

Matty Anon

ARCHIVE

compiled by /u/dream-hunter

May 15, 2023

www.TheRedArchive.com

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| I want RP friends! | 3 |
| How can I find RP friends? | 4 |
| Information from court transcript overturning TitleIX false rape conviction expulsion at USC | 5 |
| Having doubts about The Red Pill and not sure it's worth it? Having doubts? Thinking of plugging back in? Read on for why your thoughts are bullshit | 7 |
| To All Men Everywhere: I salute you | 9 |
| Male porn stars should be paid the same as female porn stars | 10 |
| If your question is "there is this one girl", you're doing it wrong. | 11 |
| The Talk - how to talk back | 12 |
| Scientists find women lose a chunk of their brains during pregnancy. Blue pill researchers media concludes this makes women even more perfect than they were already. | 14 |
| Why the PUA hate? | 15 |
| How To Be One Charming Motherfucker | 16 |
| Make Him Wait: Quarantine Edition | 20 |
| Society doesn't hate you... BUT | 21 |

I want RP friends!

3 upvotes | January 25, 2015 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

How can I find RP friends?

3 upvotes | January 25, 2015 | /r/askTRP | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

I've got *great* friends. I'm lucky to have a small number of awesome friends who would give up their oxygen habit in an instant to help me if I needed them.

But they're all to a greater or lesser extent *BP*. Some firmly married up in fairly conventional BP arrangements. One female. One is very outgoing but firmly believes that "women have it harder than we do". (Might be true in his case, he's properly hot).

I hang around in a fairly male dominated environment - which is great for male bonding, but most of them are there to avoid their BP living arrangements with wives who "permit them to be there". It's sickening after a while to hear about how they wanted a nice car but they got the silent treatment and after two days couldn't take it anymore and gave up on their teeny tiny slice of life enjoyment.

Anyway... how do I find RP guys to hang around with, pull chicks with, have fun with, be myself with?

Obviously:

- lead by example
- live my life to its fullest
- attract others into it
- be awesome at all times
- non needy, show no weakness
- but be honest and vulnerable
- be outgoing
- give people shit, take it, laugh at it all
- be witty as fuck, and accept it's going to go tits up sometimes
- be controversial by telling it like it is

I do this and people love it. But it doesn't change who *they* are.

So the problem is... I don't see any RP type people. (The female friends I have are also firmly in denial of their own RP reality). I don't see them anywhere. Not even close.

(Asked this on main RP, was suggested I post here instead)

Any ideas, oh good people of The Red Pill?

Information from court transcript overturning TitleIX false rape conviction expulsion at USC

45 upvotes | August 15, 2015 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Summary: **I've read the court transcript (so that you don't have to)**, there's lots of points relevant to American College today. Much of it is good news about the state of the courts, and terrible news about the state of Colleges.

The super quick version: Guy accused by college, gets thrown out, court forces college to readmit, not allowed back on the football team.

I'm not a student currently and I'm not in the US. This post is a detailed reply to : <https://archive.is/xyoDa> (can't link directly to reddit even though it's our sub)

This is a synopsis of the court report, see above for the links.

The Court finds:

P1: Entire TitleIX investigation done by a single 5th yr 22 old female athletic trainer (Dr Kegan Allee). Title IX Investigator has previous history of advocating for rape victims. She is the judge, jury, and executioner of this (probably all) Title IX cases at USC.

Also explained in the document: Female is an athletic trainer, and would be fired from Athletic Training if consensual sex with accused was discovered. (My interpretation: This strong bias and probable motive is ignored in the "balance of probabilities" investigation - a TitleIX conviction against him would eliminate him as a threat to her position in the university).

Background:

- P5 12. Court is aware of growing controversy of these cases, and lists some cases including the Rolling Stone gang rape case and our old friend mattress girl.
- P6 9. Dept. of Education's Office of Civil Rights tells universities to lower standard of proof of sexual violence to balance of probabilities, "more likely than not", ie proof required = 51%.
- P8 12. Lists many cases of proven falsehood.
- 13: **" USC has adopted procedures that lack the most basic elements of fairness and due process that are overwhelmingly stacked against accused male students, and are in no way required by Title IX law nor any federal or state regulation".**

Parts 13 and 14 goes into long detail about how much USC has got this stuff wrong, how politicized and biased the whole thing is, and how there is no actual evidence in this particular case.

Then onto investigation of this case:

- First incident: intimacy and fingering. No issue, no complaint. Female says she blacked out and couldn't remember what had happened.
- Second incident: female goes round again at 2 am. goes to get burrito. female tries to avoid being seen with guy (I think we can guess why).
- 27: describes (hearsay from TitleIX investigator) the incident as reported by the accused.
- 28: had sex
- 29: other people hear normal girl sex moans

- 30: female tells encounter to bf, who believes it's consensual. She doesn't include him as a witness. SHE HAS CHEATED TWICE BEFORE.
- 31: Boyfriend is never interviewed by TitleIX investigator.
- 32: Female has health tests. describes incident, THEY report to Police, female declines to pursue.
- 33: Female reports to TitleIX investigator. "she acknowledged that at no point did she ask Mr. Dixon to stop nor did she express any lack of consent." Female would be fired from Athletic Training if it was known she was fucking an Athlete. Female is concerned about potential blackmail from accused. "The female trainer did not voice any other objections [to sex]"
- 36. TitleIX investigator: "He also stated that he believed she indicated affirmative consent by lying naked on the bed while he put on the condom. However, since both accounts cannot be true, and I find [the female trainer] to be more credible, I find that it is more likely than not that sexual activity [was] forcible and non-consensual."

Of note is that there are no conflicting accounts, she has actually provided no evidence to the contrary that conflicts with what he said.

- 39,40. Court says "RESPONDENTS' ACTIONS AND DECISION ARE INVALID" and goes into great detail about how USC have got this totally wrong.
- 42: Court has authority, because it is not an academic affair

USC stands by its decision, is forced to allow accused in college, does not allow back on football team.

The court reporting is very positive, and makes a lot of criticism of USC and the current TitleIX culture of presumed guilt. This is very good news and being able to take colleges to court may perhaps one day motivate them to be fairer than they are at present. If you are at college now or in the future, you need to be aware of the strength of support from your courts (if this example is anything to go by), if not from the college you are paying to educate you.

Of course a conviction against the female for this outstanding level of harassment is unlikely given who would be investigating the claim.

Conclusion: be aware of your legal rights, they are greater than your college would have you believe.

Having doubts about The Red Pill and not sure it's worth it? Having doubts? Thinking of plugging back in? Read on for why your thoughts are bullshit

96 upvotes | October 6, 2015 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Summary: Red Pill is truth, not a religion or an ideology or a way of living your life. Truth is not optional.

I see this kind of comment a few times:

| Starting to question if waking up was worth it.

I see this a lot here, and it goes something like this: "I woke up to TRP, but now that I see the world as it is, I really don't like it much and I'm not sure that waking up was really worth it and I kinda want to go back to how things were".

Here's the logical fallacy: things are as they are, *regardless* if one wakes up to them or not, *regardless* of how you feel about them. Sure it was easier to believe all you had to do was work hard (at your job), invest (in your relationship) and you would get loyalty and support (from your woman) and everything would work out just fine (with everything in life) .

However... this was all a big fat fucking lie and deep down you know it. Men are the builders. Men are the creators. Men are the investors. The lie is that investing in a relationship is somehow in your best interests. It isn't. Your investment and creative instincts are being co-opted by women to suit *her* ideal sexual strategy and not yours. As [u/Archwinger](#) put it so eloquently: relationships are restaurants, not investments. (What's the alternative? Invest in yourself of course. Women get 10% and are grateful for it, not 90% leaving them bored).

Anyway... the result of the blue pill delusion that is being treated as optional around here recently? Fast forward 15 years and you are getting divorce raped, your family hates you and the more loyal and decent you are the more you get fucked over. You see yourself as being the provider, they see you as weak. And they're right - you *are* in a weak position. You can't walk away. You have no real options. Be as strong as you like, but when they have you by the balls you're weak in their eyes. So the divorce happens, by now you're fat, lazy and you're so rusty with women that they see you as creepy. Your entire life is now supporting a family that you are not allowed to see. All your investment is being squandered by your ex, by lawyers, and by everyone else cashing in on you signing on that dotted line when you said "I do". You thought her saying 'yes' meant something, but now you find that her obligations are nil, and yours are legally enforced against you for the rest of your life.

So swallowing the red pill... hard as it is.... is it worth it? In the short term no - life is harder for most men when they wake up. It's painful to see the men treated like dirt and then blamed for it, while women are behaving like deranged imbeciles and worshipped for it. It's especially painful to see women tweeting that all white men should be killed

(<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/uknews/11633305/University-union-officer-who-wrote-kill-all-white-men-tweet-will-remain-in-post.html>) without consequences (update: she's being prosecuted, but I doubt she'll get the jail time that a man would), while a man saying that women fall in love in the office gets instantly forced out of his position

<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/women-scientists-distract-men-fall-in-love-with-them-and-cry-when-criticised-says-nobel-prize-winner-10309125.html>).

But in the long term? The truth will set you free. This sub has *saved lives*. Men wake the fuck up, get the fuck out of their beta relationships, and start fucking living for themselves. This makes them both more attractive and more immune to female hypergamy. A man following the ideal female reproductive strategy is a beta male, ready to be used. A man following his own ideal reproductive strategy is an attractive man. Never ever forget this.

When you wake up, life is harder and it is more awesome. Exactly how it is meant to be. You're a man. Accept it.

TL;DR: TRP is truth, DisneylandOfUnicorns is a lie, and *the truth is not optional*.

Edit: update on the tweeter and minor typos.

To All Men Everywhere: I salute you

256 upvotes | November 19, 2015 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

[removed]

Male porn stars should be paid the same as female porn stars

595 upvotes | March 21, 2016 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Personally I don't give a fuck who gets paid what. Negotiate your pay according to the free market economy, and get paid what you're truly worth. That should be the end of it, but in 2016's victimocracy it isn't.

Male tennis players usually get paid more than female tennis players, because they are a bigger draw. More ticket sales. More TV sales. Why? Sexist TV viewers of both genders? No... it's because the best get paid the most. Female tennis is a separate division, and everyone knows who would win in a male vs. female tennis match.

Any second tier competition is less interesting and makes less money. Female tennis, local level tennis, dwarf tennis...you name it. While interesting, everyone knows that these events are not the ultimate level of human competition.

But women are now complaining that female tennis players are paid less. The claim is that the *personal merit* of female tennis players is the equal of men therefore they should be paid the same. Not their skill, not their ability to compete, but their *merit as people*. It's a struggle for women, they have to make sacrifices to be a top player, etc. All true of course, but the argument also applies to people (male and female) ranked 1000 in the world and no-one is talking about them.

Which brings me back to porn stars. Male porn stars are paid a LOT less than female porn stars, even though they usually have to take damaging drugs just perform their job (viagra) and they work *harder* (sorry, couldn't resist) than female stars.

So why are male porn actors paid less? Because even at the top of their game, they are less of a draw in terms of box office sales.

So if we allow that female tennis players should be paid the same as male tennis players, the same arguments apply to male porn stars.

Male porn stars should be paid the same as female porn stars, OR female tennis players should be paid less than male tennis players.

Personally I say let the market decide and once you make over \$100k per year you lose the right to be publicly bitchin' about how oppressed you are.

TL;DR male pornstars - I got your back.

If your question is "there is this one girl", you're doing it wrong.

130 upvotes | March 24, 2016 | /r/askTRP | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Despite AWALT, girls are all random and all different. Some like you more than others. You'll have a different "relationship" with each of them. So fixing your problem with "this one girl" doesn't mean anything in the long term.

If you're in a LTR, then "trying to make it work" and "putting effort in" and "all relationships involve work and sacrifice" just means you are a variation of beta bucks. Even if you're not paying dollars, you're offering commitment and support by being a point of stability in her life and giving emotional support. And chances are she's giving you shit (or the sex sucks) and you want to improve your relationship.

Thing is... you can't change other people. Some things will help your LTR work better but ultimately you are not in control. She'll do what she does, and you have influence over that but you can't force her to feel something different to her actual feelings. And she'll act purely according to how she feels. That's never going to change.

The solution to your LTR woes is to fix yourself irrespective of her. Get some abundance mentality back, get more options with other women, flirt with more women, be more attractive, care less about your LTR and be less reactive to it. She's probably getting less sexual with you, respecting you less, while every year you're putting more and more effort into what's basically a sinking ship. You can't say a few magic RP words and have her sucking your dick like a trooper again. Doesn't work like that. It's YOUR life, you need to fix that. Make choices that will work no matter what she does. Be more attractive, meet more people, lift some heavy shit once in a while, flirt with more women.

Conversely... if you're trying to get with "this one girl"... if you've not fucked her on two separate occasions, she is nothing more than an option. Treat her as such. She might have boyfriend, she might hate men, she might hate you. I guarantee she has a whole load of stuff (good and bad) going on that you know nothing about. You can't take her seriously as a sexual option before having sex on two separate occasions. Until then - she's nothing more than an option. So if your question is basically "there is this one girl..." then the answer is "she doesn't matter, get more options".

If your question is "I find that every girl I chat up throws up when she sees me", then let's discuss your situation. If you have ongoing issues about how to apply TRP, what specific bits of TRP mean, then ask away. If you have a situation that has come up that you don't understand, then ask away. If you want to know the best way to proceed with a specific situation then ask away BUT... from the point of view of "I'm seeing three girls but a situation has come up that I don't quite know how to handle and I'm curious on the best way forward".

But don't ask us "how can I make things work with this one girl that I really want to get with". That's one-itis, it's trying to get control over one situation rather than having an abundance of options, it's putting too much effort and emphasis on one girl, and it's the perpetuation of the blue pill media story of the guy who makes a gigantic gesture to win the heart of his one super special snowflake unicorn rather than fixing *himself*.

The Talk - how to talk back

78 upvotes | June 7, 2016 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Rollo has just posted an excerpt of his excellent [The Talk](#).

Here's my strategy on dealing with this sort of thing.

Strategies for dealing with female demands for exclusivity (which she is absolutely not entitled to):

- Head it off before it starts. They often start subtle by implying you are a player (chuckle as if it's true even if it's not). Or subtle expectations of current exclusivity (chuckle as if it's not true even if it is). As much as possible attempt to neither confirm nor deny. Frame your relationship ambiguously from the start, by refusing to define it at all. Neither confirm nor deny involvement with other women. Be unavailable some of the time.
- Obviously do not show provider behaviour. This leads to female comfort, female "make him wait" behaviour and male sexual frustration.
- Less obviously - do not show *commitment* behaviour. No promises of future meetings. Gap after each meeting where there is no future meeting agreed. The lifestyle is: arrange, meet, gap, arrange, meet, gap, etc. NOT meet and arrange next, meet and arrange next, meet and arrange next. Do not let girls arrange the next meeting while you're with them (they often do this right after sex). There must be a regular "nothing arranged in the future" gap. She must have a tinge of fear about losing you rather than the perpetual certainty she'll see you every Saturday or every night at home or every second Sunday. (Allow occasional exceptions for special occasions, but observe how her behaviour changes - you'll get less attention from her and she'll be less sexual).
- If confronted, be vague. "Hey baby... I thought we had a nice thing going here... we like each other, we enjoy spending time together, and who knows what the future holds? There are no certainties in life, but I know that when I'm with you, I'm with you". For bonus points if you're brave: "But I do know this... love will always find a way...."
- If given a total absolute do-or-die ultimatum, retract commitment and treat the relationship as over but don't close the door fully... "Oh... oh I see... I'm sorry that it's come to this for you.... what we had was pretty good.... I know I enjoyed it a lot and I thought you were having a good time too... I'm really sorry that you've had to make these threats/ultimatums/demands" ie frame the relationship as *over*, but keep the conversation going long enough for her to hamster her way around to your way of thinking as she realises her bluff isn't working. Do not trigger an ego-battle, do not walk out, do not hang up, do not say "you need me more than I need you" or anything else ego-triggering. Just stay talking, stay happy, assume the relationship is over, start planning out your next conquest, keep the convo going. It's in your best interests. Keep that ego in check.

Before this shit even starts... always be prepared to walk away. Always have the option to walk away. In fact, *plan your escape* constantly (limited shared friends, separate lives, living arrangements, finances, she never has the option to fuck you over, etc)

You are better off single than exclusive. Exclusive is when she starts to turn the thumbscrews just as

your game is going down the toilet. Just don't do it.

Additional suggestions from [u/FrameWalker](#): avoid sleepovers, don't meet her friends, don't see her more than once a week, and don't treat her like a girlfriend. (ie avoiding commitment things and limiting affection especially non-sexual affection).

The worst possible number of women is *one*.

Scientists find women lose a chunk of their brains during pregnancy. Blue pill researchers media concludes this makes women even more perfect than they were already.

83 upvotes | December 20, 2016 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Article here: <http://archive.is/aCxYc>

This study, published in Nature Neuroscience, looked at the brain scans of women ... after they gave birth, and two years later, to see how the brain changed. The researchers found "substantial" reductions in the volume of grey matter in the brains of first-time mothers. The grey matter changes occurred in areas of the brain involved in social interactions used for attributing thoughts and feelings to other people - known as "theory-of-mind" tasks.

So it's now proven that women literally lose a chunk of their (already limited) "theory of mind" brainpower during pregnancy.

Let's see what conclusions the researchers come to, with zero evidence:

The researchers thought this would give new mothers an advantage in various ways - help them recognise the needs of their child, be more aware of potential social threats and become more attached to their baby.

Why would they conclude this: Well, let's take a look at the Wikipedia "Women are Wonderful" article:

The phrase was coined by Eagly & Mladinic (1994) after finding that both male and female participants tend to assign positive traits to women, with woman participants showing a far more pronounced bias.

TL;DR: Science proves women lose their brains during pregnancy, researchers conjure out of nowhere that this makes women even more wonderful than they were already.

Why the PUA hate?

11 upvotes | February 10, 2017 | /r/askTRP | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

TRP tells you how to be a man, but there's not very much info on actually picking up or seducing women.

Are we to believe that gaining muscles will make women throw themselves at you? I think not.

Are we to believe that if you're swole you'll magically know how to find, meet and seduce women? I think not.

We believe it's important to "have game".

So why are so many people at TRP anti-PUA ??

Yes I know that a lot of it is manufactured lies and bullshit. Yes I know they are selling you a boot camp or a book or a DVD and that means they will say "every guy can lay cheerleaders even if you're a fat lazy slob".

BUT

This is a sexual strategy subreddit with precious little direct sexual strategy. It's extremely common for guys on TRP and AskTRP to say "that's nice, but where's the seduction info? What do I actually do with these women once I'm slim and swole?"

Yes we need to lift, improve ourselves, get on our mission and own our lives. And not get married. Absolutely.

So what's wrong with learning "game" (that we all agree is a good thing) from PUA type sites? Why the hatred for the whole idea of PUA when in fact pickup is exactly what we want to do.

Cards on the table: personally I am very much in favour of PUA, so long as it goes in parallel with everything else TRP advocates.

So... question for you anti-PUA people: why?

How To Be One Charming Motherfucker

698 upvotes | April 18, 2017 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Charm is universally applicable and it can be learned, here's how.

This post is primarily about how to be a charming man for the purposes of persuading pretty female things to press their girl bits against your boy bits, partly in response to the recent TRP article (Step by step guide to Being More Charming) archived here: <http://archive.is/GRNFd> Thanks for that post Aghayden, but I strongly disagree with some parts of it:

Don't overdo the listening

Dale Carnegie (How to Win Friends and Influence People) and many others advocate listening intensely and closely to people. Unfortunately a lot of advice out there is persuading you to be what the author wants you to be rather than what's best for *you*. Your parents almost certainly first started doing this.

MattyAnon however is only interested in the truth and what works, so here you have it. Everything I know about charm thus far: the good the bad and the perverted. Maybe not the perverted bit. Well, a little bit ...

So as regards listening: yes but only up to a point. Do not overdo being the good listener. If you're listening you are being dominated and you are supplicating. You are *giving* your attention. Put a price on this, don't give it for free. Don't let people who don't reciprocate with their own attention just dominate and talk over you while you listen. Women love to do this. Don't let them, they don't respect it. If you talk she should eagerly stop talking and listen. Otherwise be prepared to remove your attention like yanking the rug from underneath her if she starts to bullshit you or not let you talk.

Take yourself out of the conversation? - hell no

Fuck no, this is bullshit. DO NOT TAKE YOURSELF OUT OF THE CONVERSATION. You are not a free counselling and bullshit absorption service. (See below on non-needy though)

Make her feel great about herself? - hell to the motherfucking no

No, this is not what you should be doing. You should make her feel accepted, liked, and in the presence of a strong and attractive and sexual man. Making her feel great about herself is just inflating her Instagram-fueled ego. You should give her acceptance for her real self, not making her feel great about who she is or claims to be. Chances are she shouldn't feel great about herself and deep down she knows it. Someone telling her how great she is flies in the face of how great she knows she isn't. If she DOES have genuine reason to feel great about herself, she won't need your help doing it.

So after what-to-avoid, on to the meat of this post, ie getting her to want your meat:

MATTYANON'S GUIDE: HOW TO BE ONE GENUINELY CHARMING ATTRACTIVE PANTY-WETTING BEAST OF A MAN

1. Be attractive, you gorgeous Greek sculpture of a man

Be as physically attractive as you can. The halo effect is real, give yourself a head start.

Be as outgoing and confident as you can. Eye contact. *Lots* of eye contact. Smile at her like you like

her. Have your own opinions and be firm in them. Go far enough to invite shit tests then shrug as if they don't exist. Court a little controversy.

Be happy in yourself. Be honest about who you are (but do put a positive spin on it!)

2. Be strong, you buff confident man (no homo)

Physically yes, but most of all: mentally/emotionally. Don't agree just to get them to like you (weak). Women appreciate premature rapport as much as they appreciate premature ejaculation.

What is strength vs weakness? I don't have a good definition (please someone chip in with one).

Mostly strength seems to be "behaving as if you are in a strong negotiating position" and weakness is "behaving as if you are in a weak position".

Partly she will infer your strength (and attractiveness) from what she sees, and partly from your behaviour. So give her both. Convey options by letting her see you flirt with other girls, attractiveness by letting her see whatever good features you have. Convey attractiveness and strength through your behaviour with non-neediness, outcome indifference, not supplicating or agreeing with her too much. She wants a man, not a puppy. Unless she's a furry. Avoid furies, unless you want to spend the night dressed as a puppy. That's okay if you do, no judgement here. But be a man meanwhile.

3. Be non-needy, you delicious slice of man meat (still no homo)

Don't need a god damned thing from anyone else. Nothing. Don't try and get anything from anyone. No validation, no emotional response, no appreciation, no compliments, no thanks, *nothing*. Don't need these things, don't try and get these things, don't manoeuvre the conversation to get these things. Don't complain about anything they do. Never show any form of neediness. (Obviously avoid shitty people, do tell people what to do and what not to do if they fuck up, do maintain boundaries).

If the above is hard for you, either take the view "my needs are my problem and I won't impose them on others" or "other people in my life supply my needs and I have it all sorted, I don't need anything from the person in front of me". Whatever you do, don't try and get any validation from people.

Validation is a worthless distraction. What you're aiming for with people is a fun conversation for you BOTH. If you're having fun, others will be drawn into it. This is much better than false validation anyway.

4. LIKE people, you sexy privileged shitlord hunk of manflesh (probably no homo, but you're starting to get very appealing)

Like people. Engage with them, laugh at their jokes (if funny) or say "that's not funny" if not. Don't blank people even unintentionally (unless they are giving you very high bullshit levels). Inadvertent blanking of people causes deep offence. People would rather be insulted than ignored. People inadvertently blank each other all the time, and it causes no good for anyone. It's the nuclear option - keep it in your back pocket and rarely use it. Meanwhile aim to not blank people even accidentally.

Like people for who they are, accept people. You do you, they do them. Don't try and change them.

You want to carry yourself as if everyone always likes you, you like people, and the world just works and revolves around you and it's all easy. This is how your world works, and why wouldn't it?

Women find this very attractive, and the reverse abhorrent.

Liking means non judgemental. Accepting. You're here to have fun, not judge people. Don't overtly judge anyone.

5. Putting it all together you strong charming motherfucker (ok, I'm definitely sounding a bit

homo now)

Charm is power plus liking them plus positivity plus indifference to negatives. Charm is being their super big powerful older brother - you own the world, you like them, you tease them, you have their back, you enjoy their company.

A woman describes a man who shows he likes her as charming.... IF he is also strong and attractive. If a man indicates liking but isn't strong and attractive, he's not charming he's a creepy loser. Charm is the perfect combination of attraction and comfort.

Charm = attractiveness and strength plus liking the person you are speaking to.

Now you know it, act on it

Charm = interest + attraction.

Creepy = interest + no attraction.

What can we do with this knowledge? Well obviously "be seen to be attractive before showing appreciation". But also use this to detect a woman's attraction/respect for you. Show some appreciation. Well received or not? You'll get MORE interest from girls who are attracted and LESS interest from girls who are not. Polarising is a double win. The more overt and sexual, the stronger this works. "You are intelligent and genuine" will be appreciated by most, "nice rack" is a touch more divisive...

Having a charming conversation you sexy beast (given up trying not to sound homo)

Start by saying something about YOU that others can relate to. If you don't know them, don't ask how they are. If you do know them, also don't ask how they are. Just start by talking about YOU. It's easier for them, it's better for you. It's more masculine. It gives them a starting point. Literally start with something topical and recent. "I nearly ran over a chihuahua on the way here... little fucker ran out into the road. Fortunately I swerved and got its puppies instead". Just start talking. It works. But then transition into a two way *fun* conversation. And that means avoiding facts.

After a few sentences you can ask them something SPECIFIC if you know them. "How's the new job at X treating you?" or "How was the transgender penis implant operation? Big success?".

Don't ask big/factual questions. Try to avoid their job as much as possible. "What do you do" is horrid. Their favourite icecream is better for a million reasons. Exaggerate things, it makes conversations a trillion times better (but not facts. keep facts straight or you look ridiculous). Be a bit larger than life. Be mysterious - leave lots of unanswered questions about what you do and when you do it. Don't be pinned down. Don't agree to anything of substance.

The big secret of conversations is that you don't need to do all the work. Be charming and the other person will join in, or at least make it super easy for you.

Can I fake it?

A bit, yes. And you probably should. But it's best if as much of this is as genuine as possible. You might have to fake it some until you have abundance. For most of us this stuff is genuine but we also need to know how to do it - how to convey all this so that it works as intended - ie literally charming the pants off her.

Conclusion

Charm = attractiveness + strength *and then* showing you appreciate the girl for who she is.

Sources: TRP, The Charisma Myth, life.

This is a condensed version of [the full article over on trp.red](#)

Make Him Wait: Quarantine Edition

71 upvotes | May 2, 2020 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

Make Him Wait: Don't fall for the corona virus lockdown version of this classic female move.

Make Him Wait: it's the classic female dating strategy. Applied mostly to betas in order to extract resources, money, meals, security and commitment prior to sex. And don't forget attention which is the elixir of life for most women.

You know the drill. "Not before marriage" perhaps.... "I'm not that kind of girl" certainly.... and perhaps an "I barely know you" and "I'm just not sure yet.... [so buy me more stuff]". The whole strategy is cunningly designed to make her look good for *not* having sex with you. It's no more than resource extraction from beta males she has no fear of losing while she's busy fucking alphas that she is.

And now it's quarantines and lockdowns and your magical Tinderella ["I swear I've never done this before"] is saying how fantastic you are and she'd bang your brains out, *if it wasn't for this damned virus*. Think she's telling you the truth? Think again.

Girls bored at home, unsexed and unvalidated. Meanwhile men are trying to line up booty calls the second this lockdown ends.

So you have the perfect storm of a validation source with the ideal excuse to not follow through with her words. Even the girls that are genuinely interested in meeting up are lining up 20 guys for when this ends. Women love choice and they love attention. Lying to get their needs met is trivial.

Don't get sucked in. Don't validate them. Line up 50 because you know for damned sure they're doing the same. Most of these corona-matches just are not going to pan out. By all means get some facetime flesh flashing, but don't invest. Don't expect. Build yourself.

Actions over words every time. No excuses.

Society doesn't hate you... BUT

40 upvotes | June 15, 2021 | /r/TheRedPill | [Link](#) | [Reddit Link](#)

From another post:

| You are still the enemy to them

Men are not the enemy.... men are there to be EXPLOITED.

The straight / white / male majority are the single biggest most productive demographic that earns the most money.

They want straight white males to feel guilty for things they never did:

- "we're all racist"
- "everyone in the West did this"
- "rape culture is the fault of all men therefore all men are guilty of rape"
- "trans women are real women and if you think otherwise you're as bad as Hitler"

You never did the crimes being hinted at, yet you are held responsible, accountable, and *therefore you have to pay*.

They have been successful in making straight white men feel GUILTY. And along with the guilt comes the solution..... "And now you, straight white man who feels guilty, must make amends for your crimes". Make amends how? By agreeing to quotas that benefit people less talented and less hardworking than you. By agreeing to tax and reforms that COST hard working talented straight white men* like yourself, and BENEFIT those that are less hardworking and less talented. Those who aren't as talented, those who haven't taken the same risks you did. Those who do not want to work as hard as you.

Women have long championed a social structure that benefits those who are less talented and less hardworking. Partly because they want support for child rearing ("the hardest job in the world"), partly because it's a lot nicer to receive tax dollars than to pay them.

But now women have lost control of the social machinery they created, and the woke-culture trucks rolls on.... destroying all in its path in the name of equality. Everyone must be dragged down: the least-successful demand that the most-successful support them. And they do it with guilt, shame, and holding you responsible for crimes you were never guilty of.

They don't hate men. They just want to control you and take the proceeds of your hard work.

*As they run out of straight white men to exploit, they are moving on to straight OR white OR male. The new language includes "white adjacent" as the guilt-net spreads ever wider ...