

# Lately, I'm getting really tired of your shit TRP

110 upvotes | 3 February, 2014 | by puaSenator

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

assinmygenes • 14 points • 3 February, 2014 05:01 AM

When I first joined TRP I would read everything, now I spend almost as much time screening for quality content as I do actually reading it.

oxygenjoe • 3 points • 3 February, 2014 05:32 AM

Same man, I used to come here every day for my morning red pill and coffee. Now I can't do that because I'm too busy straining out the bullshit to actually read anything.

RedSunBlue • 36 points • 3 February, 2014 04:44 AM

Men are now saying, "Uggg... this women rejected me. Uggg... She walked all over me like a beta. Ewww... She cheated on me because I was a bitch. Sigh, she left me because I lack a spine. So now I'm just giving up on women because I'm a beta bitch that gets treated like a beta bitch, and self improvement is too hard! I'm just giving up because I'll never know how to earn respect... WAHHHHHHH!!!!"

A guy going his own way because he sucks with women is like a tiger becoming a vegan because he sucks at hunting.

puaSenator[S] • 18 points • 3 February, 2014 04:49 AM

Usually the hamstering is something like, "Logically and objectively dating is stupid. I mean, women just can't be trusted, they are always playing games, they are hypergamous, and WTF is even the point of a relationship -- to eventually reproduce? I just don't want to deal with those stupid vile cunt bitches who are mean and don't understand my feels!!!"

It's like when poor people say money can't buy happiness. Well, you're right to some degree, but you wont see me crying on a Yacht.

[deleted] • 6 points • 3 February, 2014 05:25 AM

At the risk of getting slapped... what's wrong with giving up? As long as they aren't being delusional and giving bad advice.

Dick\_Rockhard • 6 points • 3 February, 2014 06:13 AM\*

At the risk of getting slapped... what's wrong with giving up? As long as they aren't going being delusional and giving bad advice.

There's nothing wrong with you or anyone else giving up per se, if that's what you want to do. However, as /u/puaSenator pointed out, the sidebar of this sub defines TRP as a *sexual* strategy. If you are not interested in fucking women, *this is absolutely not the place for you*. Period. This sub is not /r/MGTOW and it's not /r/MensRights. The MRM, in my opinion, seems particularly bent on the fact that women are treated differently than men, especially when it comes to the proverbial *pussy pass*. TRP recognizes that men and women are and always will be different and getting upset that women logically take advantage of their feminine wiles to get ahead in the world is not only counter productive, it's a fools errand.

So if you think women are icky and not good for sticking your dick in *and* you want to post here about it then you're gonna have a bad time.

The\_Turbinator • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 06:49 AM

This, a million times over. Someone needs to make you a mod so that you can enforce this point of view. Because if we do not this subreddit will dissolve in to what srs is today.

RedSunBlue • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 05:53 AM

Give up if you (not you, justknight, the figurative you) want to. Just don't come on to this sub and pollute it with low-hanging blue pill examples of how horrible women are or how pointless pursuing sex is.

It serves no purpose and those of us with better sense know that you are just trying to make yourself feel better by denigrating that which you cannot obtain.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:11 AM

I agree with that. However there seems to be a lot of contempt towards giving up. It is a valid option to maximize happiness for many people. As long as they don't give shitty advice, let em be.

puaSenator[S] • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:41 AM

No one is arguing, in general, whether or not it's right or wrong to give up on women, but that's not what this forum is for. If you want to MGTOW, go to /r/MGTOW rather than a sub dedicated to men fucking a whole lot of women -- the anti-thesis of MGTOW

frequentlywrong • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 05:48 AM

What's wrong with it is that you are not the kind of person TRP was meant for and you are fucking up the discussion with your way of thinking. What you may think is good advice is not what TRP considers good advice.

[deleted] • 3 points • 3 February, 2014 05:59 AM

I obviously meant not giving bad advice from a red pill perspective and not actively advocating people to give up.

It is possible to have given up due to it being too hard/not rewarding enough.... yet not be completely delusional about how things work.

Also I never said I have personally given up.

RUNNY\_VAGINA • 3 points • 3 February, 2014 06:06 AM

if this board is going to co-opt the term red pill then you need to accept MGTOW and MRA's. the term means seeing the world for what it really is. it does not mean everyone has to do the same thing.

YouDislikeMyOpinion • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:52 AM

I'll still call a bitch out when I see one.

If I see some incel fuck moping around being sad and jealous, not wanting to do any self-improvement, while he tries to spread MGTOW, I'm going to tell him the red pill truth of his situation. I'm going to call him out, tell him he's a bitch, tell him why he's a bitch, and encourage him to actually try to do something for himself. Yes there's a shitload to do, but you only have to do most of the things once.

<http://imgur.com/JD7TbuP> They are at T=0. I want to push them to be do shit so they get past that massive wall that they have in front of them. Shit's not easy, but that's what being a man is about. No one respects struggles, people respect overcoming struggles.

MRAs on the other hand want stupid shit. "This concert is selling male tickets for two times the price". My response: "It's a for profit business, stop fucking whining, go start your own and see if you can survive by charging males and females equally". I can go on and on.

through\_a\_ways • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 05:06 AM

you're right to some degree, but you wont see me crying on a Yacht.

Unless you're a woman whose love interest has a much bigger yacht than your boyfriend

PrisonerOfLife • 5 points • 3 February, 2014 05:13 AM

Proof by analogy is fraud. You think game and RP theory are absolute salvation for these types of men?

Some men won't get women no matter what the fuck they do and even if they did, it wouldn't be worth it from a benefit/cost standpoint; this is the point where MGTOW becomes the only viable choice. Why are you incapable of recognizing this?

RedSunBlue • 10 points • 3 February, 2014 05:23 AM

Some men won't get women no matter what the fuck they do

Are these men more fucked than this guy

I think not.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:07 AM

Yes, some of these men are more fucked than a man with no arms or legs.

She's probably not the first girl he's asked out but he didn't let the rejection break his sense of self-worth. And he STILL got a bitch!

If these men can't get over the fact that women are women then they haven't even swallowed TRP yet, don't you think?

RUNNY\_VAGINA • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:09 AM

is that the bullshit artist that makes his money getting on stage and telling women they're all beautiful and that men should stop judging them?

RedSunBlue • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 06:14 AM

I don't care what the fuck he does. He has no arms or legs yet he still managed to get married and have a kid.

RUNNY\_VAGINA • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:18 AM

I care if he made his money throwing other men under the bus and feeding women's hamsters.

puaSenator[S] • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:44 AM

Well we are focused on self improvement around and the initial argument was

Some men, no matter how hard they try, will never get women

Then RedSunBlue responded with basically

This guy is literally at the bottom of the list of dudes that can get laid. Yet with enough work he managed to marry an attractive woman and have a kid

So at the end of the day, who cares how he's getting laid, the point is that he is getting laid. So that original guy's point is wrong. Literally any guy can get laid so long as they can get a boner and be willing to put work into it.

YouDislikeMyOpinion • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 06:16 AM\*

If they admit they are involuntarily celibate, the only problem I have with them is that they are a beta bitch that doesn't want to improve their life. Yes, everyone can improve their life. If you're a 5 foot tall guy with acne and a shit load of mental problems, you can still improve your life.

A bigger problem I have is them thinking their opinion on certain things means shit.

I'll give you a real life example. Here's someone I know. This guy is a virgin who I believe is approaching his mid 20s, doesn't like clubs, doesn't like sluts, gets repelled from strip clubs. For strip clubs he said something along the lines of him not supporting what they do, yada yada. He makes some fucked up rationalizations to fuel his own involuntary celibacy. This fucker can't pull SHIT from a bar or club. And you can fucking see it in his eyes, he wants to. One of my buds pulled a hottie into a make-out and this incel fuck was staring at that make-out for like 1 minute. I get that he's a younger guy, but it doesn't excuse him. I think this girl was probably identical to the image of an angel girl that he imagines in his mind. And he's watching her get some, and my bud get some, and he's just standing there wishing it was him.

So it's painfully obvious how much he wants all of what he can't get. Then he speaks about certain things (I can't remember for the life of me what they were, I think it was something about women that he was clearly wrong about, and he coming from a very stupid presupposition for his understanding) as if he has a solid understanding of this shit, as if his opinion matters, as if his opinion and understanding is derived from independent thought absent emotional and mental limitations and forces that act on him outside of his control due to his involuntary celibacy.

What he needs to understand is that he doesn't hate clubs or sluts, he's just a pussy fucking bitch that rearranges everything in his life to support his little pity party, and rationalize everything in a way where he won't have to admit how much of a pussy he is. This motherfucker is also emotional. You really need to get laid if you're a man and you're showing female type emotions.

puaSenator[S] • 7 points • 3 February, 2014 05:36 AM

First off, his analogy is spot on. It literally can't get any better than that.

Why are you incapable of recognizing this?

No we get it. The point is, why the fuck are these people even here? It's literally counterproductive to waste your time on a SEXUAL STRATEGY SUB when you aren't participating in sexual strategy. It doesn't make any sense. Not you personally, but all those MGOTW people that have deemed women useless because it's not worth it from a cost/benefit standpoint, go away. There is absolutely no use for you here.

Also, those people are fucking weak and they shouldn't be here simply because this is a self help forum and they have no desire for self help. They've admitted failure with a pessimistic attitude. They lost before they even opened the tab.

Why are you incapable of recognizing this?

Tsilent\_Tsunami • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 06:04 AM

Well, that reply is about as straightforward as you can get.

KJL13 • 5 points • 3 February, 2014 05:30 AM

That's such a fucking bullshit excuse. I work in a bar and see fucking trolls hook up with women easily. Unless they're a fucking bitch faggot they can hook up with women if they tried. They probably just think they deserve Megan Fox with their busted face, fat fucking body, and lack of any skills.

[deleted] • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 05:27 AM

You daft?

Some men won't get women no matter what the fuck they do

Go pick up a 200 pound hambeast. I promise you, it won't be hard. It will be heavy though. You literally can't fail past a certain treshold. Even mute guys get laid, and they're all not Brad Pitts either. So fuck off with your defeatist mindset.

If you swear off women then that better mean working on yourself. What it doesn't mean is playing World of Warcraft all day, only to wank with your offhand once your mouse hand get's "The Claw"

Fucking pricks, people like that is why we can't have nice things.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:08 AM

Even mute guys get laid, and they're all not Brad Pitts either

But god damn I bet if you looked like b pitt and happened to be functionally mute you would never want for pussy. Girls could just project whatever the fuck they wanted on you 24/7 and be in paradise you might as well be edward cullen

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 07:13 AM

If you think a guy who has nothing going on for him besides looking like THIS is going to smash some 18 year olds then you have another thing coming.

If you look like a prettyboy male model and have nothing else going for you then the only place you're going to do anything with women is:

a)where there are no other men or b) only in the presence of betas and omegas.

Hell, Danny Devito would wipe his ass with Pitt's doppleganger.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 07:40 AM

Nah. Plenty of chicks dig the male model look, you just need to go to the right places. Mostly its about not fucking it up from there.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 07:45 AM\*

My point was, if you get hustled by the hunchback of notre dame, you're lower on the totem pole, even if you look like a male model.

you just need to go to the right places.

**All** the chicks dig the male model looks. Female attraction is pretty much fluid. Until the

moment some lumberjack/drug dealer/father-of-a-church/butch lesbian introduces his face to a hard object or whatever. Then it's Hypergamehh!

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 07:53 AM\*

oh well pfffft good aesthetics aren't a niche market dude. Didn't mean to imply that. There's a big difference between the "male model look" and looking like a male model, I thought we were talking the former from the way you said "prettyboy male model" originally **edit:** and oh you edited the part about playing a niche to the exact opposite opinion and now my post is completely irrelevant thanks asshole I agree though love you bro

YouDislikeMyOpinion • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 05:54 AM

I see a large chunk of MGTOW as incels.

Them "opting" out of having sex holds as much weight as me opting out of high level political decision making. I can opt out of it, but I never had it to begin with, so what value or weight does me opting out hold?

and not use the knowledge gained here as a tool for your inner hamster.

Exactly, this isn't MGTOW, this is Red Pill.

PrisonerOfLife • 4 points • 3 February, 2014 06:18 AM

Opting out as an incel is infinitely better than being a beta fuck who wastes all his precious resources to occasionally bang an average woman. One who opts out does not pander to women and therefore does not artificially inflate their self esteem. Betas, on the other hand, are wholly responsible for female narcissism by treating women like Disney princesses when most of them are nothing more than consummate social whores.

Just because a man isn't getting laid it doesn't necessarily make him BP or a contributor to toxic western culture. And those who opt out should theoretically make it easy for those who see value in association with women, so exactly what harm is done?

YouDislikeMyOpinion • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:28 AM

You need to be the absolute bottom of the barrel to qualify for opting out in my books. This is a very, very small number of men.

There's always room for improvement.

One who opts out does not pander to women and therefore does not artificially inflate their self esteem.

I stopped giving a shit about stuff like this. I'll "pander" to women. I see it as a means to an end goal. I don't really give a shit about the woman, I want to get X from her, the easiest way to get X is to do Y to her, so I'll do Y.

See my post here for your second paragraph:

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1wv6p7/lately\\_im\\_getting\\_really\\_tired\\_of\\_your\\_shit\\_trp/cf5qsph](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/1wv6p7/lately_im_getting_really_tired_of_your_shit_trp/cf5qsph)

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

TfahsNoriEht • 6 points • 3 February, 2014 05:27 AM

Help yourself. You're the only one who can.

elderstorm • -1 points • 3 February, 2014 06:05 AM

A lion who would pull out his own teeth has no right to have such an honour.

GayLubeOil • 13 points • 3 February, 2014 05:03 AM

Coriolanus is a Shakespearean tale of a great man shouted down and cast out by the plebeians he tried to help. Its a pretty common phenomenon.

What im going to say is stay Humble and open minded. The reason why im so good at bodybuilding is I always ask better men questions. I incorporate their knowledge into my training and grow. Don't MGTOW. Don't give up. Try new things and see what works for you. Success is built on failure.

elderstorm • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:08 AM

Some lad labelled me a beta and "worshipper" just because I'd said it's wise to seek advice from those better than you. Everything has a label now in most posts.

theflyingkites • 5 points • 3 February, 2014 05:08 AM

I love this shit. It inspires me to shut the fuck up and observe more.

TRPsubmitter • 7 points • 3 February, 2014 05:58 AM

Just to explain OP's motivation for posting this, here are some additional examples (these are all taken from the "Being polite is not beta" thread a few days ago. I removed the usernames):

---

Polite but firm. Manners are a foundation and should be a pillar in the base of your personality.

I think the word the thread is looking for is Humble.

Humble is alpha.

Politeness and ethics show that you're so strong that you don't need to be a dick. It's like self deprecation: in a weak man it's weakness; in a strong man a sign of strength.

Basically it's chivalry as chivalry is supposed to be...Chivalry comes from a position of strength.

Self-deprecation is a strength? Chivalry comes from a position of strength?

---

This is male-hamstering. Part of TRP is accepting that the sexual marketplace is a "dog eat dog" world and that you must dominate others or you yourself will be dominated. Especially in the sexual marketplace, "doing the right thing" or "being nice" is incompatible with reaching (and sustaining) the top position of a social/sexual hierarchy.

Trying to expand TRP to include being "noble" or "polite" is simply covering up for the fact that you are letting others take *your* resources. These bitches could be *yours*...and pretending that "I didn't want this girl anyway" by citing "good manners" is male-hamstering:



*"Hey I paid for her drinks because I make okay money and I wanted to! I don't give a fuck so fuck you! I can pay for anyone's drinks if I want because I'm alpha and lions don't care about the opinions of sheep".*

No, you have slipped into a fedora and you don't even know it.

[deleted] • 7 points • 3 February, 2014 04:39 AM

I agree with this alpha and beta shit. People need to stop classifying things as "alpha" and "beta" and arguing over what's alpha or not. There's alpha TRAITS and beta TRAITS, and everyone has a mixture of certain traits. People are over-analyzing and looking way too deep into some shit, like "don't do X, that's beta. A real alpha will do this." Everything depends on the person, the situation, and how you do it. There are also different types of alpha traits, and they can be seemingly contradicting. My guess is the people who are analyzing whether or not apologizing to someone is "alpha or beta" don't really understand the point of this shit.

SneakyTouchy • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 05:40 AM\*

I wouldn't say it's dependent on the circumstance, or even debatable. Alpha is a distinct behavior that tests from his throne. Beta is the behavior that tries to win their game. Even trying to figure out what looks alpha is a beta behavior. If they're not king motherfucker, they're gonna hamster. If they hamster, we're gonna teach them, right?!?!?

[deleted] • 3 points • 3 February, 2014 05:17 AM

Thanks for posting. This needs to be stickied

TfahsNoriEht • 11 points • 3 February, 2014 04:36 AM

We have one of the posts get upvoted every week, but it falls on deaf ears. There are just too many new members wanting to give their input, no matter how homosexual it may be.

Not sure what the solution would be other than banning/preventing comments from certain users.

KJL13 • 3 points • 3 February, 2014 05:31 AM

The best way is to self-censor and just look for TRP endorsed.

TfahsNoriEht • 5 points • 3 February, 2014 05:33 AM

For me and you, yeah. But what are the new guys who have no clue supposed to do? If they could cut through the bullshit on their own they wouldn't be in the situations that they are.

KJL13 • 3 points • 3 February, 2014 05:42 AM

Fuck em. I don't give a shit if some asshole I don't know on the internet can't get laid. Most of these faggots probably don't read the sidebar anyways. We're not here to spoonfeed and baby, if they don't get it that's their problem.

SneakyTouchy • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 05:28 AM

I got into an argument with someone the other day about paying for a girl's first date. I kept insisting that's not in a man's best interest to openly offer such gratuities. They quoted someone saying that red pill involves more push than pull at first, completely misinterpreting them, that a man should go a little out of his way to make her feel special. I said no, it's not about winning the girl, it's about testing her.

Turns out (after viewing their post history) that the person I was arguing with was a fish.

It's not just new members. There are fish in here too, fish with something to say.

FinalEquin0x • 0 points • 3 February, 2014 05:30 AM

I think banning/limiting permanently might be a tad bit drastic.

Maybe a you must be subbed to TRP for X number of days before having posting rights.

If a rookie has any questions, well AskTRP is a thing.

ArtilleryCamel • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 05:55 AM

That's how it works right now

Afterfx21 • 10 points • 3 February, 2014 04:58 AM\*

I'm pretty new here and I have to admit that I am pretty sick of the posts bitching about all of the new people. There have already like 5 posts telling new people to STFU and a mod post that basically banned new people from posting content. That's fine, I get it, I'm not posting, so please provide me with content that does more than tell everyone else here why they are all wrong and why they should shut up.

If there is anything to be upset about with the current state of TRP it should be the endless state of circle jerkery that is ripping on the new guys. I can't wait to see how many people comment on this thread saying "I'm new and I think the new people should shut up too! All hail TRP." Who's hamstering now?

[deleted] • 3 points • 3 February, 2014 05:23 AM

top rated, all time. read what's been posted in the past while glancing at gems that arise presently.

[deleted] • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 06:23 AM

Don't like it? Get the fuck out

Afterfx21 • -1 points • 3 February, 2014 09:30 AM

Guess the MODs didn't like it either, check out the sticky post on concern trolling and then decide if maybe you are the one who needs to GTFO

TRPsubmitter • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 05:36 AM

I would focus on top rated posts as well as sort by "oldest". Look back at some of the highly rated posts that are >3-4 months old by scrolling through the top rated section.

Also, focus on past text posts that explain theory or assert some new RP idea. Skip past all the links to external sites (unless it's a RP blog) and redditlog/x-posts.

Once you find a good contributor or RP regular, his submission history should be full of stuff.

[deleted] • 5 points • 3 February, 2014 04:25 AM

I have no comment, because I'm very new, but I have a feeling this is an important post, and want to see RP seniors and vets chime in, and also give the thread visibility, so new people can continue to STFU and read and learn before posting --- so, upvote.

Notinuschillin • 0 points • 3 February, 2014 05:56 AM\*

What or who is a RP senior? Someone who has been on RP for long or someone who is actually out there living it? IMO if you're in this site most of the time, you're not out there living it. So what if you're new. If you have something to say then say it, right or wrong. It's your opinion and you're entitled to it. If the mods ban you then this sub wasn't worth the time. That's how I see it. I'm 41 years old and I been dating for over 10 years. I go on a different date every weekend. I live in the NYC area so yes, it's possible. Am I an alpha? I

really don't subscribe to that nonsense. I grew up in Brooklyn when it wasn't a nice place to live and I made it through that just fine. So that alpha bullshit can suck a dick. I earned my stripes.

[deleted] • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 05:07 AM

I think I'm guilty of dichotomizing alpha/beta traits without getting the central message: self improvement. This is a good post for getting people in the subs focused.

I've only been a part of the sub for about a month or so. Good advice and I'm really hoping to learn more.

[deleted] • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 05:56 AM\*

I think something we do need to work on that hasn't been mentioned so far is the red pill examples to blue pill examples ratio.

I can't speak for anyone else and I have no say regarding what goes on here, but I personally would love to see a few more theories and field reports posted here, and a less links to New York Times or Huffington Post articles so 50 people can comment "Ain't girls just the worst?" and whoever does it the funniest way gets the top-rated comment.

BPE would be a lot more beneficial if it was a combination of news articles and personal experiences showing incorrect or unsuccessful ways of thinking and how we can learn from it, instead of just an excuse to shit on girls.

HumanSockPuppet • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 06:13 AM

I agree with the bolded points you made, but this post might serve better to fight against the trend you're describing without the rant at the beginning. Complaining about the noobs only adds to the noise they are already generating.

Post the best content you can, and report the posts that do not comply with the submission standards. One click is all it takes, and the mods can hammer the offending post into dust.

Knight\_of\_autumn • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 06:22 AM

That is a natural feeling that *anyone* has when they join a group. I have left many old groups, guilds, clans, communities, because after a few years there, I no longer felt that my ideas and goals were in-line with that of the general group's consensus because the new members greatly outnumbered the members that I originally joined. People come and go and their ideas and values come and go with them.

I can see how you feel, and to some extent, I agree with you. I joined TRP six months ago and I absorbed the message it had like it was the mana of life and I was a starved dying husk of a man.

Things have changed since then and they will only continue changing. Such is life.

Why not add a flair then much like NoFap has that can track how long you have been a subscriber here? Except, obviously, unlike with NoFap, once you get the flair, you cannot reset it. Additionally it will have to filter input so that nobody can set a date before the current date, so that someone cannot set their flair to be a year ago.

It is not an ideal fix, but it might help so that statements made by a newer member can be taken with a larger grain of salt.

Personally, I tend to value the input of endorsed contributors over the others.

puaSenator[S] • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 06:59 AM\*

Note to mods: This is not concern trolling but a solid assessment of theory preluded by a reminder of how good communities can get derailed.

## Repost of original text

I know... I get it, the sub is growing. And like any growing community, from social clubs, political organizations, and even subreddits, the larger the community, the harder it is to keep it focused.

This is a natural trend, and it happens all the time. Usually groups start as very niche applying only to a small select population sharing similar values and ideas. As it grows, it tends to get watered down. As it continues to grow, it starts attracting more of the general population who aren't really part of this niche, and on the internet, it especially starts attracting a younger immature and inexperienced audience. Once these communities grow and their demo change, so does the narrative. When once the core niche group was once the majority narrative, they soon become the minority. The narrative slowly inches away from being X and closer to Y, and then eventually Z, almost eventually losing all sight of it's original essence.

For instance, Reddit in general used to be primarily focused on world news, technology, and science. As it expanded, it still holds onto that original frame, but now it primarily an image board with filled with puns. Or even an extreme place like SRS which started out as a satire troll group which is now a super serious SJW group. As the size of the heard increases, so does the narrative.

Or a personal experience, I had a friend who ran a club in college. It was designed around doing serious political drives. Most members where political scientist upperclass men/grad students. Eventually they wanted to expand just the 5 or so members, so they started recruiting whomever. They experienced a huge influx of a good 10 members who were mostly younger and eager about politics. However, just like Reddit, they worked on a voting system where the group as a whole would dictate what they'd focus on. It went from issues like doing budget audits, and accountability, to "spreading awareness" of gay rights on campus and legalizing marijuana. Which is all good and great, but the fiscal conservatism spirit of the initial group was now drowned out by the newer younger members who were more concerned with other things. Yes, they were keeping a similar framework, but the organization as a whole had shifted into another direction.

This is TRP today. We've nearly doubled in subscribers in just over a month, which I think is great. But it's starting to see a transition similar to that of what /r/seduction experienced a year ago which started out as a serious community where people could get together and share and discuss strategy and approaches to a sort of "OMG BRO! Just be your awesome happy self! You're number one big guy! Just be AMAZINGG!!!! :p"

---

Now I'm easily one of the most moderate contributors here, so I feel like I shouldn't even be the one saying this, but someone has to:

**MGTOW** : This has been all the rage around here since the most recent subscriber spike, so I'll address this first. **MGTOW** is a very valid and fair way of approaching life. In fact, it's very clearly a part of the TRP lexicon. However, a TRP **MGTOW** is far different than a traditional **MGTOW**. In fact, 80% of you self identified **MTGOW**'s don't belong here, and I'd like you to get the fuck out. This sub's side bar defines TRP as, "Discussion of **sexual strategy** in a culture increasingly lacking a positive identity for men.". This is literally the anti-thesis of most of the **MGTOW**ers around here.

Yes, women can be cunts, and women can be bitches. Women, can't be trusted, and women don't think like men. However, it seems more and more that this is now the central crux of every argument. Men are now saying, "Uggg... this women rejected me. Uggg... She walked all over me like a beta. Ewww.... She cheated on me because I was a bitch. Sigh, she left me because I lack a spine. So now I'm just giving up on women because I'm a beta bitch that gets treated like a beta bitch, and self improvement is too hard! I'm just giving up because I'll never know how to earn respect... WAHHHHHHH!!!!"

| /u/weirdinternetstalker summed it up best: 'In this moment, I am euphoric. Not because of any phony

[woman's] blessing. But because, I am enlightened by my intelligence.' <tips fedora> - MGTOW

**THE FUCKING HAMSTERING!** -- You bitches think men are above hamstering? Because if you just look around, you be hamstering. Stop trying to justify your "beta" behaviors as some sort of noble deed. Too many people are trying to twist TRP concepts and blend them with beta behaviors. Here's a paraphrased example of some of this regular shit: "You know what is REAL "alpha"? Paying for a woman's first meal. A REAL man doesn't give two shits about his money. He has no problem giving it out freely, because money just comes and goes. Money is a tool to get me what I want, and since I'm so fucking awesome, I'm going to be Mother Hen and get other people shit. What's really important is to just show her you don't give two flying fucks about any of that superficial bullshit!"

See what I did there? It's using TRP ideas, and reframing them to fit beta behavior. It's rationalizing "beta" behavior to let the person think they are actually "alpha" -- hamstering.

Another: "A real man is chivalrous and humble. As a man he treats everyone with respect at all times. He is always above the pettiness of the plebes." No mother fucker, this isn't the 1950s -- *Chivalry is dead*. Chivalry may have once had a place, but the dynamics and the rules to the game have changed. It's a man eat man world out there, and no one gives a fuck about you. Keep being chivalrous and passive against challenge, and let me know how well the real world treats you -- Hint: It won't go well. Sure, be chivalrous in the sense of being a decent fucking human being. But today, that's not called chivalry, that's called opening someone's door, or helping a chick with a heavy bag while she goes upstairs. It's not wearing a fedora, placing your coat in a puddle, and paying for her cab.

**The Alpha/Beta dichotomy:** I know I was using alpha and beta several times earlier, but that was just to make a general point. The truth is, nothing is absolutely alpha, and nothing is absolutely beta. Context is the key to everything. Is calling a woman who deserves it a bitch "alpha". Most would say yes. But obviously in most cases where I feel like most of you would be calling a woman a bitch -- based off the comments -- is because, well, you let her hurt your feelings and you are butt hurt, which is beta. Is buying a woman a drink beta? For all intents and purposes, yes it is. However, did you make her earn it by doing a silly dance while turning around so you can check her out, then letting her know that you are doing her a favor for working so hard for it? Then that's probably a little more alpha, because **you aren't gifting her a drink; you're making her work just for the chance of being tested by you.**-- or, she's not just working for the drink, but she's working for the opportunity for you give her a chance, and the drink is just a nice bonus. But did she do all this out of spite and just went through the motions carelessly to appease you and sneak a drink from you? Then you're still probably beta.

I know we speak in generalities, and think we should continue doing so, but there is a point where it just gets fucking annoying when everyone and their mom is trying to argue over WTF is "alpha" and WTF is "beta". I think this dichotomy is creating unnecessary confusion, and instead we should be focusing on how "masculine" something is or is not. When we start thinking of things in terms of masculinity which exists on a continuum, we can then start thinking about how to pull out of us our masculine traits, which with women, ultimately what make those holes tingle.

/rant

---

So that being said. I really think we need to take a step back and remember who we are as a community. We post FR's and theory in regards to sexual strategy and male identity. We discuss strategies that failed, worked, why they worked, and how to improve on them. We then go out and we report back. We are constantly trying to improve in all aspects of life -- especially with women, and not use the knowledge gained here as a tool for your inner hamster.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

TfahsNoriEht • 6 points • 3 February, 2014 04:49 AM

Try sorting the posts by top rated, all-time. A lot of the best stuff never gets reposted.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

TfahsNoriEht • 4 points • 3 February, 2014 05:16 AM

[http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/17xmry/acronym\\_and\\_glossary\\_thread/](http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/17xmry/acronym_and_glossary_thread/)

Klondike3 • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 05:25 AM

Much obliged.

RS73 • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 05:28 AM

Just stop taking new members and ban a bunch of people. If the new people (including me) are that much of a problem then address it with a solution instead of bitching about it.

[deleted] • 2 points • 3 February, 2014 05:32 AM

This sub is in danger of becoming a sub that's mostly posts about the sub not having good enough posts

watersign • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:02 AM

its in danger of becoming a MRA forum

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:01 AM

This is a natural trend, and it happens all the time. Usually groups start as very niche applying only to a small select population sharing similar values and ideas. As it grows, it tends to get watered down. As it continues to grow, it starts attracting more of the general population who aren't really part of this niche, and on the internet, it especially starts attracting a younger immature and inexperienced audience. Once these communities grow and their demo change, so does the narrative. When once the core niche group was once the majority narrative, they soon become the minority. The narrative slowly inches away from being X and closer to Y, and then eventually Z, almost eventually losing all sight of it's original essence.

Stopped reading here because this is all that needs to be said. This is 100% correct except for the idea that this "mainstream decay" is preventable with continued growth.

Lets just face reality: more than 50,000 people "redpilling" probably won't be to our benefit. We're probably better off never talking about this shit IRL while silently reaping our advantage over the majority. so I say lets get the gears grinding on these will wonka gates before this whole thing blows up in our faces. Anybody agree?

widec • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 05:10 AM

I like the main ideas behind the subreddit, but many of the commenters seem to have a skewed perspective and make the subreddit look bad. I see a lot of guys trying to push the whole alpha idea too far, people being ruthlessly brought down for their past beta actions and too much anger. It's all good if you can think for yourself and choose what to believe, but there's a lot of shitty advice thrown in the mix.

I remember one comment in particular that said you have to stop smoking weed to be RP. Lol no.



semigod\_\_ • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:15 AM

i usually like your posts, puasenator, but this one i can not approve.

mgtow is the antithesis to seduction, not to TRP. maybe you should have a talk with redpillschool what trp is about (or that is, supposed to be about).

i sometimes feel the endorsed contributors dont even understand the meaning completely: the saying here which occurs in increasing frequency along the lines of "trp is about raising your own worth" - no, its not.

misanthropeguy • 0 points • 3 February, 2014 06:08 AM

I have a question about the whole paying for a woman's meal on a first date. When I met my wife she paid for every date that we went on, it was obvious to me that she was trying to impress me, taking me to expensive dinners, and of course I tried to argue the first time, but honestly, I hate arguing over who pays, its fucking annoying so on the 2nd date I told her straight out that "I hate arguing over who pays and if you keep insisting on paying, I ain't gonna say shit, and you will end up paying every time" and she said that was fine by her. So am I a betta loser or what? because I felt pretty alpha at the time.

puaSenator[S] • 1 point • 3 February, 2014 06:20 AM

Personally, I don't mind paying for any date, it's only when it's expected that I pay that I mind. But at the same time, if we are going to a nice restaurant which is clearly out of her budget, that's clearly out of her budget but easily within mine, obviously I have to pick up the tab - it's not fair to expect someone to live outside their means when you decided where to go. However, they should at least pull some weight when we go to the bar afterwards and buy some drinks.

But in your case, awesome as shit. Seems like a good wifey!

GN0PE • -1 points • 3 February, 2014 06:01 AM

Non-attachment : Non-identification.

No such thing as alpha or beta.

Get over your ideas, fantasies, concepts, language, & excuses.

[deleted]comment score below threshold • -12 points • 3 February, 2014 04:46 AM

Seems to be concern trolling guys...

TfahsNoriEht • 4 points • 3 February, 2014 05:14 AM

The thing about concern trolling is... it's recursive.