Patrice O'Neal Stand-up: "The value of pussy is like cars, it ain't like houses."

April 6, 2014 | 57 upvotes | by Casanova-Quinn

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YzWF5uVA_68

Quote from the title starts at 4:30.

TLDW: Patrice says the audience is pussy whipped, 30+ yr old pussy isn't valuable, and women don't understand "man fun".

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

HumanSockPuppet • 40 points • 7 April, 2014 02:00 AM If it flies, floats, or fucks, don't buy. Lease.

```
[deleted] • 4 points • 7 April, 2014 12:48 PM
A-men.
```

MachiavellianRed[M] • 12 points • 6 April, 2014 10:55 PM Please enter a description/tldr with your video link.

FACTS_IN_ALL_CAPS • 1 point • 7 April, 2014 01:38 AM The only TRP value to this video is the quote in the title.

phillyjim • 5 points • 7 April, 2014 05:34 PM*

That's not true at all. Half if it is him shaming guys for letting their women wear the pants

[deleted] 7 April, 2014 04:08 AM

[permanently deleted]

TheDefinition • 3 points • 7 April, 2014 05:57 AM No we're not going to reward your upvote fishing.

[deleted] 7 April, 2014 12:24 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 8 April, 2014 04:42 AM [permanently deleted] [deleted] 8 April, 2014 05:29 PM

[permanently deleted]

vengefully_yours • -7 points • 7 April, 2014 02:30 PM*

Except some cars start gaining value when they are older, which is entirely dependent upon what they are, what engine they had, and other attributes. A 1969 GTO is worth more than a 1969 Polara, and both are worth more than a 1985 Citation.

For new cars it fits perfectly, always depreciating unless its an exotic, and it loses a vast chunk of value by driving it off the dealers lot.

Edit. Wow either you guys don't know fuck all about vintage vehicles, or you misread what I said. Then again you might ride the bus or drive a Honda and think its big pimpin.

[deleted] • 4 points • 7 April, 2014 08:32 PM

You're being downvoted for taking a clear and useful analogy and ruining it. The non-typical exceptions don't show the analogy to be incorrect. It doesn't add clarity. It doesn't further the conversation; it doesn't add

anything. That's why you're being downvoted.

The car analogy works on the surface because there are economical similarities between mass-produced cars and women. The conditions that exist for exotic cars to increase in value (namely incredible scarcity) don't exist for women. Additionally, you can maintain a classic car in perpetuity; either through high-quality restoration or controlling the climate, uv radiation, limiting use, etc. Again, this exception doesn't exist on any level for women. Women are not scarce, cannot be maintained - begin deteriorating immediately upon purchase, and never increase in value. So, not to be a dick, but you basically just jibberjabbed on some irrelevant tangent that detracted from the conversation, and that is why you were downvoted.

[deleted] • -5 points • 7 April, 2014 10:28 AM

wake up call: so is the value of people, guys just get a little more time. every single decision, every single culture is based around that fact in the bottom line.

you have a government voucher and you are to assemble an office of 10 people and have to train the

...houses... they might go up in value over 600 years if properly maintained. humans could too, but properly maintaining them to live that long isn't possible yet.

[deleted] • 5 points • 7 April, 2014 12:48 PM

George Clooney is a great example of a man whose value just keeps going up.

[deleted] • 1 point • 11 April, 2014 05:53 PM

Yeah I guess its possible. I'd rather still have the health and life expectancy but thats just me.