

Men dont have much to offer in dating

August 15, 2022 | 13 upvotes | by [Trishlikefish2](#)

I think the reason for the increase in male dating struggles is they dont have much to offer.

If we look at straight relationships both parties provide love, support, etc but the one bargaining chips that men and women are different on is that men provided money and women provided sex. Men used to provide financially for the women completely. This was their main bargaining chip but now days the majority of men dont/cant do that. Men and women roughly earn about equal now days so men do not have the power financially.

Therefore the modern day relationship in most cases is unequal because women are providing sex but men are not providing financially.

This means women do not have the incentive to get into relationships and the only reason they would is if the guy is perfect and they really like him. I mean women can get sex, money, companionship, kids without even being in a relationship. Most men cannot get frequent sex outside of a relationship unless they pay someone so men have more of an incentive to date women. This means men need to up their emotional intelligence and personalities in order for a women to want to date him in todays society.

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

Comments

NockerJoe • 30 points • 15 August, 2022 03:56 AM

This is just my anecdotal experience but women who try to make men bring X Y and Z to the table are like 100x more likley to get played than a woman who just wants a relationship with a vaguely self sufficient dude.

Because as it turns out, having an even regular person as a partner makes your life easier while requiring a dude who has to be tall and smooth and have money will mostly get you guys who max that shit out to fuck and those guys are rarely into actual monogamy.

I remember one woman being ultra happy with her boyfriend she got off Tinder. She swiped on him because he had a picture of himself in his (blue collar) work outfit and looked reasonably well put together. But I know someone who claims to have had over *four thousand* matches over the years but every relationship is a trainwreck because if a guy needs to be in like the 0.1% to have a shot thats a really specific kind of dude before lifestyle or morals enter into it.

[deleted] • 8 points • 15 August, 2022 04:33 AM

Agreed, Men with money are used to using money to get what they want, it is what they really do at work all day. There's a certain type of player (*owns a restaurant*) who are like experts at this. They are super transactional.

I knew this woman who was trying to run FDS gold-digger game on some rich guy, the dinners and gifts were great, but then she ended up in Mexico and realized she was about to get graped and barely escaped.

I have a decent career, and it's pretty obv if a woman is into you or into your wallet. The smart ones are future-time-oriented, like maybe there's a suburban white picket fence down the road, not just "dinners and vacations".

NockerJoe • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 12:59 PM

Running that kond of game is dumb as shit since it requires a young woman to believe they can outsmart an older man who by definition has a lot of planning and business accumen and a lot more experience in this shit.

LotBuilder • 4 points • 15 August, 2022 08:06 AM

You are correct that it is much easier to play women that care a lot about money. I have been blessed in life and have been successful: I happen to own a 72' boat in the most exclusive marina in San Diego. I live in the Bay Area but I'm down there twice a week and I stay on the boat. When I am not there I have given my yacht captain freedom to rent it out. 90% of our rentals never leave the dock. It's just older dudes trying to get laid late at night. He charges \$1500 for 2 hours. Another \$4k if they want to extend past the 2 hours. These guys have gone all in and can't get embarrassed by getting kicked off "their own boat"now. It's amazing what these dirt bags will pay but the girls are not innocent, they are only there because they want his money. It's easy for that to be used against then.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 04:05 AM **[recovered]**

requiring a dude who has to be tall and smooth and have money

Typically this isn't what women are talking when they say men have to offer more, they want someone who can be a real partner to them not someone who wants to be taken care of all the time like a little boy.

PrinceBagratoni • 18 points • 15 August, 2022 05:40 AM*

I find it so obnoxious how men who aren't some self sufficient stoic rock are reduced to "little boys" when most women are practically children when assessed on this criteria.

I don't actually disagree though.

RIPGeorgeHarrison • 11 points • 15 August, 2022 05:30 AM

Lol, lmao

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 05:34 AM* **[recovered]**

You may laugh but this is the problem for men here, they come with too many ingrained prejudices about what women want and then refuse to listen to what actual women say.

kinhk • 9 points • 15 August, 2022 06:10 AM

They actually do say they want someone tall and smooth and with money. Go on the tinder sub and see for yourself.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 06:38 AM **[recovered]**

Some women may say that especially on the unnatural platform o dating apps. However that isn't what this thread is about, it's about men having emotional maturity and relationship skills. For most women regardless of what they say on dating apps, these qualities trump the superficial things you mention.

kinhk • 8 points • 15 August, 2022 06:50 AM

Are you really trying to tell me that women on a large scale would prefer an "emotionally intelligent" loser over an emotional winner? What world do you live in? The guys that get a lot of women don't get them because they are emotionally intelligent lmao. They get them because they're handsome, in shape, financially stable etc etc. Your advice is contrary to reality.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 07:05 AM **[recovered]**

What makes you think an emotionally intelligent guy would be a loser? Do you think all women would dump their husbands to run off with Elon Musk or Jeff Bezos? I think not. I am talking about what most women want and most women don't want a guy who gets with a lot of women. Your view is male projection, you are confusing what you think is cool in a man with what women in reality want. Why would I be interested in a man who is a womaniser, I wouldn't be and nor would most women be.

kinhk • 5 points • 15 August, 2022 07:35 AM

Who do you think gets more women? The emotionally intelligent guy or the millionaire lol? Women absolutely like men who are able to attract women. It's called social proof. What woman wants a man that no other woman wants? If most women aren't interested in a womanizer then how do they become womanizers?

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 07:49 AM **[recovered]**

A very wealthy man might get a higher than average amount of interest and sex from a self selecting group of women but they are all outliers and that set up doesn't apply to most people who are just looking for someone to share and

loving and mutually supportive relationships with, it's not all the soulless power play you imagine it is. Your conception of relationships isn't healthy.

womandatory • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 07:13 AM

This.

RIPGeorgeHarrison • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:36 AM

you can certainly say that yes women don't want someone who needs to be taken care of by them, but there are many many people who offer that much but aren't successful at all

NockerJoe • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 01:01 PM

So I started by explicitly mentioning that criteria separately and you went off on a monologue anyway but we have poor listening skills?

womandatory • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 07:11 AM

Exactly. Did these guys even read OP's post? She was talking about emotional intelligence and personality as things men need to improve on. Typical PPD guys go sailing past the point and straight to money = status = sex.

NockerJoe • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 12:57 PM

Yeah, and I've seen people say something like this to such a woman point blank and then they deny it the same way but then you see them fucking around with those types anyway. These are just my observations.

dukesaces • 19 points • 15 August, 2022 03:26 AM

You're right for the most part. Average men and average women don't bring much into a relationship. The average man used to be able to provide for his family, that was his bargaining chip but with women entering the workforce and wages stagnating but prices rising it isn't that men don't want to but they can't provide for the family anymore. Thus the average man doesn't really have anything to offer women anymore, not even kids because she can just buy sperm and impregnate herself which is why relationships and marriages are declining each year.

Now when it comes to women the average woman has nothing to provide but pussy. However men can't just generate pussy or enter the "pussy workforce". Women have realized this and have commodified sex. True feminism is dead and women have begun to use their pussy to gain sexual access to much more attractive men (who will only pump and dump them) or to directly sell it to the average and below average men in the forms of direct prostitution and onlyfans/eprostitution.

Ultimately this is gonna create a huge cadre of lonely and depressed men that are disillusioned and angry with society. Many will be preyed upon by sex workers and turned into paypig sims. Many will become angry incels that will attack women. Neither is a desirable outcome.

Ultimately society is fucked and will only go further and further downhill from here until the balance of power readjusts, most likely via commercialized sex robots.

BlackjointnerD • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 03:46 AM

Sadly you might be right. Men and women will suffer because of this. Men will die alone because they're unattractive. Women will die lonely (with men they don't even like, settling) cuz they've been completely

masculine/promiscuous into their 40s and/or can't lock down the small minority of men they are attracted to. 17 women for every 1 guy.

MarBitt • 8 points • 15 August, 2022 04:46 AM

Women will die alone anyway because they live longer than men.

[deleted] • 5 points • 15 August, 2022 06:08 AM

This is slowly changing. Men are more and more concerned with their health and avoid risk more and more. They will eventually learn to get over women and probably live even more once they don't have somebody nagging the life out of them.

MarBitt • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 06:12 AM

That sounds positive, but it's not a reality yet. Covid has worsened health almost everywhere. And it has especially affected men, so for some time the difference in life expectancy between men and women will be even greater.

dukesaces • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 04:18 AM

Yeah male and female loneliness will only increase not decrease unless something changes in a major way.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 03:39 AM **[recovered]**

The average women isn't on onlyfans, engaging in eprostitution or getting pumped and dumped. Women want more from men than just sex and money isn't a bargaining chip nor should it be. Women want connection and partnership but if men can't provide that then better to be single. Sex robots will only further stunt men's development but at least they may aid in ridding women of unwanted attention from unwanted men who are unsuitable as mates and fathers.

dukesaces • 7 points • 15 August, 2022 04:15 AM

The average woman is more and more off late on onlyfans, engaging in eprostitution or getting pumped and dumped. Selling your body and hookup culture is becoming increasingly common and is being pushed heavily by the media.

It's fair enough for women to want more than just sex and money but quite honestly the average man does also provide companionship and a partnership, it's just not as glamorous and amazing as the shit women see on social media and in romantic movies. Also, the average woman does not have more to offer a man beyond sex themselves. They aren't any better at providing a connection and a partnership, than is the average man and they lack the skills that they used to have in the past. They only really bring less money than they spend and a worthless college degree into the relationship. They're kinda suck at providing those same things that they demand from men. The men of today provide about as much as the men of the past did. The women of today provide a lot less while asking for a lot more.

I agree that it's better to be single, for both genders than to pair up because it's a shit deal for men and women, both cannot provide all that much to each other. Sex robots may further stunt male development but at least these men won't be taken advantage of and be preyed upon by sex workers the porn industry and red pill influencers.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 04:33 AM **[recovered]**

Only fans has just over 1 million content creators globally out of 7.5 billion people. Not all of those

content creators are women and not every account is pornographic. There are approximately 4 billion women in the world, even if 1 million of them were creating pornographic content on only fans that would only be 0.025% of women globally on only fans and in reality it will be less than that.

Your belief that the average woman is doing this is false and perhaps skewed by the content you consume online creating a false impression of women. Apply this same analysis to all your beliefs about women and you will undoubtedly find a similar pattern of skewed perception And false beliefs.

dukesaces • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 06:20 AM

Of the 4 billion women in the world a lot of them can be discounted as potential partners due to language barriers, age, etc. Despite that i get your point but I'm not only including onlyfans content creators. I said women that sell their body in general (which is many, many times more than 1 million) and promiscuous women (which is more the norm today than the exception).

Apply this same analysis to all your beliefs about women and you will undoubtedly find a similar pattern of skewed perception and false beliefs.

I could say the same for your skewed perception and false beliefs that the average man does not provide good companionship or a good partnership.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 06:31 AM [recovered]

Well you said average woman and that includes all women whether you'd date them or not and even if we go by your selection even then only a very small percentage of women are doing as you suggest, ergo the average woman is promiscuous or selling their body, unless of course you are only looking at women on only fans or online sex workers etc?

I never said the average man couldn't provide those things in a relationship, I said that there were many men who could not which is quite different, plenty of men are healthy and unaffected by the biases and hang ups that affect other men. I myself am married very happily to a man who is excellent company and a wonderful partner.

dukesaces • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:39 AM

I meant to imply the average woman that you'd actually date but okay I'll give it to you that the average woman isn't engaged in sex work. You're wrong about the average woman not being promiscuous though. Most women are rather promiscuous in today's society and the statistics back that up.

Fair enough then, there are definitely many men that cannot provide those things in a relationship but that's also true of many women which is why the relationship and marriage rates are falling off a cliff.

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 04:28 AM

The average woman is more and more off late on onlyfans, engaging in prostitution or getting pumped and dumped. Selling your body and hookup culture is becoming increasingly common and is being pushed heavily by the media.

Good God man. Step away from the internet.

harrowmysparrow1 points 15 August, 2022 04:11 AM [recovered]

Why would sex robots stunt men's development lol?

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 04:19 AM **[recovered]**

Because as humans our interpersonal development and growth is facilitated through our relationships or attempts at relationships with other humans. A sex doll by creating a proxy for that human relationship but removing all the actually beneficial feedback good and bad that one gets from another human being. A robot programmed to mindlessly serve you will not provide the same real time feedback a human would. Sex robots are an escapist strategy and a dead end for individual growth but it still an option many will choose, look at all the opiate addicts for example.

MarBitt • 8 points • 15 August, 2022 04:45 AM

OP wrote that men's bargaining chip was that they provide finance, women's bargaining chip was that they provide sex.

If women benefited from not needing men to finance them now. Why shouldn't men benefit if they don't need women to provide them with sex? More freedom for both sexes, right?

Why should being addicted to sex from women be more beneficial for men than being addicted to masturbating with sex robots?

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 04:53 AM **[recovered]**

Because for normal, psychologically healthy individuals relationships usually involve more than sex or financial support. They involved conversations, facing difficult times together, calling each other out on poor behaviour, providing emotional support to each other, looking after each other, learning to put someone else first at least some of the time, arguments and the resolving those difference, encouraging each other to try something different or see things from another persons point of view and so on. Your not going to get that from a robot. However it's possible that the men who will gravitate towards sex robots will be the men who struggled or weren't capable of this sort of relationship in any case so no great loss perhaps?

MarBitt • 6 points • 15 August, 2022 05:07 AM

And how do male sex toys prevent that man from having a relationship with a woman at the same time? Women have sex toys commonly.

The only thing that male sex toys might prevent is that men enter into relationships with women primarily for the need of sex, just as women do not have to enter relationships primarily for the need of money. But if relationships usually involve more than sex or financial support, then it doesn't have to be a bad thing for either gender, right?

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 05:16 AM **[recovered]**

Well quite, the post I was replying to was implying that sex robots would be an alternative to relationships between men and women given current grievances. However I did think that availability of porn and sex toys still doesn't for most people take away the longing for other human although they may hinder goal related action. My post though was in response to the initial post which seemed to me to be suggesting robot / men relationships would be an alternative to traditional human relationships in future so I went with a critique of that idea.

MarBitt • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:22 AM

I see, it's possible that we agree, actually.

Women's financial independence from men has definitely reduced women's

willingness to enter into relationships. Because it turned out that many women were not happy in relationships and entered them only because of the need to have finances from men. But most women don't see this as a bad thing.

Men's sexual independence from women will also reduce men's willingness to enter into relationships. Especially those men who would otherwise enter them only because of the need to secure sex. But that doesn't have to be bad for men (maybe even for women) at all.

It will just mean that men will not have so much power over women through finance and women will not have so much power over men through sex. Both genders will have to offer other things as well.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 05:47 AM [recovered]

I think it's more nuanced than how you put it but I suppose if you are saying people in future will have less relationships and only enter into a relationship or marriage when it's truly desired by both parties then yes perhaps that is true.

I suppose there are other questions like what kind of sex does a sex robot using man become habituated to given that a sex robot doesn't have needs of its own nor is consent ever required to do anything to a sex toy. Women do already complain about the effects of hardcore porn watching on men due to unrealistic expectations of them, effects on the man's sexual performance or the creep of extreme sexual practices being ever more normalise i.e. anal sex (there was an article recently where two colorectal surgeons wrote they were seeing an increase in women with anal injuries and and infections due to the normalisation of anal sex), choking, fisting and so on can all cause serious harm or even death. What happens to the sex robot once a man is married?

[deleted] • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 06:01 AM

They involved conversations, facing difficult times together, calling each other out on poor behaviour, providing emotional support to each other, looking after each other, learning to put someone else first at least some of the time, arguments and the resolving those differences, encouraging each other to try something different or see things from another person's point of view and so on.

What a funny list of things that the average modern woman is both incapable and unwilling to provide.

returning_op2 • 4 points • 15 August, 2022 04:46 AM

I kinda disagree. What made me better at the dating game than before was actually caring about me and what the girl can bring to the table instead of constant worrying how I can prove I'm worthy - because now I go into it thinking: yes definitely, actually show me that my life would be better with you. I achieved that through increasing my physical fitness, this netted me nicer responses to my approaches which made me try more and actually improve my communication skills.

I think if we take male thirst out of the dating game, it could have the same effect of not caring and wanting actual life partners instead of many men only looking to fuck on dating apps and

overcrowding them - because getting off they can do whenever they want, but an actually human being that you can bounce of your ideas from and get introduced to new ideas from her is still desirable imo.

Think about it, do you even want men out there overcrowding dating apps with the only goal being to have sex? Wouldn't it be better to get rid of that and only have dudes on their who actually look for relationships? I think it would be better for everyone involved.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 04:57 AM [recovered]

You're missing the point, I'm not talking about what gets you laid I'm talking about what happens in long term relationship with someone you love going through life's hardships with them and getting your edges knocked off. As opposed to the escapism of a sex robot instead of a real relationship with a real person.

returning_op2 • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:58 AM

I'm not talking about what gets you laid I'm talking about what happens in long term relationship with someone you love going through life's hardships with them and getting your edges knocked off.

I was doing that too though. You are not going through life's hardships when the men's main motivator was to get laid on a dating app. But if that were to be taken care off, it would be easier to find that man - that's where I was going.

womandatory • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 07:16 AM

I think you'll find men commodified sex. Women are just trying to take advantage of that. Don't get me wrong, liberal feminism isn't something I subscribe to, I think it's awful, but I don't blame women for trying to make a buck out of something men want. I'd much rather see women just stop dating men until they step up rather than trying to compete with men at their own game.

dukesaces • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:37 AM

Men didn't commodify sex. They may be the primary consumers for sex work but that's because it's ridiculously easy for a woman to get sex because men have a higher sex drive but it's a lot harder for most men to get sex. A few elite men and a large number of women took advantage of this and created the sex work industry (with the exception of actual prostitution which has been around forever but was also the result of women commodifying and taking advantage of their pussy)

Do you also think selling drugs is okay? There's clearly a large demand for it and drug dealers aren't forcing you to buy those drugs. The damage it does to society and the lives of drug consumers shouldn't be the fault of the dealers then because they're just meeting a demand.

I'd much rather see women just stop dating men until they step up rather than trying to compete with men at their own game.

Why do men have to step up and not women? I agree that men need to improve themselves but you do realize that most women have literally nothing to offer to a man, they bring nothing to the table. They actually bring less than their ancestors did and sex isn't as tantalizing a reward as women may think it is. Rather than work their asses off to improve themselves and get rejected over arbitrary traits like height or the way they look, why shouldn't men just pump and dump women and stop dating them all together? Most men would be fine with FWB only relationships.

womandatory • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 08:51 AM

Prostituted women were originally slaves, so no. If you're going to make statements of fact, at least try to be historically accurate.

No-Prestige-9484 • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 09:45 AM

Prostitution isn't just older than slavery, it's also older than humanity itself. It has been observed in primates and other animal species. There have likely always been women who voluntarily exchanged sex for material resources.

Slavery didn't exist anywhere until the Neolithic Revolution, which started around 10,000 B.C. Only then could slavery be viable. It didn't even exist in most places until after the Neolithic. Egypt didn't have slavery until the Old Kingdom.

AutomaticMeaning3844 • 10 points • 15 August, 2022 04:52 AM

An individual man doesn't, but women depend on men as an aggregate for their self esteem and mental wellbeing.

Women's self esteem is built on male attention. It's just so abundant and from so many different men throughout her life, that it's taken for granted. One man doesn't seem significant, but the attention of all the men in her life added up is significant to her mental health.

Women can easily get it, so they don't make too much effort to get it and don't think too much about it, but it doesn't mean they aren't completely dependent on it.

We all can easily get water and make little effort to get more water, but water is a core and needed substance of our body.

Kentucky_Supreme • 7 points • 15 August, 2022 06:03 AM

I've always wondered what would happen if every guy just dropped off of social media for a week or maybe a month. What would all of these twitch streamers, IG models, OF models, etc. do? I've heard some women have elemental breakdowns if their photo doesn't get enough likes on IG or some shit, lol.

[deleted] • 11 points • 15 August, 2022 03:37 AM*

Men don't have much to offer in dating *if they're determined to stick with the old models of manhood*.

If you recognise that the old provider/protector model is obsolete, and you need to offer something else, you tend to do better.

PrinceBagrati • 15 points • 15 August, 2022 05:12 AM*

It's the opposite. Men who fall behind at classic models of manhood are the ones who don't have much to offer. I won't listen to the blue flaired users who argue the opposite who 99/100 times end up with a guy who wouldn't stick out in a college fraternity.

No other assumption is so clearly proven to be untrue if only you went outside. Women's preferences in men are much more conservative than vice-versa.

[deleted] • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 10:49 AM

Manhood isn't some immutable fact. It's pure social construction. There is no "classic manhood". It's a contrivance.

I look at how radically different the men of my father's generation are to mine, and how radically different the men of my nephew's generation will be to mine, and I am filled with hope.

I'm tall, bearded, athletic, covered in tattoos and work in a stereotypically "manly" trade job.

I will also tell you masculinity is a prison, and encourage you to be your authentic self *so fucking hard*.

No-Koala5505 • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 04:05 AM

Okay. So what can an average man provide in a modern relationship?

[deleted] • 9 points • 15 August, 2022 04:11 AM

Love, support, companionship. Sensitivity. A sounding-board and counsel. Good sex. Caring. A manly chest to cry on. An equal share of housework. Financial contributions (as distinct from *providing*. Calm down.)

Also - lawn mowing and spider-killing. Some gender roles refuse to die.

No-Koala5505 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 04:13 AM

Ya da, ya da... it's easier to hire someone to do the household chores and get a fuck buddy. This way you don't risk having to split your hard earned money with anyone.

RIPGeorgeHarrison • 5 points • 15 August, 2022 05:29 AM

Literally can't even imagine that for most people paying someone to do household chores isn't an option.

Maybe the people who say women view the world through a lens of solipsism are right.

No-Koala5505 • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 06:59 AM

There's nothing wrong with solipsism. Nobody should run a charity

RIPGeorgeHarrison • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 07:19 AM

is it really that hard to think through other perspectives that you consider it a charity to do so?

No-Koala5505 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 07:21 AM

What other perspectives? The ones trying to convince me to marry down? No, thank you.

RIPGeorgeHarrison • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 07:23 AM

your probably not as much of a catch as you think you are.

No-Koala5505 • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 07:31 AM

I know my worth and you're not going to convince me others. Fifties are long gone

[deleted] • 8 points • 15 August, 2022 04:24 AM

If you think sex is the sole purpose of being in a relationship, sure.

But that's a pretty stunted and self-absorbed vision of a partnership.

No-Koala5505 • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 04:27 AM

I don't think that sex is the sole purpose of relationship. I just don't want to risk losing my assets in a divorce for very ephemeral "companionship".

[deleted] • 6 points • 15 August, 2022 04:34 AM

Dude - I dunno how things work in your part of the world - but there's just not an assumption of splitting things down the middle in the event of a relationship breakdown these days.

Regardless - if you're basically equal partners financially - splitting equally kinda makes sense.

Where there's kids involved it gets more complicated; but a lot of jurisdictions assume shared custody, and most arrangements are made without going anywhere near a court.

People don't get into relationships due to some mass psychosis. They get into relationships because they are, on balance, rewarding.

No-Koala5505 • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 04:36 AM

Why do I need to share custody or assets or anything if I am totally capable to survive on my own?

[deleted] • 4 points • 15 August, 2022 04:38 AM

I wouldn't be living in a nice house in a nice suburb if I'd had to do it alone.

Anyway - sharing things is cool.

No-Koala5505 • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 04:40 AM

I believe in working hard. If I work hard enough I can earn more. Sharing things is okay if you have a good prenup, but no marriage is even safer.

throwaway264757 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 04:43 AM

These Republicans want you breeding for them.

melody_of_ • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 04:48 AM

Those things are In abundance as much woman claim to the contrary. Even if a man is offering all of those hes the hundredth person to offer them in a sea of men. So he still doesn't have anything to offer

[deleted] • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 04:51 AM

And yet, we still pair up and fall in love.

WHAT IS THIS LUNACY!

melody_of_ • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 04:52 AM

Hey even women have to settle eventually ☐

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 04:55 AM

Oh. Right. I forgot that AF/BB is an immutable law of nature. ☐

Kentucky_Supreme • 6 points • 15 August, 2022 05:56 AM

If money was the main thing incentivising certain women then were they ever actually attracted to men at all? Or just money?

Also, most women aren't swiping on dating apps for "emotional intelligence" and "personality" lol. Their biggest complaints seem to be that nobody's tall enough and nobody makes enough money.

Even if the vast majority of men could magically become 6ft+ and have a million dollars appear in their bank account, all that would do is become the new "average". Which is the exact thing women complain isn't "good enough". So you'd be right back to square one.

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:58 AM

If men only like women with low n counts are they really attracted to women at all or just the idea she hasn't fucked many guys?

Kentucky_Supreme • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 06:17 AM

That's probably the most asinine and farthest reach of a comparison I've seen in here so far, lol.

The idea of sticking your dick in a petri dish and sloshing it around in a mixture of other men's semen is simply not appealing. (To most men, anyway lol). It's evolutionary psychology or something like that. Plus higher n counts mean a higher chance of STDs, paternity fraud, divorce initiated by the woman, etc. etc. And she's clearly not that special if everyone's had a turn.

WOPR-19831 points 15 August, 2022 05:07 AM* [recovered]

Therefore, the modern day relationship in most cases is unequal because women are providing sex but men are not providing financially.

On average, men still outearn their partners.

This "CMV" is based on a fantasy of misinformation.

Which men are not providing financially?? Because he only makes "as much as her" and not twice as much?

What nonsense.

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:11 AM

If he only makes as much as her he is not providing- they both are

FutureBannedAccount21 points 15 August, 2022 05:23 AM [recovered]

Based on this post, I get the idea that you're perpetually single and can't maintain a long term relationship and instead of looking inwards and figuring out what's wrong with you (lots of evidence in this post) you think men are the issue.

You say the guys you've met can't open up emotionally or connect on a deeper level with someone. They can when they actually like the person

Trishlikefish21 points 15 August, 2022 05:25 AM [recovered]

Ive been in a relationship for 3 years :)

FutureBannedAccount21 points 15 August, 2022 05:33 AM [recovered]

Yeah I completely believe you

Trishlikefish21 points 15 August, 2022 05:34 AM [recovered]

Oooooo he's jealous!

FutureBannedAccount21 points 15 August, 2022 05:58 AM [recovered]

Who's jealous? Of what?

Trishlikefish21 points 15 August, 2022 05:59 AM **[recovered]**

Oh look he's oblivious

Mrs_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 03:21 PM

Be civil.

PresidenteWeevil • 6 points • 15 August, 2022 03:30 AM

Man provide much more than that. When there is a noise at 2pm, who is going to check downstairs?

[deleted] • 6 points • 15 August, 2022 03:33 AM

do you mean am?

blingbladeade • 5 points • 15 August, 2022 03:48 AM

Daylight crime rates are up ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 04:57 AM

Women will go check because they are strong and independent ☐

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 03:49 AM **[recovered]**

When I was a post grad student I lived in a house share with 2 guys (we were all 23) on my course one night we were all woken up by a window breaking and intruders trying to gain access to the property. My two male housemates hung about upstairs wondering what to do while I flew downstairs putting on all the lights as I went shouting at the intruder to get the fuck out of my house. By the time I got to the point of entry all I saw was the intruders running off.

birdmansandusky • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 04:07 AM

Anecdotal. You know what the consensus is

Azihayya • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 04:31 AM

Who gives a shit--it's a red herring anyway.

[deleted] • 5 points • 15 August, 2022 03:46 AM

This is a really good argument for why having women in the work force en masse is unsustainable for society in the long term. It will likely lead to war, even if you can permanently subdue the huge swathes of the new male underclass you'll still be faced with total demographic collapse, as women become more career oriented they become less and less likely to ever have children. Japan is going through this demographic crisis now and the western world isn't far behind.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 04:00 AM* **[recovered]**

Women won't go back into the home full time willingly a lot of women were never fulfilled or even that happy in that role so it's not something they will ever give up. I don't buy that a big enough percentage of men are affected by this issue for it to cause major issues, bigger issues are probably things like the free availability of hard core pornography and the chronic masterbating and unrealistic expectations it inspires.

An alternative solution is that men grow up and learn to be better partners to women.

MarBitt • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 04:51 AM

Among porn consumers, hard core and rough sex are more popular topics among women than among men. Like double penetration and gangbang.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 05:01 AM [recovered]

I agree that porn is harmful to both men and women but my implication was that frequent hardcore porn escapism takes up precious time and energy and demotivates men from pursuing other goals. Perhaps due to its effect on dopamine baseline?

MarBitt • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:15 AM

On average, a woman spends more time per visit to a porn site than a man. In addition, many women who do not watch porn, read porn stories. And even if they don't consume any porn, they sometimes masturbate. And female masturbation also takes more time than male masturbation.

You are of course right about the fact that you can develop an addiction to pornography. Each thing usually has its advantages and disadvantages and I agree that porn has a lot of disadvantages.

But actually - how many men are addicted to sex with women in general and suffer when suddenly the sex is not there? Why should it be okay to motivate men to perform by using their addiction to sex with women?

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 05:30 AM [recovered]

I don't know about those stats a quick google shows one survey from pornhub which isn't exactly the lofty heights of peer reviews academia but that's beside the point here.

I am not sure one can be addicted to sex with women, that's not really how addiction works. It is possible to be addicted to sexual experience and orgasm. If one was addicted to sex with women exclusively then in the absence of sex with a woman you'd go through withdrawal and ultimately those cravings would diminish.

I don't regard Sex and the only motivating factor in why men seek relationships with women so I don't see it as leveraging their need for sex against them. Things have changed, and women want a full relationship, physically, emotionally and mentally. That not manipulative it's a request if men do not wish to meet it nobody is forcing them but women will be far less interested in them as potential partners.

MarBitt • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:46 AM

If one was addicted to sex with women exclusively then in the absence of sex with a woman you'd go through withdrawal and ultimately those cravings would diminish.

Yes, with withdrawal symptoms in the form of irritability, sadness, increased arousal, which masturbation cannot satisfy for a long time (because the desire is not only for orgasm, but precisely for sex with a woman)... But until the man becomes chronically unfaithful and destroys his life, it is not even considered a problematic addiction. But it is addiction.

If women don't want to use sex as leverage, then it's not fair to say that only men have to offer more just because they are no longer in the role of financial providers. They both have to or they won't have a relationship. Which may be better for both of them than having a bad relationship.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 06:23 AM **[recovered]**

Its very dependent on the individuals involved in each relationship of course but I think the women who complain would say they had been in relationships with men who failed to bring much to the relationship. Sex or money isn't enough for a mutually fulfilling and supportive relationship, there needs to be real friendship mutual support, doing your fair share of what's required in the home and in the relationship. Some women feel that some men they were involved with want a woman to just look after them, cook and clean for them, have sex with them and generally not be bothered by the woman. This isn't enough for these women, they aren't trying to manipulate men they are simply withdrawing from something that is no longer working for them. The OP is saying that women won't go back and so only men can end this stalemate where it exists.

I know this is anecdotal but it serves merely to illustrate something. I knew a woman whose husband every day would take a shit and everyday he'd leave smears or bits of shit on the toilet seat, not in the toilet but on the actual seat. Everyday she'd ask him to please clean the toilet seat after hed used it and he'd either say yeah, yeah or he'd get annoyed and say stop nagging me about it, it doesn't matter etc. That one issue destroyed their relationship where she made a perfectly reasonable request that he wipe up his shit and he repeatedly fobbed her off, told her it didn't matter or that she was nagging. He showed no respect for her and acted like a baby expecting her to wipe up his shit day in day out and then still desire him sexually well she didn't and they ended up splitting but he could have potentially prevented any of that from happening by just cleaning up after himself and being an adult in the relationship. I think it's behaviour in this vein that women are complaining about not taking responsibility for their own shit, lack of emotional maturity. I'm not saying women can't be immature or poorly behaved but this thread is about what women find lacking in men.

MarBitt • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 06:41 AM*

Thanks for the story. I agree that some men are horrible and don't deserve a relationship.

I don't agree that this thread is only about what women find lacking in men. The only bold part of the OP's post is in this sentence:

Therefore, the modern day relationship in most cases is unequal because women are providing sex but men are not providing financially.

So the OP clearly contrasted finance on the part of men and sex on the part of women. With the assumption that the main motivation for entering a relationship on the part of men is and will be sex with women.

It does not address whether women give men enough emotional support, compliments, attention, respect and other non-sexual things.

It just assumes that because women provide the sex and men are no longer the financial providers, the relationships are unequal. And men have to offer more. But that's not true. Even if I agree that there is an imbalance in relationships and it needs to be fixed, men can demand less.

And the more women emphasize that they provide sex to men (as if they own it and don't need it themselves in relationships), the greater will be the motivation for

men to get sex or its substitute in another way.

It's the same as when a man tells a woman that he earns and so she has to compensate in the relationship. An alternative was also that women stop asking men for providing because they don't want to be in a weaker, dependent and exploitable position.

Azihayya • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 04:42 AM

Omfg, this guy is here --> abagus101

Guy who is stuck in pre-industrial patriarchal politics, everybody. Civilization is gonna collapse, trade routes will shut down, and we'll return to feudalism because women are reaching for parity in power politics. It's the exact guy that the OP is talking about--you know the guy; models his love life after Picasso and Freud, compares the philosophy of Descartes and Kant--and he's one-hundred percent certain that feminist action has resulted in the dissolution of the nuclear family, the bedrock unit of civilization.

Why do you bother with this philosophy, bro? It's the 21st century. Intelligence and automation are advancing at neck-breaking speeds. Transhumanism itself is not distant on the horizon as we decide the human genome. Why do you think that human life lacks the ability to adapt? Demography disaster is not a certainty, nor does it necessarily mean civilizational collapse. Population is set to peak around 2100--if we were concerned about national security in the US, we could open our borders to immigrants. Jamie Diamond thinks we should.

What is so intimidating about women reaching power parity with men, anyway? That you might have to change your views? Your perspectives? Unlearn what you've been taught about women? About history.

Think about it, bro.

[deleted] • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 04:54 AM

I don't think cultural suicide is an acceptable remedy to demographic collapse.

Azihayya • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 04:56 AM

Cultural suicide.

It's just as good as I imagined it would be.

[deleted] • -1 points • 15 August, 2022 04:59 AM

Moreover I think your biggest problems are going to come from the new male underclass, that is men who live their lives without ever finding a woman or having children, I think those men will become increasingly insular and violent as we've already seen unfold in real time. The unfortunate reality is that the current trajectory does not lend itself to long term stability, there is no social harmony or balance in gender relations today. Patriarchy in its classical form offers stability and social harmony for both genders.

Azihayya • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 05:06 AM

Fear of angry men who are unable to adapt to a world where women have parity in power, you're saying, is what should guide our civilization. This reminds me of when people like Tucker Carlson say things like, "With all these LGBTQ people we're reaching Weimar levels of degeneracy."--echoed by many conservatives (whose sole desire is to maintain the status of men as a gender class over women) who will say this as if to imply, "Uh oh everyone! We wouldn't want to elect another

Hitler! That would be really bad--better chill out with all the queerness--not that I have a problem with it, but it leads to radicalism!"--they're really just biased.

Man, I really hope that your life philosophy is utterly eradicated. It's so backwards and unethical, and so, so utterly false.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:13 AM

The issue is "women's parity" is artificially propped up by the circumstances of postmodern ultra consumerist society, it's not upheld by the laws of nature. All power is derived from the capacity to make war or inflict violence, all the way down to individual police officers enforcing the laws of the state. The reason the contemporary status quo doesn't work for millions of men is because it's essentially a grand social experiment with no historical precedent.

You can hope all you want man but I think a huge wave of backlash is growing in the US and across western civilization, we'll have to see if anything comes of it but I would caution against underestimating the deep dissatisfaction millions of young men feel about society today.

I would argue for a reasonable compromise that brings social harmony to both genders while avoiding a bloody and unnecessary conflict.

Azihayya • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 05:22 AM

A thousand years ago, literacy wasn't necessary for survival. Two thousand years ago the Roman Empire bowed to a popular religion claiming that there is no authority higher than God--and that religion shaped Western Civilization thenceforth.

Survival is an evolving paradigm, and we now live in an age with the greatest reach of communication the world has ever known, with the greatest transvergence of language that's ever taken place--and we live in a global marketplace in a world where famine has never been more rare, with as little war as there has ever been.

Compassion will be a mark of survival for the future. If you don't have compassion you won't be able to survive--just as literacy is now a practical necessity for survival. Mankind is not and has never been doomed to a fate of violence, and violence and competition do not need to define humanity for the rest of eternity. Humanity is but an infant in light of the breakthroughs of the 20th and 21st century. We have already seen the industrial era deconstruct the last vestiges of slavery as capital labor. We have seen the bourgeoisie replace feudalism.

There is no reason at all to believe that a better world is not possible with women at the table sharing leadership with men.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:31 AM

Idk man I feel like there's a lot of redditors who embody the fat neckbeard stereotype and think the rise of transhumanism is both imminent and will "save" them. It seems like a massive cope. The global economy is shitting itself, western society is hyper polarized, China is preparing for expansion and war before their own demographic crisis peaks, and the climate is under extreme stress to the point that some 2100 predictions look apocalyptic.

I don't think the place we're headed is pretty, but rather more of what all of human history has comprised. A lot of suffering.

Also violence is embedded in the genome of man and you'd have to be totally ignorant of both biology and all of human history to think we're magically going to move past war and

conflict when they start giving people cyborg robo dicks.

Azihayya • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 07:00 PM

I mean, I understand that, man. It's not like we're out of the woods--we're going to be facing climate crisis for the foreseeable future. I don't know if we're gonna see China try to take Taiwan, but I think the prognosis is worse than for Russia to take Ukraine. China relies on imports to function and they're facing a worse demographic decline than Russia by far at this point. Sure, they want Taiwan so they can access the coast, but it seems like an impossibility, from what I've heard.

I tend to be more optimistic about Western Civ.; I think that white & Christian nationalism are on their way out. Conservatives are unlikely to ever have an economic high ground and it's likely that Texas is going to flip blue at some point as it draws in more commercial activity.

But I think the most important thing is that humanity has made more scientific and technological advancements in the past one hundred than the rest of human history combined, and I think it's unlikely that we will stop making progress. Oftentimes necessity breeds innovation, and I think we're likely to see more energy and intelligence solutions; the need for global cooperation, in the first time that we've ever truly seen a global civilization, is greater than ever.

I see Renaissance, and the idea of having women at the table with men only gives me more hope that we'll be able to achieve a more equitable and fair future.

p.s. I'm not saying that transhumanism will play a large role in our near future, just that we're on the cusp of it, with inventions like CRISPR, further advances in science eradicating diseases and continued progress in geriatrics. As a humanity we will, before long, have to begin to reckon with the idea of immortality.

Also, I really don't think that violence is hard-wired into male DNA. I think that the potential is there, but I believe that human nature is much more plastic than that, and that it would be folly to try to 'nail down' a picture of human nature. At this point, human beings are capable of modelling their brains into very abstract computers that are capable of conceptualizing the physics of celestial bodies. This isn't something that we've necessarily had the opportunity to develop evolutionarily--and if you were to take an indigenous tribe member, raised in the right context, they would be readily capable of performing such feats with equal capacity to any other scholar. Time and time again we try to define human behavior and we are consistently proved wrong; women, for example, make wonderful academics. We have seen genius such as Mary Shelly Wollstonecraft define a literary genre and define the feminist movement--and, of course, we have such talent as Neon Vines in the modern day, who is revolutionizing live electronic music performance.

MarBitt • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 04:25 AM*

Women's bargaining chip regarding the provision of sex has also changed.

Compared to the past, men have a much better chance of getting sex outside of relationships. Although not all of them do, a certain part do, and they are therefore much less willing to enter into relationships if they are young and sexually desirable outside of the relationship.

Just as fewer women need money from men, fewer men and women need sex from the opposite sex. From 1990 to 2014 the percentage of men who had sex with at least one man rose from 4.5% to 8.2%.

In a 2009 Dutch study, of the male participants, 7.9% had had sex with another man in the past six months and 7.1% identified himself as homo- or bisexual; among women, these figures were 5.5% and 5.9%, respectively.

In the past, there were already cultures where sex between men was considered equal or even more important and fun than sex between men and women. So the possibility that men in sex would largely shift to male-to-male sex is there.

When you add porn (which after all is watched more by men) and you take into account the huge potential in the sale of sex toys, including sex dolls/robots for men (which are still associated with greater social taboos), even a woman's bargaining chip for providing sex is changing

PrinceBagratoni • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:07 AM

Is this remotely true? Dating men is a hundred times easier and less emotionally burdensome because you aren't dealing with a leaky bucket that won't ever be filled who's constantly micromanaging your time or waiting for you to slip up. I cannot understate how mentally taxing it is to consistently play a very heteronormative role in a relationship.

MischievousCarrot • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:28 AM

I think the reason for the increase in male dating struggles is they don't have much to offer.

Women are more selective. Before dating apps, they had less people to select from.

Therefore, the modern day relationship in most cases is unequal because women are providing sex but men are not providing financially.

"Women don't like sex" is the most puritanical position I've read in a while.

This means men need to up their emotional intelligence and personalities in order for a woman to want to date him in today's society.

Not to say men don't want relationships, but the difficult stage for a man to get is the sex stage. A man that can get sex, can get relationships.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:32 AM

If women liked sex as much as men then guys on here wouldn't complain about not getting any hahahaha

MischievousCarrot • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 05:35 AM

Women like sex as much as men, and they, by definition, fuck as much as men do.

The difference lies in who they fuck with. An ugly, shitty woman can fuck quite attractive men and can afford to be picky.

An ugly, shitty man can't.

Your assumption would only work if every woman could only have sex with a different man.

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:38 AM

But if women and men liked sex just as much, women would not be able to control the sexual market place.

But hey maybe women do like sex just as much and men. So men should just stop complaining on here because apparently there is plenty of women around who want sex!!

MischievousCarrot • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:42 AM

There is. Those women can have sex with the most successful, attractive men. So they do.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:43 AM

You don't make logical sense. If men and women liked sex equally there would be plenty of sex for everyone. And maybe you are right and the guys complaining on here are all 1/10s physically

MischievousCarrot • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:48 AM

Town of randomville has two vegetable shops. Both shops want to sell vegetables.

It also has two people who buy vegetables. Both people who buy vegetables can be picky with where they buy them, as town of randomville is really fucking tiny.

Both buyers buy from site A, who they believe has the superior vegetables.

Site B complains about the lack of vegetable selling.

Trishlifefish2 concludes that vegetable buyers in randomville don't really like vegetables as much as shops like selling them, because half of the shops are complaining about the lack of clientele.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:50 AM

In your own logic it is just as likely for there to be women who are missing out on sex because the men are picky.

But this doesn't happen because men are more horny and orgasm more. Aka men enjoy sex more

MischievousCarrot • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:52 AM

No. Men aren't picky. Therein lies the difference.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:54 AM

Yeah but men are less picky because they enjoy sex with most women.

But women are more picky because they don't enjoy sex with most men.

MischievousCarrot • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:51 AM

As for the 1/10s physically, I'll just only link this graph...

<https://graphpaperdiaries.com/2018/10/21/judging-attractiveness/>

So you're half right. 81% of men are below average, for women. Which brings us to consider whether they have the right idea of "average".

artrandenthil • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 06:18 AM

News Flash OP: Women need men and men need women. Period. We are all social beings, so we all need each other.

Yes. We are in a major transformation as a humanity and the sooner everyone agrees and figures out next steps, the faster this will be over and we all find a new normal. Thanks for listening

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:54 AM

I thought guys complained on here about struggling with dating? If women needed men this wouldnt be happening

EasternToe3824 • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 06:27 AM

If you lower your contribution to a relationship to the level of a sex worker, you do not get to make a call for empathy.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:53 AM

Sex workers get paid ALOT seems they are high value in society. If a man wants free sex he better be perfect.

EasternToe3824 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:20 AM

So much for the „deeper level“ connections.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:21 AM

Perfect as in attractive and great personality/connection. Stop assuming things

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 06:28 AM

Neither do women tbh

bitchlodia • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 07:29 AM

Just bcuz most women earn themselves doesn't mean they are not spending their partners money.

[deleted] • 5 points • 15 August, 2022 03:38 AM

the modern day relationship in most cases is **unequal** because women are providing sex but men are not providing financially

Most women give sex freely to the same guys, which means those guys have many options and don't have to commit to a relationship or providing financially. Women don't demand it from these guys in exchange for sex. If they did, you'd have a point. But they don't, so you don't.

bighuddi • 5 points • 15 August, 2022 03:27 AM

what are you psychopaths even *on*?? ☐ we fucking get it. you want Brayden & you only see Brayden. Brayden has never had to try. like an average woman. arrested development. Brayden is a fucklord. Good fucking grief we are out of high school but you're gonna bring that shit back??? that complaining about assholes shit???? cause it's deafeningly obvious that's what this femcel rant is.

Trishlikefish21 points 15 August, 2022 03:28 AM **[recovered]**

Are you on something?

Mrs_Drgree[M] • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 09:33 AM

Be civil

SmarmyPapsmears • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 04:13 AM*

Imagine believing relationships should only exist if they're transactional.

women provide sex

How delusional

boofthegirl • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 09:36 AM

This is precisely why these people can't get into a decent relationship

tonyghow • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 04:05 AM

women are providing sex

Women take away or greatly reduce sex a few years after marriage. That's not a great "bargaining chip". It's bait and switch. See r/deadbedroom

men are not providing financially

Women enter the workforce, are approaching what men make, and you blame men for that? Don't blame men for restricting your own dating pool because you want someone richer and richer as you make more money.

men need to up their emotional intelligence and personalities in order for a women to want to date him in todays society.

That is the only sensible request. The rest of your rant post is junk. So stop with your gold digging and your transactional nonsense and look for a man for love and personality. Not his pocketbook or how much higher his status is so you can brag to your girlfriends.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 04:11 AM **[recovered]**

a subreddit called "dead bedroom" is a self selecting group and doesn't prove anything and even a quick look at it shows me that men also withhold sex from women.

Women don't need a man who earns more and that's not what the OP said, I can't see how you could have read it like that except through the lens of your own preconceived ideas.

The last part, that you said was sensible is the actual point she is making, everything else you say is sheer paranoid projection.

tonyghow • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 04:21 AM

men do not have the power financially

men are not providing financially

the majority of men dont/cant do that

Most of her post is about how men are failing at finances.

She could have cut out all that and made a single line title post asking, "Men should strive to be emotionally intelligent". Not all that nonsense about how men are inadequate financially.

If women didn't want a man who earns equal or more, she wouldn't have added all that.

No woman is going to "date down". She even says, "the only reason they would is if the guy is perfect and they really like him."

The sense of entitlement is through the roof.

Read her words again. A man must be "perfect" !

kinhk • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 06:14 AM

“Women don’t need a man who earns more”

Hard disagree

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 06:34 AM [recovered]

You can disagree but that doesn’t make you correct, there are things far more important in a partner to women than money. As long as we have enough between us who cares who earns more.

kinhk • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 06:36 AM

Plenty of women care. Actually I would argue the majority of women care. Go listen to em talk about the kind of guy they want. He’s always well off financially.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 07:00 AM [recovered]

I am a woman and I talk to women about this sort of thing all the damn time and what they moan about in men isn’t that he’s not rich, oh he’s only a plumber and 5 foot 8 so disappointing. They complain that he never listens, that he expects her to do all the mental load of house and kids, even though they both work full time, that he doesn’t call when he says he will, that he shuts her out when he’s upset about things or gets angry when she’s upset about anything, that rather than ever admit he’s wrong he starts an argument and blames her, that he’s just spent £100s of pounds on a new gizmo, hobby item etc when they have other financial commitments to meet and he’s left them short again and so on. Women just want a man who won’t be a liability.

womandatory • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 07:39 AM

It’s a depressingly low bar, and they’re still missing it by miles.

kinhk • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 07:33 AM

First of all your anecdotes don’t mean what you think they do. Second they don’t complain about those things because those men are usually invisible to them anyway. But to the actual point your trying to make,

“He shuts her out when he’s upset about things”

Men don’t do that because women have a tendency to make it about themselves. And the last thing a man needs when he’s stressed is an irate woman. Not only that a good man is conditioned and trained to control and dismiss his emotions. Women simply don’t want to deal with a man’s emotions. Next time you get a chance, ask a few men how it went with their women when they “opened up”. Hell go right now on the askmen sub, one of the most popular posts is about men who’ve opened up to their women. Literally every man that commented had a negative story. Absolutely contradicts the idea that women want their men to open up.

“Admit he’s wrong, He gets upset and blames her” This is not a male thing. I can count on one hand how many times I’ve heard a woman take accountability.

“Man who won’t be a liability”

Surely this is a joke right? You picking a financially irresponsible man to be with has absolutely Jack shit to do with the rest of us. But I sure hope you aren’t buying clothes, hair, nails etc, if you are complaining about him paying for his hobby.

vogel7771 points 15 August, 2022 07:44 AM [recovered]

But a man could easily communicate his need to be left alone the way a woman tells him I don’t need you to fix it I just need you to listen. Women in healthy mutually fulfilling relationships deal with men’s emotions all the time as I do with my husband and many other women do.

I am saying these are my actual experiences talking to women, you then turn it around and make it all about how men are hard done by. You don’t take any accountability like many of them men here who use misogynistic talking points to deflect blame from themselves and demonise women. None of your alternative sources are anything more than anecdotes themselves.

A man being a liability isn’t just financial, an adult in an adult relationship should be able to carry their own weight most of the time (how this looks may differ from couple to couple but the basic premise stands). So a man who fails on any of the above counts and thereby gives his partner more and more work could be considered a liability.

kinhk • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 07:49 AM

Ok I’ll bite. How do you deal with your man’s emotions exactly? Empty words don’t count btw.

Academic_Snow_7680 • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 04:14 AM

This means women do not have the incentive to get into relationships and the only reason they would is if the guy is perfect and they really like him.

Oh honey, have you met men? None of them are perfect and neither are women.

Women are desperately trying to find a man that will CARRY HIS WEIGHT in the relationship. He doesn't have to out-earn her, he doesn't have to be perfect, he just doesn't have to be an entitled asshole that expects the woman to do majority of the work at home because of her gender.

Fair division of labor, that's clearly a dealbreaker for men because men would rather be single than provide that. As countless examples prove.

MarBitt • 6 points • 15 August, 2022 04:55 AM

When he is alone, he has to do all the housework. So maybe that applies to men who live with their mothers and that's not the most popular type of man among women.

Fair division of labor can only be a problem for many men when it is combined with the fact that the woman wants to divide the work at home 50/50, but have 100% decision-making powers when and how the work is to be done.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:22 AM

Well yeah maybe guys should just be alone then- as you said they would prefer it than improve

lectrohS_naisA • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 11:22 AM

Fair division of labor, that's clearly a dealbreaker for men because men would rather be single than provide that.

Meh this is just normal adult stuff that everyone does. I do my own clothes washing, dish washing, dust and vacuum the house, basically everything that women do. I personally don't think that is the reason men are single, most men are willing to do all those things.

The only thing that matters here is being attractive and tall. Men who are that can get away with a lot of things, especially household chores.

Academic_Snow_7680 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:30 PM

You would not believe how many men I know that can't even manage to keep themselves clean, let alone their clothes or home.

One very sharp friend who works as a journalist is also a trash-hoarder. He's regularly kicked out of apartments for hoarding. While being an expert in his field.

Another friend lives alone on a farm and never meets anyone. Is deeply misogynistic and expects me as a woman to take care of all logistics as if I was his mother. Then he complains over not getting dates. He smells, his clothes smell, he's a mental teenager.

Third friend is a highly intelligent programmer turned politician. Keeps 3 cats. Smells like it. I've visited his home and it smelled so bad that I gagged. I couldn't sit anywhere because there was a thick layer of cat hair over all the furniture.

Highly intelligent men in good positions that can't be in a relationship with a woman (while wanting it) because they can't carry themselves as human beings. The emotional, logistical and mental load of living with such a guy is just not worth their emotionally stunted love in return. They are emotional children that lack the ability to see things from other people's perspective or understand that their spouse does not function like their mother, even though they feel entitled to it.

throwaway02593 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:16 AM

men provided money and women provided sex

Women are also interested in sex, and eye candy. Especially eye candy.

but now days the majority of men dont/cant do that

Just because you can't attract a provider doesn't mean other women can't.

Men and women roughly earn about equal

If that was true we wouldn't be hearing feminists constantly bitch about the "wage gap".

Married men earn 20k-ish more per year than married women do, on average. That's a pretty big difference.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:20 AM

Women enjoy sex but not as much as men- hence how we have the power when it comes to sex. That's **basic** knowledge.

lol you have to resort to personal attacks when you dont even know me- you're a very emotional guy

Exactly, married men earn more, but what about all the unmarried guys? I guess they just be incels

throwaway02593 • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 05:26 AM

lol you have to resort to personal attacks when you dont even know me

If you could attract a provider you probably wouldn't be complaining about men not being providers.

Exactly, married men earn more, but what about all the unmarried guys?

You said that men can't provide for women anymore, the fact that married men earn much more than their wives clearly contradicts this.

Men in general also earn significantly more than women so you're also wrong about men and women having similar earnings.

Trishlikefish21 points 15 August, 2022 05:29 AM **[recovered]**

Or maybe people just make equal amounts of money now days? lol.

Oh so you think the average guy makes significantly more than a women? you must live in a 3rd world country

Mrs_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:32 AM

Don't make things personal

InfamousBake1859 • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 04:22 AM

This is actually misogynistic.

Women providing sex, are women prostitutes. Sex should be for both parties. Both should "provide sex". Lol non sense.

But otherwise, yeah... men should learn to have a relationship with women,... not transactional for sex.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 04:26 AM

In a study of heterosexual encounters, only 50 to 70 percent of women reported having orgasms compared to 95 percent of men.

Statistics dont lie, evidently sex is better for men.

Hodgkins_Fun_Alt • 0 points • 15 August, 2022 05:14 AM

the most straightforward thing a man could have to offer is an orgasm superior to one from a vibrator. But a good 50%-70% aren't going to be able to give you one of those if you jump into bed with him, so what's the point

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:16 AM

No man could be better than a vibrator- its just not physically possible

AutoModerator[M] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 03:10 AM

Attention!

You can post off topic/jokes/puns as a comment to this Automoderator message.

For "CMV" and "Question for X" Threads: Parent comments that aren't from the target group will be removed, along with their child replies.

If you want to agree with OP instead of challenging their view or if the question is not targeted at you, post it as

an answer to this comment.

OP you can choose your own flair according to these guidelines., just press Flair under your post!

Thanks for your cooperation and enjoy the discussion!

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

CocoBabeNYC • 3 points • 15 August, 2022 03:14 AM

I agree with you 100%

KayRay1994 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 04:23 AM

soooo relationship = prostitution?

CanYouTieThemInABow1 points 15 August, 2022 05:14 AM **[recovered]**

This doesn't take into account having and raising children.

A woman either needs to send her children to insanely expensive daycare (and probably cry about it tbh if this starts at the typical American timeline of 6 to 12 weeks postpartum) or drop out of the workplace for a while until the kids are old enough for school. Having a good provider makes a huge difference. And kids do better with a stable two parent household.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 05:15 AM

If what you said was true then women wouldn't be single mothers as often. Im not sure if you know but a lot of men make hopeless fathers and in fact increase housework for the mother

Huellenthousiast • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 06:01 AM

Or just maybe relationships aren't only about money and sex at all

hari_hbp • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 06:05 AM

the one bargaining chip that men and women are different on is that men provided money and women provided sex. Men used to provide financially for the women completely

I thank the world this isn't the norm anymore.

Therefore, the modern day relationship in most cases is unequal because women are providing sex but men are not providing financially.

Women don't "provide sex" atleast, that's how normal men see it. The only way a relationship can be claimed unequal is the fact that women are the ones who get pregnant and are the majority users of birth control (even that would go away if there's a male birth control)

the only reason they would is if the guy is perfect and they really like him.

The only proper reason ever. Every other reason is stupid and harmful to both genders.

women can get sex, money, companionship, kids without even being in a relationship.

Agreed.

Most men cannot get frequent sex outside of a relationship unless they pay someone

Not true. Many men do have trouble finding a partner for sex/dating. The burden of the pursuer, accepting it is the only way out.

they pay someone so men have more of an incentive to date women.

No matter how desperate a man gets, he never should get into a relationship for just sex - insulting to himself and the woman. As for paying for sex, I personally see it just as insulting - no man should try to get with a woman who wouldn't be with him otherwise.

need to up their emotional intelligence and personalities in order for a women to want to date him in todays society. These should be developed for no other reason than his own mental health and happiness.

Some guys I've met just cant open emotionally or connect with someone on a deeper level

If that's what makes them happy, then I wouldn't stop them. If it doesn't, I hope they would change for their own sake.

kinhk • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 06:10 AM

You forgot to add protection to that bargaining list. Men don't need to open up their "emotional intelligence". The main problem guys have with getting women is social awkwardness and lack of game.

SkeletonMagi • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 06:37 AM

Vibrator tech must be as advanced as porn these days. Maybe men and women don't need each other for sex at all...

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:50 AM

Well I would be happy without sex....

kenshn1 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 07:18 AM

That's a shallow outlook. Both sexes should provide more than sex and money.

If a woman is only providing pussy she is a thot and will drop you as soon as a better option comes around or try and "open relationship" you into being a cuck.

If a man only provides money your only a sex object and he definitely has other ones.

Sex and money are important in a relationship like they shouldn't be slacking but those should be like 4th or 5th down the list of priorities.

In my opinion social media and a relative economic boom has just made people more shallow. Women just don't feel it as much yet because young women run those platforms, literally everyone likes young pretty women. But when their looks fade and they live with their 5 cats or as a single mother it's going to suck just as much as being a young broke unattractive guy.

Trishlikefish21 points 15 August, 2022 08:50 AM **[recovered]**

You missed the point of the post. Nice revenge fantasy though, most those women will actually get in happy relationships.

Mrs_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:30 AM

Be civil

henryXsami99 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:07 AM

I miss when relationships was about to give just because you can, not to expect something in return

You give to make yourself happy by making them happy, their happiness is yours, but when transactions enter the picture, it becomes disingenuous...

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:47 AM

Why would you be happy to give when nothings going to be given to you in return?

henryXsami99 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:57 AM

But you got something in return didn't you? You felt happy because they felt happy because of your gesture

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:59 AM

For little things yes. However things like pregnancy I find extremely unfair naturally on women and I feel like a guys got to be bringing a lot to the relationship to help level things out when it comes to something like that.

henryXsami99 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:01 AM

Again, is that enough to prevent to care about someone who you Love?

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:04 AM

You talk like someone who has never had their kindness taken for granted. You know a lot of women are kind and loving and do things like having a kids baby or supporting a man and then they cheat and leave her. In the real world people are not inherently good.

henryXsami99 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:14 AM

I guess that's Shortcoming of me

beleidigtewurst1 points 15 August, 2022 08:23 AM **[recovered]**

This means women do not have the incentive to get into relationships

Your jump from dating to relationships is formidable.

Let me tell you that "dating" means bang-bang.

Something quite different from "relationships" (essentially LTR)

People who state "men have little to offer to relationships" are, I guess, from that peculiar /r/FemaleDatingStrategy place.

Mrs_Drgree[M] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:31 AM

No circle jerking

beleidigtewurst1 points 15 August, 2022 09:34 AM **[recovered]**

No circle jerking

No circle jerking at all:

The primary litmus test the mods use to determine if content is circle jerking is Does this offer something beyond rhetoric?

Yes, the note that OP uses short term hookups and LTR interchangeably.

Mrs_Drgreef[M] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:36 AM

Doesn't matter, the OP was removed anyway.

insertcredit2 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:39 AM

Let's look at this another way.

Single men have it way way better than they used to. We no longer need a women to split the work load because the work load has been made easy with tech. we have roombas, dishwashers, washer dryers with anti crease function, online banking, all bills are done online, grocery's are delivered and things like gusto/hello fresh make cooking a breeze, we can work from home, amazon means we never need to go shopping, nothing is repaired any more it's cheaper to buy new, online gaming/social media/streaming services/porn/sex toys mean we're entertained and able to be social, travel costs a fraction of what it did in the 90s so we can go on holidays in a few clicks online.

A woman needs to bring more to the table now for things to be an improvement. So why should a guy bother committing to any women when her traditional role has become defunct like men's traditional role of bread winner has become defunct. All you really have to offer is "muh vagina" but all women have that.

[deleted] • 2 points • 15 August, 2022 08:44 AM

Its cancels out anyway because guys no longer do many chores to the same extent and women do not do cooking/cleaning.

So what's left to offer: money and sex

insertcredit2 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:54 AM

I'm not sure what you mean by this. If a man lives alone then he's doing everything. The reality is that doing everything used to be difficult so having a women to help you was a huge advantage. Now it's super easy to live alone, If anything living with girlfriends/my wife always felt like I had way more house work to do than I ever did living alone.

What do women offer a guy who lives on his own? Sex? well every women has a vagina so that's hardly special.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:55 AM

Oh so you get casual sex regularly?

insertcredit2 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:04 AM

I'm married but I never struggled when I was single.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:09 AM

Cool good for you, but lets be honest if you can get paid \$300 an hr to lay on your back its a pretty valuable thing. I always ask myself if a guy is worth it enough to have free sex with him when I could be getting paid.

insertcredit2 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:32 AM

Surly the difference there is men who you want to have sex with vs men you don't. I'm sure that there's no shortage of young men who could sell their assholes for a good amount of money but understand it's simply not worth it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:09 AM

Also you probably have no idea on modern sex and dating if you're married

insertcredit2 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:21 AM

Well I do a lot of reading about it and honestly I don't think I knew much about dating when I was dating I basically did OK because I'm very tall.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 08:56 AM

Being a sex worker is paid more than a doctor in my country, seems sex is valuable

johnnybayarea • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:01 AM

damn, what country do you live in?! That's some backwards shit.

[deleted] • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:01 AM

I thought that was common?

johnnybayarea • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:04 AM

I mean if you are talking about onlyfans sex workers...those are basically new age porn stars. When you say sex worker, I'm thinking the average street worker, stripper, rub/tug joint, etc.

They might make more than a doctor on a per hour basis, but that's not scalable into a 40hr/week, 401k, etc

insertcredit2 • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:06 AM

Maybe for women at the absolute top of their game but there's a Paetro distribution to sex work be it prostitutes, strippers or only fans. The vast majority of women are not worth paying for.

johnnybayarea • 1 point • 15 August, 2022 09:15 AM

Seems fair, if women have stepped up their game in earning potential, then it only stands that men must step up into the complete package in hopes to be a real partner. Even with that said, I think the 70c to a dollar quote still means something. While it doesn't mean that women are being unfairly paid for their work in the same positions, but rather they still regularly choose professions that pay less....so there is still a chance you can make more money than your potential partners.

Reality is that women provide the womb, not just sex. Your sex should trade equally with her sex. Sure she could easily get out there and get random dick, she still wants it...your dick just has to be better. It's really men v men; always thinking what is that guy bringing that I do not, can i get better or bring something different (hope)!