

The True Nature Of Women

May 22, 2013 | 19 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

<http://www.rooshv.com/the-true-nature-of-women>

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[deleted] • 11 points • 22 May, 2013 06:43 PM

What a great piece.

The good news is that often by the time she has grown tired of putting in the effort to act like a lady, you will have grown tired of fucking her.

I've found this to be the case many times.

musicvita25 • 1 point • 23 May, 2013 09:57 AM

Ashley Cole and Cheryl Cole prime example.

He got bored, and he cheated, and she stayed with him once but second time she divorced him

Hands down she will go back to him

redpillschool[S] • 14 points • 22 May, 2013 04:33 PM

A girl who has known only one container her whole life—a good container—can instantly change containers upon meeting me if my reputation happened to proceed me, and give me the worst of who she is in instant time. I have seen this too many times to discount, and I didn't have to be a scientist for six years to conclude that if men can not keep women in the right container at the right temperature by force, through application of law or shaming, and if men can't manage the environment in their favor, but instead allow women to have unbridled freedom and choice, their women will fall so fast that they will notice the change not in years but in months.

Folks, this is why I'm anti-marriage. Because Roosh speaks about *if* you cannot control the container, you lose. But in Westernized countries, the question isn't *if* you can control the container. The question is *for how long*.

TehGinjaNinja • 10 points • 22 May, 2013 05:41 PM

Agreed

... **if certain conditions arise** (my emphasis) and she is placed in a certain container at a certain temperature, will thrust her worst upon you, and this, I'm afraid, is the true nature of women.

Human behaviour is, by and large, conditional. People react to their environments, and getting pissy about it accomplishes nothing.

This is what frustrates me with a lot of the commentary here on TRP. So many folks here seem to just hate on women, without realizing that women are a product of their environment. Their ancient environment left them with instincts and drives they don't really understand and their modern environment fosters some of the worst of those instincts.

redpillschool[S] • 22 points • 22 May, 2013 05:45 PM

I think the frustration is that we're seeing the first few generations of men who no longer have a system in which they can control the container. Marriage is dead, families are at gunpoint, the fiction of life-long-love is now actually a fiction.

The conditions have changed, but nobody was told.

TehGinjaNinja • 11 points • 22 May, 2013 06:06 PM

The conditions have changed, but nobody was told.

Worse, once the conditions were changed, we were all fed an unsustainable fiction (Blue Pillisms) about the nature of that change. Society is starting to wake up to that fact, but far too slowly.

I understand the frustration, in fact I share it. It's just one of those "light a candle vs. curse the darkness" things to me. People seem to waste a lot of time and effort on hating women for just being what they are instead of learning to manage them.

Respectfully, and I'm no expert, but isn't Game the new container? You can't control her entire environment, but you are a part of her environment and you can control your interactions with her. Yes, traditional marriage is a dead/dying/zombie institution, but we aren't without leverage. Women still want us, still need us, and we can use that to get what we want.

AbraxianAeon • 8 points • 22 May, 2013 05:57 PM

Wait for Strong AI, the artificial womb, and biotechnology.

We'll *make* our own gynoid women, and they'll be wonderful.

MrStinky • 0 points • 23 May, 2013 11:08 PM

I have heard estimates that robots that are indistinguishable from humans will be here in the next 20 years. And a **TON** of men will have Stepford Wives

AbraxianAeon • 0 points • 23 May, 2013 11:48 PM

It will be glorious.

[deleted] • 3 points • 22 May, 2013 08:06 PM

[permanently deleted]

ss_camaro • 3 points • 23 May, 2013 02:57 AM*

"It is never their fault. It is your fault. They are just goofy like that."

This is the stale air of the greater engineered 'feminist container': jump out of a bad relationship and jump back into a toxic social landscape filled with non-accountability, misdirection and euphemisms.

all women of the world would much rather act like a lazy sailor than a prim and proper lady as long as male attention continues to flow.

Blue-PUA keeps the boat afloat... at least for the high SMV years.

AbraxianAeon • 5 points • 22 May, 2013 05:56 PM

without realizing that women are a product of their environmen

Regardless, the hatred is due to a complete inability for women to transcend their limitations.

What separates us from them is that we *can and do* try. Women, never do, unless by some sort of force.

redpillschool[S] • 10 points • 22 May, 2013 06:04 PM

Well, to be fair, men can and do try because without effort, men fail. So you could easily say there's a force there.

VZPurp • 7 points • 22 May, 2013 06:42 PM

Pretty much. We have nothing valuable to fall back on ;)

TehGinjaNinja • 12 points • 22 May, 2013 06:22 PM

"We" are a small subset of men. Most human beings, regardless of gender, never try to transcend their limitations. Most don't even know what those limitations are.

Most people are happy to coast through life "unless by some sort of force" they are compelled to reckon with the realities they'd rather deny. It just so happens that reality is less forgiving of men than it is of women, so more men have woken up at this point than women.

Most women have never heard of hypergamy. Most women don't understand why they lust for bad boys and keep dating ass-holes. Most women are unhappy with the current state of affairs, and they don't even know why.

The average woman is, ironically enough, a victim of feminism just like the average man. Hating feminists makes sense. Hating women in general seems like bad targeting.

AbraxianAeon • 3 points • 22 May, 2013 09:39 PM

This seemingly has been a problem far beyond feminism. Hell even Plato said something akin to women being criminal men in past lives.

TehGinjaNinja • 9 points • 22 May, 2013 09:53 PM

Women's nature hasn't changed. The issue is that now feminism insists that society enable (and even celebrate) some of the worst aspects of that nature, rather than reigning it in or channeling it towards something positive.

Feminism also distorts any discussion of what female nature is, and what would make women happy, by insisting that women must be equal with men in all aspects of society.

redpillschool[S] • 5 points • 22 May, 2013 06:29 PM

I hate feminism for what it's done. I don't hate women, I haven't met most of them. I do hate people who I've met that cross me, men and women alike.

[deleted] • 1 point • 23 May, 2013 08:47 AM

I think I can. Maybe it helps that we are fairly poor, being migrants. My wife's other option is basically working a tiresome blue collar job, living in a rented room, not even apartment, and have nothing to do in the evenings, just being depressed and lonely. With me she totally enjoys decorating a rented apartment, having sex, having something to do in the evenings, like cooking for me, having a drink and talking, she is proud that I can provide at least this much, as we own at least 25% of our apartment and it is not even a very migrant neighborhood, that is kinda big deal, in our own circles we have status. She looks forward to pregnancy a maternity leave as a change from breakback work - we live in a place where the taxpayers pays like 2 years of that. And kids as real goal in life over simply working for survival which is our normal way of living. With a divorce she would get money that pays like 15% of an apartment, probably rent a room, or go back to mom, enjoy the very limited attention women over 30 get, work for survival, have no goal in life, be depressed, get old.

Yeah, I am fairly confident that especially if I spice up our visceral attraction to each other some game, I am pretty safe here.

I think if you are a rich American or similar (say, Aussie), owning a house, generally meet women who expect to be pampered, never worked blue collar, they are either career women with a college degree or the

kind of pampered housewives who rarely cook but often go to the beauty salon... then don't marry. If she expects a diamond ring (mine said nothing more expensive than a silver band, let's save money for the furniture), no marry. If she has the "her big day attitude", don't marry. If she has the "let's find a frugal way of entertaining our kin on the wedding attitude, it's just a thank-you-for-all day to our parents", marry. Etc.

[deleted] • 4 points • 22 May, 2013 07:18 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 6 points • 23 May, 2013 01:46 AM

Gay marriage is a first step to the de-pedestalization and ultimate decline of marriage and the nuclear family

The fuck is this, gay marriage is fine, in fact marriage between two men works out a whole lot better than hetero marriage. So in fact it strengthens it.

permalink