

# what motivates the "woman mafia" so to speak

March 9, 2023 | 8 upvotes | by [no\\_bling\\_just\\_ding](#)

---

## this is not universal.

the woman mafia is my informal name for a herd-like kneejerk behavior i've noticed in a large amount of women to defend some female behavior criticized by a man who subjectively finds it undesirable, whether said kneejerking women themselves engage in the aforementioned behavior or not.

skinny women whiteknight fat women, christian women defend secular feminists, married women defend single women and vice versa, liberal women defend conservative women (and have gigantic blindspots like pretending proliferers are all male incels to rationalize this while conservative women pretend all prochoicers are wannabe fuckboys), older women defend younger women even when there's no obvious self-interest (such as a virgin man defending cheating men because he wants a chance to be high status and do it himself some day.)

when a man is in an argument online, women (from what i've seen) flock majorly to one side and sing as a chorus about how, say, AF/BB doesn't exist and they don't believe in it (and then some also say it does but it's a good/justified thing), 80/20 isn't real but at the same time it is and it's a good thing, it's ackshoally your personality that's causing your problems, et cetera. i never see them going at each other over these internal discrepancies in discourse; i don't see the woman that denies hypergamy debating the one that accepts but justifies it and having a back-and-forth about it; nor are there RP women blaming blooper women for treating men like shit the way blooper men do to other men. so what gives? shouldn't we be seeing on ppd half the women there tearing into the other half the way men go at it?

another quick and dirty example: why do random women seem so keen to defend sluts even when they themselves aren't sluts or when they're already married? on the flip side, men aren't racing to whiteknight incels (even i as a blackpill find most .is and looksmax users insufferable and feel they would do more harm than good to a healthy-minded partner and to their male friends), male cheaters, male fuckboys at the same rate; while groups of men that do exist, they are by far not the majority and other groups of men are equally eager to shut them down. i've discussed this before with [u/Zastale](#), so tl;dr:

there is an [r/incel](#) run by men, an [r/menslib](#) run by men, but there is no [r/slut](#) run by women or [r/feminist](#) run by women or female MRA sub im aware of. i don't cry around demanding these things - in fact, only thing i wish is that men looked out for one another more and women were more willing to police one another for mistreating men as i dont care for slut-shaming - but i'm pointing out the absence of an expected equivalent if men and women are supposedly similar.

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](#)

---

## Comments

---

EulenWatcher • 3 points • 9 March, 2023 05:28 PM

There are some subreddits similar to inceltears. You can lookup fundiessnark, where it's mostly women making fun of fundie women, or Instagramreality where it's also mostly women mocking other women for their excessive use of filters.

From what I've seen on ppd, women do argue with each other. It might be more civil than the male version of it, but it does happen. There are far less women and there aren't a lot of women with red or black views, so you don't often see it.

no\_bling\_just\_ding[S] • 2 points • 9 March, 2023 05:30 PM\*

i get this but inceltears *allegedly* mocks men for their behavior and views on the opposite sex (it's a lot worse than it sounds with a shady history of groomer admins) and menslib is a subreddit for male feminists to voice support for feminism

these subs from what you've told me aren't about women denouncing misandrist women for their hostile attitudes on men. this is what i'm getting at: most men will aggressively call one another out and get into confrontations over their different views on women. however, i don't see women hissing at women who hate men to anything resembling the same extent, even between women whose male counterparts would be having a volley of "simp", "misogynist", "incel" traded among them. why? i'm sure a feminist would walk into this thread and tell me "haha inkler women dont commit mass shooting so we are better BAM I DEBUNKED THREAD," but i don't think that explains the psychology behind the woman mafia.

EulenWatcher • 2 points • 9 March, 2023 05:33 PM

Fundies get mocked due to their views on dating and gender relations as well, but I get what you're talking about. I think there's "not how men work" sub, but it's tiny compared to "not how girls work". You can always find *some* women posting the content you're looking for, but I guess because the usually choose to go for all women, they aren't good at collaborating.

no\_bling\_just\_ding[S] • 2 points • 9 March, 2023 05:40 PM\*

well what do you think explains this difference? do women as a whole just not believe that the female gender is as often unjustifiedly hateful towards men as men are towards women? do they fail to realize this, are they aware and apathetic to it, or do they think it's righteous? i suppose that blackpillers simply gloss over common woman-hatred in the blackpill community because of their feelings of victimhood and either fail to notice it or find it justified. i personally understand it but i ultimately find it unnecessary and counterproductive, but more importantly, most men would not put up with it. my own father once reprimanded me harshly for telling him that most women use dildos, because he saw the very idea as insulting women. he did not identify as feminist.

as i said, feminists might scrutinize fundies and might disagree with one another, but in the end from where i stand it's like i'm an american watching a scotsman and an englishman debate how to pronounce "tomato" if you get me - jane might say "porn is good" and danielle could tell her "porn is bad", but they'd both be appeal to the pros and cons of porn **for women and not men** to justify their views. i don't see a woman on ppd going at the others "you treat men like shit and you're bigoted towards them." i can recall some women like gimpgirl555 who were disagreeing full-on with the rest of the ppd women or the late glad-discount-4761 who strongly disliked feminism, but that's as far as

it goes in my memory.

EulenWatcher • 1 point • 9 March, 2023 05:55 PM

Okay, now when you've brought up some examples, I can see better what you mean. Gimpgirl is a full pick me who prioritizes men's interests above everything else. Not a lot of women go this route, because it isn't beneficial for them and if I remember correctly, gimpgirl has some family trauma which explains her behavior and views. She's also a fetishsit, so...it's hard to relate to her for other women.

There are women on ppd who sympathize with certain men's issues, like CimZim, for example, and who aren't as extreme or insane as gimpgirl. They usually have more sensible views and they express them more palatably, so they don't get a lot of women arguing with them. As not a lot of women really hate men or wish them ill.

As for why there aren't any platforms where women mock misandrists, I am not sure tbh. Maybe we don't witness (or notice) that many misandrists to make fun of in the first place or maybe we aren't into specifically seeking this type of content when we get tons of the opposite of it to deal with.

no\_bling\_just\_ding[S] • 1 point • 9 March, 2023 06:12 PM\*

Maybe we don't witness (or notice) that many misandrists to make fun of in the first place or maybe we aren't into specifically seeking this type of content when we get tons of the opposite of it to deal with.

point is: they might not wish to rob or kill men, but they generally **are not known to point at other women and tell them, "be better to men."**

femaledatingstrategy, "66% men must be single because they haven't learned to work on themselves" (implying that having a vagina is a virtuous personality in and of itself and therefore that's why women don't struggle with incelism), "male entitlement", virgin-shaming, finance-shaming men, small dick/small dick energy jokes by the same feminists that play the language police. on the flip hand, i've had feminists lose their shit at me over imaginary "slut-shaming" when i told some woman on discord to not fuck a stranger with bpd the same week they matched on an app. "men fucking suck" on twoXchromosomes and "women fucking suck" on askMen don't get the same reaction from the moderators.

no pushback to feminist lobbies against equal custody or due process in title IX, no acknowledgement of systemic disadvantages against men in education by the same logic of underrepresentation of women among CEOs.

EulenWatcher • 2 points • 9 March, 2023 06:23 PM

To witness this type of content you have to find it first. I've scrolled FDS just because people talked about it on ppd, but I don't enjoy or agree with it. From the other hand, their posts also don't make me want to create my own platform to mock them. I do find some of their posts or comments absolutely hilarious or just depressing, but that's it. I have had quite a few arguments with FDS followers on ppd though, just as many other women.

I can't say much about American feminism, considering I spent most of my life in Russia. I suppose our feminism movements have rather different talking points.

You don't see a lot of men from manosphere to argue with other men from manosphere though. And platforms mocking TRP/MGTOW/incels are mostly driven by women, at

least from what I've seen. I guess the explanation is a rather simple one - we get a stronger emotional response when we see negative content targeting our own social group.

no\_bling\_just\_ding[S] • 1 point • 9 March, 2023 06:36 PM\*

i get that it is different though id say russian feminism still suffers from the same fundamental problem of a faulty premise if it claims men are privileged as a class in every aspect of life (see: ukraine conscription.)

manospherians are a small fringe section of men, certainly orders of magnitude fewer than feminists; TRP, incels and MGTOW take the piss out of one another: here, and here and here and here... myron of F&F also took a few potshots at incels in his book.

now you might say well they all believe in hypergamy and 80/20, but even they see those terms differently and as i said: a large amount of men vocally reject the entire manosphere and are antagonistic to it.

EulenWatcher • 2 points • 9 March, 2023 06:41 PM

Can't check the videos right now. My point was that overall we less often call out people from our own social group even if we disagree with them. It still happens - and you can find content like this both about feminism and manosphere, but it's mostly driven by the opposite gender.

no\_bling\_just\_ding[S] • 1 point • 9 March, 2023 06:51 PM

i agree, im not demanding that any woman out there start making it her life's mission to track down misandry online like an inceltar user who spends every waking moment screenshotting incels - i myself dislike the red pill community (though i have no problem getting along with some more mature red pillers that aren't COPING) and mgtow but i don't walk up to them and argue with them because i find it largely useless.

and the same goes for incels who speak positively of ER - i do not sympathize at all with men that want fidelity but wont grant it to their partners or men who want to commit violence or get revenge on random women for being rejected. but usually it'd either be a waste of time, or stirring up a hornet's nest full of psychotic people that will make you pay for it or they'd just shut me out with "you wont touch pussy" the way feminists do.

Hyena\_Utopia • 2 points • 9 March, 2023 03:34 PM

Well put and a very interesting question. u/antisocial\_nihilist usually refers to them as 'the hens' which never fails to make me laugh.

Im guessing the answer is found in evolutionary psychology. There is undoubtedly an evolutionary benefit to this tendency in women.

no\_bling\_just\_ding[S] • 2 points • 9 March, 2023 05:28 PM

it's honestly disheartening seeing guys who grind their asses off to touch a woman at age 40 hissing at incels as if they should defend a state of affairs where most men are written off before anything is said and done for immutable traits

LIGMAMALEINC • 2 points • 9 March, 2023 08:09 PM

Women have an in-group bias, are less varied in their characteristics than men (greater male variability hypothesis) and are more sensitive to social influence. This creates female hegemony and thus support.

EviessVeralan • 1 point • 9 March, 2023 11:48 PM\*

There are lots of subreddits crapping on crappy women's behavior. R/nicegirls for crapping on femcell behavior. r/dependa for crapping on women who expect privileges for being married to someone in the military. R/fundiesnark craps on culturally conservative women.

In a similar case there are currently hundred of thousands of dudes simping for Andrew (i like to slide into the dms of 15 year old girls) Tate.

There's a segment of both men and women who will make excuses for bad behavior.

no\_bling\_just\_ding[S] • 1 point • 10 March, 2023 07:32 AM

r/nicegirls

earlier i questioned whether fundiesnark counts but actually you're right about nicegirls

In a similar case there are currently hundred of thousands of dudes simping for Andrew (i like to slide into the dms of 15 year old girls) Tate.

yes unfortunately some men are low iq as much as i dislike tate myself i think these guys need to wise up and understand that tate only cares about them when he can make fat stacks off of them and wouldn't practice what he preaches with women in his real life because he knows it won't work

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 13 March, 2023 01:34 AM

yes unfortunately some men are low iq as much as i dislike tate myself i think these guys need to wise up and understand that tate only cares about them when he can make fat stacks off of them and wouldn't practice what he preaches with women in his real life because he knows it won't work

FACTS

RatDontPanic • 1 point • 13 March, 2023 01:34 AM

There are lots of subreddits crapping on crappy women's behavior. R/nicegirls for crapping on femcell behavior.

That's for catering to men. The woman mafia hates it when women don't bleed men for everything and don't put them through the ringer. R/ nicegirls is basically FemaleDatingStrategy but not calling themselves that.

crypto\_druid • 1 point • 10 March, 2023 09:24 AM

I

"No one likes to feel they have been taken advantage of, and certainly no one wishes to generate a reputation for being easily taken-advantage of... No woman wishes to be misled into believing a man is offering her security where he has no intention of providing it, just as no man wishes to be misled into believing the child he has been working to support is not his blood-relative, and the mother he has been supporting has been misrepresenting this child's paternity!)

Taken as a whole, WOMEN DESPISE AND RESENT 'THE SEXUAL CARTEL' AS MUCH AS MEN DO, and are just as willing to ignore it when they believe there will be no persistent negative repercussions.

Women recognize intuitively that naturally attractive, compelling, masculine men\* will not, by nature, stick around to raise & support offspring they have generated. Therefore, women have evolved subconscious mechanisms to hide the true paternity of their children, and have unwittingly imposed upon the world this Cartel. (\*males born with 'alpha' temperament, hormone levels, and drives)

The Cartel insists that:

WOMEN MUST NEVER MAKE SEX AVAILABLE TO MEN FOR FREE!

A MAN MUST ALWAYS PAY FOR SEX or the sex isn't worth having(!?)

'The Sexual Cartel' is a tacitly accepted (unspoken-yet-obvious) mandate, initially put forward by women [in effort to ensure that men would remain with their 'babymammas' until their presumably-shared offspring were whelped and raised sufficiently] and ultimately accepted and further propagated by men (whose craving for APPROVAL is as primal as women's craving for SECURITY) as the notion that to deal with a 'Cartel Buster' (a.k.a. 'Scab' in union terms, a 'Slut' in colloquial terms) was a dishonorable, shameful thing.

Any woman who violates 'The Cartel' must be outcast! Decried as a 'traitor to her gender' (a.k.a Slut), for undercutting the perceived value of her sisters' chastity by making available freely what other women believe they MUST charge for, lest they be merely USED by men, who would impregnate them and abandon them (compromising their security!)

Any man who violates 'The Cartel' by hooking up with a "Slut" must be publicly humiliated by his fellows for his foolish and self-sabotaging actions, because he must realize that ANY WOMEN WHO WOULD CHOOSE TO HAVE SEX WITH HIM JUST BECAUSE THEY APPRECIATE HIM MUST BE BENEATH HIM! (In other words, "I would never join any club that would let ME be a member!") - Only a woman who expects to be rewarded (receive some measure of security) to sleep with him, should be desirable!

Ultimately, this set of intrinsically irrational postulations resulted in A) man's belief the WOMEN ARE POSSESSIONS, culminating in the eventual envisioning and popularization of a all-knowing, all-observing, wrathful & interventionist 'Supreme Being'. This served to supplant scimitar-wielding Harem guards as the primary mechanism for keeping women 'faithful', while their Masters were off working or whatever, and keeping potential cuckolds at bay)

with B) the anti-rational and dehumanizing premise that any woman who does as she wishes, rather than what she has been pigeon-holed into doing by society, PROVES THEREBY THAT SHE HAS NO SELF-RESPECT and must be shunned and considered as unworthy, vile, and 'A slut!'"

Johnny soporno

blebbyroo • 1 point • 10 March, 2023 11:09 PM

I think u/eulenwatcher made some really good points

I just wanted to add that I think for the part where you said why do women “white knight” for women with traits opposite to themselves at least for me would be because if someone is making a choice on how they want to live that isn’t actively physically hurting/abusing other people when doing so then they should have that right. Eg. If someone is teasing or using mean language about fat people it’s not nice to see, that person probably has issues or trauma, and even if they have no issues they certainly know they are fat and would face a lot of criticism for it everyday and things will already be difficult such as finding clothes that fit, flying, dating etc. tearing people down if they are trying to improve themselves doesn’t work or help and often just keeps them down. For women who are promiscuous vs non promiscuous again it’s their own choice and as long as they aren’t hurting other people or themselves and being safe then they should be able to do what they want. So often it’s not about defending and agreeing with their lifestyle but it’s defending their right to make their own choices and to remind them that someone has their back and the whole world isn’t against them.



no\_bling\_just\_ding[S] • 1 point • 11 March, 2023 08:08 AM

well what about men who don't want those types of women it's also a choice but one is derided and one is defended

either way as eulen said seems like it's an ingroup vs outgroup deal, if a woman made an incel joke half the guys who see it would join in on it to elevate themselves above the competition because "male" isn't really an ingroup for most men

blebbyroo • 1 point • 11 March, 2023 08:12 AM

I think it's fine to not want women like that, but it's the meanness that comes from men who don't want them is the problem not that they don't want them

I see where your coming from but I don't often see women making incel jokes unprovoked it's usually in response to some sort of stimuli whereas I do see men being mean about run through used up whores and fat land whales

Granted I do don't browse places like fds or other extreme groups

no\_bling\_just\_ding[S] • 1 point • 11 March, 2023 08:48 AM\*

ok i get this but what about the lack of addressing internal disagreements? like this rough example:

A: "i'm a woman and i know women don't care about height, only incels do"

B: "i'm a woman, why shouldn't we prefer tall guys? women like tall guys because they have better genes and better personalities"

A: **"no you're lying and you're confirming their beliefs!!!"** <-- this i never saw, instead it's either silence or back-patting over 'owning the incels'. i often find it strange how some women get offended when all i'm doing from my own perspective is... repeating their own beliefs back to them.

blebbyroo • 1 point • 11 March, 2023 09:07 AM

Wait are you upset that women a isn't mad at woman b for saying she has a height preference?

I think most women don't Talk like either a or b

I think most women would say either

"Height isn't as important as guys think it is" and then if they are giving more detail they can add "I prefer taller guys sure but I also still date and find guys my height or only just a bit above my height attractive if they have other things about them I like."

Or for your woman b I think much more realistic to see would be

"I do like tall guys"

I don't see women going on about better genes, and I think there is no rebuttal to either of these women's statements because that's their own preference and everyone is going to have a slightly different preference or at least be willing to Compromise on different things and some people are going to Just be very superficial and no arguing will change that so again if they aren't being rude then I don't know why you would pull them up.

100% though if girl b said "I like tall guys only, short manlets can eat a dick" (or some other rude thing) then they should 100% be pulled up and called out for being rude/degrading and mean.

no\_bling\_just\_ding[S] • 1 point • 11 March, 2023 09:10 AM\*

Wait are you upset that women a isn't mad at woman b for saying she has a height preference?

they're making mutually exclusive *general* claims about trends, saying "women" and "we" in my example, not "i". but to be frank: i don't think women are that different in their preferences at least not compared to men. some guys like tall girls, others like short ones but the women who find shortness in a guy better than tallness are a rounding error.

blebbyroo • 1 point • 11 March, 2023 09:16 AM

Ok I see what you mean. I think for anyone who makes a sentence like that they probably think it's obvious that not all women will have that opinion but that many will. Which is why both statements aren't mutually exclusive if they are both understanding that not ALL women are like that and they weren't trying to imply that all women had that singular view.

But language is often used incorrectly