

Thirsty for sex, AskMen drools over, placates and validates a heavy woman

June 25, 2013 | 30 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

http://np.reddit.com/r/AskMen/comments/1h06tg/would_a_girl_being_physically_larger_than_you_be/

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[deleted] • 26 points • 25 June, 2013 03:07 PM

"I am a PETITE, WIDE girl"

Wat.

Those words don't belong anywhere near each other, ever.

Moxiecontin • 4 points • 25 June, 2013 04:08 PM

Pretty sure she means she's short. This comes from women's clothing sizes, where petite sizing is for short women, and not necessarily slim women.

Emberglo • 0 points • 25 June, 2013 04:15 PM

Generally anybody 5'2" or under is called petite. Not exactly the way I view it though!

[deleted] • 7 points • 25 June, 2013 04:44 PM

Petite means small. If you're 5'2" tall and 5'2" wide, you're short, but you ain't small.

Emberglo • 0 points • 25 June, 2013 04:56 PM

Exactly. I feel that petite means short and tiny. But I was saying that in general society, the definition is just being under 5'2". I work at a clothing retailer and our petite section includes plus sizes. Doesn't make sense to me.

pialox • 2 points • 26 June, 2013 01:38 AM

So if you have a 5 inch cock but it is 4 inches thick is it is call petite?

rebuildingMyself • 2 points • 26 June, 2013 05:04 AM

That's a yard gnome

pialox • 2 points • 26 June, 2013 09:25 AM

I would have gone with "Battle Dwarf" but yeh ok.

_Molon_Labe_ • 0 points • 26 June, 2013 12:33 PM

Coke can.

SoftHarem • 18 points • 25 June, 2013 03:37 PM*

Christ on a cracker.

Edit: ...and she is in an open marriage. If you ever needed a tutorial on what *not* to do - marrying a chubby girl and then letting her fuck everyone but you is probably a bad idea.

rebuildingMyself • 2 points • 26 June, 2013 05:05 AM

Yeah, beta-of-the-week candidate right there.

djonmaya • 15 points • 25 June, 2013 03:42 PM

Bodies are important, no doubt about that, but far less so than their personality or how they carry themselves.

lol

rebuildingMyself • 4 points • 26 June, 2013 05:16 AM

I don't have the ideal body, so let me sing you the song of my hamster

FTFY

AlwaysLateToThreads • 15 points • 25 June, 2013 02:52 PM

I really believe the guys are being sincere too. To each their own.

luxury_banana • 10 points • 25 June, 2013 07:32 PM

The post-sexual revolution landscape is a wasteland for the vast majority of men.

JohnPeel • 11 points • 25 June, 2013 02:35 PM

I like how she doesn't show a side angle. 160lbs is a LOT for that height.

AlwaysLateToThreads • 7 points • 25 June, 2013 02:51 PM

I was just about to say she's huge for her height. She needs to lose about 45 pounds.

Nutz76 • 4 points • 25 June, 2013 10:37 PM*

Somewhere in that neighborhood anyway. It really depends on her frame. 115-130# for 5'2" is actually a good zone depending on muscle mass and "petiteness".

Here's an example of what a really fit 5'4" looks like at 142#:

<http://www.nerdfitness.com/blog/2011/07/21/meet-staci-your-new-powerlifting-super-hero/>

If op in that thread was that fit with a similar frame, subtract 10# for the 2" of height , so 132#.

AlwaysLateToThreads • 2 points • 26 June, 2013 02:41 AM

She looks fantastic. I know this wasn't your intention but I found this really inspirational.

Nutz76 • 0 points • 25 June, 2013 10:29 PM

My gf just weighted herself the other night and is less than that at 5'7" and ~25% bf.

Nuke_Jerusalem • 2 points • 26 June, 2013 01:13 AM

I wouldn't trust what she said if she weighed herself, add 10 lbs and 5 percent bodyfat to whatever she said.

Nutz76 • 0 points • 26 June, 2013 01:23 AM*

I was standing right there when she used the scale. My estimation of her being ~25% is based on this image: <http://files.builtlean.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/body-fat-percentage-women.jpg> When we first started dating she was at 18% according to bod pod. Then she hurt her back and couldn't work out for about a year. She just got back into it about a month ago though and she's already making improvements.

Nuke_Jerusalem • 0 points • 26 June, 2013 04:08 AM

That isn't accurate, but what do i care.

puaSenator • 0 points • 26 June, 2013 08:13 AM

I'm having a really hard time accepting that image... I understand BF in men and women is different, but there is no way that can be accurate. That has to be something like 15-17 percent BF

Nutz76 • 1 point • 26 June, 2013 03:48 PM

it may not be accurate but that's what I was going by. do you have any other graphical representations?

_Molon_Labe_ • 1 point • 26 June, 2013 12:35 PM

You're missing the two funbags right in front, and the ass/hips. They are always going to carry more fat than a man because of that, even if they have a flat stomach, or even a six pack.

puaSenator • 0 points • 26 June, 2013 04:22 PM

No I get that, but there is no way it can skew the percentage by that much. I just find it hard to believe.

_Molon_Labe_ • 2 points • 26 June, 2013 08:46 PM

Having tits can add as much as 2-8% on a FIT girl. That doesn't count ass/hips. Add in a that most of America is fat, and yes it adds in that much.

If you find it hard to skew a percentage that much, then you must not know much about having testicles versus ovaries, and what the respective hormones entail.

Nuke_Jerusalem • 1 point • 28 June, 2013 08:59 AM

Ideally women should have double the body fat of men, but of course in today's society it is a little strange. You would be surprised how much fat women carry ass, tits, face arms, thighs,etc. Only place were they don't have that much fat is stomach. But men are more likely to store fat in their stomachs.

[deleted] • 8 points • 25 June, 2013 04:12 PM

I don't see any difference between either of those pictures. Am I being an idiot?

ChaoticParadox • 3 points • 25 June, 2013 08:32 PM

No, I looked at them last night. She barely lost maybe an inch or two in that time. She was never unattractive at any point, and was clearly just posting for validation. Girls pull this shit constantly to fish for compliments. It's basically like Facebook, but you can post semi-nude/nude photos and reap massive amounts of confidence karma. Browse /r/amiugly for a little while and you'll see tons of super attractive women seeking validation from desperate loser guys on there.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 June, 2013 09:22 PM

oh dear god that sub is a gold mine of mental complexes.

ChaoticParadox • 2 points • 25 June, 2013 11:01 PM

The saddest part is how the people who are unfortunately not all that attractive hardly get any replies or upvotes on a reddit specifically designed to help them. There's help to be had, but too often the focus is on a good looking chick who just wants more attention.

MockingDead • 5 points • 25 June, 2013 03:12 PM

For reference

I like how there are no side pics or face shots.

Can 5"2' 160 lbs be sexy? Sure. Is it worth drooling over? Meh, not really.

And just 8 lbs more is awful

[deleted] • 4 points • 25 June, 2013 03:35 PM

It will always amaze me how people let their bodies go to shit like that

rebuildingMyself • 5 points • 25 June, 2013 05:45 PM

But the pizza tastes soooo good!

MockingDead • 1 point • 26 June, 2013 12:42 AM

Having done it, I am still amazed.

RC_5213 • 2 points • 25 June, 2013 06:28 PM

NOPE

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 June, 2013 10:16 PM

thanks?

TypicalBetaNeckbeard • 1 point • 25 June, 2013 06:38 PM

Great link man, thanks.

[deleted] • 3 points • 25 June, 2013 03:18 PM

"I don't go in for that stuff ... compliments to women about their looks. I never met a dame yet didn't know she was good looking or not without being told." *Stanley Kowalski*

That thread about A Streetcar Named Desire reminded me of the quote.

[deleted] • 6 points • 25 June, 2013 06:44 PM

EDIT: Removed post due to super creepy PMs.

Hope that's beta creepers and not TRP insulters.

rebuildingMyself • 2 points • 26 June, 2013 05:19 AM

Knowing the public kiss-asssery she was getting, my guess is the former

[deleted] • 4 points • 25 June, 2013 02:57 PM

yea she defo needs to loose weight..... having said that, i'd still fuck her

chiffonade- • 4 points • 25 June, 2013 04:06 PM

how could you possibly get a boner.

VZPurp • 2 points • 25 June, 2013 09:05 PM

He's a sex_bot. Reprogrammable standards.

[deleted] • 0 points • 26 June, 2013 03:15 AM

Standards?

pat11 • -2 points • 25 June, 2013 06:52 PM

Because tits.

AlwaysLateToThreads • 1 point • 25 June, 2013 10:52 PM

Fat titties!

[deleted] • 3 points • 25 June, 2013 03:34 PM

She doesn't look bad. I don't see the issue here beside the obvious attention whoring by a married woman

[deleted] • 7 points • 25 June, 2013 03:50 PM

"Open marriage", lol. Her hubby must be such a catch.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 June, 2013 03:29 PM

where r the pics

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 June, 2013 03:33 PM

Holy crap, that's pathetic.

Not so much the weight issue (to each their own) but the obsequious attitudes on display in there. "Oh you look fine, please give me the time of day."

TehKazlehoff • 2 points • 25 June, 2013 08:45 PM

someone reup the pics.

ReturnThroughAether • 2 points • 25 June, 2013 09:30 PM

There's no way... "if he isn't begging for it, he doesn't deserve you". Is this real life? And dudes glad to have girls with the same/heavier frame?

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 June, 2013 03:39 PM

I advised her to lose fat for her own sake. Given that fat makes women less fertile, I am fairly sure evolution does not reward lower fertility with feel-good hormones.

[deleted] 25 June, 2013 04:58 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 4 points • 25 June, 2013 05:35 PM

Depends on how much fat you're talking about. Once you start to enter landwhale territory, other problems are going to arise.

rebuildingMyself • 8 points • 25 June, 2013 05:46 PM

Then the body digests the baby in between meals?

throwawaygonnathrow • 4 points • 25 June, 2013 07:44 PM

It's on both ends. Super fatties and anorexics are both less fertile.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 June, 2013 08:18 PM

She is not bad looking. Given that so many people in the U.S. are horribly overweight, she is probably above average. However, average should not be the benchmark that people aim for, because people are not born average. She probably has superior genetics, which allows her to look okay even though she isn't taking care of her body.

But this actually gives us a good lesson on human psychology. She isn't dumb, she knows where she stands. She knows that losing weight would make her look better. She knows that losing weight would make her more healthy. However, people typically find it more important to get validation from others. They end up riding the emotional roller coaster of feeling great when other people validate them, and feeling like shit if other people do not. That is not conducive to long term goals. The emotional roller coaster is why people end up on swing diets, they starve themselves to get skinny during swimsuit season and then they binge and get fat in the winter.

Long term success comes from staying rational and seeing the truth. Some people are addicted to feeling good and they think that this "positive reinforcement" will actually help them with their goals. I disagree with this idea. Deriving your value from other people's opinions is a very shaky foundation and sets you up for an epic failure. Inevitably, people get tired of giving validation all the time and you are left flopping around wondering what happened rather than methodically working towards your goal.

Nutz76 • 1 point • 25 June, 2013 10:27 PM

I'm late to the party. Someone please define what she meant by larger. I'm about 5'6" so a lot of women may be larger from a height perspective, maybe even from a build perspective if they're really fit like some of the women at my CrossFit affiliate. Given the obesity epidemic I'm going to guess fit isn't what she meant by larger.

GlennBeckAmerica • -3 points • 25 June, 2013 04:56 PM

For my preferences, her body is great. I love full bodied girls.