

January 10, 2013 | 3 upvotes | by redpillschool

 $\underline{http://whoism3.wordpress.com/2013/01/09/fully-aware-of-the-exact-nature-of-the-proposed-sexual-activi} \underline{ty/}$

Archived from theredarchive.com

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 1 of 2

Comments

redpillschool[S] • 3 points • 10 January, 2013 04:54 PM

Of course, this makes me wonder.. if a woman gets pregnant, despite the condom, from having sex with no intention to have a child, should she then be required to get an abortion.. since doing otherwise is aggravated sexual assault?

zionController • 1 point • 10 January, 2013 06:52 PM

Not according the logic in Canada, where men are disposable and can only commit crimes, not be victims of them.

I either need a time machine or a plane ticket.

[deleted] • 2 points • 10 January, 2013 06:15 PM

Men should take women to court for this shit too.

roscoe_jones • 1 point • 10 January, 2013 05:42 PM

That's why the double jeopardy clause in our constitution rocks. No judge can say "Nah, I think this kids should burn," and overturn an acquittal.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 2 of 2