

How will society change in reaction to more and more women becoming single mothers by choice?

January 25, 2023 | 4 upvotes | by [Rowanisnotagirlsname](#)

Crosspost "How will society change in reaction to more and more women becoming single mothers by choice?" from /r/PurplePillDebate:

Hi all.

I believe that single motherhood by choice (via IVF) is becoming more and more popular. Before anyone goes all reddit on me demanding studies and hard data, the raw numbers have been hard to find, but are the trend is included in journalist articles. I have included a link to one here :

<https://www.bodyandsoul.com.au/lifestyle/single-and-thinking-about-having-a-baby-heres-why-some-women-are-going-it-alone/news-story/5f91d3d45b82ef166798b97d06d0cd25>

In this article, the doctor states : “The fact is that the families that result from single women or two women building a family together are every bit as secure as any other family. When it comes to their development, children born to a single parent are “just as healthy and as well adjusted as any other kids.“It’s very clear that the different family types produce the same outcomes for the children.”

This may be true, or may be up for debate. Personally, I’m inclined to believe that children need a mother and a father (no, to respond to the question I’ll inevitably get : I do not believe that this is always the case, I’m aware that some parents abuse their children blah bah).

How is this going to affect society? Men have been forced to deal with the fact that it’s unlikely they’ll get to marry a virgin woman like their grandfather did. Men need to come to grips with the fact that a 25 year old woman *has* fooled around, experimented sexually etc. But now there’s the caveat that the woman they want to date has a child, that as a tax payer, he has paid for. With enough financial support (such as maternity leave, free IVF, low cost child care) how many women will take up this offer? With dropping fertility rates, will it become standard to “get the first kid out of the way” when you’re young (say 21) and then start looking for a man to marry when you’re 30?

How will men feel about working all day, paying less tax to provide freebies for women can afford to have children by themselves? Will this result in a political backlash like South Korea recently saw?

Posted by Rowanisnotagirlsname | 25 January 2023 | [Link](#)

Archived from [theredarchive.com](https://www.theredarchive.com)

Comments

Hufferthepuffer • 6 points • 25 January, 2023 04:38 AM

It's pretty simple but I'm not allowed to say it here.

Antisocial_Nihilist • 3 points • 25 January, 2023 05:02 AM

Give us the implication then.

Glad-Discount-4761 • 5 points • 25 January, 2023 04:59 AM

This is crazy answer

Honestly I would be glad because that would lead to men resenting and government spending their money. In result, men will avoid dating or starting family which lead to less married moms. Less kids lead to less population.

Then boom, world end!

I will support this. I will get downvotes but I will enjoy all these drama then die lol

Hyena_Utopia • 2 points • 25 January, 2023 08:47 AM

Lmao good answer

World wont end but society may very well collapse

Glad-Discount-4761 • 3 points • 25 January, 2023 08:55 AM

World wont end



society may very well collapse

Good thing that I am old now

hutavan • 3 points • 25 January, 2023 04:48 PM

Not even that tbh because many demographics are pumping out kids like crazy and those immigrants would fill in the spaces. Probably not collapse, but a restructuring maybe.

IHateFemales • 6 points • 25 January, 2023 04:49 AM

As a tax payer I do not want my hard earned wages going to single mothers. Inshallah, more men in the future have the same view as me and a politician seizes on this so it can be implemented. The cutting off funding from the government will help curb single mothers.

I also do not want my tax money going to abortions, any medical procedures involving contraception or free tampons and stuff like that but that is a different conversation

Antisocial_Nihilist • 5 points • 25 January, 2023 04:58 AM

I've been saying this for a while now.

Single men should get to opt out of paying taxes for social services which *only* benefit women (and vice versa). After all, they don't owe us anything. So we shouldn't owe them anything either.

IHateFemales • 4 points • 25 January, 2023 05:07 AM

The government wouldn't have many services that only benefit men lmao. I certainly can't think of any

zastale • 5 points • 25 January, 2023 05:11 AM

True.

TsugaC1 points 25 January, 2023 02:25 PM [recovered]

Do both of you believe that all children born to women are girls? What about the boy children?

Do you both hate boys and men as well as their mothers?

Please defend denying benefits to children, half of whom are male, and 100% are created by men.

zastale • 1 point • 25 January, 2023 03:53 PM

Why would it matter if it's a boy/girl? We literally do not care. We're subsidizing degeneracy and bad decision making.

"Nooo! You have to support future criminals!!" This is what you sound like.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 January, 2023 03:57 PM

Because supposedly men care about how men are raised.

You don't?

Shocking

zastale • 0 points • 25 January, 2023 03:58 PM

What good has it done so far? Obviously it's not working.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 January, 2023 04:00 PM

Keep saying it. Elaborate, even.

Men don't give a shit about other men, and they don't care at all about how men are raised. They only care about validation from women, and punching the competition down is how conservative men feel superior.

Even when the "competition" is their own male children.

zastale • 1 point • 25 January, 2023 04:10 PM

If you repeatedly try something and the result doesn't change, it's foolish to keep doing so.

This is like incentivizing women to divorce men, and being surprised when the divorce rate skyrockets. Who saw that coming?

Make infidelity illegal, push for commitment before sex (doesn't have to be marriage), remove abortion since it's a cop out (keep it for people who actually need it); these are just a few things that should happen culturally if we want to improve things. Right now, there is every incentive to sleep around and perpetuate this disgusting system, which is by design.

The government loves broken homes. They couldn't care less; daddy government is here to help the women.

Antisocial_Nihilist • 4 points • 25 January, 2023 05:16 AM

Yeah, I know lol.

I can't think of any government welfare services which specifically cater to men.

The only way OP's scenario would work out is if the government took over the roll of beta-daddies. Men going on a tax strike would render this option invalid for a lot of women.

I'm not saying for EVERY woman, there's a lot of single mom's out there who can pull thier own weight and raise kids 100% on thier own, and good for them. I'm just making the point that the government taking over the role of a father/breadwinner in women's lives is contributing to our skyrocketing rates of divorce and children raised by single moms.

Based_Orthodox • 2 points • 27 January, 2023 01:46 PM

I can't think of any government welfare services which specifically cater to men.

There aren't, but women still try their best to chip away at the services that men get.

Friend of mine is pregnant with an IVF baby on her own, and called up to whine the other day about how she had to pay for having extra genetic tests on the fetus (because if it wasn't perfect, she would get rid of it).

She apparently complained about having to pay extra to get her designer baby checked out when...wait for it...men where we live are allowed to get prostate cancer screenings for free. Because it's, you know, cancer we're talking about here. The nurse at the fert clinic apparently agreed with her.

I pointed out that prostate cancer screenings for men are the equivalent of screenings for cervical cancer for women, and therefore both are free in our system. Guess how that was received?

So women want to take a chunk out of what men get for their "needs" - and women in the medical system are encouraging their BS.

Thank you for coming to my TED Talk.

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 26 January, 2023 12:18 AM

SMDH *Facepalm*

Dude, do you want to go back to the days of working class men paying alimony to women who walked out on you to 'find herself'? Or even more men than today being cuckolded by the pizza delivery guy and left paying for said chad's kids when he finds out the kids ain't his? Do you want more women than EVER looking to find a walking wallet to support her and her kid so she can strip him for resources later in divorce court?

Because yanking money away from single mothers is exactly how you make that happen.

Antisocial_Nihilist • 2 points • 26 January, 2023 12:30 AM

And how would women be getting alimony if there is nobody willing to pay, and nobody willing to enforce it?

You're talking about NOW, the things you are describing are a current phenomenon. Back in the 1920's if a dad walked out, there was very little (if anything) the courts could do to ensure he pays a penny to her.

If a woman wanted baby daddy around to help pay for the kids, she had to ensure she is upholding her end of the social contract to keep him committed. That is no longer the case.

_Duriel_1000_ • 2 points • 25 January, 2023 05:09 AM

I talk about this in my new book: **High Value Woman**

High value women will always choose family over money. There is no doubt that raising a family is more important than making money. High value woman would choose raising a family over everything. The desire is deep inside her. So, she would jump at the opportunity to raise a family instead of going to university.

Raising a family means raising kids with the child's biological father. Raising them with the man she chose to have sex with. With the man she chose to have kids with. Raising them kids in a happy healthy home. This is a high value woman's dreams.

A low value woman may tend to have kids, but not raise them in a happy home- consisting of both parents. And, even worse, invite a stranger to help raise her child. This is not ideal, and can lead to negative outcomes for all involved: the child, the mother, and the man.

PuertoRock007 • 2 points • 25 January, 2023 04:45 AM

If you go to the ghetto you'll see exactly how it plays out. The government replaces fathers and only alphas get any action.

Rowanisnotagirlsname[S] • 4 points • 25 January, 2023 05:24 AM

How do men in the ghettos handle this? The ones that don't get any action

PuertoRock007 • 4 points • 25 January, 2023 05:54 AM

Get money and these women will want you as a step father. Or if he's lucky he'll meet a girl that's not ghetto.

Based_Orthodox • 2 points • 27 January, 2023 01:48 PM

Exactly this. They elevate and move out, and the hood rats left behind complain about it.

unknownpolishartist • 2 points • 25 January, 2023 09:46 AM

I actually think that government helps families to stay together. Without the governmental help women will have to choose between raising family with the biological father or looking for a better provider instead so it will lessen a chance for a family for men from lower classes as they cannot give the care and resources as men of higher status in the society.

PuertoRock007 • 0 points • 25 January, 2023 11:06 AM

Lol what government are you talking about? Because that definitely isn't the US government.

The US government tells women to leave their husband's accuse him of domestic violence and then she can get government support. Especially with Democrats their whole platform is to destroy the family.

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 26 January, 2023 12:19 AM

Get rid of the government and betas will get a smidgen of action and then a lifetime of dead bedroom before she divorces him and strips him for resources.