monkeypill

February 18, 2023 | 3 upvotes | by negrogenius

there was an orangutan that was sold in a brothel in indonesia. and the men paid EXTRA so it wasn't even due to scarcity or being cheap.

i think men naturally crave novelty so much that even 10/10 stacy isn't good enough after some years because they get sick of the same. a smaller percentage resort to degeneracy such as beastiality, but most if not all crave novelty to the point of riskier sexual behavior than women on average.

rich men are a good indicator of what average income or decent income men would do if they could. they all cheat if they are married or they date a string of young stacies forever. and their sexual behavior is much more degenerate than the average male who can't afford to hire good lawyers and pr.

it is sad but liberating to think that happy monogamy is an illusion as a woman even if you are exceptionally beautiful.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

Lainsel • 5 points • 18 February, 2023 09:28 PM

one man does something

must mean all men want to do that too

XD

negrogenius[S] • 3 points • 18 February, 2023 09:43 PM

more like 99% of men who have the freedom to opt out of monogamy do so.

according to one study, men cum harder with new women.

https://www.psypost.org/2015/08/study-on-strategic-ejaculation-finds-men-produce-better-quality-semen-for -unfamiliar-

women-36354#:~:text=Research%20published%20in%20Evolutionary%20Psychological,when%20masturb ating%20to%20unfamiliar%20women.

this would probably explain why men are more likely to be porn addicts, since seeing new women all the time is so appealing to them as males.

tired_hillbilly • 2 points • 18 February, 2023 10:57 PM

The experiment involved the participation of 23 self-identified heterosexual men between the ages of 18 and 23 years old. Each subject provided seven ejaculate samples over the course of 15 days.

This is not a real study. This is some psych student's senior project. N = 23, and all N are college-age is a dead giveaway all the sample selection procedure was is "Stand around in the quad and offer guys \$50 to watch porn and jerk off into a cup".

negrogenius[S] • 1 point • 18 February, 2023 11:31 PM

it is a real study and is somewhat consistent with previous research.

Our results complement the findings of Koukounas and Over (2000) that penile circumference and self-reported arousal also increased upon exposure to a novel female stimulus after habituation to a different female stimulus. In addition to evidence for effects of novel female stimuli, other human studies have demonstrated ejaculate adjustment in response to perceived sperm competition risk (Baker and Bellis 1993; Kilgallon and Simmons 2005).

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40806-015-0022-8#:\~:text=However%2C ejaculate volume and total, in ejaculates with novel females.

this isn't undegrad stuff. i've read stuff from grad students with work similar in structure to this.

tired_hillbilly • 2 points • 19 February, 2023 12:26 AM

My point is it's pathetic to call it science. The sample size is awful, and they don't detail how they determined the sample is representative; but the fact that it's so small and such a narrow and young age group tells me they just searched one campus for a handful of bored guys.

The Koukounas study they cite is even worse. They had an even smaller testing sample and admit they found test subjects by posting an ad in their campus's newsletter.

23 guys jerking off 7 times each is not a study. There's no monitoring of diet; we know food can change ejaculate properties. There's no monitoring of sleep schedule; we know altering your sleep schedule can effect arousal. There's no monitoring of preferences; how do we know the guys produced more ejaculate with the new girl because she was new, and not because they liked her more? Maybe the new girl just matched their preferences better and had she been first, there would have been no increase after the switch?

negrogenius[S] • 1 point • 19 February, 2023 12:59 AM

there may be some holes, but i wouldn't be surprised if the same results appeared in a study with better conditions. let's be real, the sentiment is constantly expressed by men online who wish they could do poly relationships, open relationships, and whine about having sex with one woman for the rest of their lives (their wives).

no_bling_just_ding • 1 point • 19 February, 2023 07:50 PM
so you selected a few angry dudes online and extrapolated that to men in general

negrogenius[S] • 1 point • 19 February, 2023 09:19 PM not a few, thousands.

puririnpa • 1 point • 21 February, 2023 11:24 AM

it's mainstream to complain about dating your agematch among men

puririnpa • 0 points • 18 February, 2023 11:54 PM men when one woman cheats

no_bling_just_ding • 2 points • 18 February, 2023 10:06 PM

nah this isnt true a lot of men are able and willing to commit not because they're forced to

debatelord_1 • 1 point • 19 February, 2023 08:46 AM

Yeah this is probably true. Just look at all the past kings etc.

tired_hillbilly • 1 point • 19 February, 2023 07:49 PM

Selection bias. The kind of person most likely to become a king is also the kind of person most likely to be selfish and hedonistic.

puririnpa • 1 point • 21 February, 2023 11:25 AM

it's mainstream to complain about dating your agematch among men

FightMeCthullu • 0 points • 19 February, 2023 03:56 AM

I think posts like this are a little misleading because it assumes all people will act the same provided a/b/c happens, with no room for nuance or discussion.

I think that, if the world was very different and every man had the opportunity to commit a crime like beastiality with no consequences, AND every man had interest in beastiality, sure maybe then most men would try it. But if I had the ability to teleport and turn invisible I would be a great bank robber. But I don't have those things. Like obviously, if everything was different people would act differently.

But we live in a world where things like beastiality are, by and large, frowned upon, and not everyone would engage in that even for novelty's sake at the very least due to the possible repurcussions and without the attraction/fetish factor, well, just seems unlikely that it would be a done deal.

Expanding on your point - monogamy exists and is alive and well in the animal kingdom. You see it with many species. So if both monogamy and polygamy exists in animals, then we can't say it's impossible for humans. Like if we're arguing that monogamy is an illusion....Swans and parrots beg to differ. Saying monogamy is an illusion when clear examples exist outside of humans feels like cherry picking imo but I could be wrong.

I dunno, I don't find this to be a convincing argument. Monogamy works and doesn't and more often that's down to the people involved.

negrogenius[S] • 2 points • 19 February, 2023 04:45 AM

i thought i made it clear i acknowledged most men aren't into beastiality.

monogamy is practiced in humans, but my concern is whether this is an ideal situation for men. given the amount of men that do "diet cheating" like flirt with coworkers or watch porn, i'm not too sure.

the reason i brought up rich men is because they're a good sample of males who can basically do what they want with little to no consequences.