

Feminists Are Successfully Creating A Society Of Shameless Sluts

59 upvotes | 17 October, 2013 | by redpillschool

<http://www.rooshv.com/feminists-are-successfully-creating-a-society-of-shameless-sluts>

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

puaSenator • 37 points • 17 October, 2013 09:47 PM*

Oooh. I'm just now getting through a long piece on just this topic. I'll give the one page TL;DR quickie version: It's no mystery that women tend not to even care at "why" men feel certain ways about things, since they are so emotionally tied to the now -- they just care about how things make them feel.

The slut topic is the most significant reflection of this misunderstanding. Logically, on the outside you'd think that men -- the sex that absolutely is fascinated and driven by sex -- would encourage sluts. You'd think slut shaming would be reserved only for women shaming other women. Yet, strangely men slut shame women? Why? It seems counter productive for achieving our objectives.

Women simply don't understand this, so they are trying to force men to change their values to suit them (notice a trend here?). Women can have all the standards and values in the world, but soon as men try to have their own standards that are different from women's, that's sexist!

The problem is because women fail to think "why?" They aren't even attempting to get inside the brain of a man, and think, "Why do men seemingly like sluts, but at the same time shame them?"

The answer is simple. Sluttiness is something men want in throwaway women. Women that we want nothing to do with. Women that can fall for our game, give us what we want, by fulfilling our sexual desires without the commitment. That's why men like to fuck sluts. So when we see girls slutting around we think to ourselves, "Oh, she's one of those throwaway chicks. Okay, I'll go have my fun with her."

See, when a man sees a woman that he wants to have sex with, it's purely physical. We don't have to like her personality, enjoy her insights, be drawn in by her feminine, or care about her values. Long as she's attractive enough and willing to have sex with us, we don't really care. We are just here for sex. She can be the most annoying and awkward person on the planet. We just look at her, physically, and think, "Yes or no?". I guess I will fuck that. It's literally that. It doesn't require anything else to arouse us into fucking. If a guy is going to fuck you the first night, don't assume you have a relationship chance. Don't think he's bringing you to bed because of your personality, your values, or your similar opinions. You've literally only had to pass one test for the ONS: Are you attractive enough for him to fuck you. That's it.

Women, on the other hand are attracted by the full package. Looks are pretty low on that list. They like the confidence, the mystery, the suave, the humor, and being drawn in by his masculine. She wants more than just the physical body. She wants so more. This is why you don't see female 7s running around having one night stands with 5s. Unlike men, they are having one night stands with men above them on the latter, not down. You aren't often going to see a cute chick hanging all over and trying to fuck a moderately attractive guy that's socially awkward, nervous, and annoying. It just doesn't happen. Because that type of man can't ignite her emotions. It's impossible for that guy to get her aroused, unlike men who just need to imagine her naked.

See, when men enter a relationship, it's an emotional affair. And what we want is emotional commitment from a woman. We need to trust her with our emotions now on the table. Now, if she's an emotional polygamous, fucking every guy that arouses her emotions -- it scares the shit out of us. We don't want to risk investing our emotions into someone who so easily gets emotionally involved with so many different men.

Men, when looking for LTRs, want women with higher values and awareness. We want women who aren't allowing themselves to be treated like disposable fucks by other men. She only fucks in relationships with men she likes, and knows that likes her back. This shows to us men that she's not the type to sleep around by lustfully falling into every guy she finds charming. When she sleeps with us, it means because she actually cares about us. She's the commitment type we respect.

But no. Women fail to allow men to have their standards. And now look at this fucking mess. Divorce rates are through the roof to the point men are just giving up on marriage. Men are actually having a harder and harder time to find quality women.

But since men, inherently are disposable, we aren't allowed to bitch about the situation. We are expected to just man up and deal with it. That's the nature of being a man -- our absolute disposability. We just have to put up with it, because if I don't, no worries there is a horde of other men with viable sperm lined up to take my place for refusing to play the game.

Now, I'm not bitching about the situation, let me make that clear. But I just want women to understand the men's side to it, and understand that their actions are having consequences. If she's finding it harder and harder to find a man willing to commit. Well, her sex created this situation. They got greedy and have begun to hurt the very foundations that they desire.

EDIT: Formatting

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

rebuildingMyself • 8 points • 18 October, 2013 01:04 AM

You don't wine and dine your porn? You animal!

YouDislikeMyOpinion • 2 points • 18 October, 2013 08:39 PM

This shows to us men that she's not the type to sleep around by lustfully falling into every guy she finds charming.

To the women reading this, those guys are out there. They can play with your emotions like Yo-Yo Ma can play the cello.

whatever6 • 3 points • 18 October, 2013 08:16 AM

I think the main factor in why men think sluts are disposable/worthless is because you can never trust a slut on who the father of her child is.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • -5 points • 18 October, 2013 01:10 AM

[permanently deleted]

Meterus • 13 points • 18 October, 2013 05:08 AM

You hate women who won't have sex with you but you slut-shame the ones that do.

What I want is a woman who's easy for **me** and hard for **other men**.

puaSenator • 17 points • 18 October, 2013 01:32 AM

I don't mind having this conversation, because it's a good one to have. But I'd appreciate it if you didn't use sarcasm. I see some points you are making in there, but your hyperboles and sarcasm make it difficult to have an honest discussion.

Do you want to talk about this or not? I recommend you start by actually reading my post.

So women who have sex a lot are disposable sluts but it's totally okay for men?

The whole point of that post was to address this very point. Context is everything. I thought I made it very clear. While it seems hypocritical, it's really not once you look at the subtle underlying motivations between each. It's not "just" sex. It means different things to each gender.

Men and women perceive the world differently, it's just a fact -- I'm sorry. If you don't believe me, go ask a female to male transexual yourself. They'll have a lot to say on how incredibly different the way they viewed the world and values after getting testosterone treatment.

Don't shame us men for having values that you don't like. I'm sure most men don't like the fact that women are attracted to wealth, but you don't hear us screaming how not fair it is. We just deal with it and either choose to play the game or not, and suffer the consequences.

I find it absolutely ridiculous that men can't have their own set of values. It seems like the only values women approve of men having are the ones that they themselves also find valuable. But soon as it's something women don't agree with, all of a sudden we are wrong.

I'm sorry. That's just life. You still have the right to sleep around all you want. And men still will marry you. But if you want a quality man in life, they probably aren't going to date someone that's known for fucking tons of dudes.

Protip: If you do want to have sex all the time because you love it. Get a FWB. It's much better to have return sex all the time with one dude rather than multiple dudes over the same period.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

Petrarch1603 • 9 points • 18 October, 2013 02:26 AM

where did he call women disposable? In your first post you seemed like you wanted to have a discussion, but this comment is getting hysterical.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

YouDislikeMyOpinion • 3 points • 18 October, 2013 08:55 PM*

A fine example of an argument with a woman.

Man tries to explain a phenomenon in detail, where men want to fuck sluts but not have them as a wife. Woman implies that the man hates women who won't have sex with him, even though he said nothing of the sort. Man further elaborates on already an already detailed post. Woman gets emotional and tells the man that calling women disposable is "fucking stupid and misogynistic", while the man said nothing of the sort. A Bystander makes it clear that the man didn't call women disposable. Woman takes back her comment, snidely says that she will be back if she gets bored enough.

Edit: Please don't remove the reply to this comment. Just leave it there for everyone to see

how some women like to play ball. Also, I guess that lunch date didn't go over well.

rebuildingMyself • 1 point • 19 October, 2013 01:28 PM

Yeah I suppose we should start quoting troll posts if we engage in case they rage quit and nuke their comments later.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

raloa • 9 points • 18 October, 2013 05:34 AM

This is not an acceptable response. We're talking about a very sensitive topic, why must you rile people up with offensive language.

Especially when we have someone that's giving us a perspective on what women think of the subject first hand.

[deleted] • 0 points • 18 October, 2013 02:16 PM

Because it's a reference to the movie Clerks, and is entirely appropriate. Why must I rile up this person? Because the discussion was about generalities and this ass hat comes in and starts whining because she didn't like what she saw. Tried to turn the discussion into a validation for her promiscuity and completely missed the point about why guys do what they do. You can't come in here and try to shame guys for what they do. It's absurd.

SkyrimNewbcomment score below threshold • -5 points • 18 October, 2013 05:14 AM

37 dicks!

puaSenator • 12 points • 18 October, 2013 02:11 AM

I never called women disposable. In fact, men are disposable. That's how society treats us. Men are disposable because the sheer fact that we don't have the responsibility of creating and raising children. It's why women are the first off a sinking boat. The men can all die, but life will go on. This is the very reason why men are expected not to bitch about the system, because again, if we want to become more than disposable, we need to create value that makes us less disposable.

Secondly, of course you are different. I'm not talking specifically about you, but women in general. I don't know you, so I can't comment directly about you. Why are you taking this as a personal attack when I have not once directed anything at you personally?

We are talking about social terms, this is not physics here. It's impossible to say anything is 100% true all the time when talking about social aspects of human interaction. In the life sciences, there are only probabilities, not certainties. Do you honestly think what I'm saying applies all the time to everyone in every instance? Come on. I'm not saying women are robots either. But generally, this is how men and women behave. We can play the exceptions all day, but generally women men that are tall. Or generally people get nervous around celebrities. Of course that's not always the case, but it usually is.

Also, with the wealth thing. Again, I'd really like it if we could have this discussion without you just trying to look at it from a preconceived notion rather than having an open mind about it. I'm not saying women are gold digging whores and they all get their pussies wet when a guy with

money comes around. In fact, a small fraction of women are gold diggers. However, they still do tend to enjoy the privileges that come with a man with money. Trust me, I know. Soon as my best friend sold his company, he had a lot more interest from really amazing women. There is nothing wrong with women wanting financial security. But to deny that women tend to be attracted to wealth is just naive.

EDIT: Sorry but just the amount of solipsism is incredible here. The idea that you honestly think we look at this from a perspective of women being robots that are all the same, and if we just put in the right inputs at the right time, they'll do whatever we want. That's fucking incredible. Not a chance.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

puaSenator • 9 points • 18 October, 2013 02:29 AM

Well, again, you are talking in absolutes, and I'm talking about generalities. But what you are saying is generally true for men, except for the relationships part. I believe most men want relationships with quality women.

I mean, this is the real world bud. Do you honestly not think these things we are discussing tend to fall onto a bell curve? While everyone is different, most people fall into the bell curve of our generalizations. Obviously, some more than others.

And there is nothing wrong with that. It doesn't make them bad people. People that don't fall on these general bell curves aren't more "genuine dudes" than those that do. In fact, I'd say that ones that are open about it are more genuine because they aren't trying to pretend it doesn't exist.

[deleted] • 8 points • 18 October, 2013 06:21 AM*

Nice try.

I commend your effort. I was hoping she would at least address some of the topics which you clearly stated but instead she just got offended.

What got under my skin is that she brought up "race". Race and gender are *not* the same. It's rather insulting when feminists try to equate sexism with racism; two totally different issues.

edited

puaSenator • 9 points • 18 October, 2013 06:45 AM

Thanks. I honestly tried. But it ended up being futile. It was clear she wasn't actually trying to understand my arguments. It was sort of like arguing with a creationist about evolution. I'll give a logical lecture on the case for evolution, and then they retort with, "Well then how come we still have monkeys?!"

In that moment, you realize they aren't listening to you. They aren't trying to comprehend or understand.

I mean, trying to respond to her was like fighting a wet cat. Every response she had, I just thought, "First off. I never said that. Second, you shouldn't have even said that because I just addressed that. If you want to attack my points, please feel free. But what you are arguing right now has nothing to do what I just said."

I wasted a good hour with this lady, so hopefully someone else, somewhere took something out of it.

[deleted] • 2 points • 18 October, 2013 07:06 AM*

It was sort of like arguing with a creationist about evolution.

Yeah, that is exactly what it was like. She had her view and no matter what you said she didn't care to listen and wrote responses that made no sense. Based off of what she wrote she sounded as if she weren't (wasn't?) of a sound mind.

I got something out of it. I haven't lived in the US for a long while and in the past 2 years or so I have begun seeing some straight malarkey popping up on my Facebook wall. I left the US an open-minded liberal guy (socially and economically) and still hold the same views as I did when I left, but damn... I mean the entire term "slut shaming" as a bad thing makes less than no sense to me.

No one would have a problem shaming me if I walked around with dirty-ass clothes and stank-ass breath. No one would have a problem shaming me if I picked my nose and scratched my ass in public. Fuck, I would get shit if I causally used the words "fuck", "asshole", or "shit" in public.

To make shit worse feminists hijacked this term I believe. I think slut shaming was specifically used for teenage girls who had sex or "sexted" and were bullied by their peers. "Slut shaming" has nothing to do with a grown woman being called a hoe because... she is a hoe. It has to do with kids bullying other kids when a child (usually a female) makes a mistake with or has her body taken advantage of.

It's virtually unbelievable.

YouDislikeMyOpinion • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 08:59 PM

Exactly what I felt. I would have at least appreciated if she would have said "I agree with this thing that you said, but I disagree with so and so".

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 5 points • 18 October, 2013 06:29 AM

Damn, I was really hope you would actually have a discussion.

"Let's agree to disagree."

is a cop-out way to part ways.

"they don't pretend to be really into a girl only to fuck her and dump her later."

Who said that is was what TRP is about?

Most of the time it's about getting women to buy us men drinks and to be totally honest with women regarding their looks and attitudes. Lying is a sign of weakness and cowardice or at the least it is someone trying to avoid punishment or any negative repercussions.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 07:55 AM

You really need to buff out your debate skills.

3MinuteHero • 4 points • 18 October, 2013 11:14 AM

I need to point this out because I see a bunch of people do this in all sorts of arguments and it bugs the hell out of me. When someone says something to the extent of, "This group tends to behave this way," or "Most members of group X engage in Y behavior," **you aren't saying anything by proclaiming that you aren't one of those people.** If I tell you that 90% of redditors are neckbeards, it literally makes no sense for you to shout, "Well *I'm* not one so you're wrong!" No sense.

It's okay to generalize and judge. To claim that you don't do either of those things is one of the biggest lies you can tell anyone, including yourself.

[deleted] • 8 points • 18 October, 2013 03:41 AM

Grow up and make your own decisions. Accept the repercussions. If a woman were to turn away a man with too many notches on his belt, than he too faces the consequences of his actions. Just don't expect everyone to airbrush over your past just because you try to shame them into your lifestyle.

[deleted] • 6 points • 18 October, 2013 06:37 AM

Word. Up.

This is what it all comes down to. I don't think the issue is about notches but more with regrets or blotches. A notch is a sexual accomplishment / someone you are proud of and enjoyed sleeping with a blotch is someone you didn't enjoy being with or are ashamed of.

I know men and women with both... however in *my* particular experience women take it more harshly where as men usually just seem not to care.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 02:18 PM

Blotches suck. The unfair part for males is that sometimes those Blotches happened when they were raped by a woman (like what happened to me), and your friends instead of consoling you, laugh at who you slept with. But we are adults living with our decisions and experiences. No one gets a free pass.

[deleted] • 2 points • 18 October, 2013 09:49 PM*

I am sorry to hear that man. I know how you feel.

I got totally shit faced one night, blacked out, and came to in the backseat of my buddy's car with a female acquaintance of mine riding me. This would have been fine BUT she was engaged to someone. As soon as I realized what was going on, I stopped her said I didn't feel good.

Instead of taking me back to my friends, she left me in the fucking bathroom of the club.

That same night another friend of mine ran up to me and kissed me on the mouth. Although, I'd much rather have ended up with her that night, it would be totally unacceptable if I ran up and kissed her. Might get me attacked or kicked out the club.

Thing is if you turn the tables on those situations it is fucking rape and unacceptable behavior but since we are dudes it's just "funny as hell".

I dunno. I shouldn't have gotten *that* wasted. I take responsibility for my actions and all that but what makes it rape to me is that she was engaged and that instead of taking me back to my friends she dumps me somewhere.

The facebook message she wrote to me a few days later was fucking crazy.

NeedsMoreData • 12 points • 18 October, 2013 01:32 AM

It's not difficult for a woman to be a slut. Most can walk into the street, yell I want to have sex, and have a dozen takers.

Hence - women slut, not commendable.

Its CONSIDERABLY more difficult for a man. They actually have to try to be a slut.

So yes, it is different. news flash, men and women are different. THEY LIED TO YOU.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

gopher_glitz • 9 points • 18 October, 2013 01:49 AM

It's not okay for men to lie to women or break their hearts to get laid, but if a man is handsome, rich, funny, charming and attractive women are all over him why wouldn't he bed them? It has a certain level of commendability because it's not easy to do. Women can bed men at any time any place so it's not commendable at all. David and Goliath is a popular story because of the same reasons. Nobody would be impressed with a full grown man beating up a kid. Also, general biology.

[deleted] • 2 points • 18 October, 2013 03:41 AM

Cry.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

sluttybitchtits • 8 points • 18 October, 2013 01:23 PM

quick question, why would any trper have an issue with a girl that likes sex?

NeedsMoreData • 1 point • 19 October, 2013 07:49 AM

Because most men would prefer to have safe and fulfilling relationships with women?

It's the society and environment that drove this movement, not innate desires.

Always going to be the outliers, the cads, the players (the original term), but the red pill rarely goes down easy, its a painful disappointing process. We want it to be different, but the vast culture changes, the unbalanced legal system, no fault frivorces all seem to have made it so much harder.

semigod_ • -4 points • 18 October, 2013 01:25 PM

an honest answer would probably prove your answer, so expect a lie or no answer.

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

semigod_ • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 04:41 PM

probably

&

expect

Eloni • 2 points • 18 October, 2013 12:22 PM

it's totally okay for men?

I don't have sex with men, so I don't care.

30303030303030 • 26 points • 17 October, 2013 09:24 PM

Fine by me, it's bad news for society and future but I don't care anymore.

Don't get married, don't get involved, wear a condom and fuck them. Sluts make my job easier so I like them.

[deleted] • 24 points • 17 October, 2013 10:20 PM

[permanently deleted]

30303030303030 • 2 points • 18 October, 2013 03:31 AM

true

I still want a kid, Disney like family picture is gone from my head though.

bitcoin_lady • 2 points • 17 October, 2013 11:51 PM

From my understanding, you need a girl that:

Had few partners.

Is not a party girl, not have many party girlfriends.

Has absolute trust in you.

What else besides this?

[deleted] • 3 points • 18 October, 2013 03:17 PM

Biggest one for me is, a girl must have a good relationship with her father.

veggie_girl • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 05:23 PM

Hire a surrogate.

thr0waway0014 • 15 points • 17 October, 2013 11:03 PM

God I love the top comment:

Why should a man with abundance ever commit to a slut? That's buying a used car for the same price as new while you could still change car everyday for free. Makes no sense. Of course women with alot of mileage under the hood dont want to listen to that, so they try to trick their younger and still chaste sisters to become sluts, so men have no choice but to marry one. **We as men aka buyers in the relationship market not only have the power to demand a better product, but we also have the responsibility of doing so otherwise**

we all get ripped off.

And ladies if you want to be sluts, knock yourself out. Just dont expect me to buy you breakfast when I already fed you my seed last night, and your beta boyfriend kept trying to call you, wondering when you were going to come home, while you were coming in my bed.

The bold text is my "problem" with so many men nowadays [it's a problem comparable to 'Tragedy of the commons']. Due to most men putting up with tons of shit, the average quality of women has gone down drastically for the last few generations [as there is almost no incentive for them to be higher quality]. Good news for those men who only care about sex [it's cheaper than it's ever been], but bad news for men like me who are looking for a quality woman for an LTR. What would have been a "standard" quality woman 50 years ago is now a high quality woman, and the high quality women from back then are the unicorns of today.

[deleted] • 10 points • 18 October, 2013 04:05 AM

[permanently deleted]

NeedsMoreData • 2 points • 18 October, 2013 02:56 PM

Reluctantly accepted, still hate it. On a personal level it makes sense. On a society level - bad sauce when only the people who don't care are reproducing.

rapey_raperson • 12 points • 17 October, 2013 11:22 PM

I think you underestimate women: they are fully capable of becoming shameless sluts without feminism influencing them.

VZPurp • 6 points • 18 October, 2013 12:54 PM

Just give them social media and smart phones.

Wolfwoodd • 12 points • 17 October, 2013 08:36 PM

I don't know about you guys, but I could use more "shameless sluts" in my life. I don't really see the problem here.

/spinning plates FTW

HappyJerk[] • 13 points • 17 October, 2013 10:08 PM

I don't really see the problem here.

It is a problem. It causes serious psychological damage to women. It causes psychological damage to men too, but I don't think as much.

Wolfwooddcomment score below threshold • -9 points • 17 October, 2013 10:15 PM*

Bullshit. Lets see some sources.

EDIT: Guys, this isn't a damn cult. SCIENCE, or GTFO. I can't believe I'm getting downvotes for this.

EDIT2: Doesn't matter, had sex. Keep the downvotes coming.

HappyJerk[] • 4 points • 17 October, 2013 10:17 PM

why would i respond to your hostile post if you're gonna cop an attitude?

Wolfwoodd • -4 points • 17 October, 2013 10:28 PM

<http://xkcd.com/386/>

Because, and maybe I am reading into this in the wrong way, you are telling me that having multiple sex partners is psychologically damaging. First, it's insulting, as I have had many sex partners. Second, I have seen no evidence of that in my relationships with sexually adventurous women. Third, your statement, if true, would give women an incentive NOT TO HAVE SEX WITH ME. Therefore, my default is to be very, very skeptical of your claim.

Now, if you can show me evidence to back up your claim, then I will admit that I was wrong. However, you made the statement so the burden of proof is on you.

xkcd_transcriber • 6 points • 17 October, 2013 10:28 PM

Image

Title: Duty Calls

Alt-text: What do you want me to do? LEAVE? Then they'll keep being wrong!

Comic Explanation

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 03:57 AM

Married?

Wolfwoodd • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 03:42 PM

Engaged. Open relationship.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 04:56 PM

So no plan on having kids, right?

Wolfwoodd • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 08:57 PM

I plan to have enough children to populate a small town.

NahDudeFkThat • 8 points • 18 October, 2013 04:07 AM

stds

much harder to find a girl who commits to you because she's too busy "spinning plates" and sucking different dicks every day

etc.

the main reason sluts exist is because they overcompensate for their lack of marriage/GF value. in short, sluts arent repulsive because theyre sluts, theyre repulsive because they cannot get a quality partner without easily opening their legs

i guess a slut would be OK to mess with (no LTR), but only if her history has been with QUALITY partners

RobotCookie • -4 points • 18 October, 2013 12:56 PM

That makes you a slut by your own definition, right?

DavidTIntellectual • 5 points • 18 October, 2013 04:15 PM

No, it makes him a stud.

RobotCookie • 0 points • 19 October, 2013 07:51 PM

You red pill guys are funny, haha. This was the most hilarious random subreddit find of the day for

me. Well, /r/shittyfoodporn was pretty funny too.

gohammer3 • 8 points • 17 October, 2013 07:40 PM

The word "rape culture" is overused by these delusional and easily offended feminists.

finalfantasybrownies • 25 points • 17 October, 2013 08:51 PM

It's overused every time it is used.

iseeyou1312 • 6 points • 18 October, 2013 10:40 AM

Not when describing the prison system which is what it was initially used to describe. Feminists hijacked the term with their made-up (literally) statistics.

rebuildingMyself • 5 points • 18 October, 2013 03:59 PM

They tend to ignore prison rape as well.

VZPurp • 2 points • 19 October, 2013 03:30 AM

They don't give a shit.

RedFerrumy • 4 points • 17 October, 2013 10:25 PM

This is great news! I love sluts!

kilik2049 • 2 points • 18 October, 2013 09:12 AM

Well, I like tattoos and Skrillex cut :(

Terry_Bruce_Dick • 2 points • 18 October, 2013 11:47 AM

I'm kinda ok with that part, because I like getting laid, and I have no interest in a relationship.

lifesbrink • 4 points • 17 October, 2013 07:46 PM

I don't understand the tattoo bashing. What is wrong with tattoos?

[deleted] • 26 points • 17 October, 2013 09:02 PM

They're a textbook example of a red flag: not necessarily bad by themselves, but very often associated with things you want nothing to do with.

Also, beautiful girls absolutely don't need them.

puaSenator • 22 points • 17 October, 2013 09:30 PM

To me it shows how prone to the wall they are. They live too much in the now. They are obsessed with their short term beauty. They aren't taking into regards that in 20 years, it's going to be trashy. Personally, I don't want my wife in her later years with huge tattoos all over her body.

[deleted] • 27 points • 17 October, 2013 10:07 PM

"Good evening, ambassador, this is my wife, Jessica. As you can see she partied hard in her 20s."

Helmut_Newton • 7 points • 17 October, 2013 10:53 PM

Yeah, imagine a girl trying to explain to her kid(s) why she has a "Cum Dumpster" tramp stamp.

Rienfleche101011 • 28 points • 17 October, 2013 08:25 PM

Well, I don't find them attractive on women. Not to say that other guys don't.

But, in my experience it's something that takes away from the overall beauty of a chick.

It's like hey, here's this amazing looking girl.

But, then you see the giant scorpion on her back or the zombie draped all around her left arm.

I dunno. I'm certainly not a speaker for every guy out there.

But if I had to take a guess, I'd say that most guys would choose a non tattooed version of a 10 than a tattooed one.

[deleted] • 5 points • 17 October, 2013 10:18 PM

[permanently deleted]

Rienfleche101011 • 7 points • 18 October, 2013 12:12 AM

Yeah, tramp stamps I don't mind as much.

It's not in a place that's seen in public so that is a plus.

But good lord, when they combine leg and chest tattoos with piercings and teal coloured skrillex hair.

I mean, even if she's in shape, it's just awful.

TannhauserOverture • 0 points • 18 October, 2013 02:48 PM

i dont even like tatoos in porn, just saying

RedSunBlue • 21 points • 17 October, 2013 10:47 PM

Ferraris don't need bumper stickers.

hashtagpound2point2 • 5 points • 18 October, 2013 02:59 AM

<http://i.imgur.com/3lFHq.gif>

phathack • 9 points • 17 October, 2013 10:02 PM

I don't understand the tattoo bashing. What is wrong with tattoos?

Aside from those that got them while in the military, Tattoos have always been associated with Criminals & Gangs. Pimps Tattooed their girls to prove who they belonged to.

IMHO women who get tattoos like this chick are showing how irresponsible they are in not considering how those things will look when they get older. Subconsciously they are proudly proclaiming look at me I'm a Slut and don't give a shit what anyone thinks or what I look like.

As a business owner I have several women working for me as sales people. I won't have someone covered in tattoos representing my company as a sales person.

On the plus side it's a giant red flag that says this is not a woman to have a relationship with.

salami_inferno • 1 point • 19 October, 2013 09:48 AM*

Aside from those that got them while in the military, Tattoos have always been associated with Criminals & Gangs. Pimps Tattooed their girls to prove who they belonged to.

How old are you? I'm 22 and I've never associated tattoos with gangs, that's shit my parents and

grandparents do. I know plenty of people with tattoos that are good people so I don't hold the association that tattoos mean sketchy shit. I wouldn't date a woman with tattoos since it's not what I'm looking for but to me it doesn't automatically mean dirty slut. The most loyal woman I've ever dated had 2 tattoos, she was also the only woman I've ever dated that had any. Now in all fairness they were classy and in places that can easily be hidden with clothing. Tattoos in places that can't be hidden are an immediate deal breaker for me.

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 October, 2013 08:54 PM

It depends, if its a small tattoo that can be covered im fine with it. But big tattoos and ones that can't be covered show me this girl demonstrates poor judgment and doesn't think ahead about her actions. I do find them attractive sometimes, but I wouldn't enter a LTR with a girl with too many

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 07:25 AM

I was wondering that as well. I think a lot of the guys here are a bit too extreme in their beliefs about women with tattoos. If anything I've seen more bad behavior from women who don't have tattoos, so whatever.

I think the right tattoo in the right place can be quite attractive, myself. It's just another accessory, like an earring. Albeit, one that can't be taken off without lasers.

magicalbird • 1 point • 17 October, 2013 08:40 PM

It all depends on the tattoo for me. If it's just flowers for flowers sake what a loss of potential.

gopher88 • 0 points • 17 October, 2013 09:41 PM

Stop Victim blaming!

[deleted] • 2 points • 18 October, 2013 12:47 AM

Isn't there a lot of talk about "spinning plates" here? Why shouldn't women be "sluts"? Slut away...

FortunateBum • 1 point • 19 October, 2013 08:59 AM

Is "slut shaming" really a thing? All I know is that I'm happy when a chick has sex with me and I like that she enjoys sex. What's the problem? Who is doing this "shaming"? How is it being done? I honestly don't think I've ever witnessed "slut shaming" in real life.

DavidTIntellectual • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 04:13 PM

Sidebar material

Mike_The_Bike • -4 points • 17 October, 2013 10:43 PM

Can't say I agree with this guy fully, if we believe in the right to sleep with who we want when we want, then women should too.

The cheating video was kind of unnecessary too for his point.....just seems like this guy is extremely bitter over a passed relationship, I get it we've all been there at some point in our lives.

[deleted] • 0 points • 18 October, 2013 04:28 AM

I'm trying to figure out why more sluts is a bad thing? I love sluts! Fuck them and treat them like the trash they are! :)))

• points • 1 January, 1970 12:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

VZPurp • 1 point • 18 October, 2013 12:52 PM

bitch ass cunt nigger fuckbags

What?