

15 Reasons Why Toronto Is The Worst City - (This article describes a number of places I've been. Do a reality check for the climate in your area, how much is your city hurting your game?)

March 18, 2013 | 7 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

<http://www.rooshv.com/15-reasons-why-toronto-is-the-worst-city-in-north-america-for-men>

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

1of42 • 14 points • 19 March, 2013 06:54 PM

TL;DR: Roosh is a fucking massive tool and couldn't get laid in one week going to the shittiest PUA-infested bars in the city. Thus, Toronto sucks.

I live in Toronto. My decent-looking, regular guy smooth, not PUA weirdo friends get ass all day and all night. The ones who show up reeking of pickup get looked at as the hilarious tools that they are. Seems like the Toronto scene is pretty much fine.

RedSunBlue • 2 points • 21 March, 2013 01:14 AM

Did this thread get SRSer/white knight bombed?

[deleted] • 4 points • 19 March, 2013 12:59 AM

about the PUA saturation... i was afraid of that. i remember reading The Game in 2006 and thinking, "we're all fucked if this becomes mainstream. sleazy peacocks will be everywhere, thus increasing womens' barriers overall." and so it has come to pass. really, avoid club districts like those described here. i have a lot more fun, spend less, and get to meet a lot more people going to smaller bars and seeing shows. plus, people you meet at rock or funk shows tend to have more character.

roshamboro1 points 18 March, 2013 07:30 PM **[recovered]**

I live in Toronto, a lot of this is accurate, but the racism just ruined it.

redpillschool[S] • 0 points • 18 March, 2013 07:32 PM

Nothing racist about having a preference for ethnicity.

roshamboro13 points 18 March, 2013 08:00 PM **[recovered]**

filled with minority races that don't appeal to discriminating men like myself. While the Asian girls weren't that bad since they adopted a white manner of appearance, the Indian girls were absolutely not attractive.

That's not racist at all....

GaiusScaevolus • -5 points • 18 March, 2013 08:04 PM

He prefers a Western European/ N. America manner of dress. That's his preference. Personally, I'm not a fan of burkas, doesn't make me racist, just means it's not a style preference.

There's nothing racist about knowing what you like.

roshamboro13 points 18 March, 2013 08:10 PM **[recovered]**

I don't want to get into a whole thing on this because it's not a big deal, but when you say "discriminating" people are not into an entire race and that those races are only good looking when they "adopt a white manner of appearance" in my opinion you are just being a racist douche. He didn't just say "I'm not into that", he took it a step further. That's my 2 cents anyway.

redpillschool[S] • -4 points • 18 March, 2013 08:55 PM

So how do you feel about dating fat people? You know they don't get enough love, and

appearances shouldn't affect how you feel. Why don't you do the fat people a service and start dating them.

And heck, men need loving too, stop dating women altogether, there just aren't enough of them. I mean, they're just sexual bits- it's kinda sexist to only want to date women.

roshamboro7 points 18 March, 2013 10:18 PM **[recovered]**

If I didn't want to date fat people I would say I'm not attracted to fat people, I wouldn't say all discriminating people of taste find them unattractive.

RedSunBlue • 20 points • 19 March, 2013 01:04 AM

Rustled jimmies detected. RedSunBlue to Jimmy-Unrustling Station immediately.

Fuck, not again. Source of rustled jimmies, please.

| discriminating men like myself

Enhance.

| discriminating

Define.

| (of a person) having or showing refined taste or good judgment

With respect to?

| a white manner of appearance

Zoom out.

| discriminating men like myself

Wait a minute.

Enhance.

| like myself

Hmm. Zoom out.

| filled with minority races that don't appeal to discriminating men like myself.

Rephrase.

| filled with **non-caucasian** races that don't appeal to **men who have a refined taste with regards to white girls, such as myself.**

treefrog24 • 2 points • 19 March, 2013 02:16 AM

So your comparing people that aren't white with unhealthy overweight people.

redpillschool[S] • -3 points • 19 March, 2013 02:36 AM

I'm comparing one person's preferences to somebody else's.

What's wrong with being overweight or non-white? Are you racist or shaming for body size?

treefrog24 • 5 points • 19 March, 2013 02:55 AM

The fact that you need to have someone explain the flaw in your logic is bad enough. Your correlation between Indian or Asian girls being the same thing as liking fat girls is just offensive from every angle.

redpillschool[S] • -5 points • 19 March, 2013 03:27 AM

Go ahead. Explain why skin color and skin size are different when it comes to my right to a preference. Do it. Bring forth your logic.

[deleted] • -5 points • 19 March, 2013 05:43 PM

Troll harder, your doing it wrong. Racism is viewing another race as inferior for no other reason than their race. Im not attracted to black women. That doesnt make me a racist. Im friend with them, tak to them, view them as people. But I wouldnt have sex with them

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 March, 2013 04:45 PM

You're excluding all black women simply due to their race, and labeling them all "unattractive" without ever even seeing the majority of them.

That is racist, period. It would be one thing to say, "I have yet to meet a black woman that I'm attracted to, but if I did, I'd be interested in dating her" because you're staying open to the possibility of meeting a black woman you're attracted to instead of writing them all off as unattractive. It's a completely different thing to say, "I'm not attracted to black women, and I wouldn't have sex with them, period," because you're excluding an entire race of women, and the possibility that you'd ever find one attractive. Like I said, you have not seen even a large percentage of black women on this planet. Between day-to-day life, television, magazines, and the internet, you've probably barely seen 1% of them, yet you generalize and assume that all black women are unattractive.

Again, that's racist. If you can't wrap your head around that (and I have a hunch that you can't), you're a lost cause, and I'm not going to try talking some intelligence into you.

[deleted] • -2 points • 20 March, 2013 06:36 PM

Your an idiot arguing semantics. Its not racist, by your logic Im a homophobe because im not attracted to gay men.

Its my personal preference. I have no control over who Im attracted to, and I just dont find the majority of black women attractive. A racist is an individual who believes that a group of people is inferior due to their race. I do not. Believe black people are an inferior race. I just am not sexually attracted to black women.

Or am I only racist against black people because I wont have sex with them? What about my friends who are black? Guess I have to fuck them all or Im a racist! If I start sucking black guys dicks cause secretly I crave the cock after a night of nachos and heavy drinking? Am I still racist against all black people, or just black women now?

What about fat white chicks? I wont fuck them I dont find them attractive. Do I hate fat people? Yes? Oh goody! Im such an asshole!

What about your insults, a transparent attempt to keep me from ripping into your pathetic excuse for a logical argument by trying to make me upset with you.

Also, Idiots like you are the reason TRP exists.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 March, 2013 07:16 PM

Keep spewing whatever makes you feel good about your racism, buddy.

[deleted] • 1 point • 23 March, 2013 02:50 AM

Attraction is not a choice. Why dont you ask any homosexual you ignorant fuck.

EdenC996 • 0 points • 20 March, 2013 09:09 PM

Hello, just popping up.

A racist is an individual who believes that a group of people is inferior due to their race.

Are you not a racist then, believing that black people are inferior in regard to their attractiveness?

Forgive me if Im wrong, but this is what I get from this.

[deleted] • 0 points • 20 March, 2013 09:53 PM

No, I am not a racist. You are wrong.

Nowhere did I say that I believe black women are inferior in attractiveness. You are putting words in my mouth and assigning hidden meaning to the words which I have said.

What I said was, and I quote "I am not attracted to black women."

What this means is exactly what is says. I am not sexually attracted to black women. There is no hidden meaning, there is no other agenda, there is no hidden racism, there is no grand conspiracy, this is not the grand arena. There is nothing implying, or mentioning inferiority here in that statement. It is not racist.

Please go back and refresh your English skills. You and I are not speaking the same language.

redpillschool[S] • -5 points • 18 March, 2013 08:48 PM

No, it's not racist at all.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 March, 2013 04:36 PM

Uh, yeah, there is. Claiming a specific race is less-attractive, or unattractive, is completely, unarguably racist. Saying that a specific race isn't "that bad since they adopted a white manner of appearance" is just the icing in the idiotic cake.

redpillschool[S] • -1 points • 20 March, 2013 04:49 PM

He didn't claim any specific race is objectively less attractive. He stated his preference for race, leaving the window open for anybody with different preferences to ignore the advice.

But you knew that, because it's plain english. So, you be trolling.

" if you like white girls"

" 30% of the female clientele will be filled with minority races that don't appeal to discriminating

men like myself"

So if you like minority races, you must not be like him.

[deleted] • 2 points • 20 March, 2013 05:03 PM

Oh, but then there's this little gem:

minority races that don't appeal to *discriminating men like myself*

That little tidbit labels what he says as "discrimination." Discrimination based on what? Race, making it racist.

"But you knew that, because it's plain english. So, you be trolling."

redpillschool[S] • 0 points • 20 March, 2013 05:16 PM

Discriminating based on race.. for his personal preference of who to have sex with.

That's like saying because you only like sex with girls you're sexist against men.

[deleted] • 1 point • 20 March, 2013 05:34 PM

Discrimination based on race is, without a doubt, racist, whether it's his "personal preference" or not. You obviously cannot even form a actual argument for *why* discrimination based on race is not racist (because there isn't one).

Also, comparing the difference between a man and a woman to the differences between a white woman and a woman of another race is beyond idiotic. Take two women of the same weight/body type, but different races, and rip their skin off. They are going to look exactly the same. Take a man and a woman and rip their skin off, and you get a whole glossary of anatomical differences.

For a man, having sex with another man is going to be *extremely* different than having sex with a woman, whereas having sex with a white woman would not be extremely different from having sex with an Asian woman, or a Hispanic woman, or a black woman. It would still be a penis going into a vagina, with a pair of breasts (as opposed to a penis going into an anus, or being penetrated by a penis). The fact that you'd even try and compare the differences between a man and a woman to the differences between women of different races speaks volumes about your level of education.

redpillschool[S] • -1 points • 20 March, 2013 05:53 PM*

Ok so you're pretty thick. Let's parse this.

Discrimination based on race is, without a doubt, racist

Nope. Let's google:

rac·ism

The belief that all members of each race possess characteristics or abilities specific to that race, esp. so as to distinguish it as...

Prejudice or discrimination directed against someone of a different race based on such a belief.

He doesn't want to have sex with non-white people. He doesn't find them attractive.

Does that project a belief that they possess characteristics or abilities specific to that

race?

Further, does he discriminate because he believes they have characteristics or abilities specific to the race?

No. Discrimination in itself is a term that means "selective," as in "doesn't prefer all." If I don't drink all brands of cola, you could say I've got discriminating tastes. Perhaps towards Pepsi. But then, do I hate Coke? Do I hate Coke drinkers? That's absurd.

I already made the analogy but you seem to have completely missed it. Intentionally, I hope (because you're trolling). Because if it wasn't intention, you're just really really daft.

why discrimination based on race is not racist

Because he doesn't presuppose anything about them nor is he prejudiced. Here's a small english lesson for you: It's called context. Words have different meaning in different contexts.

For example: Dad won't let his daughter date black men, which is discrimination. (Racism, assuming qualities of black men are unfit for his daughter).

Dad isn't attracted to black women or their culture, he has discriminating tastes. (Not racism, stating a preference).

Also, comparing the difference between a man and a woman to the differences between a white woman and a woman of another race is beyond idiotic. Take two women of the same weight/body type, but different races, and rip their skin off. They are going to look exactly the same. Take a man and a woman and rip their skin off, and you get a whole glossary of anatomical differences.

For a man, having sex with another man is going to be extremely different than having sex with a woman, whereas having sex with a white woman would not be extremely different from having sex with an Asian woman, or a Hispanic woman, or a black woman. It would still be a penis going into a vagina, with a pair of breasts (as opposed to a penis going into an anus, or being penetrated by a penis). The fact that you'd even try and compare the differences between a man and a woman to the differences between women of different races speaks volumes about your level of education.

You've simply got no understanding of analogies. How old are you? This will be covered in your middle school english class.

Let's simplify because you're retarded:

John doesn't find fat women attractive. Should he ignore his preference because it insults your sensibilities? Is his discrimination against fat people somehow unfair? Should John have any say in what he's attracted to?

This is where I normally leave my argument.. but since you're thick I'm going to draw the connection for you.

If you think it's ok for John not to date women he doesn't find attractive, then **implicitly** in your argument, he must therefore not be forced to date women he doesn't find attractive.

Read that twice. It's not even different words. It's the same sentence twice.

To force him to do otherwise would be oppressive. If he had no choice and you took control of his life and forced him to have sex with somebody he doesn't like.. you could call that slavery. Sex slavery.

And your argument is that the opposite of your slavery is racism?

Hmmmm...

EdenC996 • 1 point • 20 March, 2013 09:21 PM

TL;couldn't pay attention

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 March, 2013 07:18 PM

You can use whatever long-winded, petty argument you want, kiddo.

If you discriminate against people based solely on their race, you are a racist. The rest of it is just an attempt to make yourself feel like less of an ignorant twat.

redpillschool[S] • 0 points • 22 March, 2013 07:28 PM

You see what you just did there? You just tried to explain to me with an "if, then" clause.

If X, then Y.

If you Discriminate, then you are a Racist.

Why should that sentence hold value or meaning if not acknowledging the implicit logic chain you just built?

And if logic is therefore a useful tool, then it must be consistent with itself.

(See what I did there? Another logical condition and implication.)

You cannot say: If you discriminate, then you are a racist. If you discriminate, then you are not a racist.

This is internally inconsistent- without even addressing the question of whether or not discrimination in itself is racist.

If logic is consistent, which you are assuming it is, *because you just attempted to use it to change my mind about something*, then you have to acknowledge that the only way to do disprove or dismantle somebody's logic is to point out where the logic breaks down.

But, you see, here's what you did instead. You just waved your hands and said, "whatever, you're just using words, don't mean nuthin'."

Of course the problem with that argument is that it's self defeating. If words mean nothing, your post means nothing.

It's absurd, and you appear illiterate. It does nothing to shake either my point, my understanding, nor the understanding of any onlookers who have just read this thread and watched me give you the metaphorical beat down of your life.

They teach logic in school, by the way. This time it's free, next time I'm charging.

MightyCrotch • 2 points • 18 March, 2013 07:19 PM

I live in Toronto. I've commented on this before, Roosh went to the shitty "club district" bars when he visited Toronto... for one weekend. There's no way he has a full view of the city - and frankly if this is how much "research" he does for everywhere he visits, it puts a lot less credit on what he writes about places.

Last night - perfect example - hit up Sweaty Betty's on Ossington (FAR away from the "club" district). So many lovely ladies almost begging to be took home. Typically they're in camouflage ("nerdy" glasses, flannel shirt, tights) - but still lots of HB7+ to be had.

All that said - I think every city has the kinds of girls he described. One thing he got exactly spot on is the gorging-on-shit after hours. I live over a "smokes poutinery" and I've started taking girls inside my place the long way around so they don't see it and shriek "ZOMG I LOVE SMOKES" thereby forgetting we're on my way to my place to bang.

quiet_wish • 1 point • 18 March, 2013 11:54 PM

He obviously puts different amounts of effort into books vs. blog posts.

rooshv • 0 points • 19 March, 2013 02:20 AM*

I spent at least 4 nights specifically in Ossington. I don't know what the club district is, because if I did my review would be ten times more negative.

MightyCrotch • 1 point • 19 March, 2013 07:05 PM

Bummer. I remember reading you hit The Maddy and The Drake. Both way over hyped.

The club district is literally called "The Entertainment District", and about 5 years ago it was a fantastic hot spot. These days it's crowded with 905'ers who are bussed in from the suburbs by the clubs. I almost wish you did hit one of them just for the comparison.

HumanSockPuppet • -2 points • 18 March, 2013 06:43 PM

I can't comment on Toronto specifically since I've never been there. But as a six-year resident of Los Angeles and frequenter of the West Hollywood scene, I can say that this phenomenon isn't unique to Toronto.

It seems to me that this is more symptomatic of western feminism aggregate effect on women and society than on a specific region's cultural mores.

And as far as shallow women who believe implicitly that their beauty will carry them through life, you can't beat LA.

[deleted] • 3 points • 18 March, 2013 09:06 PM

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cBiR2rKU69U>

ss_camaro • 1 point • 19 March, 2013 03:47 PM

The man has been to Ukraine. He should be permitted to grieve.

Funny and accurate report; just name the city and fill in the blank.

RedSunBlue • 1 point • 18 March, 2013 11:35 PM

Tokyo's pretty great if you like Japanese chicks.

[deleted] • 0 points • 19 March, 2013 12:39 AM

Just left Toronto after living there for years. The friendliness of women where I am now has been throwing me for a loop. I keep forgetting Toronto is full of assholes. Roosh nailed this one.

[deleted] 19 March, 2013 05:57 PM

[permanently deleted]

zaferk • 0 points • 20 March, 2013 03:08 AM

that only seek out and try to make friends with people of the same race as them

The same is true of all people, include white people.

[deleted] 20 March, 2013 03:23 AM

[permanently deleted]

zaferk • 1 point • 20 March, 2013 04:05 AM

You missed my point.

I'd ask how many white friends you have, but it seems like you already dislike your own group a wee bit.

GaiusScaevolus • -3 points • 18 March, 2013 07:46 PM

From what I read here, this describes most population centers of the western world. Does anyone know of anywhere in N. America that *isn't* shit?

quiet_wish • 3 points • 19 March, 2013 03:15 AM

Roosh loves Montreal.

GaiusScaevolus • 0 points • 19 March, 2013 03:17 AM

Well my passport renewal is about to come through...maybe road trip time

Michael_Cassio • -1 points • 18 March, 2013 07:36 PM

Was digging the article until he implied that Mystery was a joke.

What works, works. This community is about sharing and growing. Not tearing apart and trying to prove you're the best. Sounds needy to me.

If you're good, let your work rise above the rest. Don't try to debunk other people's success in a feeble attempt to look good.

GaiusScaevolus • -7 points • 18 March, 2013 06:34 PM

This. This is my life. The region i'm in, a 5:1 ratio of men/women is considered superb. Plus young people (18-26) have been leaving my state at a rate near 5% per year for a decade. The ones that remain are generally the uneducated, the unemployed, the 20 y.o mommy of 3 and the 350lb bitch who thinks she's a prize, or you're looking at a 30 something (not always a bad option here).

Truthfully, This looks like a rant (and it somewhat is), but I can assure you there is NO exaggeration here. I have a commitment here for 3 more months and then i'm looking south for greener pastures.

[deleted] 19 March, 2013 08:14 PM

[permanently deleted]

GaiusScaevolus • -1 points • 19 March, 2013 08:19 PM

Rural North East

