80/20

December 4, 2022 | 2 upvotes | by <u>puddingdesperation</u>

If 80/20 is trye, why aren't humans getting progressively more attractive, if anything they are uglier but 80/20 suggests only people with certain features will breed, in theory this would show itself as certain features being fixated in a population like how cat and dog breeds, and arguably even different ethnicities came to be, but we aren't seeing anymore beautiful women/girls or handsome men/boys than we did in 16th century

Archived from theredarchive.com

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 1 of 6

Comments

```
EnteFetz • 4 points • 4 December, 2022 12:03 PM
```

The problem is that attractive people usually use contraceptives.

Attractive people have it easier to have sex, but have no pressure to have children.

While unnatractive people fear to be alone again so they make babies with other unnatractive people.

Poor and unnatractive people who are able to get laid have more children than attractive people who have sex every day.

Chads with 3 digit body counts can have 0 children nowadays, but an ugly 2/10 dude can have 10 children with his obese girlfriend.

```
RatDontPanic • 1 point • 8 December, 2022 04:49 AM
```

Except that Beta Billy provider often needs to get a paternity test for his kids because she cheated with Chad and Beta Billy's kids aren't actually his.

Jihocech Honza • 3 points • 4 December, 2022 11:58 AM*

They are. There are studies about growing attractivness of women because of that.

```
[deleted] • 5 points • 4 December, 2022 12:46 PM
```

Also, gen z are on average much taller than the past generations.

```
puddingdesperation[S] • 0 points • 4 December, 2022 02:35 PM
```

Barely taller from milennials

puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 12:03 PM

i find female celebs from older eras prettier and more feminine

and even then, why would this make only females more attractive? attractive features are often attractive on both genders

```
Jihocech Honza • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 01:13 PM
```

female celebs from older eras prettier

Prettier, not more attractive.

They were prettier because of their confidence in femininity, because of hair styles and make ups that supported femininity (and not fighting patriarchy), because od strenght grounded in weakness.

```
puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 11:34 AM
```

Not better features either

[deleted] • 4 points • 4 December, 2022 12:45 PM

80/20 means given freedom and fiscal independence, women would rather share the top 20% of men, volunatarily. Women still depend on men for fiscal security in the nations with the highest birth rates meanwhile women who share chad in 1st world nations abort their children and use protection because kids are expensive.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 2 of 6

```
puddingdesperation[S] • 0 points • 4 December, 2022 01:00 PM
```

European girls or guys aren't getting hotter compared to past either if that's what you are getting at

```
[deleted] • 3 points • 4 December, 2022 01:09 PM
```

No... because those women, like I said, are aborting chad's kids in their youths and are settling for Billy betabux and reproucing with him at 35. At least that was the norm for the most part. Do you get what I'm saying?

```
puddingdesperation[S] • -1 points • 4 December, 2022 01:57 PM
```

Betabux isn't common in richer countries anymore, most young women from european and northeast asian countries are honest about choosing for looks now

```
[deleted] • 2 points • 4 December, 2022 02:36 PM
```

So? Doesn't mean they won't either have to settle or accept pump and dump eternally. A lot choose betabux and a lot choose to be pumped and dumped forever. The ones who choose pump and dump are not going to obviously have kids because it's expensive

```
puddingdesperation[S] • 0 points • 4 December, 2022 03:37 PM or maybe 80/20 is cope
```

no_bling_just_ding • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 10:07 AM

genetic recombination + near-zero selection pressure on females

```
puddingdesperation[S] • -2 points • 4 December, 2022 10:07 AM
```

Cope my dad was obese nosecel post wall subhuman

```
no_bling_just_ding • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 10:08 AM yes, that is one individual
```

my mother is obese nosecel postwall cluster B personality disorder soup subhuman and my father mogs the shit out of her

```
puddingdesperation[S] • -1 points • 4 December, 2022 10:10 AM
```

Most i see are subhuman men with average woman

Attractive men get together with attractive women, though attractive women also go for betabux

```
no bling just ding • 3 points • 4 December, 2022 10:18 AM
```

(2) you calling them subhuman might very well be a confirmation of 80/20 on account of your lack of Y chromosomes

i might buy this if i had some objective measure of how attractive the men you're discussing were and if they were really the majority you've seen or only the majority that stuck to your mind

```
puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 10:32 AM
```

U'm some autistic subhuman femcel on reddit myself i barely have a gender i'm not a normal girl

Also i literally live in Turkey if a guy is good looking here hes probably gay

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 3 of 6

no_bling_just_ding • 2 points • 4 December, 2022 10:11 AM

well you overrate women and underrate men

puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 10:12 AM

I think most women are also not pretty or any orettier than they were years ago

AutoModerator[M] • 0 points • 4 December, 2022 09:57 AM

your post has been flaired as kokopost because you are /u/kokorwqac

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

```
debatelord_1 • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 02:34 PM
```

Genetics are only one part of the equation. Humans are eating more and more processed foods with not enough protein and micronutrients and too much fat/sugar/salt etc. Hence everyone gets more fat and ugly.

```
puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 03:38 PM
```

i'm not talking merely about fatness, skinny or thin people don't particularly look prettier/hotter either.

also, fatness can also be genetic, some people might eat far more than most do, but end up with an underweight bmi

```
debatelord 1 • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 03:58 PM
```

Worse foods and not enough micronutrients will make ugly humans. All these chincels with a recessed jaw line have it because they didn't develop correctly in the womb.

```
moresleepy1 • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 10:51 AM
```

your wrong people were way uglier than they are now. fucked up rotten teeth fucked up skin way less attractive people in their 30s than now.

noonereadsthisstuff • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 05:16 PM

Who's to say we aren't? Were you around in the 15th century?

We know that people are getting taller with every generation so that must tell you things are changing.

```
puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 05:30 PM
```

tall alone=/=attractive, and even that can be explained by famine not being a factor anyymore.

```
noonereadsthisstuff • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 05:32 PM
```

But it does signify that people are changing, and famine hasn't been a problem in parts of the world for hundreds of years but people have still been getting taller.

```
puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 05:38 PM
```

zoomers aren't necessarily taller than milennials, and many parts of the world have been getting better economically still. (such as, china or india)

HateSpeechFanBoy • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 09:31 PM

Evolution takes hundreds of thousands of years to millions of years for there to be any noticeable change

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 4 of 6

puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 09:40 PM

selective breeding isn't neccessaily evolution, for example dog breeds were made in a far shorter time than that

HateSpeechFanBoy • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 10:35 PM

Humans are not selectively breeding, after the Nazis that is seen as morally reprehensible. Dogs, horses and other domestic animals are very specifically selected and their lifetimes are a lot less so there are a lot more generations. Nothing like that happens in homo sapiens

```
puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 06:04 AM
```

If women only bred with men that had certain features then it would be selective breeding technically.

HateSpeechFanBoy • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 06:46 AM

Thankfully in most of the world and for a lot of history, females had babies with who they were told to. As a result there is no selective breeding and there never will be inshallah

puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 06:48 AM*

Depends on the women, i'd say richer women, especially european ones had more choice, do they look better now than they did years ago? Not really

HateSpeechFanBoy • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 06:54 AM

Richer European females were married off strategically to form alliances and keep more land.

puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 06:55 AM

There's also the fact that meaning of beauty changed so much, this was found beautiful in iran:

https://www.reddit.com/r/pics/comments/zce0ah/colorized_photo_of_her_highness_the_princess_of/?utm_source=share&utm_medium=android_app&utm_name=androidcss&utm_term=1&utm_content=share_button

HateSpeechFanBoy • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 07:00 AM

Lol no, they only said she was beautiful cause she is a royal?

puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 07:06 AM

Cope mena girls are often hairier than normal because their men found stupid shit like facial hair beautiful.

SmarmyPapsmears • 1 point • 4 December, 2022 11:19 PM

What kind of data do you have on this? By all scientific studies, men are taller than prior generations and women have more neotenous features. So I would argue each generation does become more attractive.

```
puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 11:03 AM
```

Tallness doesn't mean more attractive necessarily if the guy looks soy., and neoteny isn't a big part of european beauty standards until very recently, though i think nowadays females have more androgynous or

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 5 of 6

masculine features despite the neoteny.

```
[deleted] • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 12:14 PM

yeah, i'd rather date a 5'6 guy i consider sexually confident in his masculinity and knows his role as a man than a 6'2 soyboy is too lazy to go after what he wants since he prefers watchin porn all day.

just looks alone, a 7/10 5'6 guy over a 4/10 6'2 guy >>>>>

SmarmyPapsmears • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 12:59 PM

Have you ever seen a picture from 100 years ago?

puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 5 December, 2022 01:08 PM

yes
```

FortniteAbobus • 1 point • 8 December, 2022 11:18 AM

They do. Average height is increased.

```
puddingdesperation[S] • 1 point • 8 December, 2022 11:19 AM
height doesn't mean much if the average guy looks more "soy"
plus hegiht could easily be explained by socio-economic factors and nutrition
```

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 6 of 6