

All Women Are Sheep

September 23, 2013 | 27 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

<http://www.returnofkings.com/17452/all-women-are-sheep>

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

gmflag • 30 points • 23 September, 2013 03:05 PM

Like many of the comments said, many men are also sheep. It is easier to follow others and let them make decisions.

I think we have all been guilty of that. Though once we broke that paradigm, we began to realize how liberating and empowering it was to be in control of our own destiny.

The Red Pill philosophy helps accomplish that.

On the other hand:

Like someone said, I have yet to see a woman create a new industry or field of study. Women are always following what is the "cool kids' crowd".

Example 1: fitness. Back in Reg Park's, Frank Zane's, and Arnold's day, gyms were rust buckets full of sweaty dudes. Someone mentioned they were pretty much hot spots for gay guys to pick up other gay men. Once these guys got famous and became stars, especially Arnold, all of a sudden everybody wanted to get into fitness.

Look what happened now. We have women's only hours, Curves, zumba, yoga, etc. Thank god, there are men protesting women's only hours.

Example 2: Look at STEM (Science Technology Engineering Mathematics), one of the last bastions of male space. Feminists are fighting hard to get women in STEM. Government has caved and made all these initiatives to facilitate it.

Yurwrstntmre • 10 points • 23 September, 2013 03:13 PM

Agree. The main thing here is that most people in general are sheep, male or female. Taking no initiative.. look no further than what passes as entertainment today. Networks realized if they made enough noise about awful reality tv shows, millions will still watch them for no other reason than because others are doing it.

through_a_ways • 1 point • 25 September, 2013 08:26 AM

Networks also realized that if they made a shitty sitcom with occasional references to science factoids that a 9th grader would know, that millions would watch it to fit into a certain subculture, despite it having no comedy value whatsoever.

VinylGuy420 • 1 point • 24 September, 2013 12:31 AM

Prime example, the new show on one of the national tv channels, Sleepy Hollow, a new take on an old classic they say. Honestly its stupid as hell. But I know plenty of people who watch it to talk about it at the water cooler. Its sickening how stupid this shows plot is.

Meterus • 0 points • 24 September, 2013 02:39 AM

I'm hoping they show the one lady cop naked.

HumanSockPuppet • 12 points • 23 September, 2013 03:40 PM

Example 2: Look at STEM (Science Technology Engineering Mathematics), one of the last bastions of male space. Feminists are fighting hard to get women in STEM. Government has caved and made all these initiatives to facilitate it.

Yeah, and young women still want nothing to do with STEM, because it's more work than they'll ever have to do considering that they have easier options while they're still attractive.

Men line up to give pretty women easy PR jobs, or to hand over fistfuls of cash for a chance in the sack.

People choose the paths that gain them the greatest reward for the least perceived amount of effort. Women will always get handouts, so the number of women in STEM will never match that of men.

anon_k • 6 points • 23 September, 2013 05:25 PM

Actually I would say a lot more women are getting into STEM, I don't know whether its through affirmative action or not. The average premed at the university I went was and ABC (American born Chinese) female. Math and Stats were close to 50/50 male female ratio. Biology also majority female. Chemistry and Chemical Engineering were actually closer to 50/50 male female again. Of course ME, EE, CS, MSE, AE were all strong majority male. But BioE had a strong majority female. Women seem to trend towards the biological sciences and surprisingly Chemistry.

But I will add a disclaimer. A lot of these women are not the type of girl you want to date. Very career focused and definitely want to be at least equals in relationships with men.

HumanSockPuppet • 7 points • 23 September, 2013 10:46 PM

Actually I would say a lot more women are getting into STEM

I'm less interested in the number of women majoring in STEM. More interested in the number of women who **graduate** from STEM.

Majoring in something is easy. Just declare it on a form. Poof, done. Graduating is something else entirely.

But all of that is irrelevant. The more amusing idiosyncrasy is that feminists are pushing to get women into STEM. You know, instead of just majoring in it themselves. It's easier for them to watch other women do all the hard work and then congratulate themselves on their intelligence by being a member of the same sex as someone who accomplished what they couldn't.

anon_k • 4 points • 23 September, 2013 11:44 PM

The two girls I know who dropped out went into premed and graduated in that.

But your last statement is too true. Feminists are obsessed with women in STEM but do they go into STEM? No, they go into women's studies or some bullshit wasting their parent's and tax payer's money. Fucking ridiculous.

[deleted] • 3 points • 23 September, 2013 07:37 PM

Women seem to trend towards the biological sciences and surprisingly Chemistry.

I've noticed these 50/50 ratios as well, especially in those two fields. Physics not so much though. But plenty of women in the Bio/Chem departments. There were also quite a large number of them going into math education.

anon_k • 1 point • 23 September, 2013 07:54 PM

I would be really interested to hear an explanation for why women are so drawn to Chemistry. Definitely a field I thought would be strongly male dominated.

kratol1 points 23 September, 2013 08:34 PM **[recovered]**

In high school, the hardest to easiest science subjects are:

1. Physics <- Lots of math!
2. Chemistry
3. Biology

Also there is a segment of math majors that find doing math problems easier than programming computers for computer science. On top of that there are comp sci majors that don't like to program o_O.

anon_k • 0 points • 23 September, 2013 09:13 PM

Actually I thought Chemistry was hardest and Physics was easiest. Same in College. Biology wasn't that bad, but I think I would have hated college level Biology.

VinylGuy420 • 2 points • 24 September, 2013 12:34 AM

But how many of these women drop out because its "too hard" and go into nursing or teaching because its "more rewarding "

anon_k • 0 points • 24 September, 2013 12:46 AM

I mentioned in another comment I only know of two females who dropped out on engineering, but they went into premed instead.

And nursing is still pretty STEM.

VinylGuy420 • 1 point • 24 September, 2013 01:02 AM

But a way easier STEM field compared to most

anon_k • 1 point • 24 September, 2013 01:06 AM

Probably. But I would think still harder than most humanities.

VinylGuy420 • 1 point • 24 September, 2013 01:13 AM

True

gmflag • 1 point • 23 September, 2013 06:09 PM

They are the women that bought the "have-it-all" myth Feminism has spread. It's a foolishly idealistic myth.

Look what happened to Ann-Marie Slaughter. She had a piece in the Atlantic about it.

The myth crashes down hard on women.

Lots of women don't realize child rearing is hard and difficult.

anon_k • 8 points • 23 September, 2013 06:45 PM

Some of the girls I have met, I swear, are looking for a wife, not a husband. One girl even blatantly told me she wanted her husband to raise the kids if she had any. I pity the "man" who marries her.

[deleted] • -2 points • 23 September, 2013 07:47 PM

So men can't nurture kids and still be men? Sounds like sexist bullshit to me.

[deleted] • 3 points • 24 September, 2013 02:03 AM

I honestly don't think that's what anon_k meant. What I see him meaning: women have run away with their desires, let them grow in dark, unseen corners and now they have these desires that can be monstrous and non-conforming to the way relationships should be..or benefit from being. a lot of beta men will "do anything" to please a girl, a girlfriend, or a wife and what kind of father is that? i couldn't respect my father once i found out just how much of a push over he is to my mom and how she has and has had him by the balls for 25 years of their marriage. he has a backbone with some things, and in those areas, i follow him but otherwise i question his judgement. i think that is more along the lines of what he meant with his comment. good fathers are good fathers because they are good males, not because they are women with dicks.

[deleted] • -1 points • 24 September, 2013 01:56 PM

relationships should be

Who are you to dictate what a relationship should be? Undoubtedly this woman's pool of dating options will be lessened by the fact that she's looking for a possible stay at home dad, but if she can find a compatible man, power to them.

a lot of beta men will "do anything" to please a girl, a girlfriend, or a wife and what kind of father is that?

Nurturing, softness and devotion to one's family is not the same as being spineless or a pushover, in a man or a woman. The notion that a male primary caregiver is somehow a woman with a dick and an aberration to be disdained is only perpetuating this myth of "one definition of masculinity" that puts so much pressure on men.

Everyone gets to make their own choices, with regards to career and relationship and the interplay of the two. If you think an ambitious woman is letting her desires run away into dark, unseen, non-conforming corners (hyperbole much?), then don't marry one. But don't shit on the men who want to be full-time dads, and are only now getting the opportunity to do so as women start to earn enough money to support a family.

[deleted] • 0 points • 24 September, 2013 10:48 PM

in my first line: "What I see him meaning:"

This was just an interpretation. Take a deep breath and relax. There is not one definition of masculinity, I agree with you. I WANT TO BE A FULL TIME FATHER, while my wife is a registered dietician. She does the housework while I homeschool the children and fix up the house. Don't judge without knowing the full picture, or you're just as bad as you assumed me to be.

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 September, 2013 12:32 AM

Oh, no. Just to clarify, my main problem was with the original commenter's use of quotation marks around the word man, as though a man being a stay at home dad and hubby to a career-oriented lady was somehow implicitly forfeiting his masculinity. It's a particular pet peeve of mine to see men denigrated that way. No offense meant!

[deleted] • 5 points • 24 September, 2013 11:07 AM

Sexism in itself is not bad. Sometimes discriminating based on sex is a good thing.

[deleted] • 2 points • 24 September, 2013 01:46 PM

I didn't say it was, or that a person's sex should be irrelevant-- I'm just saying that the implication that men aren't suitable primary caregivers for children is factually incorrect, and to call "manhood" into question with respect to stay at home dads isn't terribly progressive.

With women moving into the workforce, and earning more post-secondary degrees than men at present, stay at home dad is a financially feasible option for men now in a way that it hasn't been in past. This is reality-- we are not going back to the 50s.

Obviously men who don't want to raise kids while the wife brings home the money aren't going to want the position, and in no way have I suggested that they be forced into it. I also think that denigrating men who choose this path for themselves as being somehow less manly is obnoxious.

gmflag • 5 points • 23 September, 2013 05:08 PM

We can see the feminist army try. Just sit back, snack away on popcorn, and watch the entertainment ensue.

gekkozorz • 16 points • 23 September, 2013 06:26 PM

Strong feminist womyn starts studying Engineering or Computer Science;

Realizes two semesters in that it's hard

Drops major, gains Women's Studies with a minor in Dance

Writes article for Jezebel stating that her patriarchal Engineering teachers intentionally made work harder for her, specifically, in order to oppress her

HumanSockPuppet • 8 points • 23 September, 2013 10:52 PM

It's much more insidious (and hilarious) than that.

You're correct: a woman would never admit to her own laziness or ineptitude after dropping out of a STEM major.

But her response is to misdirect the source of her difficulty.

Instead of saying "Shit, the universe is a hard place to get by", she says "Men aren't making this easy enough for us."

Feminists don't realize that they're assuming the role of traditional women: expecting men to make the world a more habitable place for them. They're handicapping themselves by not confronting the universe at it's default difficulty level.

They're kicking their own ideology in the cervix.

rebuildingMyself • 7 points • 23 September, 2013 03:17 PM

Meh, the only "industry" I've ever seen women create (based on the fluff filler news articles/stories) is something that helps other women. In other words, sets up an "old girls' club"

Borso • 2 points • 23 September, 2013 04:55 PM

Exactly because of that I like to be weary of using universal statements, they can make the author come

across as ignorant of such exceptions.

no_game_player • 1 point • 24 September, 2013 12:43 AM

*wary

...sorry.

Isarin • 2 points • 24 September, 2013 01:00 AM*

Look at the military. Lower requirements for women. I don't see how it's gratifying to get into something with a handicap; it's not like women are incapable of meeting the regular benchmarks. There aren't that many and it takes hard work, but it's possible. At the very least, you proved yourself and have shown that you deserve to be there through your own sweat, and not a through a free ride. Same thing goes with STEM fields. These shouldn't be male/female only fields, but don't lower the bar on account of gender. I'm a biomed engi major at a large school, and the number of sheep in my freshman Engr intro courses was cringe-inducing. Granted, they were all flushed out by the next year.

through_a_ways • 1 point • 25 September, 2013 08:23 AM

the number of sheep in my freshman Engr intro courses was cringe-inducing. Granted, they were all flushed out by the next year.

I've found that you can often be a good student and still be a "sheep".

no_game_player • 3 points • 23 September, 2013 06:33 PM*

Site seems to be down at the moment.

Edit: Update: they now redirect to a twitter post saying to check back in 20 minutes.

Edit 2: And it's back. Finally reading it heh.

Edit 3: Okay, I may be a city boy, but that's not a picture of sheep... ;-p

Edit 4: Pretty simple article. Seems fine so far as it goes. As another comment noted, people in general are like this. Some would claim TRP itself is an example of it. We look to gain confidence, fitness, experience, financial strength, etc. for its own value but also to follow role models and impress and influence others.

"There is nothing new under the sun". It's just about whether you're following cleverly, because the path seems well chosen, or just following without thinking.

mojo_magnifico • 3 points • 23 September, 2013 09:58 PM

This is more of a yuppie suburban trend. I find girls (and guys) tend to be much more comfortable with who they are and unafraid to show off independent flair in big cities.

[deleted] • 4 points • 24 September, 2013 12:49 AM

Most people in general are sheep. Women are sheep with whatever the fuck it is they do and men are sheep with things like money, going to the gym and getting women.

And I keep noticing more and more that men are also sheep to fashion.

through_a_ways • 0 points • 25 September, 2013 08:13 AM

men are sheep with things like money, going to the gym and getting women.

False. Men don't like money, fitness, and sex for the same reasons that women like certain handbags and

clothing brands. That's just bad reasoning.

Some men are sheep to fashion, but not nearly to the same extent that women are. There's also a difference in caring about fashion as dictated by yourself, as opposed to how others dictate it (the former is normal, the latter is conformist).

There are conformists and non-conformists among both genders, but I suspect that the ratio is less sheepish with the guys. Let's say 95:5 in women, and 80:20 in men?

FeelingSassy • 2 points • 24 September, 2013 06:17 PM

Lost me at the picture of cows sorry.

Whyver • 2 points • 23 September, 2013 07:40 PM

This is the sort of irrationally over emotional garbage I expect out of women. Terrible article.