married men/MGTOW who have seen the *real* problems the sexual marketplace present but who still have persevered somehow.

"Hecklers" are bluepill men, male feminists, and white knights who try to shame you and/or defend women.

## Final Thoughts

While my application of Rao's theory is not perfect, Rao's base theory certainly applies to the Sexual Market, game, inter-group dynamics, and our own Redpill movement.

If you take nothing else, bookmark Rao's blog as it's quite brilliant.



# Chicken A La Elam

28 upvotes | October 23, 2015 | by [GayLubeOil](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Three days ago, [Paul Elam](#) the founder of A Voice For Men, read my article: *A Message to Men's Right's from Red Pill*, broke down and told the [Red Pill to go fuck itself](#). After that he quickly retreated and deleted his tweet, as is customary for a Men's Rights Activist. When I asked him why he was so mad, he responded by saying that he has a problem with the Red Pill. I pointed out, that he also has a problem with eating vegetables and taking care of his body like a responsible adult.

As you can imagine, the obese sixty year old did not like being told to eat his vegetables. He prefers to eat cheesy puffs out of his fedora, drink beer and play the victim. In fact, most of Paul's well rounded supporters shared his sentiment. Dad bod MRAs, were quick to remind me that working hard to developed a sculpted body was a complete waste of time and pussy worship.

Obviously there is nothing I can do to help these men. Fats gunna fat. There are people who are overweight and there are people who are ego invested in being a fat cynical victim. The second group are hopeless and prefer the Men's Rights community to Red Pill. While I can't change anyone's mind, I can use the entire experience to motivate my community to eat well, lift hard and not be Mens Rights. To that end I have developed a healthy delicious recipe inspired by Paul Elam.

## Chicken a la Elam

*Ingredients:* 1/2 cup plain fat-free yogurt or 1/2 cup plain Greek yogurt

3 minced garlic cloves

2 tablespoons lemon zest

1 teaspoon chili powder

1/2 teaspoon salt

1/2 teaspoon ground cumin

4 -6 boneless chicken breasts

*Directions:* In classic Elam fashion, get out your white meat and start beating it. The key to a good Elam, is that it's tender, beaten down and just a little bit sad. Now cover your Elam in white guilt. You can use yogurt, kefir or buttermilk for this step. The enzymes in the dairy help break down the Elam making it even more tender. Paul Elam is far from healthy and we certainly don't want to share his fate. Go ahead and add some healthy tasty ingredients: a bit of lemon zest, ginger and roughly chopped garlic. Sprinkle in some salt because Paul is quite salty. Finally if you prefer your Elam to be heated throw in some chili powder and cumin. Put the mixture in a ziplock bag and let it marinade angrily in the refrigerator overnight. When you're ready, take that bitch out of its safe space, throw over medium heat and cook for about 25 minutes.

[Grab](#) an Avatar of Brodin [Shirt](#)!

For updates on my posts follow me on [Twitter](#).

PM me for a free Skype fitness consultation or find out how I became a Red Pill Superstar in [my latest interview](#).

But what ever you do, don't be a cheesy puff munching, fedora wearing, fat accepting MRA.

# The death of the hipster could be the rise of a return to a more masculine culture -- one that will find men venturing deeper into a RP mentality

28 upvotes | June 23, 2014 | by [DeckofLuckyStrikes](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Just read this piece of the end of the hipster:

<http://www.theguardian.com/fashion/2014/jun/22/end-of-the-hipster-flat-caps-and-beards>

Interesting bits:

Return to "normcore"--a style of dress that is very simple and rejecting of the skinny jeans and overly-stylized forms of dress.

It sounds like a joke but, says Sanderson, it might actually might be a thing: "It's the opposite of what people think is hip now, but it's also very masculine – which ties in to the return to blokeiness."

As I understand it, the feminization of men in the "hipster" arena will likely push more people into traditional roles of masculinity. As is the case with most trends, people who follow one will end up following another. In this case, the prototypical characteristics of TRP (dress well, think for yourself, internalize alpha male characteristics, etc.) will find its way into the trending style of attitude and fashion.

This isn't to say that all "hipsters" are feminine, although through anecdotally experience, hipsters tend to at least visually present themselves in more feminized ways. A return to masculine behavior, interests, and dress could very well lead to a surge in RP-like realms.

"I think hipsters will have an overhaul. There will be a downturn in this skinny-jean, long-haired feminised look over the next few years owing to the rise of the stronger female role model," says Chris Sanderson." And in its place? "A more macho look, almost to the point of caricature, in a bid for men to reinforce their identity."

Chris Sanderson is a futurologist and co-founder of trend forecasting agency The Future Laboratory.

If that quote is to hold any weight, we can already see many men attempt to adopt alpha RP behaviors that can be considered caricatures. The problem is that, like the hipster, many will just be faking it until they man. My fear is that RP becomes the next avenue for these trend followers. It may take time, but an approach toward masculinity might quickly label believers of RP as the new social trend of out-of-place men.

I'd like to know what some of you think about this theory, keeping in mind the growing rate of followers over at [/r/TheRedPill](#)

tl:dr Hipsters may be flocking to RP and we just don't know it yet, pushing the boundaries of masculinity into a behavior and style that will simply be comedic.

# The progression of anal sex

28 upvotes | March 4, 2014 | by [aaron\\_the\\_just](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Over the years, I have learned that anal sex, far from being something women reluctantly offer only to the most deserving of men, is something that women crave more than any other single form of physical affection.

The consistency with which each wife, girlfriend, or plate has turned from an anal virgin into a creature more enthusiastic about deep anal intercourse than I am, is striking. Yes, there may be outliers, but this is an area where AWALT.

The successful progression for me has been as follows:

- (1) I may have made attempts to engage in anal intercourse, but these early attempts were not enjoyable for her.
- (2) I later on progressed to simple fingering of her asshole, maybe light penetration with one finger, etc. The focus was on enhancing her pleasure during sex or cunnilingus.
- (3) Proper decorum is essential. A woman who isn't complete gutter-trash will rightfully be concerned about anal hygiene. A wise man will not misinterpret this as LMR, but instead will learn to recognise the difference between hygiene boundaries, which are acceptable, and excuses to maintain improper sexual boundaries, which are merely a shit test.
- (4) Experimentation with condoms and the right amount of lube is essential. (I personally recommend Astroglide X.) Condoms are a useful tool for wrapping several fingers, especially if either of you is a tad shy.
- (5) Over time, your woman will start to derive more and more sexual pleasure from anal stimulation, and may reach the point where she needs this to achieve her best orgasms. You will learn the duration and type of stimulation which causes her anus to relax. Eventually, you will be able to discern every slight muscle contraction which will signal to you that what you were doing is stimulating her, that she is close to orgasm, or that what you are doing is not working. (Butt and 'gina tingles are a real thing, folks.)
- (6) At this point, she will be ready for anal sex which will be wholly pleasurable for her. I'm assuming you've already been through (1)--administering a thorough ass-pounding solely for your own pleasure, and to her detriment--now it's time to turn the tables and teach her anal sex can be as stimulating, if not more so, than vaginal sex.
- (7) Easy does it--enter slowly, and read the signs from her to understand the thin line between pleasure and pain. You will be rewarded with her opening up to accept you. A variety of positions are possible here; choose the one you enjoy the best. Whilst condoms are advised if you aren't sure of her STD status, anal sex without condoms definitely will enhance the experience for both of you, and you will be able to tell if you're running low on lube / the friction will be starting to hurt her.
- (8) In accordance with (3), allow her to keep her feminine dignity after anal sex and send her to the washroom, which should be kept well-stocked with a quality brand of feminine wipes. This is a great time to hop on Reddit and make a post or two.

Be warned that your formerly virginal Madonna will now have been transformed into a creature who will try her best to use innuendo to communicate to you how much she desires to receive as much

anal sex as her body can handle. Treat this as the precious gift that it is, and remember to maintain frame: I recommend anal sex as a treat reserved for a few times a week, and never twice in a day, no matter how much she begs you for it.

## she's Bored and I'm Boring

27 upvotes | August 27, 2016 | by [MisterDorimant](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

We live in a culture where there is this insane and ridiculous push for Men to be "fun" at any cost in order to get pussy.

You read about it all the time in PUA books, and if You're fortunate enough to have a "good" (well-intended) Friend that will tell You how it is straight-up, He will tell you the same: she doesn't want You because You're not interesting enough, Your personality sucks, Your clothes are shit, and You don't have any friends. Their solution? Just ... be interesting, Brother! Fix yourself! Find yourself some Bros.

This will stimulate any thinking Man to ask, "okay how?" And thus the chase begins. **she's got You on the hook, right from the get-go**, simply because You're stuck asking "how?" Eventually You start spouting on about how "life is a journey" or some such nonsense **without actually becoming a voyager Yourself**.

Granted, there's some excellent advice out there. The Book of Pook, the Book of Bonecrker, Sex God Method, The Art of Seduction, and so on and so forth. I'm not saying that Men shouldn't put forth at least **some intensive initial effort** to try to fix what needs fixing.

The justification that is given for this is "You still have to approach, You still have to make a move. pussy isn't going to come to You."

That's because approaching is a **response** to IOIs. It is not a **stimulus**. And this art is being lost. If she isn't looking at You like she wants to get banged, Brother, it just ain't there and You are wasting Your time. Never bother trying to fuck girls that aren't showing any sign of wanting it. You will never stir anything up in her; it's all in her head. And I hate to break it to You, but **she's not even thinking about You even if Your dick is inside her**. she's fantasizing about conning Christian Grey into having a baby He doesn't want.

What's overlooked is this. We've got ourselves an epidemic of assclown Men in this world that will tapdance for the sniz. They will bend over backward for a "chance" with a girl that is out of Their "league." And most of the time They don't even realize They are doing it. In their never-ending quest to avoid putting the pussy on the pedestal, They end up doing just exactly that.

**PUAs, simps, manginas, and white knights are one and the same.**

It's ... in a nutshell ... retarded.

This all stems from the fact that a long-standing habit spanning decades has taken hold: the search for solutions without **defining** the problem first. People will overlook the problem and want to move past it as quickly as possible. To define the problem requires critical thinking skills, which are in very short supply. But no, everyone has faith. Everyone's a believer. There's just not enough skepticism, and when presented it's almost always met with hostility. Especially when it goes against the narrative.

Marketing and advertising is like throwing gasoline on a fire. For girls, the obvious target market for the majority of all of it, their hypergamy is now on a level unheard of ever before. It's completely out of control and their laundry list of demands and shit tests is never ending.

We're told to Man up and dress to impress. That's been Our role for centuries. We have to look good,

be rich, and be a high value, high status Man in order to attract the girls that we really want.

Fine, but that's just not enough is it? Of course not, it never is.

girls' "standards" are based around the fact that she's got a golden vagina that can do no wrong and hers, individually, yes **hers**, is the greatest and most glorious treasure in the universe.

Many Men spend their lives trying to be dominant and cool enough to be chosen for the moments that she wants her pussy smashed. As a result, They are stuck in a never-ending loop of chasing, where **she** is actually the one that holds all of the real power. He thinks He's got game but He's still **playing by her rules**.

It's one thing to approach, and it's another thing entirely to chase. But rather than being chased, these Men invest all their time and effort unwittingly chasing tail. They never reach that crucial point of realization that **the only winning move is not to play**.

And after a while, He's worn out, He's burnt out, He's tired of the bullshit, He pulls himself off the market, and He exits the game, victorious in His own way, with a string of good and bad experiences trailing behind Him. Sometimes He got "lucky," sometimes He didn't. But He never acquired **skill** at anything, much less with pussy.

And what's always the conclusion coming from such Men? **"girls are boring."**

Close but no cigar. These Men still have not done enough introspection.

What is the one thing that girls will always avoid? **Being bored**. Why? Because they are. Deep down inside they are bored stupid. Men aren't entertaining enough. Men aren't interesting enough. Men don't do enough. she could be a suburbanite princess that literally has the entire world in her hands and she will still want more.

girls **aren't** problems, girls don't **have** problems, girls **create** problems. They love to stir up the shit and they will gleefully string You along for their own entertainment **simply because they are bored**.

When, for your **entire life**, you've had everything handed to you on a silver platter, when you're told that the sun shines out of your ass, when you're exalted and coddled by everyone the world over, you don't appreciate it. You expect it. And you get **bored** with it very quickly.

- girls aren't boring. girls are **BORED**.
- Men are boring.

That's how it is. That's who we are. That's our natural state.

Don't hide from it, don't try to change it, don't try to cover it up. That's like putting make-up on. That's like wearing push-up bras. That's for chicks.

**EMBRACE** it. Congratulations, lifting is now the most routine, uneventful, and uninteresting part of Your day. You still have to do it, but now that You have memorized every page of Starting Strength, You know exactly what function every muscle in Your body serves. You are now the Cliff Clavin of sports medicine. Nobody will invite You to parties and You're fine with that.

Yours Sincerely,

The Most Boring Man On Earth

And Proud Of It

# On TRP's "concern trolling" and a few other things.

27 upvotes | February 12, 2014 | by [BIG Daddy Government](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

the mods at TRP have made it very clear that steering the direction of TRP in terms of content or complaining about the rising bluepill posts is worthy of a ban.

So i'll begin with a general notion.

A moment spent on the internet, on reddit, on TRP is a moment wasted that you could have done something else with. Going through a 200 comment thread in TRP, only to find 10% of the posts in it of actual value is a time-waste.

Seeing upvoted posts that advertise chivalry, immoral sexual strategy, and flaming a woman for being a woman is unwanted clutter.

I was just invited to this subreddit, and i'm glad you guys took the initiative.

Moving on, It's quite rare for me to believe an actual dark triad alpha to browse and surf internet bickering and arguing with random strangers. While most of the actual redpillers' goal in posting material, scottishredpill & illimitableman, is an excellent read, i find myself confused. Why would a narcissist post material for other people? Is it the validation? i don't think so. Is it the E-fame? that's silly. Is it that they see their old selves in some of the newcomers? perhaps.

I'm glad to see alot of RP truths written out and confirmed with real life situations. I find myself browsing TRP in the morning or when i have nothing better to do, not to conform, but i put alot of work on self-knowledge.

Self-knowledge is a concept i became familiar with when i started going against the mainstream. I found several people who worded my thoughts already. One of them is Stefan Molyneux. I had the impression that he was a know-it-all BP. I was oblivious to the feminist movement and thought it was an okay movement. Through Stefan molyneux and girlwriteswhat interview. My eyes opened up to how ugly feminism is.

Stefan molyneux releases alot of podcasts on lots of issues problems and ideologies. Of those, the ones regarding relationships are red pill sugar coated in a formal way for his female audience. He repeats the slogan "my daddy's rich and my momma's good looking" throughout.

He released free books

of these books one book detailed relationship dynamics. While it is not harsh RP truths like the one by [Gary Brodsky](#) "How to dominate women". It details what kind of girl you should marry and the transparent communication process.

Again i find myself disagreeing with molyneux on one important POINT. Even though he understands emotions and attraction, he brushes it aside by assuming that only low quality corrupt women fall for alphas. While high quality morally good women fall for betas who aren't pushovers. In my real life as well as many of you guys' real life. We know NAWALT. We know Hypergamy. We know the tingles. We know the hamster. It's not simply found in a major number of women. A woman is a woman in the end, you can trust that a woman will act like a woman.

The reason i mention Molyneux is the fact that alot of people even at TRP fall into the trap of "maybe she's not a unicorn, but she's better than all the women i've come across". That kind of thinking will come right back at you. Happiness is important, fucking women is well... fucking women. To achieve

happiness is what everyone aims for.

which brings me to something very important alot seem to forget. You are responsible for your own happiness, and nobody, nobody, not even your mothers are responsible nor are they gonna grant you, your happiness.

To sum it up, I decided not to post my red pill journey at trp several months back, i will do so soon in this sub, about my past experience with the beast that turned me from BP to RP. As i gained alot from it.

Thanks goes to everyone who contributed in asserting truths in our lives. I thought the world is crazy when i stumbled upon r/ relationships and relationship\_advice. It's good i found trp, i found sane people.



## Oscar Wilde's Red Pill.

27 upvotes | March 21, 2014 | by [Pushnikov](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Oscar Wilde is many things. And, of them, obviously somethings are contentious about being Red Pill. However, given the age and the time frame, there's a lot to be said for it. Also, somethings are seeped in sarcasm that is hard to interpret out of context.

Some random ones I enjoy that apply around here.

"When a man does exactly what a woman expects him to do she doesn't think much of him. One should always do what a woman doesn't expect, just as one should say what she doesn't understand. Algernon, Act II"

"JACK: Gwendolen, it is a terrible thing for a man to find out suddenly that all his life he has been speaking nothing but the truth. Can you forgive me?"

GWENDOLEN: I can. For I feel that you are sure to change."

"No gentleman ever has any money. Algernon, Act II" (*Perfect Sarcasm. Of course they do. They just don't let others take advantage of it.*)

"My dear fellow, the truth isn't quite the sort of thing one tells to a nice, sweet, refined girl. Jack, Act I"

"I do not approve of anything that tampers with natural ignorance. Ignorance is like a delicate exotic fruit; touch it and the bloom is gone. Lady Bracknell, Act I"

"Women are never disarmed by compliments. Men always are. Mrs. Cheveley, Act III"

"I hope you have not been leading a double life, pretending to be wicked and being really good all the time. That would be hypocrisy. Cecily, Act II" (Female perspective and want for Dark Triad.)

"Between men and women there is no friendship possible. There is passion, enmity, worship, love, but no friendship. Lord Darlington, Act II"

"Men marry because they are tired; women because they are curious. Both are disappointed. Lord Illingworth, Act III"

"How can a woman be expected to be happy with a man who insists on treating her as if she were a perfectly normal human being". - Oscar Wilde (*I've tried to find the source for this for text. Having a hard time. Given Oscars propensity to be harsh about women, I read this with sarcasm. It's not about putting her on a pedestal, but about treating her like the crazy abnormal person that she is.*)

"Education is an admirable thing, but it is well to remember from time to time that nothing that is worth knowing can be taught." - Oscar Wilde

"You will always be fond of me. I represent to you all the sins you never had the courage to commit." - Oscar Wilde

"All women become like their mothers. That is their tragedy. No man does, and that is his." - Oscar Wilde

"A man's face is his autobiography. A woman's face is her work of fiction." - Oscar Wilde

"I never travel without my diary. One should always have something sensational to read in the train. Gwendolen, Act II"

**I end on that one, because our lives should be the most sensational thing to us. Not a book, not a**

**movie, or so on. Also note, it is from a female character. Implying the nature of women to do the same.**

## A fun glimpse into how females behave around dark triad tropes.

27 upvotes | February 10, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I couldn't take this onto [/r/theredpill](#) because this link has a lot of nuance in it that'd just get lost in the noise.

I'll leave it here for the community.

Some choice excerpts:

- This validates that I am, in fact, always attracted to bad boys. or sociopathic wizard overlords... Whichever.
- I definitely think young Stalin is attractive as hell, but I wouldn't come close to calling Hitler ugly.
- It's the eyes and the aura of power. That's what makes me dig Lord V, anyway.
- He was a very hot nazi

Now onto more serious business. They're crushing over Raph Fiennes (Played Schindlers list, English Patient and Voldemort) which is fine and dandy when along comes this quote:

He slept with my cousin on an airplane. I don't blame her :p

This links to an article [here](#).

Now the article from the daily mail is worth reading (despite the source) and is full of insight. Some excerpts from the Daily Mail detailing this Hostess extended one night stand with Ralph Fiennes:

The attraction had been immediate and overwhelming from the moment they first made eye contact.

'I know some people will think it's disgusting. And I'm not proud of what I did - it was inappropriate behaviour. But I don't regret it. Ralph is gorgeous and the chemistry between us was amazing. What woman wouldn't want to make love with him?

Despite her tall, trim figure, there is sadness in her eyes, highlighted by the medication she takes for depression since she left a tough front-line job as a detective with an elite New South Wales police drugs squad.

One can't help asking whether Ralph Fiennes didn't spot a vulnerable woman, use her, and then abandon her to face the sack from her job with Qantas.

By this point they were sitting so close their faces were just inches apart. Lisa said: 'He held my hands. Then he started kissing me. The kissing was very passionate and his hands were

all over me. I just melted. 'He was caressing my neck, holding my head and he started undoing the buttons on my dress. The way he was going, he would have made love to me right there. 'I was very turned on and so was he. I had butterflies in my stomach. I was touching his face and his hair. He had beautiful skin. I was undoing his shirt as well. It was a bit surreal, like a scene from one of his movies. 'But I was afraid my supervisor might pull back the curtain and catch us. Eventually, I couldn't bear it any longer. I just grabbed his hand and said, "Come in here a minute." 'By this time, we had half our clothes off and I didn't care about anything. I led him into the cabin lavatory next to where we had been sitting and locked the door. 'Ralph was a great lover. And I thought if I was going to get the sack, it would be worth it. I knew it was against the rules and wrong but I didn't care.

She claims her behaviour was out of character but says: 'I just had no control over myself. I wanted him so much. I couldn't resist him.'

Indeed, she seems wary of men, saying she has been repeatedly exploited by them. 'So many treat you badly,' she said. 'They're just after sex. They're losers.' Ironically, she thought Fiennes was 'so sensitive, so different'.

Brimming with anticipation, Lisa stood next to Fiennes before he left the plane so they could talk. 'He was wearing a funny old white hat and a Kathmandu backpack, which made him look very eccentric,' she said.

He dropped the towel and was wandering around naked. I was laughing, I thought it was hilarious. But I wander around naked a lot at home, so it didn't bother me. He had quite a nice body. It's obvious he's not a gym work-out kind of guy. For a man he's got quite a slender body, but I was attracted to him. It was a luxurious room - better than where I was staying.

'I wasn't under any illusions that this would be the start of a romance. He is an upper-class Englishman and I knew it would be just about sex.

I hoped he would call me again. I understood he had Unicef commitments but I was going to be in Bombay for two days, so I hoped he would find time to squeeze me in for another quick love-making session - or even a phone call. When he didn't call I realised I had to get over it. He was never going to.'

Lisa knew her supervisor had reported her. 'I knew I was in big trouble,' she said. On January 26 she flew back to Sydney, where she was told by her management company, airline services contractor Morris Alexander Management, that she had been suspended without pay pending a disciplinary hearing.

Fiennes' reply, when it came, shocked Lisa to the core. She said: 'It was clear he was turning his back on me. He said, 'We don't know each other very well. I'm very sorry, I can't get involved. I can't help you.'

'Then he told me, I've been scarred by an incident about a year ago when my life was dragged through the tabloids. My whole relationship was destroyed. It's ruined my life. You're just a hostess and you don't even like your job. You're not happy in your job. You can get another job.' I felt humiliated. It was like talking to a different person. He made me feel like a low-life, like I was asking him for money or something. Then he said, 'Let's have no further phone contact. I'll call you in a month's time, just to show you I'm a human being.' I was stunned.

'I told him, 'You're right. In the big scheme of things, it's not that important. It's just a job. If I do lose my job, it was worth it.' I ended the conversation on good terms, but I was angry and disappointed at his attitude and uncaring lack of support and sympathy. I expected him to take some responsibility for our problem which suddenly became my problem.

'I thought about resigning to protect him. I felt sorry for him because of the speech he made about what he'd been through with the British papers. But I was frightened, I was depressed, I felt completely alone and I had no support.'

The betrayal evoked bitter memories of Lisa's troubled past. On leaving the police, she sued the force for lack of care over her mental health problems, but lost the case and was driven into bankruptcy.

She briefly studied law at James Cook University in Cairns, Australia. Then she met a stewardess and thought the job sounded fun. But the hard work and long hours did nothing to ease her depression, for which she still takes medication. Now, after her fling with Fiennes, she is alone and faces losing her job. Her family have been critical of her and over the past week, since the story first emerged, their communication has been punctuated by fierce rows.

Now Lisa is even more wary of men. 'I've never been lucky in love,' she said. 'I just choose the wrong people. My last relationship, with an Italian flight steward, ended in June.' Yet bizarrely she insists that, given the chance, she would make love to Fiennes in the lavatory again. 'It must sound crazy,' she said, 'but I wouldn't miss that experience for anything.'

She has convinced herself that Fiennes did care for her, however briefly, and that 'the experience was a lot more than just about sex'. Does she feel used? 'No,' she insisted. 'We were both fantastically attracted to each other. I am sure he cared about me.'

But she pauses, twisting a ring on her finger, as if for the first time considering the more brutal alternatives. 'Then again,, she said, 'he is a very good actor.' And she concedes that she was stung by his failure to support her story about the contact lens. It was a lie that might have helped her keep her job. 'I am upset by his betrayal,' she said. 'He is a millionaire movie star and I'm a struggling air hostess on £12,000 a year. I have financial problems and nothing to fall back on.'

Now we're all intelligent enough here I hope to disseminate how these statements are just par for the

course for women, contradictory, solipsistic, finger pointing, lack of accountability etc but really all of the above could be waved away as the anecdotes of just one admitted depressed lonely woman.

Until we bounce back into the [/r/ladyboners](#) thread to which this article was met with.

Wow, this is so hot. I love how detailed this is, lol. I wonder why I haven't heard of this until now. Either way, I totally wish I was in that lavatory with him.

and

Who on earth would think having sex with Ralph Fiennes was disgusting? Frankly I'd be disgusted if she turned him down.

From there on in it just goes as you'd expect.

Not only that but according to his Wikipedia article he's an 8th cousin of the Prince of Whales. He just keeps getting better and better...

and...

I don't even care if he's almost as old as my dad...he's hot as hell

Girls are reading about how someone weak and lonely got pumped and dumped, left jobless then ignored and lost their family. The individual in question would do it all again, and the readers are all signing up to volunteer.

I don't need to tell the community here how to suck eggs but I'll leave the parting shot anyway.

**Attraction rules over all else, this is even reinforced by Dark Triad tropes as wildly stereotypical as Hitler, Stalin and Lord Voldemort.**

## Do you live by a code of morals, and if so, why?

26 upvotes | January 7, 2015 | by [depthrill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I want this to be a discussion of optimal strategy versus morality. Note how I didn't ask "what morals" you have, but as an overarching question, "why"?

I am not arguing for immoral behavior, but simply recognizing that morals are inherently constraining one's behavior, and may go against the optimal method to achieve one's goals.

One possible explanation is that it benefits oneself cumulatively over one's lifetime to treat others kindly, since they'd be more likely to reciprocate. But even then, it's simply not morality to "do the right thing", but rather still selfishly motivated (not using the word "selfishly" pejoratively).

Morals may have been imparted on society as a form of control by those in power. This isn't *necessarily* bad, as it may benefit you, but one must be aware if one lives his life by others' decisions and code of ethics.

Discuss the specific morals you live by only if it adds to the discussion of "why" live by morals.

# Women are like hard drugs

26 upvotes | July 5, 2014 | by [hohamocho](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

A woman comes extremely close to being like a drug. Let me relay a scenario that compares the two.

**One.** Guy meets girl. He loves the feeling of "love" and infatuation that he has for her. In fact he loves everything about her, from her voice to her body. He even convinces himself that he loves her personality. So he flirts with her, asks her out, and eventually asks her to be his girlfriend. She accepts.

1 Comparison- Guy first tries cocaine. He loves the way it makes him feel. He loves its white splendor. He loves snorting it. Eventually he becomes a habitual user.

**Two.** The guy is in "honeymoon phase" or relationship bliss. He is the happiest guy in the world. He loves being with his girlfriend, he spends all the extra time that he has being with her. Doing lovey-dovey shit. He will make time for her if he is busy. He tells her she is the most beautiful person in the world. He takes her everywhere with him.

2 Comparison- Guy has become addicted to cocaine. He loves devoting a day to his habit, just spending the whole day snorting and enjoying the high. He thinks that this has to be one of the best substances on the planet, just like the Incas did. If he's busy, he will always make time for his cocaine. He even goes through his normal life while on the high, becoming a functional addict.

**Three.** The woman cheats on him with some bad boy that she met at the club. He finds out and is devastated and heart broken. He breaks up with her, and then eventually asks to reconcile again. She accepts. He tells her he loves her, and she says she's sorry. He believes its his fault that she cheated on him. He doesn't realize his mistakes and even considers proposing to her.

3 Comparison - Guy suddenly overdoses on cocaine. He is rushed to the hospital, and by some luck, he survives. He swears to stop using the drug. But, when the withdrawal becomes too hard to bear, he eventually turns back to it again. He says that he will be careful this time and only use small amounts daily. Obviously he fails at this. This time he uses even higher doses than he did before and his tolerance increases.

**Four.** Guy marries girl

4-comparison- Guy starts to believe that he is immune to overdose and wants to use the drug his whole life. He has become the ultimate junkie. He even starts using it intravenously

**Five.** After a year or so of marriage, girl gets bored of him, even though he was the textbook definition of a "good husband". She starts seeing other guys behind his back. She insults him and abuses him. She cuts off his social life by manipulating him to stop seeing his friends. She spends tons of his money for her own needs.

5-comparison- Guy starts experiencing major health problems from his abuse of cocaine. He has a failing liver and has kidney damage. Yet he is so addicted to cocaine that he forgoes all his social life to spend each day locked up in his home with his powder. His wallet takes a hit from the expensive drug.

**Six.** The girl divorces the guy and takes him to court. He is vilified as an abusive husband by the public. The wife takes his house, his car, his kids, and a good chunk of his money. His life is ruined.

6-comparison - The guy is out of money due to his addiction. He is evicted from his own home by the



bank because he cannot pay mortgage. He takes to the streets, he has already been fired from his job a long time ago. He has no friends to help him. His life is ruined and he is seen as a homeless dope fiend by the public.

The lesson here is that you must never ever become attached to a woman. She will ruin you, just like if you were addicted to an expensive addictive drug. You must be wise in your interactions with them and must never fall prey to oneitis.

["There she stood in the doorway; I heard the mission bell And I was thinking to myself, "This could be Heaven or this could be Hell"]([www.youtube.com/watch?v=h0G1Ucw5HDg](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h0G1Ucw5HDg))

Let the bluepillers and feminists come and argue.

## [LTR Game] Small Tasks

26 upvotes | August 2, 2014 | by [alreadyredscool](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Women are generally socialized to think of how their actions affect others first, which is why they are so goddamn difficult when it comes to making a decision. They're often hesitant to affect someone else negatively.

For example a general social situation, the restaurant choice:

B: Where do you want to eat? *has internal ideas about what they want to eat, but doesn't want to impose*

G: Oh, I don't know, whatever you like. *has internal ideas about what they want to eat, but doesn't want to impose*

B: How about place X?

G: No, I don't really want to eat there. *doesn't want to impose, but doesn't want to eat there either.*

Choosing a restaurant places the responsibility on the one who chooses and women hate responsibility (because they don't want to affect someone else negatively). We all should know how to deal with that but this should just be a small example to understand what I mean, woman constantly want to please their peers.

So when there is a situation where she could think that she didn't please you enough **you must give her the chance to please you.**

For example: I am out with my girl, she meets friends and has to talk with them, she comes back and excuses her self for the interruption and that she couldn't focus her attention on me. Then I will just ask her for a beer and a smile will light up in her face and she will be happy to obligate. (I never see her run that fast). The Ben Frankling effect is another benefit to this.

This story explains it very well.:

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/29pffc/little\\_trp\\_tactics/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/29pffc/little_trp_tactics/)

Important comment:

Most women want to please the man they are into. But it is the PROCESS of attracting and pleasing the Man that is exciting and pleasing to THEM. When a man puts a woman on a pedistal it is annoying and frustrating for her because it deprives her of the opportunity (as "Barbie" put it) to "work for it."

## Women's Primordial Fear

26 upvotes | March 6, 2014 | by [soapjackal](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://avengingredhand.wordpress.com/2014/03/06/womans-primordial-fear/>

So it doesn't have an extensive list of scientific sources of interviews and discussions of women but I think this is a relatively interesting conversation about women and why they invade more only clubs.

It's been postulated that this is because men are more fun and women are bored and boring. Women join, and since they aren't providing value generally, destroy male only spaces.

This article describes how such behavior is related to women actively trying to enter social groups because it is tied to thier very survival. Since such survival isn't as necessary anymore this instinct ends up just being harmful to male organizations.

What's your take on the whole situation?

# Serial Monogamy

25 upvotes | November 6, 2015 | by [alreadyredschool](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Serial monogamy, these words describe my sexual strategy perfectly.

I have looked at what women do, instead of listening what they say but whilst doing that I started to get amazed by what I saw. It's just so damn effective.

No 10/10 accepts being a plate, nearly no 10 does one night stands, you may say that's wrong, then congratulations, your game is better than mine or your standards are lower. Anyways, for me it works. The SMP is crowded with men who want to pump n dump, men who want relationships are rare. Supply & Demand. Let's cash in on this situation! The women who want relationships are thirsty, only the hottest girls have enough bargaining power to secure a high value men, it's hard competing with the open market. Most men opt out of the relationship market to enjoy their freedom. The ONS market is over saturated with men and under saturated with women. In the LTR market this changes, our bargaining power rises, the table is tilted in our direction and we can easily secure otherwise unreachable women.

Bonuses: Hot girls, no concurrence, LTR game training, sex toys, love, connection and it's a good filter in case you want something longer, honeymoon phase is the better than the rest

A reputation of being a player is counterproductive for these girls, but a rep of having long relationships is positive. It's fairly easy to turn sex into a relationship but if these girls truly don't do one night stands then you need social circle game, that is a filter too, anyways you can build rapport with them and further punch out of your league. Once you managed this, and people see the women you can pull, preselection will sky rocket. Then you can easily branch swing and punch even higher.

SMV is a large part of RMV, throw in a bunch of beta qualities (not the omega/doormat stuff), things like being able to repair stuff, creating value, compassion, kindness... and you are a good catch.

Alpha/exciting traits triggers tingles/dopamine release, beta/comforting traits triggers love/oxytocin release.

Relevant:

<http://www.hookingupsmart.com/2013/02/12/hookinguprealities/the-most-attractive-women-have-the-least-casual-sex/>

# Rethinking Emotions

25 upvotes | March 10, 2015 | by [redeadx](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I have rethought emotions since taking the red pill and I now view them as tools to be used, as opposed to my previous belief that they were things out of my control. For example, I now designate anger as a tool to be used when exercising. I do my best to not react out of anger when somebody does something that makes me mad, and instead save it for a later time. When I go to the gym and I'm about to do a set I recall what the person said and use all my anger then to lift the weights. I have found using this method for anger has proven to be more effective towards my goals than just exploding on some drunk dickhead at a party would have been. If your goal was to explode at rude drunkards at a party then I'd say save your anger for when that situation arises and knock him out (usually doesn't end well for anyone involved in my experience).

Aside from anger, I try to use other strong emotions such as love, jealousy, lust, etc. to achieve my goals as opposed to running my life. I use love to improve my relationship with my parents who have earned it and to cultivate friendships that will be beneficial to me as opposed to blowing all my love on somebody who will take it and give nothing in return (I did this alot in the past and it drained me emotionally). I try to focus hate on habits that keep me from achieving my goals. I try to focus emotions that impact me negatively such as lust and sadness towards creative outlets such as music and drawing. I'm posting this because I am wondering if anyone else views emotions like this, and if not how do you view emotions?

# The Feminist Thought Police

25 upvotes | October 16, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This article is based on a comment reply I made in a thread answering the question

*"why do people hate the red pill?"*

Not wanting that post to get buried within the murky depths of Reddit for the rest of time, I have built upon it and adapted it into a far more easily locatable article. Seeing as the question posed and answer given are typical of those who are on the fence, or otherwise "not sure what all that red pill jazz is about" I've put it under the **"introduction to the red pill"** section of my site. Enjoy.

The newly adapted article can be found here:

**<http://illimitablemen.com/2014/10/16/the-feminist-thought-police/>**

Opening excerpt:

Asking "why do people hate the red pill?" is like asking "why do feminists hate anti-feminists?" it is simple, we are viewed as "the opposing team." By reading red pill content you become aware of the masculine's unfiltered societal viewpoint. By agreeing with it, you accept a system of thought which undermines the gynocentric status quo of feminine primacy. Thus it is so that through mere act of association with the manosphere, devoutly feminist society deems you sinfully tainted.

The church of feminism will tolerate no blasphemous dissent, for anything that disagrees with feminism is by its own interpretation, misogynistic. By asserting the masculine viewpoint as primary, or even, a valid counterpoint to the feminist viewpoint, you are immediately identified as a misogynist. This means the rabid social justice horde that currently passes for "society" is out to hang your head on a pike merely for having a different set of beliefs. Expressions of thought incongruent with the feminist narrative are so socially unacceptable in the current time that they are deemed invalid merely by merit of being non-feminist, let alone anti-feminist. It is the job of both feminists and their enablers to prevent unfiltered masculine ideas on gender from "polluting" the mainstream consciousness. The societal hive mind therefore rationalises away anti-feminist argument as "backwardly patriarchal," meaning: irrelevant, bigoted and outdated. You will then hear, at some point among the verbal cacophony that will invariably occur that "people like you are the reason feminism exists." The reality is, the reason men even seek out the manosphere and its wealth of knowledge to begin with is because of the gross negative impact that feminism has had on them as well as those around them.

For the curious, the original comment can be found here:

**[/r/TheRedPill/comments/2iua5f/excuse me why does everyone assume you guys are/cl5mlse](https://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2iua5f/excuse_me_why_does_everyone_assume_you_guys_are/cl5mlse)**

# Korean commentator insightfully debunks feminist lies, propaganda and blatant misuse of statistics (Tons of applicability to the West)

25 upvotes | September 1, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This is a site that translates articles from Korean into English. Usually controversial topics.

<http://www.koreabang.com/2013/stories/disbelief-as-korea-is-ranked-108th-in-global-gender-equality.html>

The article is long but VERY worth it. In fact, I think it should be used as a reference (and required reading) for anyone who wishes to discuss gender politics. Some of his insights on how feminists misconstrue statistics are extremely insightful and many I've never even considered before.

Examples:

Feminists say women don't have access to education:

In the sub-category of enrollment in primary education, Korea ranked 94th. Elementary school is mandatory for everyone but the report says only 98% of women and 99% of men received primary education in Korea. Would it be because it includes everyone in Korea, meaning the older generation who grew up before primary education became mandatory in 1950? More women from the period where primary education was poorly implemented are alive than men. This cannot be used to support claims of sexual discrimination. For every 10 women, there are 7 men in the over-65 age group in Korea.

He explains how economic participation is due to the male mindset vs female mindset:

According to the paper entitled 'The effect of job insecurity on suicide' published by the Korean Social Security Association, job insecurity raises men's suicide rate but it doesn't affect women. The paper said, "It is thought to be because, for men, having a stable job is important because they are socially expected to financially support their family, whereas women aren't expected to take the main financial responsibility. Rather, some women prefer temporary jobs that allow more time for childbirth and parenting."

Feminists discount the ability of domestic-minded women to make their own decisions:

If you misinterpret the employment gender gap data, it can create a ridiculous situation where a wife, who enjoys her hobbies by spending money on classes at a community center, is regarded as a victim of sexual discrimination, while her husband struggles at work to make money for her. If you really want to know whether women's low employment rate and income is due to sexual discrimination, you have to know whether they are unhappy because they work less and make less money for themselves.

Feminists over simplify things to serve their agenda, especially with statistics:

SBS reported that the social cost caused by women dropping out of the job market amounted to 60 trillion won. It's hard to believe such a figure since it is 17.5% of the Korean government's yearly budget...They simply calculated for the hypothetical scenario where 4.17 million full-time housewives are suddenly all employed. That means there

should be 4.17 million more job openings in the first place. In reality, 4.17 million workers will have to get laid off to make room for them and there will be social costs for the children whose moms begin to work outside.

(This is also how rape stats are often calculated; come up with a loose definition and then multiply it by a lowest common demoninator of women who qualify for that shitty definition. e.g. "Didn't say YES = rape" suddenly becomes "1 in 5 women have been raped!")

---

I challenge you to read through the entire thing. He pretty much addresses all instances/permutations of how feminism tries to undermine society (except for rape; thankfully Koreans don't take rape hysteria seriously and false rape allegations here are not common).

He also goes into how feminism has permeated how entire governments and countries legislate and how entire social systems are based on flawed ways of thinking.

Although there are some "Korean"-specific references (SBS is a major TV network here), I think you all can extrapolate this to the situation in your own country. Just keep in mind that Korea is a country that used to be quite conservative but is now struggling to deal with modernity. Nowhere is that more prevalent than in Korean women's inability to deal with materialism.



## Woman love to be validated and beta men will line up to provide this to them. My view on a post on r/theredpill

25 upvotes | April 26, 2014 | by [SwimmingDutch](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I was angered after reading a recent post on theredpill:

Step one: (pretend to) be a woman (no tits where shown)

Step two: pick a subject you know men love ie "blowjob"

Step three: tell them how you were a bad girl but you are now "reformed" and are a good cock sucking girl.

Step four: Watch the validation role in: 400+ votes at the moment and around 252 comments. "She" even edited the post and ended with a :) to show how happy she was with the "discussion" (attention/validation). Hell, thinking more about it, have you ever seen a smiley on the redpill????

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/23ycm5/a\\_womans\\_perspective\\_on\\_getting\\_a\\_blowjob/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/23ycm5/a_womans_perspective_on_getting_a_blowjob/)

I believe this post should have been deleted by the mods as only a month ago this was posted:

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/218db5/tits\\_or\\_gtfo/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/218db5/tits_or_gtfo/)

Perhaps we could ask [u/IllimitableMan](#) to try hammering in even more Machiavellianism into the redpill as we should try to look deeper into the possible reasons for the actions of people. What do they have to gain by there actions.

Do you agree with my premises that woman who post on the redpill do so with the "basic" or "back of the mind" intention of having themselves be validated by being "different and/or better" than "the rest"?

Do you agree that they should not be allowed to post at the redpill and the post should be deleted/moved to redpillwoman?

# The Power Game

25 upvotes | April 22, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://illimitablemen.com/2014/04/22/the-power-game/>

Excerpt from the opening paragraph:

What should you care about? Nearly everybody gives a fuck about at least what one other person thinks, this is normal and natural behaviour, however what many of us realise is that in the game of power it is the person who bluffs best, he who seems like he gives the least fucks and is the least affected by others who is the person that often comes out on top. In essence from a Machiavellian viewpoint this is because such a person is forcing others to play the cards they are dealing, they are forcing others to be reactionary rather than independent and thus in essence set the rules of engagement.

Tldr: prioritising what you care about and understanding power dynamics.

Highly suggest you take the time to read the piece in its entirety. Discuss.

# Producer and Looter tendencies of the Redpill

25 upvotes | April 5, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Atlas Shrugged and other Ayn Rand works have always been polarizing. Despite the flaws in objectivism I've found the concept of producers-looters to be an extremely useful way to view the world.

A producer is someone who exchanges value for value. He deals openly and with the consent of the other transacting party. A looter leeches value. Of course he cannot take value from his victim with the victim's consent, so he does so by laws backed by force, lies, manipulation, and deceit. Both act out of their own self-interest, but a producer creates value while a looter leeches value.

Does this sound familiar? Its the modus operandi of feminism and other liberal movements. They take value from society and give nothing back. They take value with increased taxes, laws backed by force. They lie with statistics about the true state of the world and emotionally manipulate and deceive to get what they want.

However, what else sounds like this? I'm afraid the redpill is a different side of the same coin of feminism. Its no surprise that it rose in response to feminism. Its fighting a looter mentality with a looter mentality.

The focus of redpill is sexual strategy. Whereas MRA seeks to make a better world, free from the pernicious effects of feminism, the redpill merely seeks to thrive in whatever world he is in. The problem, if you see it that way, is that the thriving strategy advocated is just learning how to loot better.

The core of the redpill is sleeping with women. There is nothing inherently wrong with this according to objectivism (Ayn Rand's philosophy), as long as there is an open exchange of value. But Game is a subtle form of manipulation and deceit. Frame control, dread game, being unavailable, these are all subtle tactics to gain more value than you have. It depends on the person as well though. A high-value person may naturally do these things, while a low-value person will have to fake it.

Of course there are other aspects to the redpill than game. There is self-improvement on working out, furthering your career. There is self-empowerment in not pedalastizing, pursuing your own self-interest. But the majority of attention is focused on Game. Now, I don't care what strategy you pursue. Evolutionary sexual strategy is amoral. But I think its important to differentiate between the looter activity of Game and the producer activity of self-improvement because the two activities have different returns on investment.

A looter activity is tiring to maintain. Because its based on deceit it requires constant effort. A person who tries to frame control George Clooney is going to be exhausted. A producer activity requires no energy because it is based on transparency, the only energy needed is in the initial investment.

Ex. Me and my buddies are party animals. We love going to parties, trying to out-alpha each other and the guys there. Sometimes I come out on top and get a bang, but it got me thinking. What a terrible strategy. There is some social proof built up, but every time we go to a new party or a new chick I need to put in the same effort of clowning around, being loud and obnoxious. I enjoy the party, but if my goal is getting laid, there's no increasing rate of returns. So I cut back on all partying. I worked on making the rugby team. The next year, I had more social status and chicks than my best night trying to out-alpha the other guys with frame control, and I didn't have to lift a finger.

**TL:DR** The redpill has both "producer" and "looter" tendencies. A producer is an open exchange of value (ex. hitting the gym). A looter is someone who exchanges value through force, lies, deceit (ex. frame control, dread game, negging, etc.). Producer activities give a better return on investment versus looter activities, which require constant effort to maintain.

**My favorite video on raw lust/desire. Woman interviews bodybuilder James (flex) Lewis. She can barely hold herself together. Watch the daydreaming, watch the lip bite. Oh, that girl in the pink, that's James' wife.**

25 upvotes | February 4, 2014 | by [YouDislikeMyOpinion](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1WhQ-AEZovE>

# On the Cruelty of Life and Those who Persevere

25 upvotes | March 24, 2014 | by [redpill80](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

A major focus of Red Pill ideology is to build quality of life and SMV. Harnessing one's own masculinity, establishing a precedent for respect, and improving physical and emotional health are core tenants of TRP.

Yet, for all the in-depth analysis, I don't see much discussion on dealing with the inevitable disasters and scary moments of life. A man in the Nazi Warsaw Ghetto will have different red pill priorities than a nerdy 20 year old looking to get laid and to build up his finances. Life tends to fuck you at the most inopportune and unexpected moments; it can gift the same man with unimaginable spoils of success and curse him with unfathomable pain wrought by despair and tragedy, in the same lifetime. Those who persevere when the odds are stacked against them have internalized certain red pill values. Those who flounder often have not.

How can we, as Red Pill men, handle tragedy? What gives a red pill man an advantage in crisis?

Most importantly, he must understand and accept that life can be unbearably and unexpectedly cruel and unfair. Additionally, he is not a "special snowflake," and people *will not* give an inch even when he is at his lowest point. Do not expect **anyone** to be your true ally. "Friends" he trusts may abandon him. Women will see him as expendable if his SMV drops low enough. I have personally gone through such ordeals, and it shocked me how little others give to a broken man. People's empathy is limited by their "inner game"; only an alpha male friend and a strong woman can truly empathize with despair. Others are suffocated by their blue pill weakness. Some BPers will use the situation to get close to you, if they see you as high value. On the opposite end, the same person who cries while watching Josph Gordon Levitt struggle with cancer in *50-50* and posts "Feel Good" bullshit about adversity will treat you, a real human being suffering, like shit, if they no longer consider you high value; worse, they will rationalize their action as your fault. Only Red Pill men and women friends should be included in your life, as their support is not conditional on your status. Accept this reality and remove anyone non alpha from your life.

In the case that luck isn't the defining factor in a tragedy, men can take steps to persevere through hell on earth. Firstly, a man must focus on harnessing true self-esteem ([RSD's Owen Cook on Self Esteem Vs. Ego](#)). *Ego* is dangerous; much like quicksand, it suffocates you as you struggle against the lies it tells. You will commit to actions against your best interest out of an illusory sense of self. Eckhart Tolle's work on ego (check out [A New Earth](#)) was especially helpful during my own crisis. In order to survive, I was forced to shed all vices of ego before they crushed me. Furthermore, a man **must take ownership of the situation** in order to overcome it, regardless of the cause of tragedy. A *victim mentality* will destroy him.

To feel empowered during crises, I would suggest reading the book by Don Miguel Ruiz [The Four Agreements](#). These "agreements" are used in support groups ranging from drug addiction to chronic pain to depression. The Four Agreements are: Be Impeccable With Your Word, Don't Take Anything Personally, Don't Make Assumptions, and Always Do Your Best. This is a great book to read even if you are not facing tragedy. For a more manipulative approach to handling power during life crises, look into [The 48 Laws of Power](#), which we've discussed at length.

Finally, I believe there are steps that one can take in advance to survive the inevitable negatives of

life. Surround yourself with people who truly respect you. Keep your finances as secure as possible. Improve your social and academic intelligences. Also, do not be naive; in *The Count of Monte Cristo*, Edmond Dantès faces despair after being imprisoned. He feels faultless. Yet as he comes to terms with his situation, he realizes that he was complicit in his imprisonment through naivety. A major theme of the book is rejecting naivety and adopting a Red Pill mentality to empower oneself. In our own lives, those who follow the red pill script will overcome and survive adversity.

# Push-Pull in Business

24 upvotes | January 23, 2016 | by [depthrill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Push-pull is a great way for sexual strategy. Or, more specifically in terms of game theory, tit-for-tat. When she pulls away, you pull away. When she acts as you want, you reward it by being closer to her (emotionally, fun-wise, sexually dominating, whatever your style of seduction is).

The adaptability in your behavior shows her that you are a dynamic person with "range" and "depth". It keeps her on her toes as well.

Etc. etc. You're reading this on alreadyred so I assume I don't have to give more details on this.

I'm hoping to have a strategy discussion on taking that principle and discussing its implications in business.

During a recent negotiation, I implemented a bit of this and several other principles.

Firstly, lifting and being good looking, well groomed, etc., clearly helps in business. It represents that you have discipline towards fitness which others will correlate to a general personality trait of "discipline", which the other side of the table will likely appreciate in business. It shows that you care about the health of yourself, which they will likely take as you are going to be meticulous and care about the health of the deal, or your job if you're interviewing, etc. If you are dealing with females, they can't help but want you to succeed if they are at least somewhat attracted, or at least intrigued, by you. To simplify this subtly complicated point, attractive people are more successful

Secondly, the push-pull creates an interesting dynamic in business. If one moment at the table, you go hard, unwavering, etc. Then you take a break from the table, and laugh about something completely unrelated to the business deal at hand. It communicates things like "genuineness", it "softens" them up to you, and makes them see you in a light that "he's not such a bad guy, he's just doing what's best in a business sense". The same principle which allows coworkers to bond during happy hour applies here, but your timeline to implement it is much shorter. (*Law 12: Use selective honesty and generosity to disarm your victim*)

Thirdly, specifically in terms of tit-for-tat as game theory, and conditioning, when they present an option you don't like and are being overly aggressive, you be aggressive back. Then when they present a good proposal, you can cheerfully agree to it and get them excited about both of you making a lot of money together in this deal (the "us vs the world" mentality that chicks fall in love with) (*Law 32: Play to people's fantasies*)

All this has to be extremely subtle to not be considered contrived. Nobody wants to believe they are being manipulated. And they're not, because you genuinely want to push forward on the good points, and push back on the bad points. And it also keeps them on their toes about guessing your true intentions. (*Law 3: conceal your intentions, Law 17: Keep others in suspended terror --- cultivate an air of unpredictability!*)

My last post on TRP about "be yourself but be someone worth being" discusses how to maintain integrity, it simply has to be part of your personality. Otherwise it's forced. It's not manipulation if it's part of your personality and natural. (*Law 48: Assume formlessness*) And others, especially high level executives, I promise you are better bullshit detectors than the chick in the bar who sees through 90% of guys.

But as anything we used to do subconsciously, and use our knowledge to do consciously, at its core is



"manipulation", without all the negative pejorative connotations of that word, so rather "strategy".  
Use the women to sharpen your sword, but the real power lies in finance, not sex.  
Others' related experiences, and feedback on this line of thought?

# Playing the Hand You're Dealt

24 upvotes | April 23, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

It seems like those pursuing a short-term strategy with women and those pursuing a long-term strategy have both been able to embrace the redpill. This is great, but it seems the only distinction made between the two, has been that long-term strategy is about being just as alpha, but allowing some beta tendencies to come into play to help the bonding. This is not nuanced enough.

I think the advice above for inner game is spot-on, but there are actually distinct SMV increasing strategies that are more beneficial towards long-term relationship and less useful for short-term conquests respectively or vice versa.

I think the major two extreme different strategies are pursuing Looks versus pursuing Money. Other SMV increasing traits like Status, Humor, Social Proof, fall somewhere in-between, so its most instructive to look at the extremes.

Looks are most effective for a short-term strategy. This has been empirically proven. They are the biggest criteria for one-night stands. But they are actually very low on the list of a woman looking for a long-term relationship, which is why in surveys you'll see women rate looks at something very low like #7, below humour, kindness, etc. (I know knowledge about women is usually wrong, but it has a tinge of truth viewed in a certain light)

Money is very effective in a long-term strategy. We all know the stereotype of a rich, old guy scoring a knockout girl. And we all know girls love doctors, lawyers, etc. But as the PUA and redpill community has picked up very quickly, money has almost 0 effect in a club/bar. Buying bottles and tables will actually repel girls.

Now the redpill advocates improving both. Work out, and work on your career. This is great and I think every man should pursue a baseline in both. Workout to a low bf% and so that you've got the v-shape and maybe some abs, or have a career that'll at least support the lifestyle you want.

But, once you reach baseline, it is best to pick one or the other for your strategy. This is because there are increasing returns to increasing how good-looking you are, or how rich you are. We all know with hypergamy, the bottom 60-80% of men are invisible. This means the higher up you move, you will get exponentially more women (up to of course, a ridiculously high point. Ex. Between Johnny Depp and Brad Pitt its not about who is better looking, but the type of girl who likes artistic/deep versus rugged. Similarly, once you're past 100 million, you're not much different than someone at 200 million)

I think a part of this is because of status. Being a pretty well-off guy and ripped is great, you'll do just fine. But putting in the effort to be the best-looking guy in all your social circles will you get exponentially more pussy, even if your career is non-existent. Likewise, making 20k more than your buddies is not that impressive, but being a millionaire among blue-collar/white-collar middle class friends allows you to live a totally different lifestyle.

Now to finally come to the point I think is interesting, after I read this article:

[http://www.creativitypost.com/psychology/how\\_do\\_you\\_create\\_an\\_elite\\_athlete](http://www.creativitypost.com/psychology/how_do_you_create_an_elite_athlete)

In deciding which strategy you pick, short-term or long-term, you need to consider what hand you're dealt. There are certain genetics that help you a long way in short-term strategy, ex. Height, symmetrical face, etc. There are certain genetics that help you a long way in long-term strategy, ex.

intelligence, impulse control, grit, etc.

Society likes to say if you just work hard you can be successful. This is TRUE. You can be 70 percentile I believe if you work hard, in ANYTHING, because most people are lazy and if you're reading this you're living in the first world. So don't take this post as discouraging anyone. That's the last thing I want to do.

But genetics can play a very large part in the upper echelon, which is where you want to be to get the effect of exponential returns. For example, the gist of the article is that genetics play a huge part in athletics. He was a college athlete, who had trained all his life. They measured his stats, VO2 or something. They went around and found 2000 college individuals who had ZERO training, not even light training. They found 6 of these individuals could run at the same capacity he could despite ZERO training. If these individuals put in some work, they would improve their VO2 higher than he could have ever done despite years of training. Within a few months these individuals could be a better athlete than he could ever hope to be. Also is the old football saying "you can't train speed".

And his word of advice for success was to find a field that fits your talents. For example, he said that in Jamaica, all the young kids want to be sprinters. Sprinters get all the glory. With an eye for talent, he noticed some of the kids had the perfect bodies for longer distance running like 400m, 800M, but these kids still only trained and competed for the 100M. These kids could never be good enough sprinters to go to the Olympics, they would always be very good sprinters, but not the best, but they might have been the best long-distance runners in the world if they had matched their careers with their talents.

Again, I don't want to discourage anyone. I think everyone should be able to reach 70% in looks and career. After that though you should try to get as close to 99% as you can until you're happy with your SMV and life.

# Women & The Death of Femininity

24 upvotes | July 20, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

~~This is my latest unpublished piece brought to you here first at AlreadyRed, I have a few self-improvement, social dynamic and dark triad pieces in the works, but I thought I'd turn my hand at some philosophy on the topic of how women are becoming removed from their femininity, please leave your criticism, thoughts and feedback before I decide whether to share this piece with a larger audience.~~

**Update - the final piece is here:**

**<http://illimitablemen.com/2014/07/20/women-the-death-of-femininity/>**

**Opening excerpt:**

Hardened men make for attractive men, for toughness is a trait that men and women alike covet in their fellow-man, almost everybody respects a tough man (even when they dislike him) whilst hardened women make for some utterly repulsive beings that do not inspire the same kind of response in their peers, for you see it is the endurance of prolonged pain that is in its very nature a process of masculinisation.

Those who undergo pain become tougher and with toughness comes a certain masculine component, the more damaged and pain afflicted a person becomes, the more they harden and the tougher they become, this hardening is a natural response to ineptitude and disappointment, it is the catalyst for self-improvement where one's survival is contingent on such improvement and thus forth the harder a person becomes, the more masculine the sum of their spirit becomes. This would even go so far to explain why in the psychological sense women have a propensity to value the ruggedness that experience brings in men, whilst men rather prefer the inexperience of women, for such a woman is free of the contamination of bitterness and cynicism that experience would wrought upon her, effectively spoiling the inherent fragility of her femininity.

In essence the more worn and experienced a woman becomes, the less feminine she becomes, whilst a more battle-scarred and experienced man becomes more masculine in the process. It is thus I must make an observation: it does indeed appear that men become more masculine with time and sufficient hardship, whilst antithetically, women, less feminine. It is in my estimation that men do not just prefer younger women for their more nubile bodies, but additionally, for their more feminine disposition. This perhaps also goes some way in explaining the feminine obsession with maturity, for a mature woman is one of less desirability than an immature one, whilst an immature man is of markedly less desirability than a mature one. What's good for one is not good for the other and thus it is the nature of gender and by extension, biology itself to impose double standards upon the sexes.

## 2 hour interview with Gad Saad (Redpill gems from a professor) XPOST from /r/theredpill

24 upvotes | July 12, 2014 | by [MikeyCSGO](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

### 519. Gad Saad is Professor of Marketing & Concordia University Research Chair in Evolutionary Behavioral Sciences and Darwinian Consumption and author of "The Evolutionary Bases of Consumption" and "The Consuming Instinct"

[Full interview here!](#)

Skip to 5:00 as Joe likes to get all his ads out at the very start of the podcast

PLEASE NOTE: If you cannot stand Joe Rogan then don't bother listening i'll list some of the main RP points here. This man is not affiliated with TRP in any way, his research however just goes to prove TRP right (yet again).

#### FUN FACTS

- You are **3 times** more likely to get a girls number if you drive a high status car
- Driving a high status car **boosts your testosterone levels**, bigger boost if more people can see you
- A girl will see you as **TALLER** if you drive a high status car
- A girl will see you as more **ATTRACTIVE** if you drive a high status car
- Men will see you as **SHORTER** if you drive a high status car
- Fireman suit, owning a dog or holding a baby will make you more attractive to women
- The larger breasts on a women increases their chances with hitch-hiking
- Men's hand strength is stronger **UNTRAINED** vs a woman's hand strength **TRAINED**
- Men do NOT see women as **more or less attractive** if they drive a high status car

A woman's perception of you can be manipulated consistently under controlled conditions implying that they are **predisposed to be hypergamous**.

#### Some related studies

And somewhere in here Gad has a quote that just might apply to the RedPill about the stages of new ideas. First they outright say your wrong/stupid/silly ect, second they say "well some of it's true but it's mostly bullshit, Third "OK it's true but it's kind of irrelevant" and finally "Oh, I always believed that".

EDITS: Cut some jokes out

# The Heart Symbol

23 upvotes | March 18, 2015 | by [MisterDorimant](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This is just a quick anecdote about a thought that's stuck with me for many years.

We're all familiar with this symbol, which is used as a symbol of romantic love. It resembles an actual human heart only by a stretch of the imagination, and its origins can be traced back to the end of the Middle Ages.

If it only bears a slight resemblance to the actual organ, what does it symbolize? I can remember this question being brought up in one of my high school classes in the 1980s. Back then, teachers weren't nearly as constrained by Political Correctness as they are today, and I had a number of really excellent teachers that spoke freely.

The answer is speculative but if you know a little bit about history, it makes sense. Fertility symbols play a large role in human history, the phallus being one such example.

This, ladies and gentlemen, is what the heart symbol represents: female genitalia. The rounded halves represent ~~dat~~ ass buttocks and the triangular part represents the vulva.

With that in mind, it could be said that **sex**, and not love, is what relationships should be based on. That's not to say that relationships should **only** consist of sex, but sex should be (dare I say it) at the **heart** of it all.

It could also be said that when you try to give your heart to a woman or approach her with your heart on your sleeve, it's possible she could be visualizing that symbol in her mind and she (and others) could be thinking, "wow, what a pussy."

# Dark Triad Psychopathy Q&A

23 upvotes | September 7, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

**I have recently reviewed and revised my piece on psychopathy that I originally wrote 8 months ago.** I've improved a lot as a writer since then and noticed it was a little rough around the edges so I've fixed up its coherency (something I need to do with a lot of my earlier work, to be honest.) I omitted some superfluous content, added some extra insight (about 150 words worth, which is fuck all in the 3,600 word scheme of things) and did a general grammar clean-up. It's not perfect, but vastly improved. If you weren't on the red pill 8 months ago, this will be new to you.

In light of this, I wish to do a follow-up article responding to your general questions about psychopathy. I notice a lot of misunderstanding, misconception and general bullshit being espoused about not only psychopathy specifically, but the dark triad in general. So instead of ignoring the occasional whining about the irrelevancy of the DT, or ignoring someone say something completely incorrect about it, it'd be easier to help people understand the dark triad by just linking them to a FAQ on the topic. So next time someone says some dumb shit or is confused you can say "read this" and link to the Q&A post.

Any questions you have about psychopathy, ask on the blog or in this topic. If you don't have any questions now, you might after reading the link at the beginning of this thread. I'm compiling questions so that I may answer them all in a blog post instead of repeating the same things to different people. Don't expect direct replies to your question in this thread, if your question is relevant/well thought out/significant it will be included in the Q&A post. The post will be as long as the number of worthwhile questions I get, so not even I know what kind of length it will be (assume long as I've already compiled a number of questions.)

I get many PMs about DT theories/behaviour and don't really have the time to carry multiple conversations on with various people and do them the justice they deserve so I figured it'd be easier to answer the multitude of questions people have on the topic in a centralised manner. Collecting all your questions here, in one place, and then compiling them into an article. For the sake of this post, please don't ask about machiavellianism or narcissism, just psychopathy. Of course if you seem like you're trolling or ask a really shit question that seems completely disconnected to the subject matter, your question won't be included.

PS: If you don't want your reddit identity/question/thoughts being in the public domain, you can ask me your question over PM. If you have PM'd me recently and are awaiting a reply, have seen this topic and think I'm ignoring you, I have something of a backlog in my inbox, some of your messages are short essays, especially the dude with his "DT FR" (great FR btw, if you're reading this.) So bear with me and I'll respond to you eventually. Thanks.

## Further down the rabbit hole

23 upvotes | July 15, 2014 | by [MisterDorimant](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

You've taken the red pill.

So what?

**Now** what?

The red pill isn't a magic cure-all. There is nothing miraculous about it. Merely signing up for the TRP fan club is not going to automatically send hordes of hot babes beating a path to your front door. What happens next is entirely up to **you**.

Taking the red pill is only a metaphor. What you are saying is that you are looking past the bullshit and are committing yourself to opening your senses to the way the world **actually** is.

Taking the red pill will not instantly make you alpha. Give it up. **Women decide who is alpha and who is beta.**

Likewise, self-improvement is not entirely about being alpha, either. Self-improvement is (in part) simply about becoming **attractive**. Exhibiting certain beta traits under the right circumstances can be enormously attractive.

Taking the red pill is shedding yourself of beliefs and opinions. And trying, hard as it may be, to make observations of the facts, no matter how cold, harsh, or ugly they may be.

In this way, it has a lot in common with the Socratic Method. You are arguing in search of **truth** rather than **just to win**. Congratulations, you now have a feel for what it means to be a true Westerner, whose guiding principles are founded on the philosophy of Ancient Greece. Immediately, you now have a leg up on a good portion of the general populace.

Making such a commitment is only the first step, but because of that, you see the lies being perpetuated all around you.

Religion is as much at fault for that as is what passes for "science" in the mainstream media.

Feminism is laid bare before you in all her piggish ugliness, holding both neo-conservatives and neo-liberals as her puppets.

The Earth is doomed, and it always was. The universe will eventually suffer the same fate. And there is **nothing**, nothing at all, that anyone can do to stop it.

There is no escape. The Second Law of Thermodynamics specifically states that entropy will forever increase. Order will always flow into chaos, and the universe will eventually undergo Heat Death.

**There is no hope.**

Everything is going to become unimaginably worse and never get better again! - Kurt Vannegut

That's interesting, Mr. Wizard, but what about the here and now? Well, it's all we have. And it's all that matters. Every cloud has a silver lining. And the lining in this case is called "carpe diem."

**Life is too damn short to waste it hypothesizing about what may or may not come afterward.**

That's a great attitude to have, but inevitably, you'll read or watch something about modern society that will upset you even further. Maybe some poor bastard got railroaded and publicly humiliated, and the people he once loved have all turned against him. Or perhaps some privileged female yet



again gets away with something men couldn't possibly dream of doing.

You've learned about the true nature of men and women alike. You understand that men are disposable pawns with very little actual power individually. You have come to accept the predominating theory that women are solipsistic, naive, hypergamous, self-entitled, privileged, insecure beings that never grow up mentally. No matter how mature she might seem, she's really just a lost little girl in a great big world, and she has no idea what she's doing. Because her mind is swarming with emotion, she can only go by what feels good at the time.

"I can live with that," you say.

Can you?

Eventually, you start to realize that **it is simply not enough to sing while you may.**

And this is exactly what weighs you down, day by day. You try to ignore it or try desperately to come to grips with it, but it lingers on and on. Something just keeps tugging at you.

The knowledge you have seems superficial. It doesn't satisfy anything. It seems trivial and maybe a little awkward.

And no matter how you try to cope with this knowledge, **you don't know what to do with it.**

So here's how you put it to work.

You, as a man, must learn to think like a woman. Not **act** like one, nor **care** about the things they do or say. What finally brings everything together is to **think** like one.

Women are:

- Solipsistic: my own mind is the only one that is **sure** to exist; the external world and other minds cannot be known, and thus do not exist outside the mind. **(This is perhaps the most important thing to remind yourself of constantly.)**
- Privileged: I can have it all; I am **entitled** to bang 10s and I will settle for nothing less; I can have the fantasy of a wedding and not have to deal with the hardships of marriage; I can have the fantasy of impregnating every worthy female in sight without the responsibility of raising children; I too can have my own version of AF/BB.
- Self-centered: "wait" is not part of my vocabulary; what I want always comes first.
- Hypergamous: there is always better.
- Insecure: despite any objections I may have, my only real contribution to anyone ever is sex or sex-related; everything else is just there to pass the time.

She will **never** own up to these truths about herself because she **can't**. She doesn't even understand that she thinks this way because she doesn't do it consciously. To her, these are **virtues**, and she is only looking out for her own self-interest.

And if you put yourself in her shoes, you'll see that they really are. She's not doing anything **wrong** *per se*. But when it's "all about me," and I am up against the possibility of giving birth and have to deal with **ALL** of the possible things that can go wrong with that, you will finally understand why they do the things they do.

Meditate on all of this. Contemplate it deeply. This is how some men can "just get it."

You will start to realize how women can rationalize **ANYTHING**.

Never lose sight of the goal. Lift and own your masculinity. Be a proud male, but **think like a**

**woman.**

*Buckle your seatbelt, Dorothy, 'cause Kansas is going bye-bye.*

## LTR's and Friendship

23 upvotes | February 22, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I suspect I will find some agreement here when I say that, for the most part, LTR's suck. I'm not talking about your best ever, love of your life, one who got away situation. I'm also not talking about LTR's with women who are crazy, or ugly, or who withhold sex, or who cheat on you. I'm talking about your typical "good relationship" kind of LTR that your typical man gets drawn into at various points throughout his life, and which absolutely just sucks shit to be a part of.

You see, I've noticed that the mere thought of cohabitation with a woman, even with a woman who I enjoy going on dates with, fills me with dread. And this is not how I felt as a young man, this is something that has developed over time.

For a long time I couldn't pinpoint the source of this dread, but I've had an epiphany: it's all the talking, the petty gossip, the "sharing of feelings," the planning of stupid shit that needs to be done around the house and which I am expected to participate in. In short, it's all the boring shit you are expected to submit yourself to in the interest of building a caring, sharing "friendship" with a woman.

You want to get new drapes? Great, if we can afford it, go get some new drapes. But I don't want to go drape shopping with you. I don't give a shit about drapes, and find it boring as shit to spend hours looking at them. It's the most boring fucking thing in the world, the most boring thing in the FUCKING WORLD!

However, the modern expectation that women seem to have is that a truly "loving" partner will care about all the same shit they do, and want to talk to them about these things endlessly on a daily basis, and participate with them in activities involving these things. In short, the typical modern woman seems to think that, in an ideal relationship, the man is not only the perfect, sexy man for her, he's also the perfect *girlfriend* for her. And if a man doesn't give a shit about these things, then that is somehow considered equivalent to not caring about *her*. And this leads to arguments, which waste even more of your time.

Now, I remember a time when the norm was to have a large extended family which got together on a regular basis. When this happened, the women and girls split into their own group and gossiped about who was going to marry who, good lasagna recipes, and drapes, and to be honest I'm not entirely sure because I was hardly ever there. The men and boys split off into their own group and talked hunting, politics, and sports. And everybody had a great time.

See, I don't bore the shit out of the women I'm with by talking about my workout routine, or how I kicked ass at work that day, or the best caliber of rifle for hunting deer. Because I know this will bore the shit out of them, and besides, I really don't have any desire to discuss a topic with someone who has no knowledge to offer me, nor any interest in my own knowledge. It doesn't bother me at all not to talk about that stuff with her, in fact I prefer not to. But this has decidedly *not* been the attitude of any woman I've ever dated.

Not only that, the fact that I don't care about many of the things that my girlfriends want to talk to me about endlessly is considered to be dismissive and self-centered on my part. So I either have to feign interest and get stuck in the boredom trap until I just can't stand it any more and find a reason to dump her, or I have to be an "asshole" and tell her that I'm not interested. And if any of you guys know a way to tell a woman that you're not interested in what she has to say without being considered

an asshole, I'm all ears.

I think the typical guy's solution to this is to just accept the boredom, and this is what leads to married men feeling like their wife is sucking the life out of them. You are expected to turn into your wife's girlfriend (or worse), which in turn leads to endless boredom and the end of sex, and eventually the end of the relationship anyway, with a whole lot of wasted time in between.

For this I blame the modern societal expectation that the modern "loving" male partner will turn into his wife/girlfriend's girlfriend. This is a ridiculous and impossible standard. I think the idea that "your spouse should be your best friend" is horse shit, if "best friend" is meant in the way that women understand it. What I have seen in days gone by is that man and wife can have a very close bond, a "good relationship," but it's not the same as a woman's relationship to her sister or best friend. It *is* caring, trustful, and respectful on both sides, that's the most important thing, but that doesn't mean the man sits around nodding his head while the woman blathers at him all day.

Women who are interested in having a happy marriage need to be educated on this, they need to learn to put themselves in the man's shoes. What if they had to come home from work every day to a man who insisted on talking about his day of deer hunting, how he stalked the deer, where he shot it, how his buddies reacted, and his plans for him and her to go shopping together for a new rifle, and so on, endlessly. And worse, what if the man got all butthurt when she says, "listen I just want to relax right now, okay?" with the guy saying whiney shit like, "Well you know I shot that deer for you, but I guess you don't appreciate that, do you?" It would drive her fucking nuts, it would suck the life right out of her, she would start spending extra time at work because it's better than being at home. Well, welcome to the world of the modern husband.

## To hell with circumstances, I create opportunities.

23 upvotes | June 19, 2014 | by [alreadyredscool](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

It is summer, now is the time for great beach parties, BBQs and hanging around in parks.

Read a book in the park or just hang out with your friends, approach a set of girls playing volleyball or host a party. Always increase your social circle, not just for status but also to get more chances to find new women. The filtering process for finding a quality women is simplified too.

But what about the opportunities you miss? VIP access to concerts, clubs and events? The trick is networking (for example a friend that works at the press will get you everywhere). Thank god someone else already wrote it down:

[Breaking into and Creating Social Networks to get into elite nightclubs](#)

# Become Smarter, Stronger and More Refined

23 upvotes | April 13, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://illimitablemen.com/2014/04/13/monk-mode/>

There's no TLDR or summary this time, read it or get your lazy ass out of here.

On a brief and relatively unimportant note, in an attempt to preempt autistic pedantry: **I did not come up with the term "monk mode"**

Feel free to post questions and criticisms, on the note of criticism, keep it constructive. Thanks, and enjoy my latest piece.

## For Latin American RPer there is only one thing: TO WIN.

23 upvotes | April 4, 2014 | by [RootB](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Hello AlreadyRed, I make the following post to bring my experiences as a RPW (Red Pill Warrior) in Mexico, and you're going to realize something: It's the same even in the third world, only with a few bonuses. (BTW English isn't my first language, so if I make a few grammar mistakes I hope you understand.)

I'll like to begin this post with my backstory, I come from a your traditional nuclear household, Dad-Mom-Brother-Dog. So there's nothing flimsy in my life other than never listening to my dad when I was young and boy was I a fucking idiot for not listening to him when i was younger. (Both of my parents come from a poor background, basically living in a 1 bedroom apartment with a single bathroom then moving on the social ladder, obviously thru hardwork and a few business opportunities).

Well, what Can I tell you about Mexico as a redpilled college student? If you're: 1-Foreigner 2-Rich. It's basically an open season, you can pick any woman you want and they'll automatically try to bone you out of some weird conquistador complex our women tend to have, and later on show you off as a trophy. However what's funny about this is that as a College Student I am I've started to see some things you guys probably cannot relate to, Since you don't live in the third world.

Beta Orbiting is rampant here, I can pretty much tell you that thanks to our "machista" past (Basically, the man must act like a strong man, if not he's seen with ill eyes by society) there's an incredible amount of men who'll take a shot for a girl even if it kills them, pathetic? probably but our mainstream TV even promotes it in a worse way than your TV channels do. We have soap operas here that tend to show how the housewife is beaten by her husband and her "modern era" daughter tries to save her and sometimes challenges her dad.

The funny thing is that, that kind of stuff is heavily reflected on our lives, I can pretty much tell you that Middle-Middle high class women are pretty much as luciferian as yours if not more.

I've been mocked in the past for saying that I just want a housewife. I've been called a "machista", old timer and out of tune. Funny how most of the girls who have mocked me for this pretty much pray to god every day that they meet a rich foreigner that'll get them out of Mexico ASAP. (Funny how when they hear stories about Mexicans working in the USA or EU they have this weird wishful stare in their eyes).

Let's not even forget about Student Exchanges. Most of the people who come back from Europe pretty much claim that: 1-Europe is fairly superior culturally. 2-They fucked a lot of girls. 3-Most of the local girls they found there were riding the carrusel quite hard. 4-Pray to every santo for a chance to go back.

As a RPW, I don't even mock them or offend them. Since last time i did i was called a "closed minded" person. I just listen to them and let them fly high in their dreams but i tend to stay away from them since I don't wanna clean the mess when they crash down from their sky castle.

As I said in the title, The only thing us Latin Americans that weren't born with looks nor a affluent parents, the only thing we have left is TO WIN, and a Red pill mentality is fundamental to this.

Mexico is living thru another reconquista, but this time It's not the Spaniards. It's the entire world.

I see disfranchised men, who pretty much just accept this and fall into a big deep of despair, some

have even killed themselves.

I see cuckolded men everywhere, feminism has infected our women too, because they don't want to be like their mother who got married young (Many people during the 80's married young, parents included.), they want to ride the carrusel and have their share of alpha cock and beta bucks.

I see lucifer brides everywhere, with their cunt mothers decorating the entire living room with pictures of themselves when they were younger.

How can an entire disfranchised youth of Mexican men deal with this? by Winning.

Winning against women who wish to squeeze them. Winning against a society that's trying to get feminized. Winning against a society that welcomes foreigners more than their own co nationals.

And by Winning, I don't mean being Rich.

Keeping a Steel frame because this place is literally a warzone in every sense. Creating Mexico-based businesses. Protecting our women, sisters, and relatives from falling into the "modern woman" trap. Helping those disfranchised males who come from single mother based housings to be proud of being a man. Teaching our youth to be wary of foreigners, since they only come to our country to steal us dry in every sense, from our resources to our women. (To those good-willed tourist, I have nothing to say to you)

I see many in TRP claiming on being MGOTW, or giving up completely on the opposite sex, I look at them with disgust, How can a man accept defeat so easily without fighting, I come from a country where we literally have to fight every single day to survive and this people just decide to throw up the towel and say that it's too hard, COME ON.

So AlreadyRed, We're in the same situation as you here in the third world, only that it's a full blown guerrilla here where winning means seeing the next day, and losing well... losing is a worse than death.



## 5 Minutes of Alpha, 50 Years of Pining: Share your stories

22 upvotes | May 25, 2015 | by [theredcandy](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<https://heartiste.wordpress.com/2013/04/08/five-minutes-of-alpha-fifty-years-of-pining/>

I remember reading this ~2 years ago. At the time, I thought this was gold, but I doubt I actually thought this would've happened to me.

It was textbook. I gave her some attention, but not much. It was not planned. I liked her a bit at the start, and as time went by, she got annoying enough that it was just about the sex.

I usually called her late at night, while she'd always flood my inbox with "Do you have time today? When can I see you?". 2-3 months, she told me she loved. That was funny. This chick has not the slightest clue about me. I don't think she even knew my last name. At least, likely not at the time - I remember her finding my letter box (has the same number as that of my dorm) and then looking at my name, which I called her out on: CREEPY!

Eventually, I get tired of her shit, and dump her. We part on good terms, but she can't fucking compute that. She comes back two weeks later, but this time, she's going on dates with unsuspecting betas that she never fucked, and then came to me for sex. I remembered Tucker Max talking about this, and gave myself a high five.

One time, she asks if she can come over. I was content just chilling watching South Park that night. I figured, er ok, why not, if you behave. She had been drinking a bit (she's like 5'5 and 110 lbs, so it doesn't take much) and she started flooding my phone with messages. I don't think I explicitly said she could sleep over.

She comes in, and then rejects sex. HAHA. The fuck ho? This ain't daycare.

Get the fuck out. Bitch, get the fuck out. I don't want you here. That wasn't the first time I kicked her out, but that's another story. She leaves, and then begs to let her in. She begs some more. "Please let me stay...let's have sex. I'll give you a blowjob." Fuck you, you pissed me off enough, I don't want to anymore.

She finally left as I started walking towards my phone, as I threatened to call the cops.

All in all, interesting experience. I gotta say, I was scared for quite a while, thinking she might try to fuck my shit up (she was pretty much addicted), but it's been months and nothing's happened. She went from popping up everywhere quite often, to barely being on the radar. If I hadn't seen her twice over the past 2-ish months, and a friend hadn't seen her a few weeks ago, I'd have thought she dropped out and went back home or transferred.

I kinda went on a power trip with that one of a few occasions, and act with much more caution now. I also made sure I had archived conversations saved (e-mailed whatsapp + 1 or 2 pics of her and me).

# How to effectively use porn

22 upvotes | July 27, 2014 | by [MisterDorimant](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I'm a big proponent of the Apocalypse Opener. Every man should make it part of their routine. If anything, it will boost your confidence like none other, obliterate oneitis, and make you a master of rejection. A very enthusiastic "let's fuck" should be on your mind every moment of every day. If it's not, well, now you know the reason you're not getting laid.

Aim high (she has to be attractive) and go straight for her pussy like you have nothing to lose. Get her alone, look her straight in the eyes, give her a devilish grin, optionally pay her a quick compliment, and don't ask, **tell** her "let's fuck." If she says "no," say "okay" and either walk away or talk about something else.

I have field tested this approach myself quite a bit. **Usually**, the initial responses are a combination of laughs, smiles, and blushes. The boyfriend card almost always gets played. Your response in that case should be "he's not at my place." Even if you have moral hangups about cheating, the point is to **not back down** short of a solid "no." Don't settle for excuses.

Being attractive yourself significantly ups your odds, and like the unwritten law of Real Estate says: it's all about "location, location, location." For example, it works better in bars and clubs than it does when a girl is working at a store. Keep in mind that girls always go for what **feels good** at any given moment, so it's not so much what you say but how you say it.

It **decimates** bitch shields, and shows that you have enormous balls. Doing it right makes you come across as one **powerful** motherfucker. "You're cute, let's fuck" is quite a compliment. The hotter the girl, the better she will take it.

Sometimes it works, too. I once landed a self-described lesbian by using it, and was it ever **hard** and **intense**. I didn't just show her my O Face, she showed me her Ooooooooooooooooooh Face and we both thought her head was going to explode.

What does this have to do with porn?

**If the only thing that you use porn for is to get yourself off, you are doing it wrong.**

Porn is a great way to run the Apocalypse Opener on a girl if you're running slower, smoother game on her.

Game her back to your place. Do not lock the door until later on, just before you bang. You want her to have a clear, comfortable exit path if she chooses to use it where you don't have to lift a finger.

Ask her if she wants a drink. Make her a drink if she does.

Sit on your couch together, make sure you're both relaxed and comfortable. Dim the lights. And then just **turn it on**. Keep the volume reasonably low. Watch it like you're just watching some nature documentary about birds.

She might look at you, horrified. Stay calm. Eyes on the screen. Chill as fuck. Wait for it to pass.

She might blush and hide her face and say "oh my god." Remain cool as a cucumber.

She might leave. Let her leave. Stay seated and calm.

If she starts yelling at you or slapping you, point at the door and say "there's the door." Keep your eyes on the screen.

Ignore any questions or outbursts. You are unphased.

Once the mood is chill and the initial shock has worn off, say "let's fuck" as cool and sincere as possible. Eyes on the screen, unemotional like a rock.

If she says "no," don't budge. Say "okay." Keep watching for a few. Then turn and look at her and have a normal conversation with her about something completely unrelated and leave it playing in the background.

**Sub-**communicate that you are **inviting** her to fuck during your conversation. Absolutely no pressure whatsoever.

She may ask you to turn it off or change it. Turn it **off**, don't change it. Continue talking.

Maintain frame and **do not revisit the subject of sex unless she does**. Show her how cool you can be while the TV does all the work of showing her exactly what you want. The ball's in her court now, and if she changes her mind she'll let you know.

Make a mix tape of your hand-picked favorite scenes. Be sure to screen it closely ahead of time so there's no sick shit. No dialogues, just straight-up PIV fucking. Girls are self-conscious about boob size, so consider making a few different mixes sorted by titty, and be sure to play the most appropriate one so she isn't intimidated by that.

## Inspired by /u/illimitableman's post, how do you all fit on this dark triad personality test?

22 upvotes | February 12, 2014 | by [depthrill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://personality-testing.info/tests/SD3.php>

## she Wants To Cheat

21 upvotes | September 9, 2016 | by [MisterDorimant](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

My previous post on AR uncovered the root of female behavior and the expected behavior of Men. Of course, the root isn't a point-mass, it's a jumbled mess of hypergamy, solipsism, narcissism, Machiavelianism, and psychopathy, but it is safe to say that "bored" concisely summarizes and encompasses all of those traits into one nice, convenient, menstruating package.

Now, let's look at female strategy. Put simply, they have no long-term strategy. girls love opportunistically. They will do whatever makes them feel good in the moment. As such, girls can be dangerous creatures and the majority of them are on a path to self-destruction that cannot be shifted, no matter how much love, effort, sex, money, freedom, comfort, support, validation, and attention anyone affords them.

feminism is a **sickness** that has caused them to become needlessly confused, contradictory, confrontative, and hostile. And unfortunately, they have been indoctrinated beyond any hope of recovery and don't even realize it. Should they be made aware of this, they can only shift into victim mentality and as such cannot be held accountable for their own actions.

The days of calling them out on their behavior and shame affecting them have come to an unfortunate end. she's going to do whatever the hell she wants and **fuck Me** if I stand in the way or even think of objecting.

The Decline isn't coming. It's already here. It's been here since the dawn of time and it can never be reversed. Enjoy it.

---

The **only path** for a Man's life is MGTOW. As a Man, You **must** do whatever it takes to focus on Yourself and **only** Yourself as the **number one** priority in Your life and to hell with anyone that disagrees. Some people think it's a temporary phase. It isn't. MGTOW is a **lifetime commitment**.

But MGTOW isn't as bad as some make it out to be. It doesn't have to be a lifetime of laziness and self-indulgence. It is **Male Liberation**. Our time has come, gentlemen. Embrace it.

Nevertheless, some MGTOW still want to stick their dick in crazy. All girls are at least a 4 crazy, so take Your pick.

sluts are a risky venture, like putting 3 bullets marked "false accusations" and 2 marked "STDs" into a revolver, pointing it at Your head, and pulling the trigger.

~~girls~~ hookers, however, are great for that. But You still have to have game to bang ~~girls~~ prostitutes. It's better for the both of You because ~~girls~~ harlots **love** being plowed and disavowed, a.k.a. Jack Sparrow'd.

girls **love** sex, but they never **want** sex. girls **have** sex. It is hers to give to whoever will stoop down to her and regard her as worthy enough to accept her "gift."

And unfortunately, even though sex is the **only** value they have, all ~~girls~~ concubines are just a *tiitiitiny* bit more than just a collection of warm, wet fuckholes. They come with brains (note I didn't say "minds"), voices, crocodile tears, attitudes, bullshit, batshit, and "standards." It would be lovely if they didn't, but that's a reality we must begrudgingly accept.

---

It is often said that girls are good at trickery and manipulation. girls are **not** good at trickery and manipulation. girls are **terrible** at trickery and manipulation because the lies that girls tell in their vain attempts at hamstering are terrible things, believed by far too many people. girls are **not** good liars. girls are **terrible** liars.

they fake emotions, they fake orgasms, they fake interest, they fake loyalty, and they fake their lifestyles. they are **frauds** through and through, right down to the bone.

What is it that frauds do? Your immediate response to that question is probably that they lie, but it is much more than that. Fraud is **the wrongful or criminal act of deception to result in financial or personal gain**. Fraud is not just a sentence or a paragraph. It's an **entire book**. In this book are not just lies, but half-truths, trickle truths, metaphors, humor, satire, limericks, and even truths. Fraud is much, much bigger than a single lie on its own.

And it's almost always so transparent. All it takes is the smallest bit of skepticism to see right through it. The suspension of disbelief that people will entertain in order to maintain the false narrative that they are all just perfect little angels is often **staggering**.

Hence, girls differ from Men in the way they defraud. **girls do not lie**. Just ask them. Just try to prove it. Just try to show it. Truth tables, Venn diagrams, neural networks, and all the surveillance footage in the world won't prove a damn thing to her and will only lead to a wild goose chase. Even if You do get her to admit it, she will always attempt to justify it and the majority of people will take her side.

In the end, what will You achieve, sexually? Not as much as You could, and with a lot of wasted effort.

---

Just look at what is happening with hitlery klanton right now. The evidence of her criminality just will not stop rolling in, she's in complete denial, and the cucks of the US government and the media are more than happy to attempt to cover it all up and deny any falsehoods she may have ever said in her life. And while they are becoming a minority, a disturbing number of people with no critical thinking skills are eating it all up.

Nevertheless, Donald Trump and his supporters are not letting up and want to see her brought to justice (or so they say). Perjury is a very serious accusation, as Bubba knows, and that's just **one** of the things being brought the table. It will be interesting to see how things pan out in the end.

As usual, something is being overlooked by the majority of witnesses to this catastrophe.

hitlery is not lying.

hitlery is **cheating**.

hitlery is cheating people out of their right to know the truth.

hitlery has cheated taxpayers out of their money (she didn't **steal**, no!)

hitlery has cheated the US of national security (treason, what does that even **mean?**)

hitlery has cheated 4 American Diplomats out of their lives (didn't count, pffft.)

hitlery has cheated the public of Objective news and views (positive thoughts; we don't think about those things!)

And it is possible that hitlery will cheat voters of the election (and she will still somehow be a

victim.)

---

### **AWALT: All girls Want To Cheat.**

Rules mean nothing to her, especially when they aren't enforced. Especially *especially* when she is being actively encouraged to break the rules.

she wants to cheat You of Your birthright: to pump, dump, and deadbeat as many hot girls as possible.

she wants to cheat You of Your victories and accomplishments, stealing credit whenever possible.

she wants to cheat You of Your time, money, and attention, taking as much as You are willing to give.

she wants to cheat You of Your favors, never to reward You for Your deeds.

she wants to cheat You of Your livelihood; Your career is just another rung on her ladder.

she wants to cheat You of Your fame and status; "it's all about meeeeee."

she wants to cheat on You.

she wants to cheat with You.

**You will never be the only one.**

**And she will always find a way.**

---

### **her instincts will never change.**

Just as it is with her lies, it is far more beneficial to You to be aware of her cheating ways and either indulge them or do nothing, rather than to waste energy trying to **prevent** her from doing it or **validating** her bad decisions.

Don't bother shaming her for it. Nor should you tell her it is acceptable behavior. It is what it is, and she just might **bend** (maybe even **break**) the rules for You.

she will **always** be seeking out the opportunity to execute this strategy because it is the only one that really works for her. Thinking is too haaaaaaard for her (and it's boring, which means it is for You to do). All it takes is **plausible deniability**.

**IOIs are therefore signals of an interest in cheating with You.** In a sexual transaction, it is a given that at least one person is going to get **laid** and at least one person is going to get **screwed**. Maybe You, maybe her, maybe someone else.

The days of relationships of any kind are over. Forget about girlfriends and wives. If she wants that with You, **it is up to her to offer**. It is time for Men to **stop seeking them out**.

But fret not.

she doesn't need **a reason**; she wants to cheat because it's hot.

# The Different Types of Manipulator: from read it out of a book, to untamed psychopath, to actualised master.

21 upvotes | November 15, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Synopsis:

A look at the different types of machiavellian, how they interact with one another as well as a brief on how machiavellianism first manifests within people.

## Link:

<http://illimitablemen.com/2014/11/15/nuance-in-manipulative-style-the-machiavellian-trifecta/>

## Excerpt:

There are people who demonstrate incredibly manipulative tendencies from a young age. Be it a pronounced desire to manipulate, a natural aptitude to manipulate, or in exceptional cases, the manifestation of both qualities simultaneously. We will characterise individuals who show both or either behaviour as "naturals." The naturals fall into what I have distinguished as two distinct groups: "The Kings" and "The Generals." The remainder of the Machiavellian population are known as "The Advisers." They learn to become Machiavellian early on in life due to traumatic or otherwise life-changing events, but for all intent and purpose before the inception of said event were not naturally predisposed to Machiavellian thinking. These people are socialised Machiavellians, the Machiavellians of struggle and necessity, and it is they who make up the final archetype which completes the trifecta.

Like most things learned in childhood and to a slightly lesser extent, adolescence, there is a certain intuitive competence acquired from one's early life experiences. With all the impressionableness and raw aptitude that is embodied in the intelligence of youth the ferocity of necessity clashes with trial and error's reactive and adaptive curiosity to give rise to the birth of potential greatness: Machiavellian prowess. This is a universal premise which applies to all crafts, hobbies and arts. The younger the person, the more pronounced the effect of their exposure to an idea; for the young are infinitely more malleable than the old and unlike the old, they need not de-program and then reprogram themselves: they are a clean slate. Machiavellianism is in that respect, by no means different from any other field of study or influence. The younger an individual adopts Machiavellianism as their personal philosophy and likewise practices it as their mode of operation, the more likely one is to proficiently exercise the power of the art.

The development of Machiavellianism often coincides with the development or redevelopment of "the self." In childhood, adolescence and early adulthood this process is rather simply "the development of the self." For older folks the same formation (or replacement of) personality is preceded by de-programming (unlearning previously learned behaviours) in favour of learning what are deemed more efficient ones. This is a practice known more vaguely as metaprogramming, a process where one undergoes cognitive rewiring via psychological reconditioning. There are a number of ways this can be achieved,



but that is a whole other topic unto itself reserved for another time.

The framework which begins to take hold in the mind of someone in the process of becoming Machiavellian causes them to undergo a personality shift. This shift occurs as part of the internalisation of a new and rapidly evolving mental schema. And so it follows that it is upon the back of an internalised Machiavellian framework that social skills such as profoundly accurate analysis and the charm of persuasion manifest as reflexive and natural-seeming proficiencies. In a Machiavellian they are the product of something bigger, rather than skills learnt in and of themselves for the sake of themselves. For the competent Machiavellian, they are merely symptomatic exemplifications of their Machiavellian aptitude having attained a certain degree of refinement.

Any questions you have I will endeavour to answer. Enjoy the read.

More relevant reading material: <http://illimitablemen.com/power/>

# Understanding The Dark Triad - Q&A (Part 1)

21 upvotes | September 11, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Part 1 of the Q&A has been completed **and can be found here**.

Background:

I initially wanted to answer all your questions in one article. However, I received so many questions worthy of a detailed response that it appears I will need to split the Q&A up into 2, 3 perhaps even 4 parts in order to do your questions the justice they deserve. If you don't see your question answered, it will likely (assuming it made the cut) follow in one of the subsequent parts.

If you haven't read them already, utilising psychopathy and utilising machiavellianism are required reading before you begin reading through this piece, so if you haven't read those articles, go and read them. Both articles outline fundamental background knowledge on nature of the dark triad archetype. Without the background knowledge one would acquire from a reading of these predecessor articles, a full capacity to appreciate the questions asked and answers given in this one cannot be assured.

Enjoy.

# Fear & The Gervais Principle (x-post /r/theredpill)

21 upvotes | July 31, 2014 | by [deepthrill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This is a [post](#) from [my blog](#).

A few articles on The Gervais Principal were going around [/r/theredpill](#) a few months back, and how it applied to interpersonal relationships. Specifically powertalk, posturetalk, and straighttalk. It's even part of the required reading.

I hypothesize that almost all these types of interactions are based on fear.

## **Powertalk**

When powerful men engage in powertalk with one another, and refuse to explicitly state what they want, it's frequently borne out of a fear of litigation. As a thought exercise, imagine for a second that someone knows they have the best lawyers in the world, and will never lose a lawsuit. That person will have no fear of litigation, and won't need to be as subtle in their interactions.

I've noticed that men who are older, who already have "won the game" with regards to money and career, don't need to speak in powertalk as much.

The CEO's I've met, who *know* that they have a million dollars in income coming to them year after year, are actually pretty nice and straightforward people. Imagine how you would act if you knew that you had a new after-tax paycheck for \$50,000 coming in to you every month. Every Friday you're getting a \$20,000 gross paycheck.

They don't need to exchange power between one another anymore, because they truly have an abundance of everything in life.

These are actually very nice, kind people who don't engage in as much powertalk anymore. They are bored of trying to play the game and have no need for it anymore.

I've noticed much more powertalk in the famous athletes I've met. These men know that their income, while significant, is only available for a few short years while they are in their physical prime. They have this fear that their money is going to be taken away from them. This is especially true since their fame makes them targets. One buddy of mine, for example, has a past tenant trying to sue him for \$170,000 for "damaged" furniture. When your salary and net worth are all over the internet, you naturally get targeted.

The fear is in fact justified, but it causes them to engage in a *lot* of powertalk.

Truly fearless men with abundance have shed their need for powertalk.

## **Posturetalk**

When a person engages in posturetalk, he is doing so because he is scared of others' impressions. He wants to puff his chest out and look as tough as possible. This is especially easy on the internet when anybody can be whomever they want due to anonymity. That's why posturetalk is so prevalent on the internet. But it's really borne out of fear because he is terrified of another person thinking he's less than extraordinary.

## **Straighttalk**

There are two situations of straighttalk, one from a leader to a worker, and one from a worker to a leader, and they are actually slightly different.

When a worker uses straighttalk to a leader, he is actually afraid to misspeak. He knows the leader is in the position of power, and wouldn't dare try to use subtlety (powertalk), babytalk, or posturetalk. If the leader sees through the worker's subtleties, then the worker will be crushed. As such, fear causes him to use nothing but straighttalk.

However, when a leader uses straighttalk to a worker, that is the only type of interaction not borne out of fear. The leader needs nothing from the worker, and the worker's reaction has zero effect on the leader. The leader has a true abundance mentality with the worker, and fearlessly can ask the worker whatever he pleases.

### **Usage**

Most people assume that it's better to engage in powertalk with powerful men, to prove that you speak their language.

I disagree.

What's interesting is that other powerful men are not used to being engaged in straighttalk from an equal. If you are straight up with another powerful man, and clearly not a worker or peon, this causes a cognitive dissonance in the leader. Nobody speaks to a famous person or CEO with straighttalk unless that person is above.

By engaging in straighttalk, you are demonstrating a lack of fear, and perhaps even communicating that you believe this usually-powerful person is below you. For example, the President of the United States, or a Russian billionaire oil tycoon, would have no need to engage in powertalk with someone famous. The famous athlete's \$50 million is a joke to the billionaire. The billionaire would in fact *not* engage in powertalk with the famous person, but rather straighttalk. To the billionaire, the famous person is a worker, an entertainer, not an equal.

By engaging in straighttalk with someone who is used to being engaged via powertalk or posturetalk, you actually gain some respect for your fearlessness.

To even have conversations with someone that powerful or famous, it is usually through referrals. You already have some standing because an existing connection of yours usually made the introduction. For example, being part of one famous person's entourage means that you don't need another famous person's connections. If you start engaging in powertalk with them, they know it's because you want something from them and are essentially offering to exchange some power. If you speak in straighttalk with them, they know you need nothing from them, and it makes the famous person wonder if you are actually above them (something they are not used to).

### **Reversal**

There is another side to consider, and that is that it's not really about fear, but rather optimizing your own return. If you know that somebody will only do business with you if you "speak their language" and engage in powertalk, then you are consciously choosing to engage in that type of talk. Such a person is only using powertalk to gain another's respect, not because he needs to use powertalk himself.

## Central hub of MGTOW shuts down. We were right (surprise).

21 upvotes | February 11, 2014 | by [YouDislikeMyOpinion](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://www.mgtowforums.com/>

You can read the full letter addressing the shut down on the website.

Here's what the main guy had to say about why he shut it down:

However, MGTOW seems to be a successful concept for incels and psychos so that is where I'm going to leave it.

We were right or we were right? I posted about this exact thing months ago. And we agreed about it in unison.

It's just too easy and nice for these incels and depressed men to adopt fucked up MGTOW dynamics.

Title of my old post: Incels. Depressed Men. The MGTOW disease.

I'm seriously thinking of writing a critique on what is commonly thought of as MGTOW. I originally thought of MGTOW as a movement of men that truly go their own way. These MGTOW remove the constraints placed upon them, and do what they truly desire. The concept is gold. The interpretation of the concept is polluted.

You can read the following link from the mgtow website describing the 4 levels disengagement from society (apparently disengagement from society = mgtow, according to the author)

<http://www.mgtow.com/four-levels-of-mgtow.php#.UscK8fRDvuQ>

What you end up with is people that make comments like this: (I found this on [/r/theredpill](#) with 3 upvotes 0 downvotes)

And that is why I don't enjoy threesomes. Its kinda cool but it takes way to much effort and you still come the same way as if it was only 1 girl.

Another MGTOW has this to say: (3 upvotes 1 downvote)

If your idea of 'success' or what kind of man you are comes from validation from women, I'm afraid that's not red pill. No amount of knowledge on "kino techniques" will change that.

As I've said before, incels "opting" out of having sex holds as much weight as me opting out of high level political decision making. I can opt out of it, but I never had it to begin with, so what value or weight does me opting out hold?

MABTW was mentioned so I searched it up and I found this extremely amusing:

[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T0xoKiH8JJM&feature=player\\_detailpage#t=153](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T0xoKiH8JJM&feature=player_detailpage#t=153)

I also find it funny that advertisers find it lucrative to post singles ads on the MGTOW site. It's no coincidence.

# The Red Pill "End Game"

21 upvotes | February 27, 2014 | by [redpillbanana](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

The Red Pill "end game" has been on my mind for ages, and the post about "long game" inspired me to write it up. I was hoping to get some input from the experienced RP guys here about their thoughts on the RP "end game".

What do I mean by "end game"?

The concept comes from a book that I'd recommend reading: "The 7 Habits of Highly Effective People". It may sound like self-help tripe, but it is actually a solid book and all of the seven "habits" make sense - and there are some real gems in the book like, "you can't talk your way out of a problem that you behaved yourself into", i.e. don't hamster.

One of the first habits the book mentions is, "Begin with The End in Mind". He actually has you visualize your funeral and what people are saying about you. This is what I'm talking about when I say, "end game".

This is one of my visualizations of what the end might look like for guy who has followed RP principles, not going as far as the funeral, but pretty close:

*You're in your 80s and have around 2-5 good years left. You had a good career, made good money, and retired in financial comfort back in your late 40s. You never married, have no kids, and had a vasectomy back when you were in your late 30s due to a close call.*

*Physically, you're still strong and have good mobility due to regular lifting and good diet, but the years of heavy squats have done a number on your hips and knees, and your fingers ache when it gets cold. You've had some close calls due to losing your balance and your prostate is getting uncomfortably large. Your doctor is worried about a potential blockage in one of the blood vessels in your heart and also says you have signs of glaucoma. Your reaction time has decreased noticeably so you've cut back on your driving and you don't travel much at all.*

*You had spun high-quality plates for decades and have many pleasurable memories, but your last hot young plate was many years ago. Almost all of your former plates have gotten married, though a few alpha widows keep in touch despite their wedding ring. Some have even introduced you to their husbands and kids (NOTE: this has actually happened to me - WTF, I know), but most have understandably cut off contact.*

*Besides flirting with the young checkout ladies at the supermarket (always the charmer), you don't have much contact with women - and if you did land a hottie, you'd need some serious pharmaceutical help to seal the deal. Frankly, you look really old, and all the game in the world won't help you land a young hottie at this point. Multitudes of older women are interested in you, but you're just not attracted to them.*

*Once in a blue moon, you head down to the local "massage parlor" for some physical contact from a pretty young girl and a nice release. Your sex drive is pretty low and you don't have the urge to do this very often.*

*Many of your friends and family are dead and some of your older plates have even passed on. You have quite a few online friends but not too many in person. You have plenty of hobbies and still play sports and lift - and you enjoy all your activities, but many of them are done solo.*

*Occasionally when you go to a friend's home to visit, his kids and grandkids stop by to say hello, and you can see the happiness in his eyes as he interacts with his wife and family. You remember when his kids were born and when they graduated and even attended their weddings. You wonder what it would have been like if you had started your own family back in the day. Would it have been worth it to give up all those years of hot sex and freedom for the experience of walking your daughter down the aisle during her wedding, and the warmth of playing with your grandkids?*

What do you guys think - what do you think the RP end game looks like, and what would be ideal for you?

---

Note: Rollo Tomassi has touched on this subject a bit with his "The Myth of the Lonely Old Man" post: <http://therationalmale.com/2011/09/12/the-myth-of-the-lonely-old-man/> but it didn't delve into the subject as much as I'd like.

An interesting movie that is somewhat related is Broken Flowers with Bill Murray. It's about a rich older retired man who receives an anonymous letter saying that he has a son. He's been with quite a few ladies over the years, so he goes to visit all the likely candidates to try to find out who wrote the letter.



## What's your long game?

21 upvotes | February 25, 2014 | by [bit\\_tosser](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I really got into RP about 6 years ago after a really bad breakup; I've dated around, had a few LTR's, spun plates, and I'm currently about to sunset an 18-month relationship cause I'm kinda bored with it and have been focusing on work and miss the thrill of being solo. At this point I hesitate since this is the N-th time I've been through this now and it's starting to become old-hat. I can certainly see why some guys break down and just get married 'cause they're tired of chasing pussy and "This one seems pretty good". I'm not there yet, but it does give me pause to at least consider where do I want to be, and perhaps more importantly who do I want to be with in 10, 20, 30 years. What's your long term projection?

# Do Nothing Which Is Of No Use

20 upvotes | August 21, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

"Do nothing which is of no use" - Miyamoto Musashi

Sometimes I wish there were more hours in a day. The reality is that 24 hours are already more than enough.

I don't believe in time management. Obsessively calculating your time has only one purpose: make you feel better about yourself. Too bad it comes with a lot of downsides: less creativity, less productivity, an higher risk of burning out.

We like to believe that we can be as efficient as robots, but we can't. And that's not even a bad thing.

I personally like to be effective, not efficient. Would you rather get stuff done in 1 strenuous and chaotic hour or get it done in 5 relaxed and orderly hours? I choose the first option, thank you. Too bad the majority of people prefer the latter, they hate thinking too hard and they certainly don't like chaos.

Just think how much you can get done in 3 hours a day of strenuous and chaotic work. Wouldn't your life totally change in a year? And what about using two thirds of your daily hours to do actually productive stuff? Would you become a god by the end of the year?

Let's face it, how many hours do you waste daily on trivial stuff, like browsing Reddit, 4chan, Twitter, copycat books, blogs, and Internet forums? Cutting off videogames, television and other kinds of mindless entertainment was the best thing to do. But refreshing The Red Pill every hour isn't exactly a productive activity.

Do you really need to read the same few concepts over and over again? Will reading about how women are illogical, emotional and manipulative over and over again change your life for the better? Will reading the same "manly" motivational stuff over and over again change your life for the better? Will reading about how lifting and eating good food makes you a better man over and over again change your life for the better?

80/20 rule folks. Filter all the noise. Get the truth and then move on.

Work, kick asses and then relax.

## [Meta] Maintaining quality in the Red Pill community as we grow

20 upvotes | April 24, 2014 | by [DownvoteToDisagree](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I've been a general follower of the red pill community for a few years and a subscriber of [r/TRP](#) since Jan '13, when Roosh did his AMA and at best you would see 20 or so people online.

The explosive growth in the red pill community post-January '14 definitely has changed the overall quality of the community and the "signal-to-noise" ratio. But then again, it might just be the "[Eternal September](#)" effect in my mind.

**Is there a way to objectively measure how the red pill online community has changed? If the quality of the message is declining, what can be done to maintain it as we grow?**

I think it's inevitable (and desirable) that we will grow. The problem is maintaining a controlled assimilation that doesn't dilute the original idea. What do you think?

## Reliance on Game and Assumed Advantages Will Blind You

20 upvotes | February 26, 2014 | by [RedSunBlue](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://amaninasia.wordpress.com/2014/02/26/reliance-on-game-and-assumed-advantages-will-blind-you/>

Synopsis:

A Roosh V Forum member goes 2/260 in Osaka, Japan, even though he is both tall and white. I reason that his assumptions that being tall and white *should* be enough in Japan and that more game is the answer to all his girl problems are actually causing him a lot of undue frustration because they prevent him from addressing his real problem: shabby appearance.

# The mods are tinkering with the mod bot

20 upvotes | February 25, 2014 | by [DaddyMonster](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Updated

In part due to the thread about [advertising this channel](#), the mods have been tinkering with the mod bot.

Basically, we've been testing different ways of countering:

- Aggressive brigading
- An influx of low quality posts

For now, we've settled on the following:

- All subscribers are allowed to comment
- Users who aren't verified as *AlreadyRed* are allowed to make new submissions, but they will have to be approved by moderators before they become visible to everyone
- Subscribers verified as *AlreadyRed* are allowed to make new submissions without having to pass the moderation queue

This allows us to:

- Keep a high signal-to-noise ratio without making things too restrictive and without placing a too high a burden on the mods
- More effectively screen for users who deserve the *AlreadyRed* badge

Don't be afraid to post though; the mods aren't going to employ the ban-hammer just because you post something that isn't the best and most innovative thing since sliced bread. In fact, if you consider yourself *AlreadyRed*, and you think that you have something worthwhile to contribute, make a couple of *kickass* submissions and you might get recognized for it too.

# Therapy And Women Who Don't Want Children

20 upvotes | March 15, 2014 | by [Sufferix](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I am not sifting through the garbage opinions of 41k members in TRP for the few people who are truly red so I'm asking here.

What is your take on therapy? Is it useful in any sense? Does it matter on whether a female or male therapist is involved? Is couple's therapy the epitome of useless?

What about women who don't want kids? I'm not trying to channel opinions on this one so go wild with any implications you find worth explaining.

# You're all fucking naturals

20 upvotes | February 8, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

How do I know?

Because if a fat uggo comes on to you, you instantly have the correct frame to interact with Kate Upton to get her to fuck you.

Let it marinate.

Edit: Hi AR, nice to meet you. Just a friendly reminder to treat that 9.5 like a 2.

## A Swift Kick in the Ass

19 upvotes | November 10, 2014 | by [Doctor\\_Mayhem](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<https://archive.today/PyKJs>

This guy writes about his devolution from a young, impassioned man determined to change the world, to a drone with no purpose.

This whole post started when his wife confessed to him that she had been cheating on him for the past ten years.

Some of the best quotes.

"I regret doing nothing with my energy, when I had it. My passions. My youth. I regret letting my job take over my life. I regret being an awful husband, a money-making machine. I regret not finishing my novel, not travelling the world. Not being emotionally there for my son...

**Being a damn emotionless wallet."**

"If you're reading this, and you have a whole life ahead of you, please. Don't procrastinate. Don't leave your dreams for later. Relish in your energy, your passions. Don't stay on the internet with all your spare time (unless your passion needs it). Please, do something with your life while your young. DO NOT settle down at 20. DO NOT forget your friends, your family. Yourself. **Do NOT waste your life. Your ambitions. Like I did mine. Do not be like me.**"



## The issue of women's special treatment in the military, from a Korean perspective

19 upvotes | October 14, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://www.koreabang.com/2014/videos/the-era-of-women-in-koreas-armed-forces.html>

This is a bit too niche to be in the main sub.

This article is about women who are increasingly joining the armed forces in Korea, but not as enlisted soldiers (which all able bodied men in Korea must do for 2 years). Instead, they are automatically placed as officers, whereas a man with the same qualifications (college degree) cannot do this because he must serve 2 years mandatory enlisted as any other man.

Further, there's the issue that to protect women from being "enlisted" the Supreme court ruled that korean women are not "legally able-bodied", yet women demand the high status positions saying they are just as "able-bodied" and can serve as officers/artillery/tank detail.

I think this has major similarities to the US situation, except it's more exacerbated in a country where all men must serve.

So why not go Israel's route and require ALL able bodied youths?

# The Game of Power

19 upvotes | October 5, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This article is a Machiavellian piece, albeit, it appeared to have been missing from the dark triad portal of Illimitable Men, an oversight which I have now corrected for.

To get you started, here is the introduction to the piece:

This piece discusses the relevance of power in comparative as well as absolutist terms. One thing I have found is, the word “power,” contains specific connotations to most people. They hear the word “power” and it conjures up an image of absolutist, concentrated power. A king, judge, dictator or some other esteemed or highly influential individual. However, these roles are merely the symbolic embodiments of a concentration of power, saturated power. Power permeates the entirety of the societal structure in subtle and not-so-subtle nuances that dominate each and every social interaction. Everyone has a place. There is a pecking order. Sometimes the contrast is oblique, other times it is resounding.

Power and popularity have an incestuous relationship; they fellate each other, reciprocally. One would argue that popularity itself is a manifestation of power, although popularity is certainly possible without power. Some would say popularity is a form of soft power that can precede hard power. Of course this begs the question “of which comes first?” and we find ourselves facing a “chicken and the egg” philosophical conundrum.

Regardless you can escape neither power nor popularity. You must learn to understand power as the social equivalent of water. You cannot avoid it. You need it. Without any power to command anything, you would have nothing. With minuscule amounts of it, you would subsist minimally. With moderate amounts, you live comfortably. With excessive amounts, you risk corrupting yourself, probably becoming narcissistic, potentially becoming sadistic.

**You can read the full article here**

**Article contents:**

- Introduction
- Bluffing
- Judgement, Self-Perception and Self-Discipline
- Silence, Saboteurs & Platforms
- Concluding Statement

**Background Information:**

I began editing and refining my piece "The Power Game" recently and upon completion of the overhaul opted to rename it "The Game of Power"

This is something I intend to do with a lot of my work having refined my writing ability. In fact by editing it, I began to contribute additional insight, and thus due to the addition of so much extra content almost doubled the article in its size despite very large (4 digit) omissions.

I omitted what I deemed to be thematically divergent or otherwise inferior content from the original piece, replacing it in the newer piece with more relevant and poignant information.

I do intend to re-release the originally included but now omitted information, just re-worked and further developed into a thematically congruent successor piece (a part 2.) However, in relation to the mention of part 2, I have not decided on whether or not I will definitely release it. Mainly due to perfectionism, commitments and other miscellaneous priorities. Needless to say, this originator piece has been substantially over-hauled to such an extent that even if you read the original I strongly urge you to read it in its newest incarnation as it's practically an entirely new article.

## Putin, Shit Tests, and World Politics

19 upvotes | March 10, 2014 | by [redpill80](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Unless you're living under a rock, you know of the Russian incursion into Ukraine and the international crisis we've found ourself in.

Last August, Assad's government in Syria shit tested the United States. Obama had made a comment about a red-line regarding chemical weapons, and Syria crossed this line. What happened afterwards was poor statesmanship. First, Obama's administration backtracked on the red-line comment, and then tried to convince the American public of the validity of a military strike. Then Kerry made a dumb comment that Putin and Russia seized on. Putin offered a compromise for the situation. Obama flew to Russia to negotiate the compromise, and in the end things seemed to settle down.

However, in effect, this completely changed the power structure in the Middle East and the world. The US demonstrated that they were *desperate* for a peaceful solution; this a poor power play, it reflects a scarcity mindset. Furthermore, Putin seized on the opportunity to increase Russia's political clout in the world.

Most importantly, the perception of America and Obama changed instantly internally and globally. Assad (Syria) had been on the brink of destruction a week earlier, and suddenly he was making demands of the US. Sunni Arab countries (and Israel) opposed to Iran and Syria became frightened and felt betrayed by the US. Saudi Arabia and Israel have become closer allies, as they no longer trust America to protect them. Within the US, Obama's administration was deemed weak- it is no coincidence that the government shutdown happened within a week of the Syrian compromise; politicians in Congress smelled the weakness and went in for the kill.

The Ukraine protests started several months later in November 2013. There are two opposing narratives of the crisis; the Western narrative and the Russian narrative. I suggest reading the comments below for discussion of the conflict.

Shit tests and social politics are very real concepts, even in global politics. I see them as laws of nature. I am very curious to see how the rest of this conflict plays out.

EDIT: removed discussion of Sochi olympics and Crimea

## Feel like preachin' to the choir.

19 upvotes | February 12, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

The starting point of red pill thinking is the frank recognition of the ineradicable and fundamentally distinct emotional structures which govern the behavior of (typical) men and women in regards to sexuality.

This is why, for example, the mgtow movement (insofar as this is understood to involve voluntary celibacy) is met with mistrust. I personally wouldn't trade the mere *option* to get laid in the future for the certainty of unlimited wealth, and there are strong evolutionary reasons for why I feel this way, even though this is not considered a "rational" way to feel by some people. Therefore a young man who claims that getting laid just isn't worth the effort is either a genetic anomaly, an ascetic who has chosen to reject "worldly" pleasures in general, or he's lying to himself, especially given the fact that we are living in a historically good time for getting laid.

This is also why I don't listen to feminists who claim that I should be willing to commit to a promiscuous woman. Even leaving aside the question as to whether past performance is an indicator of future performance (it is), there are powerful emotional forces at work within me which render issues of "fairness" pointless. I just don't want her, and I can only pretend that I do at best. And so, in the service of the feminist conception of fairness, am I supposed to sacrifice my own happiness in order to make a promiscuous woman not feel "judged" or "disadvantaged?" And after all, the "double standard" towards promiscuity arises from the fact that women don't revile promiscuity the same way that men do. It is not something imposed by men on women.

This is also why I reject the flipside of this kind of argument when it occurs in men's rights forums. For example, a men's rights activist might complain that "society" doesn't respect him for being a secretary. Sorry, but being a secretary is a job where you are bossed around all day doing things that other people are too important to do. For strong evolutionary reasons, women will have less desire to fuck you as a result. Meanwhile, being a secretary has no negative impact on a woman's desirability. It's a double standard, yes, but you need to get over that because it's part of the ineradicable structure of human emotion. Best case scenario is that you successfully apply whiney social pressure to people until they learn to *pretend* that it doesn't matter. And good luck doing that, because guess what? There's also an inherent double standard in the way people are influenced by whiney social pressure. Whiney social pressure works when used in the service of women, children, and weaklings, it doesn't work when used on behalf of the dominant.

This, by the way, does not mean you have to be a slave to your inborn desires and moods. It simply means that you have to recognize the control they do have over your happiness and sense of well being. This applies not only to lust, it applies to anger, the need for companionship, everything. As a man you are going to get angry sometimes, so you need to find a good outlet for that in the gym, or boxing ring, or whatever. You will feel a need to be part of a team, maybe to lead a team, so you need to find outlets for that in sports, careers, etc. You will feel the need to fuck, so you should go out and get laid. Which is all fine. But the sticking point is that, especially compared to the males of other species, you will feel the need to love and provide as well (more precisely, to be *appreciated* and *respected* as a provider). In this department you're probably fucked.

EDIT: Forgot to add that the only "hard teaching" of TRP seems to be to try and turn off this last desire. It goes against the grain for many guys, myself included. It takes hard experience even to

consider it seriously. But the fact that so many men are unhappy, despite the fact that it's so easy to get laid, shows just how powerful this urge is, and why it is hard to get over.

# Cuckolding other guys' gfs/wives is fine. Sexual strategy is amoral. (Don't be a Bro Knight)

19 upvotes | April 14, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## My Position:

**I believe it's perfectly find to cuckold and fuck other guys' wives and gfs.** Exception is when you have a previous trust relationship with the guy, a violation of which would mean betrayal (betrayal is considered the worst of all sins by classical writers like Dante for a reason). But 99% of the time, there is no betrayal on your part; it's the woman who is betraying her man, and that's not your responsibility.

## Context:

TRP post asks "Is it okay to let your gf go clubbing?". Obviously, there were a few stories of cheating. I came across this comment tree as an example:

### EDIT: removing usernames due to mod concerns of x-posting

- [redacted] reported an epic encounter banging some girl with a bf.
- TRP immediately upvotes comments chastising him for not telling the "poor bf".

Pay attention to all of the comments by [redacted]:

Quit being a pussy, tell him, and let him decide what to do.

There has to be some sort of common decency...I would've at least had the decency to let him know, he can decide to do with that information what he wants....I don't give a shit if he won't listen, you guys are trying to hamster your way into avoiding responsibility.

- OC gives a perfectly rational explanation why not:

It isn't my job to tell strangers that their chick is a slut, just like it isn't the job of a RP man to convert BP men to the dark side.

This girl knows my name. It's not like I will be a completely anonymous entity. We have mutual friends. Dudes have literally been killed for less. You are assuming all people are rational in their actions. They are not. Nothing to gain here.

- And then the "bro knights" keep coming:

That's why you conceal carry.

I think we need to stick up for these guys...I kinda see it as my responsibility to raise some sort of awareness and help the victims. I mean, why else are we subscribed to this subreddit? We obviously care about this topic.

So I need to pack heat so I can fulfill my bro duty of telling a guy his gf is slutty? Seriously?

## My Context:

I recall one of my past field reports. TL;DR I hosted a Filipina girl off couchsurfing for 6 days and had crazy sex. She had a boyfriend at the time. She then told her bf. He took her back. She then came *back* to my country 8 months later *with her bf* and met me for more crazy sex.

This post was a hugely contentious when I posted it. You can search for it in the sidebar, but in summary, I was called all sorts of terrible names for violating the **bro code**.

## So what is the "Bro Code"?

The so-called "bro code" is a comforting/coping mechanism that guys hide behind, which tells them to "help" less fortunate guys in order to pacify the inner pain they have from when they were that less fortunate guy themselves.

This develops because apparently facing the big bad world with your "bros" is easier than facing it alone (especially when it comes to facing women & the daunting sexual marketplace). It also is a natural output of guys' desire for camaraderie.

However, it reeks of white knighting, but for other men. It also perpetuates a victim mentality, which leads to the *willingness* to give up your personal agency for your failures with women.

Hence, the term I offer: **Bro Knighting**, where a man comes to the defense of another weaker man who is unworthy of help for the sake of satisfying their "inner sense of justice", which makes them feel more manly and "tough" (hence, the battle language in the example above about "responsibility" and literally carrying a gun to fulfill your "duty"). This is because they cannot feel manly by accomplishing their primary goal (slaying pussy), so they settle for a secondary meal (helping bros).

This being said, I have *no* issue if you want to give up pussy to "help a bro out" (it's not my blue balls at the end of the night). What I take issue with is guys who try to define sex as a moral issue with obligations.



# Wedding Ring Theory

19 upvotes | February 11, 2014 | by [SE17](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

A little while ago I was thinking about why women love fat diamond rings so much. They are not in any way functional as they have no real use. They're not especially rare if not for the limited supply organized by the diamond cartels. But they love them. The bigger the better.

Then I thought about what actually happens when you buy a woman a diamond ring.

You, a man, spend a very large amount of money on a useless shiny piece of carbon and give that to the woman you are marrying.

You take your useful resources (money) and spend a large amount on something that is completely useless and you give it to this woman to wear on her finger.

Women love this because it's a huge show of commitment. It's a show of how much resources she was able to extract from you.

Now she can nonchalantly wear this act of commitment on her finger for the whole world (especially her friends) to see. She can subtly show off how she managed to snag a high value man and got him to commit to her so strongly that he would sacrifice huge amounts of money on a shiny rock for her finger.

Sorry if this is inappropriate for this sub.

Edit: was not expecting such an amazing response. Full of quality replies. This sub is awesome.

# She Wants to Hurt Me

18 upvotes | August 30, 2015 | by [MisterDorimant](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Sick of the lies? Too bad.

Tired of being used? Get used to it.

Got dumped / cheated on? Your fault.

Stuck in the friend zone? It's a life sentence and there is no escape.

Wondering why you keep getting shit on by women? Well, that's a "you" problem.

**It never ends and women will never change.**

You can choose to either sulk away in fear and defeat (become omega); stand up, make a spectacle, and argue in vain (become MRA); or accept that women will never change (become TRP).

She doesn't love you, even if she says she does.

On the bright side, she doesn't hate you either, even if she says she does.

You, as a cisgender heteronormative male, are simply a toy. A plaything. A clown. A joke. You're worthless. Disposable. Unworthy.

**To her.** (Not that it matters.)

The only unconditional love you will ever get in this life (apart from your parents, if you are lucky) is from a dog. Kids? Hah. Those are mommy's.

---

Women lie with impunity. Everything about them is fake and their entire bodies are made head to toe of deceit. Every word out of their mouths is suspect. Their actions are pure hypocrisy. Makeup is, in and of itself, a **bald-faced** lie. They lie not only because they can simply get away with it, but because of their Machiavellian nature: "what's mine is mine, what's yours is mine, what's ours is mine." Lies can bring women **big** benefits.

She will fling false accusations of rape at her leisure unless you are hot enough to meet her endless laundry list of ridiculously high standards. You're just "gross" otherwise.

Their **only** real value are their bodies, for sex. And their ability to raise a family, if you're into that sort of thing. But like many unfortunate fathers, modern women will simply spring the responsibility of children on them and abuse you until you become a "deadbeat," convince society that it was entirely your fault, and society will believe her. Or she will laze about and make you work yourself to death while she nags and henpecks. Or she will get an "equal" job and add bitching and whining into the mix along with an additional dose of lazy.

**Nothing you do will ever be enough to satisfy a woman.**

Accept it. Relationships of **any** kind are over and done with. For those with substantial value (looks/money/status), we can Tell Women to Fuck Off and live in the Golden Age of Fuck & Chuck. Lesser men can take pleasure in sucking on ashes as they orbit the pedestal.

---

**All of this is because women are sadists.** *Especially* the hot ones. *Especially* the cute ones. *Especially* the sweet ones. *Especially* the nice ones.

I respectfully disagree with /u/illimitableman's perspective that Lucifer's Daughter is a rare occurrence.

Furthermore, I do not agree that it is something to be feared. Inflicting pain on others is simply part of their natural instinct, and this is the ultimate goal of **every** woman.

Look around you. Talk to women. They are **all** Lucifer's Daughters. Some more obvious than others. They aren't giving you shit-tests, they are giving you **SHIT**. They treat you as a second-class citizen not because *you are*, not simply because *they can*, but because **they enjoy watching you suffer**. It's a turn-on for them. Nothing **you** do will ever give them tingles quite like indulging in their own desire to see you in pain.

They reject you because they are sadists. They cocktease you because they are sadists. They play games with you and make you jump through hoops because they are sadists. They'll fuck Chad right in front of you with no shame because they are sadists. They will make jokes about you and talk behind your back because they are sadists. They will talk shit right to your face because they are sadists.

Romantic comedies exist because they are sadists. Boy bands exist because they are sadists. Atrocious metrosexual hairstyles and fashion exist because they are sadists. Daytime talk shows and women-only this-and-that exist because they are sadists.

Remember these 5 words: "**she wants to hurt me.**" With that in mind, the proverbial cat is out of the proverbial bag.

This doesn't mean you should become a masochist in response. It's not watching you crumble under that pain that they desire so much. No woman wants a defeated man. No woman wants to be with a man that will eat the shit that they try to feed them.

Nor does it mean you should become a sadist yourself. Don't fight fire with fire or you will become just like them. Plus, well, the law and society is on their side.

**What they desire most is a man of *resilience*.**

This is exactly why merely being in proximity of a man that lifts is so attractive to them. Because such a man can get the shit beat out of him by life and keep moving forward. A mentally stable, unemotional man that does not feel vengeful, bitter, angry, or mopey, moreso.

As /u/RedPillDad put it, expect lies and you won't be fooled. Sage advice.

Also, expect pain and you won't be disappointed.

# Is plate spinning only a short term solution to treat symptoms of a potential oneitis or piss poor behavior in women?

18 upvotes | May 26, 2015 | by [theredcandy](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Women will pull all manner of fucked up shit to fitness test you. They don't necessarily need a reason for it, or a set plan for it. There is no need to try to logic your way out of this.

It was like PimpinLarge or Punanny who said it, but the shit they pull seems random because many times it is.

At any rate, a common (and very good!) solution to this for an AlreadyRed man is to spin plates. If you've got 3 cute girls you genuinely like on rotation, it really doesn't matter if one flakes, or the other starts acting out. Unless you have very strong feelings, or are otherwise highly invested, in any one girl...any kind of tom foolery she comes up with will automatically not matter.

You just can't care, because hey, that other girl is here, willing, and able. And you can still go out and get other girls.

But I can't help but shake the feeling that this only treats the symptom and not the actual issue. This legitimate fear of someone you've invested a lot in emotionally, who you want in your life (and yes, I can appreciate that this kind of thing is more of a male concept - everything goes back to Men and Women in Love by Rollo!), walking.

I was fine and dandy when dealing with mere fuck buddies, some who developed feelings for me, but the minute I found a girl I genuinely liked a lot, I saw how shaky my foundations were.

I hadn't realized that a woman could still have that kind of power over me.

So, subtly threatening to leave, or hinting at the possibility that you may or may not have had a slight indiscretion to make her jealous, or purposely letting other women hit on you...all of this is just dread *bluff*, if you don't genuinely want to leave, and are still invested in that one broad.

Relevant:

The\_PUA\_Punanny writes:

A Word on "Dread Game":

Athol Kay and others of his ilk advocate things like Dread Game, which is essentially MAKING HER WORRIED YOU MIGHT LEAVE HER, WHEN IN REALITY YOU ARE TERRIFIED OF HER LEAVING YOU.

You have to put in all this time PRETENDING TO HAVE VALUE, because you've abdicated your power and have made everything about her.

Choose not a life of imitation (RHCP)

In reality, when you actually demonstrate higher value by having a life that is about far more than just HER, she AUTOMATICALLY WORRIES ABOUT LOSING YOU.

That's why the imitation of this is called dread game. When you actually build a life of

| value, it's not a game. **Her dread is viscerally fucking real.**

(emphasis mine)

Most of you will likely not agree with me, but I think love is an actual thing, and it is something that I'd like in my life. At least, at this point in time. Don't get me wrong. I have no massive blue pill delusions about it. Women are still women, and they love differently...but love is still an actual thing. And falling in love, or not, is a conscious choice. It's not a magical thing that "just happens".

LaidNYC had an excellent post on it. [Here](#) is the full thing for those interested. The short of it is:

| Knowing that hunger is just a way for your body to get sufficient nutrients and energy does not make filet mignon any less succulent.

Having a mission in life will not make you any less hurt, but it is crucial, for a man's mission(s) is what he derives meaning from (or at least, should be). And in the absence of a mission, he won't be able to let go, and keep moving forward with his life.

This has been on my mind lately, and I can't find a proper solution to it...other than time. Accepting that getting hurt is part of the process, and not being overly harsh on oneself.

Thoughts?

## LTR game and selling your jizz

18 upvotes | April 25, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

The phrase "alpha fucks, beta bucks" captures the fact that with "alpha" game, you are selling your jizz, with "beta" game, you are selling your ability and willingness to provide. Alpha game is all about convincing a woman, on a subconscious level, that your jizz will produce genetically high quality children, beta game is about convincing a woman that you will help ensure her children's survival.

Beta game is a much more expensive game to play, and the big shock to naive men is that it doesn't ensure exclusive access to her pussy. Just because she's accepted your commitment, doesn't mean she's not willing to accept some higher quality jizz from elsewhere if she thinks the costs won't be high (i.e. if she thinks she won't get caught). And from an evolutionary standpoint, this is smart behavior.

So it seems to me that, if you want to have some kind of LTR game, the only good measure to take to keep exclusive access to her pussy is to make sure she's still sold on the quality of your jizz. As long as she thinks your jizz is higher quality than the jizz of the other guy trying to get into her pants, she'll stick with yours. (Again, I'm talking about things on the subconscious level, obviously I don't think that you should spend your time talking up your jizz).

However, this is all theory on my part. I don't have what I would consider to be good experience with LTR's (I've had them, but they're never good). So I'm wondering what those of you with positive LTR experiences have to say on the matter.

# Generalization and the archetype

18 upvotes | April 5, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

It is important to remember that there is a difference between arguments intended to demonstrate a truth and arguments intended to convince a listener. The first kind of argument is reasoning, the second kind is rhetoric. This distinction is especially important to remember when you are dealing with generalizations about groups of people.

Most political discussions about gender, race, class, and so on consist entirely of rhetoric. Discussions about gender, race, and class are necessarily general in nature, whether they are politically correct or not. Whether it is the PC culture warrior who states their axiom, "Women are underrepresented in field X due to oppression," or the red piller who says, "Women are hypergamous," a generalization has occurred.

In rhetorical arguments about general facts, the entire battle is for control of the archetype. An archetype for a group is a specific type of individual from within the group which the mind treats as its representative for the entire group. So, for example, when someone says, "White Americans brutally enslaved Africans and have kept them down ever since," the image invoked in the mind is that of a white man whipping a black man and forcing him to stay low to the ground.

This is the kind of statement that might be made by a liberal in order to justify (or merely "explain") a local group of black teenagers beating the shit out of white guy for walking through their neighborhood. It starts out with an undeniable fact in order to establish legitimacy (black people were enslaved), then transfers to a statement which invokes imagery. The point of the statement is *not* to justify the ethics of the black teens, nor is it really intended as a causal explanation. The real point is to invoke an archetype. The black teens are the black man enslaved and beaten into the ground, the white man is the brutal slave owner. So of course, the beating of the white man, though regrettable as a senseless act of revenge, is perfectly understandable.

Keep in mind here that this is all intended to operate in the emotional background. In the foreground there might be bickering over whether black people are really "kept down by white people," and so on, and that's where the argument will seem to be focused. But in fact the real heart of the argument is in the background, in the battle for the archetypes.

The way to win a rhetorical argument is to recast the archetype, or simply resort to the plain facts. The white guy who got the shit kicked out of him was on the way to work, not a brutal slave owner, nor was he in any way responsible for the behavior of slave owners from the past. The black teens who kicked the shit out of him were violent thugs who take pleasure in beating the shit out of people, and are people who the liberal would likely find very unpleasant to be around, despite his warm sense of pity and respect for them.

My point is not that a "good argument" will avoid the struggle over archetypes. Certainly archetypes are shit when it comes to determining the facts, but in rhetorical arguments they are always present in the background, regardless of their usefulness in determining the truth. If you ever do want to win an argument of this kind, remember where the true rhetorical center really lies.

Liberals and blue pillers in general have known this for quite some time, at least instinctively. The whole point of [r/thebluepill](#) is to find the worst and most ridiculous examples of redpillers in order to reinforce their archetype of redpillers. They have no interest in addressing the red pill philosophy

directly.

This is also why I think trp's "blue pill examples" are important for new people. Each "blue pill example" is a direct attack on the male and female archetypes handed down to us by the PC status quo. To men who already understand the truth of the society we live in, these are unnecessary and even annoying. We are more focused on the facts, on what is generally true and generally false (and yes, it is possible to reason about generalities with validity). The struggle over archetypes, which is just a rhetorical struggle, does not interest us. But it still has its place, if we wish to help men change.



# Woman Had Enough Opportunities to Prove Themselves in the Past

18 upvotes | June 14, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Title: Women Had Enough Opportunities to Prove Themselves in the Past

I'm about to go into a humanities/ cultural diversity class (I'm in college) in about 16 hours from now. No doubt there will be a bunch of feminist driven propaganda fired at my young 21 year old brain, even though it has literally nothing to do with cultural diversity. Anyways, I thought it would be a good time to post so that I could, in my own way, defy such bullshit propaganda without getting kicked out of class. This happened to me last semester, and I almost got a calling with the dean.

Society might scream about women being abused by men, and that they were underprivileged than men because of man's power, but that simply is not fucking true. Women always had the chance to be signified and praised for furthering civilization. Women have had many of the same privileges as men in many many historical societies. And history proves me right.

## Women Mavericks of the Past

For the sake of argument, I'm going to use an article from some women's power site. It shows that the feminist ideal of women never having a chance to prove themselves is hypocritical, because these same feminists show examples where women managed to prove themselves as capable as men in a misnamed "patriarchial" society. Such women as Nehanda Nyakasikana in the Shona revolt against English colonization of Zimbabwe, Joan of Arc, Queen Elizabeth, to Grainne O' Mailley (the she-king). Its true some of the examples in the link above pretended to be men, but most did not resort to such subtle methods. I'm of the opinion that those women who did prove themselves without pretending to be men show that such methods were not needed in the past. There were barely any restrictions on women in terms of distinguishing themselves, and they had to use the same manipulation that men used to get into positions of power. And they had a key weapon to use on their rise to power that gave them the advantage over men. And that key weapon was their sexuality.

## Pussy Power

There have and always will be men who place the pussy on a pedestal. Many of us are will do anything to get sexual relief from a woman, if we haven't swallowed the red pill. You cannot deny that testosterone is a fickle master, and women know that. They knew that in the past as well. And many women have used this to their advantage in the past. One such being the Empress Wu Zeitan of Ancient China. Empress Wu used her pussy power to become a concubine to Emperor Gaozong. She killed her own baby daughter to frame the empress and eventually married the Emperor after the former empress was executed. She then proceed to exile the emperor's first-born son and even poisoned Emperor Gaozong. Then she took power and ruled ruthlessly, and all of this would not have been possible if she had not seduced Emperor Gaozong through being a royal court whore. If Gaozong had not been mesmerized by the vagina of some concubine slut, he would have kept his reign. There are even more examples of pussy power being used against men. You just have to dig through history to get to them.

**Chivalry/Code of Conduct with Women** . When the Titanic sank, men didn't just jump into the lifeboats and leave the women on board. No, women were the first to be rescued. Same applies in most of the disasters in history., ladies first. Yes, people may argue that the order of ladies first may

not have been formally given, but it is still there in the minds of men in these disasters.

On to Chivalry itself. “Chivalry elevated the status of women in European society. For this reason, wealthy female patrons propagated its development by subsidizing various writers like Chretien de Troyes, who went out of his way to portray the finest knights as dedicated to their ladies' whims.” Furthermore, forms of chivalry were also present in history after the medieval times. In America, it was part of our social upbringing, even in the 1950s, to treat women right and to help them out in any way possible. It is and has been seen as such in many societies, from Ancient Egypt to Victorian England.

### **Counterarguments to Arguments that Some People May Have**

Regarding rape, it was considered a heinous crime in many societies, including in the Roman Empire, as well as in Islamic Law

#### *Roman Society*

Feminist refer to the society of ancient Rome to be one of the greatest examples of a society where women were severely restricted. They couldn't be more wrong.

In the Roman Senate, women were allowed to stand up for themselves if they ever disagreed with a law.

Many women argue that in Roman times, they could not divorce their husband. That is simply not true. “During the classical period of Roman law (late Republic and Principate), a man or woman could end a marriage simply because he or she wanted to, and for no other reason. Unless the wife could prove the spouse was worthless, he kept the children.”

Even the Romans viewed domestic abuse as taboo. “Classical Roman law did not allow any domestic abuse by a husband to his wife” “Cato the Elder said, according to his biographer Plutarch, that the man who struck his wife or child, laid violent hands on the holiest of holy things. Also that he thought it more praiseworthy to be a good husband than a good senator.” “If the father died without a will, the right of a daughter to share in the family property was equal to that of a son” “Even apart from legal status, daughters seem no less esteemed within the Roman family than sons, though sons were expected to ensure family standing by following their fathers into public life.” Women in Ancient Rome were not made responsible by society for ensuring the honor of their family.

#### *Women's Opportunity was NOT Limited in Islamic Societies of the Past*

Feminists use the example of Islamic law as a chief proof that in the past women had no opportunity in career or opportunity to distinguish themselves. But they are gravely wrong. “Islamic law does not specifically prohibit women from working—on the contrary, Muslim women can not only work under Islamic law, but they need not share their earned income with their families.”. Not only could women in the Islamic nations of the past work, but they could keep their income for themselves. A man HAS to work in these nations, even if the wife works, because he is expected to take care of his family and because the wife keeps the income to herself. Technically, under Islamic law, a woman can allow her children to starve even if she is earning enough money to feed herself. “Islamic law and custom is so strict on this set of entitlements and obligations that in Afghanistan, you can find 13 year old boys selling themselves as sex slaves to provide for their mothers and sisters.”

Women have had all the opportunity to prove themselves in the past. Some have, but only a very very small percentage. The rest had to resort to the means of feminism in order to be “respected”, because they were too lazy to do it on their own through helping civilization in practical ways.

## Sober game

18 upvotes | May 15, 2014 | by [theredcandy](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I've been trying to quit drinking. It's going fine so far.

It's making gaming harder though. Not because of "liquid courage", but rather because I hadn't realized that being drunk made women more tolerable and easier to connect with.

Recently, I met a girl I met a few months ago at a party. We had both been drinking. I remember her speaking perfect English. She can speak fine, but it was incredibly hard to build any kind of connection. Being intoxicated did a fuck ton more to loosen up both parties involved than I had thought. And it limits the number of girls that would be easier to game. A big portion of those would be hipster chicks and/or wannabe intellectuals.

I don't plan on starting to drink any time soon, though it may happen. At any rate, I'm curious about how many of you hear game sober.

# Why Feminists Hate Interracial Dating

18 upvotes | February 18, 2014 | by [GayLubeOil](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Ok so all of my posts are getting deleted from Red Pill because a mysterious mega pussy dislikes me. SO I guess I have to post in a place that is more intellectually tolerant than North Korea. If anyone has a clue about whats so offensive about this post plz let me know. Enjoy:

Id like to preface this by with the assertion that the majority of both Red Pill, SRS TBP and Feminism subscribers are Caucasian.

The consensus on The Red Pill is that white american women are the absolute worst type of women in the world. They are fat, entitled, masculine and bitchy. While some men are of the opinion, fuck this shit, the juice isn't worth the squeeze. Those of us that still want play the game believe that love is still possible in this dystopian world, its just not worth it with Americunts<sup>®</sup>.

When an attractive confident white guy with a good source of income refuses to date with in his own race he is going to get shamed. You have a fetish! You are objectifying women of color! Whats funny is calling women of color a fetish, is objectifying them. But, as we all know irony is completely lost on the feminist. If a man refuses to date white american women but seeks out Asian, Hispanic Black and European women, does he have a fetish? No. Women are all competing for the top few wealthy attractive men. If a white women can't have him, well the least she can do is call him a pervert.

In general feminists are unhappy bitter people. Would a happy woman in a loving relationship go around guilting and shaming men for the women they sleep with? No. Should a man feel guilty for sleeping with a woman of a different race? Whats so shameful about not being attracted to overweight unhealthy bitchy women? These are all great questions for TBP to answer as they enjoy their spinsterhood.

**Russel Brand is easily one of the strongest naturals out there.  
This is my favorite interview with him when it comes to holding  
frame like a champ while acting aloof and dominate.**

18 upvotes | February 4, 2014 | by [puaSenator](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Q1Qucp1DSM>

# Women and psychopaths - A superficial treatise

17 upvotes | December 19, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

You already know, of course, that if one could use a spectrum for psychopathy then (averagely speaking) women are more close to be considered psychopaths than men (again, averagely speaking). A woman after all is an efficient agent, (not talking specifically about her sex desire) she will use sex to find a slave who "works" for her. That is love. She will even make the man think he is proud that he takes care of a creature less strong than him ("I want a man who I can look up" is what the woman says). Women don't long after the will to acquire knowledge, or the will to create things, and similar things in which men find amusement. I am talking of course of the majority of women, the ones who have back in their mind (since young children) the final thought about marrying and letting the man do the work and they will take care of entertaining themselves with his money only giving what's barely needed from them to maintain this state of things. They essentially exploit (fully control) the best man they can find for their own purposes in the most efficient way (making even proud the man to do so! That is an efficient manipulation: the manipulated agent is not aware of being manipulated and it is even happy to be in that state).

Psychopaths don't like to be controlled, of course. That is somehow the essence of all of it when it comes to relationships with women. From the psychopath point of view, here's what it can be said that is true and actually it is obvious once written: if a prey is too easy to be controlled or not of much value then of course the process of obtaining control over it is not interesting. Thus the psychopath always looks for new challenges which are interesting to him, i.e. preys which are valuable and not so easy to be controlled. Of course the psychopath knows that at the end he will control the prey, but the process is what gives pleasure because after the prey has been conquered there is no more interesting work left to do. This same pattern is known to be true for women when it comes to control men.

We can frame our vision of interaction between the sexes through this lens: the locution "a woman likes a man" can be seen as "a woman has the desire to control the man". Yes, the social status, power and the actual value of the man is still something to be considered, of course. It is very clear that there is nothing to control (to *obtain* the control, I should specify) in the so called beta male. The beta male gives away himself just like that; he screams "control me please" every moment he interacts with a woman. High status beta male still find heavy difficulties in fucking women. What about the psychopath. He won't ever be controlled. If it seems it is controlled it is because he has chosen to do so. When the psychopath seduces a girl he let her play her *charm* on him, he let her think she can control him with her body and sex. Of course the psychopath takes pleasure when he dominates her body in the act of sex, but that does not concern him outside the actual act. The sex domination is only a part in the overall control process.

When a woman interacts with a psychopath it is clear to her that he is difficult to manipulate/control. How the psychopath let the woman think he could be controlled (aka she has control over him)? He says *romantic* things to her, he let her think there are some emotions in him for her (of course, there are all those things about putting all the attention on her in the initial phase of the interaction, making her believe she has found "the perfect one"; how much women like to say those words), and she will grab these emotions and she will think she can use them to control him. What power does a woman have on a man if not that of her body? When a man is after the woman, the woman has the control. Sex and her body is the ultimate weapon for a woman to control the man. Yes, of course a hot girl

will elevated attentions, but the psychopath can go beyond his sexual needs. The psychopath let the girl think she can control him but then, at one point in the relationship, he let her understand sex is not a weapon she can use on him. He takes sex from her only when *he* wants it, she cannot seduce him like she can seduce other men.

The essence is this: a psychopath cannot be controlled by a woman. This will increase the woman's desire to control him, of course. The psychopath can always control her desire to control him. The balance is easy to manage, the psychopath inherently must only put effort in the *romantic shots*, putting effort in making believe the woman has some power over him. The woman is hooked by now and she will feel pleasure and pain at the same time in the relationship. Using the reframed lens: pleasure for a woman is when the woman has control, and pain is when she does not have it. The actual physical pleasure the psychopath creates through the act of sex only serves the aim to increase the pleasure-control he induces in the woman. The woman only wants to control the psychopaths, but the level of control she has is never full nor empty, this is the balancing process. What surely happens is that it will never be full, but what can actually happen is that one day the woman will acknowledge (give up) that she can never fully control the psychopath and at that point she will try to leave him, but not without going through pain. She will never be capable of fully forget the relationship and will never fully accept the fact that she wasn't able to control him.

**This is it:** relationships are only exchanges of control between agents who find in one another the maximum value to fight for the control of the other. The agent with the maximum value and the less need for the value of the other will gain the control of the other agent (assuming the first agent wants the control of the other). An agent increases its own value to be able to control more valuable agents.

A side thought: what nobody seems to say (at least in TRP, manosphere area) is that psychopaths are very close to how women think, but they have a male body. Psychopaths can control both other men and all women.

## 5 minute sex

17 upvotes | April 27, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

So I was talking to an older guy who I've known for a while. We somehow got onto the topic of sex. And so I talked about how I always go as hard and fast as I want and the girls love it and that's the way to fuck a woman, and so on. But then he said something that blew my mind. Below is a gist of what he was saying.

If you do that, the love will die in less than a year. You're acting like the man in bed, which is good. That's better than men who can't control their women and so the women end up cheating to find a real man. But you're only acting like a man physically. I bet you love being rough because the girls enjoy it, and you feel like a man giving them that pleasure. But mentally you're acting like a boy trying to please her.

And then I asked him how he does it then. He says he controls his women physically too. And he just goes straight to it. He never does foreplay, fingering, oral, etc. (I'm also oldschool like that, fingering and oral is for pussies. Penetration orgasms or go home.). He also doesn't give a shit about how long he goes. He says most times its done in 5-10 minutes. Gives her a kiss and then showers.

I don't think I've seen this anywhere else in the manosphere, redpill, pua. Everything I've read is fuck her good and she'll fall deep for you. But it definitely makes a lot of sense off first-principles. #1 Anytime you seek validation it turns off women. #2 Any dating advice feminists tell you is probably the opposite. And feminists are always going on about how the man shouldn't be selfish and he should strive to give her an orgasm.

But personally I've definitely found girls are more submissive after I make them orgasm. And honestly if I were to not give a shit about the girl's pleasure, I'd probably just finish in 5 minutes too. No changing of positions, no foreplay. So I dunno, I wonder how that would go down.

What do y'all think? What do y'all do?



## Manipulation isn't morally wrong.

17 upvotes | April 25, 2014 | by [puaSenator](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I find this subject sort of couples with TRP. Many lash out against TRP and especially PUA for being "manipulative". As if trying to understand situations and manipulating them in your favor is somehow morally wrong. Isn't this something people constantly do? If you are at an interview, aren't you trying to do your best? Aren't you trying to remind yourself to smile, speak clearly, use relevant acumen, and brag about your accomplishments? This is a degree of manipulation, you're trying to modify the situation to give the HR rep the best view of yourself, even though you may not be the best self in the moment.

The oldest "manipulation" I can remember was being a kid at a baseball game right near the dugout talking to the players occasionally. I remember I kept intentionally talking about how happy I would be if I got a ball from the game, but made sure to say it to my friend, but also made sure to say it within earshot of a certain player. I was hoping he'd 'overhear' me and give me a ball. At the end of the game, he called the pitcher over, specifically pointed me out, and they gave me the last ball thrown in the game. I manipulated the situation properly and got the ball I wanted.

Was I morally wrong for doing this? I mean, I wasn't the only boy in those bleachers that wanted a baseball. If I wanted to get what I wanted, I had to get creative to compete with the other boys. And I did, I did so by framing the situation that it made me the only kid that stood out who could offer a philanthropic reward. I made myself, not just a random winner of a baseball soon to be forgotten, instead, I made myself out as a rewarding experience. They now knew about me and how much I wanted that ball. They believed when they gave me the ball, it wasn't just a random kid who's appreciation would be random, instead it would certainly be high and I would be in return really grateful.

It doesn't end there either. If anyone has ever done sales and is good at it, knows exactly what I'm talking about it. A good salesperson dictates the emotional frame of the encounter. A sales person doesn't just go in and talk about the product. Instead, they manipulate the interaction as much as they can to bring the interaction to the level that will help close the deal. It can range from wearing nice clothes, which tells the client that you are successful which subsequently tells him that many others are buying this product, to using passionate and emotional anchor words, which gets the custom excited about the discussion.

These are all forms of manipulation. Those that manipulate get ahead. Those that don't manipulate are lying to themselves. Now I'm not advocating a sociopathic level of corrosive manipulation -- or a raping and pillaging approach -- but to deny people aren't constantly manipulating are out of touch with reality. I'm not saying that people are all constantly playing a game of chess on hard mode, where every smile and interaction serves a greater long term purpose, but there is a game of chess going on, that's for sure. This is a game of natural selection, you're the product of natural selection, and this is how the game is played, and always will be. You need to do what gets you ahead.

# Girls and Bosses

17 upvotes | March 12, 2014 | by [deepthrill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Every time I write a [blog](#) post, I like to post it in its entirety here, since this is where many of my fellow redpillers reside, and I enjoy the feedback. This blog post is "[Girls and Bosses](#)".

~~~~~

## GIRLS AND BOSSES

Since internalizing The Red Pill, I've started to notice similar interaction dynamics in other situations in my life. One of those is in relationships with bosses. The similarities will not necessarily be spot on, and will be different depending on the boss's personality as well as gender. But most of these will hold true to some degree. The game is always being played even outside of sexual relationships, and you'd be a fool to not consider them.

### Shame Tactics

Quotes such as "I expected better from you" and the like, are shame tactics designed to put you on the defensive, make you feel the need to work harder or change a behavior, and an interesting management strategy. The goal is to make you feel ashamed of a certain behavior which isn't conducive to the boss, and to cloud your rationality with your own emotions. This is definitely not constructive criticism, but rather a form of manipulation playing on your deep-seated emotions. It is also quite an effective motivation technique.

Some examples of shame tactics include:

- "I thought you forgot about me"
- "I expected better from you"
- "Is this the best you can do?"
- "Where have you been lately?"
- "Do you ever do actual work" (said during a lunch break or vacation)

I want to state that each and every one of these has been said to me personally. Don't hamster them as simply inquiring about what you're doing. They may be inquisitive but they also contain a hint of an accusatory tone.

### Hypergamy

Okay, yes, that word technically means marrying up socioeconomically. But in a similar vein, your boss will "trade up" with his coworkers if the opportunity presents itself, given that (s)he has not invested enough time in you. He is also keeping his eyes out for someone of higher value.

When girls implement hypergamy, they consider the past time spent with you (even though it's a sunk cost she doesn't want to necessarily give up what she's put into you), the amount of effort required to gain the higher-valued target, and the (emotional or financial) loss of giving you up.

When bosses implement traits similar to hypergamy, they consider the past time spent with you (the time training you and the financial investment in you), the amount of effort required to gain the higher-valued target (the amount of salary required to get the new employee and the time required to train), and the (financial) loss of giving you up.

Both have some loyalty to you, but only insofar as you are producing value for them (conditional loyalty). They don't value you in-and-of-yourself; nor will they stay with you out of altruism or pity. And why should they? I actually have no problem with conditional love or conditional loyalty. It keeps you vigilant and leaves no room for complacency. If you start to be valued for "just being you", then why change, improve, or strive for more? The fact that you know you are always a minute away from being dismissed will be difficult and frustrating, but it will require greatness from yourself.

If you are the boss, your employees will also implement hypergamy. They will also be looking for a "higher value" company or another boss with whom to rise.

## **Dread Game**

This is one that an employee will play on a boss.

If a girl starts treating you poorly, an explicit or implicit threat of you leaving will cause her to reevaluate her actions and feel like she needs you more. If a boss is not treating you well, an offer from another company will instill fear that he will lose you and will cause him to reevaluate his actions towards you.

The more investments you make in yourself, in terms of fitness, style, and charisma, the easier dread game will be with a girl and the more implicit, rather than explicit, it manifests. The more investments you make in yourself in terms of job skills, critical thinking, and charisma, the easier dread game will be with a boss and the more implicit, rather than explicit, it manifests.

Many low self esteem guys don't realize their own value, and will supplicate to whatever their partner dictates. They have a permeating fear which keeps them in check. In the workplace, many low self esteem employees truly don't know their own value either. They don't realize how useful their skills are, they don't truly believe they could get another job. And bosses use this and exploit this.

Now with any dread game, if the target believes that you won't actually follow through, it can backfire. If you threaten to leave and they call you out on your threat, by not leaving you are telling the other party that you don't actually have any other options, and any future attempts at dread game will be ineffective, even if you would have followed through in the future. Don't be "the boy who cried dread".

## **Oneitis**

This relates back to dread game. By becoming irrationally attached to one person, you are clouding your own judgement. If you don't believe there is anything better for you out there, that person has you by the balls. With girls, you are more willing to tolerate bullshit that normally you may let slide. The hotter (or generally the more high-valued) the girl, the easier it is to fall into this trap. (Aside: Oneitis is different from loyalty and dedication in a LTR).

With a boss, if you put that person on a pedestal, you are more willing to overlook their flaws. If you suddenly got your dream job at Google, you'd be more willing to put up with bullshit and stand up for yourself out of fear that you would lose "the one" (job).

## **Spinning Plates**

This applies mostly to entrepreneurs or consultants, and not necessarily traditional mega-corp employees. If you have several clients or several customers, you are diversifying your income stream. If one falls through, then it isn't such a big deal since it's only a small piece of your portfolio. You are able to make more rational decisions, and are less desperate in your attitude with your targets. The fact that you have other options makes you high-valued.

## **Social Proof**

There are two ways this can manifest.

Firstly, in terms of other companies, if you are well known in your field, and if you have other companies considering you (whether when looking for a new job or during your job), your value immediately shoots up. Bosses (and girls) think that if others want you, there must be a reason. Note that there doesn't actually have to be a reason (you don't necessarily need intrinsic value). Simply the fact that others appear to want you is sufficient to increase your value. You can leverage this to ask for a sign-on bonus, a higher salary, a promotion, or use it in conjunction with dread game to be treated better.

Secondly, during events such as holiday parties, cocktail hours, board meetings, and investor meetings, if your reputation precedes you, or your bosses see you amicably chatting with others at these events, you immediately have social proof. If they didn't realize your value before, the fact that you can handle situations well and the fact that others like you will make them feel a sense of pride for being with you, a small amount of fear that you can leave and make it on your own if you want, and a desire to attach themselves to you. These are the exact same emotions that girls feel when they realize you have social proof.

## **Conclusions**

These dynamics are true in human relationships, and are not limited to sexual relationships. They mostly manifest in relationships in which there is a hierarchy or dominance structure (such as employer-employee relationships). They all essentially boil down to who needs whom more, how much value a party is perceived to have, how can one party be used for the benefit of another, and who is dominant in a given situation.

Whether you are the employer or the employee, be aware of these tactics being used against you, and be aware of how you can use these tactics to further your own career.

The knowledge is there for you; your morality manifests in how you choose to use such knowledge.

# Truths About Game That Men Need To Know

17 upvotes | February 24, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

There's a lot of info about game going around in the pua community about game and how successful it can make you. However, much of the information is exaggerated or downright false and spread in order to get people to buy a company's products. I wanted to talk about the importance of knowing some truths in game so you can really learn how to maximize your chances.

First of, the number one most important aspect of attraction is that **women want men of equal or higher value.**

Value is not being friendly, value is not being a good person, value is not how much you donate to charity.

Value is : **your looks, status, money, and confidence**

The truth is, game is used to seduce women **who are already attracted to you to begin with.** If she isn't attracted to you from the start, you won't get her to change her mind with all the game in the world. She may become attracted later on if you improve your looks, status, bank account, and overall confidence (and I mean improve **all** of these enough to the point where you meet her standards).

Many lies get spread in the pua community like "looks and money make you more attractive because they make you more confident", while you may be more confident, they make you more attractive because you actually look good and you have money. Having just confidence and good game won't nail you women with model potential if your butt ugly.

If the girl wasn't into you despite the fact that you thought you had the perfect approach, it probably wasn't your game that screwed you over. You probably didn't look good enough, wasn't considered cool enough for her (you'd detract from her social status), or you gave off the impression that you may be poor. People need to consider this, since a lot of "seduction" forums will probably just tell these people that "your approach was all wrong!" or "you need to work on your inner game!"

**Women want men of equal or higher value.** Like it or not a lot of women think about how they'll be perceived with you. They think about if you help improve her status or if you lower it, they think about if you two are an attractive couple because you match her or are above her in looks.

Don't get me wrong, confidence is attractive. But it's not everything, girls who are really hot are looking for more than just a man who can be confident and be dominant. You don't see men whose looks fall in the below average range with women whose looks fall in the above average range. You don't see fatties grinding on the hot chicks at the clubs.

To improve yourself, you have to consider other aspects of attraction. At the end of the day **this is a numbers game.** And to maximize your chances you have to fill the criteria of attraction to the best of your abilities. Looks matter, status matters, money matters, game isn't everything.

## How to be a Gentleman - TV Show

17 upvotes | February 9, 2014 | by [RedBigMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

While I was skimming through Netflix for stuff to watch I came across this little gem. it seems like the show was cancelled by CBS already and there are only about 9-12 episodes (guess it was too red pill for mainstream media).

I've only watched the first 1-2 episodes but I swear to god it's like someone from TRP wrote a sitcom. You got Alpha Males, Dark Triad Guys, Beta Dudes, Cuckolded Husbands, female hypegamy on display, ONS setups, etc...

Basic premise seems to be summed up well in the first episode by the Alpha Male (who was the main character's bully in high school) "You know everything about being a gentleman but nothing about being a man".

# The Fallacy of Gender Gap Mathematics Research

17 upvotes | August 13, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

The media believes women actually have a predisposition and interest towards math, but were prevented from it by the "patriarchy". Basically, they argue that math gap between men and women is not biological, but cultural. NBC thinks that the most feminist countries have women better at math, because they are given the chance to be better at math due to feminist culture.

I disagree, I think that women mostly are not interested in math because they are not predisposed for it.

The new research points to culture as the culprit, finding that certain countries showed less of a gap between males and females in math. Specifically, these female-math friendly countries have more gender equality, better teachers and fewer students living in poverty. In many countries, there isn't a gender gap in mathematics performance, the researchers said.

That excerpt is from an NBC article that tries to show that men aren't necessarily better at math.

[http://www.nbcnews.com/id/45646131/ns/technology\\_and\\_science-science/t/gender-math-gap-cultural-not-biological/#.U-11XvRDtKI](http://www.nbcnews.com/id/45646131/ns/technology_and_science-science/t/gender-math-gap-cultural-not-biological/#.U-11XvRDtKI)

Anyways in these female math friendly countries/ western countries (i.e. USA and Britain), the math taught in the curriculum is comparatively easier than the math in the non-female friendly math countries. The fact that some countries have a larger gender gap in math isn't due to the culture inhibiting women from going after math, but because most women not wanting to pursue something in math because of the harder math curriculum of non feminist East Asian countries. Most of the boys continue to pursue math in these harder math curricula because they do understand the harder maths at a younger age, unlike most of the girls. For example, in India, my cousins (the male ones) learned advanced calculus in the 11th grade. Since specialization was already inferred in Asian high schools (kids already know what they are going to major in in college and take courses according to that), most of the girls do not take majors that were heavy on mathematics, not because they are discouraged, but rather because they complain its just too hard. Asian parents don't care if you are a boy or a girl, they don't give encouragement to their sons or daughters, but rather insult sons and daughters in an attempt to make them try harder. Advanced calculus in the 11th grade is part of the regular coursework for an 11th grader who is going into engineering/mathematics/or computer science fields in most Asian countries. Also, most Asian countries are not friendly toward female participation in math. Its not encouraged, but neither is it discouraged.

Now take the USA on the other hand. Calculus 2 is considered a college level course, and only the advanced AP students could take calculus in high school. I took AP Calculus 2 as an 11th grader, and every single kid in our class was a male.

I fucking aced the SAT and ACT Maths because the math there was stuff I did in middle school, since I was in the super advanced math curriculum. Unfortunately the girls and boys who were in the regular and one-up advanced courses had just started seeing those kinds of math problems in the 10th grade and 9th grade. My cousins in India thought the SAT was a joke, and the college entrance exams (for engineering and computer science) there have AP Calculus and Linear Algebra on them.

So my reason why the math gender gap is almost nonexistent in female math-friendly countries when compared to other countries is because the math curriculum is significantly easier by 2-3 grade levels

than those of their non-female math friendly country counterparts. Thus, the gender gap is due to the difficulty of math taught in these non female math countries.

The data from 86 countries, including the U.S., Belgium, England, Hong Kong and New Zealand, show greater variability in male math talent only in some cultures, for example, Taiwan.

Those cultures had the more difficult math curricula.

"We found that boys — as well as girls — tend to do better in math when raised in countries where females have better equality," Kane said. "It makes sense that when women are well-educated and earn a good income, the math scores of their children of both genders benefit."

Boys and girls tend to do better in math when raised in countries where females have better equality. But not because their mothers are supposedly great at math. Its because the math curriculum is easier than that of an Asian non- female math friendly country.

The people in the hardest math courses/ curriculum are mostly men. What does that tell you about biology, males, and math? That men have the best mathematicians, and women have the most average.

Thus, it shows that the best mathematicians are male. Which shows that males are more predisposed in math.

I'm done. Discuss.



## [X-Post] 47 Seconds

17 upvotes | May 21, 2014 | by [deepthrill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This latest post from [my blog](#) is called "[47 Seconds](#)":

~~~~~

This post will cover The Red Pill and the meaning of life (yes, a little melodramatic, I admit).

The Red Pill deals a lot with evolution as a basic premise of our sexual interactions and behaviors.

Let's bring evolution to scale:

[Click here for a figure.](#)

If the time it took to go from apes to humans is 1 year, then your lifetime is only 47 seconds. We are all just here in the blink of an evolutionary eye, and are simply a test to see if our genes are worthy of passing on.

I feel that we are a genetic test in a giant optimizer. We are simply the result of our genes trying to survive into the future. As such, you may feel a sense of duty to both have children to essentially represent the best your genes can, and acquire a significant amount of resources, security, etc., for your family so that your children's genes survive.

Some people have an inclination to acting like a jerk, others nice. Over millions of years, one type of behavior may win out over another by having humans with those genes pass on and be protected.

Some people will impregnate 5 women and have bastard sons around the world. Another may only have one son, but who was cared for and whose family line is then in a position of power. Time will tell which sets of genes (gold-digging vs. sleeping around) are best suited for the future evolution, and more will end up as such.

That's why you are inclined to do your best to both pass on your genes (alpha) by learning how to get the best quality woman and have children ([r/thanktrp](#)), and do your best to ensure those genes survive (beta) by gathering as much resources and protection for my genes as possible (learning about Machiavellianism here, etc.).

It's simply the chemicals making up your DNA trying their unique combination in the universe via your body.

However, a parting note. That simply makes you a slave to the mechanism of evolution, with no free will. By rejecting that biological imperative, and consciously choosing to not pass on your genes, does that give you an extraordinary sense of mental freedom?

# Economic and marketing practices in relation to the sexual market

17 upvotes | April 17, 2014 | by [puaSenator](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Everyday we are inundated and consumed with a slew of highly advanced and extremely useful systems of economics and marketing. In fact, both economics and marketing are extremely similar when it comes to what we do around here:

**Marketing:** It's manipulative to a degree. It's focused on how to sell a product to people, understanding human psychology, and figuring out how to leverage all the strengths and weaknesses in human's to push the product.

**Economics:** There are two camps here. The first consists of people trying to figure out what policies and practices can be put in place to create a balanced and healthy system (MRA), while others are considered not with how the system *should* work but rather how they do currently work and how they can leverage the less than perfect system to personally profit (TRP).

---

So let's break down some principles and hopefully other's can contribute to slightly improve on theory here. To stay ahead of the game, we have to constantly be adapting and improving one way or another.

**Price anchoring:** (Skip down a few paragraphs if you understand this) For those unfamiliar with this concept, it basically means what it says - anchoring a value of the product in a person's mind. Often, when people purchase something, they don't have a reference point of how much a product *should* cost. I'm sure you've seen had the lack of price reference when trying to purchase something many times before, only days later to either realize you got a great deal on something, or the salesman hustled you into paying way over the market value. The reason is because at the time, you had absolutely no clue what this product should cost, and only went on what you intrinsically thought the value was, rather than what the actual market value was.

Companies are constantly exploiting this concept. For instance, Apple sells the latest iPhone @ 600 bucks a pop, and the year old model runs for 500 bucks. The reality is, the 500 dollar phone isn't actually meant to be sold. Since Apple has a monopoly on iOS devices, you the consumer has no reference point in whether or not you are getting a good deal. So when you see the "old" model for 500 bucks, and the new latest gadget that's far superior for only 12% more, you instantly jump for the latest model. In relation to the old model it's a deal!

This is extremely popular with electronics. Say you go in to buy a TV and there are 3 different TVs to choose from.

1. 46 inches @ 1000 dollars
2. 48 inches @ 1050 dollars
3. 50 inches @ 1400 dollars

Logically the 48 inch TV is the best deal. And that's the intent. The other two TVs aren't meant to be sold, because TV #2 is the target, and the other two are just the reference points you're using to figure out what is the best value TV.

---

*In Relation to Sexual Strategy:* Now as many of you already know, women have been leveraging price anchoring for ages. A well known female tactic is to go out with her less than attractive friends so she looks hot in comparison, even though on any given day, she's slightly above average at best. You've also probably noticed how women treat other high quality women. They'll talk shit, act destructive, and do whatever it takes to knock the hot chick down a few pegs in quality so eventually the men around will think the price it costs for the chick she's trying to bring down is not worth cost. So what women will do is either go out and look like the best deal, or they'll try and argue that the 60 inch TV that costs 1300 dollars is actually a 50 inch TV and she's a better TV because while she's only a 48 inch TV, she's 250 dollars cheaper. Women, in this case are just trying to readjust the market prices to make themselves the "best value" product.

But we as men can leverage this as well. Again TRP is amoral, so I'll just explain the reality of how you can leverage this, as a male, to your advantage. If you ever read the Old Testament known as "The Game" you'd probably remember that this is exactly Tyler's approach. His character would game chicks and quietly talk shit about all the guys, reveal all their cards, and doing whatever it takes to cripple all the competition. This isn't a good male behavior, not as a long term tactic at least.

As men, your focus should always be self improvement, but at the same time, being aware of how price anchoring effects you during your learning process:

- If you and a friend are going to go out and game, make sure you are both at similar levels. Ideally, have your buddy be just slightly or more below you if you want to close, or him slightly above you if you want infield practice. Whatever you do, do NOT go out with a guy that's way above you and expect success. If you and the guy way above you are both interested in 7s, then that guy is going to always win. To the women, in comparison with the pro, he's the better deal. You will always lose because you're just the anchor and he's the target.
- Always position yourself to be the target product by situating yourself between the anchors. Say for instance, you go out to a local pub filled with regulars just getting off work. Do NOT go there dressed like you're going to a classy club. Sure, you are displaying a high level of value, but in comparison to the rest, you're claiming to be an extremely high value product but at a low price -- any rational person is wary of a product that sounds "too good to be true". Instead, you should position yourself relative to your audience. Do dress better than everyone, but not too by too much. Just enough to show that you're the better value.
- At the same time, you're buddies are also going to show your value to the rest. If you walk into a room with a bunch of loser chodes, it doesn't matter how high value you are objectively. You've coupled yourself as a product that's cheap and made in China. Everyone is just going to instantly assume that you're in the same market as the chodes you came in with. It doesn't matter if you ditch them and meet new people. People's first impression of you is that you're going to be just a slightly better version of the Chinese knock-offs that you walked in with. But on the flip side, if you walk in with a bunch of high value people, everyone is just going to assume you're also high value. And if the truth is, they are way above you in league, you'd naturally think that you're in above your head and will have to compete with these other much higher value people. No no... The trick here is to leave the group and socialize independently. Everyone you meet is going to just assume you're at the same level. And so long as those

people aren't immediately around, you won't have to compete with them, but still have that high price tag that they think is a good value.

*Set the tone of your product:* This is sort of following the last train of thought. But I'm sure you've noticed that whenever you go places, your attitude, reactions, and expectations are all dependent on the "tone" of your environment. Most people are going to behave differently when they walk into an exclusive club with VIP tables Vs. a club that has no VIP tables and is filled with hipsters. The club's environment sets the tone of what type of product this is, and people will act accordingly.

What's important is that you create your own environment wherever you go so you set the tone of all future interactions. When you approach people, or they approach you, they need to know before that interaction what type of environment they are getting into so they can adjust their behavior accordingly. If you walk into the venue yelling, laughing, being positive, and demanding the attention of strangers, that's how others will approach you. When people see you being loud and having tons of fun, people will approach you trying to mimic that behavior in hopes of relating with you. However, if you enter a venue with the strong silent type, people are going to approach you with a calmer demeanor and act more serious with a bit more power talk. And heaven forbid you walk into a venue acting timid and afraid, well people are going to avoid you like the plague because your product is being sold in a shitty environment. Talking to you is like going to the sketchy liquor store in the bad neighborhood trying to buy a new purse. It's embarrassing and socially poisoning. Don't be that guy.

Don't sell your product at a shitty store. Even if you think your product is shitty, it's best to sell it at a high end retailer than 7-11. Luckily for you, you are in control of where your product is sold. You are also here on AR, so I'm assuming you're constantly improving your product. So keep improving, and stay away from the discount stores.

/as always, I didn't proofread, slightly buzzed, and don't care.

# Women of Substance (High Quality RP Women) are MADE, they are NOT born.

17 upvotes | March 21, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Full article: <http://illimitablemen.com/2014/03/21/women-of-substance-are-made-not-born/>

Bulletpoint summary:

- The average woman has typically little to offer of value to a man other than her body.
- Very few women will actually admit they are nothing other than a glorified excuse of a series of fuck holes, because such “dehumanisation” harms their ego.
- Having any negative opinion about woman no matter how well justified or well-reasoned it may be is automatically misogynistic in the eyes of women. You harm their egos by being as critical of their group collective as they are of men.
- You can get women to speak the truth, the delivery matters far more than the content when interacting with a woman, less straight-talk, more powertalk.
- Society downplays, justifies and otherwise ignores the weaknesses of women with cultural ignorance that mislabels objective criticism as misogyny, whilst it simultaneously and quite ironically misrepresents women in a positive light by projecting all these unsubstantiated idealistic qualities onto them, claiming that such qualities are fundamentally innate merits of the universal female identity.
- Those living in today's anglosphere and western European civilizations should typically expect very little of women, so few are worthy of anything more than a rumble in the hay simply because they haven't been raised right.
- All red pill women are trained by men, they are not magically born out of the womb, a "unicorn" is merely a high quality red pill woman raised, cultivated and overseen by men of value, integrity and intelligence. Whether that man is her father or later on, a serious boyfriend, she is trained and maintained by men to be a quality woman (unless she finds the rpw subreddit)
- Often a woman who is of quality from a young age, non-promiscuous, good-natured, talented, intelligent, humorous, not hateful of men and emotionally stable is a woman who has had a good relationship with her father.
- Good girls will turn bad in the absence of a strong male figure.
- Good women are not only made by men, but must also be maintained by men. In the absence of such leadership, women take on detrimental qualities in the name of "freedom".
- Red pill women are not "unicorns", they are women capable of curbing their instincts whilst using logic to be more desirable in an effort to secure provisioning in their old age, effectively they're investing in the long-game and have been made self-aware enough to realise that being a slut getting by on her sexuality and youth is not a gravy train that is going to last forever.

## **Power and Violence. Violence is Golden.**

17 upvotes | February 3, 2014 | by [YouDislikeMyOpinion](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://www.jack-donovan.com/axis/2011/03/violence-is-golden/>

# Faking Confidence

16 upvotes | August 8, 2015 | by [rembar](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

RP theory rarely bothers with this because most people get on board fast. "It's not my fault" and "they are fucking horrible bitches" is enough fuel for the most, and those that resist are told to repeat the mantra.

Why aren't you confident? Before being told to fake it, what is confidence and what is it that keeps you away from it?

I tried to answer these for my self and hopefully I will reach someone who shares the same experiences.

I found TRP 2 years ago, it sent me on a pretty negative path. I saw all the little clues, and after about months of going through my experiences and using RP theory to understand them, I finally became RP after 7-8 months. As in not questioning if it's true or not. Even then there was no practice, most of the anger was gone, but the difference between knowing and doing are colossal and one of the reasons is as you might have guessed, lack of confidence.

I lost interest after a while, still reading from time to time, but the urge to sift through the now rapidly decreasing quality posts went away. I became interested in psychoanalysis and some of the methods and theories there and applied them to my self again, here's what I've found.

The first thing you do when you communicate with the opposite sex is that you treat them like the opposite sex. You objectify them in a loop that you have done your whole life. "She is ugly, ignore", "she is pretty, out of my reach", "she is already busy".

Basically you are being a shitty hunter that internalizes the situation rather than trying to do with what you have. Girls are objects for you to become attached to, they aren't just potentially someone who can tell you the time, or direction, or shares the same interest as you.

Secondly you are coming to conclusions from very limited data, "she is pretty, out of my reach" is not rational unless you actually know through 100s of experiments who is and isn't out of your class, unless you know through your own experience how much your good sense of dressing or grooming or intellect factors into your own value. Your confidence in your own value comes from the fact that you haven't got a clue how much you are worth. It's the same confidence of someone who has got a few bucks and rather than take them to the checkout and be told you don't have enough. Now if you have lot of money at home you can easily do it and be a bit embarrassed, but if it's all you got you might not want to risk the embarrassment of others knowing just how poor you are. Which is the case when you approach someone without knowing your own value.

All of these BP "no confidence" guys, just mean they don't have the confidence to approach someone they want, i.e they don't know if they have the cash to get an item they want. If you told them to approach someone they thought was ugly, they would easily do it if not for not wanting to humiliate them by telling them it's only a joke.

The other side is that girls are measured by their physical attractiveness, not so much for guys, so guys see all these girls and because they rank them as "want" and "don't want", they think girls rank them as such. In fact the value of guys have "low" and "high" based on physical attractiveness, but only through actual interaction can their real value be discovered. "ugly guy with a shitty T-shirt" becomes "confident millionaire who doesn't give a shit", no fucking way the average guy sees

someone ugly and think "I wonder if she has other qualities I might like".

So guys already handicap themselves by applying their own standards to women.

One of the other issues is over-empathy, confidence comes from balance of knowledge and action. Without knowledge it's hard to judge, when you have false knowledge you might be more emphatic or less. Like a rich person dismissing the poor or white knight protecting "pure chaste lady". It's true that the rich are often sociopaths and thus doesn't need to be ignorant to be evil, however the difference is best studied in the white knight who suddenly gets super powers when a damsel is in distress. He is unable to speak or approach, but treat his lady bad in the slightest and he will speak up and defend her.

To actually approach a girl then you would need to not be over-emphatic by imagining things such as "will she feel bad for my approach or rejecting me". You don't bother with this because if she is any good she won't be such a bitch, if she is then you have no reason to show empathy. From this knowledge you just need to take action, which is where things get fucked up. How much action and where is it justifiable.

I will show that it isn't about where the action is justifiable, but rather how. Let's take reddit's beloved "I don't go to the gym to be ogled that and be approached". Lot of people would agree with this, it sort of makes sense that a place where you go for going about your business is the last place you want to be approached by random people (unless x,y,z).

Let's start with why it's a bother, even if the fraction is small, the sheer number of guys who are confident enough to approach girls of all qualities are countless. These guys don't approach for 50% success rate or whatever "loser" might imagine, they approach if they see something they like and allow other people to make their own decisions. So a year of going to the gym 3 times a week and we are talking about high likelihood of meeting almost all the guys who go there weekly. And that's just gym, forget about workplace, library, cafe or whatever they go.

So creating a boundary for the beta is great, "don't approach girls in the gym, class, library, [anywhere I think I can get away with putting here without being questioned]".

The issue is supposedly the location from what they say and the excuses I gave. Let's be honest, it's almost never the location, you can approach at a dentist if a single mother is taking her son to get his teeth fixed, at her workplace etc. It's about how much value you can demonstrate within the acceptable boundaries. And the boundaries require knowledge which confidence depends on.

Action and knowledge are therefore not separable when talking about approaching, if you know through experience what types of girls are attracted to you, then approaching the opposite might be silly, unless you have set of actions for both the ones who are instantly attracted and those who aren't. Let's call this "But he is more than a jock", the excuse for women who on one end say they hate jocks and on the other side do nothing but fuck them.

The knowledge is necessary unless you want to constantly luck your way through approached, but action is more important. For example the approach at the gym can't come with the propositions "Does she want to fuck me", you will approach it like someone who is looking for a binary answer yes or no. After 1-2 hints you might take your leave. The first being she isn't instantly attracted to you physically "too much muscle, not my type" "don't like gymrats, ugh".

It has to be "can I get her to be attracted to me", the whole "he isn't a jock" comes from this. The guy who acts like that around everyone, but tells her things she wants to hear, is acting based on his



knowledge. She hates his type, but he still attracts her.

The problem then with faking confidence is "can I get her to be attracted to me" is translated to "does she want to fuck me", reversing it and giving her the completely control of the outcome. There is no "I", there is no potential or action, just inquiry. They are so low on confidence that they don't understand the concept of confidence in relationship dynamics, only in other more quantitative areas like money and their work etc.

There is no playfulness, no enticing, no trying to see if there are other moves, there is no expectation of binary answer to be delivered. And because of this, the psyche is fucked from the start. Instead of starting of with some knowledge and starting with a light conversation to get a better idea, instead of building repertoire they go full yes or no in their mind.

"Can I get her to be attracted" is a strategy, it has countless moves and even after rejection bunch of people wait at the right moments for that to change (guys who can only get girls at parties and never in public or while they are fully sober and unfunny).

"Maybe I can, let me see if we have anything in common, maybe she is student like me, or maybe she reads like me", but the choking low confidence RPer asks weird questions waiting to be given hints that he is unwanted or welcome. The BP doesn't approach her, thinks of not bothering her etc., but it's the fucking guy who knows hints and positive body and language nuances that get's fucked.

He is just confident enough to go to her, and mistakes that for faking confidence. Faking confidence should IMO come with a caveat, fake it like you actually have it, not just the appearance of confidence, but the same fucking mentality. "I'm not going to give a shit about your answer if you in any way act condescending towards me" is faking confidence, turning it to her is confidence. "Why are you acting all that, you're just a fucking ..." that can only come from someone who is confident he isn't worthless shit, while the previous one was protecting your ego from hurt and not projecting any hostility after receiving it.

I hope I haven't taken too much of your time, please post below, I'm confident this isn't against this subs rules, excuse the PUA like terms.

# The Collapse & The Evolution of Awareness

16 upvotes | June 28, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

As usual, set some time aside.

Opening excerpt:

What would happen if the red pill ever became a mainstream intellectual framework? In my estimation society would either a: collapse or b: a sizeable number of redpillers would evolve into neoreactionaries as they begin to analyse the entire system and implement change at the political and legal levels. Essentially the state of affairs would devolve into all-out ideological warfare with sex-positive feminism, the prevailing hegemonic ideology in the west as it stands. Modern day contemporary feminism is but a shadowy figure of doublespeak and doublethink which stands defiant and omnipotent in what is a post-religious collection of societies, succeeding the role of religion as both moral and social arbitrator, espousing it's radicalist rhetoric through various imperative and declarative assertions in a hysterically hyperbolic manner such as: "thou shalt not rape!" , "thou may judge man, not woman" , "keeping a home is slavery!", "you can have it all!" (if you're a woman) among other such asinine and societally dysfunctional beliefs.

Crux of the post: looks at the evolution of PUA into TRP and points to neoreaction as the logical next-step for redpillers who are "looking for more pieces of the puzzle" so to speak.

Full article: <http://illimitablemen.com/2014/06/28/the-collapse-the-evolution-of-awareness/>

## what are traits every man should aspire to have?

16 upvotes | April 13, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Making myself a big goal poster with traits and qualities I aspire to have in my life, keeping it on my wall and seeing it each day helps me to stay motivated. So far my ideas for qualities men should aim for are:

aesthetics. Money/Power. Motivation/Willpower. Self control/Focus. Enthusiasm/Energy.  
Courage/Boldness. Skill/intelligence.

anything I'm missing or does anyone think these don't apply? Just hoping to get more advice to make sure I'm aspiring to be the right kind of person and not missing the point, I've always found redpill subs to be the best subs for discussing male improvement so I look forward to reading your ideas on this.

EDIT: you guys rock, thanks for the advice im taking it all to heart

## [Best of TRP dumping]

16 upvotes | February 5, 2014 | by [Nitzi](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://www.nytimes.com/2009/01/25/magazine/25desire-t.html?pagewanted=all&r=4&>

| Women vote how they like instead of what their bodies suggest, women are attracted to masculine men.

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1fpxfo/the\\_rotating\\_soft\\_harem\\_this\\_one\\_goes\\_out\\_to\\_all/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1fpxfo/the_rotating_soft_harem_this_one_goes_out_to_all/)

| 2 months till a broad will start with the shit tests about a committed relationship

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1q07jj/what\\_are\\_your\\_favoritemost\\_inspiring\\_posts\\_on/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1q07jj/what_are_your_favoritemost_inspiring_posts_on/) [http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1ovtc3/trp\\_and\\_learning\\_game/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1ovtc3/trp_and_learning_game/)

| Good posts

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/16ge0o/as\\_requested\\_my\\_field\\_tested\\_guide\\_on\\_breaking\\_in/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/16ge0o/as_requested_my_field_tested_guide_on_breaking_in/)

| Basic Game and tipps

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/16t7cp/year\\_one\\_i\\_strongly\\_recommend\\_all\\_trp\\_readers\\_to/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/16t7cp/year_one_i_strongly_recommend_all_trp_readers_to/)

| Knowledge every already red must know!

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1j2uqp/your\\_meaning\\_of\\_alpha\\_is\\_irrelevant/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1j2uqp/your_meaning_of_alpha_is_irrelevant/)

| We can't discuss without knowing what alpha and beta means!

## [LTR Game] Disagree and minify/amplify

16 upvotes | June 25, 2014 | by [alreadyredscool](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Showing authority is the heart of handling shit tests. Nearly everything in game is based around authority/respect/admiration.

I find myself more often disagreeing and amplifying or minifying shit tests I get. It works great and brings in more diversity to your behavior. Disagreeing and minifying is just another application of amused mastery, you are treating them like they're a fun subordinate to toy with.

---

G: "You never want to go traveling with me"

M: "I will never leave this house! Traveling sucks, who wants to waste his time on a white beach?"

---

G: "Sometimes you can be such an asshole. My ex knew how to treat a lady."

M: "No I know exactly how to treat a lady, tomorrow I will take you out to mc donalds"

---

G: "Do you love me?"

M: "No!, how could someone love this (point at her tits/poke her belly)"

---

G: "Am I important to you?"

M: "Not more than the dust under my shoes"

---

G: "Did you miss me?"

M: "Yea, like a hole in the head"

This example has been brought to you by Scottishredpill.

**TL;DR:** Disagree & minify/amplify works just as good as agree & amplify

# How Law Enforcement Training and Experience Opens A Man's Eyes to The Red Pill

16 upvotes | April 1, 2014 | by [Doctor\\_Mayhem](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

(This is something I plan to submit to Return of Kings after I revise and add sources. Figured I'd submit to Already Red to see what you guys think and if it would even be worth submitting to RoK. You guys are basically my test audience, since TRP is pretty much drowned out with noise.)

Edit: I forgot to mention what inspired me to write this. Some retard bluepilller on Reddit who wants to be a cop and is going to end up either very corrupt or very cynical.

<http://redditlog.com/snapshots/111161>

I should be your enemy. Technically, I am. I am already trained by the military and have completed training in a police academy. My classmates are already going on to careers in law enforcement. I opted to instead finish my degree, and may even cause more harm by joining the FBI or the NSA. For all intents and purposes, I am the bad guy, for I will soon be the enforcer for the progressive nightmare that is stripping our freedoms by one piece of legislation at a time.

However, the truth can be denied for only so long. Just as military service is causing the eyes of everyone who serves to be forcefully ripped open, one can only remain blind for so long when faced with the legal realities of what an officer is required to enforce. Police officers and military face an even higher rate of divorce (70%), and deal with the realities of domestic violence at a nearly daily rate. This is what I learned that makes blindness inexcusable.

Female victims of domestic violence will lash out against her white knights.

We all know the same old story that is constantly beat into our heads about Bad Men and Sad Wives(tm), so I don't need to rehash. However, in learning to be a police officer, when we went over domestic violence, our instructor (a divorcee, mind you), told us how after subduing the man, we need to then treat the woman with just as much suspicion. As facts and FBI crime statistics have proven, after the abuser has been subdued, the victim is very likely to violently lash out at police officers. Why is this? Many reasons, such as the usual explanation of Stockholm Syndrome and the simple fact that he is the breadwinner. Either way, many, many training scenarios involve the wife of the abuser taking a weapon and attacking the officer, sometimes resulting in the officer's death.

Most Men who beat their wives are not sociopaths and hold deep trauma.

Same with the story of Bad Men and Sad Wives, the men who tend towards abuse are deeply broken individuals. We've all heard how abusive fathers make abusive sons, but as we look deeper into the past of such men, we find that it's about a 50/50 shot that the son will follow the sins of the father (I should know). Instead we find that only a minority of abusive men are monstrous sociopaths who enjoy hurting their wives, while the majority are instead men who suffer deep abandonment issues due to being raised by an abusive or negligent single mother. That's right, more often than having an abusive father, the men who are most likely to grow up to be wife beaters are the men who grew up without a father.

So, who continues the abuse?

The most uncomfortable finding that the FBI has discovered (and likes to suppress) is that men who abused a wife or girlfriend, after suffering their legal punishment and getting psychiatric help they

need, do not often go on to abuse the next woman. However, the woman who was abused, will go on to her next boyfriend or husband, and will find herself in the exact same cycle once more.

Just as interesting, is the amount of women who refuse to leave the abusive husband. Around 70% of battered women will NOT leave the relationship. They will continue a vicious cycle over and over again. This is one reason why many policemen become extremely cynical.

The Office of Special Investigations actually had an accurate method to determine false rape claims.

This was something I had learned in my own police academy. One of my instructors was a 20 year Air Force veteran and 18 year Deputy Sheriff. He had spent most of his Air Force career in the OSI (basically, the Army and Air Force's version of NCIS, for those not familiar) and most of his time as a county cop as a homicide and vice detective. During his time in the Air Force, he told us about how the OSI had developed a method that could determine false rape reports with 95% accuracy. As expected, a feminist senator caught wind of it and immediately shut it down (I guess we should've seen that coming). Since then, some agencies have been using that method and succeeding in outing false rape accusations.

(Still trying to find the data needed. Since Obama got re-elected, getting FBI crime statistics is a lot harder than it used to be, any help would be appreciated.)

Edit: This is very rough draft. Critiques are welcome.

Edit 4/1/2014: To all those interested in the method used to determine false rape accusations; I am currently contacting my academy class and trying to get in contact with my instructor to find out the details. I remember that the OSI was really pissed off about losing this method because it was good for more than just false rape accusations. Trust me, if I can get that information, I will be posting the methods on TRP and here on a post all its own.

## Financial Planning

15 upvotes | April 19, 2014 | by [favours\\_of\\_the\\_moon](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://geiststeuerung.wordpress.com/2014/04/18/financial-planning/>

I was asked to post some information about economics/financial planning for my RedPill brothers.  
Finally had time to do so.

Enjoy.



# why is hate so innate for red pill pickup philosophy? Because it is a defect position within the social contract

15 upvotes | March 1, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

What baffled me for a while is why hate for pickup is so innate. There's a lot more horrible things happening in the world, but we don't nearly have such an innate automatic polarized emotional reaction to it.

It occurs to me that it is because the philosophy that pickup espouses is primarily a "defect position" on the "social contract".

So the social contract is when everyone gets together and decides that instead of each of us doing what's best for ourselves, we all agree to follow certain rules of engagement that isn't best for ourselves, but is fair for everyone. In a way, instead of  $1+1+1+1 = 4$ , it's  $1+1+1+1 = 6$ .

But whenever there is a cooperative position, a defector can take most the gains. In other words, suppose someone defects in the game. It'll be  $1+1+1+1 = 3$ , but the defector takes all of the three.

The cooperative/defect dynamic of groups has been happening for a long time. our emotions are expertly keen on detecting when cooperative solutions are happening, and when defecting is happening. In a way, this is one of the primary selection pressures for the evolution of emotions and morality.

So the social contract is basically culture that figured out that over time, if everyone does their best for everyone, is polite, etc, then things work out best for everyone. Pickup on the other hand is an individual who breaks the rules and takes as much for himself as possible. This leaves the people who are still hooked into the social contract in a bad position. They don't consciously know the dynamics, but their emotions have played this game for millions of years and know exactly why they dislike this.

It's also interesting that our emotions come to a conclusion, but most of our emotional algorithms happen subconsciously. So after our emotions come to a conclusion on whether something is good for us or not, it's left to our conscious mind to figure out what to do with the goal in mind, and why we feel that way. I can see why "hamsters" hate red pillers, but the reasons why hamsters say they hate red pillers are so smack full of blind incompetent backward rationalization.

Per the evolutionary thing though (I think this field is called evolutionary game theory), ultimately, a group of 1000 people all playing the hamster cooperative social contract game will do better than a group of 1000 people all playing the red pill defect game. But in a group of mixed hamsters and red pillers, whether hamsters or red pillers win depends on how well hamsters are able to "enforce" and punish red pillers for defecting on the social contract.

In game theory terms, this is nothing new. In various contextual manifestations of this underlying principle, the ideal strategy for an individual is to be hamster on the surface, and red pill beneath the surface.

In social dynamics, surface roughly translates to "social game" and what you say you are. Under the surface roughly translates to how you act.

So basically, an optimized hamster/redpill hybrid in a mixed group of redpill/hamster would basically play the game with the following rules.

- 1- talk like a hamster
- 2- act like a redpiller
- 3- punish other red pillers
- 4- Do this until the end game. In the end game, be a hamster.

Be darth sidious (the emperor), not darth maul (the obvious bad guy). a wolf/sheep hybrid needs to wear sheep's clothing.

Some books that discuss these topics, probably the best I've read is The Origins of Virtue by Matt Ridley (the same dude who wrote the Red Queen).

# Practical application of inner game and dark triad

15 upvotes | February 19, 2014 | by [Nitzi](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Skip past the TL;DR if you truly are already red.

The implementation of the strategies rests with the operational management.

Strategic Planning is like inner game. And outer game is like operational management. It is the practical application of inner game. For me outer game is showing other people your inner game. In my case it is obnoxious love for my self and too much confidence.

How did I create this confidence and self love? I admire myself, my body, my decisions. Just like anorexic girls stand in front of a mirror and think about suicide every day, I stand in front of a mirror and admire my body and my face. "Go tiger, the world is yours" is like a mantra for me. This is where the halo effect comes into play. Other people see that I value my self and value me more.

Value yourself before you value others!

If I am unhappy with any part of my body I train more. Realizing my boundaries is a great feeling. I even love the pain while training. After 50 push ups, when I can't do another one I push my self and actually manage to do another one. When I think about giving up I say to my self "No pain no gain!" and do another one. Now my muscles tremble and shake but I keep on going till no grunting and making grimaces helps anymore.

How can you not love yourself when you are the motherfucker that pushes himself so far? When you shower in ice cold water everyday. Looking back at what you have accomplished in the past can also give you a confidence boost.

Higher confidence grants you the ability to hold eye contact much longer, increases your posture and mood. Which all add cumulative to the halo effect. Ironically and thanks to the halo effect you will accomplish more, and you will get even more confident.

Another important thing about game is to reflect about what went wrong. Learn from your mistakes!

When everything works out people will see you as a high quality man. Now you can let your targets do the chasing. Let everyone know you are there. Let certain girls know that they look good, then go aloof. Let her go crazy and pick her up after she went through all possibilities where she could have fucked up after you told her what you think about her. This is the most subtle neg there is. If she thinks she has higher value than you neg her less subtle or insult her (If you feel like it). Get her to show you how much value she has. Actually skip the let her doing the chasing trick. That shit doesn't work, even when she gets an orgasm when she sees you she won't do the first step 90% of the time. Let her get crazy and then pick up the fruits. You always have to stop being aloof at one point.

TL;DR Stuff that you should know already. But if you feel like it read it and let me know what you think.

## The Dark Tetrad

IMO Sadism is the practical application of the dark triad. When you torture someone for your own fun it shows all traits of the dark triad.

Why is the dark tetrad important? Not all girls respond well to physical and mental torturing of them or others. But if one does you should better know what you are doing. My advice is go with the flow and do what pleases you, she will stop you (hopefully). Then always keep as close to her border as

possible. Sometimes even cross it but go back before she can say anything.

# Let's talk about implied social contracts, rules, obligations, responsibilities, and duties.

15 upvotes | February 8, 2014 | by [YouDislikeMyOpinion](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I was originally going to throw this in as a comment reply, and have this thread be a bunch of replies to the title, but I ended up rambling for a page straight, and it's interesting, so I'm leaving it here. So feel free to reply to my comment or the thread title. Let's just talk about this stuff.

I'm going to start off by talking about redditors. A common redditor's thoughts are of what actions they can take to lessen any (supposed) negative events/reactions/outcomes and increase the (supposed) positive ones.

Time and time again I have seen these redditors asking about how they can make someone's life easier, what they can do to avoid someone feeling awkward, what series of actions they can take to make someone feel as "good" as they can.

These guys will think of and do everything in their power to be accommodating, nice, courteous, and etc., to everyone (read: girls).

Too bad that they achieve the antithesis of what they were striving to. To be frank, they actually don't try to achieve anything in reality, because they place the immediate goal of someone "feeling good" to be the main priority. This is useless and completely promotes stagnation of the human species.

This is the wrong way to live.

You don't gain any increase in social ability by living this way. The other person also doesn't gain social ability by having that in their life. It's a circlejerk of stagnation.

Contrast that with other people that don't think it's the end of the world to not exert 50% of their passive thinking and general actions towards maintaining this continuously-catering environment.

When you don't try out new things, you don't progress socially. You continually live to serve everyone else's non-existent needs, and you are actually running counter-productive to people's and society's social improvement.

Anyone with half a non-corrupt brain should understand that socially trying things out will expand all of their social skills. You can't do that without making a girl feel awkward or [insert feeling here], it's a self-defeating prophecy.

One of us had this to say about natural players:

theyll say "just treat them(girls) like people and be nice" then i watch as they tease and kino and amog and bunch of other nerdy seduction terms without knowing those things exist.

The only reason these players instinctively know and understand the intricacies of these situations is because throughout their life, they have been thrown/throw themselves into situations where this knowledge was built in a compounding fashion based on some sort of trial-error analysis.

Girls aren't used to feeling certain feelings like surprise, awkwardness, stunning, etc.

Bitches naturally train themselves to be able to execute their solipsistic and hypergamistic tendencies to the best of their abilities or a high degree, in a myriad of normalized social situations.

Their strength is their weakness. Girls are good at girl game when everything runs normal, it's easy for them to identify the most desirable candidates. Say you go out and make 300 girls feel awkward

and you take a psychopathic approach (A psychopathic approach is when you completely repress your emotions and solely run off analytical and result-oriented thinking and strategy) to understanding the intricacies of girls when they feel awkward, you become a master in that field. Not only do you have the ability to now understand how to mold social interactions stemming from awkwardness, but you have full advantage of a naturally occurring hole in girl game. The girls didn't learn how to successfully run their passive selection game in those kinds of situations, because they lack experience.

My understanding of attraction is that a big part of attraction is that people develop feelings for other people naturally, and what negates this are things that literally stop the feelings from developing. Natural strategies / defense mechanisms aimed at goals (selection strategy). I think a huge component of our evolutionary development stems from learning what is bad for us. To get what's best, we have to rate things as good, but that doesn't help. The easiest way to make things simpler is to eliminate bad variables.

Maybe this fundamental reason is why Stanley the psychopath gained such success in manipulating women. It's pretty clear for me that none of the situations that Stanley was in were "normal" by any means. He became a master at his own game in his own field.

I will quote /u/TRPsubmitter's comment from another thread:

I've always viewed psychopathy as kind of man's true nature. Maybe the "final form" or Plato's "form" of mankind, instead of true nature. Not everyone has it inside him, but it's kind of a final evolution.

Only fear and the implied "social contract" (which does have evolutionary benefits for survival) keeps it in check. It's like if you bred the ultimate man (as dogs are bred), you would get personality traits reflecting psychopathy. All those small thoughts & tendencies that most men ignore or inhibit due to societal expectations would be forced out.

The attitude of "let's see what happens if I...". I can tell you that from the time that I adopted this attitude, I have become some form of psychopath, wherein(fuck yeah, another word off the bucketlist to use before I die) I retract my emotions and simply play with people like specimens. I can tell you that it has become a big part of my game. I know exactly where and what to prod to elicit certain deep emotions in someone. Furthermore, I know exactly what questions to ask / statements to say that open someone up to me like a book so that I can prod deeper.

And I can tell you from my perspective that Stanley the psychopath partly used this exact strategy to get the fuck into people's brains and do as he pleased. But as was stated in that thread debating naturals vs. theorists/keyboard-jockeys, while naturals get far, they have holes in their game. And that's exactly what Stanley had, and is exactly the same phenomenon I struggle with in my own escapades. You just don't know why the fuck it's working, and testing it out more doesn't help. It really does take a theoretical and/or emotional analysis to understand some intricacy that make everything click in place.

These social constructs like obligation, duty, adherence to implied rules and etc., only serve to preserve normality, while increasing women's a mate-selection ability on the side.

I think psychopath game is end game, but only a part of end game. You get all of the results from pure outcome analysis devoid of any emotional essence. This is why I think the 2nd part of end game

is emotional analysis.

# Let Women Follow Their Nature

15 upvotes | July 24, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This post will probably have a lot of controversy in here, but it needs to be said. There is no point in fighting against one's natural nature, since there is an exceedingly good reason why nature intended said creature to be that way. Healthy men are attracted to feminine, submissive, beautiful, and young women. We men are horny by nature, for it is intended that we compete to spread out genes far and wide. Healthy young women are attracted to confident, assertive, masculine men. From here I will move into my argument that hypergamy should be tolerated in women. If you are messing with the natural state of evolution, you are messing with the human race itself.

The main reason why humanity and mankind has flourished to become the most powerful species of animal is because of the hypergamous selective nature of women. Women are attracted to strong alpha high testosterone males because these men have a higher chance of survival than their beta counterparts. If women breed with these males, they ensure that their sons will also be alpha males. These sons will go on to dominate and thus progress the human race through the sheer level of intellect and strength brought on by high levels of testosterone. They will have a higher chance of spreading their genes as well as surviving any combat from lesser men. As the "alpha genetics" are spread through the population, more alpha males will be born. More men with the will to dominate and change things will be born, more men who can lead their societies to a better and more prosperous future when competing against other human societies. The human race will continuously improve as women pick better genetics in men. Women are sexually competitive for a reason, and it's not for sport, it's ingrained in their genes, the drive to push humanity forward through sexual selection.

If women were to be encouraged to give unconfident, weak, unmotivated, beta men second chances, the evolutionary process of nature will be ruined. They should not coddle these men's weak nature. Women preferring to carry the children of beta men will inadvertently cause a human society to become weaker in general. No more would the power of testosterone and "alpha genetics" be spread among the population, no more would society prosper because of the efforts of motivated and power seeking alpha males. It may be worthy to note here that countries where women are extremely restricted in their sexuality are or were considered third world countries. Look at how India is, due to arranged marriages. Due to a lack of alpha males who take initiative and charge, it did not progress much in the 20th century. I've been to India many many times, and the beggars line the streets in most cities. A ton of money is put into religious reasons, including the building of useless temples and Hindu cults. In fact the politicians there squabbled about what temples should be built and so on. Only recently, due to the Westernization spreading there, have things improved. Due to a fall in people doing arranged marriages and women being able to select who they want to reproduce with, has there been an influx of alpha males being born. Less money is put towards temples and other bullshit things, more money is being used to establish huge businesses and enterprises, things that alpha males are interested in establishing.

People might think that I'm advocating women divorcing men and ruining children's lives in exchange for pursuing their hypergamous nature. I am somewhat, to an extent, doing exactly that. Evolution does not look favorably at the sons of beta men, they do not carry the optimum genetics for gaining influential power and directly improving society. They are not born leaders. A human society



needs a good number of strong leaders to flourish, and those leaders are born through Alpha Fucks, Beta Bucks. The process of a woman fucking an alpha male behind her children's and beta husband's back, and then getting pregnant and tricking said husband that the Alpha male's children are his, is all part of evolutionary process.

But my argument does not negate the purpose behind The Red Pill. It is better to be aware of the nature of the women who are in your life than to be blissfully ignorant of them, so that you can understand their subconscious motivations. Thus you can be aware at what is your part in the evolutionary game, or if you choose to play the game or not. It is better to live life with eyes wide open. But it is also of paramount importance that you do not mess with the natural process of evolution, unless you wish to seek Mother Nature's wrath.

Note: "Take it back to caveman days - in this way women are like this to ensure procreation with attractive alpha males FAST - betas have no place. So women are always pregnant with good sperm, no sense in wasting time, the human race needs to expand and boy have we been successful.

Now fast forward to today, the modern "marriage" has fucked everything up, and that fact that women no longer die so frequently during childbirth. Life expectancy has also gone through the roof. So we're left with loads of men with women they shouldn't have. Loads of women that are alive that should be dead. And loads of men with hurt feelings because their logical brains believed in marriage."

Edit:

Look at America when hypergamy was tolerated in its society. In the 1900s, pre-marital sex was more tolerated than say 1550s. Especially during the "Roaring 20s" and World War 2.

"Starting in the 1920s, and especially after World War II, premarital sex became more common; this was especially prevalent among women. By the end of the 20th century, between 75 and 80 percent of Americans had vaginal intercourse before the age of 19"

During the 1920s-1980s was the time when the American civilization became the most economically and financially booming. It was also the time when young women's hypergamous actions were accepted a lot in society, as I showed above through proof.

Edit: One may argue that hypergamy was not responsible for scientific advancement, but rather civilization was. But one must also consider that testosterone is extremely beneficial to cognitive strength, and thus it can be seen that men with more testosterone are smarter than other men.

Testosterone is responsible for the large brain sizes men have.

Thus women selecting to mate with men with high levels of testosterone through hypergamy means that they were selecting the most intelligent men as well. Therefore, they were selecting men with higher brain capacity. If not for the selective nature of hypergamy, men would not have had evolved the brain capacity to defy natural limits and come up with scientific advancements.

# "Portals and Flags": Venkatesh Rao on winning arguments and 'seduction'

15 upvotes | July 14, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

If you are not familiar with Rao's blog, it's an incredible resource of high level thought, philosophy, sociological theory and business theory. For those who know of the terms Powertalk, Babytalk, Posturetalk, etc, IIRC he coined these terms. I also posted on Rao before.

A lot of his stuff can be applied to redpill theory as well.

---

<http://www.ribbonfarm.com/2014/06/25/portals-and-flags/>

The point of complex debates is not to prove your side right and the other wrong. Smart people make this mistake most often, and end up losing before they ever get started. The point of complex debate is always seduction: winning-over rather than winning. You do this not through logic or even novel insight, but by demonstrating a more fertile way of thinking. One that promises to throw up an indefinitely extended stream of surprises within an ever-widening scope.

Such intellectual seduction settles the original issue not by establishing an unassailable position around it, but by turning it into a portal to a hidden universe of thought. You cannot win over everybody, only the adventurous. But winning over an adventurous minority that joins you in passing through a portal, on a journey of discovery is enough. It allows you to eventually overwhelm those who prefer to plant a flag on a conquered hill of browbeaten minds, and sit around by it awarding each other medals of honor. Because adventures tend to yield riches that make whatever was originally being contested seem worthless by comparison.

There is a role for logic within a seduction: but it isn't to dismantle arguments. The role of logic is to undermine seduction efforts that offer more predictable increase of pleasure and decrease of pain, rather than unpredictable adventure and surprisal. To show such false seductions to be simple arrangements of carrots and sticks. That is the larger purpose of fallacy-spotting in particular: demonstrating the poverty of a promised land. There is also a role for novel insight, but it isn't to surprise the opponent in the sense of a clever, "gotcha" reframing judo move. The role of insight — a "seeing into" — is to expose limiting assumptions and motivations that people may want to voluntarily abandon upon recognition.

In other words, logic is for warning people against simple temptations and fears, insight is for liberating them from self-limiting patterns of thought, and visibly modeled fertility of thought is for seducing them onto intellectually adventurous paths. There is nothing adversarial about any of these motives. But that does not mean they will not be resisted, because taken together they are an invitation to give up power and control, which is usually the scariest thing humans can attempt to do.

And perhaps most surprisingly, this kind of seduction does not take much skill, wisdom-of-age or intellectual depth. I've seen young, inexperienced and rather shallow people do it very well. All it takes is giving up the desire to "win" and the innate openness to experience that allows you to signal a readiness for adventure without even being conscious of it. Even children can do it. In fact children are often really good at seducing and winning over much smarter adults.

So next time you find yourself in a complex debate, decide what your intent is: to seduce through a portal, or to plant a flag.

## "Happy valentines day babe, I got you a present"

15 upvotes | February 14, 2014 | by [scottishredpill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---



Happy valentines day babe, I got you a present xx

9 mins via MMS

## **Patrice O'Neal on disregarding the implied & conditoned "social contract" between men and women**

15 upvotes | February 5, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://youtu.be/rsq1bmVaR3Y>

# Having Goals

14 upvotes | March 9, 2015 | by [redeadx](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Since this is my first post I would like to start off by thanking the Red Pill community for helping me to understand the root of how and why many situations play out the way they do, especially in regards to women. That being said, I would like to give back to the community with some personal realizations regarding goals I had after taking the red pill and letting it all sink in. These realizations may or may not have been obvious to the reader after taking the red pill, however it took me a long time to realize the importance of goals.

The meaning of goals to me are statuses that I wish to attain at a future date. I give this definition because I have also realized that words that are not tangible can many times mean different things to different people. So why do I think that goals are important? I think that goals give you a purpose and a bearing for where you want to be at a certain time. Goals can vary and are subject to change, atleast in my case. I have found that the more quantifiable a goal is the easier it usually is to keep track of. For example, lifting weights is easily quantifiable because you can keep track of the weight you are lifting week by week. A goal that is harder to quantify would be trying to make somebody jealous (a goal I would advise against, however jealousy can be a useful tool depending on the situation) because you cannot easily quantify how jealous a person is. There is no 1-10 scale for that. I have found goals so useful in my own life because they fill my day and they are so gratifying when I see results. For examples, two goals I have now are to spend 15 minutes a day on learning French and 15 minutes a day learning to play the piano. At first it sucked because I could barely introduce myself in French or play a chord, however after a few months of practice I have seen amazing results. Setting reminders for myself has also helped because I can be reluctant or forgetful at times. Having the right goals is also important, I have found.

So what was the most important goal for me? Happiness. Making sure that I am happy has been the most important goal. Making sure that I make choices that make me happy has influenced every other aspect of my life and has also helped me stick to any goals I try to make. My other goals usually help to make me happy. I've felt that I have seen many comments on posts around here regarding people who are psychopaths that sleep with many women, make tons of money, and (sometimes) have a few goods friends. However, many of these psychopaths seem to be either severely depressed or sad even though the many outsiders would not understand how it is possible in spite of his "success". I know somebody like that, and I swear he tries to drown his sorrows in pussy and it doesn't work for him. However, if these things do make you happy then by all means do them! Being around happy people is usually more pleasant than being around unhappy people, at least in my experience. As I said earlier: this may have already been obvious to all of you, I don't know. I'm just posting this because I thought it could possibly help anyone to whom it was not obvious. I would love to hear your feedback because it will help me make better posts in the future.

# Politics and Power

14 upvotes | January 12, 2015 | by [depthrill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

*I would never post this to /r/theredpill because political discussions are rightfully banned. But I feel like we are small enough and mature enough, to have an actual discussion of politics from a standpoint of power.*

*Note that I am a mod here, and if this devolves into a flame-war, ad-hominem attacks, baseless political zealoutry, "passion", anger without underlying facts, or off-topic discussions, I will delete the thread in question and discuss with the other mods potential bans. Stay on topic and stay rational. This is related to many discussions on /r/darkenlightenment as well.*

~~~~~

I assert that over a minimal level of protection (the defense budget is 1/3 of the federal budget), *and expansion* taxes are a form of control. They are systematically taking resources from those who have found a way to acquire it (via inheritance, hard work, thievery, whathaveyou), and redistribute it to those who are too weak, or not clever enough, or yes even not fortunate enough, to have received it. It's a form of power in an epic right. The poor recruiting the powerful politicians to take resources (money or property) from the wealthier, since they don't have the ability nor desire to take it themselves. If they did, they likely wouldn't be as poor.

By painting it as "doing the right thing", they elicit the idea of "morality" for their own end goals, twisting it and using it to gain more power.

While that harps pretty hard on hardcore democrats, the hardcore republicans are not immune, either. They have elicited the idea of "morality" for their own end goals of controlling others' sexuality and can be considered pawns of the church.

I assert that both sides are really just knights of either the poor trying to take more resources for themselves, the church scared of losing power of its congregations, or the wealthy trying to hold on to their acquired resources.

In the book *Might is Right*, the authors discussed the idea that every oppressed people who "took back their freedom" from their oppressors, really just exerted their power and took resources from their oppressors usually by force, viewing life as a constant struggle for acquisitions. Extremely competitive and non-cooperative way to view the world, which the authors assert is the natural order of nature.

Painted in this light, let's have an actual discussion of politics and power without the petty flame-throwing and ad-hominem, emotional arguments, that feminized men have.

# Why Women Do Not Make Good CEOs

14 upvotes | August 13, 2014 | by [hohamocha](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

In this time and age of feminist propaganda, women are seen as being able to do things that are chiefly masculine. Society tells us that women can not only be good housekeepers, but they can also be good, if not better, authorities in businesses than men.

Well I'm here to tell you that all that is bullshit.

Female bosses tend to fail more often as male bosses.. This is primarily due to the way they run the company

The archetypal authority figure is one that reflects masculine qualities.

"Some female bosses may alienate their female direct reports by trying too hard to act like men, says Sasha Galbraith, a Breckenridge, Colo.-based management consultant and a former vice president at Wells Fargo."

If a woman has to try and act like a man to be a better boss or CEO, then it would make more sense to replace her with a male CEO, whose nature is to act more masculine. Women, even the hardcore CEO "bitches", are feminine in nature. If they suppress their nature to become more masculine, it makes no sense for them to be replacing men in the office in the first place.

Testosterone is the precursor of all risk taking and enormous drive. Risk taking and drive leads to enormous profits. When a woman is in a business environment, she produces more testosterone. Why not hire men who naturally have more testosterone and are more driven?

Personally, I believe that the decrease of testosterone in the current generation of men is to blame for the horrible economy we have now. Which leads to men operating businesses in a more feminine nature. Feminine nature alone is horrible for businesses. A business is not a family. And bad businesses make shitty economies.

Furthermore, one may argue that there are studies stating that women make **better leaders in the workplace than men**. Again, I see that as rich tripe.

"Moving from a command-and-control style of leadership to a more collaborative model plays, he argues, to women's strengths. Women are better listeners, better at building relationships and more collaborative and that, he argues, makes them better adapted to the demands of modern leadership. For that reason, Zenger concludes, there is no good reason not to promote women."

Being a good listener, more collaborative, or building relationships are not necessarily the best leadership traits. In order for one to be an effective CEO, one must learn that their workers are assets, not work buddies to build relationships with. An efficient worker does what you want them to do, no questions asked. If the worker does not do that, that makes him/her an unreliable asset. There is no time for a CEO to ask the opinions of their workers.

"Business is business; a business is a single purpose entity; its sole utility and reason for existence is to provide a good and/or service profitably. A business is not a family, a community, or a friendship; such considerations are irrational and detrimental to the objective purpose of the business."

Also, listening, collaborating, or building relationships are nothing without (male key strengths)



direction, goal sets, and planning.

You can't run a good business on just on listening, collaborating, or building relationships ONLY. But you can run a decent business on direction, goal sets, and planning ONLY

Business needs to be done fast, profits need to be made as quick as possible. The workers need to trust the CEOs decision without question, and for that to be done, the CEO needs to be dominant and have control over their employees. There are a few women who are able to control their subordinates, who are male, with their "female charms", a solely feminine personality has no place in that of a successful CEOs. For a business to be successful, it needs to have a CEO who rules with a strong dominant hand, something that femininity is not capable of doing.

### **Entitlement Syndrome**

Us redpillers know the entitled nature of women. But women in business have an even more entitled nature than regular women..

"I can't think of a single woman (myself included) who has worked in a male-dominated environment and not felt that she must work extra-hard to prove herself before she is taken seriously, something men rarely encounter."

Women tend to think that males have it easy in the workplace.... because they are males. Obviously this is untrue, any man who has tried to climb the corporate ladder can attest that he also had to work extra hard to prove himself in a male-dominant environment. No one goes, "Oh you're male, so I'm going to give you an easier time." We men have to prove ourselves in the world as well, especially next to hard competition formed by other males for resources.

Even the CEO of Yahoo, Marissa Mayers, the most touted female executive of this year, has been known to display some of these entitled behaviors, from flaking important business meetings to being late to most of her meetings, and fired only because he annoyed you, and whose severance also totals a whopping 58 million dollars.

### **\*\*Female CEO Affirmative Action"**

In modern times, it is actually significantly easier for a woman to become CEO, not because she is a hard worker/intelligent, but rather due to her being a woman. Better CEOs of male gender get looked over as a struggling company tries to get attention by having a female CEO. All most all women who make it up to CEO position are from outside the company, and barely any are from having a high ranking inside position. Furthermore, female CEOs have a somewhat of a celebrity status, just because they are female. This makes it easier for an incompetent female CEO to be hired by another company due to elevated reputation of her being female.

### **HP and Apple, some examples**

Even HP CEO Meg Whitman isn't doing such a great job.

Bringing in a woman as a CEO for an already dying company does not help it spin around. A quote by Whitman "The 31-year-old asked her feared boss if he wanted staff feedback about his leadership style; he nodded. With that Whitman grabbed a felt-tip marker and sketched a giant steamroller on a nearby flip board. "This is you, Tom," she explained. "You're too pushy—you're not letting us build consensus leadership." A dying company, such as HP, needs masculine pushing force to produce new innovative ideas. If Whitman puts ideas of consensual leadership into play, it won't truly help HP get back to its original state. It needs some masculine force to push innovation through the roof, to come up with more features on its tablets, some new form of technology. And oftentimes, new innovations

do not come without some form of aggressive push for success. Steve Jobs did that through Apple, creating a whole line of MP3 players and smart phones that were capable of things that were never before seen in the technological field. He aggressively pushed his employees to come up with more innovation to bring Apple from being dwarfed out by Microsoft. Creativity does not come without aggressive push and need. Consensual leadership achieves nothing in a company, especially one that is failing. In an consensual leadership, people come up with ideas but no one implements them because rarely do the group agree as a consensus if there are women in the group.

All it does is delay as people do not agree with an idea that may prove to be a game-changer. A company has no time for that. It needs innovation now and fast, and it needs someone to take charge and select the best innovation and market that shit through the roof, so that the best profits can be made before the failing company sinks into an un-salvageable state. Consensual leadership may help keep the company temporarily afloat, but if no one is there to take charge with decisions by being a powerhouse, no progress will be made. A company needs a single strong and dominant CEO. A male CEO.

Edit:

Also, listening, collaborating, or building relationships are nothing without (male key strengths) direction, goal sets, and planning.

You can't run a good business on just on listening, collaborating, or building relationships ONLY. But you can run a decent business on direction, goal sets, and planning ONLY . If your collaboration or building relationships do not have a purpose or direction behind them, nothing will be accomplished.

2nd edit: I changed "are not necessarily good leadership traits." to "are not necessarily the best leadership traits"

As per TheIslander829's mention

Edit 3:

Take Japanese work culture as a culture that has experienced success from highly masculine companies/ management. Japanese work culture itself is extremely masculine. "With comparison to USA, Japanese society is considered to be more masculine. It is a male dominating society where work, status, money taking priority over personal life and families. On the other hand, Americans have more relaxed lifestyle and showing concern for others.

I have lived in Japan and can attest to this. The culture there itself is extremely formal and sometimes lacking in empathy itself. It measures the degree of goal orientation of the society. Social status, position, success, money these all are viewed in masculine society. In Japanese companies, the executives view the workers as assets, expect them to sacrifice family for work. The bosses are exceptionally uncaring about their employees, and this is why the suicide rate in Japan is so high. But the companies in Japan are also ranked extremely high in capability, even though the bosses fail to nurture their workers or show empathy.

And in another aspect, the Japanese managers value competitiveness, assertiveness, ambition which is a showcase of the strong Masculinity in the Japanese national culture. This high masculinity in management practices is not seen from other countries such as Germany

Yet Japanese companies are among the top multinational companies in the world.

# Sudoku Phone/Text Game

14 upvotes | May 22, 2014 | by [alreadyredscool](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

One trick I use, when speaking with a broad over chat or the phone is that I solve hard Sudokus while doing so. This trick was created because most girls are boring (didn't need TRP to find that out), but it turned out that playing Sudoku creates solid asshole game.

She must be more entertaining than the Sudoku, but she doesn't know that you are doing something else while talking. She will project your indifference on her faults.

Process:

- You take more time responding and you give off the vibe that she is boring
- she now thinks that she is boring and you are bored of her
- therefore she tries harder to prove herself to you
- therefore she sees you as someone of higher value than her

Benefits:

- Displays high value
- her trying again and again is just like doing small favors for you -> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ben\\_Franklin\\_effect](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ben_Franklin_effect)
- creates a natural IDGAF attitude, since you half ass listening and responding

Side benefits:

- Talking is less boring
- You get better at Sudoku
- You get better at multitasking

TL;DR Playing Sudoku while communicating with a broad makes you display higher value.

Does someone else have tricks to make talking with women more interesting or effective?

# [Repost] Honest Red Pill Assessment of OK Cupid

14 upvotes | February 8, 2014 | by [GayLubeOil](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Having successfully fucked a couple of people from OK Cupid I feel I am officially qualified to give dating advice, however before we go any further it is important that we first have an accurate understanding of what OkCupid is

Ok Cupid: Is a rock bottom wholesaler of penis. Heterosexual males are in abundant supply and the average fuckable girl (girl you can stick your dick into without cringing) gets five to 8 messages a day.

In order to have sex with OK Cupiders you basically have to put your penis on sale. Which is to say offer yourself to girls lower than you on the totem pole. This however only works if there is someone lower than you on the totem pole. If you are already kinda low your not going to have many options. Here are some options to consider

- **Less attractive girls:** If your a seven or an eight you can realistically fuck fives to sixes on Ok Cupid. These girls will be ecstatic that a hot guy is messaging them and will have no problem having a one night stand with a hot guy.
- **Fat Girls:** Same as the above with the added bonus that they know how to eat and can make you a good breakfast the morning after. The only downside is that while darkness makes an ugly girl less noticeably ugly you will always know how fat a fat girl is.
- **Low Income Girls:** Unlike your entitled middle class ass, her mother works two jobs and her father died in a gang war. This girl will be legitimately thrilled when you take her to a moderately fancy restaurant: \$15 because no one in her social circle has that kind of money. Your 2005 car will be impressive compared to her 1990 car etc. These girls will be legitimately impressed by you spending moderate amounts of money because her standard of living is so low. Upside: her low income status in no way makes her less physically attractive.
- **Minorities:** Now I personally find Black and Hispanic women very attractive. However many white men do not. What this means is there is a lower supply of white men willing to fuck Blacks and Hispanics, thus greatly increasing your value to these populations. If any of this is offensive to you, then chances are you dont understand economics. But what if im a minority male what do I do? Bro I don't know iv never tried it. Watch out for that stop and frisk.
- **Single Moms:** There are a bunch of hot single moms out there wanting sex and that baby is a huge vag block for them. Dudes are more hesitant about single moms so if your not then your in luck. Downside: Lets be honest its not as tight.
- **Older women:** This women is in desperate need of a husband and her ovaries are slowly drying up. However if you are in your early twenties chances are you are in better shape than anyone in her peer group. So maybe she will let you fuck her.
- **Transvestites:** There are alot of trannys on OK Cupid. I dont think there is a very high demand so I guess this could be that golden opportunity you've been waiting for.
- **Gay Men:** Eventually a Gay dude is going to hit you up on Ok Cupid. Now if you are completely heterosexual you can just tell him you don't like the Pee Pees and wish him good luck on his penal scavenger hunt. If not I guess you can enjoy the female privilege of having

people promptly respond to your texts, going to a fun exciting new restaurant, having that shit paid for and then having someone actively entertain you during a date. Instead of the usual where you are doing all the work while fucking Samantha checks her fucking phone for the 9th time while you entertain her. Iv never dated a man before but it sounds very good in theory except for the fact that he is a man with a penis.

Allright that about covers it. I hope you enjoyed this. I hope I didnt offend any one as this is an honest assessment of the modern dating situation. If you are in fact offended stop reading Male dating advice you are a woman.

## A Discussion of LTR game, Oneitis, and the "Ideal" woman.

14 upvotes | August 14, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I've chosen to start this discussion here, rather than in [r/TheRedPill](#), because I believe that the bitterness/anger phase of swallowing the pill prevents good discussion of this topic.

Often, the "good" and "bad" traits of women are a topic of discussion. The concept of good traits necessitates that there are "best" traits, and this leads to the conclusion that an "ideal" woman can exist.

Now let me be clear, I'm not talking about Unicorns. They're make believe. I'm talking about the idea of a woman who possess the beginnings of the traits that a man desires, and then is successfully led by her man to becoming exactly what he wants. Women who are intelligent, independent (in that they can take care of themselves when necessary without needing to ask you how to do something every time they do it), submissive to their captain, physically perfect or near so, committed to maintaining and bettering themselves for you, self aware and aware of both the redpill and the fact that they would like a redpill man, nurturing, socially adept, virginal before you, now sexually exploratory, and fully aware of your complete and utter dominance.

Now lets talk about love. Men love a woman. Woman love how men make them feel. I refuse to believe that love is a nonexistent emotion, but I also refuse to submit fully to it as many have before and been fucked for. I suppose this is the first point up for discussion. A man who knows how to run his relationship can allow himself to feel love, as long as he maintains frame always and knows that he cannot let this emotion cloud his judgement.

Love is not oneitis. You can love a woman while understanding that, if she fucks up, you can always find another of equal value, or greater value with more youth.

Never get married, obviously, I'm not that fucking stupid. But a "permanent" LTR situation can be beneficial in the support and regularity it provides, especially if your woman is the "ideal" woman. I would argue that an LTR is only called for upon creation of this "ideal" woman. Family structures existed like this for a long time, pretty much until recently, with permanent or semi permanent relationships being the building blocks of families. Families are important, to me, to society, and I believe to any of you that also have them. They are unachievable without the correct partner.

What I'd like to hear thoughts on are the following: can an "ideal" woman be created, are love and oneitis invariably tied together, and can LTR game lead to what I'm going to call "permanent" game, as I'm loathe to call it "married" game.

It is also worth noting that I don't have a particular girl in mind. This is not me being blinded by oneitis and trying to justify it. This is theory plain and simple.

## When movements become co-opted and lose their purpose, and when will it be too late for TRP?

14 upvotes | April 23, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

If you managed to fire at least three brain cells in any US history course in high school, you learned that fringe movements become adopted and recognized by larger groups, and ultimately lose their original meaning to the passion of the mob. Furthermore, any good capitalist will co-opt these movements to their benefit, deploying every marketing attempt to link their product with the ideals of the movement.

We saw this with the OG 60/70s hippies and activism, feminism (dove campaign, jezebel in general), and now SJ as a whole (feel good viral marketing, outrage blogs/press). Now I love this country and I have no problem in allowing companies to capitalize on this stuff, but I think that when a "fringe movement" becomes so mainstream it gets co-opted for profit, that movement is now meaningless.

Since TRP is getting much more publicity, and feminism beginning to see a rise in cultural backlash, I want to put out the question to you: how and when do you see TRP becoming a marketing campaign?

IMO I see the Mens Rights movement getting hit first, since that's the most easily accessible. I put ten bucks on the current "our products define masculinity" companies like Ford, Budweiser, and all the other shit you see on football, taking the leap first, placing themselves in the position of "A real man runs the family and drinks Budweiser" with images of his wife cooking while he acts as the breadwinner (think 40s/50s marketing, a huge no-no today).

Also notice how in current beer/truck ads targeted for 25-35 men, its always them with other men in the field or watching football or some shit, while the 18-25 demo is "at the club" with hot ladies hitting on them. You will never see a ad with a family where the man is in control. If you see a family in an ad, the "wife knows best" and the man is a bumbling fuckface (ads with families are targeted for products the wife would purchase).

In any case, what are your thoughts?

## RoK gone Beta.

14 upvotes | April 15, 2014 | by [kzwrp](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

So I've had a bit of a break from the reddened sphere for a few weeks, been busy working on myself (along with getting a significant raise, extra responsibility in a project I'm volunteering in, yadda yadda) .

In the past I've had the habit of reading Return of Kings with pleasure, posting the most controversial posts on Facebook under my real name to stir up discussion among like-minded friends (plenty of redpillers around in my circle!) and whiteknights/ feminist "friends" of mine.

Now I've opened Return of Kings today and what do I see?

Spiteful recovering betas are allowed to post

The Rising Epidemic Of Cheating Wives Who Want "Freedom"

I did all that! Ask her. Twelve years of marriage, five years of courtship. I did all of the above and what is the glorious reward? "I thought we were both doing it." "We did talk about flirting with other people." "I just need some space." "I just want to express love and freedom as I see fit." "You'd be surprised how many other women are doing it."

The whole article reeks off bitterness.

5 Dating Conventions that women killed

For men, why spend two hours in a movie or playing mini-golf when you could invite her directly back to your place? Even men who meet in a public place usually choose one walking distance from their apartment.

Doesn't notice the obvious contradiction that the PUA (redpill, in parts) scene explicitly tells you to do that, so your f-closes are easier.

Also bitter. Get over it, adapt, use your leverage but don't fucking complain and hang to a bygone past.

sigh



## As a followup to redpill80's post, I will share my experience with meditation and its effects on my life and well being.

14 upvotes | March 24, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Yesterday, redpill80 made a post entitled "[On the Cruelty of Life and Those who Persevere](#)"

In this post, he mentions that he is a fan of Eckart Tolle and recommends meditation. While I also agree with Tolle's ideas, I've found [The Sedona Method](#) to be far more helpful in practice.

### What is The Sedona Method?

The Sedona Method is a form of self-inquiry meditation, pioneered or discovered by a man by the name of Lester Levenson in the 1950s. His story goes that at the age of 42 he was very successful in a worldly sense, but had failing health and was sent home to die by his doctors who could do nothing for him. During this time, he examined himself and his thoughts very closely and reached self-realization in a matter of months with no formal instruction or training.

He went on to live another 42 more years, and developed the process he underwent into a method that could be taught to others. His whole story is really amazing, I recommend you check that out if you're at all interested.

The basic premise of the method is that all of our suffering is caused by four basic wants, and their opposites:

- wanting approval/disapproval
- wanting control/to be controlled
- wanting security/insecurity
- wanting oneness/separation

By recognizing and letting go of these wants can clear up the barriers we have to success and happiness.

### My Story and Experience with The Sedona Method

Two years ago I was having a hard time. I had been unemployed for over a year with few job prospects and little hope. I was drawing unemployment benefits, but those were quickly coming to a close.

By some strange chance, I discovered The Secona Method. I've been a self help junky for the last six years, so I'll give almost any self-help product a try. I pirated an audio program, and spent the next two weeks listening to the program, and practicing The Sedona Method.

As I practiced the method I began to feel lighter, more at peace. I felt less stress and less worry about my future. At the end of the two week period, I felt almost completely free. I experienced something close to self-realization. I had almost no sense of a "me" or "I", and instead felt like I was a part of the greater whole. I felt pure joy almost constantly.

Another interesting effect was that I was a smoker at the time, and had almost no cravings for nicotine and stopped smoking without effort. Same goes for drinking, I've never really had a drinking problem, yet I found myself having no desire to drink. Another interesting effect was that I had no craving for meat, and in fact the sight/smell of meat was repulsive to me. I've never been an animal

rights activist, nor really gave a shit about animals at the time, yet I was not interested in eating them. The best result was that shortly thereafter, I landed the job that I'm currently in. I don't want to talk too much about it, but I will say that I was hired very quickly, advanced very quickly, and now have the potential to make millions and millions of dollars if things go well. And even if things don't go well, the experience I'm gaining now will ensure that I will be employed with a relatively high salary for the rest of my life.

As I started working my job, I began to forget about The Sedona Method, and my experience. The state I was in began to slowly fade away. I am now beginning to practice the method more frequently again, in an effort to achieve ultimate freedom.

I highly recommend The Sedona Method to anyone. The audio programs can be easily obtained...[redacted].

If anyone has any questions, ask away.

tl;dr - I found a form of meditation, practiced it and got a taste of enlightenment after two weeks of practice and then enjoyed unimaginable success.

# [X-post TRP] Subclinical Primary Psychopathy, but Not Physical Formidability or Attractiveness, Predicts Conversational Dominance in a Zero-Acquaintance Situation

13 upvotes | December 6, 2014 | by [vandaalen](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Just thought I should cross-post here, since some of you might not be visiting TRP frequently any more, and this is likely to be your cup of tea:

<http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0113135>

I am not yet finished with reading it, as it is kind of difficult to comprehend for me as a non-native speaker, and I need to read some sentences multiple times, while referencing a dictionary.

However the following excerpts should be enough to wake your interest.

One version of this dichotomy is Henrich and Gil-White's [7] distinction between dominance and prestige as processes whereby people acquire status (see also [8]). Dominance is a phylogenetically older system based on intimidation and coercion, whereas the prestige system is thought to be uniquely human, and based on freely-conferred deference [7]. In Henrich and Gil-White's [7] model, dominant individuals use force to induce fear and avoidance in subordinates, whereas prestigious individuals possess socially valued skills and/or knowledge that attracts sycophants, who defer to them in order to gain proximity so as to facilitate social learning. **Consistent with this formulation, dominance and prestige have been shown to be associated with different personality traits [9] and different testosterone profiles in men[10].**

Even if the vast majority of interactions with strangers are peaceful and non-coercive, failure to make such assessments early on could leave an individual unable to adaptively deploy dominance and submission in the rare event of escalating tension; this risk warrants the uniform deployment of assessment upon first encounter. The same logic explains signaling and associated behaviors: **if conflict is a possibility, consensus regarding relative rank benefits both dominant and subordinate individuals, since such concordance obviates the need for a direct contest. Conversational dominance may correspond to the unfolding of such low-cost assessment.** Consonant with this position, Rosa and Mazur's [23] classic study found that individuals who first broke eye contact with co-participants tended to produce fewer speech acts in a subsequent discussion than those who maintained eye contact. **The authors interpret this result in terms of phylogenetically ancient dominance-submission signaling, arguing that initial eye contact establishes a dominance hierarchy that plays out in subsequent conversational behavior. Conversational dominance may also undermine prestige, to the extent that it reflects attempts to monopolize a conversation at the expense of other participants.** Rather than conveying accessibility and attracting admirers, conversational dominance may rebuff learners seeking proximity and learning opportunities.

With respect to dominance motivation, psychopathy is characterized by a sense of

grandiosity [27] and self-perceived relative rank [37], and recent work has implicated psychopathic traits (as part of the Dark Triad [38]) in the pursuit of dominance [39]. Research using Hawley's [40] typology has shown that **psychopathy is positively associated with both coercive (dominance-linked) and bistrategic (mixed coercive and prosocial) resource acquisition strategies** [41].

**Physical attractiveness**, though not associated with possession of valuable knowledge, is an arena of prestige competition [47] and **it positively affects** interpersonal assessments [48] and **(particularly in women) feelings of entitlement** [26].

# Rituals and Drama in Victorian-era (1800s) Courtship -- An academic take on the development of early Shit Tests similar to their contemporary counterpart

13 upvotes | April 3, 2014 | by [DeckofLuckyStrikes](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Thought you all would appreciate a more academic approach to the history of shit tests as we understand them in contemporary society.

## Drama and Rituals in dating Victorian era

It's a pretty easy skim through, none of the concepts are complex for anyone looking with RP goggles on.

### **Some easy background before reading:**

The Victorian era is during the tail-end Romanticism and post-sentimentalism. Romanticism and Sentimentalism incited citizens to embrace emotions during decision making and to determine morality of actions. If it felt right to do, it was morally good.

### **Brief Summary of the paper**

Parents were no longer as involved in the courting rituals of their children. Men and women were going out and trying to figure out what they wanted the most. As it turns out, this isn't as effective as the old way of courtship. Women developed partner fitness tests to weed out the men who actually loved them or were just playing coy. This eventually led to a very heavy usage of jealousy as a shit test. Women particularly would try to make their primary suitors jealous by telling him that there were other men chasing after her and that she had options. While many of the men would cave and just profess undying love and their concerns over losing her, feeding into the broads attention, some of them used dreadgame (this is at the end of the chapter) to great effect.

For those of you are inclined to try to read this but don't have the time for so many pages, I recommend 166 - 170 and the last sentence of 185 to - 191

What I'm trying to get at by showing this piece, is that very little has changed in the dating game once we get back down to fundamentals. Women are emotional and believe in a love that is impractical because of their pursuit of emotional bliss. Men just want to fuck and plenty of them fall in love during the pursuit of lust. Parents used to keep this shit in check, but now shit-tests are used by people courting one another. Parents were *the shit test experts* because they knew what would make their child happy longterm.

### **tldr**

Victorian-era relationships and two centuries of embracing emotions as a moral compass paved the way for the modern day shit-test.

# Book review/summary: The Wisdom of Psychopaths [x-post TRP]

13 upvotes | March 24, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

**TL;DR:** Many (functional) psychopaths are non-violent and highly successful members of society (surgeons, lawyers, CEO's). They have set psychological traits that we can all learn from.

---

Last week I picked up a copy of [Kevin Dutton's - The Wisdom of Psychopaths](#) and found it highly entertaining and relevant to TRP. In his book, Dutton pontificates that psychopathy reaches far beyond the imprisoned population of violent, cold-hearted killers. In fact there are plenty of psychopaths walking among us, many of them not the least bit violent. A lot of them are doing rather well, excelling in careers such as surgeon, lawyer, stock broker, special forces, CEO, etc. Psychopathy is not a binary trait (you have it, or you don't) but instead consists of a collection of scalable traits ("like the knobs on a mixing board"). You and I might score low/average on these scales, whereas Ted Bundy would have scored significantly higher.

Dutton identifies 7 key traits that make a functional psychopath successful (for the people interested in Dark Triad, see if you can spot the links). These traits allow them to flourish in today's competitive, individualistic world. This is where it gets interesting for us at TRP, as you can cultivate (some of) these traits and use them to your advantage. If you master them, you can mix and match traits, depending on what the situation demands.

1. Ruthlessness
2. Charm
3. Focus
4. Mental armour
5. Fearlessness
6. Action
7. Mindfulness

I'm going to elaborate on each one for a bit and to explain their value according to my interpretation. For those interested in the full story and the science to back it up: buy the book, it's worth it.

## Ruthlessness

A (functional) psychopath is shamelessly selfish and pragmatic (although he may not tell you) and this allows them to make tough decisions without breaking a sweat. Anxiety, guilt, social expectations... they don't bother him or affect his decisions.

Dutton gives a true chilling example of this trait. *It's WWII, you're the father of a Jewish family hiding in the basement of somebody's house. Nazi soldiers are searching the house and you can hear them throw furniture around. The baby in your arms starts crying and you place your hand over its mouth to silence it. You know that if you let go, the soldiers will find you and execute your family and host. Could you smother your baby, thereby saving the lives of your family and host?*

Now this is an extreme dilemma, created to demonstrate the principle, but certain degree of ruthlessness could easily be helpful to make a killing in the corporate world. Want to improve?

Practice pragmatism, stoicism and not-giving-a-fuck.

### **Charm**

Easy one, the power of persuasion. Psychopaths possess an intangible charm that's irresistible to everyone else. Knowing what to say, when to say it and how to say it. Many psychopaths have a heightened degree of empathy and can easily put themselves in another man's shoes. This allows them to develop a keen understanding of social interactions, emotions and body language. Combine it with ruthlessness and you've got yourself a powerful manipulator (politicians?).

The empathy-thing may be controversial, but don't the most sadistic serial killers derive pleasure from their victims suffering? It requires empathy to convert their pain to your pleasure.

Do you want to become more charming? Study the people and interactions in your direct surroundings. Start to learn by copying, then tweak to your own advantage.

### **Focus**

Focus allows you to tune out distractions and get things done. Functional psychopaths seem to be capable of extreme focus on one thing, to the exclusion of all else. Very helpful if you need to achieve goals and stuff.

### **Mental armour**

A thick psychological shield can protect against other people's bullying and bullshit. It's a cold day in hell if you can needle a psychopath into responding emotionally. They keep their cool and it allows you to leave an argument victoriously.

Do you want to build up your mental defences? Do as the special ops soldiers do. They are constantly ripping on each other, messing around and trying to unbalance one another. They fuck with each other for a very specific purpose: to be able to resist interrogation if they're captured. So find a group of mates that dicks around.

### **Fearlessness**

In my opinion, similar to ruthlessness. A full-on psychopath doesn't register fear or anxiety. Don't let fear distract you from your goals and remember that your imagination is often worse than reality. It's usually the anticipation of pain (physical or mental) that's the worst. Don't let it consume you.

### **Action**

This one has been touted on TRP before, always err on the side of boldness. Always choose action over inaction. Or as Hunter S. Thompson puts it:

*"Life should not be a journey to the grave with the intention of arriving safely in a pretty and well preserved body, but rather to skid in broadside in a cloud of smoke, thoroughly used up, totally worn out, and loudly proclaiming "Wow! What a Ride!"*

### **Mindfulness**

Many of us, including myself, can testify to the benefits of meditation. But while most of us struggle to remain unattached and in the present, psychopaths do this naturally. As Dutton so eloquently puts it, "they seize the day take it for a joyride". The only moment that truly exists, is the present. How many people move through life in a zombie-like state, Worrying, pondering, day dreaming? You miss valuable opportunities and are more likely to experience fear, anxiety, etc. For example, if I focus all my attention on the present, letting go of all judgements, time seems to slow down and you can study the details and motivations behind the actions of others.

As a zen buddhist, I'm prejudiced, but I use mindfulness to cultivate the other traits of charm, fearlessness and action with a modicum of success, and I recommend it to anyone. There are many guides out there on how to cultivate mindfulness, so I'll leave it to them to describe it.



# Strategy: Switching the relationship dynamic to having your needs met. The "Last Resort Strategy"

13 upvotes | February 3, 2014 | by [YouDislikeMyOpinion](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Why choose this method?

- It gives the girl a chance to change. Can be ideal for marriages / long term relationships.
- Definite solution. Either your needs are met or you begin the process of leaving or outright leave.
- Very socially acceptable.

## Requirements:

- Being able to be a rock. Standing up and not caving.

## Steps

1. Understand what you need from the girl.
2. Set a date and time for a meeting where you will talk with her and outline your needs that you need met.
3. Survive until the meeting.
4. Optional step of building your hand.
5. Set up of the talk.
6. The talk.

# "The Radical Plan To Eliminate Earth's Predatory Species"; wherein environmentalists want to stop all 'inequality' in nature by eliminating predators

13 upvotes | July 31, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I decided to post this only in [/r/AlreadyRed](#) as I think it's a bit outside the scope of the main sub. Plus it's more of an "opinion" with no thesis.

---

<http://io9.com/the-radical-plan-to-eliminate-earths-predatory-species-1613342963>

The guy is clearly intelligent, but I think this thought is very dangerous. Equating animal "suffering" to human activities is anthropomorphizing the situation. Animals only exist to survive and propagate; hence, the "suffering" that a predator inflicts is not borne from a desire to inflict actual suffering.

Lions kill the most efficient way possible, despite a deer feeling pain. The lion doesn't torture the prey. Even wolves which sometimes kill to "send a message" (they don't eat the carcass) do so with a survival purpose in mind (intimidation of competitors). They don't think "hey I wanna make this moose suffer bitch!" like humans do.

I think this is classic liberal (i.e. hypoagency) thinking. Put the onus on something entirely irrelevant other than looking at the real problem. Instead of solving human suffering, you focus on animals (because it's easier, and what better "victim" is there then little Fluffy the cat?). Instead of putting your money where your mouth is and biking to work instead of driving, you start a blog and claim that humans are evil for allow cheetahs to hunt gazelles where they could hand-feed the cheetahs instead.

This is something I would have thought would be in the "Onion". But it's not a satire. I think it's more *reductio ad absurdum* of feminist thinking i.e., take feminist thought to the extreme and you get this absurdity. Take the feminist notion of "no inherent differences according to gender" to the extreme and you end up with "no inherent differences among animals", which is ridiculous.

Thoughts?

## Former EC here. One of the mods banned me yesterday for writing a post that was #3 on the front page at the time (and also removed the post). I'm not here to whine. I just want to know what the hell is going on with that sub?

12 upvotes | November 8, 2017 | by [The\\_g0yim\\_know](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

So I was an EC a couple years back until I eventually moved on. The account I was using at the time was /u/tits\_out\_forTheBoys.

Yesterday I wrote this post to correct some of the mistakes I see guys making when it comes to "shit tests:"

[https://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/7bhywk/redpill\\_graduate\\_school\\_the\\_term\\_shit\\_test\\_is/](https://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/7bhywk/redpill_graduate_school_the_term_shit_test_is/)

The mod who removed it cited the bottom part of my post (in which I state that I used to be an EC) in the ban message. I offered to edit it out but received no response.

What the hell happened to that sub? I don't remember them censoring content like this back when I was active. Would love to hear what you guys think about all this, because I'm honestly dumbfounded by the whole situation.

Here's the post in question:

### **RedPill Graduate School: This term "shit test" is misleading more than anything.**

If a woman behaves like a selfish cunt, it's because she's a shitty person. It is not a "shit test," she is not behaving with the intention to "pick" you, she's acting on her fickle emotions and ever-changing preferences, just like an animal would. These type of women are truly *degenerates* in the most literal sense of the word. They'll entice only weaklings and ruin the inexperienced. Don't take their "tests," avoid them. In fact don't even think about these women after you're finished reading this post. They have no purpose in any spectrum of a man's thought process, which is why referring to any of their actions as "shit tests" is retarded. If one of these women decides to nag you, the proper response is to casually proceed with what you were doing before she got there. If you pretend she's not there maybe she'll go away, or at the very least she'll get bored and find something else to do. Bullshit dodged. Pat yourself on the back but don't do the victory high five just yet because we still have a lot to talk about. Namely, how to get the women who betaphags will claim are "mythical."

So here's the plan.

If a woman is being **playful** she's *genuinely interested in you*. She wants to see if you're a fun guy who actually has a personality. Furthermore she just wants to have plain old fun with you.

Unfortunately the average beta behaves like a brick wall when the opportunity arises to talk to a quality girl, and then after he fails he'll start talking to his guy friends *like he ought to be talking to girls!* I've seen it a million times before, and I'll see it a few million times more. These are the very same guys who you can't talk to in an honest and forthright manner (as a *man* ought to be spoken to) and who will instead push you away for daring to treat him like he owns a pair of testicles.

So in conclusion there are no "shit tests." There are good girls and there are bad girls. There's real men and there's dickless queers. Youth is the one exception to this rule. Young people people have

the *opportunity* to develop, to avoid falling onto one of the shitty ends of the spectrum. But they must be able to discern between quality advice and the mountain of shit that it's hidden within - all thanks to "democracy" giving equal validity to all opinions regardless of accuracy and usefulness. You have one guy talking about jerkoff frequency, another guy telling you about why he thinks it's cool and shit to act like you don't care about anything, man, like some fucking high school goth who chain smokes cigs with his only two friends on lunch breaks. And while all this is going on you're like wait, so what the fuck does this have to do with finding some cool chicks to hang out with again? The answer is nothing my dude, and you know it. Fucking ignore those guys and listen to me. And on that note let's proceed (If you want to take a break first, say it with me: boobies. High five. ☐☐ Ok now trust me this post is about to get lit.)

So anyway the only realistic solution is to **stop** when you see something profound, think on it, and put it to the test. Even more useful is finding the right people to listen to. But above all the best teacher is EXPERIENCE and the ability to learn from it. Guys think you have to be "special" to be an Alpha but all you really have to do is *not be a beta*. Don't make the same goddamn mistakes as everyone else. Don't even hold yourself to the same standards. Just become your own person, your own man. "They" say that's cliché but who is "they?" Betaphags who don't even know what the fuck that means.

Chicks don't dig guys who do the same exact shit and act the same fucking way as everyone else! It's boring, it's unoriginal, it's cowardice.

Being an Alpha isn't about muscles or money. Those are prerequisites for surviving and succeeding as a man. Yeah, the more the better. Sure, it's a minimum requirement to attract a woman, but it's only "the everything" for the shitty materialistic women who might give a good bj but will let men down in most other aspects of what it means to be a good woman.

The only way to be an "Alpha" and attract the type of women you want is by having a **strong will**, a unique **personality**, and the **courage** to never compromise on it for anyone. Do that, and after a while you'll be like whoa, holy shit! Is this a hot streak or am I top pickings now? The answer is that you've become what I like to call "the fucking man." The guy who girls talk about with a sparkle in their eye and chase after. A dude who I'd buy a drink for without feeling the need to say no homo. It's cuz you've earned it bro. Better looking guys and richer men fade into the background in the eyes of the BEST types of girls. You know, the ones who are not only hot but you also enjoy just hanging out with. *Alphas have that X-factor*. Look beyond their muscles and their money, it's the personality and the strong will that fuels the obsession of the girls who the average guys don't have the courage to talk to.

And THAT'S how you stand tall above the rest.

---

**Disclosure: I used to be an Endorsed Contributor on this sub under a different username. This isn't an "official" comeback I just felt like writing this post and will be on my way. And for those of you who don't believe me, the answer to your question is no, I'm not going to prove it. I'm just gonna bold this part of the post because it's true and more importantly I find it funny. As for everyone else, I love you all.**

# Is TRP biased against money and status?

12 upvotes | August 23, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

In my own experiences I've noticed that Status and Money are extremely important to women. In fact, more attractive to women than looks. This has always made sense to me since a man's role is to protect the woman and children. Looks characteristics such as height, facial symmetry, muscularity are all proxies for power, but they are indirect forms of power. You could say money and status are indirect forms of power too, but they're closer to true power than looks.

However, the culture of TRP seems to discount money and status. This can be seen through the concept of AF/BB. The advice to lift. The focus on grooming/style rather than tangible tips on making money. I'm not saying these aren't true, and I agree they are great things to do, but it is heavily focused on only one part of the equation.

I can think of two reasons why TRP focuses on Looks over Status/Money.

## 1 It is harder to acquire Status and Money than it is to acquire Looks:

With Status, you are on a bell-curve, you have to be better than the next 99 men to stand out. Money is also pretty cut throat. With Looks, things like style and working out, can be done by yourself, without having to directly compete against other guys.

## 2 The readers of TRP don't have Status and Money:

I don't mean this solely in a bad way. A lot of readers are younger than 25. They're still in school or at the beginning of their career so they don't have as much Status or Money, so they can't see the power of it. It may also be that those with Status and Money aren't drawn to TRP in the first place.

I believe there are a lot of sexual strategies out there for men. There is no best strategy. It's based off individual strengths and weaknesses. However, if there is a bias, I am concerned for the young readers. I know that when I was reading *The Game* when it just came out years ago, I almost dropped out of school to pursue pickup in the same way as Tyler did. Thank god I didn't. I'm worried that some of the young readers here will take AF/BB too literally, and focus solely on working out, spinning plates, to the detriment of their schooling/early career. Those are still valuable experiences, but sometimes you can't recover a few years lost of bad grades/dead end jobs.

# Recalling an eg of Female Fear of Rejection and Denial of Cheating

12 upvotes | February 27, 2014 | by [wurdling](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

a few years back I had a gf with whom I had little in common. I dated her for a few months for the convenient sex and then decided to break up with her. In the past I had been cowardly breaking up with women by texts and decided to do it face to face this time. I drove to her place in the evening and caught her by surprise. She didn't seem happy. As I walked in her room I noticed a used condom in the waste bin by the bed, I hadn't been to her house for well over a week, preferring to booty call her and have her over when needed. I confronted her but she said it was from when we last had sex. I don't know which is more gross, if that was the truth or if that was a lie.

Anyway, she starts to smell dumpsville in the air and as I sit down to begin "the talk", she suddenly blurts out "i don't think we should go out anymore"....i was stunned, but pleased as it saved me the effort. "what a coincidence", I said, "that's what i came here to say."

Then i left thinking how easy it had been. Until a few days later she emailed me all this stuff about not wanting to be without me, wanting to give it another shot etc etc

I realised that she had never wanted to split up with me but that her fear of rejection was so great that she would rather take a risk of splitting up with me than having me split up with her. It was a useful insight into female psychology, which seems obvious to me now but was quite novel at the time.

## **A brief evaluation of the usefulness of social media for AR men.**

12 upvotes | February 26, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

.

# Rising with a Leader

12 upvotes | February 19, 2014 | by [deepthrill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Every time I write a [blog](#) post, I like to post it in its entirety here, since this is where many of my fellow redpillers reside, and I enjoy the feedback. This blog post is "[Rising with a Leader](#)".

~~~~~

## RISING WITH A LEADER

### Identify the Leadership

In any social group, whether that's in a club or in business, there is a hierarchy. You must identify this hierarchy and see who the leader is. In addition, it's important to notice others in the group with leadership qualities. These include ambition, strategic pandering, and controlled aggressiveness, amongst others.

When I was younger, I saw huge leadership potential in my previous boss. He was young and a rising star. He wasn't yet established but he was a huge visionary with a firm grasp on the big picture of his technology. I immediately reached out to him and began working with him. Over the past years, his career has exploded. He is one of the youngest people in this country with his title and has built a significant reputation. I have been an integral part of that rise as well from being one of the few who started with him.

But over the past year or two, I have noticed that he hasn't "scaled" well. He is not as good at managing a larger group, as he was managing a smaller group. This is mostly due to his desire to micromanage and not delegate. It helped his rise but is not a scalable method of management.

Now, I have a new person who is a leader. He is extremely ambitious, already wealthy, smart, knows when to act angry and when to make you feel comfortable, and has good connections. I made secret flights out to meet with this new person, and a few months ago I quit my previous job and begin working for my new boss. Now, working for him, I am learning about the power structure of the new organization, and identifying where my loyalties should lie.

Even in large family gathers, for example, I have been able to identify which person is a natural leader. I have actually had several fruitful business relationships from these leaders.

Now there are two different types of leaders you should identify and win over, with different strategies for each.

### The Established Leader

These include executives, mid-to-large-sized company founders, celebrities, athletes, and politicians. They are firmly established in their careers, and they pretty much know their own value. In terms of the pick-up game, these would be the 9's and 10's who have been gaining validation and attention from men all their lives.

For these types of leaders, you do NOT want to pander to them. Everybody around them has been kissing their ass for a long time. To differentiate yourself, you MUST treat them like an equal if not someone slightly below you.

Don't be afraid to question them. It will put you in the spotlight as someone who believes he is worth questioning a natural leader. They will respect you. Don't blindly tell them they are wrong if they aren't, but feel free to disagree or enter into a debate or be competitive. You essentially want to



establish yourself as an equal who isn't afraid.

This will put you on their radar. Afterwards, you then want to firmly solidify your value by what you actually do say. It's all smoke and mirrors unless you actually can debate with them, show them some new technology, or demonstrate your business acumen. You've given yourself some respect already by being on their radar (which is rare) and so you don't have to be brilliant with whatever value you demonstrate. It simply has to be above average (although the more value you have, the better).

Once you have their attention and your value has been demonstrated, you will be invited into their inner circle. Stay with them until you detect they are on the downfall, or until you have identified a higher value person with whom to rise.

### **The Rising Star**

The Established Leader will be difficult to win over. They are used to others trying to win them over since their value has been already established in their minds.

A more efficient use of your time is to identify a Rising Star. These are people who have demonstrated leadership qualities (see above), but have not yet realized or implemented such leadership. Think Steve Jobs in the 1970's.

In terms of pickup, these are the 5's who are only 5's because they are overweight, and are currently working out in the gym six days a week. Or the new 8's who used to be ugly and don't realize their own value yet.

For these people, you don't want to treat them as equals or as people slightly below you because they are used to being put down by others. For these people, you want to help them realize their dreams. Play on their emotions and bring them up. Discuss their dreams as shared ventures. Make them realize that they can be huge in this world.

They know they have value but are frustrated that society hasn't recognized it yet. By playing them up, they will take you with them to the top. The only downside is that one day they will realize they don't need you anymore. When that happens, you can either treat them like an Established Leader (which won't be congruent with your existing relationship or mannerisms) or you'd better have identified a new leader by the time the Rising Star has risen.

This is a more risky strategy, as maybe 1 in 10 rising stars will actually rise. That's why you must diversify. For an Established Leader you don't have the time nor luxury to diversify as they will require all of your attention, and are prone to jealousy of other Established Leaders. However, with the Rising Star, you should have identified a few of these and target them all in parallel.

Think of a venture capitalist firm or a hedge fund. Do they use their money to simply invest in the safe, blue-chip stocks (the "Established Leaders" in stocks)? They use some, but most of their money is spent in maybe 10-20 new "Rising Star" stocks with the hope that one of them will hit it big.

### **Conclusion**

Whichever strategy you use, be careful to not make others jealous, to not let on too much that you are using them, and be able to identify when they are falling or when a higher leader is identified.

This applies mostly to business, but also with women. The more a girl is high value and knows it, the more you want to treat them as an "Established Leader" and be unique by bringing them down a notch. The lower value a girl, the more you want to bring her up which will make you unique by not acting like those "assholes" who treat her like shit and get with her friends.

Oh, and if you ever meet a girl who is low value but thinks she's high value, do yourself a favor and

stay away. Those girls have ugly personalities.

**Suggested Readings:**

- Illimitable Man: Law 01 - Never Outshine The Master Explained
- Ram Charan: Indicators of Leadership Potential

## **Jordan Peterson, PhD psychologist and philosopher, explains TRP in 4 minutes. Other highly recommended videos to the side.**

12 upvotes | February 6, 2014 | by [BruceIsTheBatman](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QOJaVL7N4G4>

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QOJaVL7N4G4>

## [Repost] Dominance, not "looks", is sexy

12 upvotes | February 5, 2014 | by [RedSunBlue](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I've posted on this topic before, but with the influx of new subscribers thirsty for sexual success, I feel it's time to send out yet another reminder of the importance of muscularity. Some seddit-type [Feel Good Bullshit](#) peddlers will have you believe that GAME IS EVERYTHING™ and you can get by with a couple push ups before your nightly sarge. Other LOOKS ARE EVERYTHING™ fatalists will have you believe that if you are not blessed with symmetrical facial features and a chiseled jaw, you should just give up.

As it turns out, there is ample science and credible anecdotal evidence to support the idea that ability to dominate other men in physical contests and the appearance thereof (i.e., muscularity) is a better indicator of sexual success than whatever it is that women themselves deem attractive. And thankfully, muscularity is something that is within the control of every healthy man.

---

First, check out this study: [Quantifying the strength and form of sexual selection on men's traits](#) (found via [Chateau Heartiste](#), of course). Scientists, feel free to critique the study as I lack the learnin' to do so. But my layman's take on it is as follows.

The TL;DR: Researchers, to determine the strength of female choice vs. contest between males as a sexual selector of male traits, take a bunch of measurements (height, weight, facial and vocal masculinity, bicep circumference, number of sexual partners in the last year, etc.) of a group of fraternity bros. The fraternity bros then look at facial pictures of each other and were asked "what percentage of men each pictured man could beat in a physical fight (0% to 100% in increments of 10%), and to estimate with how many women the pictured man had had sexual intercourse in the past year". Ladies from affiliated sororities were then shown the same pictures and "asked to rate on a 10-point Likert scale how attractive each was for a "short-term, purely sexual relationship, such as a one-night stand".

The result:

When mating success was used as the fitness measure and success under female choice (attractiveness) and male contests (dominance) were treated as traits, there was directional selection for dominance, but not attractiveness.

And what was positively correlated with dominance (in this case, fighting ability)?

Male contests showed directional selection favoring increased girth [standardization of body weight and shoulder, chest and bicep circumference measurements] and vocal masculinity

---

Next: [Why Is Muscularity Sexy? Tests of the Fitness Indicator Hypothesis](#) (found via [The Rational Male](#))

The TL;DR: Researchers conduct six studies which basically find that muscular dudes are preferred for short term sexual relations. Alpha fucks, anyone?

Study #1 (Rating of computer generated images of men of varying muscularity by women):

Among the muscular men, the brawny man [most muscular] was rated the most

---

**dominant, and the toned man [least but still muscular] was rated as the least dominant.** We observed the same pattern of results for ratings of volatility, except that the ratings of the slender and toned men only differed at a marginally significant level ( $p=.004$ ). In support of the mating trade-off hypothesis, each of the muscular men (toned, built, and brawny) was rated as being less committed than each of the nonmuscular men (slender, typical, chubby), and brawny men were perceived as least likely to be committed (all  $p<.001$ )

**This pattern of results suggests that much like facial masculinity, increased muscularity is associated with inferences that a man is more physically dominant, more volatile, and less committed to his partner.** Also similar to facial masculinity, women rated men with moderate muscularity rather than low or high muscularity as most attractive, perhaps because men with low muscularity are believed to possess too little dominance and men with high muscularity are believed to exhibit too much.

Brawny men, although not as rated as attractive, are seen as the most dominant, the most volatile, and least likely to commit. Shades of dark triad, anyone?

Study #2 (Rating of 2D drawings of men of varying muscularity by women):

Consistent with the prediction derived from mating trade-off theory, women report that the best short-term partner for them was more muscular than the best long-term partner for them.

"I don't want to settle down with a muscular guy because he has too many other options."

Study #3 (Survey of muscularity of current sex partners vs most recent short-term sex partners):

In support of the prediction, **women reported that their short-term partners were more athletic and more muscular than their other recent sex partners** on both the Likert scale measure and the MSM. **Women also reported that they dated their short-term partners for less time than their other recent sex partners before having sex (1 week vs. 12 weeks),** thus validating the temporal distinction between short-term partners and "other" partners. Relative to reports for other partners, **women also trusted their short-term partners less, felt less emotionally close to them, and reported they were less romantic.**

Remember, it's not likely that these chicks are seeing a guy for a date more than once a week. Likely, these are first date bangs versus 11 weeks of blue balls.

Our finding that women reported greater muscularity in their short-term partners than in their other partners is consistent with the hypothesis that muscularity is a cue of fitness. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that women felt less emotionally close to their short-term partners than to their long-term partners before sex. One interpretation of this finding is that women were biased to recall short-term partners more negatively than other partners. **A second interpretation, consistent with the mating trade-off hypothesis, is that women were more willing to have short-term relations with muscular men without the requirement that they demonstrate characteristics particularly desired in long-term mates (trustworthiness, emotional closeness, etc.), possibly because these men possessed physical indicators of genetic fitness.**

Alpha fucks, beta wines and dines and cries with you while you watch Gilmore Girls.

#### Study #4 (Men's sexual activity (self-reported) vs. muscularity):

Consistent with the predictions, greater muscularity, as coded by independent judges, was associated with greater lifetime partner number, though this association was not statistically significant ( $p > .05$ ). However, when we controlled for two important confounds, age and body fat level, the association between muscularity and partner number was statistically significant. This pattern was obtained across each measure of muscularity. This finding supports the prediction that muscular men have more mating opportunities.

No surprises here.

#### Study #5&6 (Sex partner number, self-rated attractiveness vs. self-rated muscularity):

As predicted, compared with less muscular men, muscular men rated their bodies as sexier to women in each study. Similarly, muscular men reported more lifetime sex partners, more short-term sex partners, and more affairs with mated women at significant or marginally significant levels. We then conducted partial correlations controlling for participants' age and self-reported body fat level on the FSM to better isolate the association of muscularity to self-rated attractiveness and sex partner number. The pattern of results remained essentially unchanged, although the association between muscularity and number of brief sexual affairs in Study 5 dropped to marginally significant ( $p = .058$ ). In Study 5, we also included self-esteem as a control to rule out the possibility that general self-confidence was driving the association between self-reported muscularity and sex partner number. Despite the fact that self-reported muscularity and self-esteem were positively related ( $r = .39$ ,  $p < .001$ ), when self-esteem was added as a control, muscularity was still a significant predictor of past number of sex partners and self-rated attractiveness.

Muscular dudes feel better about themselves and slay more vadge.

---

Finally, some anecdotal evidence of the effects of muscularity on attraction from around the manosphere:

#### The Book of Pook, What Every Skinny Guy Should know:

The ultimate example: there was a girl I was in oneitis that drove me to this webpage. As I got bigger, her reactions to me changed as well. At 155, I was 'average'. At 175, she knew something was up. I got her at 195.

I know many of you guys are saying the same thing, "I get beautiful women too and I am skinny!" But the thing is, all these examples here is based on attraction on the body alone. I am NOT working to get these women. My body is doing 99% of the attracting. I can just be a statue and get a response. (But of course, you have to take action. This is based on doing zilch.)

Big guys are allowed to get away with more things. I allowed to be cruder, to be bolder, to take advantage of women more (I'm serious!), and so on. You can also be stupid as well. My biggest problem is women only wanting me for sex (no, this is not a joke. Yes, it is a problem). You try to find a girl that fits your life and all she is interested in is your body! It is like getting rich and putting up with gold diggers.

## Danger and Play: Going from Fit to Big

Yes, women find you more attractive. Let's just get this out of the way. I don't care about that "scientific study" that allegedly shows that the ideal physique that women more desire is Brad Pitt's from Fight Club. In the real world, I go by what women do and not how they answer survey questions.

I've been fit and big. I know what women find attractive. I know how to read what the nerds call indicators of interest.

You simply get more IOIs from women. That's just the way it is. If you disagree with me and have never been on both sides of the fence, then you don't have the right to an opinion. In short, I don't want to hear any garbage from Internet nerds about what women want.

No, it's not about confidence. Some will say, "It's not that your'e bigger that draws more attention. It's that being big makes you more confident, and this in turn translates to more attraction from women."

No. I am a confident mofo and have always been confident.

Yours truly: Fitness is the Base of my Game

Also, TRP members such as /u/GayLubeOil, /u/puaSenator, /u/TRPsubmitter and /u/TokyoPickup can probably regale you with tales of EZ-mode lays based on nothing but pure, swole-fueled primate attraction that crosses.

And a bonus comment from the rational male himself on this topic:

One thing that most guys overlook is that a muscular physique IS an indicator of dominance for women.

Before a word is spoken or a behavior acted out, a woman's evolved hindbrain picks up on visual cues of dominance. Since a man in better physical shape was so consistently associated with a dominant personality and dominant behavior this became the physical cue for women's arousal.

Of course you can be a Beta chump and still pull tail because you're good looking, but it's not because of dominance – it's the appearance of dominance. Likewise Game and applied dominance may get you the bang, but only after you overcome the handicap of lacking an appearance of dominance.

---

Now get out there and lift some heavy shit.

# [repost] On "shitting where you eat" and the value of multiple social circles

12 upvotes | February 3, 2014 | by [theredcandy](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This is from a few months ago on TRP. If I recall correctly, I was responding to IllimitableMan, but I could be wrong.

I edited the initial post with some more material.

**IllimitableMan** Whereas if you don't shit where you eat and the plate crashes, who gives a fuck? No consequences.

True, but also consider that if the guy has solid game, this changes. At the same time, if he gets people to believe that what he's doing is completely normal and acceptable, then no problem. He gets them to believe that by being a guy who they really want to have around, and then doing as he pleases.

If he is perceived as "very hard to replace", him banging the girls in the group, and girls who people know there becomes a non issue. The key is to be more important than the rest. To the point even if a girl starts bitching, it's an after thought, because hey, HE provides MORE value, and everybody believes that.

I haven't quite figured out how to do that yet...most of the new people I meet when I go out, I never see them again. I would GUESS that being the guy who's always inviting them out (create the illusion that something is already going on and you're giving them the chance to join, as opposed to just asking them to go out), at least at the start, would be a good first step. That and throwing parties. Preferably with girls. On that note, I am thinking that those girls could be girls I number closed but seem like they would flake for a date. So, instead, I bring them out with a bunch of other people, and worse case scenario: I increase my value and start building a social group. Best case: I re-ignite a lead that was going nowhere.

Having multiple groups of friends helps. You don't become too invested in them, and when/if things get too messy, which is very likely (because girls = crazy town banana pants), you can just ditch them for a while. Just completely cut contact, and occasionally respond politely. You appear busier than you are while also not making them know you've ditched them. This way, if things sort themselves out (drama wise), you can use that group again.

And the more "status" you have, as in popularity (good example here would be SRP's FRs where you see that he knows many of the bar staff and regulars), girls will actually want others to find out you've fucked them. You don't wanna be the guy that they never ever mention. Or "that creep". And this success can probably compound. (haven't tested this, but hope to soon)

I read a few things about preselection, but have only experienced its effect recently. It's insane how much "warmer" girls you don't know can be if you're at a house party as opposed to a bar, are already with hot girls, or seem to be respected and/or well liked in your group.

For example, I cold approached a cute chick at a bar a month or so ago, got her to buy me a beer, and we started talking. Hot chick walks in, I steal her beanie, and mess around with her a little. She plays along, and the girl I'm with gets a little jealous and tries to give it back to her. Eventually, I give it



back. I planned on getting back to her, but I didn't find her after. Another girl walks past us, and I grab her hand, and pull her towards me. I am quite new at this, and wasn't sure what else to say. I just pulled her because I could. She's very receptive. I tell her I like her piercing (I didn't, but whatever) and I think I playfully tap her nose or something and tell her I'll find her later. The girl playfully protests and inquires if I'm always hitting on all the girls in the bar.

So this does three things: by being with the 1st girl, it is easier to access other girls; I also show all girls involved that I'm "fun"; I have options, and the girl knows if she starts bitching, I can easily go to those girls or any other girl I want. Even if for whatever reason I could not...for e.g...no other hot girls here or the two initial girls have bfs or whatever.

Another recent example at a house party. I walk in and immediately see some people I know. I'm joking around with a few guys, and a bitch with a fedora walks in. I steal her hat, and wear it. She spots me when she's at the bar and goes "heyyyy", but I was talking to a friend, so I ignore her. A few minutes later, I go to get a drink and a cute girl is getting one as well. I pour her some whisky. She complains that it's not enough. Put some more. She wants to mix. I say no, and start saying "go go go go go! do it do it do it!" like a douche (picked that up from RSDJulien) and she downs it. High five. I grab her cup, pick up a marker, and right "SLUT" on her cup. She loves it. Now, if I hadn't stolen the first chick's hat, ignored her, and had been standing awkwardly the whole time...it would have been much harder to get this comfortable with this girl. It was a small room, and I'm pretty tall guy, so I'm pretty sure she noticed what I did.

Anyway...

In the context of college, it's fine even if the girls are in your major/class. It matters even less if you go to a big state school and/or are in a major/class with lots of people.

In the workplace, I can imagine how messy "shitting where you eat would get" would get. There's usually less people, and even if the girls are in a whole other department, HR can still hunt you down, and I would guess that this will haunt your ass for a few years.

And as for friends, I don't think you really don't need more than a handful of very close ones. Friends who you know have got your back. You keep those guys close. The rest are nowhere near as important.

So I'm going to keep working on building and/or getting into a few social circles and getting some bangs out of that. While cold approaching at night is fun, I've noticed that most of the hotter college girls in my area tend to stick to house parties and occasionally go out with groups of friends. They also go out on one or two weekdays (some kind of student night) in which I'm not free. On Fridays and Saturdays, the available talent isn't as great as it could be.

I'll also try to experiment with the "invite girls who aren't as likely to hook to bigger parties" thing. It might backfire completely...I guess I'll find out.

# Law 01 - Don't Outshine The Master: In-Depth

12 upvotes | November 29, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I have massively overhauled the first piece I wrote pertaining to The 48 Laws of Power just over a year ago.

**Link: [Law 01 - Don't Outshine The Master In-Depth](#)**

## Summary:

A thorough look at Law 1 from Robert Greene's 48 Laws of Power, exploring it in more depth citing numerous easily relatable examples and methodologies, drawing multiple parallels.

To see basic discussion of the law (ain't nobody got time for no essay!) then check out this:

[/r/TheRedPill/comments/2me5k6/48\\_days\\_of\\_power\\_day\\_1\\_never\\_outshine\\_the\\_master/](#)

Also check us out on [/r/the48lawsofpower](#) - we made (well it was made, and I was invited to moderate) a board dedicated to discussing The 48 Laws of Power, as well as the **dark triad** and power/political/military strategy in general. I bet that's got a few of you shady motherfuckers skulking in the shadows perking up eh?

## Opening Excerpt:

### Contents:

- 1.) Preamble
- 2.) Understand Your Social Surroundings
  - 2a.) The Classroom Example & Target Selection
- 3.) Popularity & Respect Carry More Authority Than Job Titles
- 4.) Successfully Outshining Masters
  - 4a.) The Michael Jackson Example
  - 4b.) The Ja Rule Example
- 5.) Accidentally Outshining
- 6.) Building Trust and Kinship: The Apprentice Method
- 7.) The Apprentice Method – Utilising Submission for Self-Gain
  - 7a.) Surmising the Apprentice Method
- 8.) The Puppeteer Method

## 9.) In Closing

### **Preamble:**

Many have problems understanding the laws in Robert Greene's best-selling and critically acclaimed book *The 48 Laws of Power*. One particular criticism I have repeatedly come across is that the examples Greene gives aren't based in the contemporary. Rather it is observantly asserted they are based upon elaborate tales from times gone by, times which the reader struggles to relate to their own lives' politics. This series of blog posts will dissect each law and explain them more intricately than Greene did, taking different approaches and giving different examples of the specified law within a more contemporary social paradigm. Likewise expect to find fresh parallels drawn between the laws, additional insights as well as detailed Machiavellian methodologies. Firstly as a note of reminder: the basic mechanisms of Machiavellianism are inherently synoptic so expect to see overlap between various laws. Secondly, particular reference has been made to laws outside of this one where it has been deemed relevant throughout the essay. Now without further ado...

### **Understand Your Social Surroundings:**

In a social group where you're new and your position is questionably unestablished, you should immediately look to determine who the leader is. If you're a strong character, show restraint and do not show more dominance than the group leader. No trying to make the leader look bad and no competing for the majority of the group's attention. If the group leader is objectively inferior in a capacity obvious for all to see, you will have to play down or otherwise conceal your natural aptitude.

Befriend the group leader, win their trust, and you'll get their approval. It is vital that out of respect and recognition of the leader's status that you quickly identify and address them. Win the leader over and you gain access to the entire group. Group leaders are the gatekeepers of social groups, their singular word holds the most value and influence within the group; it therefore stands to reason that their favour is inextricably necessary. For example, if there were a group of three attractive women, you would initiate, politely challenge and subsequently charm the bossiest one. If it were a group of frat boys, your target would be the guy that everyone most visibly looks up to and respects. If the group leader doesn't like you in spite of your sincerest efforts to win them over, you should abandon that group. Take the loss, move on, and seek greener pastures. Trying to become a part of groups where the leadership doesn't like you in spite of your efforts is rarely worth the uphill struggle. It is far better to thrive where you are accepted, than be barely and rather contemptuously tolerated where you are not.

### **Significant Changes:**

So what's new? What are the changes between the original and the new piece? A metric fuck tonne, really. I've really grown as a writer since I originally wrote the piece and the depth to my understanding of Machiavellianism both abstractly and applied has only grown in the prior 12 months, leading to a deeper and richer essay.

- The piece has almost doubled in size. The original was around 3,200 words, the new one is circa 6,000 words (or 15 pages long.)
- It has been condensed into sections, like a mini-book. So although it's a lot longer than the original piece it's easy to find the last part you were reading after an interlude due to the frequency of the headings. You don't have to read it all at once, it should be treated as a very short book in and of itself.
- The grammar is a lot better, it flows better. I'm not actually capable of making my own work grammar perfect, but if you possess the sufficient vocabulary you should not struggle to read it.

### **Will you ever finish these essays?**

I've been asked this a few times so just thought I'd answer it here: I hope to, however I'm probably not going to complete them all for a very long time - especially if I am to maintain this level of quality. I'm in no rush to "get them all done" either, I believe rushing this kind of shit will only lead to an inferior final product. I need to balance the topics I write about to create a more balanced blog. I know my blog is seen as a "blog about power" but I want to help men with their bitch issues and self issues too. I haven't written about red pill theory for awhile, so I want to go and do something on that now.

## Is biology a flexible imperative?

12 upvotes | February 13, 2014 | by [DrinkyDrank](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I got invited to this subreddit after making a handful of posts in TRP, mostly regarding the nature of family law because I happen to be a family law paralegal and I have a fascination with gender politics and theory. I'm not particularly invested in TRP theory, I think it makes some very strong points that are absent in other theories regarding gender relations, but I also think it gets carried too far into women-bashing nonsense by people who aren't able to think critically about the theoretical roots. I wanted to bring up one of my particular issues with TRP theory and see what you guys think, without fear of being downvoted into obscurity.

In my opinion, the real core of TRP theory rests on the idea that gender is based on a biological male/female sexual binary which has been established via evolutionary processes. This binary creates biological imperatives for each sex which cannot be simply washed away by feminist ideology and the desire for post-gender social equality. In reaction to feminist ideology (particularly radical feminism), TRP establishes sexual strategies that work within the context of biological imperatives which have been largely rejected or ignored by modern society.

My question is whether you believe that such biological imperatives have any sort of flexibility to them? This is a crucial question, because it is one that feminism has also failed to resolve. Realize that, more often than not, feminism is misrepresented in these forums as a unified front, when really it could not be more divided. The source of the schisms within feminism is the question of how to deal with these biological imperatives as they apply to the meaning of/possibility for equality. Is empowerment achieved through putting traditional feminine values on a pedestal equal to masculine values? Or is empowerment achieved by appropriating masculine values as feminine? One approach is attempting to reconcile biology with ideology, while the other attempts to replace biology with ideology.

My thinking has always been that the answer lies somewhere in between full adoption and full rejection of the biological imperatives of human sexuality. Through this lens, TRP puts an important missing piece of the puzzle in place. Where biological imperatives manifest themselves most distinctly is in sexual relationships between men and women, and TRP is great at revealing the true nature of these relationships, without being clouded by ideology. The idea is to return to a state-of-nature frame of thought and to strategize accordingly, and I believe there is great value to this approach.

Where TRP falls silent for me is how to escape this state-of-nature. There is great advice on how to be successful sexually, whether you're talking about 'plate-spinning' or 'LTR'...*but only within the context of the natural order*. If I want a healthy sexual relationship, I need to participate in the natural order as the best male I can possibly be. But aren't there other modes of compatibility? Is it possible to win without playing the game?

I think the biological imperative becomes flexible when you begin to apply it to socialized values. In other words, you can begin to think of typical masculine and feminine characteristics as meta-characteristics; they are how you portray your personal strengths and attributes, rather than what those strengths and attributes actually are. For example, within the social context of a college discussion group, emotional sensitivity paired with intellectual prowess can make you an alpha-male leader of the pack, even though these aren't thought of as alpha characteristics in the context of

something like a college frat party. The meta-male presentation of these attributes is what matters, in the context of the discussion group this would be feigned detachment from sexual reward in favor of complete commitment to discourse. The kicker is that in the context of this sort of mental arena, a female can be just as successful as an alpha as a male. Theoretically, the roles could completely reverse. The social context introduces a fluidity to what is otherwise a binary established by the physical body.

Please share your thoughts.

# Ecco Hominis! How to become who you want to be.

11 upvotes | March 20, 2014 | by [Nitzi](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Do you want to change? Just change yourself when you want to change!

[http://www.quickiwiki.com/en/Self-discrepancy\\_theory](http://www.quickiwiki.com/en/Self-discrepancy_theory)

## Actual

Actual self is your representation of the attributes that you believe you actually possess, or that you believe others believe you possess. The "actual self" is a person's basic self-concept. It is one's perception of their own attributes (intelligence, athleticism, attractiveness, etc.).

We can ignore the actual self if we want to change who we are, the actual self will follow the ideal and ought self.

## Ideal

Ideal self is your representation of the attributes that someone (yourself or another) would like you, ideally, to possess (i.e., a representation of someone's hopes, aspirations, or wishes for you). **The "ideal-self" is what usually motivates individuals to change, improve and achieve.**

The ideal self-regulatory system focuses on the presence or absence of positive outcomes (e.g., love provided or withdrawn).

The best way to achieve that is to make a list of skills/attributes/characteristics you want to have. You must picture your ideal self every day.

## Ought

Ought is your representation of the attributes that someone (yourself or another) believes you should or ought to possess (i.e., a representation of someone's sense of your duty, obligations, or responsibilities).

The Pygmalion effect is the phenomenon whereby the greater the expectation placed upon people, the better they perform. Expect much from yourself, perform better!

Back to discrepancies.

The theory states that people are motivated to reduce the gap in order to remove disparity in self-guides.

Instead of lowering the bar and coming to terms with the actual self that you don't even like. You must close this gap by becoming your ideal self.

Role-models inspired people for many years to become better. They were the real life representation of the ideal self. Becoming your ideal-self should be your goal.

How to achieve goals:

1. First choose between all the potential goals you could reach.
2. Make priorities and then choose the best one.
3. Write down and visualize your goal(When you see your ideal self you should say:"Ecco Hominis!").
4. Make plans and strategies about how you will reach your goal.
5. Achieve your goals.
6. Assess what has been achieved, what still needs to be achieved by further acting on the goal.Revising objectives is no bad thing.

Goals must be SMART, dreams should be unobtainable.



# Should salary prospects guide the choice of a college major (and the benefits that came along)? YES

11 upvotes | March 17, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10001424052702303880604579405401129180782?mg=reno64-wsj&url=http://online.wsj.com/article/SB20001424052702303880604579405401129180782&mod=e2fb>

WSJ attempts to address the notion of "salary disparity" between jobs. This is a nice way of saying that STEM jobs, which are dominated by men, get paid more while majors like Art History don't. Feminists are upset that this disparity exists. Yet this reality is dictated by both the economics of the marketplace as well as the fact that women *themselves* simply don't want to have a STEM job, in general.

the question of what a student should major in and what sort of return on investment it can generate is becoming an increasingly important question

It should already be the most important question. Western entitlement that "I can dream anything! And my dream should be validated" is highschool Disney-esque fantasy. You will get paid according to how valuable your skill is. Simple.

The best part is the so-called "roundtable" featuring a rational male and (you guessed it) a "concerned" middle-aged, single woman:

MR. TYSZKO: Students and families in today's economy fully understand that accessing postsecondary education or training is critical to their economic future (historic levels of access attest to that), but failure to question and assess the value proposition of a college and major is no longer tenable for middle-class and low-income families.

Assessing the return on investment of colleges and majors has never been more important to the growth of our economy and the economic well-being of our graduates.

MS. SCHNEIDER: It takes much more than a major to get a great education. Rather, students should look for a college or university that [helps] students build **big-picture knowledge** of the world around them[...]and above all, **to find their own sense of purpose** and vocation in the largest sense of that term.

Huh? This sounds like marketing speech to me. Further, these are all nebulous, vague terms. Find your "purpose"? College isn't a daycare...learn your purpose in life on your own or alongside your studies. Don't force students to "pay" to learn about themselves.

That's why so many US students are in huge debt. Go to college to prepare to get a job/money/career and how to KEEP that money. MONEY. On the other hand, other countries pay for your university, whereas many Asian countries like Korea provide a mix of focused vocational majors (you can major in modeling, photography, fitness training, boxing/judo, shipbuilding, bakery/cafe/barista, flight attendant, etc) at their flagship universities that allow students to only focus on what they want.

---

TL;DR I posit that promoting Arts & Sciences, "liberal arts", and the traditionally praised system of American university education is in the interest of feminism. Further, these useless elective courses are simply ways for unis to keep professors paid; electives are basically "earmarks" that the unis require to keep less relevant departments afloat and perpetuate the feminization of higher education. If I could design my university, I'd include mandatory courses on finance, accounting, statistics, management and philosophy. I wouldn't make bio students take Womens' studies or make engineers take Intro to Middle East history.

## A discussion on rationality

11 upvotes | April 11, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I am posting this here because I believe it to be advanced theory that entry-level Red Pill thinkers and definitely some MRAs would have difficulty swallowing as they are probably too plugged in to process.

I'm assuming that every subscriber to this sub is familiar with Father's Rights activist and martyr Thomas Ball. For those of you who don't know, he was a MRA activist and leader who was driven to suicide via dousing himself in accelerants and immolating himself on the threshold of a New Hampshire courthouse. After a decade long battle with the State of New Hampshire and his ex-wife over parenting rights, Mr. Ball faced the likelihood of indefinite jail time over \$2,200 in support arrearage.

In his last manifesto, Ball correctly describes what he called the "Second Set of Books" which are the bureaucratic policies and procedures set in place to administer the law. Much of this "Second Set of Books" is in direct opposition to the U.S. Constitution as they eviscerate the rights of fathers when applied to civil family law disagreements.

Ball goes on to detail that the effect of laws that seek to protect females from violence by preemptively removing male rights goes much further than just making the lives of men intolerable, these laws facilitate the destruction of families and in turn push not just men into homelessness, but more women and children too. Ball conservatively estimates that 1 in 6 men are made homeless by these laws, and women and children to a slightly less degree.

Anecdotally, I can add that I experienced exactly what Ball was detailing in his manifesto, as for a time I was faced with the choice of either depending on the charity of family members or living out of my car. There was an 18 month stretch of my life where this was my reality. The Florida court system expected me to support myself and provide a comfortable living space for my children off of less than \$13K annually. This basically renders shared parenting rights meaningless. How was I supposed to give my children a normal parent-child experience living out of my car?

Compound this with slander coming from my former spouse to just about every affiliated third party and it castigated me unjustly as everything my ex said I was. In every situation I attempted to be an advocate for my children, I was confronted with the challenge of overcoming a bias. It's extremely difficult to overcome this kind of slander. I can attest that it is virtually impossible. The misinformation and social stigmas persisting in domestic violence "awareness" pretty much make a man guilty with even the slightest utterance of an accusation.

My ex-wife has been very successful using this tactic to alienate me from our children. This has reinforced her to be more and more bold in doing so.

Any divorced man who has gone through similar things Thomas Ball and I have gone through can tell you there really is no relief available when trying to seek help from a state court system. Counseling is their ever reaching answer for everything, and counseling centers are run by feminist activists. The moment you tell them that you are a victim of slander and false accusations they dismiss you out of hand. You get no help from them I assure you. What they try to do is capture you in an endless loop so they can extract as much money from you as possible, while giving credence to a lie and confusing the children by telling them the lie is valid. Instead of helping, they harm. They reinforce false

narratives presented to children and assist malignant mothers in alienating fathers.

The system of civil family law is completely irrational. It is interwoven with conflicts of interests and grinding axes. Children are not protected. The only thing that is protected is the revenue stream from man to woman. The state statutes have many layers of protection built in to assist women extract money from men, but nothing whatsoever to make sure children have relationships with both parents.

In 1973, Helen Sullinger said the goal of feminism was to destroy traditional marriage. I can personally attest that feminists are succeeding in this regard. Two of my three children are growing up without me. More than 1 in every 3 children in America are growing up without a father.

So what is a man to do? Go down to his local courthouse and set himself on fire? Many in the MRA movement have described this as a rational response to an irrational system. I disagree. Thomas Ball let the system destroy him. He did not win. He did not get the final say. He lost. He lost his life and he lost higher position in the greater conversation. He opened the door for callous hacks, like Arthur Goldwag from the Southern Poverty Law Center, to brand all victim fathers of a highly dysfunctional and irrational family law system as misogynistic psychopaths.

The fact is that anger and frustration is a rational response to policy that is destructive to fathers and their children. Of all the men who get embroiled in this insanity, there are going to be some that wilt under the pressure of it. They are going to become angry and lash out. They are going to develop negative opinions of women and go to the manosphere and vent their frustrations. A fraction of them are going to resort to violence when they correctly interpret this societal construct as a breakdown in the social contract. They are going to revert to a state of nature when they see their situation as intolerable. When these unfortunate things happen, feminists use them to reinforce their destructive narratives.

When you lose your shit in this crucible, you validate the slander made against you. This is true on macro and micro levels. It's one giant shit test designed not just to gauge you, but to destroy you. If and when you unravel, the fronted out lies are validated.

So why is this a [/r/TheRedPill](#) discussion? Because the answer comes from within. Life is a will to power. Part of being rational is recognizing truth. The societal system is not designed to give you a means for justice. It's designed to fuck you over. Of course there are certain avenues for which happiness in divorce is possible, but all are predicated on the cooperation of the mother. She is the gatekeeper. If she wants to muddy your waters, she can - and there is nothing in place to stop her.

In my particular case, I recognized this dynamic some time ago. Mom doesn't want me around our children. She's a bitter crazy bitch who is actively trying to destroy my life via the court system. If I play her game and continue fighting, she will most likely win. Eventually, one of her false accusations is going to find the mark. Remember, I am not just fighting her for my parenting rights, I am fighting the entire system. I'm smart enough to know my limitations. Thomas Ball was not. His failure to accept the injustice of society drove him to madness. I will not follow that course.

The only means I have to beat my ex-wife and the system that emboldens her is to let her win. I fought just enough to get myself to point where I can find financial success and now am riding out the couple of few years I have left paying child support. I am here and available for my children if they seek me out. I still love them, but it does nobody any good if I end up torn asunder by this bullshit process.

I have found a new relationship, a new family and had another child. I am enjoying my new life away from the toxicity of the past one. I came to terms with society's injustice and found a way to

persevere. Does it pain me that my ex was successful in alienating me from my children? Yeah, admittedly it does, but trying to do anything about it is nothing more than bait meant to pull me back into a system designed to cause me harm.

In a few short years, my check will no longer get garnished and I will be totally free. I suspect that my children will come to the eventual realization that they were robbed of a father growing up and despise their mother for it. If they don't, that's OK too. My second wife and I will continue to live in our executive home, go on nice vacations, enjoy our son and all around enjoy being the beneficiaries of both of our 6 figure salaries.

The ex-wife? The gravy train of child support will end and she'll have to support herself and her unemployed boyfriend (he has no 401K & hasn't paid into social security for years) without it - or try and kick him out of her house after his father provided her with free attorney services against me. Either way, I don't give a shit. It's her bed, she made it and now she'll lie in it.

Perhaps someday society can see the folly of a court system that placates feminists. I believe eventually it will become so destructive they'll have no other choice, but to start rebuking feminist doctrines. The whispers of such things are already beginning.

Until then, what we men have to do is what I have done - walk away from the whole mess. You think you can do a better job? Then fucking do it. Reconstruct the family from the perspective of vitriolic uber-selfishness. Lets see how that works out for you. I'm going to stick with my own, pursue my own happiness and prevent you from fucking my shit up. In the end, I'll turn out alright.

The rest? You're on your own.

This is new self-preserving rationality of the contemporary Red Pill male.

## IRC Channel: #AlreadyRed

11 upvotes | March 12, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

We have created an IRC channel on [irc.snoonet.org](http://irc.snoonet.org). Room name is #AlreadyRed.

<https://kiwiirc.com/client/irc.snoonet.org/AlreadyRed/irc.snoonet.org/>

---

It is recommended that you register your reddit username by typing `/msg nickserv register username` and then providing any password. If you need help, type `/msg nickserv help register`. It's quite easy.

---

Keep in mind that the mods have "founder privileges" so the room cannot be taken over.

## Red pill and rationality

11 upvotes | February 11, 2014 | by [rogueman999](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Part of my reason for taking the red pill comes from personal history with the opposite sex. But most of why I stay is curiosity and a feeling of peeking under the curtain - of seeing things as they are, and not as they are supposed to be.

Which is the same as one of my other hobbies, rationality and psychology (the modern kind, that works). Anybody else here feel the same or want to talk about it?

I'm going to drop some links too, just because.

Judgement under uncertainty - arguably the beginning of modern psychology. Hard read.

Thinking, Fast and Slow - a recent book by Kahneman. (seems to be \$2.99 for the kindle edition right now, btw).

LessWrong, a hub of people dedicated to rationality. Look for the sequences - tons of very good stuff. A gentler introduction, if you can get over the weirdness of the medium.

Roy F. Baumeister, with:

- "Is Anything Good about Men", great theoretical basis for gender status in current and future society.
- "Breaking Hearts: The Two Sides of Unrequited Love", original research on oneitis, from both sides.
- "Willpower" - book on well, will power.
- lots others

Martie Haselton, David Buss, Donald Symons, Ogi Ogas - academics with background in evolutionary psychology, and sexual behavior in particular.

The Strategy of Conflict - Thomas Schelling - Hard read again, but really interesting. Sort of like a theoretical basis for Robert Greene.

Nicholas Nassim Taleb - Antifragile, and other books talks about randomness, and it's a lot more pertinent than you'd imagine, considering we live in a random world. Probably best book on entrepreneurship I read, and come to think of it it applies very well to women too.

## Compliment/Confidence transaction

10 upvotes | September 18, 2015 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I wanted to look at confidence in women and how it manifests compared to guys who are rarely complimented and are always expected to approach.

I have struggled to understand for a while why women can't separate genuine compliments I give from halfhearted or even sarcastic ones. I couldn't compliment on something minuscule without getting weird stares back.

I've realized that it must be like a student that doesn't feel confident in one area getting A+ without fully understanding what about his work merits A+. I.e a person who doesn't have the expertise to measure the quality, while still possessing enough to make it, perhaps amateur musicians or chefs that become hits.

Confidence comes from expertise for women rather than self belief or delusion. No women (other than the web based activists) will believe she possesses something people don't tell her she does. So her confidence in style, makeup, persona all come from constant confirmations by those around her.

So how can it be that girls that are insecure, indecisive and shy suddenly act completely different around certain groups or guys? I've seen girls that are easily bullied shutting down their boyfriends just as often as I have seen guys who are masculine act like shit around girls. With guys we know, but for a long time I just assumed the guys these girls were dating were betas.

I've come to the conclusion that girls who aren't delusional are in a constant feedback loop where the guys devalue themselves and the girls just remain where they were, only in their transaction with these guys/group do they have confidence relative to them. In other words they can never have absolute confidence in the subjective qualities they are measured by. Their confidence is always local and based on local feedback loops.

We already know male/female interaction when in relationship always regresses to female dominated relationship if the guy backs down and allows the woman to shape him into her unrealistic man of her dreams.

Much of RP is then manipulating the transaction by acquiring objectively superior qualities that men are measured by (physical, financial and sexual accomplishment), while at the same time disrupting the feedback loop by not diluting the value of your attention, time and compliments. And in some cases use dread/negging as way to negate overconfidence. A woman can't tell a millionaire he is poor, she can't tell a strong man he is weak, a man with sexual appeal that he is unattractive.

Look at the different reactions between men and women when someone tells them that they are only good for sex, or the only thing they have going for them is their money, or their looks. They react completely opposite.

So next time you feel like you are losing control, remember you have full control over the feedback loop, you control their confidence relative to yours by denying them compliments, time and attention. Adjusting and resetting their overconfidence takes effort if you are invested and you shouldn't be dissuaded if it doesn't show immediate effects. That negging and dread work because you've shattered their feedback loop and they will behave in order to get you back in line, so all you need to do is to lead them on as they've lead you on if that's what you want.



## Dressing down and approach ability

10 upvotes | July 22, 2015 | by [alreadyredscool](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I always made an effort to look great, I fucking love my wardrobe. It's just awesome. Anyways, one day I went out with sweatpants, three women approached me and everything went smooth with some other women I talked to, normally I rarely get approached, only stares, elevator eyes and women positioning themselves near me. I think it is obvious that looking like being in the same league increases approach ability.

I already had a discussion about it and someone pointed out that if I am comfortable and confident in shitty clothes and don't get a mood boost from over the top style then this is nothing special.

Another theory <http://blog.okcupid.com/index.php/the-mathematics-of-beauty/> let's say something similar to this also applies to men. Most women already are approach shy, so looking bad opens the doors, but where does the incentive to approach an ugly guy come from? Is it the he is all mine effect?

Maybe potential is more important than how you look.

Maybe it is a kind of peacocking.

Maybe I am just overthinking this.

Thoughts?

# The dictator's handbook

10 upvotes | September 12, 2014 | by [sir\\_wankalot\\_here](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

What is good for sexual strategy also works well in other areas. Most humans seem to have problems seeing similarities between things. And when they do they often compare the wrong analogies.

When studying a work of art, a building or anything attempt to study it from different perspectives.

What might be a good strategy in a certain area, might be a bad strategy in other areas.

For example the book shows how foreign aide can be used by a dictator by using it to do a divide and conquer.

How can this be applied to other areas.

TRP states never give gifts to women. Generally speaking very solid advice. When you get bargirls, always get two of them at a time. That way you can play them against each other. Give one bar girl a small tip, and make up any excuse why you gave it to her. The other girl will demand you give her a tip also. Makeup an excuse why she is bad girl. The girl you gave the small tip to will lord it over the other girl.

If the girl you doesn't get a tip gets pouty, randomly point to another bargirl who is not busy and ask what is her name. Tell pouty girl to fetch the other bargirl, give her a small tip, tell her she got a tip because she is so pretty, send her away.

So now you you are playing the two girls against the rest of the bargirls. The bargirl you gave the tip to, but sent away, will tend to hover. Hint to pouty bargirl that maybe she is not happy with you, and you should replace her with hover girl.

Timing and how you do it is very important.

What is amazing is this actually works with bargirls who are professionals.

You can ofcourse do the same thing with dog training, business negotiation etc.

Link is just a reference, it was the first one I got from google.

[www.ft.com/cms/s/2/3eb31cf6-1049-11e1-8211-00144feabdc0.html#axzz3D3tvtINX](http://www.ft.com/cms/s/2/3eb31cf6-1049-11e1-8211-00144feabdc0.html#axzz3D3tvtINX)

## A good poem for exclusivity talks.

10 upvotes | March 29, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Here is a poem by John Wilmont, the Earl of Rochester. He was a brilliant and lewd poet from the 17th century who fucked thousands of women and died before the age of thirty five of alcoholism and venereal disease. Admittedly not a great role model, but you've gotta admire the sheer force with which he committed himself to debauchery. Here's a lovely poem of his that you can read to the next woman who asks you for a commitment.

Love and Life: A Song

All my past life is mine no more,  
The flying hours are gone,  
Like transitory dreams giv'n o'er,  
Whose images are kept in store  
By memory alone.  
The time that is to come is not;  
How can it then be mine?  
The present moment's all my lot;  
And that, as fast as it is got,  
Phyllis, is only thine.  
Then talk not of inconstancy,  
False hearts, and broken vows;  
If I, by miracle, can be  
This live-long minute true to thee,  
'Tis all that Heav'n allows.

EDIT: He's also got a great poem about premature ejaculation called "The Imperfect Enjoyment," which I highly recommend.

## My thoughts on the 1-10 scale

10 upvotes | February 15, 2014 | by [SmokeU](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I believe the hot scale is a binary system. It's either a one or a zero. And it's a sliding scale. That number changes between 9pm and 2am.

## Is there a "gentle" introduction to the concepts?

10 upvotes | March 22, 2014 | by [introspeck](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I am asking if there is a gentle intro blog post that gives good tips to those who aren't yet redpill. Yes, I know, either you grok it or you don't, there's no reason to pussyfoot around, anything short of full redpill won't work, etc.

Here's the background: My 23-year-old son. I love him dearly. He's a good soul. He's very empathetic, and he really hates conflict. The net result is, women use him. The only times he really got into trouble in high school were when some bimbo or other he had a crush on, talked him into doing something stupid - for HER. He went along because he thought being nice would get the girl. As we know, that never worked out for him.

He did finally get a girlfriend when he was 21. Yay for regular sex, but man this girl is *whack*. Needy, clinging, reads texts from his phone, tries to keep him away from friends and family, always asking "who's that? what do they want? what are they saying?" while me or other family members are on the phone with him. She was fat once but had her gut stapled, so she's not really thin, but not gross either (for now). She's still the needy insecure fat girl, inside. My son is actually pretty good looking, his sisters' friends have all remarked positively on his looks. But I don't think he feels his own value.

Anyway, long story short: I think, suspect, hope, that they are about to break up. But without the right guidance I am pretty sure he'll fall right back into the same kind of relationship. I am certainly going to pull him aside and talk to him. But I'd like to point him toward some helpful blog posts if I can, to reinforce it.

Now I liked (Roissy's?) 14 points of game. But I know that it would be too much too soon for my son. I think he'd be shocked by the raw redpill truths and would immediately turn away from it. I'm looking for some essays he could read which would give the basics on how to properly approach and relate to woman, without the shocking raw truth.

Now perhaps that's a bad idea, I don't know. But I do know my son and he's so so bluepill right now that I am sure the straight-up truth wouldn't work with him. I'm hoping he can approach it slowly. Once he gets the idea he can follow it to its logical conclusion... or not... it's his life. But I do want to at least set him on the right path if I can. It makes me so sad when I see women treat him the way they do, knowing he could fix that if he knew.

# What is game?

10 upvotes | March 6, 2014 | by [Nitzi](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

For some game is routines and stuff like that. But game is much more than just routines. Routines are smoke and mirrors, nothing more.

"Game" was a term coined early in the 70's urban area to describe one's ability to attract a female.

Attraction is much more than just routines.

From TRPs Sidebar:

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/17xmry/acronym\\_and\\_glossary\\_thread/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/17xmry/acronym_and_glossary_thread/)

Game – A loosely based set of behaviors specifically designed to increase attraction.

<http://www.pualingo.com/pua-definitions/game/>

There is no short answer for this term. RSD defines game as “the external representation of your internal frame.”

I like that one.

There are many definitions and interpretations over the years of what “game” means.

This is the problem, right here. How are we going to have a productive discussion on advanced sexual strategy if everyone keeps bringing in their own pretty definitions of what game is? It is only confusing.

On a broader level, game is the ability to fulfill one’s potential and live life to the fullest. In this sense, life is a game that we play, and the goal is to win the game, while enjoying the process of playing. More specifically, all definitions of game relate to developing the ability and mindset to become good with the opposite sex—to become attractive, **both inside and out**.

I hope we can agree that game means developing the ability and mindset to become great (with women).

# The Psychology of an intelligent Crazy Bitch aka "Lucifer's Daughter" [X-post from /r/TheRedPill]

10 upvotes | February 17, 2014 | by [IllimitableMan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Article link: <http://illimitablemen.com/2014/02/17/lucifers-daughter/>

Summation of articles principle assertions:

- Generally they were raped/abused or otherwise corrupted early on within the developmental process, I have a loose theory there is a possibility of being biological "Lucifer's daughters" but have no frame of reference to base such a theory on and thus have not further explored it.
- They view reality through a perception of victimhood and use this to condone their immorality as acceptable "tu quoque fallacy."
- They are incredibly manipulative and proficient in deriving utility from people, often with their powers of perception finding uses for people that they themselves didn't they know they would be good for.
- They are incredibly sadistic, they enjoy causing people emotional pain and using said pain to control them.
- They are attracted to extremely weak and extremely strong people for alternating reasons, the weak for the perversity they can enage in, the strong for the utility they can provide. They tend to avoid average people for being "too boring" and lacking any unique application for her personal desire.
- They are intelligent, unintelligent crazy bitches are your run of the mill cluster B's who implode in on themselves and have breakdowns and other such shit, these bitches may feign a breakdown but are very much in control of themselves and their surroundings due to the sense and level of control they possess.
- They harness their sexuality to complement their psychological perversions, however even in old age a Lucifer's daughter can adequately exercise power, she will employ beauty privilege as a useful asset but she is not bound to it due to her machiavellian intelligence. She is good at cashing in her fertile years for maximum gain and effect.

Feel free to ask me questions, I have no issue in explaining anything, we often think of the dark triad as merely a masculine phenomenon, however the dark triad female is merely a different flavour of the same phenomenon. In fact everytime I say dark triad here I'm using a misnomer, a Lucifer's Daughter is better described as dark tetrad for they are sadistic as fuck. I'm saying "dark triad" as most people don't know what dark tetrad is (it is the triad plus the trait of sadism.)

# Most people hate themselves, and how to be different

9 upvotes | March 31, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Feeling a bit philosophical today...

One of the reasons that TRP explains human nature well is that it explains a fundamental dynamic present in most people: self-hatred. This dynamic is that inner voice that tells us we are not good enough. Or that self-sabotage where we upon reaching a goal, remain dissatisfied instead of celebrating.

Self-hatred is the fuel that drives most people. Because they are stuck in a world where they are constantly trying to fix something, instead of living in the abundance that they might create. For example, that 'one dream girl' (oneitis) or tryin to regain youth instead of learning how to age gracefully. Or perhaps parents did not love us, or a mothe was domineering to a beta father, or we were not accepted by peers. It all contributes to a kind of loathing in which one is not free, but acts from a place of scarcity.

With self-hatred, the mind repeats patterns that we observe... patterns of weakness instead of strength. It is a kind of imperative to look upon a standard of right/wrong or a standard of beauty and absoluteness rooted in our natures, and instead of seeing it as a challenge, we first look inwardly and give up before we even start.

Now, the modern world has tried to address this through various bullshit of self-esteem, or trying to claim that there's no such thing as standards, or redeclaring standards repeatedly to make sure everyone feels good about themselves. With the opposite effect, of diluting value by removing the possibility of assessing one's relative power position to others in social structure.

For the TRP mindset, self-hatred means looking at the world as a depressing kind of place, with no meaning, and seeing oneself as not worthwhile. This is why we can be successful with women, because women especially are filled with a self-hatred. And they are deeply attracted to men who "get it"; men who see them with the possibility of the same hatred with which they see themselves. It's a kind of relief to be with a man where she can drop the pretenses and be a hypergamous animal. Silence those rational-like thoughts and succumb to the pleasure of submission.

For those of us who have some remnants of self-hatred left, it's a long process to go beyond it. Not to some magical place of love and togetherness and peace and bullshit... but to the place where we possess genuine value according to our natures, and we live out that value in all the interactions of our everyday lives. For example, one of my constant efforts to increase value is physical perfection. I got shit genes, but I put in the glorious hours and eat right to be the best version of myself. Or, in setting a dream/goals on my own terms and owning the outcome of those goals passionately. There are many more posts that cover value. To me, the key is consistent improvement over time in recognizing those ways that contribute to our self-hatred, and overcoming them mentally and practically.

I'm curious what you think about this idea of self-hatred, and if you see it playing out either in the interactions of others or within your own self.



## Excerpt from 59 Seconds by Richard Wiseman

9 upvotes | February 12, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

"When attempting to impress women, men often make a special effort to present themselves as especially caring and altruistic creatures. However, research suggests that they may have it all wrong. When women were asked to indicate the traits they found most desirable in friends, short-term partners, and long-term partners, most placed kindness high on their shopping lists. However, each and every time it was trumpeted by bravery. It seems when it comes to love, women value courage and a willingness to take risks over kindness and altruism. So instead of men making a special effort to woo women by describing their tireless work for charity, they should perhaps consider mentioning their love of skydiving, the importance of standing up for what you think is right, and following your heart no matter where it leads.

This bravery effect emerged in an online survey that I conducted with fitness experts Sam Murphy to explore the relationship between sport and attraction. Are men more impressed by women who play soccer or climb mountains? Do women go for bodybuilder or yoga fanatics?

More than six thousand people reported which sporting activities would make a member of the opposite sex more attractive. Results revealed that 57 percent of women found climbing attractive, making it the sexiest sport from a female perspective. This was closely followed by extreme sports (56 percent), soccer (52 percent), and hiking (51 percent). At the bottom of the list came aerobics and golf, with just 9 percent and 13 percent of the vote, respectively."

This particularly stung for me, as the last girl I was really in love with chose a paintball fanatic over me.

TL;DR: Get a motorcycle.

## "Kimchi bitches" (Redpill issues in modern Korea)

9 upvotes | February 12, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://redpillkorea.wordpress.com/2014/02/11/kimchi-bitches-korean-men/>

(warning: It was too long to post the text here. Might take a few sittings to read through)

Note: This is mainly for those who are interested in Asian/Korean girls as well as what the response is to the increase in feminism in Asia. As I live in Korea, Asian girls are pretty much my largest target group whether I like it or not, so I obviously spend an inordinate amount of time analyzing them and how they're different from back in the States.

So if you're interested in Asia or just anthropology, take a look. I think this extrapolates well to the wider Asian region (as the Asian economic boom affected more than just Korea).

# Do you believe that sex is the underlying motivation for all your endeavors?

9 upvotes | February 16, 2014 | by [depthrill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Some (many) on trp maintain that everything we do in this life is, at the end of the day, motivated from the desire to have sex and reproduce, due to evolution.

*Edit: My question originates from [this discussion](#)*

I can understand the sentiments, yet I disagree.

Things such as **power, money, and freedom**, are things I strongly desire.

However, after some introspection, I don't believe that I want these things in my life *only* because I believe they will lead to more sex.

I want power and money to achieve *everything* in life efficiently and to be able to achieve my goals with less effort, sex included.

I want freedom and to travel, and not because I necessarily want to garner experience in order to be more interesting to domestic girls, or to *only* sleep with foreign girls. But to expand the plethora of experiences I have gathered before I die.

It is certainly possible that I'm not truly understanding my own underlying motivations as well as I could, and I'd be interested in others' analyses.

So my TLDR question is: Do you believe that everything you do is motivated in some degree from your desire for sex?

## How do I become more self-interested?

8 upvotes | August 3, 2015 | by [cocaine\\_face](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I'm relatively on my shit. I have a decent career (I make more than the US average), I've got money in the bank, a decent car, a hobby side business I'm trying to create/grow, and I don't have any problems with dating. I haven't been lifting as much as I'd like because of a shoulder injury that is finally healing.

I've become self-interested in dating and mindset, but I have a hard time cutting friends off who aren't helping me build myself, and I'm staying at a job when I could easily find one that pays me significantly more (30k more was the last offer thrown out to me - I turned it down) because I feel bad for the guy I work for. Ultimately I feel it boils down to me feeling responsible for the poor choices others make - and I'm not seeing a concrete way to kill that mindset in me.

How do I ultimately kill this concern? How have other guys done it in the past? If I don't kill this concern, I feel I'm going to have my time wasted and I am going to accomplish less than I otherwise could, and that bothers me.

**tl; dr:**

I care about people when it is detrimental to my self-interest. I would prefer to not care about people when it is not detrimental to my self-interest.

# To Be or Not To Be...in a LTR?

8 upvotes | April 12, 2014 | by [TRPsubmitter](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I posted this in TRP but want in-depth feedback here too.

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/22ugxz/to\\_be\\_or\\_not\\_to\\_bein\\_a\\_ltr/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/22ugxz/to_be_or_not_to_bein_a_ltr/).

---

Disclaimer: The following "math proof" reflects why I reject LTRs. Lots of guys here are in LTRs, and that's cool (this post is not "calling guys out"). But I do think it logically shows that LTRs are simply unfavorable (although not completely untenable) for men. I'm sure there will be a strong debate in the comments...

**Hypothesis:** LTRs are more "economically" favorable for women than for men (economics refers to RP economics).

**Premise A1:** Interactions between men and women come down to an exchange of "resources". Men want sex. Women want commitment. Each holds what the other wants.

**Premise A2:** Thus, the most favorable goal for men is to maximize their access to sex, whereas the most favorable goal for women is to maximize their access to commitment.

How men and women approach their interactions with each other *must* be based on this framework if maximal resources is the goal (e.g. if you are a beta who wants to be pegged in the ass, then maximal resources isn't your goal).

**Premise B1:** In LTRs, you will see your gf/wife with greater frequency compared to simple plate-spinning or casual dating (in fact, you probably will be co-habiting at least 3x a week, if not more).

**Premise B2:** Thus, the following is indisputable:

- **The amount of money you use both *directly* and *indirectly* on her will increase** (Directly: paying for her drinks/food. Indirectly: paying only for your share of a movie/dinner that you wouldn't have gone to otherwise if she wasn't living with you).  
Here, money = commitment. Thus, she has obtained more of your resources than you have received back.
- **You will *not* have sex every time you see her.** In plate-spinning, I have sex with a girl *every* time we meet. No exceptions. If she's on her period, it's a CIM blowjob. That is the frame I require. However, if you're living together, this is untenable. There are times she will simply be too tired/angry/smelly/late/sick.  
Thus, while she hasn't "gained" commitment resource from you here per se, you have actually *lost* your sex resource.
- **There are times when you want to have sex and she does not. That means NO sex.**  
Building on the last point, there will be times in LTRs when she won't want sex. In plate-spinning, if she's tired/sick/angry, you simply ignore her or don't meet her. Meet another day. But in LTRs, she's gonna "drop by after work unannounced", but "I just want to shower and go to bed, honey!".  
Thus, you have lost sex resource here *and* she has gained commitment resource (emotional partner) simultaneously.

All 3 points support my hypothesis of LTRs being more favorable for women. Further:

**Premise C: Despite the above demonstrating loss of access to sex resource, a man is *still* expected to provide commitment resource in a LTR.** In plate-spinning, you can simply not talk to a girl anymore. You can fuck other girls. She must earn her place back.

But in LTRs, a woman's denial of sex is *not* subject to the same repercussions; in fact, it's **immune** to them! You cannot withhold your shower/bed/TV/oversized T shirt to her when she comes waltzing in. You are still expected to pick her up from her mundane work/school/shopping activity...because your her bf. If you do decide to equally deny her your commitment resource by not performing these bf "duties", you are in for a helluva fight for the evening (at the very least, passive-aggressive drama bullshit).

**Premise D1: The only thing women *do* offer in LTRs (and hence the only advantage of LTRs for men) is stable childbearing/caretaker of your children.** However, this is irrelevant to our discussion because the vast majority of men these days are *not* in LTRs to have children in the first place. They are in LTRs because they believe it offers the most steady access to sex; they view the above drawbacks as "necessary economic expenses".

**Premise D2:** Thus, the potential danger of "losing" a girl by not girlfriending her is irrelevant because the only thing you are essentially losing is a childbearer/mother, **which you aren't seeking in the first place.**

#### **Conclusions:**

- LTRs are more favorable for women due to the skewed distribution of resources.
- Even if you do manage to maintain more "power" than your gf/wife (due to your RP actions or just her submissive nature), you *still* won't have as much as you *could* if she was only a plate.
- The "gamble" of losing a girl is minimized because men don't want what women offer in LTRs anyway: stable childrearing.
- TRP is an alternate and thus more effective way to secure steady access to sex versus LTRs.

---

This study posted by [/u/Vornash](#) provides support of my point:

But women, he said, have evolved to have a high sex drive when they are initially in a relationship in order to form a "pair bond" with their partner.

But, once this bond is sealed a woman's sexual appetite declines, he added.

He said animal behaviour studies suggest this could be because females may be diverting their sexual interest towards other men, in order to secure the best combinations of genetic material for their offspring.

Or, he said, this could be because limiting sex may boost their partner's interest in it.

In other words, it is natural and evolutionarily advantageous for women to withhold sex *after* they enter into a LTR.

Is this the framework with which you want to interact with women? Why fight an uphill battle? My

take: Keep the plates spinning.

# Don't be afraid to fail - Outcome Independence

8 upvotes | February 23, 2014 | by [Nitzi](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

As usual, stuff that you should already know:

Don't be afraid to fail! - Easy to say, hard to do.

Inspired by this post on TRP

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1y5mev/stop\\_being\\_afraid\\_of\\_making\\_mistakes\\_and\\_letting/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1y5mev/stop_being_afraid_of_making_mistakes_and_letting/)

I preach about this a lot but there is an important aspect that gets lost in translation. You must be able to place yourself in the position where you can fail. Be the tree, not the ivy. Be the leading role of your life, not a supporting role. You must still be someone after a fail. Never make your life dependent on a single decision, you must be ready to fail. And have a back up plan for every decision. Actually always have a back up plan and another back up plan for that. And failing is not a bad thing, the crippling fear of failing is a bad thing. It even has a name: Atychiphobia

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Atychiphobia>

All our emotions stem from our focus (what we think) and our physiology (posture, breathing). Lets say your normal state is head up, shoulders forward, deep breathing. When you are depressed or phobic your head sinks down, you let your shoulders hang and start breathing shallow. So the quickest way is to shake it off and change what you are doing. But that doesn't change your focus. So you have to clear your mind. Stop asking yourself loaded questions. Stop looking into The Abyss (everything that can go wrong), focus on the positive things that can happen, look at the horizon. Ask yourself positive questions. And remember a time where you felt powerful and strong. Then jump up and start moving or running. This is the fastest way to change. Sometimes looking at the worst case scenario helps. Because if you think rationally you will come to the conclusion that the worst case scenario itself isn't even that bad.

The post above basically tells you to embrace mistakes. They are an important and even crucial part of learning and growing. So stop beating yourself up with what could go wrong and use your experience for the future. You avoid mistakes through experience. You get experience through mistakes.

Now when you changed your mind you are ready tackle your problem. You can stop trying so hard, the easiest solution probably is the right one and will work. You can stop focusing on the outcome. What you can do is focus on the process. So don't be afraid to fail. Be ready to fail. The most important thing you can take away from this is understanding that being afraid of failure is just limiting yourself. The only real way to fail is not trying.



# On death and light heartedness

8 upvotes | March 20, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

In our society, death has become a distant, abstract fact, except at rare moments. This is simply the result of our prosperity, and it is something that cannot be overcome through mere thinking, even if it were a desirable thing for the reality of death to resonate within you.

This is not a bad thing. You cannot enjoy the rush of life if you spend it in constant fear of death. Moreover, I think it is important not to think of death in the way that some morose people do--as a way to malign life, as a way to say, "life is pointless." This is rarely the response of someone who actually feels the reality of death, be he a soldier, a cancer survivor, or what have you.

The intellectually morose person who uses death as an abstract fact to malign life is really saying, "It is not that I am afraid to get what I want out of life, but that I want nothing out of life." I suspect the same can often be said about those who adopt the "blue pill" outlook on life. For many men I think it amounts to them telling themselves, "It's not that I am afraid of being rejected by women, it is that I respect them too much to treat them like objects," or something along those lines. In similar ways, people tell themselves that money isn't important, that they don't really want success or accomplishment that much after all, and so on. All of this ideology and so-called wisdom is a mask for fear.

Does this mean that someone with a properly "red pill" attitude to life should abstain from all philosophy, from the deeper questions of life? No. It merely means that you should be careful that your philosophical/religious/moral thinking does not become just a cover for your fear, an excuse to not at least try to get what you want out of life.

With that disclaimer out of the way, then, let me speak some of my own philosophy on death and the meaning of life. I don't think it counts for much, but in quiet moments I do derive some comfort from it.

I remember a few weeks ago when a poster brought up the question of "Red Pill Endgame." His concern was that a life of spinning plates would eventually lead to a lonely old age and death (although if I recall correctly he didn't really want to directly admit that this was his concern). I've had similar kinds of concerns as well, and not only when it comes to a wife and children, but I worry about dying without having accomplished anything of permanence.

These kinds of thoughts usually occur during lulls in activity, the various down times and recuperation periods that occur in your life for various reasons. During these times I often get the sense that there is some great unknown purpose which I should be moving towards. But I don't know what the eventual goal is, and the only thing pointing in the direction of this unknown goal is my own heart.

This sounds sappy as fuck I know. But the point is that, at times like that, when I wonder if I should go get another wife, or something else like that, I ask myself, "Is that what my heart wants?" And right now, the answer is no. And that's enough. The question of whether I will be happy in the future, of whether I will have done enough with my life, is not something that anyone or anything else can answer. Death takes it all in the end anyway. Whatever larger purpose our life does have is unfathomable, and your own heart is the only guide to it.

A big point is made on this subreddit of having a thick skin, of dropping one's naivety, of adopting a

certain callousness towards women and life generally, for the sake of navigating them better. And I think that it is true to say that many people demonize this hardened outlook on life simply because it will force them to recognize their own weakness for what it is.

However, this does *not* mean that one should lose all kindness and generosity. Rather, kindness and generosity acquire their fullest character in the absence of fear. If you are living your life right, your heart will be generous.

# Monday Guilt

8 upvotes | February 17, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

It's monday again. This was a good weekend for me, at the risk of a humblebrag I think it's relevant to say that I brought a few girls back to the dorm room (I'm in college). It was a pretty standard weekend at my quiet ass liberal arts college, shitty dances, bad clubs, and horny ass girls validating all the bullshit they did during the week by shaking their ass at a dudes crotch.

I always sort of feel a guilt on mondays, after hooking up with some random chick during the weekend, and I really can't explain why. In highschool, back when I was a grubby little dude, after scoring on the weekend I would come in to school on mondays like "Yeah motherfuckers, come see me!" Now, I'm almost feeling bad for what I did, even as my friends give me daps for it.

It's pretty wack. I think a lot of the guilt that I feel, and that I see my friends feeling, comes from how we're not actually assholes. But at a college for rich white spoiled kids, the asshole game works like a charm, and the week after a hookup you've got these crazy girls looking to you to almost be their new dads. When they see you in passing, they almost want to be ignored, it drives them crazy (literally sometimes, but that's a story for another day).

Admittedly, this is a bit of a rant, and writing things down has always helped me process them. But the takeaway for me and you guys here is that I honestly think a good number of guys, myself included, would rather spend the weekend with one great girl than these sluts. The state of the slut union is so twisted right now that we're forced to be assholes, and we're not. Recently, it's been less of a monday guilt, and more of a monday sadness, that you really can't trust a bitch these days.

This is something I'm interested in. Do you guys feel kind of fucked up after drilling boring skanks all weekend too? I think it's almost masturbatory now, and once you get over the confidence boost that it gives you at first, you're left wishing there was a girl you could have sex with and actually feel good after. What do you do when your access to easy girls isn't really limited anymore, but you're so sick of their shit?

## **Stanley the psychopath. Excerpts and analysis by Chateau Heartiste from The Mask of Sanity, a book written by American psychiatrist Hervey M. Cleckley, first published in 1941.**

8 upvotes | February 5, 2014 | by [YouDislikeMyOpinion](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://heartiste.wordpress.com/2014/02/04/psychopath-game/>

The raw power that Stanley had, it's exhilarating.

Another great post about psychopathy can be found here by our own [/u/illimitableman](#) : it offers a great and simple thought pattern to understand who a psychopath is. So if you want to get someone into this line of thinking, I'd recommend sending them that link.

<http://illimitablemen.com/2013/12/28/utilising-the-dark-triad-psychopathy/>

I'm thinking of writing a series on psychopathy and sociopathy. If you know any good articles or resources, throw them in a reply.

## Everybody has an opinion

7 upvotes | March 17, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Thought I'd drop by for a quick discussion.

Obviously, everybody has an opinion about /r/theredpill, and usually speaking the ones that end up over here are the ones who feel disenfranchised by it.

Since I took over the sub over a year ago, I've heard every complaint under the sun- it's dropping in quality, the noobs are taking over, things used to be better, so on and so on.

Of course, I've been hearing these complaints since day one, so part of it really is just a selective cognitive bias. Some older members might get bored of the content that *hasn't* changed because they've already read it, while others might be upset that the newer content isn't the older content. Many focus on a few stupid comments or posts that get through minutes before they're deleted as a sign that everything has gone in the shitter.

My goal since the beginning has always been to keep signal high and ensure that we never temper our message, in such a way that seddit did. Where members would regularly get upset if what you said sounded like misogyny there, on theredpill we do not have that problem.

Although there's nothing we can do about downvotes, I'll remind any older members here that it wasn't until we were 4 months old that any of our comments *could even regularly get* positive scores.

I would like to reach out and ensure that older members here who have gotten benefit from the sub make sure they give back. Yes, there's a flood of new users who are clueless, and at one point, many of our now-great users were beginners too. It's up to the older users to help coach the new kids, and continue passing the torch forward.

That all being said, I'm always open to suggestions. I think a common misconception is that the mods aren't deleting low quality content. We delete hundreds of comments and tens of posts daily. So I would hesitate to say our issue is our focus on particular content.

I, myself, have been very impressed with how new users pick up on the theory and contribute new insights regularly. It doesn't take much reading before I see something that inspires me or forces me to see something new.

You are important to the community, without you, the new members are just as lost as they were depending on their fathers to teach masculinity.

I added endorsement flairs to help raise the signal of those who know their shit.

But really, let's discuss. If you guys have a good plan that doesn't just amount to "lock everybody out" that you think will increase quality and signal, I'm open to it.

Edit: I'd like to add that it's true we've taken up /r/seduction's userbase as a higher quality source for advice- I am decidedly moving all pickup advice to /r/asktrp.

# I think MGTOW, in terms of a sexual lifestyle, is absolute BS.

## What does AR think?

7 upvotes | March 2, 2014 | by [ChauvOtoo](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

So I posted a comment in another thread and received a few comments and a few hate messages (really...).

Look, TRP says that there is no such thing as NAWALT. They fucking hamster and follow the need to breed with a Alpha (stupid word, but it is a good descriptor in this example) and secure a Beta to provide. Ideally they would want to find a man who is both, but that's as tough as find a good women to settle down with.

So, if a women can't, absolutely can't, trump her genetic programming; why do men think that they can? How is a man saying "I don't fucking need a women." (maybe if your gay, but my gay friends think that the guys they are fucking act like women) any different than a women saying "I don't do alpha fucks, beta bucks bullshit... NAWALT".

I know a group of people who tried to not have sex at all and deny that they need it, they are called Catholic Priests... how is that working out for them?

Your whole fucking reason to exist (as far as lovely mother nature is concerned) is breed. You are measured by the number of grand kids you have (evolutionary-wise).

I get that people can be a-sexual; even if I dont get it. However, most MGTOW got to that point because they were trying to get their dick wet and couldn't handle the reality of what that takes.

TL;DR, MGTOW as a sexual lifestyle is as stupid as man-hating feminist blog. IN the same way that a man-hating bull-dyke just needs a dick, MGTOW just need a cute 5/10 to blow them and it will all fall apart.

**EDIT:** So, most of the posters here that are pro-MGTOW seem to have a different understanding then what I have seen/read on those types of posts. Many of the people below, seem less MGTOW and more just TRP. TRP is about not playing the game as modern western women define it.

I thought (and fully understand I could be wrong) that MGTOW were dropping out of familial and sexual contact with women; that women, by means of sex being their #1 control item, would not be able to get them to do things for sex because **They would not be having sex with women.**

Many of the posters seem to be more about focusing on themselves and improving and living their own life; which is the best way to get laid. IMHO that is not going your own way, but just understanding the rules and where to break/bend them.

## **XXL (RVF) on going direct v/s indirect**

7 upvotes | February 7, 2014 | by [theredcandy](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://www.rooshvforum.com/thread-27791-post-529270.html#pid529270>

Love this guy's posts. It's like reading snippets of pick up theory with infield examples.

## BBC Sex ID test results

7 upvotes | February 6, 2014 | by [through\\_a\\_ways](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

The percent chart at the bottom demonstrates something many in the evobio/hbd community always hint at: A larger standard deviation among men than women. It was oft said for intelligence, but now we can say the same for masculinity/femininity.

<http://fingerlengthdigitratio.wordpress.com/2008/11/04/bbc-finger-length-thumb-dominance-sex-id-test/>



## **[Blogspam] My Blog, some good links, some great stuff written by me.**

7 upvotes | February 3, 2014 | by [Nitzi](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Yes I am biased since it is my baby.

<http://naturalredgame.wordpress.com/>

I don't write about stuff I haven't tried/experienced.

Critique is welcome.

## Running down the hill versus walking down it.

7 upvotes | February 16, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

A while ago my attitude towards sex started to change slightly. I used to want sex as soon as possible with women I would date, and would close hard, often without success. Now I actually prefer to draw things out a bit.

This is a taste I acquired involuntarily--I started dating a woman who I was only moderately attracted to initially, but she held out for awhile and by the time we did finally have sex it was amazing. It became my modus operandi to try and recreate this situation when I could.

So now when I date women, I still initiate physical contact, making out, etc., early on in order to titillate both of us, and go through the usual progression to sex until she stops me. When (if) she does, I am happy, because it's what I've been waiting for. In my mind I am applauding her. I back off completely and tell her I understand.

This was not something I started doing as a premeditated strategy in order to make women really want to fuck me, but as anyone here can predict, that's exactly how it has worked out.

I have a hard time keeping sex from happening later than the second date. Once the spark has lit the fire, all I have to do is stay out of the way. I continue to initiate kissing and physical contact so that she will feel like a woman, but I apply *no* pressure. She is the one who suggests we go inside her house for drinks, who suggests we go back to her bedroom, who asks if I've brought condoms "just in case" and so on.

There was some movie where someone tells the story of the young bull and the old bull standing on a hill, looking down at a herd of cows. The young bull says, "we should run down the hill and fuck one of those cows." But the old bull says, "No, we should walk down, and fuck them all." That old bull was smart.

## How do similar behaviors affect the male and female?

6 upvotes | May 25, 2015 | by [theredcandy](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I've been thinking about this lately.

Example #1:

Aloofness on the part of the male stirs up some insecurities in the female. It gets her hamster spinning. He did cheat before, maybe he's with other girls again. He *is* better looking than me, isn't he? Omg omg omg omg, I NEED to talk to Tori! "Tori??! It's been hours, he still hasn't responded. What do you think that means?"

More insecurities that rise up leads to...you guessed it...TINGLES!

For a guy though, aloofness in a chick is more of a turn off than anything else. Sure, if I like you even just a bit, I start getting somewhat concerned, but not in a good way (not for YOU anyway). I think you might leave, or you've already checked out. And at this point, I'm not thinking "Man, I want her all the more because she's unavailable." Sure, my ego might take a hit, but remember: I *can* get laid.

Bitch, this don't make you cool. It just makes you inconvenient. Why deal with your shit, when your friend Gemma is throwing herself at me? You want me? You best get in line, and fucking behave.

---

Example #2:

Beta bitch boy cancels beers and football with the guys, and drives girlfriend around. He loves her, it's OK. There's always the next game, the guys will understand. Besides, it's very hard to get an appointment with that hair dresser...

This guy likely isn't getting laid. If he is, the sex is likely subpar. Keep bending over backwards like this, and the tingles will fade. She starts feeling disgust, and will disrespect him openly.

Now, if a girl takes time out of her day, and surprises me with freshly baked brownies, I'm going to think it's sweet, and like her more for it. If she wakes me up with a bj and backrub, I'm going to like her all the more for it.

I forgot where I read this (think braivoman), but this guy had 15 minutes to spare, and he showed up at his girl's house, fucked her hard and quick, only focusing on himself, came and then left.

Conclusion? She loved it, and kept on playing with herself using his cum as lube when he left.

---

Just thinking out loud here. I notice girls behaving in more "manly" ways, and in their weird twisted logic, they seem to believe that this will get them the man they want. Ridiculous! It might get betas pining, but they would be pining either way.

What do you think? Any more examples?

# **I had a realization today: MRA and TRP understand the same concepts, but MRA are the Jedi's and TRP is the Dark Side**

6 upvotes | March 28, 2014 | by [puaSenator](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

So I had a lot of free time today so I decided to essentially just dick around for the day -- something I'm unfortunately great at doing. It started with me realizing how TRP concepts were becoming slowly more mainstream. Maybe it's just selective bias, but I'm starting to see more and more people subtly describe theory. From Facebook, to just about any comment section on the web when the article is dealing with gender. The divide is usually BPs who are completely oblivious to the world insisting that we live in a patriarchy, women make less than men, men are rapists, and then psuedo-RP folks who seem like average people, telling these BPs to STFU because they have no idea what they are talking about while throwing around RP theory. The typical retort to this was usually something like, "Well you're just a misogynist asshole cis fuck!" I fucking loved seeing this shit in the wild.

But I digress. I ended up watching a few girlwriteswhat videos, followed by some other MRA videos, and I had a realization. MRA is 100% in line with TRP. I don't think there was a single thing I disagreed with. These people fully get it, from end to end. They may not say it as directly and as blunt as TRP would, but they are saying it regardless. Either through power talk or by using neutral language. TRP and MRA basically have come to the exact same understanding of the whole broken system.

But the difference is they are a political movement. They are busy trying to right the wrongs done by radical feminism. They are busy trying to shift the paradigm -- the entire social zeitgeist

Meanwhile, TRP doesn't give two fucks about joining a social movement. We are selfish and rather focus this knowledge on pickup. Rather than sit around and debate to how much of a degree woman's general manipulation and selfishness comes from biology or simply growing up in female culture, we just care that it is. Women tend to be selfish, and that's all that really matters. When we look around and see that women are obsessed with their looks and constantly looking younger, indicated by female commercials, fashion, and marketing -- we don't sit around and discuss whether or not this behavior is moral or not. We just think, "Well, women want to be seen as trophy wives. Sexy woman want to be sought after by men in exchange for their resources. I guess this is how the game is being played." We don't give a shit about it's morality, we just care about whether or not it is, and how can we leverage this truth to supplement our sexual strategy.

When we see that women have a ridiculous unjust position in the court system, how divorces are settled are outdated and screw over men in every case, sluts are running rampant, and women are hypergamous. We don't think, "Hmmm.. I should join a social movement to fix this system!" Instead we think, "Alright, looks like marriage is dangerous, so let's not do that. Also, women are fucking every alpha they cross paths with. I don't like the slutty behavior, but if I don't put my cock in her, someone else will, so it may as well be me. Oh, and I should keep an eye out for those 9's as they are so invested in their looks, they are probably very aware of their hypergamous nature and embrace it -- make sure to treat them extra shitty to keep them around."

Meanwhile, when a BP fuck comes around and tries to argue with us... We don't pay them the time of day, unlike MRAs who have a very neutral, and politically orientated nature in their system. MRA's

are part of a movement, so naturally they want to debate. Not us on the dark side. We don't give a fuck that some fat fuck chick doesn't think she's a special snowflake that isn't hypmergamous. We realize she's not attractive enough to have multiple quality men a day hit on her offering to help her swing branches. We know she's never seen that part of reality, because she's not quality. She has a biased and world view because society gave her the short end of the deal -- solipsism. She'll never change because she can't.

We are selfish. We don't give a fuck about any one else. We are using this knowledge, not to make the world a better place by creating a perfect and fair market for all. No, we just use this information to look at how fucked the system is, and use this knowledge to game the industrial sex complex and get what we want out of it. We drop the truths we've discovered so far, and you can either take it or leave. No one gives a fuck if you like it or not. Those that will take it, will be empowered with understanding the corrupt market, and make a killing, meanwhile those that reject it can go back to their ignorant BP lives and continue to get fucked by the corrupt market. Either way, I'm not losing any sleep.

/So that's my little late night rant. Got to say, I did gain some more respect for the MRA crowd. However, I still think it's fucking pointless. The system is so corrupted, ignorant, and PC, that trying to fight it is a losing battle. I like the dark side much better.

## Red Pill Failures

6 upvotes | March 3, 2014 | by [Sufferix](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I think it would be a good resource to have something where AR members could review others who think they have an understanding of RP or who can highlight the errors where they are implementing RP.

I suggest this because I have consecutively fucked up, first understanding RP and now implementing it. I won't go into detail.

## Is betaness obsolete?

6 upvotes | February 16, 2014 | by [rogueman999](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I was answering a white knight question in [/r/TheRedPill](#) and ended up with this piece of thought. Any thoughts?

I see being beta as an evolutionary adaptation made obsolete by a changing environment. For 99.99% of our genetic history having sex meant having children, and for those children to survive you need two parents. Which means women had to pick a mate early to help them raise those children, even if the first one (and quite possibly others along the way) was conceived with an alpha.

Almost by definition alphas are rare, so the safe choice for men was to secure a wife and conceive most of her children.

In current environment however this doesn't apply at all. Women can chose not to have children, and even when they do they can survive by themselves - and when they don't society will help them. So women don't need a beta provider anymore. They still enjoy the feeling, of course, but they lost the motivation to follow-up and settle. What they're free to do is find and bang as many alphas as they can.

The Wall comes for them still - and with it a desire for husbands - but they feel the pressure a good 10-15 years later then they used to, and even then it's a matter of lifestyle, not survival.

Which is why I don't really think we're moving towards a society of greater sexual freedom. I actually think fewer and fewer men will be "eligible" for sex in the decades to come, until many of them will eventually retire from the sexual market completely. It's a very bad time not to be an alpha.

## **SHOCK: Medications effect men and women...DIFFERENTLY**

5 upvotes | February 10, 2014 | by [favours\\_of\\_the\\_moon](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

So apparently it's becoming obvious that many FDA approved drugs are effecting men and women differently. No one previously thought to control for that small variable. I guess there was recently a 60 Minutes piece on this phenomenon. Now they're having to go back and determine how to use all these drugs that have already been approved. Too bad it has been politically incorrect to consider men and women to be not exactly the same for the past several decades. D'oh!

<http://abcnews.go.com/Health/fda-recommends-slashing-sleeping-pill-dosage-half-women/story?id=18182165>



## Selling snake oil and silver bullets

4 upvotes | May 6, 2014 | by [alreadyredschoo!](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

After our snake oil and silver bullets stopped working we invented new products and want to bring them on the market!

**Are you a fat?** Do you want to loose that weight? Dieting doesn't pay off? Don't worry, we got the solution for all your problems! Buy 3D-addled eggs now! Don't miss the deal of your life! After just 6 weeks in the hospital you will have lost more than 60 kgs, you can eat what you want and don't have to diet. There are minor health risks but they can be dismissed! Our eggs are 3D, and addled in a very complicated process that none of our competitors could ever repeat! BUY BUY BUY!

**Want to gain muscles?** Lifting weights is too hard? Are you not satisfied with your gains? Don't worry, we from silver bullets and snake oil have the solution to your problems! Buy our super anabolic drugs now and become the man your ancestors could only dream of! Don't miss the deal of your life! BUY BUY BUY!

**Want to fuck bitches?** Are you not happy with the amount of woman you lay? We understand your problems, approaching a hottie is hard. Rejection is a bitch, but don't worry, you don't have to get rejected to get pussy! Our 5 weeks PUA-Seminar will teach you everything you need to know about how to lower your standards and how to hire a hooker. No more fapping to boring porn! Get laid every day! Sign up now! It is a great deal, if you don't trust me, then look at these reviews from former customers. Oh well, actually we don't have any reviews, but that is our fault. Our customers are drowning in pussy and have no time to write reviews!

**Need money?** Finding a job is too hard? Working is too exhausting? Starting a business too risky? Don't worry, you don't have to waste any time with working, you can earn 10k in several minutes! Just visit our backyard casino. The odds are stacked in your favor, you can't loose. Just come by and win! WIN WIN WIN!

Also make sure to take a look at our other offers, our time-share program is worth every penny!

**Another fairly mainstream piece about marriage rates and trends although they see the numbers their conclusions are screwed and skewed.**

4 upvotes | February 27, 2014 | by [jakethesnake76](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2014-02-26/better-or-worse-mostly-worse#comment-4483405>

# **My Name is Delicious Tacos, and I'm an Alcoholic**

4 upvotes | February 10, 2014 | by [tr8](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://delicioustacos.com/2014/02/09/my-name-is-delicious-tacos-and-im-an-alcoholic/>

# TRP and My Girlfriend

4 upvotes | February 25, 2014 | by [Sufferix](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

This is going to be an endless rant through stream of consciousness because I'm really frustrated and really confused as to what the fuck is going on.

As an aside, I was really glad I got the invite to this subreddit because TRP became more about showing the worst examples of BP. It would then derail into a circlejerk of unproductive anecdotal bullshit from a bunch of bitter faggots, who don't get that they're bitter because of their own deficiencies.

What I extracted from TRP was that being committal was risky for males, with the exception of California. That the majority of women could be gamed and expected to respond in a certain way. That the true value of a man is self-made, and to increase it you have to improve yourself.

She gathered this:

"I didn't even like the sidebar material, which is in essence the PURPOSE of the subreddit. The way you explained it made sense, but in no way correlated with the actual outline the subreddit presents. I don't like the core material they themselves give as an introduction, I don't like the PUA-rooted philosophy, I don't like they they categorize anything remotely kind or generous toward women as "beta" and therefore inherently weak, I don't like that their shining examples of success are manipulating or using women or sleeping around without attachment because all women are (in their view) the same shallow person who is emotionally unavailable for investment and a whore until proven otherwise."

Some of this is accurate, but I want to believe it's because of the influx of members in TRP and the hands-off moderating style. I also find some of my divergence from TRP in those sections she mentioned. PUA shit is just playing the game on easy. It inflates self-value without having any, or at least all of the tools to make you valuable. Instead of increasing your actual value you're out dicking around, being illusory. I think the ramifications to actual dynamics aren't being thought of. Women are being tricked into swinging to what they think are higher branches, and unless somehow informed of the actual situation, will still develop the overvaluation of self and entitlement. Now I can't fault people for playing the game on easy, because it is the quickest active way to success, but perhaps the investment should be to make the game more proper, which I have no solutions for.

For clarity, I would like a consensus on what a unicorn is. A logical example is a woman who won't branch swing even though you exhibit the extreme of every beta quality. I prefer to think that it is a woman who doesn't use the current societal evaluations of men. They're similar to an extent, but one is more biological and one is more sociological.

In any case, I talked about some RP principles with my girlfriend. I think she's a unicorn. She started dating me when I was at my lowest value (unemployed, overweight, out of school) and I've steadily increased from that point. However, she can't seem to have even the minimalist conversation about TRP without having to excuse herself and calm down. I don't understand. I can read TBP and laugh at it. She reads TRP and sees red. She thinks people should be accountable for what they do, and when I show her those horrid BP examples, she condemns the women for their actions. She's exactly the same with me in values of commitment, monogamy, infidelity, accountability, whatever.

To be more specific, we were talking about PUA. I think that they know how woman work, they

wouldn't be PUA if they didn't get what they wanted (which is generally to be laid), they would just be failures. For some reason, my assessment that a majority of women are shallow enough to fall for something in their repertoire required her to take a break. Is it the implication that women are responsible for being tricked? Even though I've previously said I don't think people should play the game as such? Even though I think both parties play a role in their actions and decisions?

I don't fucking understand and it's stressing me out.

Edit: Removed wall of text.

Edit: What I gain. Most discussion become an echo and confirmation bias. I want the dissenting opinion, but she is not capable of basic discussion when it comes to TRP.

# Common faults with common relationship advice.

3 upvotes | January 23, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## It's not your fault.

Words are a form of description of something, not an absolute value of what something is.

We first have to explore what fault is.

Fault is generally defined as the responsibility for a non-positive outcome happening, an accident, misfortune, failure, or wrongful act.

Responsibility is generally defined as a duty or obligation to act to achieve an outcome.

You are responsible for her health = you have a duty to act in a way that would preserve her health.

The amount that you can act will be dictated

- The amount that it is your responsibility
  - You can't be held responsible for the sky being blue
- The amount that you can control the outcome

There are two basic common premises for this type of thinking. The first premise is thinking is that the outcome would have occurred regardless of your actions. The second premise is thinking that it was not your responsibility in the first place.

We can analyze this conundrum by looking at suicide. Say one of your friends commits suicide. I'm sure that if you say anything about being able to prevent it, someone's response would be that "it wasn't your fault", "you can't

Fault is generally defined as the responsibility for a non-positive outcome happening, an accident, misfortune, failure, or wrongful act.

There are two variables that determine someone's fault.

- The extent to which you are responsible, or can be held responsible for preventing an outcome.
- The extent to which you are able to affect an outcome.

You can imagine these two factors as sliders. Like so: <http://jsfiddle.net/V8nNu/>

The first thing that we should ascertain is that fault is not boolean. And by that I mean that fault is not simply one of "you're at fault" or "you're not at fault".

It's really easy to apply this to the real world. If there is a starving African child

This is why it's not good advice, it completely ignores the person's agency, understanding, reasoning, critical thinking.

[http://www.reddit.com/r/relationships/comments/1vvv1g/me\\_35\\_m\\_the\\_wife28\\_f\\_married\\_3\\_years\\_jesus/cew9gpi](http://www.reddit.com/r/relationships/comments/1vvv1g/me_35_m_the_wife28_f_married_3_years_jesus/cew9gpi) Link if deleted: <http://redditlog.com/snapshots/157569>

[http://www.reddit.com/r/relationships/comments/1vvv1g/me\\_35\\_m\\_the\\_wife28\\_f\\_married\\_3\\_years\\_jesus/cewaskr](http://www.reddit.com/r/relationships/comments/1vvv1g/me_35_m_the_wife28_f_married_3_years_jesus/cewaskr) Link if deleted: <http://redditlog.com/snapshots/157565>

## Krauser releases "Daygame Mastery"

3 upvotes | February 5, 2014 | by [tr8](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://krauserpua.com/2014/02/05/daygame-mastery-international-release/>

## Do what the f\*ck you want to do

2 upvotes | May 30, 2015 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Two years ago started to see this girl. Screening part was already done. Feminine, good body, submissive, nice face (mandatory). Choose her because of these traits, but also because of other important motives (disclosing subsequently). I have limited time because of things to do, so we only send some messages on the phone. They were still useful to grab info about her and make her curious about me. After some days she invites me at her home after midnight; she lives with her parents. We start to make out as soon as we see. There, on the first night we see each other, I slap her on the ass, just to see her reaction and to shake her mind a little bit too. Kind of surprised and intrigued she was. I also imply in my language that I slept with married women in the past (my age is less than 25). That shook up her mind more. After that, some other stupid things on the phone. Then, we hang out for the first date. Nothing special, just grabbing info about her, let her talk all the night, make her feel good. After light dinner, I took her to the place where I had already decided I will have sex with her. The plan is on the long term, for many motives, so didn't bother let her win on the first night. One rule is sure for me: on the third appointment, she must submit. The second night I did something not usual. After taking her out, I didn't take her to the place, but left her straight to her home; letting her wonder why. Controlled sweeties on the phone during the week, but always let her initiate the first message. On the third date we fuck. Then, for more than a year I saw her once or twice every ten days only at night to fuck her. We probably went out for dinners only 4-5 times during that time. I don't remember all the things that happened, but I'll try to write the most important points. Even though she is an insecure creature, she is still a woman. She will only take what is useful to her.

When we started to fuck, I tested her to see how much she can pleasure me. On the third night we fuck I take her other whole. The look of pain and pleasure they have in the meanwhile is something interesting. For two months we see each other and even if she starts to be annoying and saying no, I took the sex from her. If I don't take it, it is just time lost. You need to get out and take her and then go to the place, let her talk just a little bit to not let her think I'm just seeing her for sex, getting into the mood, then actually have sex, and final stupid talking. That's a lot of time, between 3 and 6 hours totally, that interrupt my things.

I chose her for other two main reasons. I thought that I could manage to use her for only sex as much as I could. I need to do this -even though the actually hunt is sometimes even more pleasuring than the act itself- because of time. In that period I did something which I consider more important than socialize, and I knew that in the upcoming future I will be even more engaged. It was a good bet, now it can be said. The other motive is coming soon. So, after two months she thinks that I actually use her only for sex. She asks for commitment. She asks why I don't take her around, but when we see each other I only take her to the place, than we fuck, and than I leave her. She starts to bother me at that point. Whenever we see each other, I always say to her that I don't care if she goes out with some other guys. One of my favorite sentence is "you must do what is best for you", and I imply that the best for her is to not see me anymore. And then, it always ends with my dick in her mouth. Of course she tried to grab info from me, asking me all sort of questions about my past experiences. As a common rule, the less they know the better it is. This is a rule in all sort of relationships: any info other people know about you can be used against you when they will need to win over you. You don't want to give away some sentences that can be quoted. You must fight in the semantic field.



Then, after those two months, she is too stressful to listen, I leave her with a message. When we were seeing each other, I always implied that I had some other girls. In the meantime we didn't see each other, I made sure she saw me around with some other girls. She finds some excuses to contact me saying that we could at least say hi to each other if we happen to see around. That made me ring a bell, of course. I always knew I had her mind. This is another motive I chose her: she is less intelligent than me, she is fragile and insecure. She is so easily driven. I like the thrill of putting down a good and demanding prey, but not now, because now it's time for other things. You already know some things better than sex. Power, money and control over everything.

When I chose her I had only one objective in mind: to be efficient about satisfying my corporal needs. I understand my body is functioning better when it is doing sex at least once a week. But if I need to be bothered more than satisfied I chose to leave her. But somehow I knew she will come back to me in some way.

After a month and a half there was an event organized by some people we both know. So we are at the same table, with some other friends of her which I also know. I give attention to the other girls, and they enjoy my talking. She looks at me but we don't talk directly. I go to the bathroom at some point. When I'm inside, she happens to come too. It seems she didn't know I was there. There's a bedroom just next, we go there. I say she came only because I was there, she said she absolutely didn't know I was there. I then just start to take her neck and pulling her closer to me. We kiss, and I touch her body and of course she asks if I missed her. I don't answer. I throw her on the bed, belly down, I stay on top of her and start to put out my dick and put down her panties, grabbing her neck with the other hand. I put my dick on the skin of her ass, letting her feel it. I just let her the possibility to struggle a little bit. They like to think they have the power to make the man stop, but they more like when the man actually do not stop. When we come back the others knew exactly we were alone for quite some time. Not that I care about them. She continues to be as always she is, smiling and sweet. I stay firm and just can't wait the stupid event to finish. We just say hi. The next day, she send some messages saying I made her day, or something like that. Thanking me for that. I organize to see each other the next day and we fuck.

In the meantime the plan was to essentially to make money. Won't bother you with tiny details though. I took the most intelligent and ambitious person I knew (even though he is not that clever, unfortunately or maybe not), making him a closer friend of mine. He had an idea about a good thing to create in a lucrative business. I took the train. Months over months I added objectively value to our partnership. The plan was to start to create the thing, then, at the right time, start the actual company. First company for me. My game is always on the long term. So for now I knew I couldn't get more than an equal split. If you think to start a company and you know you will have to stick around it for too much, don't ever take such a deal. Always be the one who eat the greater part of the pie. So, after making him think I'm his friend, I brainwashing him into thinking that my ideas about the thing we were creating were the best ones. Of course, they were objectively the best, but you just cannot say "my idea is better", you know the ego, you must talk in such a way to let him think it by himself. This is the only true way to manipulate one's mind.

Moving back to the girl and the other motive I chose her. Some things from the previous months to all these. In the previous 2-3 years I had a normal life, making believe to everyone I did what every guy of my age is doing. In the background I was acquiring knowledge as much as I can. Basically, reading more than hundred books per year in those years. So, when these all started I was kinda broke. The good thing was that the industry where I was heading didn't need much of fundings. But

nevertheless, I couldn't know for sure if I could need some. The girl is a very close relative to a person who's got some power and money in the geographical place I lived. So, the plan was, not only to efficiently satisfy my sexual needs (efficient in this case means to invest as little time and money to have perpetual sex) but also to get closer to this person just in case I could have need him.

The person I made the thing together knew also many other people in his social circuit. He got this initial idea, but 98% of the improvements came from me. Execution is the key, you know that. I was angry at him (but not displaying it overly) because he couldn't work as much hours as I could. I understood he was kinda lazy sometimes, he was the classic person who thinks that you only need the idea, then you just sleep all day and other people will take care of everything. I am oversimplifying, but for the months we were together I very clearly understood he won't be a good cut in the future. When two people spend quite some extensive time together, they normally become friends. I sometimes was thinking about saying more than I said about me (like how much books I read, or share some red knowledge, or other personal things) but I remembered that he could use them against me, so I decided that we will work as much as he could, and then in the other time I had, I would continue to improve myself, reading (most important thing), lifting, improving, squeezing every second. The plan was that eventually, the disparity of our value will come over, since I was certain he was not spending the free time like me. I am objectively more intelligent than him, more handsome, and younger. So I decided that he can happily continue to become a decreasing asset, whereas I would do everything to not let him see that I am improving even more day after day. So one day, surprise motherfucker, it was even too easy to fuck him up. Thus, I decided to take this idea of him, and use all the people he knows. You need to have some consultants when you open a company. You also could need some other people to work with you/for you. Every person that came in contact with us and helped us to create the things was a person he knew. At some point we acknowledged we couldn't make it all on our own. We needed other people. That was known to me at the very first day, but didn't bother to tell him; I let him think for himself. Since we wouldn't pay anyone until the thing was lucrative, my plan was to start creating the thing by ourselves, and then we will hook some person with what we did till then. I cannot say much of the thing we created and the industry, but we are fortunate enough that many people would easily like to work in it. Cutting it, we were able to take four people to work for us (I should say with us, as for the initial part of the interaction is like that) without giving them a dime for more than six months.

In the meantime, things with the girl were always good (for me). High and low. You just give a girl that, and she will be your slave. A good tactic is to always find something to complain about her. If she is too good, you can create some situation were you say her behavior is not good, you don't like it, and thus she must fulfill your demands, otherwise you leave. A characteristic of the human mind: if a human invest quite some large amount of time on a thing, and these thing gives the human pleasurable sensations (but not always, randomness is a keyword here), and then it happens that the thing is taken away, then you can be always sure of what will happen: the human will try to do everything in his/her power to have back the thing that gives pleasure. After that night at the event, we see each other again and again. Working on creating the thing, and reading and lifting in the background, I honestly couldn't give her too much attention. I never mention to her what I was doing, in details. During the months, she tried to take my commitment without success. At the initial part of the year, I decided to give her the state of girlfriend. But essentially, nothing changed. We still saw each other once or twice a week only to fuck. But, of course, after they start to take what they want, they also start to give less since they don't need to give now. She started to be very annoying on our

meetings, always trying to fight and trying to not give me the sex. Of course I always took it, but I do not stand too much annoyance. I started to tell her that something is not good with her behavior. But words are not deeds, they don't produce the same effect. So one night we see and I start to undress her and she starts to be really annoying saying that she won't have sex with me. I know how to deal with it, I either start to implying that I would walk away, or my favorite technique is to stare her really deep in the eyes; she will then understand that she must do as I want. I can stand this sometimes, but not always, because time consuming. So that night I decide to definitively leave her, but I decided that I would say it to her only after sex. So, in my mind I was really angry, but on the outside I just continued to making out on her. I didn't even penetrate her, won't give her any pleasure, I just used her breasts as sometimes I like to do, coming all over her face. Then, gently and firmly, I started to talk about not seeing her anymore. She understood what I did; she says "as always, you always win". I basically, say very unpleasant things to her, but using polite words. She cries, but she knows that this time it is over. Taking her to her home by the car, she asks to park and tries her last resources. The thing is that when I am angry, I can be very mean and rough, but I don't know why, she likes that. She talks, I looked at her angry and disgusted; then she starts to get closer to my dick. Well, the bitch will be treated as such. Grabbing her neck and push her head over my dick. At the same time my hands were in her holes. Kind of funny when some young boys crossed the street and saw the scene; you just look them in the eyes and they will be scared away. At the end I come in her mouth. I am satisfied, but she doesn't swallow. I let her do it, but I take a mental note because I know she will try to see me again, and I will use this fact as a complain about her behavior. I wish her good luck with her life and good night of course. I like to be extremely polite when the other person knows I don't mean anything of what I said; but you see, they cannot attack you, you are being super polite, and if they complain, they are talking about the air, and you can then attack them saying they are crazy and they see things that do not exist. Always fight in the semantic field.

I am just putting down thoughts, not really going linearly. The amount of money I spent with this girl is extremely low. She even gave me some gifts sometimes. And, oh yes, of course, she started to say "I love you" many months before the night I left her. I never say it to her. I used all the possible complains to give her motives for my "not so good" behavior towards her. I know what makes her return every time. But first. The return. So, I leave her and she sucks my dick and I come in her mouth. The following days, she says she's ok with not being with me, but she sends me nudes of her saying she cannot give up the sex with me, and that I can go with other girls, she's ok to not be my girlfriend. She even says sorry to me for having hurt me, and that I don't deserve a girl like her that made me suffer in that way (when I left her I implied she hurt me saying no because I felt not wanted). Of course she is kind of lying, meaning she wants to have access to a good resource for her (me in this case) and she thought of the best way to take this resource. You see, this is an instance of the concept "the best way to manipulate someone's mind is to let him/her think it was his/her thought". Everything she thought was best for her to do was induced by my behaviors, words and implied semantic. At that point I felt I have almost all her mind (maybe 96-98%). She knows the only way to see me is through rough sex. In this way I even improved my efficiency in having sex. I didn't even have to send messages, interrupting my things, or talk to the phone. We just set the logistic for the night, than we see. After I left her, we saw each other for sex, officially not being a couple (for her, for me it was indifferent, just words they are), and I really started to treat her like my bitch. I started to tell her plainly that she is mine. Essentially I fuck her in both holes, and then I come on her face. My favorite act is to fuck face her, I don't know why, maybe it is because of her beautiful little

face. It just makes me want to, perhaps I should not write it.

On the business side, things go well. The employees work and the partner thinks he is better than me. Always funny to see people underestimate you. They can never overcome the big terrible surprise the moment I attack. I basically took control of every operation, managing everything and everyone, even the work of the partner. One thing you need to have in order to do whatever you want to do with your subordinates, but essentially with everyone: you must be OBJECTIVELY better than them, and they must acknowledge it. They must look up at you. They must think, and it is crucial that it is true, here you cannot fake, that they can only gain when they are on your side. And the thing they know that you must be feared is true. You are always polite, but they must know that you are controlling yourself, and if they screw something the cruel side will be shown to them. The one thing I don't see very often in this sub and the larger one, is talking more about power, money and control. But I understand this is mainly a place to talk about sexual strategy, but there are not too many places to talk to intelligent people who have their eyes open.

I don't know why, but I feel I shouldn't conclude this writing. I think I should say that this is all fiction. Use your imagination. And, actually, this was the most interesting part. The part of the struggling. When you are winning over winning, there's no much to talk about.

So, time for some clever endings sentences? Always do what you want to do. And always try to think on ways to acquire more power, both over your mind and body, and on other people. And leave nothing to chance. Control everything. But you already know all these things. What I can suggest is to start your businesses, more than one, and take as much as ownership as you can, and make your own empire. Always expand.

# How can we save young men stuck on the path of the beta?

2 upvotes | December 3, 2014 | by [tyranus89](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I read a post on [r/trp](#) detailing a guy's entry to the red pill. He said that during high school he was considered very attractive, yet didn't lose his virginity until senior year while his friends were all getting laid much earlier than that. He ended up going into an LTR with the girl who finally fucked him, then continued the beta and got fucked over.

This is a recurring theme in [r/trp](#); countless of these stories are posted, and countless more are experienced but never heard of. I'm one of those cases, and eventually I realized it was due to my upbringing: a beta father who was pretty emotionally distant and never taught me game, and an overbearing mother.

What can *we* do about this, from outside the family unit -- anything? Western society has such a stranglehold on the importance of families raising their children their way. Schools teach blue pill ideology. There are so many kids who grow up with alpha potential -- intelligent, good looking, athletic, talented -- but who fail and slip into BP because of their family life.

Of course, for those RP men who have children, we'll raise them RP. But how can we scale that knowledge, as that is the most effective way to make a real impact?

*Can* we scale that knowledge, in spite of Western society's vested interest in spawning beta males?

The best thing I can think of -- and it really isn't even a very good idea -- would be some sort of RP children's book that isn't *explicitly* RP. We find RP examples in the mainstream all the time and the public gobbles it up, but if you were to ever put "RP" on it, the same message would be criticized til the cows come home.

TL;DR: how can we right the course of boys who are set to become betas, specifically those who come from the common scenario of a beta father and overbearing mother? Is this at all possible, given Western society's vested interest in spawning beta males and the wide disdain for TRP?

## Dissecting IllimitableMan's post "The Psychopathic Paradigm"

2 upvotes | November 24, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Morality is cultural

2 upvotes | April 14, 2014 | by [sir\\_wankalot\\_here](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Key part is here. The only thing important to an organism is ability to produce offspring.

Of course, there are also cross-cultural similarities in morals. No group would last very long if it promoted gratuitous attacks on neighbors or discouraged childrearing. But within these broad constraints, almost anything is possible. Some groups prohibit attacks on the hut next door, but encourage attacks on the village next door. Some groups encourage parents to commit selective infanticide, to use corporal punishment on children, or force them into physical labor or sexual slavery.

[http://philosophynow.org/issues/82/Morality\\_is\\_a\\_Culturally\\_Conditioned\\_Response](http://philosophynow.org/issues/82/Morality_is_a_Culturally_Conditioned_Response)

# **I [31m] told my girlfriend [30f] that she is not a trophy wife or status symbol and that we are similar in attractiveness, she views it as me calling her old and ugly**

1 upvotes | June 10, 2020 | by [rothkochapel](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Crosspost "I [31m] told my girlfriend [30f] that she is not a trophy wife or status symbol and that we are similar in attractiveness, she views it as me calling her old and ugly" from [/r/relationship\\_advice](#):

---

A bit of background my girlfriend and I are 30 and 31 respectively. We have been dating for about a year. I work as a high-level engineer at a good firm and my girlfriend works as a payroll specialist at a good firm too. I make significantly more than her (3x).

Things were good in our relationship until I showed her my retirement/savings. She now doesn't see the point of working and has started framing our relationship in that, she is the beautiful one and that I am the nerdy engineer that was lucky to have her. Before, when we met she was all about making it her own way, eventually starting her own company with her sister in sourcing and recruiting. But now she jokes about driving a Range Rover and wearing Lululemon and going to Yoga.

We were having a discussion again about this 'trophy wife' stuff she brought up that I was nerdy back in the day while she was very popular. I told her she is not a trophy wife, that yes she is attractive but its not a huge difference between us.

I told her had it been the case that I met her when she was 22 and I was my current age than sure, but she isn't 22 anymore. After I said that she just started crying like crazy.

She started saying that I think of her as ugly and used up that her best years are behind are. She just told me that if I am not happy to be with her, why am I even here? to stop wasting her time.

I tried to talk to her but she was in no state for a conversation. I don't know what to say, guys, for me, I just wanted to say that I think we are of similar attractiveness. Like I don't think anyone when they see us turns their head and is like oh she is with him the cause of money? Or damn he is so lucky to be with her. I think it's mutual. She was the one that if anything went after my attractiveness first.

What should I do? I like the fact that we both work and I don't want to change that dynamic. And I don't want her to think too that she is above me that I am so lucky to have her. I want her to think of us as equals and in my attempt to do that I hurt her feelings. What's the next move?

**Tl;Dr- ever since my girlfriend found out about my savings she has more often entertained the idea of being a stay at home wife. She has tried to bring up the fact that she was more attractive than me as justification why I am so lucky to be with her and why I should accept this.**

---

Posted by [throwRA\\_trophy\\_gf](#) | 9 June 2020 | [Link](#)



# Introduction/ I was Already Red

1 upvotes | October 29, 2015 | by [Billee\\_Boyee](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Hi Already Red;

I've been 'Redpill' for quite some time, but only recently discovered Reddit and the redpill phenomena. By way of introduction to the group, I present a blog post I wrote 5 years ago, where I discuss many TRP and MGTOW topics long before finding the associated groups. There is nothing mind blowing to a Red Pillar in there, but it makes an interesting read to see the one voice shouting into the wind. I'm very glad to have found other voices shouting the same thing.

Please enjoy.

Recently I have been reading a lot about the phenomenon of Manchildren. These are men who in their twenties and even thirties (or in my case 43) have not yet faced up to the traditional responsibilities of men such as getting married and starting families. Statistics abound showing that a couple of generations ago men were marrying and fathering children much earlier, as a demographic, than they do today.

I have a theory on how this came to be.

It was the feminists.

A few generations ago there was a clear division of roles between men and women. As it had been since Civilization first flourished, women handled the domestic aspects of things, while the men went out and hunted and tilled the fields and fought the wars. This all made sense. Women had the wombs. Men were irascible and liked mud.

For ten thousand years Civilization evolved apace. Finally, a century or three ago we had the Industrial Revolution. Machines suddenly made workers more productive and it didn't take long to figure out that a woman could work a machine as well, or sometimes even better, than a man. Those nimble little fingers could do things mens larger hands cannot.

Now there was a new niche- the machine worker- and both men and women could fill it. This sparked the notion that the old ways might be obsolete. Women were tired of being second class citizens, and they had a point. Equal pay for equal work, voting rights, that sort of thing.

And the pendulum began to swing. Fine folks like Susan B Anthony and Sacajawea led the way, figuratively and literally, and the pendulum swung further. Over a few generations women won battle after battle in their fight for equal rights, by proving themselves worthy.

But then a funny thing happened. A couple of generations ago, when the pendulums motion was clearly unstoppable a new breed of feminist was born. This one decided that every glass ceiling was breakable and that the traditional role of domesticity was no longer enough.

And sure enough they were right! Women make excellent administrators, engineers, tradespeople, politicians, and laborers too!

Yaaay! In our last election our choices came down to a black president or a woman vice president, and the black guys main opponent for the chance to run was a woman (reportedly). It's only a matter of time before President Palin breaks that final glass. You betcha.

So now you are a young guy. You probably grew up in a home where you were never spanked, where your mom not only had a suit but she made your dad cook and clean and help with the traditionally

feminine domestic chores. You saw how your dad had no free time and then you were probably one of the families whose parents divorced so you watched your dad get kicked out of the home.

Now you are 24. You just graduated college with some sort of computer degree and have never held a job thanks to bank of Mom and Dad. You get a Playstation, a cable hookup and a big screen TV. You cannot find a job because, let's face it, the women are more ambitious, and you have porn so who needs a girlfriend anyhow? They cry a lot and don't forget, your dad is still paying child support on your younger brother. Don't want that! So it's Playstation and porn, beer and bonghits all day and night.

And now you are a 24 year old woman growing up in post feminist America. You decry the phenomena of manchildren. But here is a secret. Men are just boys who had no choice but to grow up. None of us wanted to. We wanted to play army and ride bikes forever, with Mom and Dad handling all the worrying.

Generations ago our young grew up fast. In the early part of the last century we sent two entire generations off to fight World Wars. They grew up at 18, and came home MEN. We needed them to do a very dirty job. As it had always been, we sent the young men off to war.

Today's young men get a different message. They grow up in daycare because mom is off to work, and see (usually) first hand how divorce sucks. Men are evolutionarily disadvantaged to rear children yet are obliged to take on the job...then lose a custody battle that leaves them indigent. Men are not needed. They are disenfranchised. They have had everything laid out for them, so unless it means finding Zelda's secret passage, they are incapable of initiative.

Modern culture personifies the myth with shows like the 2 1/2 Men, where Mr Nice Guy dad (Alan) gets screwed repeatedly, and Mr Dickhead (Charlie) is a super rich party guy who scores on every episode without a care in the world, except for his loser brother, Mr Nice Guy....

Who the heck wants that?

Here's the deal, folks. Men need to feel needed. In the Caveman days men didn't want to go out hunting mastodons and fighting sabre toothed tigers, but it needed doing and we were clearly the best suited for the job. We would MUCH rather have stayed home painting cave walls and playing Nintendo. Except maybe when all the women in the tribe were on their periods, then I bet we went hunting. But I digress.

Someone had to find food, and someone needed to raise babies. Women had the wombs and boobies. Men were stronger and faster. Neener neener, you gotta go our hunting while we stay home all snug and warm in our bearskin blankets...

Now nobody needs to hunt. Women want all the jobs. Men are not needed.

Yet evolution plays it's tricky hand. Ten thousand years of civilization, and a Brazilian years of evolution before that means women need men for at least ONE thing. Babies. We got you there! But hey. If you want all the jobs and have the wombs and all you need is some sperm now and again, well terrific! Give us a ring when you are ready. You know right where to find us, in our apartment, with our big screen TV, wanking to porn between games of Halo2.

When you realize running a rat race through a concrete jungle actually sucks- well let us know. We'd love to feel needed too. Give us back our manhood and we'll take it. We'll man up. Until then, thank Gloria Steinem for your sickly dating pool.

"To be completely woman you need a Master, and in him a compass for your life. You need a man

you can look up to and respect. If you dethrone him, it's no wonder that you are discontented, and discontented women are not loved for long." -attributed to Marlene Dietrich

Feminism is about choice, and equal rights under the law. That does not make us equal in capabilities. Yay. Women get to vote. Yay, women can work if they want to. Yay, they want to. Now I'm going to go play WoW.

# Ancient Greek and Viking Masculinity

1 upvotes | August 31, 2015 | by [wurdin](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## The "comfort test" shit test

1 upvotes | August 11, 2015 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# "Hell hath no fury like a woman scorned" is a load of bullshit

1 upvotes | July 26, 2015 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Cucked by an Angel: A Compilation of Cheating Sluts and Male Hamsters

1 upvotes | June 26, 2015 | by [jobs33ker](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# The Gentleperson's guide to forum spies

1 upvotes | June 12, 2015 | by [noPTSDformePlease](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---



## Are you Platos escaped prisoner in a world on easy mode?

1 upvotes | May 25, 2015 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Strategy to get a woman beta buxx?

1 upvotes | May 25, 2015 | by [Aiadon](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# True Success

1 upvotes | May 21, 2015 | by [noothercolors](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

**Women carry the DNA of male offspring and past sexual partners in their bloodstream. Providing yet another scientific basis for the male instinct to place higher value on childless virgin women.**

1 upvotes | April 15, 2015 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## **X-post, DarkEnlightenment: A super-short history of, "Why do people make such a big deal about college?"**

1 upvotes | April 4, 2015 | by [leftajar](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## **what is a fair division of household chores if one partner brings in 100% of the income?**

1 upvotes | January 24, 2015 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Women are like pre-programmed radar beacons for male SMV. It's about survival.

1 upvotes | September 2, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I came across some great comments in a thread on the OKcupid topic, which featured a wall-approaching woman who is trying to date a beta guy and doesn't understand why she is pining for her past alpha cock when she "knows" that good girls should like Nice Guys™

Comments correctly reinforced that Nice Guys™ are not attractive to women. They also do not understand why. And any chance to understand it has been brainwashed from them due to feminism.

| "Women are completely oblivious to their own natures..."

/u/wakethfkupneo

Women have no incentive to understand the nuances of human sexual dynamics. In that vein, most women succeed simply by existing. Recall that their mating strategy is to get pretty and simply wait. Top that up with a heavily-frontloaded SMV.

Men, on the other hand, have every need of that knowledge. Men can easily fail and fall through the cracks. There are tons of men that no one wants to fuck. Men need to figure out what the problem is and how to fix it -- in this case, repairing their abysmal SMV's.

Just the nature of the game.

/u/Cyralea

---

These comments got me thinking as follows:

Women do not find these guys attractive because they are like pre-programmed radar beacons for male SMV, at least regarding their sexual nature.

While being nice shows you adhere to "modern" social expectations/legislated behaviors that have been developed within the past few hundred years, it also indicates you lack evolutionary fitness indicators.

Basically, being "nice" is a turn off (emotionally, sexually, evolutionarily...except not logically, which is why women still hold onto it as a fantasy).

Women, with their male SMV radar, can sniff this out like a good police dog. They are programmed to do this. It's in their nature and evolution gave them this incredible ability to *ensure* that 50% of their child's genes are the *best available*. We can give ladies props in this regard.

That is why they inexplicably, mysteriously, confusingly (insert hamster word here) are driven to mate with alphas. This is why they find beta nice guys unchallenging, boring, and disgusting. Their radar alerts to them to the fact that men who are unable to take, assert, punish, execute, etc. are simply not as good at surviving as potentially poisslbe, and thus their child would not be as good at surviving either.

In short, Nice Guys™ as an institution represents everything that is risky/unsafe for women's own

procreation and survival.

**This is why women are confused when they meet a "safe" Nice Guy and like his beta bucks but aren't necessarily attracted to him; In terms of evolution/sex, he is actually the most UNSAFE option**

---

*\*Clarifications/Additions*

*Okay...why you acting like girls just wanna get knocked up? Not every girl is looking for a baby daddy*

Yes, but while not all sex is not procreative these days, a) some of it still is, b) regardless, the factors/motivations that *drive* humans to have sex (regardless of intent) haven't changed.

*Um, bad boys aren't the best at surviving! Nice guys are reliable and don't get in trouble in today's society!*

a) Firstly, being reliable doesn't mean you have are going to have higher social/money/sexual capital to spend. It only means you don't lose whatever little SMV you have in the first place. Congrats. b) Most importantly, survival is meant literally. I don't care about surviving during rush hour and making a good impression at weekly church bingo night. I mean surviving by dominating those who want to harm you + procreation.

We are fortunate to live in an era (and most of us, a part of the world) where "survival" as a word has lost its real meaning. But make no mistake, the Dark Triad "sociopaths" our society labels today would have been village/city/country/army leaders hundreds or thousands of years ago. These are the real survivors. And most importantly, they're the men who were most powerful thousands of years ago when women's evolutionary instincts were imprinted.

This is why women are attracted to "bad/crazy/evil" guys. **Take away the moral presuppositions, and you realize women are attracted to "survivors" and "powerful" men. That's why redpill sexual strategy is "amoral", because survival is ultimately amoral.**



# Love is not a form of currency

1 upvotes | August 17, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# Peter Griffin: Red Pill Hero (An Analysis and Critique of Mainstream Media)

1 upvotes | August 12, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# One Hamster to Rule Them All

1 upvotes | July 21, 2014 | by [Pushnikov](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## **[RP Theory] The hunt for life-long pedestal**

1 upvotes | July 12, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Wife is Smarter than Me. Feeling Masculine?

1 upvotes | June 10, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Be angry, but don't be bitter.

1 upvotes | June 8, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# Why slut shaming women is justified and slut shaming men is not.

1 upvotes | June 5, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

**123**

1 upvotes | May 28, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

123



## Time to take this to the next level.

1 upvotes | May 20, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Field Report: Churchian Lulz

1 upvotes | May 11, 2014 | by [Doctor\\_Mayhem](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Early signs you were stoic / RP?

1 upvotes | May 9, 2014 | by [BrianFantanaFan](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Insecurity about dick size

1 upvotes | May 6, 2014 | by [Fishy\\_the\\_salty](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

**This is exhausting.**

1 upvotes | May 4, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## **Woman love to be validated and beta men will line up to provide this to them (or "how to troll r/theredpill")**

1 upvotes | April 26, 2014 | by [SwimmingDutch](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

**TIL that increasing leads by 150% is of no consequence.**

1 upvotes | April 18, 2014 | by [sir\\_wankalot\\_here](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# Why average and above average women (6-8) act like they're hotter than beauties (9-10) can be explained by extrapolating the Dunning Kruger effect

1 upvotes | April 14, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Say you have a really smart guy and a guy who thinks he's smart. Which one has the higher ego that translates through in social interactions and his disposition? The guy who is legitimately brainier but rarely validated for his smarts or the average guy who is actively praised?

What's the difference between these two people in this scenario? Feedback loops via external validation. Most likely the really smart guy was never told he was smart being a social recluse, or, he was actively bullied for being smart, being told he isn't really smart at all and rather than reasoning, he emoted and held this idea as true. He does well in tests, scores high grades and etc, but because he didn't have the correct social feedback he doesn't develop an enormous ego out of his talent.

Now the average guy who thinks he's smart, but is average, was always told he was smart by his parents, family and a following of loyal peers, he's not really that smart, he's of average intelligence, but he is far more assured of himself and believes on a metaphysical level that he is quite smart. He gets lower scores than the overachieving smart guy, but he does well enough to have "evidence" that he is smart, essentially the bit of smartness he does have is INFLATED and made a big deal out of, creating someone who thinks they're some kind of genius when really they're not.

Now in reality, the smart guy is more intelligent than the average guy. Socially, the average guy communicates he is smarter with his attitude than the brainy guy. The brainy guy has little external validation of his intelligence, just test scores, which doesn't yield a strong positive feedback loop for him. The average guy with good family and social group does get a strong positive feedback loop for his average "C grades" and therefore gets the validation required to supplement ego growth, which eventually manifest as personality traits and a confidently intelligent charm.

Conclusion: average guy thinks he's a genius, smart guy due to lack of validation assumes he can't be that smart, if he was, more people would praise him and he'd have some kind of status for being so smart, but he doesn't, so reasoning with emotions and ego, he incorrectly concludes he is not that smart contrary to the fact he gets straight A's. On one level, **he knows he's smart, but socially, he doesn't feel smart.** This is all about social conditioning, not objective truths, when you're dealing with people's feelings and perceptions.

It's the dunning kruger effect in play, the dumber you are, the more likely you are to have a superiority complex as you emote a sense of smartness rather than reason you are smart:

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dunning%E2%80%93Kruger\\_effect](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dunning%E2%80%93Kruger_effect)

Now extrapolate this idea to women. The less pretty she is (to a point at least, even rationalisation has a problem if you are disfigured or look abhorrent), the more likely she is to have a superiority complex about her appearance. More attention = higher ego, regardless of whether she's uglier than the 10 or not, to her ego that's irrelevant, if a woman is rewarded more for being an 8 than she is rewarded for being a 10, she'd rather be an 8. With many guys being too pussy shit to hit on a 9 or 10 "she's out of my league dude!" the 6-8's get validated more than the 9-10s.

What's the point of being a 10 if being a 10 brings less romantic opportunity and attention than being



an 8 does? What's the point in having a 180 IQ and being a social outcast if people with 110 IQ are accepted by society and feel better about themselves? **I'm not saying being a 10 or having an ultra high IQ always results in ostracisation, but WHEN IT DOES, it explains why such individuals have much weaker egos, whilst their inferior "average" counterparts do not.**

Ultimately it's all emotional, exceptional talent becomes a burden when it impedes on one's happiness via the social hierarchy. The assumption that more talent in something equals more happiness is incorrect if that talent doesn't yield the correct social feedback to the individual. Plenty of super-intelligent guys out there who would give anything to be alleviated of their understanding. Plenty of 10's who in their jealousy, would kill for the attention that the average 7 or 8 gets.

People form self-perception and ego in the absence of personality disorders from social feedback, women are especially fallible to this, but men are at no exception. The reason I chose men and intelligence as a point of comparison is because men often have the same narcissism for their intelligence as women hold for physical beauty. Obviously some men are narcissistic of their looks (the ones who always got told they were hot) and some women are narcissistic of their intelligence (the ones who always got told they were brainy), but the general trend is the inverse.

There could be a correlation between narcissism (where people derive their ego from) and gender dependant sexual strategy, for example, men are expected to be providers, the smarter a person is the better a provider they are perceived to make. This would explain why the trend in males is to be narcissistic about intelligence as the idea they are smart ensures their reproductive success. With women, their value is derived from how desired they are, the more desired she is, the better a provider she can attain for herself and any children she has, thus a narcissism about her physical beauty and the idea she is seen as beautiful helps ensure her reproductive success.

Obviously, there are 10's who know they're 10's and there are smart guys who know they are smart, however in the absence of such behaviour Dunning Kruger explains their lack of narcissism whilst simultaneously explaining unwarranted narcissism with the average and mediocre of the populace.

Food for thought. Discuss, criticise etc.

## Being an omega video game player makes you aggressive :-)

1 upvotes | April 13, 2014 | by [sir\\_wankalot\\_here](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## **Collection of Redpill (and politically incorrect) Science articles. /r/RedPillScience**

1 upvotes | April 13, 2014 | by [ugdr6424](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# The Law of Large Numbers, Or How To Succeed

1 upvotes | April 7, 2014 | by [BellatorCordis](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Your thoughts on the "Ring of Gyges."

1 upvotes | April 1, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## TRP is going to be the next In Club

1 upvotes | March 22, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## What is your opinion on Christopher Hyatt's books ?

1 upvotes | March 21, 2014 | by [sir\\_wankalot\\_here](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# Holy fuck men, online dating is god awful

1 upvotes | March 20, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---



## The Break Up Guide - Hope it helps someone

1 upvotes | March 15, 2014 | by [BadboysLifestyle](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## **Dead obese woman had so much body fat she set the building on fire during her cremation**

1 upvotes | March 10, 2014 | by [favours\\_of\\_the\\_moon](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Ideas behind TRP

1 upvotes | March 9, 2014 | by [mikado12](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## **Killer Instinct and the Screening Mentality - the best way to actually get laid a lot**

1 upvotes | March 7, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# On the implications of powertalk and other language categories.

1 upvotes | March 6, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

By now, many of us have read Venkatesh Rao's interesting column, linked [here](#) by /u/TRPsubmitter, on different kinds of language in the workplace.

Already it is generating some commentary, mostly focused on the concept of the "PowerTalk" language... because communications in that language are the ones which cause real change.

However, in order to understand the concept of powertalk in general, we have to tease it away from examples that make it specific to "The Office" as a story, and the workplace as a setting, and create some more general definitions.

What are distinguishing characteristics of powertalk?

- It is used to get something for oneself, rather than to convey information.
- It can be truth or lies, according to the needs of the speaker.
- It is plausibly deniable.

The distinguishing feature of sociopaths, or power players, if you will, is that they are fluent in powertalk. This sets them apart from the group Rao calls "Clueless", which we might call naive, or surface communicators, or spergs, in that this group mistakes powertalk for straighttalk.

Now, EVERYONE engages in straighttalk from time to time. How long does that machine bolt need to be? Where is Sausalito? Will you that be for here or to go? What were the results of our wind tunnel study? Straighttalk just means language used to communicate a piece of information.

But the distinguishing characteristic of the sperg is that they engage in straighttalk *all the time*.

The third type, whom Rao calls "Losers", which we might call "awakened" or "cynics", is that they are aware of the existence of powertalk, but are unable or unwilling to accept it as just a morally neutral reality, and to employ it to their advantage. Instead, they observe and often resent it. They are stuck in the question of whether powertalk is "good" or "bad", and unable to consider the "how" of using it.

They engage in straighttalk at times, but not always. They also engage in what Rao calls "GameTalk" which is like powertalk, but with out key difference... it is self-oriented.

In other words:

- It can be truth or lies, according to the needs of the speaker.
- It is plausibly deniable.
- BUT unlike powertalk, is it used to make oneself feel better, or feel a certain way that one wants to feel. Instead of being oriented towards external goals, it pursues internal ones.

So, having taken a slightly different spin here, what does this get us?

Several startling but enlightening conclusions:

- Women are usually far more skilled at powertalk than men. Most of them instinctively understand that you don't say things because they're true, you say things to get what you want. If they're true, that's just a coincidence.
- Women's alternative to powertalk is usually gametalk, men's is usually straighttalk.
- This means that where and when men or women are successful and in power, they are both "power players/sociopaths", but the failure modes are different.
- Where and when women are unsuccessful, their failure mode is often "gametalk". They are in too much emotional turmoil to manipulate effectively, and must spend energy making themselves feel better.
- Where and when men are unsuccessful, their failure mode is mostly "straighttalk". They are unable to see that they are not being given the actual story, and that they must sniff it out for themselves. They waste energy responding to illusions someone else has created for them.
- What we are doing here in TRP is trying to engage in straighttalk ABOUT powertalk. This purpose of this is for people who are used to straighttalk to learn powertalk. This requires straighttalk because the number one rule of powertalk is that you never admit to the existence of powertalk.
- This is why women hate TRP and love the techniques it teaches. A man who can engage in powertalk, and use its rules to make himself attractive, turns them on. But TRP isn't powertalk. It's *straighttalk ABOUT powertalk*. The more they love skilled and fluent powertalk, the more they hate seeing the veil ripped off it (because doing so is bad powertalk).
- Feminism is powertalk. Example: Not only does no not always mean no, "No means no" doesn't mean "no means no". It doesn't mean "All men must ask permission before mating". "No mean no" means "If you can't both discern the difference between no that no, and no that means yes, AND discern that 'no means no' doesn't apply to everyone, then we want YOU to be in the group of men that asks permission before mating. Because your lack of powertalk skills is unattractive, and we want to make sure that you don't try to sex us without first giving us an escape valve that we can use without looking mean."
- The only way to tell the difference between skilled-enough powertalk, and straighttalk is observe the actions of the speaker.
- Feminists calling TRP neckbearded quasi-rapists is more powertalk. Neckbeard is their powertalk code word for "unattractive", but the real unattractiveness they fear is his lack of mastery of powertalk. They're afraid of the creation of a sort of hybrid, a man who understands powertalk well enough to see through their bullshit, but not well enough to create his own bullshit and actually thus BE attractive. That's why they use the term "rapist"... because such a man is indeed frighteningly like a rapist... he ignores social defenses against unattractive men (because he can READ powertalk), but he can't make the leap to being an attractive man (because he cannot WRITE powertalk).
- For this reason, TRP is and must be, not a public forum for calling women out on their bullshit, but a private lab for teaching each other to play the bullshit game.

**test**

1 upvotes | March 6, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

test

## Age for sexual prime / highest sexual value

1 upvotes | March 3, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---



## New subreddit: TrueBluePill

1 upvotes | March 2, 2014 | by [rogueman999](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

**test**

1 upvotes | February 25, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

test

## test test

1 upvotes | February 25, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## **"Life in the Fast Lane - Why do sex, drugs, and Rock N' Roll always seem to go together? "; or How Our Sexual Strategies Develop with Gender Differentiation.**

1 upvotes | February 17, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

<http://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/beautiful-minds/201007/life-in-the-fast-lane-part-i-evolution-the-fast-life>

**Just watched House of Cards Season 2 Episode 1. Holy shit if you want to see a dark triad character.**

1 upvotes | February 14, 2014 | by [deepthrill](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## **Blue Pill -> Red Pill Strategy - 1 week duration - ultimatum - nuclear option.**

1 upvotes | January 20, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

.

# Ideology

1 upvotes | January 10, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

.

## Dread Game

1 upvotes | January 9, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

.



# Dark Triad

1 upvotes | January 9, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

.

## Examining one aspect to the background to human behavior and attraction.

1 upvotes | January 9, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

.

## Desires

1 upvotes | January 9, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

.

## Red Pill

1 upvotes | January 9, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

.

## Analyzing the rational behind dating advice from both sexes

1 upvotes | January 8, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

.

## what is this subreddit about

1 upvotes | November 30, 2022 | by [empirecrumbles](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# My Secret To Cold Approach

1 upvotes | April 10, 2023 | by [MarkyBarkyShmarky](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Crosspost "My Secret To Cold Approach" from /r/r4r\_dating:

---

Have you been struggling with cold approach?

Not to worry, gents!

I have developed The Core Formula.....

You need to establish TWO things when you walk up to a woman.

- Safety (That she can trust you and you're a real person. Ask her for her name, give her yours. Talk about yourselves: where you guys are from originally, what you guys do for work/college major, etc...)
- Competence (That you are a high value man. This can be accomplished y making a few witty jokes. Talk yourself up a bit sarcastically.)

For more CLASSY dating advice, check out my author page:

<https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0C1TL6QJW>

---

Posted by MarkyBarkyShmarky | 10 April 2023 | [Link](#)

# The Core Formula

1 upvotes | April 9, 2023 | by [MarkTheBarkingShark](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Crosspost "The Core Formula" from /r/IncelSolutions:

---

Hello, gentlemen.

I see that there is a lot of hardship in the Incel community. Worry not. I have a solution.

I call it The Core Formula.

The Core Formula is a method that allows a man to know EXACTLY what to do when he approaches a woman. Get ready. Put on your seatbelt. I'm about to blow your minds.

All you need to establish is two things when you approach a woman with romantic intent at heart:

1. You need to show that you're capable of handling the hardships of the world. Do this by making a witty joke or two that's relevant to the situation (you can joke about the weather if it's raining, or about how fashionable she is, if she's wearing a dress). This makes you seem confident, even if you're terrified. She doesn't know what she can't see.
2. You need to show that you are trustworthy. Do this by engaging in a little bit of get-to-know-you conversation. (Talk about if she's from here originally, what she works as, etc.....)

And BOOOOOOOM!!!!

You just set up a date.

For more zesty w-rizz dating advice that will turn you into an absolute CHAD:

<https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0C1TL6QJW>

---

Posted by MarkTheBarkingShark | 9 April 2023 | [Link](#)



# What the hell is so red pill about the Red Pill?

1 upvotes | September 22, 2014 | by [alreadyredschool](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

What did TRP find out? That women are not as pure as angels? That women prefer Alpha males over betas? That men are disposable? That women are attracted to confidence?

This is no eye opener at all. Anyways if these statements are red pill why are most threads dealing with something else?

Because the red pill subreddit is an answer to the stuff said above. The solution.

Men are disposable - Make yourself in-disposable.

Women prefer Alphas - Become an Alpha.

Most women suck - Next her, date many women - spin plates, increase your chance to meet a better one and expect less from them.

Did I forget something? (yes, feminism bashing, MRA and blue pill example, but those can be ignored.)

Anyways there are still tons of other threads.

## **Stress management strategy**

Most of the threads are basically nothing else than stress management. Because stress management is a mix of alpha behavior and inner frame.

### General stress management

- Learn how to say “no” – Know your limits and stick to them. Whether in your personal or professional life, taking on more than you can handle is a surefire recipe for stress.
- Avoid people who stress you out – If someone consistently causes stress in your life and you can’t turn the relationship around, limit the amount of time you spend with that person or end the relationship entirely.
- Take control of your environment – If the evening news makes you anxious, turn the TV off. If traffic’s got you tense, take a longer but less-traveled route. If going to the market is an unpleasant chore, do your grocery shopping online.
- Avoid hot-button topics – If you get upset over religion or politics, cross them off your conversation list. If you repeatedly argue about the same subject with the same people, stop bringing it up or excuse yourself when it’s the topic of discussion.
- Pare down your to-do list – Analyze your schedule, responsibilities, and daily tasks. If you’ve got too much on your plate, distinguish between the “shoulds” and the “musts.” Drop tasks that aren’t truly necessary to the bottom of the list or eliminate them entirely.
- Be more assertive. Don’t take a backseat in your own life. Deal with problems head on, doing your best to anticipate and prevent them. If you’ve got an exam to study for and your chatty roommate just got home, say up front that you only have five minutes to talk.
- Adjust your standards. Perfectionism is a major source of avoidable stress. Stop setting yourself up for failure by demanding perfection. Set reasonable standards for yourself and others, and learn to be okay with “good enough.”

- Don't try to control the uncontrollable. Many things in life are beyond our control—particularly the behavior of other people. Rather than stressing out over them, focus on the things you can control such as the way you choose to react to problems.
- Look for the upside. As the saying goes, “What doesn't kill us makes us stronger.” When facing major challenges, try to look at them as opportunities for personal growth. If your own poor choices contributed to a stressful situation, reflect on them and learn from your mistakes.
- Learn to forgive. Accept the fact that we live in an imperfect world and that people make mistakes. Let go of anger and resentments. Free yourself from negative energy by forgiving and moving on.
- Exercise regularly. Physical activity plays a key role in reducing and preventing the effects of stress. Make time for at least 30 minutes of exercise, three times per week. Nothing beats aerobic exercise for releasing pent-up stress and tension.
- Eat a healthy diet. Well-nourished bodies are better prepared to cope with stress, so be mindful of what you eat. Start your day right with breakfast, and keep your energy up and your mind clear with balanced, nutritious meals throughout the day.

#### Another stress management strategy:

Many who people are passive slowly start to become more self-assertive. The typical RP way to become assertive is to become a narcissistic egoist (i.e. Put yourself first). Then you are normally too aggressive and slowly tune it down to reasonable levels. This is another reason why TRP has such a bad reputation, because it "breed" egoists.

#### **Preselection:**

The sexual cues that tends to trigger arousal in women are mainly psychological, including a man's social status, his confidence, his desire and ability to protect his family, his emotional availability, his emotional commitment, his strong sexual desire for her, and **his popularity with other women**—all common elements in romantic and erotic stories for women.

#### **Dark Triad**

- Women are attracted to confidence; No one is more confident than a narcissist.
- Women are attracted to calm strength, not anxiety; No one is more calm than a sociopath.
- Women are attracted to dominant men; Disagreeable, even violent men, are the most dominant.
- Women are attracted to men who embrace risk; Dangerous men, and men in dangerous settings are the most comfortable embracing risk.
- Women are attracted to men with status; Machiavellian men live for status

**General advice:** These 2 links are full of tricks that could or already did land on the front page of TRP.

#### Things mentally strong people don't do:

- Stay In Their Comfort Zones
- Get Envious
- Avoid Decisions

- Let Others Control Them
- Think About Failure
- Try To Please Everyone
- Worry About The Future
- Let Their Emotions Control Them

#### Things you are doing wrong:

- Expecting people to be perfect.
- Focusing on everything and everyone except YOU.
- Accepting less than you know you deserve.
- Worrying about things that can't be changed.

Most of the other general advice is just stoicism.

#### **Looks, body language**

Come on, do I really have to google that, there is tons of stuff on fashion, working out, style and body language. Obligatory: Your body language shapes who you are

#### **AWALT, Captain and first mate dynamic, amused mastery, women in general**

I don't think that anyone who talks to women frequently hasn't figured out that they are no adults and treating them like kids is the modus operandi.

#### **Whatever:**

Back in 1994 Michel Houellebecq wrote Whatever. It is a great read, I highly recommend it to everyone. It literally spouts RP truths all around. For example: It mentioned that women can't bond after 2 years of slutting around. That women in therapy are horrible partners and much much more. 1994, and all that knowledge has already been there...

#### **Closing thoughts**

I don't think that there is a single concept on TRP that isn't well known.

This stuff is also the main reason so many threads start with: "Found RP and it confirmed everything I already knew." Because it is common knowledge.

I mean even some pimp managed to figure it all out.

**Platecount! Why don't we settle the "redpill doesn't work" debate once and for all and start a platesub complete with pictures and numbers? Sharing plate adventures would be fun!**

0 upvotes | September 11, 2015 | by [Knownformadness](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

[removed]

## Don't respect my orientation

0 upvotes | July 12, 2015 | by [megadeth9999](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

# In Wretched Defense of Roosh

0 upvotes | May 29, 2015 | by [Doctor\\_Mayhem](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

I posted this on TRP some days ago because I hoped to cause massive butthurt. The subreddit is being overrun by autistic motherfuckers. They remind me of this guy, from Le Chateau Autiste: <https://soundcloud.com/the-right-stuff-1/le-chateau-autiste-02?in=the-right-stuff-1/sets/le-chateau-autiste>

Here's the post:

I'll start with this TL;DR Roosh's post isn't wrong and there is no reason to get butthurt or feel insulted.

In light of the recent dustup where Roosh supposedly bashed TRP, I decided that I would analyze the statement that started the whole thing, and present my post to you all to determine if it was really as bad as it seemed. I should note, that I will not analyze his video, simply because it was a response and because we can all agree that he said a few stupid things in it.

For the record, I think that Roosh detaching from the "Red Pill" label and community is some stupid, special snowflake shit and I don't agree with it at all, but, I can get why he's doing it, as I will explain below.

The red pill is a non-commercial version of PUA with cultural observations thrown in. They hold firmly and obsessively onto rigid dogmas such as the alpha/beta male dichotomy to explain all male behavior while basing their "truths" upon a shaky foundation of pop evolution.

I think that this starts the crux of his wanting to detach. Roosh has made it clear several times that he has always been uncomfortable with the growing association of "Red Pill" with white nationalism and how HBD is often used to justify racist beliefs. His analysis of the alpha/beta dichotomy being shaky is not without merit, either. For too often, even a casual observer can note that a man's sole worth is often attached to his notch count. Even Vox Day's improved sociosexual hierarchy, seems to devolve into notch counts making the man.

Because it has no council of elders to guide the ideology, it is now being steered by the mob and watered down—or outright trolled—by entryists who are blue pill.

Democracy is, hands down, the absolute worst system of governance imaginable. All democratic societies and groups eventually devolve into the lowest common denominator. Even Matt Forney (whom I once dubbed "The Manosphere's King of Missing the Point") had to point out just how autistic this subreddit seems to have been getting. Anyone with eyes can also see the stagnation in regards to new material that is being put out.

As for being trolled by blue pill entryists, I think there is no debate as to whether or not that is happening. On top of that, we are beholden to a company run by SJWs. One has to ask how long this can go on. Free Northerner has a great post that covers more of it:

<http://freenortherner.com/2014/03/21/entryism-in-the-manosphere/>

There is no denying that game works, but it can't exist in isolation without a complementary ideology that gives men life guidance besides just sex. Hence, neomascularity, which has

begun to touch on politics and I predict will soon branch out to aiding women (on separate platforms), for one can easily see the folly of elevating the value of men while not doing the same for women (i.e. “You are now a virtuous man, but during the time you gained great virtue and self-actualization, women have declined in their virtue and weigh 175 pounds on average”).

This is something I had been saying for a while. If Red Pill philosophy and principles are to get anywhere in broader society, then it must be outright political. Not just any political alignment, TRP must be an overtly Right-Wing political philosophy. As the old saying goes, anything not explicitly right-wing, will soon be subverted to left-wing ends. TRP is no exception. If we do not come out and overtly state ourselves as right-wing and reactionary, then SJW infiltration will continue. The closest thing that TRP has ever espoused was being libertarian. This will not work. Libertarianism is not a movement, libertarianism is a hobby.

I will help develop neomascularity with the community—and its analogous form neofemininity—into a complete philosophy that doesn’t focus only on Western-style casual sex. Men will need answers for how to live and understand the world not just during their most horny years, but also for a long time thereafter. The other men’s groups are needed, for they serve men at a specific point in their lives, but ultimately those groups will be stepping stones for the final destination of neomascularity.

Now, this is pretty much what TRP has already been doing and needs no further breakdown.

# Sex is the prize

0 upvotes | November 13, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

*More from the No Shit, Sherlock dept.*

We as people are constantly fed images of superheroes that won the genetic lottery having limitless sex. In men particularly, it stirs up one very prominent thought pattern: **If I am alpha, I will get sex on tap. All I need to do is maximize LMS and the panties will fire off like splooshy projectiles directly at my person.**

While true to a point (usually the point at which oversexed boredom sets in), "be alpha" is not the right mindset to carry around with you constantly. With such a mentality, the focus is on **getting there**, which can lead to a perpetual state of daydreaming.

There were times in my life where this daydreaming lasted years. When you're just starting out, a lack of clear mental focus in regard to goals can have adverse affects.

Let's not kid ourselves. **Sex is the prize.**

Alphas know this and have chosen to make the effort to win **the act of sex**. Betas have been programmed to think that the acquisition of **pussy itself** is the prize, which is where they falter. Such subtle trickery is everywhere in our feminized society.

By all means, feel free to adopt the "I am the prize" PUA mentality as an alternative or even in conjunction. There's definitely some good to be had with that, but it doesn't quite cut it.

But the thing about objective truth is: it doesn't belong to you. It doesn't belong to me. It doesn't belong to anyone. The universe doesn't give one single shit about anybody. Certain truths in life bite **everyone** hard. Just Google "sex is a reward" and you'll see the hamstering for yourself.

Yes, women can get sex at the drop of the hat. Men have to work for it. It's a double standard, but nobody is to blame. Nature is to blame.

It can be hard for a man to accept that he's a slave to pussy. Growing up, I was encouraged by well-intending parents not to become pussywhipped. Dad even used that exact word a few times.

The end result of that is I became depressed, whiny, angry, and frustrated because I was fighting the good fight inside. It effectively destroyed what could have been a very active sex life because I ended up antagonizing women, even **actively** driving them away instead of attracting them. I was never ready, and they were never good enough.

The fact is, **all men are pussywhipped**. Yes, we are slaves to the vajay. There's no getting around it. Genetics are a bitch. But a redpill man comes armed with understanding. A redpill man knows what to expect and what is expected. A redpill man knows the economics of the sexual marketplace. A redpill man knows there is work that he alone must do to win that prize. A redpill man knows how to play the game.

I can accept that. Because it beats being a slave to emotions.

Sex is the prize.



## Common phrases we hate

0 upvotes | September 7, 2014 | by [projectmayhem2](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## **/r/TRP Sub Needs to Improve**

0 upvotes | May 18, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Donald Sterling

0 upvotes | April 30, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Woman Who FAILED Marine Trainging: IT'S THE MARINE'S FAULT!

0 upvotes | April 22, 2014 | by [favours\\_of\\_the\\_moon](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## It saddens me how Blue Pill my Father is.

0 upvotes | March 25, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Around several weeks ago I fully became very red pill. For the better, as you can see in a post I did the other day.

However, my god is my father blue pill. Its beyond pathetic. We clash every single waking hour of the day. He had to pull me aside on the weekend.

He was discussing how he likes to respect women, and how he would do anything for his sister so on etc. All good to a certain degree.

But I remember the stuff my beloved father distilled into my head from a young age:

1. Always buy her flowers.
2. Respect women, and be polite.
3. Be unselfish make her feel really comfortable.
4. Never swear, never be rude or cocky.
5. Always take her for dinner.
6. Remind her how beautiful she is.
7. Just "go for it" as in ask her out.
8. Take her on the most amazing date ever.
9. Wear a suit when you take her out.
10. Try and get a kiss!

This disgusting bollocks is what was brainwashed into me all my adult life.

On saturday my dad clashed with me big time, when he saw the way I told a woman we walked past she had a nice ass.

"YOU SHOULDN'T BE RUDE TO WOMEN"

Coming from a man who's wife (my mum) has walked all over him all his life. I once told him he was a walking mat, it hit him very hard.

My question is, without any male role models in my life, I'm delighted to have found this forum. Were your fathers very blue pill too?

## testetsts

0 upvotes | March 8, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

## Red Pill Shenanigans [Music]

0 upvotes | March 5, 2014 | by [Nitzi](#) | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

Enjoy!

[Alpha widow Anthem](#)

[Alpha widow creator anthem](#)

[Unicorn hunter anthem](#)

[Oneitis anthem](#)

[Oneitis anthem V2](#)

[MGTOW Anthem](#)

[Winning anthem](#)

[A song about solid dread game](#)

## Girlfriend gets headaches after sex.

0 upvotes | February 23, 2014 | by [deleted] | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

---

My girlfriend who I've been with for about a year has started to get headaches after we have sex. They're really bad at first but seem to go away after about a half hour or so.

Last night we were talking and trying to figure out why when it comes to us that she's stifling herself much more than normal lately. Her orgasms are harder and she doesn't want to wake up my kids when at my house and doesn't want to wake up her kids at her house. She'll usually cum three or four times for every time I do and keeping quiet seems to put quite a strain on her.

Before going to sleep I offered a solution. We can just switch to anal, last time we did anal her ass hurt for 5 days, I don't think she'll feel the headache while her ass is hurting.

Not sure why I'm posting this other than I find it amusing.