

M_Justice ARCHIVE

compiled by /u/dream-hunter

May 15, 2023

www.TheRedArchive.com

Table of Contents

Gurbaksh Chahal, Indian-Origin Silicon Valley Mogul, Jailed In Domestic Violence Case	7
A Steady Erosion of Men's Rights At the Supreme Court - This is State Of Our Country... ..	8
Men Will Face Minimum 3-8 Years in Prison for Sex With A Drunk Woman Under California's New "Rape" Law	9
How California's New Rape Law Could Be a Step Backward	10
Another Greedy Female Law Firm Partner Bring Sex-Discrimination Suit	11
"The Last Man" - A Brief History of Male Subjugation	12
"The Last Man" - A Brief History of Male Subjugation	13
"The Last Man" - A Brief History of Male Subjugation	17
Trump quoted as saying: "When you're a star, they let you do it. You can do anything ... Grab them by the pussy. You can do anything." Thoughts?	18
Allstate Insurance Ad Capitalizes on Domestic Violence; Introduces New Lingo of "Financial Abuse"	19
This U.S Presidential Election is a Referendum On Men's Rights	20
Anderson Cooper Falsely Accuses Donald Trump of "Sexual Assault"	21
Michelle Obama Joins Feminist Choir of Trumped Up "Sexual Assault" Charges - "THAT GUY AT WORK THAT STANDS JUST A LITTLE TOO CLOSE, STAIRS A LITTLE TOO LONG"	22
Michelle Obama Joins Feminist Choir To Echo Trumped Up Sexual Assault Charges	23
looking for statistics of how men lost more money than women in divorce if marriage laws are supposed to be gender neutral	24
I'm at a bar watching a 50-ish cougar woman "sexually assault" a younger woman in her late 20's over a nanny interview. Is this the new norm?	25
California Eliminates Statute of Limitations On Rape and Sexual Assault Crimes (40 years later, "he raped me" allegations are OK)	26
California Eliminates Statute of Limitations On Rape and Sexual Assault (40 years later, life shattering "he raped me" allegations are OK and chargeable)	27
How the Third Wave of Feminism Hijacked The Legal System To Target Men	28
How the Third Wave of Feminism Hijacked The Legal System	29
What the Trump Presidency Means for Men	30
What the Trump Presidency Means for Men	31
What the Trump Presidency Means For Men	32
Woman gets drunk and stoned and has sex with a guy in the restroom; judge asks pressing questions during trial and acquits the man; feminists complain and the decision is reversed and the judge is being investigated and will lose his job	33
"Rape Free" consent now requires all females to make sexual advances. Work out, train your mind and chill, things will soon change.	34
College Campuses Silence Men's Speech By Banning Sports	35
College Campuses Silence Men's Speech By Banning Sports	36
NY Times Op Ed Writer Denounces Classic Nintendo Super Mario Brothers Game As "Sexist"	

and Won't Let His Daughter Play it Because Mario Saves A Princess Who Promises to Bake Him A Cake; Calls For More Gender Neutral Games That Depict A Transgender Woman Beginning Hormone Replacement Therapy	37
NY Times Op-Ed Writer Denounces Classic Nintendo Super Mario Brothers Game As Sexist and Won't Let His Daughter Play It Because Princess Peach Promises to Bake Mario a Cake; Calls For More Gender Neutral Games Such As Ones Depicting A Transgender Woman Beginning Hormone Replacement Therapy	38
New evidence says US sex-offender policies don't work, and are actually causing more crime â□â□ Quartz	39
Happy New Year's men! I'm shorting the feminist market in 2017. Let's ride the Men's Bull market.	40
Men: I'm shorting feminism in 2017 and bullish on Men's Rights. Let's make this a profitable, healthy and happy New Year for men across the world.	41
On Feminism	42
Why Russia's Decriminalizing Domestic Violence Is A Sensible Move That Western Countries Must Follow	43
Why Russia's Decriminalizing Domestic Violence Is A Sensible Move And Western Countries Should Follow	44
Fox News Submits to More Feminist Lynching - Bill O'Reilly Is Forced Out at Fox News	45
Daily Beast Feminist Writer Takes Aim at Reddit's Red Pill Forum - Calls its members "rape sympathizers" and "women haters"	46
Daily Beast Feminist Writer Calls Reddit "Red Pill" Site A Forum For "Misogynists", "Women Haters", and "Rape Sympathizers" - CALL FOR ACTION: ALL RED PILLERS SHOULD LEAVE COMMENTS FOR THIS ARTICLE ON DAILY BEAST AS CRITICAL OF FREE SPEECH	47
Daily Beast Article Unfairly Attacks Reddit's "The Red Pill" Forum As a Group of "Women Haters", "Misogynists", and "Rape Sympathizers"	48
California To Amend Rape Laws (Again) To Target Men With "Stealthing"	49
California To Amend Rape Laws (Again) To Target Men With "Stealthing"	50
University Sexual Assault Witch Hunts Cannot Deprive Student of Due Process Court Rules, After Female Student Engages in Off-Campus Orgy and Claims Rape	51
University Sexual Assault Witch Hunts Cannot Deprive Male Student of Due Process Court Rules, After Female Accuser Engages in Drunk Off Campus Orgy	52
UNIVERSITY SEXUAL ASSAULT WITCH HUNTS CANNOT DEPRIVE STUDENT OF DUE PROCESS COURT RULES, AFTER FEMALE STUDENT ENGAGES IN OFF-CAMPUS ORGY AND LATER CLAIMS "RAPE"	53
Google Fires Ph.D Biologist/Engineer For Claiming - "On average, men and women biologically differ in many ways. "	55
Google Fires Ph.D Biologist/Engineer For Claiming - "On average, men and women biologically differ in many ways. "	56
Google Fires Ph.D Biologist/Engineer For Claiming -- "On average, men and women biologically differ in many ways. "	57
Help Fund Men's Civil Rights Litigation Against False Accusers and the Governments That Support Them - Save Jerry Cox and Restore His Life, Liberty and Property	63

Help Fund Men's Civil Rights Litigation Against False Accusers and the Governments That Support Them - Save Jerry Cox and Restore His Life, Liberty and Property	64
Help Fund Men's Civil Rights Litigation Against False Accusers and the Governments That Support Them - Save Jerry Cox and Restore His Life, Liberty and Property	65
Lessons Every Man Needs to Learn From the Harvey Weinstein Sex Scandals	66
Lessons For Men From the Harvey Weinstein Sex Scandals	67
California State Bar Ethics Rule 5-110 Takes Prosecutors To Task For Bogus Criminal Cases: Cannot Prosecute Without Probable Cause, Must Disclose Evidence Helpful to Accused, Seek Reversal of False Convictions	68
California State Bar Ethics Rule 5-110 Takes Prosecutors To Task For Bogus Criminal Cases: Cannot Prosecute Without Probable Cause, Must Disclose Evidence Helpful to Accused, Seek Reversal of False Convictions	69
California State Bar Ethics Rule 5-110 Takes Prosecutors To Task For Bogus Criminal Cases: Cannot Prosecute Without Probable Cause, Must Disclose Evidence Helpful to Accused, Seek Reversal of False Convictions	70
Sexual Harassment & Assault Allegations Undermine Basic Constitutional Liberties; A New "Moral Panic" Targeting "Privileged Men" Signals Despotism and Tyranny	72
Sexual Harassment & Assault Allegations Undermine Basic Constitutional Liberties; A New "Moral Panic" Targeting "Privileged Men" Signals Despotism and Tyranny	73
SEXUAL HARASSMENT & ASSAULT ALLEGATIONS UNDERMINE BASIC CONSTITUTIONAL LIBERTIES; A NEW "MORAL PANIC" TARGETING "PRIVILEGED MEN" SIGNALS ERA OF DESPOTISM AND TYRANNY NOT SEEN SINCE MCCARTHYISM	74
Men Are Now Officially Second Class Citizens - So What Are We Doing About It?	75
Men Are Now Officially Second Class Citizens - So What Are We Doing About It?	76
Are Men Turning Into Second Class Citizens?	77
Do Men's Gay Rights Trump Men's Religious Rights? - The U.S. Supreme Court Will Decide Whether A Man Should Be Forced To Bake Gay Wedding Cakes	80
Do Men's Gay Rights Trump A Man's Religious Rights? - The U.S. Supreme Court Will Decide Whether A Man Should Be Forced To Bake Gay Wedding Cakes	81
Falsely accused of rape. University is trying to make me destroy evidence.	82
Stanford Law Professor Michele Dauber Calls For Ex-Stanford Student's Death Because He Appeals College Sexual Assault Conviction	84
Stanford Law Professor Michele Dauber Calls For Ex-Stanford Student's Death Because He Appeals College Sexual Assault Conviction	85
Stanford Law Professor Michele Dauber Calls For Death Of Brock Turner Because He Is Appealing His Sex Conviction	86
Trump Tax Bill Eliminates Alimony Deduction: Another Big Reason To Avoid Marriage And Get Divorced Before December 31, 2018	87
Trump Tax Bill Eliminates Alimony Deduction: Another Big Reason To Avoid Marriage And Get Divorced Before December 31, 2018	88
Red Pill Strategies to Cope With Trump's Tax Bill Elimination of Spousal Support Deductions: Another Big Reason To Avoid Marriage And Get Divorced Before December 31, 2018 ...	89
New California Law Unconstitutionally Forces Companies to Replace Men with Women Board	

Members	91
#MeToo and the Politics of Personal Destruction	92
How to Appeal to Feminists to Acknowledge Male Rights	93
#MeToo One Year Later	94
BANNING OF “THE RED PILL” AND MEN’S SPEECH IS ILLEGAL AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL	95
BANNING OF “THE RED PILL” AND MEN’S SPEECH IS ILLEGAL AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL	96
Electronic Arts Eliminates Billions of Dollars of Shareholder Value to Promote Gender Friendly Fictional Female Soldiers Who Fight in WWII	97
EA's Battlefield V Wipes Out Billions In Company Profit In Favor of Fictional Female WWII Soldiers	98
Amnesty International Claims That Women Are Abused Every 30-Seconds On Twitter; What About Men?	99
Top UK Lawyer Commits Suicide After Female Colleagues Accuse Him of “Inappropriate Behavior” at Christmas Party; Media Focuses on Trauma of Female Colleagues	100
Lawyer, father of two, commits suicide after female colleagues accuse him of “inappropriate behavior” at Christmas party; Media focuses on trauma of female “victims”	101
New California #Metoo Sexual Harassment Laws Go Into Effect in 2019; Eliminates Confidentiality and Ability to Defend Lawsuits; Creates Blacklists, Even False Allegations Are Not Defamatory	102
Slew of New California #Metoo Sexual Harassment Laws Go Into Effect in 2019; Eliminates Confidentiality and Ability to Defend Lawsuits; Creates Blacklists, Even False Allegations Are Not Defamatory	103
Journalist Blames "Inappropriate Behavior" UK Lawyer's Suicide on Mental Health Problems and Fears That Male Suicides Could Have A Chilling Effect On #MeToo Allegations ...	104
Journalist Blames "Inappropriate Behavior" UK Lawyer's Suicide on Mental Health Problems and Fears That Male Suicides Could Have A Chilling Effect On #MeToo Allegations ...	105
University of California’s “Gender Dysphoria” Clinic Performs Medical Experiments On Hundreds of Children As Young as 8-yrs-old, Transforming Young Boys & Girls Into Transgender Children	106
UCSF performs puberty blocking hormonal treatment on gender dysphoric boys (and girls) 8-12 transforming them into happy trans kids	107
UCSF administers puberty blockers and hormonal treatment to gender dysphoric kids 8-12 turning young boys (and girls) into happy trans kids	108
University Professor Jordan Peterson Dis-Invited From Cambridge University Because His Views Are Not "Inclusive" Responds to His Banning	109
Psychology Professor Jordan Peterson Disinvited and then Banned By Cambridge University Because His Views Are Not "Inclusive"	110
Women's Group Asks the Supreme Court to Discriminate Against Transgender Men	111
Silicon Valley Venture Capitalist Vindicated in \$40 Million Sexual Assault Case	112
Dental Hygienist Loses His License and Labeled A "Sex Abuser" for Intimate Relations With His Wife, Who Happened to Also be a Patient	113

“Still not worth the sex...” New College Title 9 Rules Give Boys Some Due Process, but Still Maintain Inquisitorial Kangaroo Courts	114
Who Killed Men's Rights Advocate and NCFM Legal Counsel Marc Angelucci?	115
Another Men's Rights Activist Found Dead (Who Killed Marc Angelucci - Part 2)	116
Elizabeth Holmes Will Claim She Was “Abuse Victim” to Defend Herself In Her Criminal Trial For Defrauding Investors - Justice For Men	117
Dr. Phil features, “On Trial for being Male”, Bringing National Attention to Men’s Rights	118
Harvey Weinstein May Get Off - Justice For Men	119
Elizabeth Convicted of Investor Fraud, but Not Patient Fraud - Justice For Men	120

Gurbaksh Chahal, Indian-Origin Silicon Valley Mogul, Jailed In Domestic Violence Case

2 upvotes | August 18, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://www.theindianpanorama.news/featured/indians-abroad/gurbaksh-chahal-indian-origin-silicon-valley-mogul-jailed-domestic-violence-case-67328/>

A Steady Erosion of Men's Rights At the Supreme Court - This is State Of Our Country...

26 upvotes | August 24, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/08/17/a-steady-erosion-of-mens-rights-at-the-supreme-court/>

Men Will Face Minimum 3-8 Years in Prison for Sex With A Drunk Woman Under California's New "Rape" Law

100 upvotes | August 31, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/08/31/new-california-rape-law/>

How California's New Rape Law Could Be a Step Backward

5 upvotes | September 1, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://www.rollingstone.com/culture/news/how-californias-new-rape-law-could-be-a-step-backward-w437373>

Another Greedy Female Law Firm Partner Bring Sex-Discrimination Suit

1 upvotes | September 2, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://www.law.com/sites/almstaff/2016/08/31/chadbourn-hit-with-100m-gender-bias-suit/>

"The Last Man" - A Brief History of Male Subjugation

7 upvotes | October 4, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/10/04/last-man/>

"The Last Man" - A Brief History of Male Subjugation

47 upvotes | October 5, 2016 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

In 1992, political author and historian, Francis Fukuyama published a book, "The End of History And the Last Man". He argued that Western Liberal Democracy and its political establishments had reached a pinnacle.

The U.S.S.R. had fallen, and with it, the threat of communism as an alternative or dominant force in the post-modern world was extinguished. Liberal Democracy was spreading and reforming governments all over the world. Social, economic and political freedom were the defining virtues of this new world order.

This was the "Last Man," so to speak. No further evolution was needed.

But what Fukayama did not predict was that liberal democracy would continue to evolve. In the new world order, it was not enough to provide equal rights, education and economic opportunities to Men, women and people of all races and religious creed.

In this new world order, the innate differences between Men and women also needed to be reformed. The new system demanded a complete destruction of any gender differences between males and females. The "Last Man" himself needed to be eradicated in order to achieve a true egalitarian state. Virtues once associated with Men, such as strength, virility, masculinity and leadership, were frowned upon in this new world order. Despite the genetic, biological and psychological differences between Men and women, liberal democracy demanded that the Last Man shed these traits. Metrosexuality and homosexuality were seen as virtues because they sought to eliminate gender differences.

In time, an establishment to destroy the Last Man was formed. Beta males who secretly resented their alpha male counterparts and their achievements (both sexual and economic) were happy to oblige. Dominated by mob mentality, feminists and beta males, liberal democracy spawned new laws and social policies that encourage lawsuits, divorce, single parenthood, and the criminal prosecution and social stigmatization of male behavior.

Rape, sexual harassment, domestic violence, and discrimination became loaded and politicized buzz words heaped upon the Last Man.

Criminal prosecution and civil litigation have become the new norm; a means for domesticating and subjugating the Last Man.

Meanwhile, female displays of anger, sexual aggression, promiscuity and even physical violence, will be tolerated and encouraged in the new social order. Should a Man display any of these traits, they will be deemed antisocial and illegal.

And how did we get here? I would argue that well-meaning "equality" and "protection" laws have gone beyond their fair limit and have become highly irrational, both in their development and enforcement. This has been encouraged by the media and institutions (political, legal and economic) that seek to capitalize on feminism and male destruction at all costs.

However, it is not solely a legal, economic or political issue. I would also argue that liberal democratic institutions that further the passage and enforcement of these new legal, and social-cultural reforms have willfully ignored the biological and psychological differences between the

sexes in an effort to eliminate the Last Man.

Post-Modern Liberal Democracy Seeks to Eliminate Gender Differences

In the logic of the new social order, liberal democracy seeks to eradicate differences between the sexes: Men are required to take on the characteristics of women to eliminate differences in economic opportunities, intelligence, sexual struggle, discrimination and aggression.

However, no amount of legal, social or cultural reform in the last century can remove billions of years of evolution that painstakingly constructed Man to be different than a woman.

If we are to follow the laws of nature, Men will always have more testosterone and be naturally stronger and more aggressive (all things being equal in nature).

In order to propagate humankind, Men are genetically and biologically programmed to make sexual advances on women.

But in the new world order, biologically driven advances towards women will be construed and prosecuted as “sexual harassment”, “sexual assault”, “rape”, or “abuse” depending on which social or legal construct is deployed against the Last Man.

In this new world order, any casual remark, gesture, or aggression from the Last Man will find itself in the category of one or more of these laws and policies. The biological difference between Men and women also guarantees that Men will be deemed the offenders in these categories unless they “reform” and eliminate their gender differences.

Liberal Democratic Marital Laws Punish The Last Man

Post-modern liberal democracy has also encouraged legal constructs in family law that fail to account for the biological differences between Men and women. These constructs punish Men far more severely than women (using Community Property Laws, spousal support and no fault policies).

In the mating game, the female sex generally seeks a monogamous commitment in the form of marriage or some other economic benefit in exchange for sex and companionship.

Unable to cope with lopsided dating and mating rituals of liberal democratic cultures which encourage women to withhold sex for long term commitment (marriage) or greater economic gains, Men find themselves forced to turn over their natural freedom in exchange for sex.

However, marriage, in liberal democratic institutions, has evolved far beyond just a commitment of fidelity and family life. Liberal democracy has legalized the institution of marriage to an absurd level where divorces, child custody disputes and lawsuits over spousal and child support have become the prevailing means by which women exercise their new power over the Last Man.

Although statistics show that over 50% of marriages end in divorce in liberal democracies, it is no longer possible for a Man to simply walk away from a bad relationship without legal and social consequences what will endure far beyond the length of his marriage.

Liberal democracy has made marriage a punitive prospect for the Last Man. The more aggressive, intelligent and hardworking a male seeks to become (having given up completely on the idea of sexual freedom without consequences), the greater the punitive prospects are for his marriage, should it come to an end.

Unfortunately, the same characteristics which define his biological manhood, aggression, work, and the accumulation of wealth, will lead to disproportionate consequences in divorce.

The Last Man may be superficially attracted to a beautiful and dominant female, but in actuality he is

more compatible with a less dominant woman. While a female may disguise her dominant traits in the hope of securing a more powerful and wealthy alpha male (which is often the case), such traits will eventually reveal themselves during the course of the marriage. Such a marriage will have increased odds of ending in divorce. (The recent Brad Pitt and Johnny Depp divorces and accusations are notable examples).

Family laws will provide a windfall for the divorcing woman and encourage the destruction of the Last Man. For example, at some point, the female, growing tired of the burdens of equality, may have decided to exit the workforce and focus on domestic life or child bearing. Although such endeavors appear in obvious contradiction to what she sought to achieve in search for sexual liberation and equality, she is still prone to her own biological tendencies.

The Last Man is now unwittingly forced into this contradiction. Having now turned over the keys to the kingdom, he is now asked to take the keys back and assume the financial responsibility of the family so his spouse can devote herself to domestic endeavors.

When the inevitable divorce ensues, under “community property” laws, the Last Man is further stripped of his wealth. Over 50% of what he has earned during the course of the marriage will now be the property of his spouse, regardless of the circumstances, education, work ability or true economic needs of his wife.

In the “no fault” states, a Man will be divested of his property even if the woman decides to take on a new lover or demonstrates infidelity. He will also have to pay her 50% of his salary for potentially unlimited future years, her attorneys’ fees and other costs. If he has children, the courts will intervene and determine how much child support is required.

Workforce Policies Debilitate The Last Man

Don Draper, the archetypal Last Man character in the hugely popular hit series *Mad Men* embodies the tragic demise of the Last Man. Divorced from his simple domestic wife, he finds himself in a new marriage of equals, in the midst of evolving feminist social and cultural norms crying for equal pay and equal rights in the workforce.

His alpha male dominant characteristics are no longer desirable and he is punished for them. He is pushed out his partnership, and although he eventually returns, it is in a more tempered and docile form.

Draper no longer displays his flashes of brilliance, but at the same time he is no longer requested or expected to do so. Competent and intelligent women now fill the office and Draper often looks confused, depressed and out of sorts as he attempts to adapt to the new cultural norms.

Moving now far beyond that to the present year, there is no vestige of the Don Draper in the workforce. The evolution of the Last Man is moving to completion. He now dutifully shares his office, his work, and promotions with his female co-workers, regardless of merit or economic justification.

Dating and relationships are discouraged in the workforce because of sexual harassment policies. Casual glances, comments or gestures towards women in the workforce are deemed inappropriate and trigger legal liability.

Meanwhile the mantra of equal pay, equal rights and sexual harassment free workplaces take on an absurd new meaning. Without regards to merit, talent or actual ability, the liberal democratic workforce demands that there be equality in all levels and positions in the workforce.

Liberal democracy dictates that the number of engineers, executives to soldiers on the field in battle consist equally of Men and women. Under duress of legal liability and social/media stigma, employers fear firing female workers (even for gross incompetence). Sex discrimination, unequal pay and sexual harassment lawsuits became tools in the arsenal of weapons against the Last Man.

Liberal Democracy Breeds Tyranny Against The Last Man

Almost two hundred years ago, Alexis de Toqueville, a French political scientist, was dispatched to the United States to study its political institutions. In 1840, he wrote, "Democracy In America" in which he warned that American democracy would, while spreading equality and opportunity, could breed the worst kind of tyranny and mob rule.

Tocqueville worried that if despotism were to take root in a modern democracy, it would be a much more dangerous version than the oppression under the Roman emperors or tyrants of the past who could only exert a pernicious influence on a small group of people at a time.

In contrast, a despotism under a democracy could see "a multitude of men" (and women), uniformly alike, equal, and subject to the will of a powerful state which exerted an "immense protective power."

Toqueville was more prescient than he could have known. The equality that came with liberal democracy spawned a new democratic despotism that has manifested itself in political and legal establishments under the banner of gender equality.

Despite over hundred years of political progress, the Civil Rights Act and Supreme Court reform in the area of gender equality, there is no room left for the Last Man.

In the new world order, politicians run on "gender quality" platforms that seek to emasculate Men and eliminate all gender differences. They take politically expedient positions on sexual harassment, domestic violence, and sexual assault to criminalize male behavior while leaving women completely blameless and untouched.

In the new world order the Last Man ceases to exist. Sexless, stripped of his masculinity, imprisoned, sued and ostracized by political and media establishments, the Last Man waits patiently for his end.

"The Last Man" - A Brief History of Male Subjugation

5 upvotes | October 5, 2016 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/10/04/last-man/>

Trump quoted as saying: "When you're a star, they let you do it. You can do anything ... Grab them by the pussy. You can do anything." Thoughts?

3 upvotes | October 8, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://www.cnn.com/2016/10/07/politics/donald-trump-women-vulgar/>

Allstate Insurance Ad Capitalizes on Domestic Violence; Introduces New Lingo of "Financial Abuse"

9 upvotes | October 9, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

http://www.theatlantic.com/sponsored/allstate/how-money-traps-victims-of-domestic-violence/750/?utm_source=tl

This U.S Presidential Election is a Referendum On Men's Rights

0 upvotes | October 10, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/10/09/this-election-is-a-referendum-on-mens-rights/>

Anderson Cooper Falsely Accuses Donald Trump of "Sexual Assault"

3 upvotes | October 11, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/10/10/anderson-cooper-accuses-donald-trump-of-sexual-assault-trump/>

Michelle Obama Joins Feminist Choir of Trumped Up "Sexual Assault" Charges - "THAT GUY AT WORK THAT STANDS JUST A LITTLE TOO CLOSE, STAIRS A LITTLE TOO LONG"

35 upvotes | October 14, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/10/13/michelle-obama-joins-feminist-choir-of-trumped-up-sexual-assault-charges-that-guy-at-work-that-stands-just-a-little-too-close-stairs-a-little-too-long/?frame-nonce=153b7ad7a8>

Michelle Obama Joins Feminist Choir To Echo Trumped Up Sexual Assault Charges

6 upvotes | October 14, 2016 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/10/13/michelle-obama-joins-feminist-choir-of-trumped-up-sexual-assault-charges-that-guy-at-work-that-stands-just-a-little-too-close-stairs-a-little-too-long/>

looking for statistics of how men lost more money than women in divorce if marriage laws are supposed to be gender neutral

14 upvotes | October 14, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

I'm at a bar watching a 50-ish cougar woman "sexually assault" a younger woman in her late 20's over a nanny interview. Is this the new norm?

8 upvotes | October 15, 2016 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

California Eliminates Statute of Limitations On Rape and Sexual Assault Crimes (40 years later, "he raped me" allegations are OK)

39 upvotes | October 22, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/10/22/california-eliminates-statute-of-limitations-on-rape-and-sexual-assault/>

California Eliminates Statute of Limitations On Rape and Sexual Assault (40 years later, life shattering "he raped me" allegations are OK and chargeable)

57 upvotes | October 22, 2016 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/10/22/california-eliminates-statute-of-limitations-on-rape-and-sexual-assault/>

How the Third Wave of Feminism Hijacked The Legal System To Target Men

52 upvotes | October 27, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/10/27/third-wave-feminism-hijacked-legal-system-target-men/>

How the Third Wave of Feminism Hijacked The Legal System

6 upvotes | October 27, 2016 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/10/27/third-wave-feminism-hijacked-legal-system-target-men/>

What the Trump Presidency Means for Men

9 upvotes | November 10, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/11/09/trump-presidency-means-men-maybe/>

What the Trump Presidency Means for Men

9 upvotes | November 10, 2016 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/11/09/trump-presidency-means-men-maybe/>

What the Trump Presidency Means For Men

0 upvotes | November 10, 2016 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

[removed]

Woman gets drunk and stoned and has sex with a guy in the restroom; judge asks pressing questions during trial and acquits the man; feminists complain and the decision is reversed and the judge is being investigated and will lose his job

131 upvotes | December 1, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/justice-robin-camp-keep-her-knees-together-alleged-rape-victim-court-lose-job-removal-bench-alberta-a7448361.html>

"Rape Free" consent now requires all females to make sexual advances. Work out, train your mind and chill, things will soon change.

16 upvotes | December 3, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

College Campuses Silence Men's Speech By Banning Sports

37 upvotes | December 17, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/12/17/college-campuses-silence-mens-speech-banning-sports/>

College Campuses Silence Men's Speech By Banning Sports

35 upvotes | December 17, 2016 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2016/12/17/college-campuses-silence-mens-speech-banning-sports/>

NY Times Op Ed Writer Denounces Classic Nintendo Super Mario Brothers Game As "Sexist" and Won't Let His Daughter Play it Because Mario Saves A Princess Who Promises to Bake Him A Cake; Calls For More Gender Neutral Games That Depict A Transgender Woman Beginning Hormone Replacement Therapy

16 upvotes | December 22, 2016 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://www.nytimes.com/2016/12/22/opinion/super-mario-runs-not-so-super-gender-politics.html?action=click&pgtype=Homepage&version=Moth-Visible&moduleDetail=inside-nyt-region-4&module=inside-nyt-region®ion=inside-nyt-region&WT.nav=inside-nyt-region>

NY Times Op-Ed Writer Denounces Classic Nintendo Super Mario Brothers Game As Sexist and Won't Let His Daughter Play It Because Princess Peach Promises to Bake Mario a Cake; Calls For More Gender Neutral Games Such As Ones Depicting A Transgender Woman Beginning Hormone Replacement Therapy

23 upvotes | December 22, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://www.nytimes.com/2016/12/22/opinion/super-mario-runs-not-so-super-gender-politics.html?action=click&pgtype=Homepage&version=Moth-Visible&moduleDetail=inside-nyt-region-4&module=inside-nyt-region®ion=inside-nyt-region&WT.nav=inside-nyt-region>

New evidence says US sex-offender policies don't work, and are actually causing more crime â◻◻ Quartz

29 upvotes | December 22, 2016 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://qz.com/869499/new-evidence-says-us-sex-offender-policies-dont-work-and-are-actually-causing-more-crime/>

Happy New Year's men! I'm shorting the feminist market in 2017. Let's ride the Men's Bull market.

12 upvotes | January 1, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Men: I'm shorting feminism in 2017 and bullish on Men's Rights. Let's make this a profitable, healthy and happy New Year for men across the world.

57 upvotes | January 1, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

On Feminism

1 upvotes | January 8, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<https://youtu.be/G5-5cpdAvB8>

Why Russia's Decriminalizing Domestic Violence Is A Sensible Move That Western Countries Must Follow

11 upvotes | April 10, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/04/09/russias-decriminalizing-domestic-violence-sensible-move/>

Why Russia's Decriminalizing Domestic Violence Is A Sensible Move And Western Countries Should Follow

24 upvotes | April 10, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/04/09/russias-decriminalizing-domestic-violence-sensible-move/>

Fox News Submits to More Feminist Lynching - Bill O'Reilly Is Forced Out at Fox News

12 upvotes | April 19, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/04/19/business/media/bill-oreilly-fox-news-allegations.html>

Daily Beast Feminist Writer Takes Aim at Reddit's Red Pill Forum - Calls its members "rape sympathizers" and "women haters"

1 upvotes | April 25, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

[removed]

Daily Beast Feminist Writer Calls Reddit "Red Pill" Site A Forum For "Misogynists", "Women Haters", and "Rape Sympathizers" - CALL FOR ACTION: ALL RED PILLERS SHOULD LEAVE COMMENTS FOR THIS ARTICLE ON DAILY BEAST AS CRITICAL OF FREE SPEECH

1 upvotes | April 25, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

[removed]

Daily Beast Article Unfairly Attacks Reddit's "The Red Pill" Forum As a Group of "Women Haters", "Misogynists", and "Rape Sympathizers"

1 upvotes | April 25, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

[removed]

California To Amend Rape Laws (Again) To Target Men With "Stealthing"

46 upvotes | May 28, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/05/28/california-amend-rape-laws-target-men-stealthing/>

California To Amend Rape Laws (Again) To Target Men With "Stealththing"

21 upvotes | May 28, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/05/28/california-amend-rape-laws-target-men-stealththing/>

University Sexual Assault Witch Hunts Cannot Deprive Student of Due Process Court Rules, After Female Student Engages in Off-Campus Orgy and Claims Rape

17 upvotes | June 24, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/06/24/university-sexual-assault-witch-hunts-cannot-deprive-student-due-process-court-rules/>

University Sexual Assault Witch Hunts Cannot Deprive Male Student of Due Process Court Rules, After Female Accuser Engages in Drunk Off Campus Orgy

22 upvotes | June 24, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/06/24/university-sexual-assault-witch-hunts-cannot-deprive-student-due-process-court-rules/>

UNIVERSITY SEXUAL ASSAULT WITCH HUNTS CANNOT DEPRIVE STUDENT OF DUE PROCESS COURT RULES, AFTER FEMALE STUDENT ENGAGES IN OFF-CAMPUS ORGY AND LATER CLAIMS "RAPE"

307 upvotes | June 24, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

On June 8, 2017, the Central District Court of California issued a scathing opinion in John Doe v. Regents of the University of California condemning the actions of administrators at the University of California Santa Barbara (UCSB) for violating the due process and civil rights of a student who was suspended by University officials based on the sexual assault complaint of an ex-student who claimed she was too intoxicated to give consent during an off campus orgy. (Case No. 2:15-cv-02478). The student was suspended, denied access to investigate reports, and denied opportunities to call or cross-examine witnesses and have his lawyer present at the hearings.

The facts as reported in the District Court Opinion are as follows. On June 14, 2014, Jane Doe, another female student and three male students took a summer break trip together to Lake Tahoe. During the trip, Jane Doe frequently bragged about a “threesome” she had previously engaged in. On June 16, 2016, during a heavy day of drinking and smoking marijuana, Jane Doe and her friends decided they wanted to have an orgy. Jane Doe insisted that plaintiff John Doe join the orgy. Jane Doe was a willing participant throughout the orgy, getting on top of John Doe at one point, kissing him passionately, rubbing his hair while she performed oral sex on him, and verbalizing that she enjoyed what she was doing. Jane Doe did not exhibit any signs of incapacitation and joked about the orgy with her friends the following morning.

On November 6, 2014 John Doe was charged by university officials with sexual assault based on the complaint of Jane Doe. At the time of the complaint, a highly publicized complaint had been made by 6 other female students to the Title 9 Office of Civil Rights complaining that UCSB was not prosecuting enough “rape” and “sexual assault” crimes on campus in violation of their Title 9 obligations. Title 9 prohibits universities receiving federal funding from administering any programs which discriminate on the basis of race, gender, religion, national origin, etc.

Ironically, male students are now fighting back using the anti-discrimination provisions of Title 9 to justify their lawsuits against universities and state officials for engaging in violations of federal law. This decision is an important one because it provides a road map for a federal court civil rights action on behalf of men expelled or suspended from universities as a result of sexual assault allegations made in campus tribunals, which often lack basic evidentiary and due process safeguards.

Importantly, the decision holds that university officials may violate due process and other civil rights of students for failing to provide: (1) meaningful notice of the charges; (2) timely access to all investigative reports and evidence; (3) an opportunity to present evidence in their favor; and (4) an opportunity to have counsel present during hearings. The Court held that failing to provide these basic rights may violate a student’s 14th Amendment Due Process Rights and may further violate 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 (deprivation of rights), a statute which protects individuals from state officials acting under the color of law to deprive a person of their constitutional rights and liberties.

Moreover, the decision holds that a complaint which adequately alleges due process and

constitutional violations can withstand “absolute” and “qualified immunity” defenses which are often used by state officials and district attorneys to defend their improper actions.

The Court held:

It is undisputed that students in public schools, including colleges and universities, enjoy a property interest in a public education and in their own good names, reputations, honor and integrity. See, e.g., *Goss v. Lopez*, 419 U.S. 565, 574 (1975). A finding of guilt can “have a major impact immediate and life-long impact on [their] personal life, education, employment, and public engagement.” *Id.* Therefore, before schools take disciplinary actions against students accused of breaking school rules, the students must be afforded “due process” in order to avoid arbitrary deprivations of liberty or property.” *Id.* Title 9 litigation has exploded across the country as a result of women using the administrative complaint process at universities to lodge “rape” and “sexual assault” claims. Given the broad and expansive definition of rape which allows a woman to claim that she was too “intoxicated” and could not freely give “consent”, thousands of women across the country are making false allegations of rape and sexual assault against a backdrop of on-campus rape hysteria which propagates the myth that 1 out of 5 women has been raped on campus. These allegations are often brought by psychologically unstable persons and are supported and encouraged by university officials who are acting under the color of authority to increase on-campus prosecutions (in order to obtain more Title 9 funding for their departments), increase their own positions of authority, while destroying the reputations and future prospects of male students.

The Washington Post reports that Title IX laws, which are being abused to get innocent men jailed, are the same laws these men are using to fight back. The number of men accused of rape who have filed title IX lawsuits has skyrocketed. Between 1991 and 2011 (a 20-years period), only 15 lawsuits have been filed by male students in regard to rape accusations. However, since 2011, at least 150 have been filed in about 5 years alone. Male students who believe that they have been mistreated by school witch hunt tribunals and are rightly taking to the courts to get relief.

Google Fires Ph.D Biologist/Engineer For Claiming - "On average, men and women biologically differ in many ways. "

191 upvotes | August 8, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/08/07/google-fires-ph-d-biologistengineer-claiming-average-men-women-biologically-differ-many-ways/>

Google Fires Ph.D Biologist/Engineer For Claiming - "On average, men and women biologically differ in many ways. "

716 upvotes | August 8, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/08/07/google-fires-ph-d-biologistengineer-claiming-average-men-women-biologically-differ-many-ways/>

Google Fires Ph.D Biologist/Engineer For Claiming -- "On average, men and women biologically differ in many ways. "

5773 upvotes | August 8, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

As reported in Bloomberg, Google has fired a senior software engineer, James Damore, for authoring a 10-page memo (pasted below) condemning the company's diversity efforts and claiming men are biologically more predisposed to working in the tech industry than women. According to his LinkedIn profile, Mr. Damore has a masters degree in systems biology from Harvard. (correction: the original posted stated he had a Ph.D, this has since been corrected after Damore updated his LinkedIn profile)

A full reading of the memo shows that Mr. Damore was making a fair and well-reasoned argument for why pay gap and hiring gap issues could not be solely attributable to gender bias against women, and that to understand the full picture, Google (governments and institutions included) need to also consider biological, psychological, social and cultural factors which explain the differences between the genders. Men and Women are actually different, right?

Apparently, this speech violated Google's "code of conduct". The CEO fired Mr. Damore for sexist stereotypes. However, I would agree that Mr. Damore's memo was not "sexist" but rather very logical and scientific. It simply did not sit well with the prevailing cultural elites at the company who believe there is no room for debating authoritarian policies which seek to use artificial quotas and policies to correct for alleged discrimination. However, there is no data to support the fact that the discrepancies between representation of women in science and tech industries has anything to do with other than chosen educations and vocational preferences.

Nice work Google. Before you retaliate against your employees for exercising political speech, perhaps you should give room for other points of view which are actually supported by scientific data.

The memo:

Background [1]

People generally have good intentions, but we all have biases which are invisible to us. Thankfully, open and honest discussion with those who disagree can highlight our blind spots and help us grow, which is why I wrote this document.[2] Google has several biases and honest discussion about these biases is being silenced by the dominant ideology. What follows is by no means the complete story, but it's a perspective that desperately needs to be told at Google.

Google's biases

At Google, we talk so much about unconscious bias as it applies to race and gender, but we rarely discuss our moral biases. Political orientation is actually a result of deep moral preferences and thus biases. Considering that the overwhelming majority of the social sciences, media, and Google lean left, we should critically examine these prejudices.

Left Biases

Compassion for the weak Disparities are due to injustices Humans are inherently cooperative Change is good (unstable) Open Idealist Right Biases

Respect for the strong/authority Disparities are natural and just Humans are inherently competitive

Change is dangerous (stable) Closed Pragmatic Neither side is 100% correct and both viewpoints are necessary for a functioning society or, in this case, company. A company too far to the right may be slow to react, overly hierarchical, and untrusting of others. In contrast, a company too far to the left will constantly be changing (deprecating much loved services), over diversify its interests (ignoring or being ashamed of its core business), and overly trust its employees and competitors.

Only facts and reason can shed light on these biases, but when it comes to diversity and inclusion, Google's left bias has created a politically correct monoculture that maintains its hold by shaming dissenters into silence. This silence removes any checks against encroaching extremist and authoritarian policies. For the rest of this document, I'll concentrate on the extreme stance that all differences in outcome are due to differential treatment and the authoritarian element that's required to actually discriminate to create equal representation.

Possible non-bias causes of the gender gap in tech [3]

At Google, we're regularly told that implicit (unconscious) and explicit biases are holding women back in tech and leadership. Of course, men and women experience bias, tech, and the workplace differently and we should be cognizant of this, but it's far from the whole story.

On average, men and women biologically differ in many ways. These differences aren't just socially constructed because:

They're universal across human cultures They often have clear biological causes and links to prenatal testosterone Biological males that were castrated at birth and raised as females often still identify and act like males The underlying traits are highly heritable They're exactly what we would predict from an evolutionary psychology perspective Note, I'm not saying that all men differ from women in the following ways or that these differences are "just." I'm simply stating that the distribution of preferences and abilities of men and women differ in part due to biological causes and that these differences may explain why we don't see equal representation of women in tech and leadership. Many of these differences are small and there's significant overlap between men and women, so you can't say anything about an individual given these population level distributions.

Personality differences

Women, on average, have more:

Openness directed towards feelings and aesthetics rather than ideas. Women generally also have a stronger interest in people rather than things, relative to men (also interpreted as empathizing vs. systemizing). These two differences in part explain why women relatively prefer jobs in social or artistic areas. More men may like coding because it requires systemizing and even within SWEs, comparatively more women work on front end, which deals with both people and aesthetics.

Extraversion expressed as gregariousness rather than assertiveness. Also, higher agreeableness. This leads to women generally having a harder time negotiating salary, asking for raises, speaking up, and leading. Note that these are just average differences and there's overlap between men and women, but this is seen solely as a women's issue. This leads to exclusory programs like Stretch and swaths of men without support. Neuroticism (higher anxiety, lower stress tolerance). This may contribute to the higher levels of anxiety women report on Googlegeist and to the lower number of women in high stress jobs. Note that contrary to what a social constructionist would argue, research suggests that "greater nation-level gender equality leads to psychological dissimilarity in men's and women's personality traits." Because as "society becomes more prosperous and more egalitarian, innate dispositional differences between men and women have more space to develop and the gap that exists

between men and women in their personality becomes wider.” We need to stop assuming that gender gaps imply sexism.

Men’s higher drive for status

We always ask why we don’t see women in top leadership positions, but we never ask why we see so many men in these jobs. These positions often require long, stressful hours that may not be worth it if you want a balanced and fulfilling life.

Status is the primary metric that men are judged on[4], pushing many men into these higher paying, less satisfying jobs for the status that they entail. Note, the same forces that lead men into high pay/high stress jobs in tech and leadership cause men to take undesirable and dangerous jobs like coal mining, garbage collection, and firefighting, and suffer 93% of work-related deaths.

Non-discriminatory ways to reduce the gender gap

Below I’ll go over some of the differences in distribution of traits between men and women that I outlined in the previous section and suggest ways to address them to increase women’s representation in tech and without resorting to discrimination. Google is already making strides in many of these areas, but I think it’s still instructive to list them:

Women on average show a higher interest in people and men in things We can make software engineering more people-oriented with pair programming and more collaboration. Unfortunately, there may be limits to how people-oriented certain roles and Google can be and we shouldn’t deceive ourselves or students into thinking otherwise (some of our programs to get female students into coding might be doing this). Women on average are more cooperative Allow those exhibiting cooperative behavior to thrive. Recent updates to Perf may be doing this to an extent, but maybe there’s more we can do. This doesn’t mean that we should remove all competitiveness from Google. Competitiveness and self reliance can be valuable traits and we shouldn’t necessarily disadvantage those that have them, like what’s been done in education. Women on average are more prone to anxiety. Make tech and leadership less stressful. Google already partly does this with its many stress reduction courses and benefits. Women on average look for more work-life balance while men have a higher drive for status on average Unfortunately, as long as tech and leadership remain high status, lucrative careers, men may disproportionately want to be in them. Allowing and truly endorsing (as part of our culture) part time work though can keep more women in tech. The male gender role is currently inflexible Feminism has made great progress in freeing women from the female gender role, but men are still very much tied to the male gender role. If we, as a society, allow men to be more “feminine,” then the gender gap will shrink, although probably because men will leave tech and leadership for traditionally feminine roles. Philosophically, I don’t think we should do arbitrary social engineering of tech just to make it appealing to equal portions of both men and women. For each of these changes, we need principles reasons for why it helps Google; that is, we should be optimizing for Google—with Google’s diversity being a component of that. For example currently those trying to work extra hours or take extra stress will inevitably get ahead and if we try to change that too much, it may have disastrous consequences. Also, when considering the costs and benefits, we should keep in mind that Google’s funding is finite so its allocation is more zero-sum than is generally acknowledged.

The Harm of Google’s biases

I strongly believe in gender and racial diversity, and I think we should strive for more. However, to achieve a more equal gender and race representation, Google has created several discriminatory

practices:

Programs, mentoring, and classes only for people with a certain gender or race [5] A high priority queue and special treatment for “diversity” candidates Hiring practices which can effectively lower the bar for “diversity” candidates by decreasing the false negative rate Reconsidering any set of people if it’s not “diverse” enough, but not showing that same scrutiny in the reverse direction (clear confirmation bias) Setting org level OKRs for increased representation which can incentivize illegal discrimination [6] These practices are based on false assumptions generated by our biases and can actually increase race and gender tensions. We’re told by senior leadership that what we’re doing is both the morally and economically correct thing to do, but without evidence this is just veiled left ideology[7] that can irreparably harm Google.

Why we’re blind

We all have biases and use motivated reasoning to dismiss ideas that run counter to our internal values. Just as some on the Right deny science that runs counter to the “God > humans > environment” hierarchy (e.g., evolution and climate change) the Left tends to deny science concerning biological differences between people (e.g., IQ[8] and sex differences). Thankfully, climate scientists and evolutionary biologists generally aren’t on the right. Unfortunately, the overwhelming majority of humanities and social scientists learn left (about 95%), which creates enormous confirmation bias, changes what’s being studied, and maintains myths like social constructionism and the gender wage gap[9]. Google’s left leaning makes us blind to this bias and uncritical of its results, which we’re using to justify highly politicized programs.

In addition to the Left’s affinity for those it sees as weak, humans are generally biased towards protecting females. As mentioned before, this likely evolved because males are biologically disposable and because women are generally more cooperative and agreeable than men. We have extensive government and Google programs, fields of study, and legal and social norms to protect women, but when a man complains about a gender issue affecting men, he’s labelled as a misogynist and whiner[10]. Nearly every difference between men and women is interpreted as a form of women’s oppression. As with many things in life, gender differences are often a case of “grass being greener on the other side”; unfortunately, taxpayer and Google money is spent to water only one side of the lawn.

The same compassion for those seen as weak creates political correctness[11], which constrains discourse and is complacent to the extremely sensitive PC-authoritarians that use violence and shaming to advance their cause. While Google hasn’t harbored the violent leftists protests that we’re seeing at universities, the frequent shaming in TGIF and in our culture has created the same silence, psychologically unsafe environment.

Suggestions

I hope it’s clear that I’m not saying that diversity is bad, that Google or society is 100% fair, that we shouldn’t try to correct for existing biases, or that minorities have the same experience of those in the majority. My larger point is that we have an intolerance for ideas and evidence that don’t fit a certain ideology. I’m also not saying that we should restrict people to certain gender roles; I’m advocating for quite the opposite: treat people as individuals, not as just another member of their group (tribalism).

My concrete suggestions are to:

De-moralize diversity.

As soon as we start to moralize an issue, we stop thinking about it in terms of costs and benefits, dismiss anyone that disagrees as immoral, and harshly punish those we see as villains to protect the “victims.” Stop alienating conservatives.

Viewpoint diversity is arguably the most important type of diversity and political orientation is one of the most fundamental and significant ways in which people view things differently. In highly progressive environments, conservatives are a minority that feel like they need to stay in the closet to avoid open hostility. We should empower those with different ideologies to be able to express themselves. Alienating conservatives is both non-inclusive and generally bad business because conservatives tend to be higher in conscientiousness, which is require for much of the drudgery and maintenance work characteristic of a mature company. Confront Google’s biases.

I’ve mostly concentrated on how our biases cloud our thinking about diversity and inclusion, but our moral biases are farther reaching than that. I would start by breaking down Googlegeist scores by political orientation and personality to give a fuller picture into how our biases are affecting our culture. Stop restricting programs and classes to certain genders or races.

These discriminatory practices are both unfair and divisive. Instead focus on some of the non-discriminatory practices I outlined. Have an open and honest discussion about the costs and benefits of our diversity programs.

Discriminating just to increase the representation of women in tech is as misguided and biased as mandating increases for women’s representation in the homeless, work-related and violent deaths, prisons, and school dropouts. There’s currently very little transparency into the extend of our diversity programs which keeps it immune to criticism from those outside its ideological echo chamber. These programs are highly politicized which further alienates non-progressives. I realize that some of our programs may be precautions against government accusations of discrimination, but that can easily backfire since they incentivize illegal discrimination. Focus on psychological safety, not just race/gender diversity.

We should focus on psychological safety, which has shown positive effects and should (hopefully) not lead to unfair discrimination. We need psychological safety and shared values to gain the benefits of diversity Having representative viewpoints is important for those designing and testing our products, but the benefits are less clear for those more removed from UX. De-emphasize empathy.

I’ve heard several calls for increased empathy on diversity issues. While I strongly support trying to understand how and why people think the way they do, relying on affective empathy—feeling another’s pain—causes us to focus on anecdotes, favor individuals similar to us, and harbor other irrational and dangerous biases. Being emotionally unengaged helps us better reason about the facts. Prioritize intention.

Our focus on microaggressions and other unintentional transgressions increases our sensitivity, which is not universally positive: sensitivity increases both our tendency to take offense and our self censorship, leading to authoritarian policies. Speaking up without the fear of being harshly judged is central to psychological safety, but these practices can remove that safety by judging unintentional transgressions. Microaggression training incorrectly and dangerously equates speech with violence and isn’t backed by evidence. Be open about the science of human nature.

Once we acknowledge that not all differences are socially constructed or due to discrimination, we open our eyes to a more accurate view of the human condition which is necessary if we actually want

to solve problems. Reconsider making Unconscious Bias training mandatory for promo committees. We haven't been able to measure any effect of our Unconscious Bias training and it has the potential for overcorrecting or backlash, especially if made mandatory. Some of the suggested methods of the current training (v2.3) are likely useful, but the political bias of the presentation is clear from the factual inaccuracies and the examples shown. Spend more time on the many other types of biases besides stereotypes. Stereotypes are much more accurate and responsive to new information than the training suggests (I'm not advocating for using stereotypes, I [sic] just pointing out the factual inaccuracy of what's said in the training). [1] This document is mostly written from the perspective of Google's Mountain View campus, I can't speak about other offices or countries.

[2] Of course, I may be biased and only see evidence that supports my viewpoint. In terms of political biases, I consider myself a classical liberal and strongly value individualism and reason. I'd be very happy to discuss any of the document further and provide more citations.

[3] Throughout the document, by "tech", I mostly mean software engineering.

[4] For heterosexual romantic relationships, men are more strongly judged by status and women by beauty. Again, this has biological origins and is culturally universal.

[5] Stretch, BOLD, CSSI, Engineering Practicum (to an extent), and several other Google funded internal and external programs are for people with a certain gender or race.

[6] Instead set Googlegeist OKRs, potentially for certain demographics. We can increase representation at an org level by either making it a better environment for certain groups (which would be seen in survey scores) or discriminating based on a protected status (which is illegal and I've seen it done). Increased representation OKRs can incentivize the latter and create zero-sum struggles between orgs.

[7] Communism promised to be both morally and economically superior to capitalism, but every attempt became morally corrupt and an economic failure. As it became clear that the working class of the liberal democracies wasn't going to overthrow their "capitalist oppressors," the Marxist intellectuals transitioned from class warfare to gender and race politics. The core oppressor-oppressed dynamics remained, but now the oppressor is the "white, straight, cis-gendered patriarchy."

[8] Ironically, IQ tests were initially championed by the Left when meritocracy meant helping the victims of the aristocracy.

[9] Yes, in a national aggregate, women have lower salaries than men for a variety of reasons. For the same work though, women get paid just as much as men. Considering women spend more money than men and that salary represents how much the employees sacrifices (e.g. more hours, stress, and danger), we really need to rethink our stereotypes around power.

[10] "The traditionalist system of gender does not deal well with the idea of men needing support. Men are expected to be strong, to not complain, and to deal with problems on their own. Men's problems are more often seen as personal failings rather than victimhood, due to our gendered idea of agency. This discourages men from bringing attention to their issues (whether individual or group-wide issues), for fear of being seen as whiners, complainers, or weak."

[11] Political correctness is defined as "the avoidance of forms of expression or action that are perceived to exclude, marginalize, or insult groups of people who are socially disadvantaged or discriminated against," which makes it clear why it's a phenomenon of the Left and a tool of authoritarians.

****Help Fund Men's Civil Rights Litigation Against False Accusers and the Governments That Support Them - Save Jerry Cox and Restore His Life, Liberty and Property****

220 upvotes | September 16, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

[removed]

Help Fund Men's Civil Rights Litigation Against False Accusers and the Governments That Support Them - Save Jerry Cox and Restore His Life, Liberty and Property

13 upvotes | September 16, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<https://www.gofundme.com/mariposa-the-corrupt-county-again>

Help Fund Men's Civil Rights Litigation Against False Accusers and the Governments That Support Them - Save Jerry Cox and Restore His Life, Liberty and Property

1 upvotes | September 16, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<https://www.gofundme.com/mariposa-the-corrupt-county-again>

Lessons Every Man Needs to Learn From the Harvey Weinstein Sex Scandals

7 upvotes | October 31, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/10/30/5-lessons-men-take-away-harvey-weinstein-scandal/>

Lessons For Men From the Harvey Weinstein Sex Scandals

4 upvotes | October 31, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/10/30/5-lessons-men-take-away-harvey-weinstein-scandal/>

California State Bar Ethics Rule 5-110 Takes Prosecutors To Task For Bogus Criminal Cases: Cannot Prosecute Without Probable Cause, Must Disclose Evidence Helpful to Accused, Seek Reversal of False Convictions

8 upvotes | November 7, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/11/06/take-prosecutors-task-california-state-bar-ethics-rule-5-110-special-responsibilities-prosecutor/>

California State Bar Ethics Rule 5-110 Takes Prosecutors To Task For Bogus Criminal Cases: Cannot Prosecute Without Probable Cause, Must Disclose Evidence Helpful to Accused, Seek Reversal of False Convictions

9 upvotes | November 7, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/11/06/take-prosecutors-task-california-state-bar-ethics-rule-5-110-special-responsibilities-prosecutor/>

California State Bar Ethics Rule 5-110 Takes Prosecutors To Task For Bogus Criminal Cases: Cannot Prosecute Without Probable Cause, Must Disclose Evidence Helpful to Accused, Seek Reversal of False Convictions

93 upvotes | November 7, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

On November 2, 2017, the California Supreme Court approved new state bar ethics rules which impose heightened duties on prosecutors in criminal cases. Why is this important? Men are often the target of overzealous or unethical prosecutions, particularly at the hands of jealous or vindictive women. Prosecutors who bring fake cases can be sanctioned or disbarred under the new rules for not paying attention to exculpatory evidence. While you might think these are common sense rules, they were not ethically codified until this month.

Among the changes, Rule 5-110 government prosecutors are now ethically required to:

not institute or initiate charges without probable cause; make sure the accused understands his rights to counsel and does not waive important pre-trial rights without full disclosure; disclose all exculpatory evidence that should be obtained through reasonable investigation; properly supervise agents and law enforcement personnel to make objective and unbiased investigations; and obtain reversal of convictions for innocent men when new evidence comes to light that demonstrates the innocence of the accused.

It is estimated that men comprise between 70-80% of arrests, criminal prosecutions and incarceration. When men are convicted, they are often giving longer sentences than women for the same crimes. Particularly disturbing is the high rate of male prosecution and incarceration for alleged interpersonal and “domestic violence” cases; even when women commit the same alleged crime at equal or higher rates.

Most states like California now have special domestic violence and sexual assault prosecution task forces. These task forces are created through special interest funding to prosecute and incarcerate men under the false premise that all men are predators and abusers.

Because of these misguided beliefs, prosecutors often intentionally or recklessly ignore evidence that tends to prove the innocence of the accused man or at least mitigates his involvement or culpability. This evidence could include digital evidence, text messages, emails, the history of the relationship, witness and character testimony, mental health issues and other forms of important evidence which might negate the crime or mitigate the sentence or charge for the defendant. Under the new rules, the prosecutor must pay attention to this evidence, obtain it, and disclose it in the case.

If you are a victim of a fake DV or sexual assault case and believe the prosecutor is behaving unethically, ignoring evidence, and prosecuting your case without probable cause, you should make an official complaint to the California State Bar or your local jurisdiction’s bar licensing agency. <http://www.calbar.ca.gov/Public/Complaints-Claims/How-to-File-A-Complaint>. Failure to abide by these rules can get a prosecutor sanctioned or disbarred.

this article first appeared at <http://menslaws.com/>

note: while you might think these are common sense rules that should have always been on the books, they were not. Prosecutors in California and other states enjoy absolute immunity and

qualified immunity privileges which they use to cover up their bad behavior. The new ethics rules override any immunities and privileges that a prosecutor can raise in the civil context.

Sexual Harassment & Assault Allegations Undermine Basic Constitutional Liberties; A New "Moral Panic" Targeting "Privileged Men" Signals Despotism and Tyranny

14 upvotes | November 22, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/11/22/sexual-harassment-new-moral-panic-targeting-privileged-men-signals-despotism-tyranny/>

Sexual Harassment & Assault Allegations Undermine Basic Constitutional Liberties; A New "Moral Panic" Targeting "Privileged Men" Signals Despotism and Tyranny

15 upvotes | November 22, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/11/22/sexual-harassment-new-moral-panic-targeting-privileged-men-signals-despotism-tyranny/>

SEXUAL HARASSMENT & ASSAULT ALLEGATIONS UNDERMINE BASIC CONSTITUTIONAL LIBERTIES; A NEW “MORAL PANIC” TARGETING “PRIVILEGED MEN” SIGNALS ERA OF DESPOTISM AND TYRANNY NOT SEEN SINCE MCCARTHYISM

0 upvotes | November 22, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

[removed]

Men Are Now Officially Second Class Citizens - So What Are We Doing About It?

196 upvotes | December 5, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/12/05/men-now-officially-second-class-citizens-now/>

Men Are Now Officially Second Class Citizens - So What Are We Doing About It?

33 upvotes | December 5, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/12/05/men-now-officially-second-class-citizens-now/>

Are Men Turning Into Second Class Citizens?

124 upvotes | December 6, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Over the years, men have been subject to relentless propaganda that women are the oppressed class. We have been taught to buy into the "gender pay gap" myth, to believe that "toxic masculinity" is a scourge on society, and that men are responsible for all domestic violence and sex crimes.

Politicians, mainstream media, courts, and the "permanent bureaucracy" composed of a few elites with self-serving interests have permitted legislation and policies to "level the playing field" for women. But the playing field is anything but level.

The result? *Equal rights for women does not translate into equal treatment for men.* Men are now officially second class citizens in most of the developed world and no longer enjoy the same privileges and immunities as women. Facts show that men are actually far worse off in developed nations than women:

*Men are 14x more likely to be incarcerated and spend time in prison than women. The criminal justice system is designed to prosecute and incarcerate men even though women are equally (or more) guilty of crimes such as child abuse, child neglect, domestic violence, prostitution, etc.

*Men are 5-10x more likely to commit suicide in developed nations. Because most of the mental health, counseling and social services are funded for women, men are suffering in silence and are taking their lives at astounding rates;

*Men are much more likely to die earlier in life than women because they hold the majority of dangerous jobs (construction, military, mining etc.) and suffer more stress related diseases such as heart attacks and strokes.

*Women have dominated college enrollment and graduation rates since the 1980's due to affirmative action policies that lower standards for women and punish young men attempting to get degrees in science, technology, engineering and math. The rise of "women studies" and "gender studies" programs have also had a discriminatory effect on admissions and degrees, as these programs are exclusively catered towards women. Young men are also the overwhelming target of sexual assault witch hunts on college campuses.

*Men ages 18-26 must still register for mandatory selective military service in the U.S., but not women. Because of equal rights lawsuits, women are now voluntarily allowed to occupy any position in the military; but when it comes to mandatory selective service draft, only men are forced to enroll and die for their country. It is estimated that only 3% of alimony recipients are men. Although middle and upper-class women are equally represented in the work force, they are extracting a whopping 97% of the total alimony and child support payments. Most men who go through a divorce will lose just about everything.

*Men are more likely to be targeted in employment discrimination, sexual harassment, sexual assault, rape and domestic violence claims and will suffer the disproportionate loss of jobs, income, life, liberty and property as a result of these types of claims. Although the media tends to focus on high-profile men, millions of other men, whose stories are untold, are suffering worse fates because they lose the only source of their basic livelihood to these types of allegations.

*70% or more of the homeless population is male. Because of skewed social programs and benefits for women, untreated physical and mental health problems for men, veterans and those that lost their

homes and lives to incarceration or divorce, the majority of the homeless suffering is male.

So what do men do about this dismal state of affairs? Most men who are not blogging in the manosphere, including our politicians are too afraid to say anything about the second citizen class status of males. Too many men are afraid of a #metoo backlash to speak up. However, our collective silence, complaining, and inaction will continue to allow feminist groups to dominate the discussion and set policies and agendas that drive men further into decay.

Here are some concrete steps we can take in our everyday lives to call out the injustice against men and attempt to level the playing field:

- *Do not allow woman to use their sexual powers to dominate you. Men have allowed their rights to be stripped from them because they are beholden to sex from women. Free yourself of sexual coercion and you will be able to think more clearly about your rights and freedom.

- *Report any instances of sexual harassment, sexual battery, domestic violence, child neglect, child abuse, etc. caused by women (however minor or egregious) to the authorities and demand prosecution and accountability. Men are far too reluctant to voice these complaints for fear of having their masculinity questioned. Women, however, readily take advantage of the system and men's failure to report women has fueled the myth that only men are the abusers or violate these laws. If you do not report women, you are fueling the problem.

- *Avoid closed door meetings and one-on-one sessions with women at work. This will only open you up to opportunities for false allegations.

- *Avoid hiring and promoting feminists if you can do so legally. Women, especially radicalized feminists are dominating the workforce and taking control of companies, political positions, etc. due to policies that wildly favor them. In the current climate, women have better and more opportunities than men with the same education and they are taking full advantage of it to the detriment of men. Men need to start looking out for each other and help men.

- *Do not fight or argue with women. You will only be opening yourself up to some sort of "abuse" allegations if the relationship goes south. Instead, document your woman partner's irrational behavior and any instances of abuse towards you. Develop a file with text messages, pictures, emails, etc. that you can use later against the woman if it appears she is ready to turn on you.

- *Pay attention to the politicians and judges you are electing. Find out whether these people are supporting irrational women's rights and their voting records. Get the word out to your friends, family and community to elect politicians and judges who are reasonable and support equal rights, not feminist agendas.

- *Get the word out to your friends, family and colleagues that men are suffering as second class citizens. Share these posts with your network and social media contacts, especially with people who are "neutral". Feminists have done a great job of converting these people to their side because men have not provided counterpoints and arguments over the years. It's important that we speak up and speak out without fearing reprisal and feeling guilty for supporting men's basic humanitarian rights.

In conclusion, men have become disposable second class citizens and have allowed themselves to accept this fate. The biased media reporting and political airways are filled with propaganda that paints women as the oppressed class, when the reality is quite the opposite. However, most men have become far too docile and accepting of the current state of affairs and our inaction is only making things worse for us. Please help level the playing field by helping men.

This article originally appeared at www.menslaws.com

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/12/05/men-now-officially-second-class-citizens-now/>

Do Men's Gay Rights Trump Men's Religious Rights? - The U.S. Supreme Court Will Decide Whether A Man Should Be Forced To Bake Gay Wedding Cakes

7 upvotes | December 6, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/12/06/mens-gay-rights-trump-mens-religious-rights-u-s-supreme-court-will-decide-whether-man-forced-bake-gay-wedding-cakes/>

Do Men's Gay Rights Trump A Man's Religious Rights? - The U.S. Supreme Court Will Decide Whether A Man Should Be Forced To Bake Gay Wedding Cakes

25 upvotes | December 6, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Do a man's gay rights trump a man's religious rights? On December 5, 2017, the United States Supreme Court heard full oral arguments in Masterpiece Cakeshop v. Colorado Civil Rights Commission, to decide whether a Christian wedding cake artist should be forced design wedding cakes for two married gay men. This is an interesting case which raises both 1st amendment rights and the rights of gay men to obtain services from public businesses. The gay men argued that the business owner was discriminating against their civil rights to be served by any public business. The religious business owner argues that he cannot be compelled to design a gay wedding cake because it offends his religious views. (He was willing to sell the gay couple an off the shelf cake, but not one specially designed with gay symbols and rainbows). The transcript of the hearing offers a fascinating glimpse in the debate between Supreme Court justices that are split across conservative and liberal viewpoints.

download the hearing transcript here:

https://www.supremecourt.gov/oral_arguments/argument_transcripts/2017/16-111_f314.pdf

Falsely accused of rape. University is trying to make me destroy evidence.

114 upvotes | December 6, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Crosspost "Falsely accused of rape. University is trying to make me destroy evidence." from /r/legaladvice:

Hi, so I have a serious problem and I hope you can help.

I attend a public university in Texas. I am also a Texas resident.

In September, I met a girl on Tinder who also attends my university. I know Tinder is shady, please don't judge me. This was my first time using it. We met for a date, which was just a couple of beers at a bar near campus, and then ended up at my apartment. You know what happened next.

Afterwards, as we were getting dressed, she said kind of jokingly, you know I could say you raped me if I wanted to, because this was the first time we met. That kind of scared me, so I thought I better get some evidence that she consented. I discreetly opened voice recorder on my phone.

I recorded everything that was said from that point on. Just to clarify, it was only audio, no pictures. You can hear her saying things like "I'm so sad I have to put my bra back on" and "that was a great f***". She also said "we should meet again, but next time we can skip the drinks and get right to it". I kept the recorder on until she left, so there is a lot of empty space as well.

Then the week after she messaged me again asking to meet up. I was kind of creeped out about her comment where she said she could say I raped her, so I unmatched her. She then found me on Facebook and started messaging me, and replied a couple of times saying no but then finally I blocked her.

Then, mid-November, I got a letter from the dean of students saying they need to meet with me. I go in and they are investigating me for rape. I figured I have evidence it was consensual so I should be okay. I fully cooperated with the investigator at the hearing, and mentioned that I have a recording which will show that it was consensual. She said that will be very helpful, and I will be hearing back after she meets with "other parties" which I assume means the girl.

Now go to earlier today. I got this very disturbing e-mail. I don't know how to describe it so I will just copy and paste. "It has come to our attention that you created an unauthorized recording of the above referenced incident. This is a violation of the privacy rights of another [university name] student. You are instructed to immediately delete this recording, including any and all back up copies, and reply to this e-mail within 24 hours confirming that you have done so. If you fail to comply, you will be in violation of university policies concerning student privacy, and may be suspended or expelled from campus regardless of the outcome of the rape allegation."

I am now in a catch 22. If I keep the recording I get suspended for violating privacy, and if I delete it then I probably get found guilty of rape.

I know I should talk to a lawyer (although they aren't allowed at the hearings) but there is a 24 hour deadline on this so I need to know what to do right away. Should I at least keep the recording until I can see a lawyer? My idea was to tell them I've deleted it, but keep it anyway, maybe "forget" that I

had a backup made. That will give me some time to get a lawyer's opinion. Any other options please tell me. I'm honestly lost and kind of worried right now.

Posted by throwaway-legal-advi | 6 December 2017 | [Link](#)

Stanford Law Professor Michele Dauber Calls For Ex-Stanford Student's Death Because He Appeals College Sexual Assault Conviction

117 upvotes | December 12, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/12/11/stanford-law-professor-michele-dauber-calls-for-brock-tu-rners-death-because-he-appeals-sex-conviction/>

Stanford Law Professor Michele Dauber Calls For Ex-Stanford Student's Death Because He Appeals College Sexual Assault Conviction

23 upvotes | December 12, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/2017/12/11/stanford-law-professor-michele-dauber-calls-for-brock-tu-rners-death-because-he-appeals-sex-conviction/>

Stanford Law Professor Michele Dauber Calls For Death Of Brock Turner Because He Is Appealing His Sex Conviction

299 upvotes | December 12, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

[removed]

Trump Tax Bill Eliminates Alimony Deduction: Another Big Reason To Avoid Marriage And Get Divorced Before December 31, 2018

16 upvotes | December 29, 2017 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/trump-tax-bill-eliminates-alimony-deduction-another-big-reason-avoid-marriage-get-divorced-december-31-2018/>

Trump Tax Bill Eliminates Alimony Deduction: Another Big Reason To Avoid Marriage And Get Divorced Before December 31, 2018

47 upvotes | December 29, 2017 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/trump-tax-bill-eliminates-alimony-deduction-another-big-reason-avoid-marriage-get-divorced-december-31-2018/>

Red Pill Strategies to Cope With Trump's Tax Bill Elimination of Spousal Support Deductions: Another Big Reason To Avoid Marriage And Get Divorced Before December 31, 2018

175 upvotes | December 29, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Alpha men and red-pillers, beware the side affects of Trump's new tax plan.

The Trump tax plan which was enacted into law on December 22, 2017 has been touted for all its benefits in reducing corporate tax rates and streamlining taxes for individuals. One big loser of the Trump tax plan – unhappily married or soon to be married men. Perhaps because Trump is on his third wife and has an iron clad prenup which he has fine tuned over the years and perhaps because the conservative GOP party members who put “family first” have simply ignored or forgotten about most men who are toiling away in unhappy marriages and relationships; the new Trump tax plan eliminates alimony payments from the list of allowable itemized deductions for U.S. marriages on or after December 31, 2018.

This is terrible for men. According to a recent census taken in the U.S. of divorced couples, men are paying a whopping 97% of total alimony, despite the fact that 40% of households include higher wage earning women.

(<https://www.forbes.com/sites/emmajohnson/2014/11/20/why-do-so-few-men-get-alimony/#7a72db3954b9>)

The family court system in the U.S. as well as most other developed nations is a boondoggle for women. The divorce system is already setup in such a way that women get most of the benefits and men lose their life savings, their house and their future income to greedy ex-wives who game the divorce system and extract as many benefits as they can. Perhaps for this reason, the tax code in the U.S. changed after 1950 to allow divorced individuals (mostly men) who were shouldering the burdens of alimony payments to deduct these payments from their taxes. Although it did not completely eliminate the unfairness of the 50-50 divorce system where women were marrying wealthier or well-to-do men and later divorcing them for money and guaranteed monthly payments, it did at least provide some tax relief while turning the free money spousal support into “taxable income” for other spouse. No more.

Under the new tax scheme, if you get divorced after December 31, 2018, your out-sized alimony payments to your greedy ex-wife will no longer be tax deductible. That means if you earn \$100K and are paying your ex-wife \$40K in spousal support, you get 0 tax benefit for the support payments while your ex-wife does not have to pay any money on the free \$40K in spousal income that she is receiving. Basically, this incentivizes your greedy ex-wife to continue to apply for more spousal support payments from you and stash them away “tax free” while you shoulder the entire tax burden for the income and support payments and get no benefit in return.

This elimination of the alimony deduction is ridiculous. Out of touch law makers believe this is good for the family because it will discourage divorce. History tells us otherwise. (Over 50% of marriages in modern Western civilizations now fail). Tax regulations have not changed or eliminated the decline in marriage rates and the increase in divorce rates. This is because tax and economic policies have not kept pace with gender issues, the rise of feminism in culture, economics and politics, and the

general weakening of men in education and the workforce.

As men, we should not accept this bad fiscal policy and punishment designed to give women even more freebies and financial incentives to divorce us and take away our hard-earned incomes and live savings. In my opinion, if you are a man thinking of getting married and raising a family, either: (a) don't get married and look for another arrangement; or (b) get an iron-clad prenup after consultation with no fewer than 3 good lawyers. If you are already in a bad marriage and thinking about getting divorced, you need to legally divorce before December 31, 2018, or get hit with the divorce penalty tax.

*this article originally appeared at www.menslaws.com:

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/trump-tax-bill-eliminates-alimony-deduction-another-big-reason-avoid-marriage-get-divorced-december-31-2018/>

New California Law Unconstitutionally Forces Companies to Replace Men with Women Board Members

53 upvotes | October 2, 2018 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/new-california-law-unconstitutionally-forces-companies-to-replace-men-with-women-board-members/>

#MeToo and the Politics of Personal Destruction

13 upvotes | October 3, 2018 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/metoo-and-the-politics-of-personal-destruction/>

How to Appeal to Feminists to Acknowledge Male Rights

10 upvotes | October 4, 2018 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/how-to-convince-feminists-to-acknowledge-male-rights/>

#MeToo One Year Later

16 upvotes | October 5, 2018 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/metoo-one-year-later/>

BANNING OF “THE RED PILL” AND MEN’S SPEECH IS ILLEGAL AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL

38 upvotes | October 6, 2018 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/banning-of-the-red-pill-and-mens-speech-is-illegal-and-unconstitutional/>

BANNING OF “THE RED PILL” AND MEN’S SPEECH IS ILLEGAL AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL

39 upvotes | October 6, 2018 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/banning-of-the-red-pill-and-mens-speech-is-illegal-and-unconstitutional/>

Electronic Arts Eliminates Billions of Dollars of Shareholder Value to Promote Gender Friendly Fictional Female Soldiers Who Fight in WWII

352 upvotes | December 17, 2018 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/eas-battlefield-v-wipes-billions-of-profit-in-favor-of-fictional-wwii-female-soldiers/>

EA's Battlefield V Wipes Out Billions In Company Profit In Favor of Fictional Female WWII Soldiers

147 upvotes | December 17, 2018 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/index.php/eas-battlefield-v-wipes-billions-of-profit-in-favor-of-fictional-wwii-female-soldiers/>

Amnesty International Claims That Women Are Abused Every 30-Seconds On Twitter; What About Men?

6 upvotes | December 18, 2018 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<https://www.engadget.com/2018/12/18/women-are-abused-every-30-seconds-on-twitter/>

Top UK Lawyer Commits Suicide After Female Colleagues Accuse Him of “Inappropriate Behavior” at Christmas Party; Media Focuses on Trauma of Female Colleagues

707 upvotes | January 2, 2019 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/top-uk-lawyer-commits-suicide-after-female-colleagues-accuse-him-of-inappropriate-behavior-at-christmas-party-media-focuses-on-trauma-of-female-colleagues/>

Lawyer, father of two, commits suicide after female colleagues accuse him of “inappropriate behavior” at Christmas party; Media focuses on trauma of female “victims”

28 upvotes | January 3, 2019 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/top-uk-lawyer-commits-suicide-after-female-colleagues-accuse-him-of-inappropriate-behavior-at-christmas-party-media-focuses-on-trauma-of-female-colleagues/>

New California #Metoo Sexual Harassment Laws Go Into Effect in 2019; Eliminates Confidentiality and Ability to Defend Lawsuits; Creates Blacklists, Even False Allegations Are Not Defamatory

50 upvotes | January 4, 2019 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/slew-of-new-california-metoo-sexual-harassment-laws-go-into-effect-in-2019-eliminates-confidentiality-and-ability-to-defend-lawsuits-creates-blacklists-even-false-allegations-are-not-defamatory/>

Slew of New California #Metoo Sexual Harassment Laws Go Into Effect in 2019; Eliminates Confidentiality and Ability to Defend Lawsuits; Creates Blacklists, Even False Allegations Are Not Defamatory

54 upvotes | January 4, 2019 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/slew-of-new-california-metoo-sexual-harassment-laws-go-into-effect-in-2019-eliminates-confidentiality-and-ability-to-defend-lawsuits-creates-blacklists-even-false-allegations-are-not-defamatory/>

Journalist Blames "Inappropriate Behavior" UK Lawyer's Suicide on Mental Health Problems and Fears That Male Suicides Could Have A Chilling Effect On #MeToo Allegations

33 upvotes | January 5, 2019 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/journalist-blames-inappropriate-behavior-uk-lawyers-suicide-on-mental-health-problems-and-fears-that-male-suicides-could-have-a-chilling-effect-on-metoo-allegations/>

Journalist Blames "Inappropriate Behavior" UK Lawyer's Suicide on Mental Health Problems and Fears That Male Suicides Could Have A Chilling Effect On #MeToo Allegations

28 upvotes | January 5, 2019 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/journalist-blames-inappropriate-behavior-uk-lawyers-suicide-on-mental-health-problems-and-fears-that-male-suicides-could-have-a-chilling-effect-on-metoo-allegations/>

University of California's "Gender Dysphoria" Clinic Performs Medical Experiments On Hundreds of Children As Young as 8-yrs-old, Transforming Young Boys & Girls Into Transgender Children

5 upvotes | March 7, 2019 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/university-of-californias-gender-dsyphoria-clinic-performs-medical-experiments-on-hundreds-of-children-as-young-as-8-yrs-old-transforming-young-boys-girls-into-transgender-children/>

UCSF performs puberty blocking hormonal treatment on gender dysphoric boys (and girls) 8-12 transforming them into happy trans kids

1 upvotes | March 7, 2019 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/university-of-californias-gender-dsyphoria-clinic-performs-medical-experiments-on-hundreds-of-children-as-young-as-8-yrs-old-transforming-young-boys-girls-into-transgender-children/>

UCSF administers puberty blockers and hormonal treatment to gender dysphoric kids 8-12 turning young boys (and girls) into happy trans kids

313 upvotes | March 7, 2019 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/university-of-californias-gender-dsyphoria-clinic-performs-medical-experiments-on-hundreds-of-children-as-young-as-8-yrs-old-transforming-young-boys-girls-into-transgender-children/>

University Professor Jordan Peterson Dis-Invited From Cambridge University Because His Views Are Not "Inclusive" Responds to His Banning

38 upvotes | March 21, 2019 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/psychology-professor-jordan-peterson-disinvited-and-then-banned-by-cambridge-university-because-his-views-are-not-inclusive/>

Psychology Professor Jordan Peterson Disinvited and then Banned By Cambridge University Because His Views Are Not "Inclusive"

50 upvotes | March 21, 2019 | /r/MGTOW | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/psychology-professor-jordan-peterson-disinvited-and-then-banned-by-cambridge-university-because-his-views-are-not-inclusive/>

Women's Group Asks the Supreme Court to Discriminate Against Transgender Men

33 upvotes | September 5, 2019 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/womens-group-asks-supreme-court-to-discriminate-against-transgender-men/>

Silicon Valley Venture Capitalist Vindicated in \$40 Million Sexual Assault Case

13 upvotes | September 19, 2019 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/venture-capitalist-vindicated-in-40m-sexual-assault-case/>

Dental Hygienist Loses His License and Labeled A "Sex Abuser" for Intimate Relations With His Wife, Who Happened to Also be a Patient

83 upvotes | October 9, 2019 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/dental-hygienist-loses-his-license-and-labeled-a-sex-abuser-for-intimate-relations-with-his-wife-who-happened-to-also-be-a-patient/>

“Still not worth the sex...” New College Title 9 Rules Give Boys Some Due Process, but Still Maintain Inquisitorial Kangaroo Courts

57 upvotes | May 7, 2020 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/secretary-devos-revises-title-ix-protections-some-due-process-but-college-still-full-of-accusation-landmines-by-survivors-of-sexual-harassment/?preview=true&frame-nonce=4e66198775>

Who Killed Men's Rights Advocate and NCFM Legal Counsel Marc Angelucci?

47 upvotes | July 13, 2020 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/who-killed-mens-rights-advocate-marc-angelucci-part-1/>

Another Men's Rights Activist Found Dead (Who Killed Marc Angelucci - Part 2)

78 upvotes | July 21, 2020 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/another-mens-rights-activist-found-dead-who-killed-marc-angelucci-part-2/?frame-nonce=b601baaacf>

Elizabeth Holmes Will Claim She Was “Abuse Victim” to Defend Herself In Her Criminal Trial For Defrauding Investors - Justice For Men

53 upvotes | September 1, 2021 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<https://menslaws.com/elizabeth-holmes-will-claim-she-was-abuse-victim-to-defend-herself-in-her-criminal-trial-for-defrauding-investors/>

Dr. Phil features, “On Trial for being Male”, Bringing National Attention to Men’s Rights

133 upvotes | September 6, 2021 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/dr-phils-show-on-trial-for-being-male/>

Harvey Weinstein May Get Off - Justice For Men

0 upvotes | January 4, 2022 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/harvey-weinstein-may-get-off/>

Elizabeth Convicted of Investor Fraud, but Not Patient Fraud - Justice For Men

1 upvotes | January 4, 2022 | /r/MensRights | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

<http://menslaws.com/elizabeth-convicted-of-investor-fraud-but-not-patient-fraud/>