## Is the beauty standards a social construct? In the middle ages fat women were desirable

September 4, 2020 | 2 upvotes | by ForeverInYou

I'm writing an essay and can't find anything non liberal in the internet...

Archived from theredarchive.com

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 1 of 3

## **Comments**

AutoModerator[M] [score hidden] 4 September, 2020 02:04 AM stickied comment

## Please Post Your Question to Forums.red/i/askTRP

## Browse our tribes and forums, or create your own today on https://www.trp.red free!

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

Atomz00 • 10 points • 4 September, 2020 02:17 AM

Scarcity of food would be my guess.

In North Korea at the moment, being overweight is a sign of status. Cause the rest of those poor bastards are starving.

RAGNAR GZ • 6 points • 4 September, 2020 02:13 AM

Alright so say I'm an average chick in say the Frankish Empire. Everything I eat I probably have to grow, or trade with others to get right?

So 21 year old Stacy is only getting a nice plump ass if Billy and Steve her brother and dad have a good growing season and the livestock are healthy.

If you had curves, you were doing well. Now it's easy to get fat, right? Stacy need only to headeth to the local Walmart and fill up on sour cream and onion Pringle's. I'd say that's caused a shift in standards of beauty body wise.

Other then that, rich men marry hot women, that in turn have hot daughters. Always been that way, always will be that way.

Protocol Apollo • 3 points • 4 September, 2020 03:31 AM

Beauty is quite objective (not fully).

Symmetry is a mathematical function. We find symmetrical faces more attractive.

Hip/waist ratio: 0.7. Hourglass figure.

Male v ratio: 1.7

Hell, even height selection is objective.

You only find 7'0 women unappealing because subconsciously your mind has figured out, via mean/mode, what a NORMAL (mathematical function) female height is.

Trp2727272 • 1 point • 4 September, 2020 10:30 AM

1.7 seems mightily low for the ideal Male V ratio

What exactly is it referring to?

Shoulder to waist? Chest to waist? Shoulder to hips?

boy\_named\_su • 1 point • 4 September, 2020 03:09 AM

/ r / blackpillscience / top?t=all

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 2 of 3

beauty is objective

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 3 of 3