

Red Pill: The End Game

February 28, 2014 | 203 upvotes | by [GayLubeOil](#)

THE ENDGAME:

The streets were cold and dark. Polluted rain from the sky. The year was 2044. The Gyno-cracy was in full effect. Years of inefficient policies, bogus sexual harassment claims and the demonization of men: the productive portion of the population, had lead to poverty and despair. You must work harder to ensure victory over the patriarchy: the shittily wired loud speaker blurted. Meek castrated men roamed the crumbling streets, nodding in agreement with the loudspeaker. The castration-station drove by always on the look out for those who wouldn't submit.

"Testosterone is the root of all evil, give up your testicles and we will live in a feminist paradise" A speaker from the castration-station blurted. The Inquisitors were on the lookout for the resistance. It was rumored that there was a man who could reverse the effects of castration and the Gynocracy wanted him dead.

The Castration Station opened its doors and 12 Female Inquisitors left the vehicle. The physical standards were pretty relaxed to be an Inquisitor. A 14 minute mile and 2 minutes of looking at a pullup bar was all it took to qualify. 'Reports indicate that this building is the last refuge of the resistance,' The Grand Inquisitor said. After consulting her squad about how they felt about going into the building for thirty minutes, the Inquisition finally decided to bust down the door.

"Give up your testicles and no one gets hurt" the Grand Inquisitor yelled into the dark building. "We have you surrounded," She continued yelling. "Give up and you have nothing to fear", she said one last time as doubt crept into her voice.

Years of anabolic abuse have left the man incapable of fear. The only emotion he could feel was smug cockiness. "I have foreseen this day," the man said. "I will defeat you with the Red Pill truth: women are inferior."

An Inquisitor shined a spot light on the man. He was tall Slavic and lean 280. The man was eating a meat party platter, not really giving a fuck that there were guns pointed at him.

"You cant rape us GayLubeOil, we packed our vaginas with sand", yelled of the Inquisitors. "This is your last chance to give up, before we open fire."

"Russians don't surrender" the Man said as he calmly finished his last piece of ham. "Then open fire," the Grand Inquisitor yelled.

Half the rifles jammed for lack of maintenance. The other half didn't fire because they were on safety. None of the Inquisitors actually practiced operating their rifles, because they were always confident in their proficiency. One of the Inquisitors dropped the spotlight because it was heavy. The room grew dark. In the darkness the Grand Inquisitor felt a large presence beside her. She could feel his heavy breathing and his Siberian warmth.

A loud slap cut through the air and the Grand Inquisitors helmet fell to the ground. She soon followed, falling to the ground in tears.

Are You done? Asked the man in his deep anadrol voice "Are you fucking done?." "Yes" said the Grand Inquisitor.

Good then bring me a meat party platter.

Comments

breakfastfoodforever • 82 points • 28 February, 2014 11:34 PM

GayLubeOil, bringer of hilarity to the Red Pill.

Keep on writing man. You've got serious talent to address these issues.

mussedeq • 14 points • 1 March, 2014 06:33 AM

Haha! I can just imagine /r/TheBluePill having an aneurysm whilst reading this and trying to make sense of GayLubeOil's mockery, tearing their dicks off in a failed attempt to "circlejerk" in the process.

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 March, 2014 11:51 AM

I read this thinking I was reading thebluepill.

[deleted] 1 March, 2014 06:54 AM

[permanently deleted]

mussedeq • 3 points • 1 March, 2014 07:00 AM

Benned.

Zackcid • 1 point • 2 March, 2014 12:27 AM

Benz a make her dance.

[deleted] • 15 points • 1 March, 2014 02:32 AM

/r/talesofprivilege

atleastitsnotaids • 36 points • 1 March, 2014 01:53 AM

"You cant rape us GayLubeOil, we packed our vaginas with sand"

Lost so hard.

Asoka11111 • 8 points • 1 March, 2014 03:27 AM

/u/GayLubeOil is the one man whose posts I look forward to reading. Well done.

GayLubeOil[S] • 12 points • 1 March, 2014 03:36 AM

Thanks brother I really appreciate the support especial since there is a huge feminist backlash coming from srs manboobz.com bluepill and a host of many other sites and reddit.

Make you a sword of me

INomYou • 8 points • 1 March, 2014 03:47 AM

"...we packed our vaginas with sand." Oh man. I'm rolling!

TooTurnt • 7 points • 1 March, 2014 10:01 AM

The anabolic jokes were so fucking funny. Good shit as always, keep it up.

watersign • 32 points • 1 March, 2014 12:44 AM

Reading excerpts from Atlas Shrugged is pretty alarming.

GayLubeOil[S] • 19 points • 1 March, 2014 01:00 AM

Iv actuary never read it

watersign • 18 points • 1 March, 2014 01:03 AM

Basically, it's a pre-text to modern society. Lots of takers, very few makers.

imarcink • 20 points • 1 March, 2014 01:41 AM

I think that's a myth. The western economy is fucking massive, still. Sure, most of that is money pushers who "make" by charging fees for doing something that produces no physical goods, but people certainly seem to respect them, so it's clearly good.

We now live in a world where even the poorest person can buy a raspberry pi and hack together some wacky science contraption and change the internet.

I was reading the other day that something like 80%+ of poor households in the USA are working poor. They work horrible shitty jobs for a wage that makes them poor. Are they takers? Well, actually I guess they are because they need food stamps to not starve to death.

The usage of the word "taker" usually has more to do with people sitting around eating cheetos and watching their welfare bought plasma TVs. That simply is not the case.

If you want to argue that the baby boomer generation are the takers, be my guest, because something like \$12k/year goes to the elderly while young kids only get \$3k-ish in school and food subsidies.

That's a big problem, but the only solution is letting them die without their fancy heart and diabetes meds.

If you want to argue for that, I think you have already lost the argument. :)

SteelChicken • 4 points • 1 March, 2014 01:18 PM

I was reading the other day that something like 80%+ of poor households in the USA are working poor. They work horrible shitty jobs for a wage that makes them poor. Are they takers? Well, actually I guess they are because they need food stamps to not starve to death.

This sentence has no basis on actual facts and has significant emotional content. So yeah, you do argue like a feminist. 80% of Americans would starve without food stamps?

Get the fuck out of here.

Chippendork • 11 points • 1 March, 2014 05:10 AM

If you want to argue for that, I think you have already lost the argument. :)

You argue like a feminist.

imarcink • 4 points • 1 March, 2014 12:13 PM

Haha. I was pointing out that you lose the argument by suggesting that killing all the poor people is a good way to run society.

It is easy to shut me down with a simple statement like that, or calling me a blue pill loser.

I should have said that you are not going to help your argument by saying that the poor should starve instead of saying that you already lose the argument by suggesting that. That was my

point.

The way I see it, you could probably make an economic case for letting the poor starve or die when they can't afford hospitals. You would have to be realistic about it and show all the increases in crime and destroyed lives though. It would be an interesting argument, though.

I do like that this is a forum where we get to discuss crazy unaccepted ideas.

Chippendork • 3 points • 1 March, 2014 06:41 PM

I have this argument a lot in my current surroundings, and I am usually on your side... kinda. I don't tell anybody that their ideas are wrong because mine are morally superior. This is what I sense in your argumentation style, and I called you out on it. I say that we cannot forsake the needy because they will revolt and kill everybody.

On the other hand, I think your assessment is also factually incorrect. Welfare is a lot of money, and by combining programs, collecting under multiple names, faking medical problems, and all sorts of other tricks, it's paying for a lot of cell phones, big screen TVs, designer clothing, alcohol, drugs, and all kinds of other worthless crap. In almost every state in the union, it pays better to be a welfare queen than work an entry-level job.

imarcink • 1 point • 2 March, 2014 05:32 AM

Great analysis of my argument style. I agree that I do sound like that. I certainly feel that way. It is a problem I am working on.

I guess there is fraud. There I'd an apartment building beside my house that has a lot of older people on disability insurance. They spend the whole summer in the yard drinking and listening to the same classic rock music all day.

I have heard a few sources say that the amount of fraud is pretty low in most welfare systems, but that we hunt out the cheats aggressively because humans hate being cheated.

Perhaps it would be good to have fewer targeted programs, or a more integrated welfare system so you don't have people collecting from multiple programs at once.

bam2_89 • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 10:57 AM

Food stamps are not about aid to the poor, they're primarily about keeping prices high for the benefit of farmers. Why do you think they're done through USDA and not HHS? If we got rid of protective tariffs, agricultural subsidies, and food stamps (listed in order of importance) then food would be so goddamn cheap that no one would be complaining that they didn't make enough to feed a family.

I believe in some degree of welfare spending, but food stamps are terribly inefficient. If I were put in charge of the whole damn thing, I'd just implement a subsidized minimum wage: Lower the under 18 minimum wage and then graduate it as workers age while splitting some of the difference with the employer, For example:

Age 14-18: Employer pays at least \$6.00; no subsidy.

Age 18-21: Employer pays at least \$7.50; government pays additional \$1.50; effective minimum wage of \$9.00

Over 21: Employer pays at least \$8.75; government pays additional \$2.75; effective minimum wage of \$11.50

These are rough numbers and I'm still trying to find an efficient way of reducing the subsidy with voluntary employer increases, but either way, if that took the place of every welfare program benefiting the able-bodied of working age, it would more than pay for itself.

I've also thought of a welfare buyout. At age 21, you are given the choice of receiving a cash payment in exchange for waiving your right to any future government assistance as long as you live.

imarcink • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 11:53 AM

I had not considered the price fixing nature of food stamps, though that sounds pretty conspiracy theoryish. Wouldn't plenty of places in the world buy the shit out American farmed goods if there were some vast abundance? The farmers would find a market somewhere.

I don't think that the large array of poverty subsidies are as efficient as they could be. It would probably be more efficient if the government have a minimum income or something to that effect similar to your wage subsidy.

The problem with just using a wage subsidy is that there are no jobs. Do you also want the government to get in to the business of being an employer? I think that is necessary, as the market based approach to job creation is clearly not working. I love the idea of the government starting large works projects and offering \$12-15/hr as their minimum wage and then watching everyone quit their shitty \$7.50/hr jobs in favour of the huge part increase.

I don't imagine a lot of people want the govt becoming an employer though. It feels to much like dreaded communism.

The problem with your buyout option is that the future is uncertain. The people who took the buyout may end up fucked at some point, through fault of their own or not, and then the govt would HAVE to help them out again. If someone is dying in a goal with no money, they have to be helped.

From what I understand Germany has a really cool idea regarding lifetime choices and health insurance. There are 2 systems, the public system, where everyone pays based on their income bracket and the private one where they pay based on their risk. If you leave the public system, you can not come back. Young men are going to pay a lot less in the private system while they are young, but pay vastly higher rates if they get sick or old. Just like all the rich white men in their 20s I hear complaining about how insane it is that they have to pay so much for the ACA health care plans, it would be cool to reward those people and their selfishness and greed with becoming destitute due to massive rate increases as they age instead of paying a steady, predictable rate their whole lives.

bam2_89 • 2 points • 2 March, 2014 05:49 AM

It's not a conspiracy theory. Like I said, Food Stamps are not a Health and Human Services program like Medicaid and TANF, it's a Department of Agriculture program. The nature of Food Stamps as a demand-side subsidy to farmers was not only an overt aspect of the initial bill which was discussed at length in Congress, but the issue is rehashed every time we pass a budget. Funding for Food Stamps is always passed in the same bill as supply-side subsidies to farmers for that reason.

Minimum income is also an idea that I'm a fan of. It's certainly better than our current system, but I think the system I described would do more to stimulate the economy because it subsidizes productive activity rather than inactivity like most of our current

system or without regard for productive activity like a minimum income would.

The thing about there being no jobs is that currently, it is too expensive to hire new people or in some cases to retain the ones you have. A reduction in the wage burden on employers without a reduction in wage for employees would increase employment.

I know the future's uncertain, but the point of the buyout is that the people in question could only be in that situation if they pissed away the buyout money. There would be no crying *lack of opportunity*, *hard knocks*, or *privilege*. It would be *all* their fault and no one else's.

Patrick5555 • -2 points • 1 March, 2014 09:42 AM

they dont need food stamps to not starve to death, thats a myth to keep people compliant with taxation.

imarcink • 0 points • 1 March, 2014 11:57 AM

How else are they going to acquire food? They could steal it. They could rely on privately funded food banks like churches, etc. They could dumpster dive.

How about a government truck that drives around in poor neighborhoods dispensing live chickens and bags of flour? Does that sound like a market-based strategy?

Patrick5555 • 0 points • 1 March, 2014 01:32 PM

just because you aren't smart enough to figure something out does not justify taxation

imarcink • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 03:09 PM

You need to explain how people are going to eat without money. I am not dismissing your statement as impossible, but I am curious as to how people afford to live with no job and no government assistance? I gave a few possible methods.

Churches and mosques can provide food to people. They do tend to be biased, though, so they might not be the best solution.

If you want to argue that people should starve if they can't afford to live, you should argue that. We may have to deal with that decision some time in our lives if shit hits the fan.

I will make an argument that if we don't give food to poor people that we will have some pretty extreme crime. People will not willingly starve to death. I understand that you don't like paying any taxes, but you would pay more for private security guards, guns and ammo to get you between your house and the grocery store than you pay in tax.

charlie_bodango • 0 points • 1 March, 2014 06:02 AM

With increased mechanization and population growth/immigration of unskilled, uneducated "people," (I know this isn't all immigrants, and I'm all for importing the best and brightest) more people are competing over fewer low skilled jobs. So one smart dude with a machine can replace 100 unskilled laborers for half the cost, those unskilled laborers are obsolete. They better find some new skills, or else they should be allowed to become casualties of evolution.

imarcink • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 12:16 PM

They will not go quietly. Unless you cull the population you are going to have a lot of angry hungry people on your hands.

Do people not understand this?! What do you expect to happen when people are starving and dying of the common cold and you laugh in their face?

You would have a violent revolution immediately. No one wants that.

watersign • 0 points • 1 March, 2014 06:06 AM*

in 5 years or so, America will be a 3rd world country. Expect lots of rioting. I'm not trying to be a conspiracy theorist here but lets face it, humans aren't really needed as much anymore and companies do everything in their power to reduce human labor. Something to think about...

And when I mean takers, I mean people who support liberal politics, are for labor unions, gov't employees, etc. I have more respect for an immigrant laborer than I do for any government employee

[deleted] 1 March, 2014 10:05 AM

[permanently deleted]

charlie_bodango • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 12:32 PM

I doubt that society would be one worth living in. Men wouldn't be allowed to be men, if current trends continue. What we need is fewer people.

charlie_bodango • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 07:21 AM

That's actually a fair point, although from an individual standpoint, a gov't job could be pretty sweet (as long as you're a woman or minority, "equal opportunity". Fucking Orwellian term). Retire young, job security, and other things that look good on paper. I think that as more places go bankrupt and pensions dry up, government work will become less appealing.

And I agree that shit could get real bad, real soon. I'll hopefully be done living in the city by then, but if not I'm well armed and well trained (speaking of joining a government job for the benefits) and hopefully won't get murdered by the people in my neighborhood for being white (white neighborhoods around here are fucking expensive) or starve to death. Interesting times we live in.

watersign • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 07:21 PM

Living with your parents in the burbs isn't a bad idea'r/

Cold_August1 points 1 March, 2014 07:53 AM [recovered]

I agree with what you say. The only reason why I do nothing to prevent it is because after what you describe masculinity will become a requirement for the continuation of society and the survivors of our little club here on TRP will be kings, princes, and lords of the Americas.

Would you like to know more?

charlie_bodango • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 10:28 AM

Every group says that they will come out on top when the chaos comes. To be honest, there seem to be a lot of lawyers, office types, and other city dwellers. A good physique, confidence, and a fancy suit do not a survivalist make. Now, granted,

compared to those bitches over at tbp who would try to feelings their way out of a bad situation, statistically speaking, people stuck in a city when it all goes wrong are pretty fucked. Haven't seen anything on here about it, so I just assume it isn't on peoples' minds. Most people I know can't do the following: grow and preserve food plants, trap, hunt, gut, skin, and safely prepare fish and animals for food, purify water, build a shelter, build a fire, survive in even mildly bad weather, treat wounds, track people and animals, accurately shoot a gun or bow, read a map (road or topo), read a compass or navigate by sun/stars, hide from/discourage predators, basic mechanics (cars and bicycles) and probably a bunch of other shit I'm probably forgetting. Also, how many have a bug out bag? a plan? I can/ could do all of these things (rusty on some) and the people who will survive, who are experts in it, aren't on an internet forum or in a city (although they're probably alpha as fuck). You guys should learn how to do these things.

cptnja • 7 points • 1 March, 2014 02:01 AM

Well feminism is very similar to socialism, so it would make sense that the oppositions of the two would share similar qualities.

rebuildingMyself • 12 points • 1 March, 2014 04:21 AM

I would say that you can be socialist without being feminist (assigning resources to everyone instead of just women). But feminists definitely thrive under socialism as their entire philosophy revolves around acquiring resources they didn't earn

Redpillc0re • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 09:50 AM

Feminism is very similar to socialism

That's very debatable, although feminists like to exploit the socialist rhetoric so they appear progressive. Their economic and sexual strategies are polar opposites.

"To give a man 5 sous because he is poor and has no bread is perfect, but to give him a blowjob because he has no girlfriend is too much of a good thing: you don't have to do that"

-M.Houellebecq

watersign • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 03:05 AM

marxists, homosexuals and feminists are the evil forces of this world

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 March, 2014 03:08 AM

Homosexuals?

GayLubeOil[S] • 18 points • 1 March, 2014 03:10 AM

Hes mad at all of the disposable income they have

[deleted] 1 March, 2014 03:42 AM

[permanently deleted]

Chippendork • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 06:13 AM

Can someone please explain the connection between homosexuality and TRP? I've

bartended in gay clubs and this stuff would fit right in with the banter. Yeah, I get it, they have emancipated themselves from women, so femo-fascism is especially laughable. But TRP is also full of all this stuff for how to deal with it by being "Alpha" or whatever. Why would a gay man care?

[deleted] 1 March, 2014 06:51 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 1 March, 2014 09:55 AM

[permanently deleted]

Chexxeh • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 10:49 PM*

What is your critique of homosexuality itself or gay culture?

Also, the poster said that 'marxists, homosexuals, and feminists are the evil forces of this world' ... I think that's pretty good grounds for calling someone homophobic.

GayLubeOil[S] • 0 points • 1 March, 2014 10:35 AM

TRP draws in alot of conservatives who are homophobes.

Redpillc0re • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 09:46 AM

What are the good forces?

watersign • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 06:57 PM

The Red Pill. Science. Math. Logic

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 12:02 PM

Notice you left out Nazism.

[deleted] 1 March, 2014 01:41 AM

[permanently deleted]

zeroday22 • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 05:39 AM

Not unless you think all the rich people should be put on an island so that the takers don't take from them. Wait a minute, maybe she was on to something!

watersign • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 01:44 AM

if you're a liberal...no.

[deleted] • 4 points • 1 March, 2014 03:49 AM

beyond its political message, it's an otherwise unnotable book. so i agree, if the political message in it doesn't appeal to you, then the book wouldn't be a worthwhile read

[deleted] • 0 points • 1 March, 2014 04:52 AM

I disagree. It is a good book to read of you want to have your ideas challenged and for having arguments with conservatives

[deleted] • 1 March, 2014 01:45 AM

[permanently deleted]

darklogic420 • 7 points • 1 March, 2014 02:33 AM

Ayn Rand gets a bad rap and is often misinterpreted. She's in favor of individual rights. End of story.

cwm44 • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 02:39 AM

It's overly simplistic, but I enjoyed it. I also thought L. Ron Hubbards Mission Earth books were hilarious though.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 March, 2014 02:53 AM

Your friends don't understand the message behind her writing. It isn't about selfishness. It's about the right to own what you create and give what you wish to give.

BalkanWonder • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 11:08 AM

Your liberal friends are biased against rand.

[deleted] • 11 points • 1 March, 2014 02:17 AM

Reminds me a lot of 1984. Awesome concept. You don't need me to tell you this but you would have a great fucking novel on your hands if you flesh this out.

I would love to read about the resistance and the overthrow of the "Gyno-crazy." Seriously, please keep writing.

KenshinX90 • 6 points • 1 March, 2014 02:33 AM

Please write a book, i will buy it

GayLubeOil[S] • 14 points • 1 March, 2014 02:34 AM

Yea but who would publish it lol?

Top_Ozone • 6 points • 1 March, 2014 03:58 AM

<https://www.createspace.com/>

Do it yourself.

USmellFunny • 3 points • 1 March, 2014 02:46 PM

The Book of Pook ain't an officially published book either afaik. It's the age of the internet, you don't need a publisher, just an audience.

KenshinX90 • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 12:05 PM

Idk but someone will, Dick Masterson(yes that's his real name) has a book titled "men are better than women"

GnakFlak • 5 points • 1 March, 2014 11:29 AM

I want a whole Story made from this. Cyberpunkish-Setting and all!

craylash • 14 points • 1 March, 2014 12:48 AM

I want Bioshock to go in this direction

Theres an ongoing theme on class wars, between the rich and poor, black vs white

A feminist totalitarian city in orbit can work

robesta • 6 points • 1 March, 2014 12:37 AM

You're awesome GLO. More shorts please. You're a talented writer.

GayLubeOil[S] • 25 points • 1 March, 2014 12:38 AM

Thanks guys. I know redpill can be bitter and negative sometimes. There are alot of divorced dads and betrayed boyfriends on here. I think that if I can make these dudes laugh a little; Ill have done a good thing.

imarcink • 8 points • 1 March, 2014 01:42 AM

Pretty hilarious. I can see a lot of women being knee-jerk offended though.

GayLubeOil[S] • 16 points • 1 March, 2014 01:45 AM

Im expecting: GayLubeOil obviously made this up! Why are redpillers so gullible?

imarcink • 3 points • 1 March, 2014 03:36 AM

Haha

GenericHusband • 4 points • 1 March, 2014 01:45 AM

I laughed so loud at "we packed our vaginas with sand" I had to explain to another person what was so funny.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 March, 2014 05:02 AM

I was overjoyed by your lack of pictures this time.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 March, 2014 11:15 AM

Sometimes a laugh is exactly what you need. I can appreciate the satirical play on radical feminism, and sandy vaginas just cracked me up. This place can seem pretty desolate at times with all the betrayal stories, and truth being the cold bitch that it is. Either way, this shit is funny, thank you for that Mr. Oil.

Zackcid • 2 points • 2 March, 2014 12:26 AM

Such beautiful. You should seriously consider writing novels like these. I'd definitely buy a "1984" style book about a feminist dystopia, similar to what you got going here.

positiveeagle • 4 points • 1 March, 2014 02:26 AM

I would have gone with an orgy, or if they weren't attractive an xbox one and titanfall and a massage and food.

chill_geddy • 2 points • 28 February, 2014 11:41 PM

Insightful,prophetic, great use of imagery & funny as shit, well written

[deleted] • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 01:08 AM

Saved.



[deleted] 1 March, 2014 02:49 AM

[permanently deleted]

GayLubeOil[S] • 9 points • 1 March, 2014 02:58 AM*

Well im just going to say that this was a story satirizing radfem. It was supposed to be funny and entertaining. However apparently their are people on the internet with a third grade reading comprehension level, who cant appreciate the subtlety of my work.

Im surprised you didn't bash me for recommending women pack their vaginas with sand.

AlphaWang • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 11:43 AM*

Sup glo,

Long time lurker, first time poster, ever. First off, I'd like to say that I love your posts on the sub. You're a guy who has the balls to say what he means, and mean what he says. The main reason I enjoy browsing this sub is people like you, who don't bother sugar-coating things. Your post on the severity of violence was *spot freakin on* and I've actually bookmarked your black knight post cause I return to it so much.

Buuut... I have to agree with bellyflop on this one. Don't get me wrong, the idea of a gynocentric dystopia is definitely worth thinking about, the jokes were original and funny, and the underdog character standing up to the authority figure makes for an awesome story.

The thing is, I think you took it a little too far beyond what is considered red pill ideology. We know you're joking and having fun with exaggeration because we're familiar with your voice. However, people who don't know you very well can easily misinterpret your story for something borne out of contempt for women. Not only that, but when someone expressed their ideas, you resorted to personally attacking them. :/

I appreciate the time you took in writing this piece, and I definitely enjoyed reading it, but red pill is about facing reality. This work is easily interpreted as more of an idealized fantasy.

Oh and tall slavic and lean 280? I see what you did there xD

Autrileux • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 11:53 AM

god damn, i was about to reply, but you said it in a way i couldnt haha, link to the violence and black knight posts?

AlphaWang • 2 points • 1 March, 2014 11:57 AM

Women don't understand the seriousness of violence

How to Black Knight

GayLubeOil[S] • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 06:12 PM

I don't see any part of castration vans as idealized, but thats just my opinion.

PsychOutX • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 10:56 AM

Stop it with gold?

CropDuster33 • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 04:23 AM

LMFAO!!!!

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 07:55 AM

damn dude the gynocracy shit tested you, you A&A'd and got compliance. GJ.

Redpillc0re • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 08:40 AM

Why do most dystopian tales seem to imply that women will take over? There's less than 0 chance that they'll manage to organize themselves. The perfecting of the artificial womb will render them even less useful than they are

GayLubeOil[S] • 5 points • 1 March, 2014 09:23 AM

Because this is redpill and we talk shit on feminists. Any more questions?

Redpillc0re • 5 points • 1 March, 2014 09:43 AM

YEs, when will the sequel will be posted? Did you fix the Grand Inq's patriarchy issues and was she good in the sack? Does she make a good platter?

ThirdLegGuy • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 10:39 AM

and now all we need for completion is a damn good comic artist

Cashews4U • 1 point • 3 March, 2014 06:52 AM

For any hockey fans: when he says "Russians don't surrender" I was thinking of Ovechkins's "Russian machine never breaks" quote haha

tenpointsix • 1 point • 1 March, 2014 01:42 AM

There's much more with that comes from here: <http://www.reddit.com/r/TalesofPrivilege/top?sort=top&t=all>

ilike2partyhowaboutu • 0 points • 1 March, 2014 01:30 AM

You are doing good work man!!! :)

redditusername58 • -5 points • 1 March, 2014 09:26 AM

I've only been subscribed a few months, so who cares what I think, but this is a ridiculous RP wankfest, and utterly lacking in substance. GLO, I've seen much better, and more useful, from you.

GayLubeOil[S] • 9 points • 1 March, 2014 09:30 AM*

Tone down the butthurt. This was just meant to entertain redpillers. It was a redpill dessert of sorts. I got a stickied post up right now, so as far as content im one of the top contributors.

[deleted] 1 March, 2014 12:00 PM

[permanently deleted]

Doctor_Mayhem • 3 points • 1 March, 2014 07:06 PM

Eh, going gay is like going Mac. Sure, my PC fucks up massively because Windows is a piece of shit operating system, but it's only a matter of time before all my games can be converted to Linux. Sure the Mac runs smoother, better, doesn't give the user a bunch of bullshit, and is less prone to viruses (okay, that last one is the exact opposite of going gay, but go with it), but once again, it's only a matter of time until all my games run on Linux, which is everything good about Mac, but none of the pricetag.

You all can draw your own parallels of my computers to women comparison.

