

# What are your thoughts on the blackpill?

October 7, 2022 | 4 upvotes | by [MasonSub4](#)

---

[/r/AllPillDebate/comments/xy8zz6/what\\_are\\_your\\_thoughts\\_on\\_the\\_blackpill/](/r/AllPillDebate/comments/xy8zz6/what_are_your_thoughts_on_the_blackpill/)

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

platinirisms • 6 points • 7 October, 2022 09:32 PM\*

100% true, any study that has focused on why dates succeed or why women choose a man always comes down to one major reason, their physical attractiveness.

Has anybody actually read any studies that state otherwise? That looks had little effect, or that personality trumped physical attractiveness in dating?

My own personal anecdotal experience perfectly fits into the Blackpill too, I've still yet to meet an ugly dude who pulls women, I've witnessed a "Chad" pull women by simply existing. I used to be invisible until after I got attractive. All evidence leads to the same conclusion.

RedditsOlderBrah • 2 points • 8 October, 2022 12:18 PM

the only studies i've seen where physical attractiveness has been observed as the dominant factor of attraction has been from OLD and speed dating tests. OLD is literally just photos of people. speed dating you have essentially no time for any other trait to create attraction.

if i went and got you evidence, what would it take to change your mind?

platinirisms • 2 points • 8 October, 2022 02:51 PM\*

There's studies on ~~1-hour long coffee dates~~, OLD, speed dating, women's preference for height & muscle, getting women and their mums to decide who she should date out of the profiles shown, simple studies on what men & women found attractive (for women, it was physical attractiveness and interest in sports), studies that show a correlation between a woman's happiness in relationship and their relationship height gap, there's even studies that show a correlation for a woman's willing to flirt with other men and break up with their partner just by measuring how much more attractive the woman is compared to the man.

I mean hell, you don't even need a study, there's sperm donors that refuse 5'7 men or shorter for the very simple fact that women who choose donors do not want short kids despite 5'7 being the global average height.

The only studies I've read that showed personality played a role is when people are already inside a long term relationships or married, there's no evidence to suggest it plays any role in the dating scene itself.

I'd need studies that show how physical attractiveness has little effect when it came to attracting and dating women.

I'd need studies that clearly shows how personality played a strong role in attracting and dating women, if there's any evidence for this please show me.

RedditsOlderBrah • 1 point • 8 October, 2022 03:08 PM

1 hour long coffee dates

this is still not a long time, but it's the only one in the list which isn't just objectively looks with nothing else to go on. so link away, i'd love to read it.

studies that show a correlation between a woman's happiness in relationship and their relationship height gap, there's even studies that show a correlation for a woman's willing to flirt with other men and break up with their partner just by measuring how much more attractive the

woman is compared to the man.

did you know studies show an almost exact correlation between US spending on science, space, and technology and the suicides by hanging, strangling, and suffocation?

there's no evidence to suggest it plays any role in the dating scene itself.

i think i've found your problem. i can find loads of data on things women find attractive. but you think they are all lying so literally nothing that doesn't confirm your belief will be accepted.

platinirisms • 1 point • 8 October, 2022 03:17 PM

This is still not a long time

This is basically every first date ever. You meet and chat at a coffee shop for a bit then decide if you want to end the date there or go and do something else like bowling or mini-golf or something.

science, technology, and strangulation

Lmao the ol' "Causation does not equal Correlation" comeback, nice.

I can find lots of data on things women find attractive

Then please, send them. I'm not stopping you. Preferably peer reviewed studies please. Not random comments on Reddit.

RedditsOlderBrah • 2 points • 8 October, 2022 03:30 PM

ah fuck it. you took too long. here's some evidence.

Income had a positive effect on romantic attraction only for those participants who were presented with males having socially desirable personality traits, suggesting that college women only consider men's income after personality criteria have been met.

platinirisms • 2 points • 8 October, 2022 04:04 PM

Spending ages looking for this study and I genuinely can't find it, I read it years ago, in the meantime here's some other links.

Unsolicited sexual advances were considered more disturbing and more discomforting when perpetrated by an unattractive opposite sex colleague than when perpetrated by an attractive opposite sex colleague

The more attractive a man was judged to be, the less likely women were to intend to use a condom during sex

A greater height difference in a couple was positively related to the wife's happiness (n = 7850)

And I can believe your study, women aren't really attracted to money as people seem to think they are. The status of your job is far more important than the salary of it.

RedditsOlderBrah • 1 point • 8 October, 2022 04:31 PM

The status of your job is far more important than the salary of it.

nope. from the same study:

Income and occupational status did not affect platonic attraction, and occupational status did not affect romantic attraction.

the status of your job does literally nothing.

Unsolicited sexual advances were considered more disturbing and more discomforting when perpetrated by an unattractive opposite sex colleague than when perpetrated by an attractive opposite sex colleague

you should read your own study. the scenarios were rated on a scale of 1 (harmless) to 6 (terrible) and women rated the scenario with the unattractive man on average 5.26 and with the attractive man 4.81. functionally identical. still perceived as very wrong.

i won't be reading your other studies because i'm offended that you didn't even read something that i now have.

RedditsOlderBrah • 1 point • 8 October, 2022 03:18 PM

you first. send me the 1 hour date.

Lmao the ol' "Causation does not equal Correlation" comeback, nice.

it's not a comeback, it's agreeing with you. unless you didn't mean to say correlation. or you said correlation but didn't want anyone to remind you of what correlation actually means.

De\_Carabas\_of\_Below • 1 point • 8 October, 2022 12:11 PM

I'd say money and status are major factors as well, but physical attractiveness is what generates the initial attention. Physical attractiveness though? That's made up of a LOT of things.

FWIW I used to know an ugly dude (and I mean: bucket-of-smashed-crabs ugly, with ginger hair, wonky teeth and eyes like two piss-holes in the snow) who would pull women all the time. Literally every night he went out. He wasn't in shape - although he wasn't skinny. He wasn't rich. Had dress sense that would make Jeremy Clarkson look like a runway model. Had no status to speak of. Wasn't particularly witty. Sounded like Sloth of Goonies fame when he spoke. Yes, really.

But was 6'7".

Once, I saw him see a petite, pretty girl he liked the look of on the dance floor. He walked over to her, looked down at her - she was like a deer in headlights - reached down behind her with one hand, *literally picked her up* with no words uttered, and walked out of the club. She seemed utterly entranced by the whole thing and was trying to get her tongue down his throat before he'd made it ten paces.

Now, bear in mind that those of us who are of average height and average looks - ie, the most numerous - would never in a million years have gotten away with that. We have to cultivate game, go to the gym, dress up nicely etc etc in order to catch female attention. Life is not easy.

Then, take a further step and imagine that you have been cursed by the genetic lottery. You are *below average* looks and height. Socially awkward. What have you got to do for any female attention at all?

It's the fact that these guys - the 1/2/3's - have zero hope of getting any poon, ever, that generates anger and resentment in them. That's how incels are born. That's where blackpill as we understand it now resides.

Oh, and the female equivalents? the 1/2/3's? They'd never have a problem getting sex. It's just not with *the man they want*. That adds to the incel resentment.

Holiday-Reach-8948 • 1 point • 8 October, 2022 07:01 PM

I've actually read where scent plays a part of attractiveness... would that count though in terms of what you're talking about it? When I say scent, I think they were referring to one's natural scent (vs cologne or perfume - though, I would imagine that could be a contributing factor as well).

For example, let's say you see a model on Insta but when you meet her in person, she smells like fish (the gross kind). Are you still interested? Regardless, I wonder if the same is true for women... let's say she sees a hot guy (insert place) but as she approaches him, his cologne is overwhelming or better yet, he has terrible BO. Thoughts?

SlapThatSillyWilly • 3 points • 11 October, 2022 09:26 AM

It's brutal but true.

SolidPuzzleheaded984 • 5 points • 7 October, 2022 10:20 PM

Blackpill is super accurate and truth.

It's only annoying due to the extremists.

But yes Blackpill has the most evidence and everyone knows looks matter the most.

SmarmyPapsmeas • 2 points • 7 October, 2022 08:46 PM

It has a lot of truths but also I hate fatalism so usually blackpillers annoy me

no\_bling\_just\_ding • 2 points • 7 October, 2022 09:57 PM

accurate yet overly pessimistic

FishTank341 points 7 October, 2022 09:18 PM [recovered]

It's over

MasonSub4[S] • 3 points • 8 October, 2022 12:23 PM

How can it be over if it never even began?

kokorwqac • 3 points • 8 October, 2022 07:34 PM

ER was a volcel

BlackPillPusher • 1 point • 7 October, 2022 09:34 PM

It's way different today from what it was originally meant to be, lots of nuance, ask me if you need any details

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 October, 2022 09:51 PM

It's hard determinism in action.

boomershack • 1 point • 7 October, 2022 11:51 PM

Oh boy

I'm racist against ugly people.

I love God and would do anything he says. But I really don't wanna be friends with ugly people.

Some of y'all are cool but I do not like most ugly people.

And ugly people don't like attractive people!

It's not a gendered thing.

MasonSub4[S] • 2 points • 8 October, 2022 12:26 PM

yeah ugly people are so rude and inconsiderate, they have absolutely no personality. Just don't be ugly bro, all good looking people are good people look at Jeremy meeks, Ted Bundy and Richard Ramirez these were good people. If you look like Danny Devito you deserve to end up in hell for being ugly.

In life you should always strive to be a good person that means don't be ugly.

Just don't be ugly bro.

boomershack • 1 point • 8 October, 2022 04:22 PM

Na, some of them are cool.

Wide-Illustrator2906 • 1 point • 8 October, 2022 05:36 AM

I think it's true in the sense that how physically attractive you are will ultimately determine your ability to attract partners but it's false in the sense that you can't improve your attractiveness by working out and changing your style.