

Men need patriarchy

December 19, 2022 | 5 upvotes | by [Gomdothefall](#)

All the hemming and hawing and panicking about muh lost and unproductive men, it's all quite simply why it's happening:

Men need to be shepherded to their place at the head of the table of their families, and in the absence of that, what you're seeing is what you'll get more and more of

It is what it is

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

debatelord_1 • 3 points • 19 December, 2022 09:16 PM

Just because you have a patriarchy and a woman is forced to be with you to get by doesn't mean she will genuinely love you. She'll merely tolerate you for the benefits you provide.

If you're fine with that then you can just go to an escort today and get the same thing essentially.

Gomdothefall[S] • 7 points • 19 December, 2022 09:20 PM

the same thing essentially.

What marriage offers today is the worst of both worlds

Not genuine, still expensive, yet not even permanent

rurunoa • 2 points • 19 December, 2022 10:24 PM

then dont marry

Gomdothefall[S] • 3 points • 19 December, 2022 10:38 PM

Duh lol

HateSpeechFanBoy • -1 points • 19 December, 2022 11:05 PM

It's not the same as an escort. If a female is with you, she can grow to like you

TaxNegative161 • 2 points • 20 December, 2022 05:12 AM

Yes, HateSpeechFanBoy, you definitely sound like someone who only gets more likeable the more people get to know the real you.

HateSpeechFanBoy • 3 points • 20 December, 2022 05:14 AM

I'm not talking about myself. The fact that you tried to attack me means you have no argument

TaxNegative161 • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 05:17 AM

Oh I was agreeing with you. I definitely become more attractive to women the more I get to know them.

HateSpeechFanBoy • 2 points • 20 December, 2022 05:17 AM

I really doubt it, you seem like an insufferable asshole

TaxNegative161 • 0 points • 20 December, 2022 05:25 AM

It's actually good to have someone who proudly stans hate speech to proclaim you an asshole, dude. If you really wanted to own me you'd follow me around telling me how much I ruled.

HateSpeechFanBoy • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 05:26 AM

Hate speech is free speech. Anyone who is against free speech is an authoritarian and in my view an asshole. I don't care about "owning you" lmao, you are a pathetic Reddit soy triggered by "hate speech"

TheProkopton • 0 points • 20 December, 2022 08:56 AM*

He didn't say that hate speech should be illegal, just that those who do hate speech should morally speaking be rightfully called assholes.

HateSpeechFanBoy • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 08:58 AM

He didn't say that and "hate speech" doesn't exist. It's something soys made up

TaxNegative161 • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 05:28 AM

Why thank you, hate speech enthusiast, it really is good to know that I don't live up to any of the values you consider important!

HateSpeechFanBoy • 4 points • 20 December, 2022 05:36 AM

Lol dude, you are the one who started replying to me. I honestly couldn't care less what values you espouse.

Anti_Thing • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 05:37 PM

Under patriarchy, women aren't necessarily "forced" into a certain lifestyle anymore than women are under today's feminism.

RatDontPanic • 3 points • 19 December, 2022 08:46 PM

Patriarchy, just like feminism, leaves men as disposable cogs. Fuck that, I don't want male disposability, not even when they hand me chocolates to placate me.

no_bling_just_ding • 2 points • 29 December, 2022 01:45 PM

wise

Gomdothefall[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2022 09:20 PM

What do you want

RatDontPanic • 2 points • 19 December, 2022 09:25 PM

I want men to not be disposable.

Gomdothefall[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2022 09:52 PM

What would that look like

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 19 December, 2022 10:17 PM

It would look like egalitarianism - treating everyone equally, by the same moral standards. Which would negate the usefulness of a patriarchy or matriarchy.

Gomdothefall[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2022 10:40 PM

Truly impossible without castration or some other crazy hormonal/psychological intervention

Men win or women win

you're either at the table or you're on the menu

RatDontPanic • 3 points • 20 December, 2022 12:04 AM

It happens just fine in my household and if it works here it works in many other places

too. You think too much in black and white.

Gomdofthefall[S] • 2 points • 20 December, 2022 12:51 AM

Something can work for some individual outliers and/or freaks without being sufficiently broadly replicable to be the glue for an entire society

RatDontPanic • 2 points • 20 December, 2022 01:11 AM

Funny, that works both ways.

Gomdofthefall[S] • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 01:17 AM

Patriarchy has demonstrated itself as a social glue across time and space

Has whatever alternative lifestyle you're peddling so done

Anti_Thing • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 05:39 PM

Men & women can both win under patriarchy.

Anti_Thing • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 05:39 PM

That's literally just feminist lol

RatDontPanic • 2 points • 20 December, 2022 07:14 PM

Feminism isn't egalitarianism. Look at the Duluth Model and its *literal* trivialization of violence against men by women. It was written by feminists and is the de facto law of the land.

Anti_Thing • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 05:38 PM

If you don't believe in patriarchy then you're a feminist. MRA/redpill/manosphere types are largely just male feminists, every bit as whiny & simple-minded as their female counterparts.

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 07:09 PM

Nah I'm an egalitarian. I don't subscribe to either extremist ideology. My gender right or wrong is not my way.

Anti_Thing • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 07:18 PM

That's called being a consistent, old-school feminist. Patriarchy isn't an ideology by itself.

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 08:11 PM

That is the kind of feminist I can respect even if you say potato and I say potahto.

Eyesofmalice • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 02:43 AM

This is a huge cope.

Thinking average and below average men weren't used as literally chimney cleaners before, you just want to delude yourself into thinking that if you had been born in other time in history you wouldn't be bottom of the barrel.

The biggest scam men fall into nowadays is thinking that the patriarchy means equal privileges for all men. It was under the patriarchy when men were sent to the Middle East to die while rich boys slept with their wives.

Men had supremacy over their women. I mean we can cherry-pick patriarchies sure, how about 1950, America, list me the downsides oh enlightened sage of wisdom and wit

Eyesofmalice • 2 points • 20 December, 2022 11:50 AM

Men still have the supremacy over women, the 80%\20% shows that,.

Besides ideologizing a time when hitting women was commonplace and racism was even worse shows that at best you're being oblivious and at worst you just want to beat women and say the n word.

What feminism did can't be undone, feminism simply showed men that women simply didn't like us enough, and that if it weren't for violence, they simply wouldn't give the time of day to 80% of males. Thinking that we can't go back to a more conservative time will destroy that realization is a joke. Back then your mom and my mom only tolerates our dads because of the fear of physical violence and destitution, but that isn't love.

Which is another tough reality that we must face, love is only possible for 20% of people. Back then people weren't more in love, a staple of that time were jokes about how much men and women secretly hated their spouse, or cheated all the time. At least now you have the knowledge to avoid being a cuck. Face reality dude, take the black pill. It's over, it has always been.

If you want proof just read the odyssey, one of the earliest texts we had, and see for yourself what was the fate of the average man in the most ruthless time of the patriarchy.

Anti_Thing • 0 points • 20 December, 2022 05:49 PM

What feminism did can't be undone, feminism simply showed men that women simply didn't like us enough, and that if it weren't for violence, they simply wouldn't give the time of day to 80% of males. Thinking that we can't go back to a more conservative time will destroy that realization is a joke. Back then your mom and my mom only tolerates our dads because of the fear of physical violence and destitution, but that isn't love.

Outright violence isn't necessary, only social control. In my social circles (moderately conservative Evangelicals) physical violence will get you shunned & reported to the police, & most women have college degrees & careers, & yet average women settle for average men. People have loved each other throughout history across all cultures.

Eyesofmalice • 2 points • 20 December, 2022 11:32 PM

Outright violence is necessary, emotional control and the restriction of a gender are forms of violence, and ultimately they need to be reinforced either through direct or indirect violence. Besides is ludicrous to think that an evangelical Christian could even fathom to have love be controlled socially when love is supposed to be a gift from God. Saying that we need to force people into loving one another is paganism, since it is tempting God btw.

You can read Kierkegaard if you ever feel like it for more on that. Anyway college degrees and careers aren't a marker of critical thinking in today's world anyway, never has been I'd argue, and Lukacs would agree with me on that.

Again settling I fine, but deluding yourself into thinking that through control you can get love is just blasphemous. If you're really a Christian you should be ashamed of yourself for spreading such downright pagan worldview.

Anti_Thing • 1 point • 21 December, 2022 12:57 AM

ALL societies exert control, ESPECIALLY modern feminist society in how it pressures women into idolizing formal education & masculine careers above all else. There's nothing violent about a properly run church. You seem to have an extremely broad view of violence that includes literally all form of social organization. According to the Bible, love is a duty. The Bible sets out a system of social control that the church must use, & on top of that there's the informal social control that all groups of people have, including your own family & your own social circles. The Bible demands rigorous controls on sexuality.

I never claimed that college degrees or careers are markers of critical thinking, in fact I think they're overrated. I was merely pushing back against the notion that devoutly religious women tend to settle for their looksmatch & statusmatch simply because they're poor, stupid, & risk physical violence for not doing so. The fact is that average women are capable of choosing average men & loving them for the rest of their lives, all of their own free will. No violence is necessary for this, only socialization into the right values as part of a supportive but disciplined community.

Through Christian control, people are obligated to love one another. "Getting love" through control is a crude way of looking at it. We simply have strict values, though if course we're still normal people who want sex & children. I've seen with my own eyes that this results in over 80% of women marrying fairly average guys & loving them deeply. Your 20/80 observation may be true for modern mainstream Western society (I'm not sure it is but I'm willing to accept that for now for argument's sake), but in my experience it just doesn't apply to the small minority of very devout religious people, & based on my experiences & studies I don't think it necessarily applies to traditional/tribal societies either, though of course different tribes have vastly different traditions around sexuality.

Eyesofmalice • 1 point • 21 December, 2022 04:03 AM

I agree that all societies except control, and I believe modern women are in certain ways very privileged, you won't be able to strip that privilege without violence. If you are able to strip it in a non violent way, the the privilege originally laid on your side. For example, I can't just decide that a rich person is going to give me their money and that's that, for that I have to exert violence in order to destroy privilege. Women came to acquire some privileges merely accidentally simply because capitalism needed for social relations to dilute in order to effectively have moving capital that reign above traditional structures. Marx famously says "in capitalism all that's solid evaporates into air" The patriarchy didn't survive capitalism in the state in which it had reigned for centuries, nor is current feminism the same feminism we saw in for example the Soviet Union, right now privilege is championed by a white rich elite who can desecrate all forms of social structures in order to increase their capital.

If you want to establish a Christian society you necessarily need to dismantle capitalism, and that can't be done through non violent means. If it were possible then Jesus would have fallen to the temptations, it is implied that the world and nature are in such a state of sin that it's impossible to achieve the kingdom of god on earth.

Being capable of something doesn't mean for that thing to be good, people is capable to live happily while being functioning alcohólica and raging mysoginists, so the argument for happiness is pure propaganda in not buying. We shouldn't strive for happiness, we should strive for truth and let happiness be a byproduct. If we assume women have enough power to let themselves be influenced, then the people who's doing the influencing are the

people in power, if they're the ones influencing themselves then only themselves can achieve their redemption. Because it would be ludicrous to think that they have to be forced to make you happy and then hope that they find happiness in the process, they have to choose that freely and voluntarily, and have to do it while having a historical disadvantage. You sometimes sound as if women weren't beaten on the regular only forty years ago, it's a very postmodern mindset though, it's thinking that the movement of technological and spiritual progress is followed by historical progress, but as Hegel notes, sometimes the spirit doesn't follow historical development.

And also why is people so enamored with pre modern societies anyway? You know that those traditional Americans from the fifties were in favor of segregation, right? An alarming amount were fine with torturing Japanese people to death, we're only a few years removed from supposedly rational and Christian leaders being ok with bombing a country back to the stone age. I work with hospitals as a translator for example, the amount of women and kids who are charged by Christian hospitals exorbitant amounts of money for healthcare and who live in squalor is staggering, so don't come here pretending as if people in the fifties were these mythic quasy classical era heroes who had achieved societal perfection, they hadn't.

Go watch an interview or a reality show from the time, and face the horror of a people who were as ignorant, violent and authoritarian as we are. Hell, weren't there persecution and spying in the fifties for the sole mention of being a communist? Weren't the 50s kid the ones who dropped napalm on civilians in Vietnam??? And you're telling me those are the moral champions we should follow? Get a fucking grip.

Anti_Thing • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 05:43 PM

I'm already at the bottom of the barrel, as far as mainstream middle-class society around me is concerned. If I was born in another time I would likely already have a wife & kids.

Patriarchy is a big umbrella. We can't go back to the past but we can't keep continuing along the road of increasingly extreme modern feminism, either.

Eyesofmalice • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 11:32 PM

If you were bottom of the barrel in other times you wouldn't have a wife, you'd probably just be tortured to death or starved by some bored noble.

MicrosoftOSX • 2 points • 20 December, 2022 07:09 AM

Women need patriarchy more than men.

[deleted] • 3 points • 19 December, 2022 06:22 PM

The US stopped being a nation where the average man held a strong stake in society by virtue of being American the moment they took global corporations as their moral arbiters instead of the Bible.

TsugaC1 points 20 December, 2022 12:44 AM **[recovered]**

Always fascinating to watch Americans pretend The Bible didn't originate in the Middle East with stories of and about brown people.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 December, 2022 12:52 AM

Christianity isn't a religion for white people. No one ever said it was either. It's a religion for everyone

TsugaC1 points 20 December, 2022 12:57 AM [recovered]

Interesting take, considering the country's founders massacred the natives and established the USA in an attempt to escape Christian rule.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 December, 2022 01:03 AM

And what made the US a functioning nation with an actual civilization was the historic ruling European population and Christian values. This country would never have had a civilization if it pandered to the things lefties do today.

TsugaC1 points 20 December, 2022 01:08 AM [recovered]

No, what made the US a functioning nation was exterminating millions of natives, raping their children, stealing their land, kidnapping slaves, raping *their* children, building an economy entirely on slave labor.

One of the most immoral civilizations in world history. The atrocities committed by the Europeans is unmatched by any other country at the time.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 01:14 AM

Ahh, mention all the bad things but not the good, as usual. You should be grateful, you wouldn't be here with all the privileges you have today if it weren't for all the traditional values that was the backbone of the USA

TsugaC1 points 20 December, 2022 01:15 AM [recovered]

A lot of people wouldn't be here if the US weren't one of the last western counties to free slaves. And some of your family wouldn't be here if your great great grandfathers weren't fucking black children *they owned*.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 December, 2022 01:24 AM

What exactly is your point? Do you want white Americans to support ideologies that don't benefit them at all? Give up their heritage and denounce their identity and traditions over guilt? Slavery has existed all over the world, not just the USA and Europe

TsugaC1 points 20 December, 2022 01:28 AM [recovered]

I want Christians to read a history book and also stop using the most ridiculous of all the logical fallacies because the Golden Age fallacy is so laughably easy to disprove. This country was founded by immoral monsters and maintained by immoral monsters until well into the 20th century.

Anti_Thing • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 05:53 PM

The atrocities committed by the Europeans is unmatched by any other country at the time.

Absolutely false. The Chinese, Middle Easterners, indeed native Americans themselves were comparable when it came to atrocities.

Anti_Thing • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 05:51 PM

The founders who wrote America's constitution were generally Christians, or at least grew up Christian & accepted many Christian values. If you're referring to the earlier Pilgrims, they literally set up a Christian theocracy.

Anti_Thing • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 05:50 PM

I don't see anybody here pretending that, in fact hardly any Americans do.

TsugaC1 points 20 December, 2022 06:29 PM [recovered]

It may be hard to see it if you are a subscriber, but the peculiar hybrid between American Exceptionalism and White Christianity is a cult in and of itself. They've re-written both American history and Christian history to support their political values.

Anti_Thing • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 10:43 PM

I'm not American so I can't comment much on that. White Christians tend to be more liberal. American conservatives' often bizarre attitudes towards their founding fathers & constitution exists quite independently of Christianity; there just happens to be large overlap between them because conservatives are more likely to be religious.

OberOst • 2 points • 19 December, 2022 09:54 PM

We do live in the patriarchy. Men make up the majority of leaders and decision makers. The patriarchy has never went away. It's the (futile) attempt to upturn patriarchy that has damaged men.

Gomdofthefall[S] • 0 points • 19 December, 2022 10:08 PM

Ok, needs to be patriarchy-er, 1950 style

HateSpeechFanBoy • 0 points • 19 December, 2022 11:04 PM

No we don't. Females are not allowed to be criticised, females are prioritised for everything. That is not "patriarchy"

Odd-Luck7658 • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 12:14 AM

Nonsense. Strong men don't need to be shepherded anywhere.

Gomdofthefall[S] • 0 points • 20 December, 2022 12:50 AM

It was for all men by virtue of being men, that they were the heads of their households.

FortniteAbobus • 1 point • 19 December, 2022 09:56 PM

At least we know the result and it's ok. Sure it can be improved.

Gomdofthefall[S] • 0 points • 19 December, 2022 10:08 PM

What do you mean

FortniteAbobus • 2 points • 19 December, 2022 10:18 PM

I mean that patriarchy works. With flaws, but it's ok.

rurunoa • 1 point • 19 December, 2022 10:20 PM*

and women need financial freedom. nobody is stopping you from living the trad life btw

Gomdothefall[S] • 1 point • 19 December, 2022 10:39 PM

Needs everyone to be involved to work like Obamacare

rurunoa • 1 point • 22 December, 2022 10:26 PM

good

Glad-Discount-4761 • 1 point • 20 December, 2022 02:27 AM*

World just need patriarchy and women in her 20s. That's it. Rest should die or accept it lol