## Re: The Decline- Ironwood Speaks: Welcome To The Puerarchy. This Is What The Hell Is Wrong With You.

June 6, 2014 | 70 upvotes | by redpillschool

 $\underline{http://puerarchy.com/2013/07/16/ironwood\text{-}speaks\text{-}welcome\text{-}to\text{-}the\text{-}puerarchy\text{-}this\text{-}is\text{-}what\text{-}the\text{-}hell\text{-}is\text{-}wr}}{ong\text{-}with\text{-}you/?1}$ 

Archived from theredarchive.com

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 1 of 4

## **Comments**

HAMMURABI • 24 points • 6 June, 2014 05:48 PM\*

It meant the choice between shouldering the responsibility of raising a family or . . . not.

right. the assumption that you can renegotiate your part of the deal as you like and your counterpart will leave his part completely unchanged - it is this assumption that nurtures and feeds feminism.

there is an even more obvious occurence of this assumption than gender roles: the age of first marriage.

feminism told women to go out and have fun until their ovaries are about to die, and then find a man to marry and live happily ever after. that wouldn't be a problem - after all, men are always horny and always wanted to marry her, so finding a man shouldn't be a problem, right? ... right?

\*e : i just came across this thread and found this a hilarious illustration of what i just wrote.

http://www.redditlog.com/snapshots/691145

a 33 y/o career woman is single because no one is good enough. explanation:

There are plenty of fish in the sea... right?

```
JP Whoregan • 19 points • 6 June, 2014 06:00 PM
```

Yep. Think about how much it would suck to be a single, unmarried man in his early to mid-30's if women adhered to their gender roles and got married between 18-22. The pool of pussy would be grimly low, and the choices would be pretty much limited to the aged divorcees, ham planets, and swamp donkeys.

That's what the feminism-adhering "career women" never took into account when they started driving this nonsense. There will always be a younger crop of carousel riders behind them doing exactly the same shit they did in their 20's. And women like, have always liked, and will always like older men. It's biology, it's how the SMP works. And feminism can't fight biology, try as it might. So all the aging feminists have to fight guys chasing younger carousel-riding feminists is shaming tactics.

Problem for them is, guys aren't listening anymore.

```
Crazystarf • 2 points • 6 June, 2014 06:16 PM
```

It is possible to be in your mid to early 30s and snag a younger woman in her early-mid 20s. Considering that the wife was way younger than the husband back then, it's possible that the age gap between the husband and the wife was greater than it is now.

```
kick6 • 2 points • 6 June, 2014 07:51 PM
```

The marriage age gap does seem to be narrowing, and that's due in large part to the shaming tactics that /u/JP Whoregan mentioned. And as he also mentioned, guys aren't listening anymore.

```
vengefully yours • 1 point • 7 June, 2014 05:13 PM
```

Here is a bit of info. Men in their forties can fuck early twenties women just as easily, if not more so, as the thirty something. I'm not even in great shape but the early twenties girls are not only available but very willing. They are fun with the catch and release program, and quite a few aren't minding if you fuck her friends.

Crazystarf • 1 point • 8 June, 2014 02:47 AM

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 2 of 4

I haven't seen much of this scenario happen so I'm not familiar with this type of concept, but I'll take your word for it; there were instances where 20s girls would cling on to 40 or 50 yr olds like they were dying of thirst (although status may have had to do with it).

vengefully\_yours • 1 point • 8 June, 2014 02:56 AM

I'm not amazingly handsome, only 5'8", not rich, but have game and look OK, and don't be afraid to talk to the young ones. They are usually pretty easy.

redpillschool[S] • 21 points • 6 June, 2014 04:39 PM

But you cannot argue that ignoring one's traditional gender role is a virtue in one sex and a vice in the other, not and be intellectually honest. A male's traditional gender role was not, as the feminists mistakenly thought, to work while his wife was at home. It was the voluntary acceptance of responsibility for the health, safety, and prosperity of his family. Men went to work not because we enjoyed it so gosh-darn much – those 50s housewives may have thought it was all sexy secretaries and fascinating projects at their husband's office, but the reality was more grim. The simple fact is that a man works because that's what he needs to do to support his family.

[deleted] • 16 points • 6 June, 2014 07:29 PM

Yeah, women abandon traditional gender roles yet expect men to stay in theirs. Newsflash: it doesn't work. Men are abandoning their roles in droves *as a response to women abandoning theirs*. Feminism. You get what you pay for.

[deleted] • 3 points • 7 June, 2014 04:58 AM

I wish women would realize this. Despite what you hear on NPR, normal men don't go to work for personal fulfillment. They work because they have to.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 June, 2014 04:08 PM

That was pretty much the whole point of the show Breaking Bad. A man provides for his family.

[deleted] • 16 points • 6 June, 2014 05:09 PM

The most salient point that I ever gleaned out of "manosphere" thought was from this article- "You cannot revolutionize in a vacuum." There will always be collateral damage, some of it not seen until years later.

Upon realizing this fact, the history of feminism came into stark clarity. It was they who had smashed the traditional gender roles and were making the assumption that men would just keep up with the status quo. Most, if not all, of their posturing can be linked to this fact that they want all the benefits of their traditional role with none of the drawbacks. And when men claim the same right, they become incensed.

It was also immediately clear to me that most women were, to some degree, victims of this terrible charade. Their years of prime fertility, frittered away at the urging of their families (who in previous generations would have known much better) and friends (telling them "to really live" aka become a slut). And when the emotional balance ends up lacking when they hit the wall, they are angry. A great number of them fail to make the connection and blame men for this problem, when it was in fact a system that was broken years before they were even born.

JP\_Whoregan • 5 points • 6 June, 2014 03:57 PM

/u/Archwinger has competition for post of the week award. Great read.

www.TheRedArchive.com Page 3 of 4

```
kick6 • 5 points • 6 June, 2014 07:48 PM
```

I'm a big fan of the way Ironwood writes. It's clear, it's concise, and he doesn't use a lot of TRP jargon so one would hope that you could actually use his blog(s) to break a bluepiller in one TRP without them really knowing it.

FLFTW16 • 2 points • 7 June, 2014 05:40 AM

It's rare to find such a well written piece that keeps readers engaged through the entire length of the article. This should be post of the week.

```
niggerjewOFFICIAL • 0 points • 7 June, 2014 05:58 AM
Published July 16, 2013
```

FLFTW16 • 2 points • 7 June, 2014 06:21 AM

this post was submitted on 06 Jun 2014

TRPers frequently call attention to years-old material. The discussion is happening here and now.

<u>www.TheRedArchive.com</u> Page 4 of 4