

Women who struggle with men only wanting casual sex are shooting out of their league

January 10, 2022 | 268 upvotes | by [SupermarketFun21](#)

I keep hearing this myth: Sex is easier to obtain for women, relationships are easier to obtain for men. People think this follows from the fact that women often find themselves wanting (but not receiving) commitment from the men they have sex with. It does not. Casual sex almost always entails the man being of drastically higher value. Meanwhile, the woman could easily find a man eager for a relationship if she gave her own looksmatches a shot.

Men in the dating realm (dating apps, singles events, bars, etc.) are very seldom taken seriously by a woman of similar value. Not sure if anyone still doubts this point, but the severely skewed ratios guarantee it. For the typical single man, a relationship with an even match is the dream scenario. Casual sex with relatively unattractive women is often just used as an inferior substitute.

I don't expect women to try to pinpoint their looksmatches and reject anyone better looking. That's clearly not workable. An effective strategy would be, if you don't want to be used for casual sex, don't have casual sex, and be prepared for that to weed out a lot of your hottest prospects. I can't imagine any woman adhering to that rule and not being able to attract a boyfriend in her own league pretty much on-demand.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments



WilliamWyattD • 104 points • 10 January, 2022 02:17 AM

The OP's point has truth to it. But we are missing a key point with respect to the comparison to men shooting out of their league. When men do it, they basically just get rejected. Meanwhile, women that might be in those men's leagues are still attractive to them. Just a bit less so.

With many women, regardless of whether it's based on biology or today's culture or both, the guys in their league are not attractive to them at all. The women would rather be single than have to touch them. So, emotional damage aside, these women have less to lose by taking their shot. Furthermore, these women actually get a shot. The men will spend time with them, even if it is only to pump and dump. But the thing is, sometimes there is idiosyncratic compatibility that can make up for people being out of one's league. Men who shoot out of their league don't really get to spend enough time with the women rejecting them for this to apply, plus the women out men's league have no attraction anyways. Hard to overcome that.

But when women shoot out of their league, the men do have some attraction to them. They do spend time with him. And sometimes they land them. So it's kind of a lottery ticket situation. From the women's perspective, this is all somewhat rational even if they haven't explicitly put together exactly what is happening. And it's harder to see the other path, which is the difficult process of truly adjusting one's standards to be more realistic, as much as one can.

BecketAlbatross • 16 points • 10 January, 2022 03:56 AM

Agreed and super good point. A small percentage of women will actually win big in this scenario and managed to win Chad's heart.

Intrepid_Place5390 • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 01:54 PM

and they are getting damaged along the way

RashKendar • 42 points • 10 January, 2022 06:42 AM

I found this to be really insightful. Men on this sub often like to characterize women as irrational / delusional for trying to lock down men out of their league who are mostly interested in casual sex, but I can see how "idiosyncratic compatibility" would lead to occasional success for them. It's like playing a raffle with a 5% chance of winning; You're mostly a loser, but 1 in 20 will win big. No entirely irrational from that perspective. Yet by this paradigm, most women will still end up single and most men, of course, don't even get a chance to buy a ticket.

Yuo_cna_Raed_Tihs • 29 points • 10 January, 2022 01:15 PM

No they're still irrational to *complain about it*. Like if you wanna continuously shag 9s and 10s and hope one of them falls in love with your amazing personality, go ahead. But then don't complain and malign all men when that strategy takes way longer than you expect, or, more probably, just doesn't work at all.

Sad_Top1743 • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 05:22 PM

And then go on to complain about men only caring about looks. Like...yes, if your below his league you will naturally feel insecure about your looks

Ohmaygahh • 2 points • 11 January, 2022 03:44 PM

Have you ever wondered that thing a lot of women complain about men doing is actually PROJECTION. I challenge you to view the next woman's complaint as pure projection and see if that makes sense.

WilliamWyattD • 20 points • 10 January, 2022 10:12 AM

I doubt most girls go into such situations having explicitly articulated what is happening, even to themselves. They probably don't exactly know if the guy is their league as it is true women often have an inflated sense of their leagues today. They probably don't fully grasp just how much of a lottery ticket scenario it is. Still, few would sleep with these guys if they knew for sure they had no chance at a LTR and that this was because he felt he was slumming for easy strange. Nevertheless, it is the fact that some women do get commitment in these situations that likely keeps them going.

Personally, while I see the apparent logic in such strategies, even if it is all implicit and unarticulated, I think it's a bad approach. Women underestimate the damage it does. It is healthier for the women to improve their own level and/or find a way to truly adjust their standards such that they are mostly sleeping with guys who commit to them. This is just better for all involved, but it is a hard path to see and a harder one to walk.

Deadlocked02 • 23 points • 10 January, 2022 12:11 PM*

I think most people, men and women alike, aren't really equipped to tell who is their looksmatch of the opposite gender. Another thing that I often see are guys that don't have an outright Hollywoodian kind of beauty, but are still "light chads" by all means. They're successful in getting LTRs and ONS, but they never attribute their success to their good looks. Because guys simply aren't showered in compliments for their looks the way women are, even women that are way below an attractive man's league. That's why you see many guys doubting the experiences of less attractive guys. Or how often you see attractive guys telling motivational stories about how they overcame incelism by upping their game, except they were never really ugly in the first place. A lot of guys simply aren't aware that they're attractive, whereas a lot of women aren't aware that they're not as attractive as society led them to believe. Often I see men in relationships being told they're lucky to have the woman they have, but really, when you leave attraction and all aside, they're on the same league or he's even more attractive than she is. She's just ups her game with makeup, hair, etc.

TheSnowyPlover • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 07:06 PM

On a macro scale there's almost no such thing as irrational behavior and this includes women. People simply respond based on the conditions of the game and the incentives it provides

Banned_BY_SOYMEN • 15 points • 10 January, 2022 05:12 AM

"Ay motherfucker when you want the free market, this is the free market? Why you ni**** crying now?" — American Gangster

mairomaster • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 12:05 PM

LOL

Shredddz • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 01:28 PM*

The claim that women are not attracted to their looks matches is a lie and biologically impossible. Its all entitlement and the skewed ratios all come from women not being aware of the difference between a mans

sexual imperative vs theirs. Nature set it up so that one man would have multiple women and many man nothing, but this isnt caused by attraction, its caused by the male ability to value casual sex and being able to care for multiple women at once, while women biologically can not do the reverse because they can not have children of multiple men with both if them providing, the males would kill each other.

Especially the fact that what makes men and women attractive is necessarily equally distributed because it has largely the exact same biological causes, the only difference being that they also are attracted to status and preselection anything else is mirrored and the exact same attributes combined with dimorphic traits. but that doesnt take away from what makes men physically attractive. Women being way more picky has nothing to do with men being less attractive on average, Its just the consequences of women seemingly being able to choose from the best guys because of casual sex and because status and preselection gives few men a greater advantage than just looks, but also means to offset it to a degree.

The divergence in desirability is an illusion and not natural at all.

TheSnowyPlover • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 07:11 PM

I recall seeing research which found that in the context of LTRs people overwhelmingly end up with their looks match

Shredddz • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 07:35 PM

yes, this is what Im saying, however this only applies to women who are not delusional due to social media and hook ups. Most people are not young enough to have been raised in the social media culture and hook up culture, thats why the stats are still relatively equal. When this generation becomes the entire society however, things are going to be much different until it gets so bad that they get humbled again.

TrueStorms • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:08 PM

How can nature be about "entitlement?"

Shredddz • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:11 PM

It is not nature, thats the lie.

TrueStorms • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:13 PM

Okay. How can anything to do with love be about "entitlement"

Shredddz • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 02:31 PM

When Im used to only the best, I can not love what I deserve. A rich dude cant enjoy a great house because hes used to mega mansions, but if he would never have been rich he would have called the same house he though was disgusting his dream house. Its all a matter of perspective, even sexual attraction. Sexual attraction requires minimum attributes to occur naturally, but these attributes are definitely exactly as frequent in man and women, the distortion has nothing to do with not loving average men because they fail to be sexually attractive. they just fail to measure up to the guys women can get sex from, so these women are entitled about "love" just like the guy in the house example, only being able to appreciate what you are used to. you can not appreciate good things anymore if you are used to something much better. If women never had the chance to sleep with the top guys, their looks match would be a catch for them instead of claiming they would rather stay single. It is pure entitlement

TrueStorms • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 07:18 PM

What does “deserve” have to do with love?

Shredddz • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 07:32 PM

women not wanting to have a relationship with their looks match has nothing to do with love. You get that the point is that we are not talking about love, we are talking about greed and entitlement. You're mistaken if you believe these women are just looking for love.

XYZRGCMYK • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 10:15 PM*

...it's kind of a lottery ticket situation. From the women's perspective, this is all somewhat rational even if they haven't explicitly put together exactly what is happening. And it's harder to see the other path, **which is the difficult process of truly adjusting one's standards to be more realistic, as much as one can.**

I agree with your post but the conclusion in bold is truly incredible. Women think it's legitimate for them to shame or otherwise socially pressure men into a) finding fat women attractive, b) taking sluts seriously as relationship material, c) not dating significantly younger women & d) tolerating sexless/dead bedroom relationships once they finally settle post wall. But forget (*gasp!*) dating down-women aren't even willing to *change their standards to date men who are their equals*.

I think I've just emotionally grokked misogyny and realised why TRP *hates* feminism so much. This is the only pill sub I post on because I don't agree with misogyny, feminism/TBP or TRP. But holy shit; talk about having an 'I see where you're coming from' moment. Women really are fundamentally different from us.

Bandit174 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 04:48 PM

Pretty spot on. I like the comparison to a lottery system. I don't think a woman has to be equally as attractive or rare in terms of the traits she possesses to get a HVM. A lot of it will just come down to timing/ the luck of the lottery system.

totalwpierdol • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 10:29 AM

I always have a feeling that all the women who complain about their dating pool, do so because they reject quite a lot of men that aren't hot/cool enough

skunkbear444 • 28 points • 10 January, 2022 05:18 AM

I have 2 close male friends currently that are each seeing women, where the woman wants a relationship and hints at it and they have kept in the situation zone explicitly for a long time to keep reaping easy female companionship and sex.

Both guys are better looking and have more going for them than the women they see casually.

This happens all the time especially with guys who are less beta or not beta at all

A large portion of women shoot out of their league constantly.

Intrepid_Place5390 • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 02:18 PM

they do shoot out of their league. Inherently they want men (above) them, that's part of the issue. Some just go (way) above them. Some get blinded or fooled into thinking they can get these hot guys for (LTR) when it's really just casual sex.

Then they get used to the hot guys and don't want to lower their (standards). Which is false, they can get hot guys for casual sex, they never could for LTR's.

deathbecomesme123456 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 10:13 PM

Is there any evidence that average girls are hooking up with 9-10/10 guys, who then don't want relationships with them? I know it's just aNeCdOtAl, but I've never seen it in real life. All I've ever seen is hot guys fucking hot girls.

skunkbear444 • 2 points • 11 January, 2022 01:17 AM*

Women aren't trying to date 3 leagues up they are trying to date up one or two leagues which is still enough to have guys want just sex & companionship and not commitment.

Women have a hard time coming the realization a guy that is utterly non-needy, non-judgemental, go with the flow, confidence, doesn't challenge your life choices, doesn't try hard to impress you etc... this guy 99 times out of 100 is acting this way because he for real doesn't give a fuck about the big picture with you.

It isn't about a 5 or 6 woman going after a 9 out of 10 hottie guy.

It is a 5 going after a guy that is a 6 or a woman that is a 6 going after a 7. It seems like a small deal looking at the numbers but being a 6 is significantly rarer than a 5 and a 7 is significantly rarer than a 6 and so on.

This is why truly hot people are super rare. Way less 9s than 6s, they are not close to even numbers it is like a single 9 for every few hundred 6s.

Intrepid_Place5390 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 11:12 PM*

Ever hear of tinder?

In my day we didn't have the internet. Girls would hit on me for ons. I would try to get their number. They'd say you won't call. I just wanted to be with a guy like you Never been with someone like you. And they'd leave. That's what a LOT of girls and women use tinder for

deathbecomesme123456 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 06:02 AM

Where is the evidence that average women are sealing the deal with *hot* men? I don't see it in any data or in anecdotes.

antonio_aurelio • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 07:35 AM

What evidence are you looking for? How can this phenomenon be measured in a way in which it meets the criteria to be published in a peer-reviewed journal?

Intrepid_Place5390 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 12:08 PM

She needs to look at tinders quarterly results.

antonio_aurelio • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 03:39 PM

She doesn't have an answer, which is why she didn't respond to me.

deathbecomesme123456 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 08:25 PM

I didn't respond to you because I somewhat have a life lmao

antonio_aurelio • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 09:32 PM

Do you?

deathbecomesme123456 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 08:25 PM

Even anecdotes. Photos of both parties would suffice.

antonio_aurelio • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 09:28 PM

Every story relayed in this sub is an anecdote. Do you expect people to share photos of people they personally know on Reddit? That's a bit odd.

deathbecomesme123456 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 11:24 PM

No I mean like people in this sub don't even describe the guys/girls' appearances in words, nor do they link to public photos of people who they claim are airing their business on the internet.

sthlmtrdr • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 10:56 AM

Evidence is everywhere everyday, on TikTok, IG, Twitter, etc. Then you read or hear about a woman who complain about an man that only wants sex, ghosts her, slow to respond to her texts, not want relationship. It's a woman that have shoot outside of her own level in terms of looks.

A man wants a woman who is on his own level minimum, otherwise he will stay single/bachelor until he finds one.

deathbecomesme123456 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 08:23 PM

I see evidence of women complaining guys only want to sleep with them, but no evidence that those guys are hotter.

sthlmtrdr • 1 point • 12 January, 2022 08:36 AM

Then a woman wants a man but he does not want her it's usually the case.

At least he views her to be below his level. His perception is that.

deathbecomesme123456 • 1 point • 12 January, 2022 10:37 AM

At least he views her to be below his level.

That I can agree with

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 01:18 AM

[permanently deleted]

wtknight[M] • -3 points • 10 January, 2022 03:32 AM

Automod, please. Replies to CMV posts must challenge the OP's view.

pubgmisc • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 03:40 AM

have some humour in life

wtknight[M] • -3 points • 10 January, 2022 03:47 AM

Humor belongs under the AutoModerator

pubgmisc • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 04:32 AM

7 people disagree

CimZim • 48 points • 10 January, 2022 12:20 AM

Completely anecdotal, but I was not able to get a boyfriend to stay because I didn't want to have quick sex (sex by date 3-5). When dating, I had no problem whatsoever in getting male attention, both online and irl. I had men approaching me at work, the grocery store, being hit up at the gas station for goodness sake. Obtaining random dates is as easy as breathing...but keeping them, without sex, was impossible. Even men who were less than me in looks didn't want a virgin "prude".

I didn't want to engage in hookups, so I never have. But I did want sex with someone who took time to get to know me well, and I them, which is also extremely difficult to do because most men aren't willing to wait anymore.

cryptothrow2 • 14 points • 10 January, 2022 08:07 AM

I used to be okay with it because I knew they'd eventually come around sexually. The idea I had is that they needed to get sexually comfortable more or less. I'd wait a month if we saw once a week or two weeks if we met more or less daily.

But now I'm of the opinion that no sex means "no sex for you" and found that I have better relationships with women who have sex quickly

CimZim • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 08:12 AM

That's precisely the thought process many of them had, despite me telling them I really, desperately wanted to have sex and just needed time to know them so I could actually get aroused. Why do you think I was a virgin for so long?

cryptothrow2 • 14 points • 10 January, 2022 08:23 AM

Well, I honestly still wait, but act single and see other people until the cut off I mark on my Calendar where I end things. For me, barring relationships that start on-line, I'm single until I start having sex. And I've noticed a lot of ladies are okay with accepting gifts and going out with people on dates or quasi dates while they are official with someone. And they know there's sexual interest

CimZim • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 08:28 AM

I'm single until I start having sex.

I agree and have always thought that's fair for the guys I tried to date.

And I've noticed a lot of ladies are okay with accepting gifts and going out with people on dates or quasi dates while they are official with someone.

Ugh. No thank you. "Quasi dates", wtf. I only date one person at a time.

TodTheRod01 • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 05:29 PM

Ive always been like that too. 1 person at a time

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:30 PM

I'm honestly in awe of people who have a full-time job + social life with friends + have time to both relax and date.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:07 PM

Im at work or gym mostly.

Picky about social time, in terms of with whom, and what im doing.

Id rather read a good book or play board games with friends then hit a bar

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:13 PM

Yes! My guyfriends and I play boardgames and RPGs every other Friday night.

Also I'm a total lightweight for alcohol. One beer and I'm basically curled up under the table asleep lol.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:15 PM

Ive climbed out of the bottle. Not going back.

There's a couple places in my city where they have like all board games and you can sit there and play them and they serve food and booze.

wtknight • 12 points • 10 January, 2022 03:35 AM*

Men without what they perceive as better options will be willing to wait, because their other options are to either be single and alone, or to be with a less attractive woman.

Women who think that that even the lesser men who are pursuing them aren't willing to wait for sex are likely not dating men who think that they are so much lower than the women whom they are dating, or else these men wouldn't be willing to leave and seek other options if they didn't get quick sex. And it's the men's perception that is important when it comes to relative attractiveness when it comes to this, not women's, as men are the ones who are the gatekeepers of relationships.

CimZim • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 08:54 AM

Yeah, I agree with all of that. Just because I was better looking on a 1-10 scale than them doesn't mean they didn't have other options. I knew they had other options, and didn't mind if they also pursued them...I only date one person at a time, but am very aware that's old-fashioned. However, it still sucked to know they would always choose the quick sex option over going on another date with me.

DrBoby • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 02:49 PM

They thought another date with you would not yield anything. You need to convey that you are interested.

And you conveyed that you where not. Sex fast isn't the only way, but every choice you have need you to appear needy and lose pride. Paying for the date entirely is an exemple. You can also write needy messages he'll see. That can buy you a bit of time.

CimZim • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 03:26 PM

They thought another date with you would not yield anything. You need to convey that you are interested.

Quite the opposite. Many of them said they thought I'd be an excellent gf, but the lack of sex early on/the fact I was a virgin was a deal breaker.

And you conveyed that you where not.

How do you know this? You didn't date me.

Sex fast isn't the only way, but every choice you have need you to appear needy and lose pride. Paying for the date entirely is an exemple.

I paid for the entire date nearly every time. When I simply didn't have enough money to...there were times I barely had enough to cover my rent, groceries, phone, car insurance, and tuition payment for the month, it was really difficult living by myself as a minor without parental help....I still paid for my own half. I have literally never in my life had a guy I dated pay for me.

You can also write needy messages he'll see. That can buy you a bit of time.

I don't know what a "needy message" would look like. However I did call or text them asking how their day was, if they wanted to hang out, what movie they wanted to see, etc. I did my best to show I was interested in making them happy and being emotionally supportive. It didn't matter.

DrBoby • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 09:35 PM

Quite the opposite. Many of them said they thought I'd be an excellent gf

You can be an excellent potential gf and be uninterested in them.

How do you know this? You didn't date me.

You said you didn't want to have sex fast, this convey a huge disinterest to men.

k0unitX • 55 points • 10 January, 2022 05:04 AM

It's too risky from the male POV. You could be stringing him along for 10+ dates while you're fucking your ex bf or whoever the entire time

Intrepid_Place5390 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 01:59 PM

it's not about (risk). It's whether she see's you at a (chad) level, did (chad) have to wait?

that's what the view should be.

Me, I don't rush into sex, casual sex is not worth it to me. I vet her, however long it takes for me to see if she's worth it.

I think most people (who aren't looking for casual sex), should be doing it this way.

Dating along that way, you (split) costs for dates. If she's not up for that. Well, that's part of the vetting process.

CimZim • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 08:06 AM

Stringing him along for what? Like what benefit would I gain from it? Keep in mind I always either pay for all my own stuff, or more frequently, I pay for the entire date.

Hokiie • 32 points • 10 January, 2022 08:30 AM

I'm not justifying them wanting sex so fast but the stringing along thing is used by women usually so they have fast replacements for the current guys they're talking to if things don't work out.

CimZim • 10 points • 10 January, 2022 08:35 AM

I wasn't saying you were, no worries. I just don't understand what the potential benefits would be to string them along.

Typically the answer is free food, free concerts, free movie tickets, free X, Y, Z because they're "dating" a guy while expecting him to pay for everything. And unfortunately a lot of men do in exchange for minimal sex. But if *I'm* the one doing the paying...why would I waste my already limited funds in college stringing them along? I was literally paying to *not* have sex early.

Fappuchino • 20 points • 10 January, 2022 09:28 AM

Stringing him along for attention :). (just to be clear; im not saying you do/would, but that's what the risk is on the guy's side.

CimZim • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 09:32 AM

Just...attention? That sounds like something a literal 5 year old would want, ngl.

Not saying you're wrong, just that the idea of it sounds incredibly juvenile.

Fappuchino • 19 points • 10 January, 2022 10:27 AM

Well, attention is to (the average, or should I say generally?) women, what sex is to men. Hence the porn addiction on the side of men, and the social media addiction on the side of women.

Of course this is not true for every single man and woman, but there is a whole lot of truth to it, as shown by the results and the current state of life of men and women world wide

k0unitX • 17 points • 10 January, 2022 10:31 AM

Attention, free meals, free dates, emotional tampon, etc. It's way more common than you think.

CimZim • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 11:25 AM

I doubt that, I already know it's very common. I'm just saying it's juvenile and manipulative.

xxxLemonation • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 01:15 PM*

And you're right, it is juvenile and manipulative, but when we point it out we're misogynist incels who believe women are children, and when you point it out you're a pick-me or whatever other names they'll come up with.

Muffcakelord • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:53 PM

Congrats on describing normal human relationships. If you want to masturbate into women then say that, don't fool women into believing you give a shit about them as people. Choose one

Comfortable-Hat-8626 • 13 points • 10 January, 2022 10:28 AM

For attention. A significant percentage of women are on OLDs only for attention and (in some cases) to have a backup when things don't work out with their current bf. That last one is basically cheating if you ask me.

Mostly these women limit their activities to online chatting but some will also go on "dates" with you having zero intention developing anything other than friendship.

Maybe not you. Sure, but it happens.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 11:26 AM

Sounds stupid.

Comfortable-Hat-8626 • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 11:50 AM

I agree it is stupid but it still happens.

Especially the "online only" attention seekers. From my experience I would guess ~20% of women on OLD are there for that reason.

They will string you along, have pleasant convos with you. Then, thinking there is a connection, you try to push for a date but then they mention that they are only interested in friendship after all and move on to the next guy who will again flirt with them and tell her sweet words.

Easy validation. There has been some women here on ppd even admitting this. They say it is a kind of addiction.

CimZim • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 11:53 AM

I wonder what about this kind of validation is worthwhile to them. I love my guyfriends, and obviously enjoy spending time with them, but it's because we have the same hobbies and we like going to comic conventions or having game nights at each other's houses. It's a foreign way of thinking, to simply want attention without an actual purpose behind it.

Intrepid_Place5390 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 02:00 PM

it's totally true. I have seen (many) women on OLD , like match,etc. looking for their (forever guy), then I see her on Tinder, with a sexy picture, no profile.

You know what the Tinder is for then.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:16 PM

That's why I'd never use it.

Aliebaba99 • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 12:32 PM

Stringing them along as a back up or something like that. Or just for attention. Not all women do that, thank goodness. But enough do it so that it's not worth it to go on those dates for lots of men.

CimZim • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 01:30 PM

Hearing stuff like this gets me frustrated with other women who pull this crap. They make men jaded.

Intrepid_Place5390 • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 02:09 PM

it's very common, sadly. So, men have a real reason to be jaded.

TBH, both sides have right to be cautious, that's what vetting is for

Aliebaba99 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 11:55 PM

Yeah but tbh, lots of men pull similar crap like that, so it's definitely not a gender issue, just a low decency human issue in general.

CimZim • 2 points • 11 January, 2022 12:07 AM

Tis truth.

totalwpierdol • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 10:26 AM

And/or dump the guy without ever having sex with him

CatoftheBanals • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 04:00 PM

So the common refrain on here is a woman who has sex right away isn't good "wife material" because she's promiscuous. But now not putting out right away is also bad because it's "stringing him along."

Which is it, men? Can't have both.

TodTheRod01 • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 05:25 PM

There is a difference between sex on first date and sex within first 5 dates...you're living in a world of extremes in your language

k0unitX • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 08:24 PM

Or even within the first 10 dates.

SupermarketFun21[S] • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 12:34 AM

This is very interesting. Were you willing to have sex as soon as the relationship became exclusive/"official"?

CimZim • 20 points • 10 January, 2022 12:41 AM

It didn't have anything to do with the relationship becoming official, only that I needed time to become sexually attracted to them. I don't experience any arousal towards a person without knowing them very well...it's like being totally asexual until we hang out for enough time. I have a high libido, so yes, as soon as I was able to get turned on by them, I would have wanted sex right away.

Heinz37_sauce • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 01:49 AM

I'm curious to know (if you don't mind sharing) — how long were you acquainted with your long-time FWB before you became sexually attracted to him?

I believe you said in another comment that this is the first guy you slept with.

CimZim • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 01:56 AM

Yup, first and only. I certainly could sleep with other men, we aren't inherently exclusive obviously, but I have zero desire to go elsewhere. We were regular friends for 2 years before adding sex to our friendship, but I had started finding him sexually attractive in month 6.

bluntbutnottoo • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 01:38 PM

But don't you think your rigid stance left you the shitty end of the stick? I can't wrap my head around waiting for so long to find the right man, only to end up a FWB? Like what the hell? What on earth was the point of your prolonged chastity, if all it delivered was scraps.

I'd say taking a risk with one of the many, many men in your past who wanted sex "early" would have been the much better bet.

CimZim • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 02:10 PM

But don't you think your rigid stance left you the shitty end of the stick?

No, how did I get a shitty end? I have exactly what I want...a sex partner who is fun to be with outside the bedroom too. Added bonus, he can't get me pregnant because he's vasectomized, and he's not interested in marriage. It's literally perfect.

I can't wrap my head around waiting for so long to find the right man, only to end up a FWB?

I didn't wait, though. Like...at all. I tried dating from the time I was 17. Unless you wanted me to start dating at age 15, idk what you're talking about.

What on earth was the point of your prolonged chastity, if all it delivered was scraps.

Excuse you. He is not scraps. Good god, that's really fucking rude, even for PPD.

I'd say taking a risk with one of the many, many men in your past who wanted sex "early" would have been the much better bet.

And how exactly would you propose I'd have done that? None of them...let me repeat that...*none* of the men I went on dates with were willing to wait for me to be comfortable having sex. Either that, or they didn't want to fuck a virgin. So please tell me how one takes a risk with a date who dumps you? It's not like I was the one breaking things off.

SupermarketFun21[S] • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 12:55 AM

Some people are very shortsighted; quick gratification or nothing. I'm sorry you went through that.

CimZim • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 01:09 AM

It's all good. I've had a great sex partner for the last 12 years, he's wonderful. I'm glad I shared my virginity with him rather than just gritting my teeth and doing it with a random guy to try and keep a date.

mandoa_sky • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 04:37 AM

that sounds like r/demisexuality

CimZim • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 08:20 AM

Yes, it is.

Prismatic_Symphony • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 06:35 AM

Sounds like you're demisexual; I have a friend or two like that. So how does that mesh with high libido? Before your FWB, did you masturbate frequently? And when you did (if you did), did you ever use mental imagery of someone in a scenario, or was it just focused on the physical sensation and nothing else?

Also, once you find someone physically attractive, is that inseparably/intrinsically linked to romantic attraction as well? You've heard ad nauseum how people, especially men, can separate the two, and I wonder if that's also the case for you.

CimZim • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 08:02 AM

I know I'm demisexual. Yes, before him (and even now) I masturbate everyday or every other day. When I was younger, before finding porn, it was just the physical sensations. After

discovering porn, it helped me figure out things I'd want to try someday but I didn't imagine a particular actor or anything. It was just a shadowy, nebulous "other person".

I'm not sure I experience romantic attraction. How would you define it? So far all the explanations of what it feels like from other people here have been no, but maybe yours will be yes.

Prismatic_Symphony • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 03:36 PM

You're saying you might be aromantic? Argh, it can be a difficult thing to put into words, but by romantic, I mean limerence. The emotional connection which may not be entirely rational. The lovin' feeling that was lost in that famous song. I mean, if your FWB suddenly became monogamous with someone else, would you miss him in a way that's different than the way you miss a platonic friend?

For me, romantic attraction is

- missing the person when I don't see her for a while. It can ache, mentally/emotionally
- when I see her picture, I can't help but smile, and feel a literal warming in my chest
- wanting to make her happy
- feeling shame if I hurt her
- still wanting to have sex with her despite the physical flaws I perceive in her body
- willing to throw my schedule out of whack to see her (in long-distance relationships)
- willing to change my plans to accommodate her
- wanting to be so close to her physically that sometimes even hugging doesn't feel close enough
- feeling an emotional "pressure" or "congestion" if I don't tell her I love her. It's a word I really, really don't want to mis-use or mislead with, so I only say it if I feel this internal "pressure" to a point where it becomes difficult to not say it.
- sex is an expression of my feelings for her, wanting to make her feel all kinds of pleasure even if it delays my own physical pleasure
- a feeling of deep contentment when I'm with her, as if all is well in the world

As corny as it may sound, that's what's wrapped up in a romantic relationship for me. Lust is included. But I can also feel lust completely separate from all this, cause I check out women I see around in daily life and enjoy attractive women in porn too, with no romantic feelings whatsoever.

Many of these could describe a platonic or family relationship too, so I don't know if the qualitative difference is coming across, but there it is I guess.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 03:47 PM

Hmm. The way you describe it, I do feel about 2/3 of those things for him. However most of those things I also feel for my normal guyfriends, so still not 100% sure. I can say that the love I feel for my FWB is a very compartmentalized mixture of platonic + lust. When it's sex time, my mind opens it's Lust Box. As soon as sex is over, it gets put away and I open my Platonic Box. They're never open simultaneously.

Prismatic_Symphony • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 12:15 PM

I guess I'd say that when I'm in a romantic relationship they're no longer compartmentalized and both remain open simultaneously.

CimZim • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 12:17 PM

That makes sense!

daddysgotanew • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 03:28 AM

Well that's weird and no dude with options is going to put up with that weirdness. Not for long anyway

CimZim • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 08:56 AM

No shit, right? It's probably why I went on so many dates and wasted so much money but was still a virgin until 24. There's nothing wrong with being a virgin, mind you, but it does get incredibly frustrating.

heytjsjeape • -3 points • 10 January, 2022 12:49 AM

You won't know someone very well for probably 5-10 years. Even after that it's often questionable. You might as well have fun in the form of sex in the meantime.

CimZim • 11 points • 10 January, 2022 12:53 AM

You won't know someone very well for probably 5-10 years. Even after that it's often questionable.

I'm assuming you're being sarcastic.

You might as well have fun in the form of sex in the meantime.

Except it wouldn't be fun because I can't get aroused until knowing them well, which I think would take about 2-3 months of consistent dating.

heytjsjeape • -4 points • 10 January, 2022 01:04 AM

Not being sarcastic. The only people I really know are people I've known for years. If you find them sexy, you should be aroused by them. I'm not sure how some kind of weirdo conversation is going to turn you on.

CimZim • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 01:07 AM

I don't find anyone sexy until I get to know them. It's like being asexual until then.

What weirdo conversation are you talking about? Hanging out together on dates is how people get to know one another and form connections. Why is that weird?

boofthegirl • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 04:54 AM

The name for it is actually demisexual and welcome to the club, friend :)

CimZim • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 08:09 AM

Yes, I know. However about 25% of the members here claim that demisexuality is fake, and another 25% think that *all* women are demisexual so it doesn't deserve a term. Idk how they can think that while also believing that most women have had hookups with random guys, but there you go.

boofthegirl • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 08:27 AM

Yes, this sub is full of hypocrites. Along the lines of “my partner must be a virgin but she also needs to put out on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd date or I will not continue this relationship” lmao.

heyitsjeape • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 01:31 AM

Yeah. I don't disagree with that part. I just don't know how that's turning you on sexually.

CimZim • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 01:33 AM

It's not the conversations, it's the spending time together and becoming close. I wish I could give a better explanation of demisexuality, but it really is just like being asexual temporarily.

heyitsjeape • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:35 AM

It still seems like a neutral behavior towards arousal.

TheSnowyPlover • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 07:16 PM

Welcome to 21st century dating where the sex is given out so freely by women, that a woman who won't bang on the third date is labeled a prude

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 07:55 PM

It is what it is. I don't like it, obviously, but I wouldn't want to take away other women's rights to hookups and random sex partners. They can have sex with whoever they want, I just wish it wasn't quite as prevalent as to be the norm.

Bingobops • 2 points • 12 January, 2022 11:51 AM

I'm trying to think of an appropriate anecdote for men to understand women's situation when it comes to dating and how easy it is for us. Its like saying “why do you suffer from joblessness when there are employers willing to overwork you for \$9 an hour?”. For the same reason women are not flattered when hordes of men are willing to ‘pump and dump’ us. Quantity does not equal quality.

CimZim • 1 point • 12 January, 2022 12:30 PM

That's a good analogy. I'd say it felt like constantly being called in for interviews but never getting a job. Employers want you to have experience...but you can't get experience if nobody will hire you. Guys want you to have sex to prove you like them...but you can't have sex without dating to see if you like them.

Super-Peoplez-S0Lt • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 12:37 AM

I'm really sorry about your dating struggles. I'm sure you'll find someone wanting to be with you for you with sex being an after thought.

CimZim • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 12:47 AM

I've been in a FWB for the last 12 years, so all good. And no, sex being an afterthought would have been terrible.

kyle_fall • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 03:56 AM

That's so interesting. Do you mind elaborating on that? Basically you have a long-term relationship that's mostly sexual?

CimZim • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 08:42 AM

No, I have a long-term relationship that is 90% normal, regular, not romantic whatsoever friendship + 10% great sex 2-4 times a month. We aren't dating, we don't live together, we don't combine finances, we don't kiss or hold hands or hug or any other public displays of affection, if we wanted to try to find other people to have sex with we're able to (just haven't). We are simply friends who like to have sex sometimes. It's worked out very well for over a decade.

maiomaster • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 12:09 PM

Props for that, very few people manage to achieve it. I've always been a fan of the FWB idea, just never been in a situation to be able to do it.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 12:15 PM

Thanks! Yeah, I know unfortunately it's difficult for men to find, especially one that lasts more than a few months.

Gerpstarg • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 11:57 AM

2-4 times a month... maybe a week, no? thats brutal

CimZim • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 12:03 PM

Yeah, unfortunately our work schedules don't line up well. But thankfully when we go on vacations 3x a year we have sex everyday, and during the holidays when we both have off it's also easier. I wish we could have sex more often but it's not in the cards at the moment...it was more often when we first started 12 years ago. The first 4 years we'd have sex twice a week!

pokemin49 • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 06:42 AM

Those men that left you were not less than you in looks. What you can acquire without sex in the short-term is your actual looksmatch.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 07:56 AM

Short term being only 2-3 dates?

pokemin49 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 10:41 AM

30 days.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 11:28 AM

Okay. Well I only had them for 2-3 dates. And yes, a good portion of them were less than me in looks as well as finances.

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 05:25 PM

[permanently deleted]

Mrs_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:08 PM

Be civil.

Intrepid_Place5390 • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 01:56 PM

true, most men won't wait. Because they know in vast majority of cases, chad didn't have to.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:21 PM

That didn't apply to me, since I was a virgin.

Intrepid_Place5390 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 02:27 PM

yes, but you are a very very unusual case. The vast majority of guys dating any girl in 20's, is likely not dating a virgin.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:29 PM*

Honestly? What age do you think most women are losing their virginity? I'd say between 17-22, with a bunch waiting until 24 or 25.

Intrepid_Place5390 • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 02:33 PM

16 to 18, in the USA, by the time they get through college, most are in double digits.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:35 PM

Where did you find that data? Most sources I've read say that lifetime N for both sexes is around 7-8, which is too low if what you're saying was true.

What is the N for men of that age?

Intrepid_Place5390 • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 02:45 PM

I know lots of college age women. The data you are looking at is (old). current day casual sex is much more common.

The N for men, as always, depends upon if he's chad or not. Top 10%, guys in early 20's probably N = 10, and depends on their standards of course.

Hell, I had that many years ago as a guy in college, before the Internet. Today, I'd probably be 100 if I started over

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:48 PM

You really think the top percentage only have 10? There's guys in this sub who are in their 40s, who say they have N of 50+.

Intrepid_Place5390 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:49 PM

(guys in early 20's, probably N=10, and depends on their standards).

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:35 PM

Most women i went to high school with graduated HS having banged 1-5 dudes.

Looking back 90% of them between 14 and 18 was when they lost their V

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:36 PM

Most women i went to high school with graduated HS having banged 1-5 dudes.

Looking back 90% of them between 14 and 18 was when they lost their V

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:37 PM

14? Jesus Christ

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:08 PM

Yup i was teased by my friends for being a late bloomer and i lost mine at 19

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:09 PM

Wow. Well, you beat me to it, so congrats! ☐

daddysgotanew • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 03:27 AM

Why would Chad wait for you? He's got hotter chicks than you hitting him up that are ready to go all the way on the first date. You can't actually have your cake and eat it too. If Chad got hung up on one average looking chick (yourself) he wouldn't be Chad and you wouldn't actually want him then.

You gals really need to wake up to the real world. Life ain't Disney

CimZim • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 09:00 AM

I didn't go for "Chads". I mostly tried dating other gamers and nerds like me, many of whom were not what anyone here would consider a Chad.

Anyone over the age of 12 should be able to tell you that life isn't Disney, and only an idiot would expect it to be ☐

dx fifa • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 03:56 AM*

Chad doesn't care how mysterious and interesting your life is.

He also doesn't care how easily you can get other guys.

Nor does he fall for average janes who are unremarkable in the looks department and just standard in personality.

It's not a romance novel, and if it is, 99% chance you're not the main character who gets all she wants

If all the ok to semi cute girls realised and internalised this (45-75 percentile) they'd actually be able to focus on learning to be charming helpful sweethearts and think about how to actually charm chad.

Average looking girls can have their pick, with great girl game, femininity, a helpful and caring nature, and being easygoing, great to be around and making everyone Chad knows adore you.

But that takes work, it's too easy to just be yourself and get sub optimal but still ok results as an average jane, so 99% of them never think on the level of how to make themselves loveable not just fuckable, and barely any have self improvement ideas and strategies

CimZim • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 09:14 AM

Chads, at least as they are defined by TRP, aren't worth it imo.

Banned_BY_SOYMEN • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:14 AM

autistic and masculine virgin prude

CimZim • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 08:03 AM

Yes?

Banned_BY_SOYMEN • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 04:36 PM

I think the fantasy of having a virgin wife also entails that she's fairly feminine.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 04:40 PM

I don't see how. Virginity just means you haven't had sex yet, both men and women are virgins.
Also don't know what that has to do with the previous comment?

Lumby • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:07 PM*

What's your libido like once you've settled into a long term relationship? One reason I refuse to wait for very long is because it's usually a good indicator that our values around physical intimacy and libido are different - which is an important match criteria for me.

Just because I don't like to wait long doesn't mean I'm hooking up a bunch either. I usually connect pretty well with the people I end up sleeping with.

CimZim • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 02:16 PM

I've only been in my one LTR, but it is great. We're both sexually adventurous, and have done so many different things together. Unfortunately our work schedules have sucked these past 3 years, but when we first started having sex 12 years ago it was twice a week consistently. I really hope this is the year we can get back to doing that, or at least closer to it.

I really, really enjoy sex and would have it every other day if possible. I just needed to be given a chance.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:41 PM

By all accounts you're not in an LTR.

You have an FWB...

FWB vs LTR are very different.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:58 PM

Depends on who you ask here.

I've had people say the same as you.

I've had people say that since LTR means long term relationship, and I've been having sex and hanging out with the same friend for 12 years, it *is* by definition a LTR.

So if in your opinion it is not one, then I've never been in a LTR or even an STR.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:14 PM

I see your point.

For me an fwf is not a relationship.

If anything id use the word that gets thrown around now

Situationship

Doesnt mean its bad or that i look down on it, im just particular with definitions.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:22 PM

That's cool, I'm not very particular with it. I'd probably say it's a sexual companion arrangement.

Honestly I only get pissed when people here try to "inform" me that we are dating.

Like...no. No we are not, stop trying to push others into your boxes.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:24 PM

ya thats dumb....why do you have to be dating? 2 people could be good friends and good sexual partners but make shitty gf/bf....or just have no interest in changing the dynamics

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:28 PM

I'm guessing because some men and women can't wrap their heads around having a FWB for longer than a year without one of the parties wanting more.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:31 PM

I honestly think if everyone had an FWB, and then dated/searched for LTR on their own time....a lot less dumb relationship decisions would happen

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 04:46 PM

Im not being a dick, but seriously wondering what the point you are trying to make in relation to OPs point...

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 04:52 PM

OP said:

An effective strategy would be, if you don't want to be used for casual sex, don't have casual sex, and be prepared for that to weed out a lot of your hottest prospects. I can't imagine any woman adhering to that rule and not being able to attract a boyfriend in her own league pretty much on-demand.

I pointed out that, as a woman who is not interested in ever having hookups/casual sex, adhering to that rule did not work. I was not able to keep a man around long enough to be a boyfriend, precisely because I did not want to have sex early on. The overwhelming majority of men simply will not continue to date a woman if she doesn't have sex when he wants to. Not when there's plenty of other women willing to do so.

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 05:26 PM

[permanently deleted]

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:28 PM

You already asked this same question, and I already answered. Did you accidentally repost?

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:46 PM

Yes reddit decided to double post.

You have to agree your circumstances are kind of not common, virgin woman in her early 20s...im taking for granted you're not an ogre, havent seen a picture but making that assumption.

If we're being honest...most men in north america at least arent waiting months for sex unless they have no options. Its kind of an agreed upon notion that if it doesnt happen within first 5 dates she's not that into you....so id say its not just that men will go get sex elsewhere...but that society generally tells men if it aint happening by X she's not into you.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:56 PM

No worries, figured that it was a reddit kerfuffle.

Believe me, I didn't want to be a virgin that long lol. It's unfortunately due to being demisexual...I don't experience sexual attraction or arousal for a person until I get to know them well, which takes a few months. Basically I'm completely asexual until having at least a friendship level connection. Since most men aren't willing to wait that long, and I wasn't willing to have horrible painful sex just to get rid of my v card, I remained a virgin much longer than I'd ever anticipated. I'm a 6-7 now, was definitely a high 7 at that age. I had zero problem attracting men...just couldn't get them to stay.

Yeah, I know. It is terrible and frustrating and sucked so bad to know that no matter what I said or did or gave, if I didn't spread my legs they would just leave. But I really didn't want my first time to be shit, so waited. And it paid off in the end, I got a wonderful FWB out of it. □

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:12 PM

Honestly i slept with 1 virgin....hated it tried my best to make it good for her, in terms of lube and foreplay and arousal...but i felt like i was killing her...so i couldnt cum...i dont enjoy feeling like my partner isnt enjoying it. To be fair i didnt pressure her...and we were together years before we had sex. (A lot of head before lol)

And when i lost my virginity...omg didnt enjoy either...lets just say my size and her size didnt match well...

Glad it worked out for you in the end and that you did your thing your way.

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:18 PM

Yeah, I've heard various levels of either horror stories or just utterly "blah"/unenjoyable stories of first time sex from so many people, both sexes alike. I have vaginismus already, so the idea of trying to do it while not aroused...when I stay too tight and relaxation is not easy anyway...was honestly a terrifying thought.

My FWB was amazing though. We took our time, he helped me have 2 orgasms before trying penetration, and had me be on top so I could control everything. It was a really nice experience and didn't even bleed, which I'd also been worried about.

Having a partner who either knows what they're doing or is patient is very important imo.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:27 PM

im a pleaser sexually speaking...getting my partner off turns me on and makes it easier for me to get off... I also like the girl on top to start, specially first time with that person...im on the larger side so her having control and getting to go at her pace works better

CimZim • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:36 PM

It's really great that you understand that, seriously. I've read accounts from girls having their first time and the guy insisted on being on top to "set the right speed" or whatever crap. I think that's dumb, the partner who has the most potential to get hurt should be the one in control. This goes for hetero and homo sex alike.

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 02:28 PM

Is sex at 3 or 5 dates in is quick? I suppose it is market saturation, since it is possible to get sex from women

without marriage it pays off to make many shots here and there. I had sex with my ex in the first date but not with my current one, we haven't went on one but not sure how much I should pressure her and I want to have a serious relationship with this one but her not giving it to me means she doesn't like me in my own view.

CimZim • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 02:44 PM

Is sex at 3 or 5 dates in is quick?

It is for me.

but not sure how much I should pressure her

Don't pressure her. Talk about it like adults.

but her not giving it to me means she doesn't like me in my own view.

Why? Having sex with someone isn't the only way to tell if they like you lol.

lolmanyaa • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 05:14 PM

I wouldn't say most men aren't willing to wait. I think the problem is that most men have too little to lose by waiting.

From my experience, guys typically see rejection in dating far more than women. So when he is trying to pursue a woman, if they don't see any reciprocal feedback (sex, kiss, etc.) they're more likely to move on and not waste time/energy/emotion. Why spend over a month trying to woo a girl who likely will end the relationship. And I see it on the other side too where most of my female friends are the ones who initiate ending relationships.

Ofc there are guys who are non committal and just want to sleep around. But at least from my anecdotal I have spent a long time connecting with someone only for them to not want to pursue a relationship in the end. This happened to me multiple times. And yes this happens even when the girl offers to pay and such for dates.



[deleted] 10 January, 2022 12:59 AM

[permanently deleted]

Mrs_Drgree[M] • -1 points • 10 January, 2022 02:20 AM

Automod please

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 02:23 AM

[permanently deleted]

Mrs_Drgree[M] • -1 points • 10 January, 2022 02:25 AM

1) Don't troll.

2) This thread is tagged CMV. Top level comments must challenge the OP on at least one point. All other responses can go under the automod.

kblkl165 • 27 points • 10 January, 2022 03:29 AM

Sex is easier to obtain for women, relationships are easier to obtain for men.

Question for you guys with the answers: In most modern relationships sex predates relationships. How exactly are relationships easier to obtain for men? lol

The guy can't get sex but he can get a girlfriend? That makes no sense. A relationship presumes sex. If a guy can get a girlfriend he can get sex.

RepresentativeSwan1 • 15 points • 10 January, 2022 07:23 AM

The 'sex' in that phrase refers to no-strings-attached sex.

insertcredit2 • 10 points • 10 January, 2022 07:48 AM

It describes the men who women want to have sex with.

Why?

Because the other men are irrelevant.

cholmanattom • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 01:18 PM

Well, some really unfortunate beta guys literally got 'cucked' where they were there to take care the girlfriends finance but the girls go have fun with some other dudes and eventually have unwanted pregnancies, wanting the betas to be step dads. This scenario can really scare away so many guys.

Bloodypalace • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 09:24 AM

That sentence doesn't mean that. Sex is easier to obtain for women as in any average or below average woman can swipe for half an hour on any dating app and find 20 dudes willing to have sex with her.

Now if a dude is having sex with a woman, it's usually the dude that decides whether he wants to turn that woman into a girlfriend and commit to her or keep it casual.

festethefoole1 • 2 points • 15 January, 2022 01:21 PM

I'm a man who's had tonnes of sex and haven't been able to secure a relationship with some women I'm keen on. I've got a big d*ck and I'm fine in bed too so I guess they just had better options. It's not easy

tiposk • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 04:13 AM

Question for you guys with the answers: In most modern relationships sex predates relationships. How exactly are relationships easier to obtain for men? lol

Sex obviously means a bunch of Stacies lining up to jump on their dicks. 3rd date sex with average bitches doesn't count.

Swans-r-cunts • 10 points • 10 January, 2022 10:24 AM

Disagree that men find it easier to obtain relationships.

lemurianelf • 22 points • 10 January, 2022 02:15 PM

100%. Or reddit wouldn't be full of guys crying and dreaming of holding a hand.

Below average men can't find relationships because we'd rather be single than date them. I fully admit it.

AcanthocephalaLost77 • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 03:08 PM

I appreciate your honesty enjoy your cake.

lemurianelf • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 03:18 PM

Thank you ☐

Yukbghhj • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 08:13 PM*

Almost every below average looking guy in my friend group is dating a woman who lol usually average looking or below average looking. I'm pretty sure there are studies that even show most LTRs are looksmatched.

IDK where this sub gets the idea that below average looking guys don't get relationships. Pretty much like half the couples I see on the train or out shopping are below average looking.

TheElusivePeacock • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 04:02 PM

Yea I didn't get that either. Men on here pretty much say they go years without a match on OLD, years without a hug etc. The PPD consensus they spew is all men want tons of casual no strings attached sex, but settle for relationships they don't want for sex, but can't even get those. I find it hard to believe men who haven't had a date or interest in years, if ever, can easily find a girlfriend. He must be talking about those "high value" chads all the men are obsessed with on here.

czerdec • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:43 PM

Or reddit wouldn't be full of guys crying and dreaming of holding a hand.

For every one of those guys there's a woman who's not getting a hand held.

cykasenpai • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 06:20 PM

This is not quite how it works. The disparity is perfectly possible experientially.

czerdec • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 09:31 AM

At any given moment it's true.

Nevertheless you're essentially just noticing what Darwin spotted so long ago: it's the male of the species who is tasked with the burden of wanting to generate the next cohort of people. And primarily the female who's tasked with direct caring for the kids. It's the male's job thereafter to prevent the kid from dying by hunting for animal vitamins and protein to supplement the seeds, leaves and roots that the mother forages.

But yeah, because there's an unequal division of labor, it's always the norm for women to be less eager for sex. Individual women who are similar to men in sexual appetite are not known to be very good at raising healthy kids.

Nevertheless, at best a situation where men are going lonely means that women are also not in a relationship (women who willingly share a man exist but are a very small percentage still). And being alone is not particularly good for anybody, women included. And if they become single mothers society sickens more because kids of single parents are deeply handicapped in competition with intact family kids.

SwansonSamsonite • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 08:28 PM

Under 30 there is like 4-5% more men than women.

Swans-r-cunts • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 03:50 PM

Yeah

festethefoole1 • 1 point • 15 January, 2022 01:22 PM

Got so much respect for your honesty - fair play miss ☐☐

TheSnowyPlover • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 07:13 PM

Reality: Women are the gatekeepers for sex and commitment

Reality accord to women: "Chad won't commit! Men are the gatekeepers to commitment!"

Swans-r-cunts • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 08:50 PM

Nope, not even close

Barneysparky • 35 points • 10 January, 2022 12:22 AM

How is that possible when half the men here are upset that they can't get casual sex from dating apps?

Seems like dating apps are full of very average guys who do not want to date, they just want sex (and validation).

Super-Peoplez-SOLt • 33 points • 10 January, 2022 12:27 AM

How is that possible when half the men here are upset that they can't get casual sex from dating apps?

Most men can't get anything on dating apps, rather it being casual sex, relationships, or even friendships.

Dazzling-Importance1 • 14 points • 10 January, 2022 12:48 AM

To his I'm happy when they even respond but even if they do they stop talking when you set up a date

Super-Peoplez-SOLt • 15 points • 10 January, 2022 12:55 AM

For a lot of men, a response (unless it's a bot) is out of the question.

ZepperV2 • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 01:46 AM

Wait really? I'd get a lot of matches but when I ask them if they wanna hang out 9/10 would ghost or deflect and I thought that was garbage.

TakeTheVaccine • -1 points • 10 January, 2022 03:52 AM

Ask for their number first then hangout, women need to be comfortable with you and the best way to do so is slowly taking. That's also a green flag in her eyes so in her eyes you'll be seen as "a person actually interested in me and that cant possibly use me!" Women are so easy - play to their emotions. Or play with them if you wanna have a fun time.

ZepperV2 • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 04:06 AM

yeah well, I just wanna be friends first I don't want to be with a girl that's ratchet or weird but I think you're right I'm pretty forward quick I guess.

Gerpstarg • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 11:59 AM

tried that, ghosted the same way, its all bs those girls have no intention of dating they just use the app to chat and spend time getting compliments

TakeTheVaccine • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 12:07 PM

Then you're better off without them. Do what they CANT do and Develop your own sense of self and be able to say "fuck em" then instead of complaining.

TakeTheVaccine • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 03:51 AM

Nah, either that's false or I'm a fucking chad.

Nyanu • 14 points • 10 January, 2022 02:07 AM*

Yeah most men don't complain about the lack of casual sex. Rather the lack of matches. I'm sitting on one match on hinge. 340 likes and hundreds of matches on okcupid though after changing my radius to any girl from around the world. Filipino women really like average looking white dudes I guess. Lol

daddysgotanew • 13 points • 10 January, 2022 03:23 AM

Damn. 340 women in the whole world, most of whom are 10,000 miles away. Being an average male is brutal

Nyanu • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 03:32 AM

Yep but the women down the road want nothing to do with me for some reason. Meanwhile I feel like if I was able to easily move and find a job in another country I would have plenty of suitors. Western women's hypergamy is just through the fucking roof.

Damn. 340 women in the whole world

And that doesn't include the couple hundred matches as well.

TakeTheVaccine • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 03:53 AM

Location is key tbh. Relocating and buying boosters. Yes I said buying boosters... because for men it's a numbers game and I've had multiple lays on the worst dating app (tinder) and succeeded. Either I'm a fucking gorgeous chad or I understand women extremely well.

Nyanu • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 05:07 AM

You must be a gorgeous chad then or in a good location. I'm an average but slim tall guy I feel like I should attract some attention but I don't really. Although I can sometimes get into a relationship. Casual sex has never really been an option for me though. Not that I would be too interested in it to begin with.

EM2212 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 02:48 AM

Time to take a vacation brother

LillthOfBabylon • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 11:58 AM

Most men can't get anything on dating apps, rather it being casual sex, relationships, or even friendships.

That means he's doing something very wrong.

purplish_possum • 25 points • 10 January, 2022 12:53 AM

How is that possible when half the men here are upset that they can't get casual sex from dating apps?

The good old 80/20 rule. The guys who are complaining and the guys who are fucking are two entirely different groups.



Barneysparky • 14 points • 10 January, 2022 01:25 AM

There are no 20% men here, but there are a bunch of guys who say they expect sex after the first date. The same guys say they would never have a relationship with a gal they met on dating apps (high count).

TakeTheVaccine • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 03:55 AM*

Personally I don't expect it because I know women and their typical behaviors VERY WELL. I even have it as a rule for myself so I don't end up losing the woman for that reason. Usually it's a few dates or hangouts and then we fuck. Usually for women some attraction has to be built by connection first then it happens.

Prismatic_Symphony • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 06:43 AM

There may be one or two here - we've got a few who've mentioned being extra tall and in shape, with big 'ole schlongs to boot that put them in a very rare percentile.

Barneysparky • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 08:55 AM

You believe the larpers?

Prismatic_Symphony • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 02:36 PM

I'm kinda inclined to, cause I think I've seen them still express some frustrations related to dating despite their advantages. (maybe I should keep better track in order to catch if/when they're being inconsistent in their stories) Cause why go to the trouble of coming on here and lie? Some people do, but I don't, so I hope the people on here aren't and try to give the benefit of the doubt.

Circ-Le-Jerk • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 02:23 AM

I don't think they are complaining about not getting casual sex. It's interesting to see people how they completely interpret things in a way to suit their bias, because I don't see that at all, yet you see men complaining about dating apps as men complaining about not getting laid.

When I hear men complain, it's about how they can't even get dates. It has nothing to do with sex.

CatoftheBanals • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 04:16 PM

Bingo. This sub refuses to shake the delusion that only the "top" men want casual sex from women, and all average/below-average men are willing to give women a ring and a kidney if she'd only look their way. *There are legions of average-looking men seeking casual sex.* I sometimes got guys trying to pick me up in bars who were far less attractive than guys I dated in LTRs. The whole PUA community was founded on the very idea that any guy can have NSA sex with a hot woman. Men shoot their shot. There's no harm in it, it's just not some graph you can plot out based on how they look.

psd5 • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 08:02 AM

My main issues here are that many men settle for sex, but many women don't want to do the same so they're aiming for the chad or out of their league". Problem for me is that men should not settle for average girls because I have seen buffed dudes who still may only get feedback from regular girls and that's a pretty dire reward. Men should NOT settle neither for sex relations or relationships (if that's his way to access a woman) as you claim because then they should have to effort a little bit but also way more just like a man has to do overall.

Also, why do you say is not possible to pinpoint their looksmatches and reject anyone better looking? Is also men's fault to offer so easily so they have little to none effort to benefit from best option. Many dudes complain about this imbalanced sexual market but don't see their own stupidity to offer themselves so easily. it's so LOL.

LillthOfBabylon • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 12:00 PM

My main issues here are that many men settle for sex,

No they don't. That's the main goal for most of them.

but many women don't want to do the same

Because men still cum to bad sex, women could be hospitalized from bad sex.

TodTheRod01 • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 05:49 PM

You're a woman....how can you know how men feel about bad sex?

Ive legit faked orgasms, or a charlie horse because i couldnt cum cuz the sex was bad...and i know other men who have also.

LillthOfBabylon • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 10:08 PM

how can you know how men feel about bad sex?

Because they keep pursuing women they apparently find ugly for sex. That's a red flag that you can't understand someone not in your group. Understanding others is a very simple thing to do when someone actually puts in the effort to learn.

Also, the "You don't know what its like to be a man/woman" crowd typically ignore men/women who contradict their beliefs. Just like SJWs who try to tell pro-life men to shut up because "no uterus no opinion" while actively ignoring pro-life women. It's a very lazy argument that only makes the person who made the argument look bad.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 02:30 AM

I has nothing to do with the other. Ugly vs bad sex...

You're showing how ignorant you are.

Keep it up!!

tickledpic • 10 points • 10 January, 2022 06:43 AM*

An effective strategy would be, if you don't want to be used for casual sex, don't have casual sex

See this is where the basic mistake is made. Women do not want to be used for casual sex, that is true. But that doesn't mean women don't want to engage in casual sex.

Women love the excitement of a strange man who has come into their lives and starts seducing them. They just don't want to get their feelings hurt. So if you promise the excitement without the hurt feelings (proper casual sex where both parties have talked and agreed to it without any implications of building a relationship)... there is no problem at all.

Ill_Mastodon_6484 • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 02:05 PM

Until they catch feelings and change their mind

Laytheblameonluck • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 01:28 AM

A guy approaches a woman and gets sex, so then he approaches another woman and gets sex and so forth and gets better at the game.

Yet somehow women think that when they approach men, they will get used for sex.

senghyld • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 10:52 AM

And "the wall" so many men like to fantasise about women hitting are just them finally dating in their true league. They can get away with higher valued men when they have youth.

DP8414 • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 11:05 AM

"The Wall" is, admittedly, used to imply that a +30 women literally can't get a man to date. That's not quite true, but that doesn't mean "The Wall" is a myth. It means that, after a certain age, she can't attract the hot men she used to attract, which forces her to settle for her looksmatch, which women universally resent having to do. They resent having to settle. Thus, its still a loss for them and a vindication for the men that told them so.

senghyld • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 11:14 AM

Women will, throughout their lives, have many more options than men of similar SMV. Some men fantasise about women being alone like them when they finally hit the wall. But this will not happen. I do agree that women who engage too much in casual hook ups with high value men in her youth will come to resent her lower valued husband, but it's still far from what some men imagine the wall to be. I don't feel many angry men feel vindication for that.

DP8414 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 12:14 PM

I think you're missing the point of why they want those women to be stuck alone: Because the implication is that they will be miserable. As we all know, misery loves company, and if women become miserable due to settling for their looksmatch as opposed to being stuck alone, the goal is still achieved.

FancyCocktailOlive • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 12:36 PM

It's not miserable to be alone. It's miserable to be with someone you settled for.

senghyld • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 12:20 PM

I understand that. My point is that they imagine the women being alone, but the women will not be alone. Yes they may resent their husband, but they are still doing far better than the lonely men.

DP8414 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 12:23 PM

Eh, I'm not sure that's the case. The grass is always greener, and sometimes, its better to be alone then to be stuck in a relationship with someone you genuinely resent - whatever the cause of that resentment (unattractive, abusive, etc). Misery is misery.

Consistent_Wear_1224 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 07:50 PM

The vast majority of women do not date hot men in their youth. This is a myth

DP8414 • 2 points • 11 January, 2022 07:57 PM

I suppose this might be technically true seeing as women don't see the vast majority of men as

remotely attractive to begin with. And yeah, sure, some of those men are legitimately fat and slovenly, but not the vast majority of them. Bottom line: For your statement to be true, you have to take a horribly negative view of men in general.

Consistent_Wear_1224 • 2 points • 11 January, 2022 08:22 PM*

I do not require a man to look hot in order to be attracted to him? But the vast majority of men do not look like male models, they are just average.

Most girls do not even get much male attention. You always exaggerate on here about how good the women have it

DP8414 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 08:48 PM

The vast majority of women look average too, which begs the question of why average looking women and average looking men so often end up in Dead Bedroom situations where the wife is unattracted to and resentful of her average looking husband. The theory in pill boards like this one is that it's because he isn't hot enough to make her attracted.

Consistent_Wear_1224 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 08:50 PM

No it's because average women have lower sex drive but usually pretend to like sex in the dating phase just to get the man to commit. Nowadays you need to pretend to be slutty and have porn sex in order to get a bf. And of course after they get the commitment, the mask slips

Women with high sex drive(those exist too) do not end up in dead bedrooms, but they are a minority of women

decoy88 • 12 points • 10 January, 2022 03:06 AM

Casual sex almost always entails the man being of drastically higher value.

Incorrect. SMV is different for men. The market decides Sexual Market Value, not whatever criteria you apply.

Meanwhile, the woman could easily find a man eager for a relationship if she gave her own looksmatches a shot.

SMV is not RMV

Men in the dating realm (dating apps, singles events, bars, etc.) are very seldom taken seriously by a woman of similar value.

GYou keep using the word "value" wrong. Value is decided by market forces. Those most in demand are higher value.

Not sure if anyone still doubts this point, but the severely skewed ratios guarantee it. For the typical single man, a relationship with an even match is the dream scenario. Casual sex with relatively unattractive women is often just used as an inferior substitute.

I don't expect women to try to pinpoint their looksmatches and reject anyone better looking. That's clearly not workable. An effective strategy would be,

if you don't want to be used for casual sex, don't have casual sex,

That's exactly why most women avoid it. The ones that can't and complain are cretins.

and be prepared for that to weed out a lot of your hottest prospects.

Easier said than done but agreed. The issue is that people lie.

I can't imagine any woman adhering to that rule and not being able to attract a boyfriend in her own league pretty much on-demand.

The average woman's SMV is much higher than her RMV. Both are decided by market forces - demand and supply.

A woman has much more men willing to fuck her (and lie about commitment to fuck her) than actually commit to her. So her pool of available men for commitment is much smaller.

SupermarketFun21[S] • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 03:33 AM

I recognize my misuse of "value," but the closest word to the meaning I was going for is "percentile," which unfortunately most people wouldn't understand.

decoy88 • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 03:41 AM

It seemed like when you said "value" you mean "looks scale number".

SupermarketFun21[S] • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 03:47 AM

Yeah, that would be a mostly accurate way of putting it, but it encompasses more than just looks, which is really hard to convey without being way too wordy.

decoy88 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 06:11 AM

Well the thing is **Relationship Value** and **Sexual Value** have different and sometimes opposing criteria - ex. Showing stable *good father* qualities can reduce the likelihood of casual sex happening.

princessxmombi • 11 points • 10 January, 2022 05:02 AM

Nah. I've seen a lot of situations where really (objectively) attractive women who were into very average looking (but confident) men could not get the guy to commit to a relationship. A lot of guys would rather play the field, if they have a reasonable shot at doing so, than settle down.

CatoftheBanals • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 04:35 PM

Yeah, in NYC I had an actual model (catalogues, not runway, but drop-dead gorgeous) roommate whose schlubby boyfriend cheated and wouldn't commit. She was far from the only woman who had a less attractive boyfriend waffling or cheating. Men on here like to cast playing the field as a case of women not being good enough because that's their entire schtick on here -- women aren't good enough. It lets them feel superior.

SupermarketFun21[S] • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 05:47 AM*

On a one-off basis, sure, that can happen. My OP was geared to women who struggle systemically with being used for sex, to the point where they complain how difficult it is to get commitment from a decent man.

Edit: Also, I used words like "looksmatch" as shorthand, but in reality, I do acknowledge that other factors (like confidence, as you mention) are also important to someone's overall desirability.

princessxmombi • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 06:52 AM

Yeah, I concede that if this is something a person faces consistently over a long period of time- it may be

due to aiming above one's league, but it could also be attraction to a very specific type of man (a lot of women have self sabotaging behaviors in dating where they repeatedly go for emotionally or otherwise unavailable men because of their own attachment or self esteem issues). It could also be that they appear enticing to these men early on but a personality trait or behavior subsequently turns them off to any plans for the long-term.

I just made my comment because nearly every attractive woman I know has been head over heels for a guy (not above them in looks, education, etc.) who didn't want to commit, at least at one time or another.

Mrs_Drgree • 31 points • 10 January, 2022 12:15 AM

The most obvious counter argument to the this is the Golden Penis Syndrome, where men, of any attractiveness level, will play the field for as long as he is able too. Which means that if women started going after average looking 4-6s, those 4-6s would not simply choose a nice girl to settle down with... They would continue pumping and dumping for as long as he could.. Just like Chad does.

festethefoole1 • 29 points • 10 January, 2022 12:37 AM

Oh and by the way - why in heaven's name is it just taken for granted in this article that college-educated women simply *must* have a college-educated man? Why can't she date a cute construction worker or perfectly nice and charming chap behind the counter at Starbucks?

It's so laughable how that article doesn't even so much as feel the need to explain it, let alone justify it! It's just a complete and total unwritten assumption inbuilt into the article □□□♂□

purplish_possum • 22 points • 10 January, 2022 12:56 AM

charming chap behind the counter at Starbucks

He's probably a college grad.

festethefoole1 • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 01:02 AM

Touché

lingualistic • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 02:06 AM

Also, I didn't always feel that way. I dated a dude in retail, and I dated a blue collar dude. Neither worked out (I am graduate school educated) and a large part of the issue was that we had basically nothing in common. We didn't want to talk about, learn about, read about, watch, or do the same stuff. A relationship cannot be built on "hey you're kinda cute and nice enough" there needs to be actual compatibility.

EminemLovesGrapes • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 09:38 AM

That has nothing to do with the guy's job though. You could've been compatible either way.

SUS_pended • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 05:50 AM*

Why can't she date a cute construction worker or perfectly nice and charming chap behind the counter at Starbucks?

They do. That's how the whole "pizza delivery guy" joke has happened. Educated woman being bored with her unattractive nice guy educated man and the pizza guy was hot and tall... Educated women, they don't necessarily date them, (that's what their stupid beta engineer husband is for), but they sure do love to fuck those cute guys. When you're a Chad, doesn't matter if you're addicted to weed and working a

dead-end job, women will go crazy over you regardless. On the flip side, if you're short, balding, fat, awkward nerdy engineer that makes 6 figures, women will only try to date you for the money while fucking Chads behind your back.

Mrs_Drgree • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 12:38 AM

Oh and by the way - why in heaven's name is it just taken for granted in this article that college-educated women simply *must* have a college-educated man? Why can't she date a cute construction worker or perfectly nice and charming chap behind the counter at Starbucks?

Because that isn't someone on her level.

It's so laughable how that article doesn't even so much as feel the need to explain it, let alone justify it! It's just a complete and total unwritten assumption inbuilt into the article □□□♂□

Okay

festethefoole1 • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 12:43 AM

So why not date a man below your level in terms of educational achievement or earnings but who's hot, cute, creative and perhaps attractive in other ways?

I mean everything your saying so far is underlining every single Red-Pilled/Blackpilled theory about hypergamy in big bold black Sharpie so far.

Mrs_Drgree • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 12:46 AM

So why not date a man below your level in terms of educational achievement or earnings but who's hot, cute, creative and perhaps attractive in other ways?

It's the same difference. No two people are exactly equal. They are prioritizing men who are equal in educational achievement and earnings over physical appearance, creativity, ect. It's just a matter of values and goals.

I mean everything your saying so far is underlining every single Red-Pilled/Blackpilled theory about hypergamy in big bold black Sharpie so far.

Yes, I am redpill.

festethefoole1 • 14 points • 10 January, 2022 12:50 AM

Well, then, plenty of women are going to be left disappointed and with respect, how can you possibly expect any sympathy?

I mean if by your own admission 100% of women are chasing the top 10-20-30% (or whatever) of men, then how can anyone realistically sympathise with the fact that the majority of them won't catch one?

By definition 90-80-70% of these women are, as the OP has said, trying to punch above their level and will have to suck up the cold hard fact that they'll jolly well get what they're given.

Mrs_Drgree • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 12:55 AM

Well, then, plenty of women are going to be left disappointed and with respect, how can you possibly expect any sympathy?

I don't.

I mean if by your own admission 100% of women are chasing the top 10-20-30% (or whatever) of men, then how can anyone realistically sympathise with the fact that the majority of them won't catch one?

They don't. I don't expect them to. But don't sit here and tell me (as OP is attempting to do), that if men had their choice of women, they would select the homely brown haired girl to marry instead of fucking around.

By definition 90-80-70% of these women are, as the OP has said, trying to punch above their level and will have to suck up the cold hard fact that they'll jolly well get what they're given.

Cool.

Klashberry • 10 points • 10 January, 2022 01:05 AM*

But don't sit here and tell me...that if men had their choice of women, they would select the homely brown haired girl to marry instead of fucking around.

They would likely fuck around a lot in their prime years and then once they hit 30 or so, they'd be looking to settle down with that homely girl assuming she is willing to be a wife and contribute to raising a family.

After all, women are doing their equivalent of that now. And I'm assuming you don't think that's a problem, correct?

Mrs_Drgree • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 01:07 AM

They would likely fuck around a lot in their prime years and then once they hit 30 or so, they'd be looking to settle down with that homely girl who is willing to be a wife and contribute to raising a family.

After all, that's what women do now. And I'm assuming you don't think that's a problem, correct?

A) No I don't think that's a problem but B) no, that's not what women do now. Most women meet the men that they eventually marry in their early 20s.

Klashberry • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 01:10 AM*

If you don't think it's a problem that women are currently doing it now, then why complain about men doing it in a hypothetical scenario? Are you trying to work yourself up? Why is one ok in real life but the other isn't even in theory?

SupermarketFun21[S] • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 01:05 AM

But don't sit here and tell me (as OP is attempting to do), that if men had their choice of women, they would select the homely brown haired girl to marry instead of fucking around.

That is not my argument at all. There's no hypothetical "if men had their choice of women." In the real world that we live in, where men's choices are severely limited, men tend to stick with a looksmatched girl that's into them.

Mrs_Drgree • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 01:06 AM

But that would change if women pursued average men.

SupermarketFun21[S] • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 01:10 AM

That was not my recommendation. My recommendation was, if you're not okay with being used for casual sex, then don't have casual sex. This would have the result of pairing average women with average men, while removing the men's ability to fuck around.

skunkbear444 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 01:29 AM

I think people in life in many ways beyond sex dynamics are waking up to the fact that as long as technology progress, it is worth taking no deal vs. a mostly shitty deal in many different life choices. Things for everyone are more flexible.

heyitsjeape • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 12:46 AM

On her level? What does that mean?

antariusz • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 04:00 AM

They mean he isn't rich enough. Ignore the doublespeak in the reply. When they say they don't want to date a barista, it's because he doesn't have enough money.

heyitsjeape • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 04:12 AM

Yeah. That's fine but it sounds like even more than that. As though, they are less evolved or something along those lines.

Mrs_Degree • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 12:53 AM

Like, overall. Physical attractiveness, SES, intelligence, ect....

A college educated person probably has very different values and life goals than someone without. That makes for a poor long term relationship.

festethefoole1 • 16 points • 10 January, 2022 01:07 AM

Don't you think that's quite small-minded though? Why should a college-educated person necessarily have different life-goals? What if they own their own business or work in real estate or started an apprenticeship (we have several smart apprentices in the bank in which I work) or whatever?

I did go to university for what it's worth - I just can't understand why it's such a disqualifier for you (if they have a lack of money that's more understandable as of course it holds you back in terms of enjoying things which you can afford and they can't)

Nyanu • 16 points • 10 January, 2022 02:22 AM*

It also disqualifies guys who may have similar hobbies or principles. Funny to think that a college educated left wing woman who likes jazz and cycling wouldn't date a left wing man who works in construction but who also has left wing ideals, likes jazz, and goes cycling as well. All because he's not on her "level."

GridReXX • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 01:52 PM

I grew up in a blue collar city.

The amount of men who like jazz and cycling is more prevalent in the college educated than the never went to college crew.

I only see a difference in that in the Pacific Northwest. It seems in the east coast "blue collar" is almost code for anti intellectual interests.

But in the PNW there are countless ppl who never went to college who have library cards and enjoy deep reading and creative pursuits and also work as fisherman.

TLDR: I met more ppl on the upper west coast who didn't go to college who I feel are "on my level" and who I have A LOT in common with than on the east coast.

Mrs_Drgree • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 01:13 AM

Don't you think that's quite small-minded though? Why should a college-educated person necessarily have different life-goals? What if they own their own business or work in real estate or started an apprenticeship (we have several smart apprentices in the bank in which I work) or whatever?

It's not single minded. It's literally the foundation of building a family. Just like two people who grew up in different countries, practicing different religions, and speaking different languages will probably have a hard time seeing eye of eye on certain issues. It's possible, hell my parents overcame all three of those issues... It's just not very likely. Keeping a relationship together is hard enough as it is, most people aren't trying to make it even more difficult by pairing up with someone who has a very different life view from themselves.

I did go to university for what it's worth - I just can't understand why it's such a disqualifier for you (if they have a lack of money that's more understandable as of course it holds you back in terms of enjoying things which you can afford and they can't)

It's just a reflection of values. If you value formal education, there is no substitution. If you value things like networking or certain career tracts, or contributing a certain monetary value... It's possible... It's just much less likely.

nyaisagod • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 03:13 PM

You can value formal education while also deciding that it isn't for you. You're technically "college educated" by getting an arts degree, but I'd argue it doesn't really change your values or thought process or anything that would differentiate you from someone who learned a trade. People really don't need to be as similar as you put it for a relationship to work.

OmarNBradley • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 03:42 PM

Someone with an arts degree is going to have values and priorities that are vastly different from someone in the trades

nyaisagod • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 03:44 PM

Sure, but because of their artistic inclination, not because of their college education.

Mrs_Drgree • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 04:30 PM

You can value formal education while also deciding that it isn't for you.

If you've decided it's not for you, than that tells me about your own values.

You're technically "college educated" by getting an arts degree, but I'd argue it doesn't really change your values or thought process or anything that would differentiate you from someone who learned a trade.

It doesn't change your values necessarily... But it is a prerequisite to have certain values if you chose to pursue an art degree to begin with. A person who chooses to go into a trade will have much different reasons, priorities, and social circles than someone who chooses to get an arts degree. And all of those factors will be very different for someone who had no choice and simply was forced to take the first job that was offered.

People really don't need to be as similar as you put it for a relationship to work.

They don't need to be similar for a relationship to work, as I've said elsewhere... My own parents grew up in different countries, speaking different languages, practicing different religions, hell, they aren't even part of the same generation. BUT, when maintaining a relationship is difficult enough as it is, there better be one hell of a compelling reason if your going to attempt to do it with a handicap.

nyaisagod • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:19 PM

Maintaining a relationship is not difficult at all. If it is, you're in the wrong relationship, or, if it happens multiple times, the problem is with you.

KickAss2021 • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 05:06 AM*

A college educated person probably has very different values and life goals than someone without.

Have you been around "educated college" women in the past three decades. All they do is get black out drunk for four to five years and accrue debt, so much for values.

Mrs_Drgree • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 11:39 AM

Are you using MTV as your source or something?

KickAss2021 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 07:37 PM

MTV hasn't been a big deal for us millennials, much less Zoomers. I know it's hard for blues to believe, but your princesses like getting drunk no matter what race, socioeconomic background, or degree, heck these Zoomers can't even help themselves, but record themselves at various parties being wasted. Know is it all them, no, but it's a majority of them.

Mrs_Drgree • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 07:47 PM

I'm not a blue pillers, nor am I agreeing that some women like to party and get smashed... But that's not all they do. They graduate, they get jobs, they earn money. Same as the men who party while at college. Those are their shared values.

KickAss2021 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 10:45 PM

These so called "college educated" women on average still live with their parents after graduation, and barely crack high five figures. Heck my older sister, younger brother, and I still lived with our parents after we graduated, it's not a value thing, it's a prestige thing. You guys just want to feel holier than thou for getting a meme degree in >insert ology

(Considering the fact that electricians, plumbers, welders,, etc have more stable income, and way less debt than college educated liberals)

You can't even state what "values" these college women have.

I'll help you out, they go out to eat brunches, drink mimosas, and still get black out drunk. Those are there values. At the very least the plumbers, electricians, mechanics, etc do Race for the Cure, read to school children, donate and help out on food drives, and adopt dogs.

heyitsjeape • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 01:01 AM

It may or it may not. I never went to college. People who did seem pretty dumb, as far as I'm concerned.

Mrs_Drgree • 10 points • 10 January, 2022 01:02 AM

It may or it may not. I never went to college. People who did seem pretty dumb, as far as I'm concerned.

No, it's pretty much statistically proven that things like upbringing and college education have the greatest impact on divorces. Greater than n count by a long shot.

heyitsjeape • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:30 AM

Stats don't say anything on an individual level.

Mrs_Drgree • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 01:31 AM

Sure... But if your a woman who's being asked out by 2 different guys and you know one of them has a much higher chance of being compatible, why would you pick the other guy?

Nyanu • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 02:15 AM

You chat with both guys and judge them individually instead of just shutting them out from the get-go.

heyitsjeape • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 01:33 AM

Because it's worth a try, if you're actually looking, and the other one isn't a certainty either.

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 04:02 AM*

[permanently deleted]

Mrs_Drgree • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 11:37 AM

Yes, college education is an indicator of your background. It's not a guarantee... Nothing is... But it increases the likelihood.

Also, be civil.

Longshot_56 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 01:17 AM

But it increases the likelihood.

No, it doesn't. We're arguing completely separate points. College degrees are correlative, not causative.

Over_Noise3530 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 03:12 AM

If college educated people seem dumb don't try to date them

heyitsjeape • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 03:23 AM

Of course they aren't all dumb - or even dumb at all - they just seem that way to me. It doesn't matter, you don't know until you get to know them.

lingualistic • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 02:04 AM

Lmao wut. Because who the fuck wants to try to build a life with a starbucks barista. He can date his coworkers and other people in retail/food service. Which is usually what happens.

Warchief_Ripnugget • 12 points • 10 January, 2022 12:22 AM

The best answer to that is to not have casual sex... if there was no casual sex, men couldn't get "golden penis syndrome."

Mrs_Drgree • 7 points • 10 January, 2022 12:23 AM

Sure, women should go full FDS if they don't want to be taken advantage of.

SilentFroggy • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 01:24 AM

Maybe just get to know them first before having sex???

Mrs_Drgree • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 01:25 AM

That is FDS.

SilentFroggy • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 01:27 AM

I don't understand what you mean by that.

Mrs_Drgree • 8 points • 10 January, 2022 01:28 AM

FDS is getting to know someone by securing commitment before having sex.

SilentFroggy • 10 points • 10 January, 2022 01:33 AM

Women don't need to go FDS to do what I just stated ^

And FDS is way more than that.

Mrs_Drgree • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 01:36 AM

Nah, "getting to know" someone isn't helpful if you don't know what traits your looking for. FDS is better for getting to know the right men for low risk pairings.

SilentFroggy • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 01:44 AM

Yet FDS is always complaining. They're going for guys above their league and complaining.

SympathyIndependent8 • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 04:03 AM

Are you in a trolly mood today or something? You know perfectly well that FDS is full of insane, entitled, predatory, horseshit. They also use incel-like language and are full of *weird* hangups/theories. There is no way that any sane individual can look at that subreddit and think "these are perfectly normal women who just want to get to know somebody before having sex with them".

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 06:32 AM

[permanently deleted]

Mrs_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 11:37 AM

Don't circle jerk.

Mrs_Drgree • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 11:38 AM

They use extreme language, but their methods are solid.

purplish_possum • 12 points • 10 January, 2022 12:58 AM

go full FDS if they don't want to be taken advantage of

Sorry, but all that FDS bullshit goes out the window when an actually attractive man comes sniffing around.

TheElusivePeacock • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 04:09 PM

Lol someone finally caught on to what I've been saying about FDS for years. Femcels who are undercover pick mes. They'll talk all this shit but will drop everything if a dude actually paid attention to them.

peteypete78 • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 05:15 PM

Yep, send 1 Chad into an fds convention and watch them all turn into pick mes.

Mittelfingerhoch2-6 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 06:52 AM

The point you do here would be true if FDS would not be a oxymoron. The guys filling those checkboxes are not tge guys who are successful or rich and male type. If they would be they would go for a 10 who admires them (and "rich marries rich") is probably rich too. Those checking their boxes are spineless and can be manipulated and exploited. If they can play such a guy (especially if being a typical 4-5 kweeeehh as i've seen on their fotos or such 2-3 kweeeehh with own bought "engagement" ring) such a guy will be easy played by customers, bosses and others.

If you want a wolf you can't go for sheeps or sheeps in disguise.

That's why FDS is a oxymoron.

Mrs_Drgree • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 11:40 AM

I disagree. They are high value to women which is different than what men consider high value.

Mittelfingerhoch2-6 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:03 PM

High value to women = max exploitable ?

Mrs_Drgree • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:04 PM

Yes.

Mittelfingerhoch2-6 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:11 PM

On. Hard pass 4 me. My woman is rich.

Mrs_Drgree • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:14 PM

Perfect.

antariusz • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 03:57 AM

... The entire point of the article is it the point of view of one singular researcher, who wrote a book. He actually wrote several books, and believes that women are in total control of dating and that men have no power at all anymore.

<https://www.amazon.com/Make-Your-Move-Science-Dating/dp/1948836904>

festethefoole1 • 20 points • 10 January, 2022 12:28 AM

Haha you surely can't seriously believe that any significant percentage of men beyond a tiny cadre of very attractive ones are so incredibly successful with women that they're able to behave with total complacency safe in the knowledge any woman they're with can be effortlessly replaced?

Mrs_Drgree • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 12:34 AM

I'm saying it's more worth it for women to try their hand at a very attractive man and be rejected than to try their hand at an unattractive man and be rejected.

festethefoole1 • 14 points • 10 January, 2022 12:45 AM

I mean you should try your hand at whoever you're attracted to and want to date. Shoot your shot girl!

But you can't seriously read that article and sincerely believe that the ability to effortlessly move from woman-to-woman without giving two hoots about any of them is a lifestyle available to more than say, 10% of men, right?

Sad_Top1743 • 9 points • 10 January, 2022 02:21 AM

They can try their hand at whatever they like.

OP is correct in his point that if a woman is struggling to obtain commitment from her partners, she's dating out of her league. If a woman doesn't have this issue it doesn't apply to her.

purplish_possum • 15 points • 10 January, 2022 12:55 AM

Golden Penis Syndrome, where men, of any attractiveness level, will play the field for as long as he is able too.

Sorry, but GPS only affects top-tier guys.

Mrs_Drgree • 12 points • 10 January, 2022 12:57 AM

No, it's just that usually only too teir guys can act on it. If an average or ugly man is thrust into that position, he behaves exactly the same way.

skunkbear444 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 01:33 AM

Question, do you believe the 5 male gets GPS a lot from pumping and dumping a lot of 3s? Or since the guy is already lower in attractiveness his threshold to go lower is almost none because he really wants a 9 like everyone else?

Mrs_Drgree • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 03:36 AM

Question, do you believe the 5 male gets GPS a lot from pumping and dumping a lot of 3s? Or since the guy is already lower in attractiveness his threshold to go lower is almost none because he really wants a 9 like everyone else?

I'd say "a lot" is still relative. But most 5 males have probably pumped and dumped at least 1 3.

SupermarketFun21[S] • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 12:28 AM

Manhattan is probably the place in the US with the highest F:M ratio, so it's not at all representative. Even with that, it's still heavily skewed toward having more men on dating apps (just to a lesser extreme than most places). Nothing in that article addresses whether or not those "Golden Penis Syndrome" men are dating down in terms of looks, so it doesn't really provide evidence that those men would keep pumping and dumping looksmatches.

purplish_possum • 12 points • 10 January, 2022 01:01 AM*

Manhattan is actually a really hard place to hook up in. All those women have insanely high standards and long lists of deal breakers. It's hypergamy and soft harems to the max.

If you, as a guy, have the goods by all means play in the big leagues. The rest of us mere mortals will score more runs if we stay in the minors leagues. I worked for a NYC firm for two years right out of law school. I dated quite a bit but all my dates were from outside NYC with one exception (and she was from Staten Island which might as well be New Jersey).

deathbecomesme123456 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 10:24 PM

There's a lawyer in our midst. Why are you here?

ETA: I don't mean that as an accusation. I really am curious.

purplish_possum • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 11:10 PM

I'm bored a lot of the time. I've been doing this shit long enough that I'm on autopilot.

Super-Peoplez-S0Lt • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 12:31 AM

Yet Golden Penis Syndrome isn't really a thing outside of elite schools filled with good-looking people with a 7/3 gender disparity.

Mrs_Drgree • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 12:37 AM

But it would be if women dated men within their own range. Which they do so it does.

wtknight • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 03:46 AM

The counterargument to this is that, if a man truly perceives a woman as attractive enough and the best that he can possibly attract, then he's going to simp for her and be willing to wait to have sex with her, because he knows that he can't do any better than her.

Prismatic_Symphony • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 06:56 AM

This I can believe. These guys aren't getting that opportunity, but if they were, yeah, probably many guys would act this way. A potentially uncomfortable truth that wouldn't surprise me.

I've been well "behaved" with a low N count, but I know my ideal. I'd be happy to "settle down" with one beloved girl for reproduction, but get to fuck others on the side. I can admit that.

xxxLemonation • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 01:21 PM

GPS only exists because women in those environments refuse to date guys without college degrees. And because of the imbalance of gender among college graduates, I'd say you reap what you sow.

Mrs_Drgree • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 02:00 PM

So any man, regardless of "his league", will fuck around for as long as he is able to?

xxxLemonation • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 02:19 PM

Whether or not he'll fuck around as long as he is able to depends on certain factors, but "his league" is not one of them.

Ermenegilde • 1 point • 12 January, 2022 11:11 AM

Not any man, but most men, yes. It's animalistic male nature, and humans are unfortunately far closer to animals than we often like to pretend.

skunkbear444 • 2 points • 11 January, 2022 01:25 AM

I agree with this, it's human nature. People given easy access to pleasure will take it vs. looking at the long term all the time.

CatoftheBanals • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 04:23 PM

Men here don't believe in that. They believe every 23-year-old guy (from chad chadiston III on down) would get married in a heartbeat if he found "the right woman." Obviously, if he's still having casual sex, it's because all of the women are trash, not him. It's a wonderful way to shift all the blame onto women and deem them lacking.

veritaba [score hidden] 15 January, 2022 10:54 PM

That article doesn't look scientifically rigorous in any sense.

And if the men in that college are outnumbered 2-3, they probably shouldn't be considered 4-6s.

ChromaticVengeance • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 12:41 PM*

Before I got married, I have been once asked for a hookup and had dated another one (whom ended up realizing all he wanted was something casual). I have posted their pictures on the daily thread and both were rated around 5.

If I am posting men I know for a rating here, they will get a rating around 4 to 6. Those men mostly want something casual until they reach their mid 20's.

Point is most men these days want something casual and are not in a hurry to settle down, even if they are average looking. Those that do not get anything casual, usually settle down with the first woman that gives him some attention the men rated as 4 or bellow.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:03 PM

You go post mens pics to get rated...but not yoourself?

ChromaticVengeance • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 07:33 PM

Yes, so?

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 08:00 PM

Presumptuous of you to think you are same level as anyone else without willing to post yourself.

Also not cool putting others pictures without their approval

ChromaticVengeance • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 08:03 PM

What makes you think all people are worth seeing what I look like ?

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 08:58 PM

Hahahaha high and mighty opinion of yourself.

Says a lot about you, that you didnt touch that you showed other people without their permission

ChromaticVengeance • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 09:33 PM

Happens even to the best of us. ☐☐♀☐

AutoModerator[M] • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 12:09 AM

Attention!

You can post off topic/jokes/puns as a comment to this Automoderator message.

For "CMV" and "Question for X" Threads: Parent comments that aren't from the target group will be removed, along with their child replies.

If you want to agree with OP instead of challenging their view or if the question is not targeted at you, post it as an answer to this comment.

OP you can choose your own flair according to these guidelines., just press Flair under your post!

Thanks for your cooperation and enjoy the discussion!

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

Klashberry • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 02:27 AM

Moving here since it was removed:

I agree with everything in your post.

Women don't want to hear that taking different actions could lead to a better outcome, and I think it's because they're coddled anytime they complain about something, especially on the Internet. Ever look at the dating subreddits? There are constant posts from women venting/asking for "advice" about why they keep getting

used for sex. Most of the comments are always back-pats, affirmation, and blaming the men. If you sort by controversial, you might see some comments suggesting that she be more careful who she goes for or at least reflect on what her role is in the situation. Those comments are always mass downvoted, sometimes even removed. The female OP usually doesn't even respond to these but when she does, she's very hostile or even insulting.

Meanwhile the same posts are marked as "incel content" when they come from men. Some dating subs even have stickied posts explaining why it isn't allowed, right above posts from women saying the exact same things.

Sad_Top1743 • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 02:28 AM

Yup and if a woman doesn't have difficulty obtaining commitment, she is dating in her league or below (none of this effects her)

If a woman is struggling to obtain commitment from her partners, she's dating out of her league. She can play men for her dating struggles but she's really just upset that they have higher standards for long term relationships than what she offers.

gimpgirl555 • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 07:05 AM

Casual sex almost always entails the man being of drastically higher value. Meanwhile, the woman could easily find a man eager for a relationship if she gave her own looksmatches a shot.

Yep. Women fuck up and hope that the guy will be their bf. Also women don't really mind getting pump & dumped, that's just a tactic to shame men into committing.

IcarusKiki • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 01:54 AM

wow what an original thought

SUS_pended • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 07:50 AM

There's nothing to challenge here.

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 02:28 AM

[permanently deleted]

wtknight[M] • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 03:31 AM

Automod, please. Replies to CMV posts must challenge the OP's view.

mc0079 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 03:50 PM

Most people date in their looks and socio economic sphere needs to be pinned on every thread like this. You might see variations....Nurses are basically trades for women but need degrees, so you can see nurses with blue collar guys....but basically the lawyer is dating the lawyer and the 7/11 cashier is dating the CVS casier. I don't know why this concept is so hard.

Profession_Mobile • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 08:58 AM

I think it's harder for men to commit to women who are attractive, confident and independent and a lot of times these are the women who end up feeling used. Women who do find men to commit have a needy component to them which makes them desirable. Thing of a prince saving the princess in the castle. If she could get down on her own she is probably not going to find the man.

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 12:45 AM*

[permanently deleted]

LillthOfBabylon • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 12:02 PM

Women are all essentially prostitutes.

"Could it be I have bad taste in women? No. It's the women's fault!"

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 12:49 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 12:55 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 01:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 01:13 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 01:38 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 01:42 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 01:45 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 01:50 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 01:59 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 04:18 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 02:45 AM

[permanently deleted]

totalwpierdol • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 10:32 AM

This reminded me of Johnny Soporno's "Sexual Cartel" theory. Look it up if haven't heard about it yet

NoMarriageNoKids • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:28 PM

I love the Sopranos. Might need to watch it again.

thetruthishere_ • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 01:41 AM

Any man I dated long term we did/paid for each other. Ive never had a man pay for everything. I find it weird where we dont share in paying.

And if we get down to it they *Wanted to pay*. If anything it was harder for them to let me pay.

I personally dont know any women that doesn't do things for her man, take him out, buy gifts for him and if they vacation they both pay. They both pay the mortgage, etc.

I also used to photograph weddings and all the brides paid just as much as the men did for the wedding.

Now not say what you posted doesn't happen I just find it more a minority in my life experience.

cryptothrow2 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 08:34 AM

I know a relationship where the guy had second thoughts about getting married because he'd never received a single gift. Her family was dependent on her earnings (black tax and in Africa) but he even had to buy the gift she gave to his parents when she went to meet them

TakeTheVaccine • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 04:01 AM

If I'm paying for everything and getting what you want all the time at least give me what I want all the time: sex.

There :)

But people will say "omg your an abuser you fucking narcissist/psychopath why u making her fuck u"

Umm... because we agreed to a set of rules that we BOTH consented to...? arm chair psychologists

□♂□

kissmetilyouredrunk • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:30 AM

You guys will attack women for giving it away for free and for *not* giving it away for free

HOLYREGIME • 10 points • 10 January, 2022 02:37 AM

Seems like they give it away for free for some, then make others pay. Then whine about how they can't get chad and struggle with pump n dumps.

kissmetilyouredrunk • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 02:39 AM

No one is having sex with women without seducing them. Chad puts effort into seducing women too, he just doesn't brag about it to his boys because it isn't cool

HOLYREGIME • 10 points • 10 January, 2022 02:53 AM

Seducing? You mean lying to women.

Delusional women: I'm going to get a relationship right chad?

Chad: oh yeah.

Delusional women: You're not going to pump and dump me like the last 12 Becky's are you? I'm not like those other girls.

Chad: Of course not. I luh you.

Delusional women: gawk gawk gawk gawk

Chad: □♂□□♂□□♂□

Delusional women: Chad!! Chad!! Where are you!!?! *posts inspirational quote on Instagram*

The End.

SUS_pended • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 06:56 AM

posts inspirational quote on Instagram

LMAO this killed me. best ending ☐☐

JohnDoe_Rip • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 01:16 PM

This got me crying man lmao

kissmetilyouredrunk • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 03:03 AM

See even Chad has to put in work! Lying effectively is more difficult than it looks

TakeTheVaccine • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 03:57 AM

I mean lying works I've fucked a lot on tinder but it's because I have a higher IQ than these idiots in the sub. I don't complain I adapt to the circumstances. If women supposedly have higher standards then I play the numbers game. I can't possibly NOT get a match that way.

Idiots man idiots. They sit and complain rather than come up with solutions.

Men are taught to shut up and deal with it and they use that attitude with everything BUT DATING LMFAO.

HOLYREGIME • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 03:15 AM

It's not difficult at all. Women are just more likely to believe the lies. If the guy was unattractive she would see right through them.

TakeTheVaccine • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 03:59 AM

Then onto the next one and onto infinity until you find one that suits her standards. Fuck em. I have my own sense of self worth.

Ciao7991 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 10:40 AM

Chad puts effort into seducing women too, he just doesn't brag about it to his boys because it isn't cool

Lol, no.

Gerpstarg • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 12:31 PM*

Lol 99% of "seducing" Chads do is just lying to naive girls who hang their ears out like a wet towel on an airer. I have seen it a million times, dudes who get the most sex with pretty girl most of the time are the biggest bullshitters who build completely made up personas that are obviously fake, but naive girls are getting wet from how he is a secret under cover agent 008 and he is on a mission to save the world.

I had a bullshitter friend who was telling every girl he is a super good musician and he is going to be the next big beat maker, in reality every guy knew his music is shit and he was putting minimal effort into it, occasionally pressing buttons on his PC to make some crappy beat, an absolute

amateur. Yet he was painting it like he is the next Dr Dre. Guess what, girls loved that bullshit, they were having sex with him and then saying everyone their boyfriend is a famous musician lol.

Consistent_Wear_1224 • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 07:56 PM

Hot girls aren't that naive and do not fall for bs.

Prismatic_Symphony • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 03:43 PM

A man who has to put forth serious effort is by definition not Chad.

SUS_pended • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 06:33 AM

No, we attack them for giving it away for free to Chads but not for free to us.

NoMarriageNoKids • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:35 AM

"You guys" isn't me. So, please cite the place I did so.

bleb_poleman • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:42 AM

You: *complains how unfair it is that you can't get laid*

Also you: "All women are prostitutes"

NoMarriageNoKids • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 01:46 AM

Where have I complained about not getting laid?

Please cite it.

bleb_poleman • -4 points • 10 January, 2022 01:58 AM

You're on purple pill debate dude

NoMarriageNoKids • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 02:02 AM

Doesn't mean you get to assign attributes to me that haven't happened.

bleb_poleman • -2 points • 10 January, 2022 02:27 AM

There's no way you're happy with your relationships with women and actively getting laid and then coming on Reddit and saying all women are prostitutes lol

NoMarriageNoKids • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 02:28 AM

That's not what I've done.

lol lmao roflmfao brb afk

logiauser • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 03:08 AM

Why are you projecting on that person?

SeaSquirrel • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:35 AM

This might get me some heat, but [insert incredibly common stand up joke here]

NoMarriageNoKids • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:39 AM

If it's that common then there must be some truth to it.

SeaSquirrel • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 01:42 AM

I mean yea, if you subscribe to traditional gender roles. its an old joke, from an older time.

The joke doesn't really work if you and your partner are equals.

NoMarriageNoKids • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 01:48 AM

I'd consider my ex an equal. She had an advanced degree and I'm self-educated. So, she knows a whole lot about one thing and I know a bit about many things. But, even the times where she was stumped I knew she wasn't a dumb girl.

That's the problem with college degrees vs building your own syllabus and buying your own books. Do you want a school to dictate your knowledge or do you want your own curiosity to do so?

SeaSquirrel • 6 points • 10 January, 2022 02:38 AM

in my experience college educated people are far more likely to educate themselves on their own time

NoMarriageNoKids • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 02:43 AM

No, they usually maintain their credentials and that's it. Meanwhile, I read up on tons of different topics. I don't have a degree. But, I dance circles around the girls that do.

TakeTheVaccine • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 03:59 AM

"Don't let school get in the way of your education"

logiauser • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 12:50 AM

Yikes. I want to argue against this but... Even saying not all just proves that they are the exception.

Have you totally given up on LTRs?

NoMarriageNoKids • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:02 AM

I'm not sure. I just had a 5 year LTR that ended a couple years ago. It usually takes me me 3-4 years to want it again (if I were to examine my history). I was also in a long marriage so I'm expert on my own opinions, if that makes sense. I know what a marriage involves and I know what a girlfriend involves. I will never again get married. But, I do enjoy good women.

I mod over at r/Marriageisntworthit and people think I'm just some angry fat incel. Not true. I've just been around the block a bit and know what the deal is.

TakeTheVaccine • 5 points • 10 January, 2022 03:56 AM

Go on the two X chromosomes subreddit. They think it's a red flag to think that marriage isn't worth it due to the divorce rape that comes for men afterwards. Hah. Idiots.

NoMarriageNoKids • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 04:25 AM

Yeah, those ladies are crazy.

logiauser • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:17 AM

Fair enough. Yeah, things will get a lot worse before they get better.

FancyCocktailOlive • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 12:57 PM

I thought you were an angry MRA who got divorce raped.

NoMarriageNoKids • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:26 PM

Welcome to the party

Kizka • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 12:14 PM

I guess I must be a bad prostitute then as we've recently came back from a lovely vacation that I've paid in full.

NoMarriageNoKids • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:48 PM

I'd discuss it with you but I've been shut down. PM me.

Mrs_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:25 PM

Automod please

neetykeeno • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 10:18 AM

Dude. I ain't shooting for any men at all, I am done with them. Going my own way forever. I actively discourage all guys. And I got a problem with men who just want to fuck me. It has nothing to do with who a woman aims at. If she's fuckable and shows up in public anywhere in real life or online and those guys can approach she is going to have those guys be a nuisance. They are garbage and they are everywhere.

The presence of those guys and the absence of guys worth a woman being with are two separate issues. Even women happily married to the love of their life gotta deal with ceaseless pressure from random shitty dudes who just just wanna stick a dick up them.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:00 PM

Why are you in this sub?

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 12:33 AM

[permanently deleted]

Mrs_Drgree[M] • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 12:44 AM

Automod please

Vtridolla • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 12:27 AM

Less of a league issue, more of a vibe issue.

SupermarketFun21[S] • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 12:31 AM

FYI I acknowledge value/league is based on more than looks, and used "looksmatch" just as shorthand.

Robotemist • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 05:14 AM

Either that or they don't have much value outside of sex in the first place.

The onus is on the man to show value in order to get sex, but the onus is on the woman to show value to keep the man after sex. A lot of women don't realize that responsibility and don't step up, thinking the sex is adequate enough to keep a man invested.

Truth be told a lot of women just aren't worth keeping around after sex.

Bendetto4 • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 09:45 AM

Stop putting value on people based on their looks. It's unhealthy and leads to mental health issues.

Regardless of how attractive you are or someone else is, their value doesn't change

Prismatic_Symphony • 3 points • 10 January, 2022 03:18 PM

You can't just tell people to stop doing that and expect them to. That's like telling people to stop thinking that pizza tastes good, or to stop opposing their legs with the opposite arm when they walk. Humans are not cold, rational computers who can instantly change their programming. We're subjective and we're stupid animals with instincts that we cannot quite control. If you can do so, you're better than the rest of us, and you're a shocking and vanishingly rare aberration.

Sadly, and embarrassingly, people's social value does change based on their looks, period. That's why we have this sub at all, and racism, and tall presidents. The only way to change that is to literally change the species itself, and unless you've got access to a bunch of embryos, and something like CRISPR, and tremendous funding for a eugenics strategy, you cannot do that.

Bendetto4 • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 03:28 PM

But their value literally doesn't change if you look at them in any way other than sexual. I know this, because as a straight guy, I can hold other straight guys in the highest regard and place exceptional value on them.

Your mother wouldn't be any more or less valuable to you if she had double Ds, a thin waist and long sexy legs?

Your doctor's advice wouldn't be heeded any more closely if he was a 6ft tall, muscular good looking bloke with good hairline and a strong jaw.

You aren't better at fixing your car than the mechanic just because he has 40 years of friend food round his gut.

Your worth as a human is based on your attitude towards others, and your ability to help others. If you have no skills to give (not a mechanic, not a doctor, not an engineer or a therapist or an artist) and you have a poor attitude towards others (selfish, arrogant, entitled and uncharitable) then it doesn't matter how attractive you are, people won't like you.

peteypete78 • 4 points • 10 January, 2022 05:19 PM

Value is regard to sex and relationships.

Not as a human.

A woman who checks my boxes is more valuable to me than one who doesn't.

Prismatic_Symphony • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 01:01 PM

We all have general human worth, yes. But again, people are stupid and are unfair to other people, so you ALSO have an effective social value in many (not all) contexts that has nothing to do with your "general" human value, but other people will treat you better or worse, either overvaluing you or devaluing you. It's like real estate. A house objectively either has or doesn't have a 3rd bedroom or an in-ground pool, right? However, no matter what the house objectively has, humans place social value on the house based on all sorts of subjective factors like its color, the race of the neighbors, and the amount of pretty flowers seen in the neighborhood. Those factors aren't fair and shouldn't matter, but

they do because people just care about that stuff, and that arbitrary social value ends up affecting the objective price of the house. It's an inconsistent system.

I agree with you about how it SHOULD be. I'm just saying that it ISN'T currently that way, and if it ever becomes that way, neither you nor I will live to see that day cause it will require a literal re-wiring of people's brains. Human brains are error-prone and susceptible to fallacies and prejudice.

So due to those fallacies and prejudice, yes, my doctor's advice may very well be heeded more often if he's 6ft tall and very masculine, because people again are stupid. Women in particular (but also some men) are more susceptible to overvaluing height in a male.

And as a straight male - as any kind of person, straight or male or not - you're being facetious with the mother example and you know it. Don't waste our time with that.

lonely_mixtape • -2 points • 10 January, 2022 02:11 AM

I really wouldn't consider a man only looking for casual sex "out of my league", no matter how physically attractive they are. I don't struggle with this issue, but a man only interested in me for casual sex is definitely not valuable to me ☐ a man being hot does not make them high value to me.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 06:01 PM

Thats cool that you think that...it doesnt change reality though

lonely_mixtape • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 07:43 PM

the point is OP is saying if they're only looking for casual sex they're outta my league. I disagree. Even if someone else considers that man "high value", they simply wouldn't be to me. I'm only looking for ltr, so anyone who is even willing to be involved in that life style is instantly of zero value to me in the dating world. That's reality

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 08:00 PM

Like i said. Your opinion is cool but your opinion alone doesnt determine the market value....

lonely_mixtape • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 08:03 PM

it determines my market value lmao is what I'm saying. Value as a romantic partner is completely subjective to each individual.

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 08:59 PM

Not really.

If someone is high value that LITERALLY means they tick off the boxes that MANY people want.

1 individual opinion doesnt change the market value...its a collective.

Just like you can think you are a 10...but that doesnt really mean shit

lonely_mixtape • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 09:12 PM

MANY woman have expressed they aren't interested in men that only want casual sex. Doesn't that mean it lessens his value across the board?

TodTheRod01 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 10:05 PM

No.

Many women SAY that...then wind up fucking dudes (HVM) who dont commit to them

DreamsOfWishes • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 01:52 AM

Meanwhile, the woman could easily find a man eager for a relationship if she gave her own looksmatches a shot.

You have now reduced dating entirely to looks, which suggests that you don't have a lot of dating experience. The issue is that dating isn't just looks. There's a lot more to compatibility. For instance: do you want kids or not. And men that desperately want a relationship and have kids, when they encounter a woman that's interessted in them but she doesn't want kids, they don't just go "no". They don't feel like they have the options to go "no". Even though this woman is NOT suitable for them. They want kids, she doesn't. But they're not gonna build this entire relationship either, that requires them to have no morals. So they sleep with the woman, then have post-nut clarity and bail.

And there's a lot of shit like that that's an incompatibilty. And with online dating, it's hard to weed out the lies and it's easier to lie. Telling someone you are ok with not having kids when that was something you really want and you didn't expect her to not want it: in IRL fucking hard. On OLD: just type a line.

And I don't get why this is such a hard concept for men here. You are all FUCKING desperate. You must have tried lying before???

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 12:52 AM

[permanently deleted]

Mrs_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:21 AM

Automod please

xcheshirecatxx • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 07:43 AM

It can also be incompatibility

I ended up in ltr with men who were better than those who rejected me, in the same casual sex start

But for sure,don't have casual sex if you can't deal with it staying that way

Appropriate-Pie-4720 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 08:23 AM

If she can't keep her man happy and she can't take it to pound town every night or at least make him get off then what does she expect hopefully not much

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 12:27 PM

[permanently deleted]

wtknight[M] • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 02:54 PM

Don't make things personal.

FancyCocktailOlive • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 10:25 PM

Men make it personal on this sub all the time and I don't see them getting reprimanded.

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 03:19 AM

[permanently deleted]

wtknight[M] • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 03:30 AM

Automod, please. Replies to CMV posts must challenge the OP's view.

sarkington • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 05:47 PM

Or, they are dysfunctional/damaged and should fix themselves

Flightlessbirbz • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 07:45 PM

Eh, if you're shooting out of your league and not waiting and vetting, yes that's pretty much a guarantee of getting used for sex. But it's a myth that a less attractive guy won't do the same thing. You have to watch out no matter what.

Caio_dos_Hack • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 08:47 PM

Based. Totally agree.

winteradventurer • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 10:15 PM

Someone already said it - but I'll say it in fewer words: a few of those casual hookups do turn into relationships. So women will continue putting out to hot men, and complaining about them ghosting them afterwards, for a shot at a relationship with one of them eventually. Community pussy is the price they think they have to pay to eventually bag a hottie for life.

flowerBygg • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 11:23 PM

maybe it's simply because i'm a young woman so i have an easier time getting men in relationships (even just short term 6-9 months at a time) but my current bf didnt want to settle down with me a couple years ago when we first met.

we were casual fwb but i caught feelings. i told him and he told me he would never date me. i picked up my emotional baggage, told him "okay well i'm not gonna stop looking for a relationship" and went back to looking while staying in a fwb w him.

when i got a bf (like a month after?) and told him when he asked to hook up, he lost his mind on me. told me all sorts of garbage like that he shouldn't need to make it official to be in a relationship with me (fr what the fuck???) eventually, i ended up blocking him bc he wasn't respecting the relationship or me.

2 years later, after 2 relationships, i'm single again. freshly free from an abusive asshole who conned me into living w him for reduced rent until the apartment kicked him out.

i want to fuck so i reach out to the old fwb who is perfectly happy to go back to how shit was.

i did start catching feelings, but i wasn't in the mood to play any mind games with him, so i kept it to myself mostly. he had been carrying a flame for me those past 2 years and after reconnecting for a couple months, we made it official.

i've always known i'm pretty. and even as a overweight woman, i still get hit on offline. and i'm crazy enough to use the psychology classes i've taken to my advantage when it comes to keeping a man.

i'd like to say i've always gone after my looksmatch. attractive enough men who i've deemed "too pretty" have always thrown me off. maybe that's my insecurity since i am an overweight woman, but i've blocked/haven't responded to 10/10 men for fear that they are catfish or they don't want what i want.

i'm too cautious with good looking men to be Ted Bundy by a pretty face.

that's not to say my bf isn't attractive. i wouldn't have sought him out once i was single if he wasn't. but he's closer to what i *feel* i deserve look wise.

most of my female friends avoid men who are "too pretty" for the same reasons. we aren't 10s and we know it

□□♀□

343_peaches_and_tea • 1 point • 11 January, 2022 12:43 PM

i'm crazy enough to use the psychology classes i've taken to my advantage when it comes to keeping a man.

What does this mean?

CFinCanada • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 03:49 AM

Ime, men are more likely to use women from their extended social networks in this way. Women can shoot as high as they want online and still get better results because the men there are more relationship-minded.

gimpgirl555 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 07:07 AM

That's bizarre. No normal man starts a relationship with a tinder girl. They already know that she's been on the carousel.

CFinCanada • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 10:42 AM

Not really bizarre, more normal. There is data that proves that men who met their partners online are more relationship minded than other men: <https://sociologicalscience.com/articles-v4-20-490/>

Sairony • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 04:36 PM

Honestly no-one normal cares about how many sexual partners someone has had. Who the fuck wants to date a virgin?

gimpgirl555 • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 04:44 PM

Men care. It's degrading for men to commit to a woman who has been fucked by other men.

Sairony • 0 points • 10 January, 2022 04:47 PM

I guess it might be cultural then, where I live, Sweden, it's understood that both men and women has had a lot of partners. No-one really cares, why would you? Why do you think it's degrading to commit to a woman who's had a lot of sexual partners?

gimpgirl555 • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 05:10 PM

I guess it might be cultural then, where I live, Sweden, it's understood that both men and women has had a lot of partners. No-one really cares, why would you?

Lulz. I live in Sweden too. Ofc Swedish guys aren't happy about getting with pre-used girls, they just don't have a choice.

Why do you think it's degrading to commit to a woman who's had a lot of sexual partners?

Why is it degrading to commit to a woman who is fucking other men?

Because commitment implies monogamy. And monogamy implies virginity. In other words: to have commitment you gotta have virginity.

[deleted] 10 January, 2022 09:22 AM

[permanently deleted]

wtknight[M] • 2 points • 10 January, 2022 02:56 PM

Automod, please. Replies to CMV posts must challenge the OP's views.

Itburns12345 • 1 point • 10 January, 2022 03:08 PM

Cheers bot