

Why NAWLT is the Beta Battle Cry.

January 22, 2014 | 86 upvotes | by [GayLubeOil](#)

If your a Red Pill veteran you've probably seen your fair share of: Not All Women Are Like That. Every week there is a post about a [formerly married man](#), whose whole life got destroyed because his wife decided to trade up, followed by some tool in the comments explaining NAWLT for the nth time.

There are two equally valid ways of understanding NAWLTers:

Traditional: Look at this [beta loser](#), [herd thinker](#), doesn't he realize that the upvotes he gets on reddit are not redeemable for vagina tokens? He's probably a reasonably intelligent middle class dude, because he's on here entertaining himself with debate. Why can't he see the big picture?

[Gestalt](#): Lets say your a very high status male like a professional poker player in Vegas. Every day married women throw their vaginas at you and hide their wedding rings in their bras. After a couple of months of the ass parade you realize the hypergamus nature of women. Or maybe you're a rapper and with your fame and fortune comes a tidal wave of pussy. After months of not working to get laid because your money does the job for you, you conclude that [bitches ain't shit](#). You then proceed to [Toot it and boot it](#).

If your in the upper middle part of the male spectrum, you still have to work to get laid but its on easy mode. Maybe your a firefighter and women go out of their way to thank you in the super market. Maybe you're tall, fit and attractive and after the twentieth lay, you've started noticing how women start rationalizing away their relationship before gobbling your dick. Or maybe you're just a doctor or lawyer making over 100k and how women interact with you has changed substantially after you got out of professional school. Not all top 20% men see the light, but their chances of holding Red Pill beliefs are substantially higher than the rest of the population.

Finally there is [the Beta](#). The Beta suffers from a scarcity of women. So each women he interacts with becomes a magic princess to be cherished and worshiped. The beta doesn't know many women. Doesn't interact with many women. Hasn't had many women care enough to fully open up to him. The beta's fantasy of what women are like will never fully live up to how women actually are. Therefor the beta has no choice but yell Not All Women Are Like That, when his [fantasy](#) is challenged.

A common Ad Hominem attack on Red Pill is that we're clueless virgins. But who is more likely to call all women hypergumus sluts, the clueless virgin or the guy who gets his dick sucked by married women?

[I rest my case.](#)

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

chill_geddy • 24 points • 22 January, 2014 05:29 AM

I do not understand the reason these dudes rock fedoras anyone care to explain

[deleted] • 24 points • 22 January, 2014 06:03 AM

One guy in my class wears a fedora everyday as well as suspenders and vest. It's real life so we only gently rib him about it.

He lacks social awariness. It's like being as autistic as possible without being autistic.

He thinks it's awesome.

FloranHunter • 7 points • 22 January, 2014 09:55 AM

If he wore a bowler or a tophat (or variation thereof) with the suspenders and vest, he'd get points for being era. But a fedora? Wikipedia says they *existed* before 1900 but really, they're an early-mid 20th century thing.

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 11:15 AM

Oh man their is no redemption of style for this guy. He just has an aura of awkward about him.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 07:44 PM

Why not go full Clockwork Orange?

GayLubeOil[S] • 17 points • 22 January, 2014 05:33 AM

I don't think im qualified to solve the mystery of the Fedora.

abutterfly • 35 points • 22 January, 2014 05:54 AM*

Your OKC reddit mascot actually gives us the answer. It's delusion.

I have a very grown up and sophisticated fashion sense...

...as CLEARLY demonstrated by the t-shirt under that. No, what he THINKS he has is a sophisticated fashion sense. The fedora is the "easy button" for doing that.

Look, fashion sucks, especially if you're fat. As a man, it's hard to find clothes that fit well, period. I'd wager a guess and say it's probably 2-3 times harder for a man to find something that fits well as opposed to a woman. They simply have more options.

Our fedora-donning equiphile likes the easy button.

He's unemployed (jobs are hard)

He loves video games (productive hobbies are hard, consumptive hobbies are easy)

He's fat (losing weight is hard)

Etc, etc.

The fedora is a simple, albeit stupid way of "feeling" fancy without *being* fancy. Because actually looking good isn't easy.

GayLubeOil[S] • 21 points • 22 January, 2014 05:57 AM

You Sir are qualified to solve the mystery of the Fedora.

abutterfly • 13 points • 22 January, 2014 05:59 AM

Something something, "Why thank you my good sir <tips fedora>" something something.

GayLubeOil[S] • 10 points • 22 January, 2014 06:01 AM

http://25.media.tumblr.com/41ea87a65a69abeb734d9431503f091a/tumblr_mu0a6aDYwh1r9e4eo1_400.gif

abutterfly • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 06:03 AM

I'm so happy you used justneckbeardthings for this post, by the way.

Evers89 • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 04:54 PM

He makes an insult about the men back in the day who used to wear those hats...

[deleted] • 3 points • 22 January, 2014 07:19 PM

The fedora guy sees guys like Frank Sinatra and Humphrey Bogart wearing fedoras, and since those guys are cool and retro, wearing fedoras must make the omega cool and retro too, right? He lacks the insight to realize correlation isn't causation. Also he can get a fedora for \$8 at Walmart.

Afroemo • 6 points • 22 January, 2014 08:38 AM

my little brother friend rocks fedora and he always says something like "class is for men , swag for boys" . So i think its because they want to be seen as intellectual , classy and different from other guys .

Why workout for countless of hours and dress nicely when you can just wear Fedora .

chill_geddy • 1 point • 23 January, 2014 01:00 AM

You would think dudes that wear them would at least google an outfit that would complement the hat, there are few things that make me go "da fuq"? then seeing a guy with a fedora & a monster rancher t shirt

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 02:57 PM*

There was a brief moment recently in men's fashion where the fedora made a comeback and it was worn by celebrities and other high status males. The fedora was used extensively on TV shows like White Collar, where the characters specifically point out the hat very often. Betas tried to imitate the look and failed miserably as they don't invest in the entire package. Mainly, they don't invest in the tailored suit to go along with it and they wear it to low class events like shitty bars and clubs or in the college scene. The TV show White Collar actually poked fun at it in one of the episodes where a nerdy guy meets the fedora wearing protagonist and tries to imitate his style by buying a fedora and ends up looking extremely awkward.

anakinastronaut • 0 points • 22 January, 2014 06:01 PM

As someone who does like Fedoras, I can give you both reasons.

1. I like hats, and a fedora just works better with my face/style.
2. A lot of people, myself (unfortunately) included, romanticize the past. They/we think that the past was better than what we have now, and they think that Fedoras are from a better time (I don't, the mid-1900s was the beginning of the end)

[deleted] • 29 points • 22 January, 2014 07:29 AM

This is why you never take someone's advice without seeing them be successful with it. Betas put forth advice like "be yourself, just be good, SOME women will love you forever and raise your kids faithfully because SOME women just want a nice guy." Look homie, remind me of ONE time you pulled a girl and fucked her on the same night, and I will take your advice. Show me one girl of 8+ attractiveness who was faithful to you for the duration of your relationship. That's what I thought - you're unqualified to have an opinion on the subject, pipe the fuck down.

This is true for all areas of life. I'm not about to take financial advice from the hippie in the park talking about occupying some shit. I'm not about to take fitness advice from someone whose body does not reflect their words. And yet we have an entire generation of men, taking dating advice from other betas and women! The two people who have nothing to back up their advice.

Fitch0y • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 03:46 PM

Betas put forth advice

They wish it was like that. They believe that because they want to believe, so they ram their claws in that dream and hope it is true. If you challenge their beliefs they get offended because you tell them that they wasted all their lives.

Johnny_Shades • 34 points • 22 January, 2014 04:40 AM

Another good post, GayLubeOil.

I don't know how guys don't realize the basic truths about our society and women in general. I developed these ideas long before I've come to this subreddit. Growing up raised by a dominant Mom over a beta Dad, and three sisters constantly filling me with bs, it took a while to accept the truth.

With a blind upbringing like that, I don't see how other guys don't open their eyes.

GayLubeOil[S] • 14 points • 22 January, 2014 04:57 AM

Be careful with Solipsism it goes both ways. Maybe other men would not have recognized your sister's bullshit, for what it was. You should be open to the idea that many people hold completely different beliefs than your own. People used to think boiling babies would bring good luck. The Human mind is capable of anything.

autowikibot • 17 points • 22 January, 2014 04:57 AM

*Here's a bit from linked Wikipedia article about **Solipsism** :*

Solipsism (^{*/i/ˈsɒlɪpsɪzəm/*} from Latin solus, meaning "alone", and ipse, meaning "self") is the philosophical idea that only one's own mind is sure to exist. As an epistemological position, solipsism holds that knowledge of anything outside one's own mind is unsure. The external world and other minds cannot be known, and might not exist outside the mind. As a metaphysical position, solipsism goes further to the conclusion that the world and other minds do not exist. As such it is the only epistemological position that, by its own postulate, is both irrefutable and yet indefensible in the same manner.

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 06:09 AM

I'd wager nobody really believed it, especially the priests who foisted it on the population. It was a population control measure, and if you didn't play along, you would get thrown in there as a heretic or blasphemer.

Reticent • 5 points • 22 January, 2014 05:11 PM

I learned due to puberty. (THANK YOU TESTOSTERONE PRODUCTION!)

Ugly duckling syndrome (whilst being a really, really "nice" duckling) makes you wise up to how differently you're being treated as a swan, purely because your appearance changed. (Low level stuff, even).

As soon as I left highschool (16) and went to college (16-18) I was treated much differently, without actually changing my personality; it was all aesthetics. I actually loathed the way people would treat me because I hated the fact I didn't get the attention originally. I mean, I accepted it, but I've always been aware of people being fickle.

It's the **change** that wakes you up. And you either notice it by being a) in the top-tier, b) being astute in observation or c) have undergone enough change in a short enough period of time.

TL;DR: If you haven't been through a change and noticed it, you'll just stay stuck in willful/blissful ignorance; your knowledge/ignorance is your reality

[deleted] • 19 points • 22 January, 2014 05:21 AM

That OKC profile made me cringe... My god...

GayLubeOil[S] • 16 points • 22 January, 2014 05:25 AM

I can say pejorative things about betas or I can just show you a beta and rely on your natural reaction to drive my point home.

abutterfly • 4 points • 22 January, 2014 05:44 AM

It's not real, right? Please...please tell me it's not real.

GayLubeOil[S] • 8 points • 22 January, 2014 05:47 AM

I think the only way to know for certain is make an Ok Cupid account and lure him to a public place by claiming you're the girl in the Princess Lea Costume.

rebuildingMyself • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 01:09 PM

Jabba the Hut: Would you fuck me? I'd fuck me.

devanpy • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 02:43 PM

It can't possibly be real... Just... no

AveofSpades • 6 points • 22 January, 2014 05:34 AM

We found the official reddit mascot

PrinceBarrington2 points 22 January, 2014 12:56 PM **[recovered]**

Obvious troll isn't so obvious it seems

abutterfly • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 05:32 PM

It tickled my bullshit detector a bit. It's TOO perfect. Even so, illustrates the point clearly.

FinalEquin0x • 8 points • 22 January, 2014 05:35 AM

In the first song he mentions the line "she got Gucci, but she can't afford it."

Beta provider helping her afford her Gucci

GayLubeOil[S] • 10 points • 22 January, 2014 05:39 AM

There is a substantial debate on whether or not it is tricking if you got it.

SomersetRaglan • 7 points • 22 January, 2014 06:03 AM

its a goddam religion. an opiate for the masses. an afterlife of married happily ever after to make up for the lonely nights of the previous 25 years.

TRPsubmitter • 6 points • 22 January, 2014 06:54 AM

I always click your image links with eager anticipation.

And NAWALT, Unicorns, and LTRs/Marriage are the last vestiges of hope for many guys.

thedanielmasterson • 16 points • 22 January, 2014 05:48 AM

Women are absolutely savage with men they don't respect.

Women are very kind and caring to men they do respect.

The threshold for respect and the level of savagery is the variable.

When a blue pill guy says 'not all women are like that', what they mean is 'some women have a lower bar for what earns their respect' and 'some women are less savage to men they don't respect'.

GayLubeOil[S] • 15 points • 22 January, 2014 05:53 AM

Even if a girl respects you, she will hop on celebrity dick with the same sense of purpose as a navy seal hops on a live grenade to protect his comrades. AWALT

[deleted] • 9 points • 22 January, 2014 12:39 PM

The funny thing is how open women actually are about this. They will tell you outright: "OMG if (X celebrity) was here right now, I'd totally do him, you wouldn't be mad at me would you hubs?"

GayLubeOil[S] • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 06:02 PM

At least they have a disclaimer on them like cigarettes

Evers89 • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 04:57 PM

That's a fair statement. All we can do is lessen the odds of it happening with the way we deal with them.

It's a bitter truth to accept that you're constantly trying to avoid that because it "just happened" as a defense.

thedanielmasterson • 3 points • 22 January, 2014 06:03 AM

Depends on how invested she is in you. How much did you make her work for it?

HeadingRed • 5 points • 22 January, 2014 03:31 PM

The hopeless beta male often gets just enough women to reward his behavior. He never thinks about the women he wanted but didn't have a chance with, or the girl who he thought was the one and left for greener pastures.

I was a chunky slob of a beta male in my teens\early 20's. Then I got in shape, started making real money and dressing well. I still operated like the fat kid with thick glasses. So I would get women and then usually loose them when they got tired of the passive act. Dialing up my attitude (and beginning to see male\female relationships in an objective fashion) put me in the drivers seat more and more.

The beta who gets little to no women sits in a vacuum and may be more motivated to get his shit together. The beta who does get women (like I was) gets an idea in his head that if I could only do what I am doing now much better I would get more\have a better time.

For a long time it never occurred to me to do something different- just to be a more refined and better beta. I still remember the time I went out with the guys (with current GF disapproving) and just walked. Came out to my car with a lipstick kiss on the window. Pornstar sex for the next week. Breakfast in the morning, laundry done etc.

I finally learned that she needs to compete for me as well. It never occurred to me that I was the valuable commodity- it was always the woman- and that knowledge has given me an edge ever since.

[deleted] • 4 points • 22 January, 2014 06:28 PM

It's entirely reasonable to say NAWALT because its entirely accurate to say that *they're not*.

People take it for granted just how much of human development is contingent on what kind of, and how much rearing is done outside the womb. Seriously, look up feral children, or cases of *severe* neglect. There's kids out there who are ignored to such a degree that they will probably never learn beyond the most basic of language based communication, and will probably never integrate in society. The flip side of this is that women- men too- are not a one-size-fits-all sort of thing. Can you cast a wide net and catch a lot of fish? Sure, but you'll never catch them all.

That being said? *Beta* is fairly inadequate for describing the broader spectrum of personalities. Beta *can* be good. Every Picard needs a Riker. Every Forest Gump has a Lieutenant Dan.

The difference is between the *internalized* beta and the "greater" beta.

Someone who internalizes their "condition" as a beta is more or less just *there*. They exist. That's about it.

Anything that happens to them is by accident- someone leaves a door open and they walk through it. The "greater" beta is someone who doesn't necessarily wants to lead, but is still none-the-less capable of putting themselves out there and knows how to *promote* themselves and make someone else say, "I want that guy."

So no, you don't need to be born clutching agency in one hand, and a football in the other. The problem is that even if you're not *the* alpha, women won't notice you if you can't sell yourself. The alpha knows how to do this through actions. The beta who doesn't / cannot be the alpha will *only* be able to do this if they're patient, and are willing to sort through a lot of coal before they find a diamond.

[deleted] • 8 points • 22 January, 2014 06:11 AM

No way that beta profile is real. Someone found that picture and made a profile with every cliché they could think of. The give-away is "m'lady" because people only use that to mock people like the guy with fedora.

Also, YG makes Dre and Snoop sound like Oxford Dons.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gmqpQb6x74M>

[deleted] • 8 points • 22 January, 2014 12:33 PM

I disagree. I'm an artist and I occasionally perform in/paint sets for plays in my local area (this is an amazing

way to meet hungry women if you have the stomach for it, BTW). I know at least a dozen artsy geeky guys who act and talk exactly like that. They think they are being different and that different is by definition cool. They are of course, completely incorrect. I'll use an example from my own world to explain: the difference between a weirdo who can make art and a selling artist is simple, a selling artist watches what sells and makes more of that. You have to be market sensitive, be too weird and everyone thinks you should be on meds, not weird enough and you're just another dude.

There is also the matter of the clique, when you put a bunch of geeks together they tend to try to out-geek one another, one grows his hair into a bun, another grows a weird mustache/beard combo. The pissing contest continues until everyone looks like a complete idiot.

whiskey_bearfist • 7 points • 22 January, 2014 02:20 PM

fucking theater people.

i have several friends in theater. the parties are weird as fuck, and lots of actresses are attractive, but god damn the people you have to interact with are awful.

[deleted] • 4 points • 22 January, 2014 02:28 PM*

The way I think of it (fucked up artist that I am) is that I get to hang with a bunch of hot women, surrounded by dudes who have NO IDEA how to be men. It's so much fun to watch the women end up all around me, watching me interact with the other men. The key is not to make fun of the theater nerds directly, just treat them like retarded children who just don't get it. Now, when/if they do ask me why the women all want to talk to me, I will give them some clues, but mostly they don't. I'm pretty sure they think I'm a narcissistic asshole (which I am, no contest), but they don't realize that that's GOOD.

whiskey_bearfist • 4 points • 22 January, 2014 03:14 PM

yea.. thats gist of it. if you are a straight dude with masculine frame in the theater world, you can clean it up.

the others are goofy arty wimps, gays, and hopeless gammas.

its just that putting up with all those hyper-emotional dramatic people really does fucking suck. exasperation doesnt even begin to describe it.

jobs33ker • 1 point • 23 January, 2014 04:11 AM

Your first paragraph just caused a light bulb to go off in my head. Where can a guy in his mid twenties go to infiltrate the geeky theatre scene?

whiskey_bearfist • 1 point • 23 January, 2014 02:45 PM

college theater departments. meet the cast and crew. try to get in enough to go to the show afterparties, maybe audition for a show. thats basically it.

[deleted] • 3 points • 22 January, 2014 06:24 PM

I've seen some guys pull off fedoras, but in every case they're incredibly handsome men with an impeccable fashion sense. Tall, toned, slim, and usually black.

The issue with the fedora image isn't even the hat, it's that the fella wearing the fedora is going to be in cargo shorts and t-shirts and be an unshaven, unwashed pile of fat.

rob_bass • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 06:28 PM

I don't know how any grown man can take pride in liking my little pony

soincrediblylost • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 12:46 PM*

I think you have some amount of this right, definitely a lot of thought put into it, but I think there are some things you haven't thought about in your life yet (and quite possibly may never think of, whatever, I'm tangential already and it's the first run-on sentence, so fuck it).

I think the biggest scenario that changes thought is children. I want children that are badass, there's no exception, and I've put a ton of thought into it and have self-assessed myself that I'm aware of my weaknesses. I am a total Darwinian believer and think the person I have children with sure as fuck is going to be an extremely selective thing for those weaknesses. I want someone who also needs to be excellent at nurturing, I suck at that shit. Yada yada yada, that list goes on for a long time, to the point that you notice how a woman's face is structured.

Now add on top of that, that if I'm going to be in a monogamous relationship, that there is a very high expectation on top of that (to the point again, where I was willing to live life without one).

The insane part is I think our bodies to some extent are aware of this (some women we think we are attracted to sexually end up smelling weird, a few smell amazing, some simply make us want to run away, blah blah blah).

I think most guys don't want kids these days, and that's fine, I could give a fuck. But for me, it's something that I've found is going to really separate what you think about a certain woman, because while the amount of women you'd have sex with is always enough to take care of your needs, the amount of women you'd have a child with is practically nothing once you really start thinking about it. I don't have time to waste away from my goals in life, I only have so much attention, so I either won't do it or I end up with someone who meets a ridiculously, almost impossibly high standard, aka, NAWALT.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 07:25 PM

I hoped there was a female out there who was different. They are all like that.

DoxasticPoo • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 10:28 PM

The beta version of the rationalization hamster is the believing bat.

He's blind to reality so he just believes whatever he's told, despite the fact that he keeps running into shit. He does this because if he wasn't blind, he'd have to see the reality that he's not a high-value man.

hamstercide • 1 point • 2 February, 2014 05:29 PM

But who is more likely to call all women hypergamous sluts, the clueless virgin or the guy who gets his dick sucked by married women?

The bitter virgin.

[deleted] 22 January, 2014 09:38 AM

[permanently deleted]

scottishredpill • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 01:53 PM

Doesn't look very fake: <http://www.okcupid.com/profile/kmanpinstripes>

And if you think people don't have profiles like this, you should check out: <http://betapedias.com/>

7r1993rWarn1n9 • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 03:57 PM

It has only a single picture of the guy. To me it's most likely somebody found a picture of a chunky and clueless beta and made a profile around it by combining as many stereotypically omega stuff as they could (MLP, Sanic, anime, Euphoric Atheism, steampunk, etc.) for fun. The guy in the picture is most likely not too far away from that, but the profile is almost certainly a joke.

scottishredpill • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 04:07 PM

I really doubt it, there are plenty of guys on there with similar profiles and pictures with their fedora's. I may have had a look when I setting up my OKC profile...

Also, did you even click the betapedia link? I personally, unfortunately, know many people that match this type of person. My excuse is the industry I work in...

7r1993rWarn1n9 • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 05:33 PM

Oh, there's plenty of people like that, but the high density of deeply omega things he mentions in his profile makes me think this particular profile is a joke (an intentional one I mean).

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 05:33 PM

Oh man, that betapedia, the "friendship-engaged" pic made me laugh out loud. Just wow.

GayLubeOil[S] • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 06:09 PM

I always wonder about people like you. How can such absolute tards exist?

Im not citing him as an example like in your freshman research paper. The picture is there to break up the monotony of huge text blocks and offer my readers a humor reprieve, from sometimes very negative material. Id also rather laugh at a fictional beta then publicly humiliate a real one.

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 10:17 AM*

Can I just venture to offer an idea for a second? I'm not of the opinion that 'AWALT' or 'NAWALT' either way. I have no idea, so I will not make a definitive statement on that.

Even if a girl respects you, she will hop on celebrity dick with the same sense of purpose as a navy seal hops on a live grenade to protect his comrades. AWALT

Now I'm not saying you're wrong, I'm just wondering. I know something apologists may say would be 'but you haven't met every woman in the world blah blah how can you know etc etc', but maybe there's some truth in that?

Could this perhaps be a case of confirmation bias, where every girl you've met and fucked is also the kind of girl who would jump at the opportunity to slide on down a celebrity? I can imagine a lot of women - whose partners have alpha traits - lustily fantasizing about slipping and falling onto some sports star's cock, but I would also think that it is just a fantasy, of which they have absolutely no intention of going through with, even if it's out of fear of losing their alpha partner. Is it simply the fact that these kinds of women know that if they were to leave their partner and attempt to get back on the dating scene, they know they'd have no chance?

An older woman way past the wall who's been with her husband for 40 years and has remained happy, faithful and devoted the entire length of their marriage, through good times and bad. Hypothetically speaking, if she had the opportunity to sleep with a celebrity who she had a crush on as a kid, would she really go through with it? Throw away all she has with this man she's devoted her life to for 10 minutes in the sack with Donny Osmond? I'm inclined to say no, probably not. I know a wealthy, attractive man of high SMV wouldn't even look twice at a

woman well past the wall, but just humour the idea for a moment.

Now I also get that a lot - heck probably the majority - of 'happily married women' would roll ontop of Russel Brand the moment 'their man' doesn't make them feel the way they used to, but *surely* not all. Surely it's an incredibly rash thing to say that 'even if a girl respects you, she will hop on celebrity dick, all women are like that'. Confirmation bias is an easy thing to fall into, and despite having seen many examples of Red Pill Theory coming to fruition over this eye opening subscription to TRP, I'm *still* reluctant to just blindly accept that particular idea, that AWALT. Maybe I've misunderstood, but I don't think I have.

Any thoughts on this? Or am I still in need of some harsh lessons?

Edit: please refrain from downvoting just because you disagree - this is a discussion beneficial to us all whether you agree with what I'm saying or not. We can all further our learning with this.

-Awake- • 4 points • 22 January, 2014 11:46 AM

Red Pill Theory is true, but of course there are the seldom intellectual girls who are better than the rest. Are they unicorns? I don't think I'd go that far, but that small percentage usually has the ability to be SOMEWHAT logical about their bullshitty female hypergamous position. These girls you still have to always be gaming, but they'll understand the abstract power dynamic a bit better and that will give you a bit more security so long as she always respects and is attracted to you

The NAWALT crew however seem to think that the stories of women on this reddit are works of fiction or extreme examples when they are the norm. Finding a girl who is somewhat sensible about her disposition and then getting her to admire you is a rare rare thing, and it's the stuff that unicorns are made of. You won't find one of those every day, week, or even month, and even when you do you can't be guaranteed to win her admirations as to avoid stereotypical woman behavior. We say that AWALT because they are, with some minor variations that give you room to work around if you play your cards right. I'm of the opinion that unicorns aren't born, they're made from a very specific type of girl who is still a stereotypical girl if all the conditions aren't right

TL;DR: AWALT, but it's alright because the occasional smart one can become a unicorn if you win their admiration and never lose their respect/attraction

BruceIsTheBatman • 1 point • 23 January, 2014 02:26 AM

These intellectual girls you talk about would either be OMEGAs or completely ready to break hearts without second thought. Once these girls know themselves and their disposition, they would realize that heartbreak is simply unavoidable in *most* cases, and would invest less in each catch until they meet someone *really* special, and even then it's no guarantee. They will be more in tune with whether or not you fit their needs and unlike other girls who will hold on a little longer to relationship even when it goes bad, they will just get up and leave, because they know what's good for them.

Remember, there is always someone better! And the smart ones will pick up on that faster than the rest, because smart does not equate to compassionate. In my view, it's the dumbest girls who are less judgmental and more prone to romanticism.

MockingDead • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 04:06 PM

Your argument is: I have a woman who's not like that, therefore (logically) NAWALT isn't true.

Well, you got us there. Sort of.

Truth is, any woman who doesn't fit AWALT is rare or has a red pill relationship (the man she's with is the highest status alpha-provider she knows).

Desperately searching for the magic unicorn vagina because NAWALT causes you to lose sight of how to

become a Red Pill.

In otherwords, we use NAWALT to push beta orbiters out of their orbits and into becoming a Red Pill.

What is better, searching for gold in the hills or opening a pawn shop?

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 04:47 PM

I actually do not have a woman like that. I guess I'm just hoping that with all my efforts to become a strong man, achieve my goals and ambitions and further myself as a person, that some women will see that for what it is and not decide to throw what they have with me away for the chance of gobbling someone who's higher social status, wealthier etc than I. The goals for me are self improvement and reaching my ambitions - women will come and go and I'm not too fussed about that. I'm kind of also looking at this from the relationship my parents have. Classic RP relationship, and I know for absolute certain that my mother would never even consider straying. That may be for a variety of reasons - not having a high SMv, not wanting to lose her RP man, they may indeed be selfish reasons but I know it would never happen. Maybe I've rose-tinted spectacles, maybe someone's going to make some Freudian analysis, whatever.

I see the purpose of AWALT to help beta orbiters lose that orbit and I agree it's an excellent concept - one that I'm not even really opposed to because I see that it certainly could be that way, and it's great for helping people remove the idea that their oneitis, show them that this 'unicorn' is using them to feel better about herself while she goes and fucks the alpha, and that this fairytale is simply that - fantasy.

MockingDead • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 04:53 PM

Well said, but for one part. Nothing you do should be to get a woman. I know, biology says otherwise, but be your own man for your own sake.

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 05:01 PM

I totally agree and that was not how I meant to come across.

MockingDead • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 05:54 PM

No worries!

I find that this is the most difficult part: Now that I don't do things for women, then what do I do?

The answer is: Anything I want.

[deleted] • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 07:34 PM

I actually found that the easiest part. When I realised that to be happy, it wasn't pleasing women that I needed but pleasing myself and doing what I want to achieve, it made the transition pretty obvious. Improve myself as a man by understanding social structure and aim for my goals so that I can live the happiest, most fulfilling life as possible. That's TRP's best teachings in my opinion.

stemgang • 0 points • 22 January, 2014 07:29 AM

Hmm, I don't know if you're seeing the same thing I'm seeing over at /r/MR.

NAWALT is not really used unironically the way you describe.

Instead, NAWALT is exclusively used ironically to remind ourselves that in fact, yes, All Women Are Like That.

NAWALT is used as a retort to the White Knights and pussy-pedestalizers who come there to white-wash the evil-doing of any one particular woman.

redbluepillling • 3 points • 22 January, 2014 08:39 AM*

It would be nice to be able to use NAWALT sarcastically, but that would imply everyone's completely on-board with this post. NAWALT is used unironically in TRP, usually when new guys wander in. They either don't understand the broad concepts or accept the subtleties to the generalization made.

Evers89 • 1 point • 22 January, 2014 05:04 PM

I'll admit even today my mind will still try and go back and think that there must be a unicorn. I can see why it's a painful experience for these new boys to accept how it is.

I'm fairly sure we've had guys here lurking the sub, learning new things, trying to re wire and then slipping completely into worse than they were before they got here.

I have (had) a buddy I was slowly moving towards where I sit and one day he completely lost it and threw out all sorts of stupid insults before sacking up with a single mom of 3. Best of luck to him.

It's not easy to accept what we have here.

[deleted] • 0 points • 22 January, 2014 01:28 PM

Testify.

/Married middle class RP about to go lay some 4 hour pipe in a married woman's home.

[deleted] • 0 points • 22 January, 2014 01:43 PM

Why would we give a shit about dudes who have no chance of ever getting laid?

Fuck them and their fedoras.

More pussy for us.

physicalchemistry • -2 points • 22 January, 2014 05:20 AM

Great post for newcomers, nevertheless I will always treat a women's 'mind' as a black box, 'inserting' (as in giving input, not literally as in qualifying myself to her) demonstrations of high value, proof, escalation and the occasional neg which gives me usually desired results [literally inserting...] or the opposite thereof.

For me there is no point in answering why she has the rationalise everything she does while cheating on whoever, basicly because

1) There are plenty of women. 2) It's (at the moment) not worth the effort 3) Since I'm in my early 20's and in education I have more important things to do.

[Game is important, yes, and yes it will help you building professional relationships and a career, but there is no point in connections, when you won't qualify for the position and a PhD is required in my field.]

GayLubeOil[S] • 2 points • 22 January, 2014 05:36 AM

This post isnt about women. Its about the men who choose to worship them and the environmental factors that influenced their beliefs.