

# **If anyone doubts sexual crime symmetry, here's a good study to link them too**

December 27, 2019 | 15 upvotes | by [thereslcjg2000](#)

---

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4062022/>

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

thereslcjg2000[S] • 6 points • 27 December, 2019 11:26 PM

This is an excellent analysis of the misleading definition of rape, and how it does not include the “made to penetrate” statistic. It points out how organizations have purposefully presented the findings of surveys in a misleading way. It’s nothing too new or shocking from the perspective of a LWMA, but it’s a really good statistical piece to strengthen our arguments.

yoshi\_win • 2 points • 29 December, 2019 03:35 PM

Agreed, though we should regard NCVS 2012 as a fluke. All years before and since have had men as 10-15% of rape victims, far fewer than 30%. See the Victimization Analysis Tool > Custom Tables

Egalitarianwhistle • 3 points • 29 December, 2019 04:31 PM

Not true. 2010 and 2011 both showed approximate gender parity of perpetration between sexes. (Remember that men who are "made to penetrate" are not counted as rape victims by the CDC Nisvs survey.)

yoshi\_win • 3 points • 29 December, 2019 04:37 PM

You're confusing NCVS with NISVS. Stemple included both, but I only see NCVS 2012 as a fluke compared to other years. NISVS is dramatically higher than other surveys but roughly consistent year to year.

thereslcjg2000[S] • 1 point • 28 December, 2019 12:37 AM

Just realized I meant “to” and not “too” in the title. Stupid autocorrect! Apologies for the mistake.