

Tinder gender ratio issue

August 17, 2022 | 7 upvotes | by [kokorwqac](#)

<https://www.businessofapps.com/data/tinder-statistics/>

A lot of users point out to tinder as what the average female wants, however tinder userbase is made out of %75 men, doesn't that mean most women aren't using tinder period?

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

Comments

catniagara • 6 points • 17 August, 2022 11:05 AM

In my experience, yes. Tinder is billed as a space to get free easy sex and women aren't interested in that. Meanwhile sites like match.com have more female than male users and are more representative of the population overall. Suggesting that if men didn't want to deal with an environment where "plain janes are getting hot guys" (but more likely where hookers are scoping Johns, at least where I live) they would use an app that doesn't promise easy no strings attached sex to its users.

[deleted] • 4 points • 17 August, 2022 02:38 PM

Even on the more relationship minded dating apps looks reign supreme. It's the single handedly most important factor for both genders and men have to realise that women are simply more shallow.

kokorwqac[S] • -2 points • 17 August, 2022 02:46 PM

You say looks remain supreme but it's not rare to see average or ugly guys with girls on outside

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 August, 2022 03:03 PM

The exception is not the rule, and those guys are most likely not outright ugly, maybe below average, but not ugly.

kokorwqac[S] • -1 points • 17 August, 2022 03:04 PM

The point is they are not chads

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 August, 2022 03:09 PM

No shit, Chad isn't common. The thing is though even if the guy finds a relationship his partner is gonna shit test him a lot more. Dating is only for women and the top 25% of men lookwise.

Liberated_Asexual • 2 points • 17 August, 2022 07:56 PM

Holy fuck will you stop using the "look outside" argument. Looking outside makes you extremely susceptible to confirmation bias in either direction. Why not look at actual population wide findings and studies? Oh, because they destroy your preconceived notion of what you believe must be true?

<https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/basics/singlehood>

<https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/living-single/202008/half-all-single-people-just-do-n-t-want-relationship>

kokorwqac[S] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 08:00 PM

That link says half of single "people" (gender not specified, huh) are dropping out of dating pool altogether, which i'm aware of, but this means they don't want to date, not that they only like chads

Liberated_Asexual • 2 points • 17 August, 2022 08:54 PM

This literally doesn't even help your argument. Your argument is below average or average looking men can easily get into relationships, then there's data (not anecdotal

"lOoK oUtSiDe" bullshit) pointing out a significant percentage of people are single *but not looking for a date/relationship*.

spergomatic • 1 point • 20 August, 2022 08:00 AM

average and below guys with gfs is not an 'exception'. average and below guys with hot ones probably is though.

no_bling_just_ding • 2 points • 17 August, 2022 03:48 PM

"look outside" is a weak argument for several reasons:

- it inherently relies on survivorship bias ("inflation isn't that bad! i see regular people buying food at walmart!")

- it does not control for any factors

- it does not reveal the circumstances behind why john and jane are standing next to one another on the street nor the nature of their relation, nor the quality of said relation.

"look outside" can be used by people who want to claim racism isn't real, as well as people who want to claim that people get fatally shot anywhere just for being of the wrong skin color. it is not scientific, it is not statistical, it is not quantifiable. i believe assortative mating is common, but this is something that needs to be demonstrated with more than "ah saw it ah baleeved it"

kokorwqac[S] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 03:50 PM

But tinder isn't a good argument either

Also women never particularly simped for balding fat guys with acne, it's common sense and not something new though even those dudes fuck

no_bling_just_ding • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 03:54 PM

tinder isn't a good place to generalize, i don't doubt it. it self selects for mentally unstable, high sociosexuality, high time preference and impulsive people.

> Also women never particularly simped for balding fat guys with acne, it's common sense and not something new though even those dudes fuck

we both know that blackpillers don't claim they were expecting this. what they're telling you, in my opinion, is that **those bald guys don't fuck, and that they're surprised by how far women will go to simp at all as long as the guy has a very specific look they've noticed over and over.**

EnteFetz • 2 points • 17 August, 2022 02:48 PM

Honestly, as a man I have not heard about Match.com before and had no idea that there are more women than men. How is that possible? What platforms would you recommend to lonely men?

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 August, 2022 05:25 PM

None. Go out and meet women in social settings, have the courage to walk up and talk to them.

BitsAndBobs304 • 1 point • 18 August, 2022 06:47 AM

Match com is paid service for men, so..

kokorwqac[S] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 12:41 PM

I find it a flaw on blackpill discussions

alienamongnormies • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 04:49 PM*

The execs at Match Group have never promoted Tinder as a hook up app though. My sister is on there and she's looking for a LTR. Because Tinder is the most popular dating app in the world. Though personally I think my sister is more likely to find what she is looking for on Hinge or Bumble. POF is good too. But as a mostly free site, you're going to get some low-rent fuckboys on POF.

On Bumble as a cishet guy, you generally need to pay for spotlights (or super swipes if you are dead set on certain women) just to get your card noticed by women. So the guys on Bumble (and likely Hinge) are going to be more serious than the ones on POF. And premium membership helps on Bumble because it allows you to see who likes you before you swipe and you get other extras that are really useful (un-do accidental left swipes and super swipes, rematch, extends, no daily limit on likes, travel mode, etc.)

Personally as a guy I prefer POF and Grindr (CD/TS only, not into cismen). Because its free to message on those apps. While with Tinder/Bumble/Hinge/Badoo, you are at the mercy of the algorithm. Your card rarely gets shown to women unless you boost or super like. If your card doesn't get shown, you can't get matches. But there aren't a lot of women left on POF anymore in 2022. POF is on life support. It's a dying platform. I have paid memberships with Tinder, Bumble and Badoo. And while I did hook up with a CD off Bumble after sending her a super like, I feel like it's not really worth it to pay for these apps. I feel like it makes more sense as a guy to just keep messaging girls for free on POF and Grindr. So many other men are paying on Tinder/Bumble/Hinge/Badoo that you get lost in the shuffle anyways even if you do have a paid membership and buy boost and super like packs.

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 19 August, 2022 07:24 PM

easy no strings attached sex

An over-obsession with this is what is hurting us. We're ignoring the law of supply and demand to our own peril.

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 August, 2022 01:47 PM

You're right about the ratio. Though I wouldn't say it disproves anything.

Tinder is used to serve as an example of female hypergamy.

There's a common number thrown around, that 80% of women picked the top 20% of men.

Tinder is that on steroids. It's 95% of women picking the top 4-5% of men.

You're absolutely right that tinder doesn't represent the average women. It's generally agreed that women date **across** and up. Meaning, women on average have a tendency to date men in their league (which is what you're trying to point out, which is a fair point), and above their league (which is what the black pill like to complain about).

What you have to understand about the black pill perspective, is that they don't represent all men. They represent the bottom tier men. These men are so far down the hierarchy, even their own mothers don't love them. No offence to any incels reading this.

So here's the "truth". It doesn't matter if you tell bottom tier men that tinder only represents 10% of the female population. Because for bottom tier men, "warm approach", "cold approach", "online dating" and etc, they are rejected 100% of the time! To them, it's all the same. Women as a whole reject them for being bottom tier.

kokorwqac[S] • 2 points • 17 August, 2022 01:54 PM

Blackpill guys are often either autistic men or indian guys aiming for blonde white girls, rather than those who are actually horribly ugly or unusual looking.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 01:55 PM

You tell me, what percentage of men do you think fall into that category?

kokorwqac[S] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 01:58 PM

Maybe like %15 of them, truly deformed people are an unicorn and honestly most women are used to men not being pretty or easy to look at as them.

[deleted] • 0 points • 17 August, 2022 02:04 PM

15% is rather large. I wasn't expecting that.

Well, lets just use 15% as you suggested.

The male population in USA alone between the age 15 - 35, is roughly 59,600,000.

15% of that is 8,940,000 men.

How many of the roughly 9 million men do you see on incel forums?

kokorwqac[S] • 2 points • 17 August, 2022 02:13 PM

I honestly just made up a number lol, but my number is between those who post on forums, not among men overall

My point is that blackpilled dudes usually act in a way that would never blend in with the normies (autistic or act autistic) or are ethnic/indian dudes with white worship (as much as men complain about women being sexually racist, im seeing this trend slowly being reversed more)

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 02:20 PM

That's fine.

I think the actual number for true incels is roughly 1% of the population of dating men.

Incel forums or people who subscribe to incel ideology is not many if you look at it by population. Maybe 100,000 men?

It's the same with radical feminism. Like 5% of women fall into that category. Which doesn't sound like a lot, but population wise, that would mean a good 7 million USA women. And those 7 million tend to be the loudest on twitter complaining about male oppression. And people make the mistake of viewing twitter as a census for the population as a whole.

Anyways, I'm just trying to give you some perspective or a logical explanation about what you're seeing. The same argument you're making that not all women are tinderellas, is the same argument I'm using that not all men are incels.

kokorwqac[S] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 02:25 PM

Incels use the talking point of tinder being average woman desires and that only chads date or have sex, while ignoring my point, you can't pretend what i talk about isn't a common talking point among incels

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 02:38 PM

I've already explained it prior

Tinder is used to serve as an example of female hypergamy.

What you have to understand about the black pill perspective, is that they don't represent all men. They represent the bottom tier men. These men are so far down the hierarchy, even their own mothers don't love them. No offence to any incels reading this.

So here's the "truth". **It doesn't matter if you tell bottom tier men that tinder only represents 10% of the female population. Because for bottom tier men, "warm approach", "cold approach", "online dating" and etc, they are rejected 100% of the time! To them, it's all the same. Women as a whole reject them for being bottom tier.**

It's a convenient talking point that serves as a good example of female hypergamy. If you actually dig into black pill content, they use more examples outside of tinder.

It's like asking someone for an example of a failed communist country. And the average conservative will point at Venezuela as a good example. And then you have someone like YOU who comes along and say, communism isn't bad, you're just choosing an example that fits your narrative. Look at all the other communist states that are still functioning!

Why are you so upset that blackpillers use tinder as an example of female hypergamy? I'm not even an incel, but I can atleast understand that it's only an example to highlight a theory.

kokorwqac[S] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 02:45 PM

Because they use it to say that females only like chads, instead of accepting they themselves are simply autistic or whatever. They just simply get the wrong thing from it

alienamongnormies • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 05:33 PM*

Blackpill guys are often either autistic men

I wonder how big of a failo autism really is. I was diagnosed as a child and I have social anxiety disorder, generalized anxiety disorder and depression. And while I do struggle with the dating apps and no one in my social circle (family or friends) even think to introduce me to single available women, I'm not a virgin either. A couple weekends ago a femme passing crossdresser grabbed my hand and put it to her bare legs within 10 minutes of meeting her when she said that I was quiet and I told her I had social anxiety. And later on in our date, she *initiated* sexual contact by massaging me over my pants and then underneath my pants touching my bare dick driving her home on our date. I definitely coast on my handsome looks. Not to sound like a narcissist or anything. But if I didn't have a nice face, I'd probably be incel. And I've been with 9 ciswomen sexually. That was only my first and only sexual experience with what is essentially a male.

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 19 August, 2022 07:27 PM

Everything we don't like is autism. Basically autism is way over-diagnosed by the internet aka people who have no business at all using that word to describe someone.

alienamongnormies • 1 point • 20 August, 2022 06:37 PM

I was diagnosed with autism (I think PDD-NOS) by an actual psychiatrist when I was a child. But most people on the internet self-diagnose for sure. 100%.

spergomatic • 1 point • 20 August, 2022 08:20 AM*

well every single incel killer (Elliot Rodger, alek Minassian, Jake Davison) have been diagnosed autists.

in a poll 71.5% of a large incel forum reported being on the spectrum.

45% of autistic men reported being virgins in a study with an average age in the late 30s compared to 0 NTs. 40% or so had sex less than once a month compared to 3.5% of NTs. you can figure out the rest.

16% of autistic men were currently in relationships while 85% of NT men were.

there's more but I think that's enough to answer the question.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 06:42 PM

That's abelist and racist

kokorwqac[S] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 06:47 PM

1-I'm autistic myself, i know how it affects people

2-volcel if you only go for white girls

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 06:51 PM

1 that's understandable I suppose.

2- I am Indian and I only go for Indian girls

kokorwqac[S] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 06:53 PM

Sure, but a lot of those guys are white worshipping ethnics

litgas • 1 point • 18 August, 2022 10:38 AM

Or they had shitty experiences with women and continue to do so. They are not much different from the woman who says she constantly gets bad or that low quality men. Yet she's not blamed for it but pity for it.

kokorwqac[S] • 1 point • 18 August, 2022 11:09 AM

Don't care for femcels either.

litgas • 1 point • 18 August, 2022 10:36 AM

There's a common number thrown around, that 80% of women picked the top 20% of men.

Tinder is that on steroids. It's 95% of women picking the top 4-5% of men.

This is simple incel talk.

Because for bottom tier men, "warm approach", "cold approach", "online dating" and etc, they are rejected 100% of the time! To them, it's all the same. Women as a whole reject them for being bottom tier.

Are they not wrong? If you are bottom tier you are bottom tier. They may not be rejected 100% of the time, but if they are rejected vast majority of the time it might as well be 100%.

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 19 August, 2022 07:31 PM

This is simple incel talk.

Nope, it's the sheer male to female ratio that causes that. Tinder is has almost no equals in terms of how bad it is for men and it is its gender ratio that is the problem. Women in general do not pick only the top 4-5% of men.

litgas • 1 point • 20 August, 2022 08:20 AM

Yes it is incel talk. The ratio has nothing to do with this. We all know men out number women on Tinder. But you like others think the numbers posted is of active users on Tinder. At this point there are loads of non active profiles on Tinder with people coming and going at that.

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 20 August, 2022 09:03 AM

Okay, how about you prove the number of active users on Tinder and their gender ratio. People have actually had women pose as men on Tinder and seen the stark difference between the attention men get and the attention women get. Only a severely skewed ratio explains the shocking disparity that showed up.

If you're questioning the *known* ratio on Tinder then let's see your numbers.

litgas • 1 point • 20 August, 2022 10:03 AM

You don't seem to like using critical thinking and apply logic at that.

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 20 August, 2022 01:02 PM

Is that the best comeback you have? I ask you for numbers to back up your argument and all you can do is lash out like a two year old? Figures.

Liberated_Asexual • 2 points • 17 August, 2022 07:54 PM

Tinder isn't the only online dating space — even on apps where the gender ratios aren't as skewed — men struggle mightily, and we still see the 80/20 or similar distributions.

Guess where the 80/20 findings came from? It WAS NOT from Tinder.

kokorwqac[S] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 07:56 PM

The 80/20 rating on okcupid was women rating the pics, but they still messaged more men than %20

Still, i think this data is at least useful for those who struggle on tinder

Liberated_Asexual • 3 points • 17 August, 2022 08:50 PM

And guess what? On almost any apps anyone uses nowadays, you can't message someone unless you match with them. So this finding from OKC is irrelevant.

litgas • 1 point • 18 August, 2022 10:41 AM

Further so the data alone is very much dated because it was done when OLD was just becoming a thing. And people were still figuring out what worked and didn't. A lot of men back when the survey was done had poor pictures. As cellphone cameras sucked big time compared to current day cellphone cameras and taking pictures in the bathroom was common.

alienamongnormies • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 08:44 PM

but they still messaged more men than %20

Because women settle. Though if I was top 21% in the looks curve for men, I would be offended if my girlfriend thought I was below average in looks! It's ridiculous how women see 80% of men as below average in attractiveness. That is statistically impossible. Less than 50% of men are below average by definition.

spergomatic • 1 point • 20 August, 2022 10:25 AM

even if it's true (what is an example) all that matters is the outcomes at the end of the day and on tinder roughly an equal amount of both gender both meet and hook up through it despite the swipe and gender ratio

Antisocial_Nihilist • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 02:18 PM

Yes, that's one glaring flaw with the Blackpill talking points that come from Tinder.

Although hypergamy does exist, some in the Blackpill community make it seem like Tinder statistics translate directly to real life. When in reality, in any given city like 70-80% of Tinder users are men. So by those numbers alone, most of those men will be getting "axed" when trying to match.

Although there is some good information to be taken from Tinder, the data pool is flawed.

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 19 August, 2022 07:36 PM

Blackpill is too, well black and white. The reality is women will date men below the top 20%. Problem is these women aren't that happy with who they pick and her resentment will steer that relationship into rough seas very quickly.

Same is true for men who can't get over their dreams of fucking supermodels and feels he had to settle for Boring Jane. Eventually he's aviating that relationship right into turbulence or will crash it right into Cheat Mountain.

Antisocial_Nihilist • 1 point • 19 August, 2022 07:40 PM

A good solution I've been thinking up, is that we should push for a society where everyone strives to date their equals. So instead of women dating up, and men dating down, they both go for their equals.

That's about the closest thing I can think of in terms of reinstatement monogamy.

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 20 August, 2022 12:09 AM

To do that we need to take care of basic human greed, which knows no gender.

spergomatic • 1 point • 20 August, 2022 08:32 AM

how does that not exist already.. unless you were talking about socioeconomic status (even then I'm not sure if that's true anymore with how women are getting more educated). are you actually going to tell me most couples you see have a markedly better looking guy? that's not what I see in the slightest. if anything it's the reverse.

OurLoveIsInsane • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 02:31 PM

their talking points comes from THE INTERNET and not REALITY, so naturally they're full of shit as a starting point

.....
kokorwqac[S] • 1 point • 17 August, 2022 02:33 PM

I do think internet to a point IS becoming a part of reality however the data on tinder is very flawed with those stats lol

.....
MAGA-Latino • 1 point • 18 August, 2022 12:25 PM

That Means that the few matches that the average guy gets is probably just bots. They have to keep the men from just signing off in frustration.

.....
RatDontPanic • 1 point • 19 August, 2022 07:23 PM

Tinder's gender ratio problem is 100% of men's problems on there.