

"The hypothetical woman with an IQ as high as mine is out of luck. She can either marry down, which women hate to do, or compete for a small pool of men that has been reduced by one."

April 7, 2015 | 59 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

<http://alphagameplan.blogspot.com/2015/04/hergomous-hogamous.html>

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

HAMMURABI • 21 points • 7 April, 2015 06:23 PM*

right, though the topic of iq in women is not as binary as it initially seems.

if looking for an LTR, i'd argue the best material are the ones who are slightly above average (i.e. 105-120 IQ on SD16 scale).

above causes problems in so far as she falsely believes her intelligence make her any more attractive (i cant fuck your phd in theoretical physics, sorry) and likely having developed a tendency to discuss every minor fucking thing (the typical mensa chick - after all, they are still women).

below causes several problems, e.g. a lack of self-control, not understanding that men wont be around her once she hits her late twenties, not understanding that actions have consequencens, etc., i.e. generally lacking the ability to think long-term.

IllimitableMan • 12 points • 7 April, 2015 10:49 PM

below causes several problems, e.g. a lack of self-control, not understanding that men wont be around her once she hits her late twenties, not understanding that actions have consequencens, etc., i.e. generally lacking the ability to think long-term.

These dumb bitches are what I call "monkey women." Great for a fuck, terrible conversation. Impossible to love because she's too far gone upstairs.

Ill_mumble_that • 3 points • 8 April, 2015 11:41 AM

God bless these stupid women. My dick hath been sucked many a time by such wonderful creatures. Not bad in relationships either really, they treat you like you are a god most times. But yeah, I wouldn't want such a woman mothering my children

WardlyHasted • 17 points • 7 April, 2015 08:46 PM **[recovered]**

These cultural stereotypes and gender biases are inhibiting women from being seen as equals. Rational and educated women are being ignored and chastised for their intelligence.

This is the problem. These aren't cultural stereotypes or gender biases. This shit is hardwired into our biology. In the past, there was no requirement for women to be intelligent. Women gave birth and looked after children. Men solved the problems and men were relied on to support the tribe. Because of this, men became attracted to signs of fertility -- big breasts, wide hips, youth -- not signs of intelligence.

This was the case for thousands of years. Just because women started getting PhDs, doesn't mean they can change thousands of years of evolution -- no matter how loud feminists want to kick and scream about it.

__ROOSTER__ • 10 points • 7 April, 2015 09:01 PM **[recovered]**

Millions upon millions of years; long, long before we were homosapiens

teradactyl2 • 7 points • 7 April, 2015 11:19 PM

Changes can occur quite rapidly. Ashenkazi Jews bred selectively for intelligence and made a noticeable impact in less than a few thousand years.

They're dramatically overrepresented in Nobel Prize winnings, Chess championships, among other

successes.

destraht • 5 points • 8 April, 2015 04:46 AM

Jews are clannish and rig games and really live for that. They are certainly not a stupid group but really they have been in the PR game for a long fucking time. The Old Testament. What is that? Its a PR tome that is thousands of years old. They are dominant in Hollywood and media. Again more PR.

oldredder • 10 points • 8 April, 2015 02:27 AM

They're not dramatically smarter: it's called rigging the game. Get an influential position & knock out others from even competing before they can be proven better.

[deleted] • 10 points • 8 April, 2015 12:58 PM

Their mean IQ is nearly a full standard deviation above the Caucasian mean. They represent an outsized proportion of the most successful scientists and other jobs very highly demanding of intellectual horsepower. They are nearly exclusively various flavors of upper-middle class. But sure, I bet all that is nothing but conspiracy.

Colorful_Harvest • 9 points • 8 April, 2015 06:29 AM

Oh vey! The goy know! Quick, shut it down!

AFPJ • 5 points • 8 April, 2015 02:54 PM

Def. agreed with your point of rigging the game but there is no shortage of statistics that Ashenkazi Jews are, on average, 1-2 SD above every other minority / whites. Religion-hardwired eugenics have worked out splendidly

pl231 • 1 points • 9 April, 2015 03:35 PM [recovered]

except for all of the genetic diseases they have a propensity for.

oldredder • 1 point • 16 April, 2015 05:48 AM

rigging the game means rigging who is counted for the statistics.

newls • 10 points • 7 April, 2015 11:35 PM

There was a TedX talk done by a female academic who tried to 'hack' online dating, and kept talking derisively about all the pretty and nice girls who got all the attention.

I think it might have been pre-TRP but I remember feeling instinctively disgusted by this woman's attitude. She was overweight, patronising, not nice to look at, and she seemed to feel entitled to a good man because she genuinely believed she was the perfect catch with her PhD and job and whatnot.

[deleted] • 2 points • 8 April, 2015 06:36 PM

This link. This woman is a repugnant 3/10 at best. She put up fake profiles with pics of gorgeous women but with horrible things to say. Any guy who ignored the bad and remained interested in the "hot but rude" woman was blocked in her real profile.

Little did she know she was doing the guys who messaged the fake profile a favor.

http://www.ted.com/talks/amy_webb_how_i_hacked_online_dating

newls • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 07:21 PM*

She was projecting her resentment of all the pretty girls and legitimising it as some 'experiment'. But hey she got a beta provider like she wanted in the end. Bitches gonna get dudes.

__ROOSTER__ • 27 points • 7 April, 2015 07:07 PM

Women's IQ distribution curve looks nothing like men's. There are numerically far more "smart" men and men are much smarter, men are also much dumber. We are the experimental chromosome and we only need a minority of us to be succesful to enhance the species. Women are average.

The issue is a woman with a 115 IQ combined with her life-long pussy pass thinks she's a fucking genius. The chance she's ever been engaged in debate at the same level as a man is slim to none, and if she ever has been she most likely broke down in tears.

Education does not equal intelligence. More women are seeking higher education. They aren't more intelligent.

An old GF was a fairly high level executive at one of the biggest tech companies in the world. There is no way she could compete intellectually with the men around her. She was a former runner up Miss CA though.

Basically a decently smart bully with tits who almost always got her way, she's post wall but in that industry it doesn't matter, she will have to be WAY post wall before her strategies don't work on the betas around her.

Women want a man smarter than them, they want more educated also, probably because they can display that to others where IQ is more difficult. Basically smarter, more educated, richer, more sexually valuable,miss anything ?

vandaalen • 20 points • 7 April, 2015 08:21 PM

Women are average.

I just recently talked to a friend about this and I phrased it as "Women are doomed to mediocrity."

Just have a look at college statistics. There might be more women than men with a college degree by this time, and yet nobody could ever name to me:

Five exceptional female scientists (and I do not mean pseudo-science like sociology)

Five exceptional female composers

Five exceptional female leaders

Five exceptional female economists

and so on

which were exceptional even compared to their male competitors.

Even when allowed to choose freely from any period of time, I have yet to meet somebody who could provide enough for one of those topics.

As a man you've got many opportunities to fail big time in just any field in life and end up on the ground, but there is most likely this one thing you are able to become exceptionally good with. Find it and become a master.

vengefully_yours • 8 points • 7 April, 2015 08:49 PM

Some of us can be exceptionally good at more than one thing, most can get good at one thing. The vast majority will do just enough to get by, follow along, retread paths already taken, and not push the envelope.

A very few will push the envelope, strive to find the limits, then find ways to go past the limit. The number of women who think this way are exceptionally small, rarely enough to show in a %. Carolyn Porco is about the only one in modern times who is pushing the frontier. Her views on marriage and mine

fit quite well, she is not the typical female.

vandaalen • 13 points • 7 April, 2015 09:17 PM*

I've been working long enough now with 25 women while being the only man to having witnessed this kind of attitude and behaviour more than one time.

Most women will at maximum do the bare minimum of work and effort they are obliged to do by their contracts, or even what they consider to be those, or what they think they can just get away with. If they succeed in fulfilling just the tasks assigned to them, they feel entitled to some sort of a "Worker of the Year"-medal.

I have worked in many professions and in many companies and never have I experienced that kind of combined laziness and lack of motivation to strive for "more" and that kind of blatant display of disrespect. Not in one single company there were so many missed working days because of calling in sick (in Germany the employer is obliged to pay for six weeks of sickness, after that kind of period the medical insurance will continue paying). One just recently got fired because for a whole year she magically turned in a sick note the weekend after payday every month. Every fucking month. I must admit that I snitched on her after I met her completely drunk at a bar at 3am, while she was claiming to be sick. Fuck dat lazy bitch. Pity for her not knowing, that if you are sick more than six weeks in one year your employer can actually fire you (dismissal protection is also way more serious here than in the US) because he can assume that your health is so fucked up that you became an unreliability.

Their employers (I am a contractor) are truly exceptional in regards of the freedoms they are able to enjoy. If anybody wanted to start a project, try out something new or educate themselves in order to be able to apply new concepts to their work, and is able to justify that request rationally, they would be glad to pay for it, give them extra free time and support them. Number of inquiries in the last 18 months: zero.

And I don't even want to start about all the conveniences they enjoy, like free food, free drinks, free work parties, free city trips all over Europe, and so on.

There are moments where I have to hold back myself to not shake them, because they fail to comprehend the opportunities they are given, but instead just nag and bitch about how hard they are working, how stressful their job as a kindergartener is and so on.

Their incapability of being happy and seeing opportunities, but instead just focusing on what they haven't got and what nobody is serving to them on a plate is what keeps them from ever being able to achieve what a man would be able to achieve if put in the same situation.

I for myself though, I am just on the verge of starting a business with their employers. If they don't want it, I'll gladly take the leftovers and build something even better out of it.

vengefully_yours • 2 points • 8 April, 2015 12:43 PM

Experienced the same things in the US military and in civilian jobs. They bitch and complain about everything, but get the easy non demanding jobs while enjoying the exact same pay. Do less, get paid more, then bitch about it and assume they can do anything a man can do.

__ROOSTER__ • 5 points • 7 April, 2015 09:06 PM

Compare death rates within the same profession for men and women. Besides the fact that all of the 100 most deadly jobs are 80-99% men, even inside of that number is a more important number, the per capita death rate.

Men push, women coast.

The same risk taking behavior that results in deaths in physical jobs exists in all professions.

Once again the experimental gene. The species only needs so many to be successful.

destraht • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 04:32 AM

You must not be watching enough Oprah.

Sir_Shitlord_focker • 6 points • 7 April, 2015 11:13 PM

No one becomes exceptionally good by consistent effort to be on one of these "top five" lists you need some special ingredients, madness or genius (or a little of both) and soul burning obsession.

Albert Einstein kept making up theories that had no meaning to anyone until the day he died, after a complex, often unhappy life. He was tortured by these questions of how the universe works, that's how we got relativity, through the suffering of a tortured genius.

That's the only way progress is made on that scale, by pushing beyond reason.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 12:44 PM

That is the main difference, that seemingly irrational drive that motivates a man to do something nobody else could or would.

redpillschool[S] • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 02:04 PM

This is a great insight.

IllimitableMan • 6 points • 7 April, 2015 11:10 PM

Five exceptional female scientists

Margaret Thatcher, (chemist - 2:1 in Chemistry from Oxford specialising in X-ray crystallography)

Angela Merkel, (chemist - doctorate thesis on quantum chemistry)

Marie Curie. (chemist and physicist - discovered the elements radium and polonium)

1 Of them is alive.

Five exceptional female composers

Ah, can't think of one.

Five exceptional female leaders

Margaret Thatcher. (Debatable, but she was popular enough to hold power for a long time.)

Joan of Arc

~~Hillary Clinton~~ (Ok, I'll stop trolling)

Five exceptional female economists

Ah.

Find it and become a master.

Definitely sped up by a mentor, although not necessary. Robert Greene's Mastery is a good book on this topic.

Zanford • 14 points • 7 April, 2015 11:36 PM

You would never have heard of Thatcher and Merkel if they weren't politicians. So they don't really count as 'exceptional scientists as you can name'. They may be exceptional, and scientists, but were not exceptional scientists. You might as well count the actress from Wonder Years b/c she got a Math PhD.

IllimitableMan • -2 points • 8 April, 2015 01:03 AM*

I probably wouldn't have known of a dead Russian lady who got married in France if she hadn't won a Nobel prize, either.

I suppose you could say they both fall under "exceptional leaders" in that case. If a thesis on quantum theory is not "satisfactory" to be "exceptional" and one must "make a ground breaking discovery" to be described as such - then indeed Merkel is not "exceptional." The problem here is unagreed upon subjective definitions and some pedanticism from yourself (which I concede, bears some merit.)

I particularly appreciate your point of attack focusing somewhat critically on negative elements with an utter lack of positive input/alternate recommendations from yourself. Very refreshing. One typically places higher value on criticism when superior alternatives are offered.

Daunderer • 4 points • 8 April, 2015 07:20 AM

No need to be harsh, I think most people would agree with Zanford - Surely an 'exceptional scientist' is someone who is *exceptional* at science, one of these top 0.1%ers like Newton and Einstein - and anyway I don't think he meant it rudely. I mean, isn't he essentially agreeing with you, in that you really need to scrape the barrel for these names? Perhaps he couldn't think of any others himself!

Anyway, as for my alternatives, I'd add Rosalind Franklin to the list - she played a key role in discovering the structure of DNA (and was undeniably pushed out of the limelight by Crick and Watson) - as well as Ada Lovelace, who was a pioneer of computer science (admittedly using a man's invention). It is pretty difficult to name more, though I must admit that historically, women had to make a huge effort to get into these fields if they wanted to study at all.

From a brief online search, there seem to be more examples of female scientists nowadays (not necessarily 'exceptional' ones) - but what I've noticed is that many seem to come from the developing world, where a STEM job is a route to a better standard of living. I think a big part of being 'exceptional' in any given field is having the kind of mind which is able and willing to go beyond the current rules of that field, out into the realm of the unknown, where you can fuck up badly as well as succeed brilliantly. Risk-taking, I think they call it. And what hormone inspires that sort of behaviour? A clue: it ain't estrogen...

[deleted] • 2 points • 8 April, 2015 10:18 AM*

Catherine the Great was also an exceptional female leader. Maybe Cleopatra qualifies.

garlicextract • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 12:58 AM

Hey, give Rosalind Franklin some credit.

...I can't help you in the other areas.

IllimitableMan • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 01:00 AM

Oh yeah, she's definitely great.

User-31f64a4e • 2 points • 7 April, 2015 10:46 PM

I just recently talked to a friend about this and I phrased it as "Women are doomed to mediocrity."

It's the best strategy when you are the decider, and the men are the competitors. The X chromosome is not about taking risks, because any mediocre woman can still easily breed.

The Y's need to excel; the more they excel, the more opportunities they have to spawn. Consequently, risky genes that sometimes lead to outstanding men and sometimes lead to duds are the way to go: the ones that are duds still might not breed if they were average, but the outstanding ones get to reproduce a lot. (Google Ghengis Khan descendants, or know that most X chromosome lineages ever extant are still around, but most Y chromosome lines from history are extinct.)

johnnight • 2 points • 8 April, 2015 12:15 PM*

Five exceptional female economists

Robinson, Ostrom, Yellen, Romer, Oster, Luxemburg.

There are more, but are not famous:

<https://ideas.repec.org/top/top.women.html>

vandaalen • 0 points • 8 April, 2015 12:21 PM

Truly all people I would name in one breath with Einstein, Bohr, Newton, Heisenberg, Pythagoras, Euklid and Schrödinger.

johnnight • 7 points • 8 April, 2015 12:34 PM

Comparing to people outside of the field of economics is fallacious.

liverkiller • 2 points • 8 April, 2015 12:42 PM **[recovered]**

Five exceptional female scientists (and I do not mean pseudo-science like sociology) M. Curie, J. Goodall, Rita Levi-Montalcini, Gertrude Elion, Rosalind Franklin.

Five exceptional female composers Clara Schumann, Fanny Mendelssohn, Amy Beach, Lili Boulanger, Teresa Carreno.

Five exceptional female leaders Golda Meir, Angela Merkel, Catherine the Great, Indira Gandhi, Benazir Bhutto.

Five exceptional female economists Aung San Suu Kyi, Yulia Tymoshenko, Dilma Rousseff, Gloria Macapal-Arroyo, Anna Schwartz.

vandaalen • 3 points • 8 April, 2015 12:53 PM **[recovered]**

Not the five best females in those categories, but five females which are as exceptional as their male competitors.

Angela Merkel

Ouch. My sides. Good one.

[deleted] • 4 points • 8 April, 2015 02:30 AM

[permanently deleted]

vandaalen • 8 points • 8 April, 2015 06:06 AM

Yep. Clearly as renown and exceptional as Kepler, Euler, Gauss, Touring and Newton. I didn't ask for the winners of the special olympics in mathematics.

[deleted] • 5 points • 8 April, 2015 01:51 PM

[permanently deleted]

vandaalen • -1 points • 8 April, 2015 04:08 PM

Attacking the messenger because not able to attack the message? Boring!

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 April, 2015 09:07 PM

It's because of the patriarchy! Duh. ;)

I totally agree. It takes so much concentrated effort to become renowned at something, only the most exceptional men make it. Women can too, but since they tend towards the average more it's less likely.

bitches_be_crazy86 • 0 points • 8 April, 2015 03:29 PM

Yup but I could name quite a few who had (or should have) a PHD in cocksucking and anal

[deleted] • 3 points • 8 April, 2015 12:34 AM

Women's IQ distribution curve looks nothing like men's.

Really? Never heard this before...

You know where I could find more info or charts on this?

Divinityfound • 3 points • 8 April, 2015 12:57 AM

Hang around enough and you'll see the graphs. It's kind of chilling... But explains a lot.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 02:02 AM

This is the most updated one I could find... <http://i.imgur.com/6OpnpAI.jpg>

Makes sense.

RichardPalma • 5 points • 7 April, 2015 08:50 PM

The issue is a woman with a 115 IQ combined with her life-long pussy pass thinks she's a fucking genius.

I was recently hanging out with this one woman who fancied herself an intellectual. I myself am pretty intellectual and she and I would have some good conversations about the books she was reading. I flirted pretty hard with her but she just kept acting like her pussy was made of gold and after enough of that I kind of get bored with the attitude and I ended up dating her friend for a while (might still be dating, but haven't seen her in a week or so).

A few days ago a bunch of us are talking and this chick starts to lament about how she just "wants to find the

man who is smart enough to have her" and I quipped back with "yeah, I also would like to see that man" mainly because if she wasn't happy with a guy with tested 7 sigma intelligence with a "gorgeous" face like mine, then I really wanted to see the guy who was good enough. That dude must be like Apollo.

She said "Oh, you don't think you are up for the task". I guess an obvious shit test but her ship had sailed. I was already involved with her friend and that would not have been a good boat to rock. So she wasn't even remotely on my radar anymore. I just said "I think a great many things, but that isn't one of them." She accused me of being passive-aggressive. I can't remember my response exactly but it was just one that showed my indifference to the whole scene.

The point being that it is like you said. She isn't even really all that smart. We were talking about the collapse of polytheism in Europe and she wasn't aware of Constantine's influences on it. I don't expect everyone to know that but when it's your topic and you call yourself an intellectual, you better know something about the most important influence in the topic. So it's this inability to self evaluate that has her thinking she is high above a tested genius that went to college at 14.

cocaine_face • 5 points • 7 April, 2015 10:35 PM

How can you talk intelligently about that topic without mentioning Constantine? He's sorta the reason for the dominos to start to fall.

IllimitableMan • 3 points • 7 April, 2015 11:19 PM

She accused me of being passive-aggressive.

Funny how they project, isn't it?

RichardPalma • 0 points • 8 April, 2015 01:52 AM

Yeah, I felt the same but I have weird neuropathy that made me unsure.

TheHappyGiant • 8 points • 7 April, 2015 08:29 PM **[recovered]**

I'm roughly 5 standard deviations above the normal and while I've definitely worked with men that are either my intellectual equal or superior. I have never met a woman that was close, not even remotely close, most people don't realize the intelligence difference between someone with an IQ of 120 compared to 170+. They are both lumped together as "smart".

betterdeadthanblue • 21 points • 7 April, 2015 11:24 PM **[recovered]**

5 standard deviations above the mean would make you one of the ~1000 smartest people in the world, although if you removed women from that population, the standard deviation would increase, so maybe one of the smartest ~5,000? Based solely on your punctuation and the probability that what you're saying is true, I am going to call bullshit.

That said, you might be extremely intelligent. I've noticed trends that are similar to what you were saying. I work in academia where I cross paths with a high number of very intelligent people. I've met hundreds of PhD's in various engineering disciplines and the ones who have impressed me with their raw intelligence have been men the vast majority of the time. The women who have impressed me were simply not as inclined to problem solving, and tended towards data analysis. Their lack of confidence in their own intelligence also prevented them from undertaking the 'risky' experiments that could have made a name for them. They also seemed to lack the confidence to assert a theory of their own even just in speculation if it wasn't exhaustively backed by data and experimentation. If IQ is not a factor, the fear of failure and risk aversion tend to hold them back anyway.

Kill_Your_Ego • 6 points • 7 April, 2015 11:37 PM

Yes the female psyche is certainly tuned towards herd behavior. Women will always prefer safety over risk. Look how social proofing works. It's built into them. They never would have survived if they took big risks or strayed from the herd.

destraht • 6 points • 8 April, 2015 04:39 AM

These days though they are pushing that more women should be exceptional and in places of power. This assumes of course that there is no difference between the sexes. That bitch CEO of reddit just did an interview where she talks about the very small amount of notable female CEOs and how it must be a tireless effort to fix this problem.

Babies don't need mothers who fuck up and don't feed them for a day because they were levelling their Paladin in WOW to get the +3 flame sword. Guys will do that shit and women are built to just be there, being average and just there picking up nuts and berries, feeding babies and just fucking doing it constantly because otherwise babies die.

TheHappyGiant • 1 points • 8 April, 2015 12:10 AM [recovered]

I'm in the top 10,000 or so, 5 degrees (2 advanced) by the time I was 23. I've been in multiple fields that have nothing in common such as biotech and gaming. I like new challenges so I tend to change what I do every 1-3 years. If you don't believe me, you don't, it's the internet and I don't care.

[deleted] • 7 points • 8 April, 2015 12:39 AM [recovered]

How does a person have the time to obtain 5 degrees by their 23 birthday. That doesn't seem logistically possible unless you started college extremely early and/or had some major exceptions made for you at your university.

I'm talking purely about scheduling here.

TRPrinny • 7 points • 8 April, 2015 02:01 AM

I know a few people like this, and they aren't even smart. Do 3 year high school plan while taking community college courses, then over schedule yourself in college for 3 degrees, usually only 1 of which is difficult. Most of the time 2 of the degrees aren't going to amount to anything other than ego inflation.

For the 2 advanced degrees, that's trivial if they are masters, because average pace for a lot of those is only considered 1.5 years or even 1 if it's an extension of your undergrad. A lot of majors have "combined masters + bachelors" programs. Personally, I stretched my masters from the projected 1.33 years to 2 because it wasn't my undergrad and for that my adviser looked at me like a slacker.

So if you start at 17 and go to 23, that's 6 years. Sure, it's ambitious, but not really proof of a genius. The girl I know right now who is doing this is in 3 majors, and you can tell it's primarily because she has no clue what she wants to do.

TheHappyGiant • 0 points • 8 April, 2015 01:18 AM [recovered]

My father wouldn't let me skip any grades so I could develop my social skills but I did take college classes part-time while in high school. During college I took 2 classes every summer while working, overloaded to the maximum allowable every semester, did research in my spare time, negotiated with the administration to substitute classes / redesign some of the major requirements, and finally after my freshman year I came to the realization that grades

don't matter so I did what I needed to in order to learn the material and get at least a B in the class. All that repetitive homework that didn't add much, if it wasn't too much of the grade I didn't do it.

EDIT: One more thing, I highly suggest doing this yourself. Learn to speed read, you'd be amazed at how fast you can read through course materials.

[deleted] • 5 points • 8 April, 2015 02:45 AM **[recovered]**

Thanks for the reply. That seems much more reasonable now.

As for the speed-reading: it used to be an interest of mine. After a while of training it became clear that I wasn't improving in speed while maintaining an acceptable level of comprehension, so I stopped pursuing it.

Since then I've ran across a few articles talking about it, and every article has had a certain *tone* that I also find in those *learn how to do X with this one WEIRD trick* banner ads. The whole idea now reeks of pseudoscience to me.

I would love for you to recommend to me some resources for becoming a good speed-reader, though. It seems to be working for you.

betterdeadthanblue • 5 points • 8 April, 2015 03:42 AM

I really don't care if you're telling the truth to be honest, but just for perspective, I have 3 degrees, one advanced, and working on a 4th. What I find is that its possible that a lot of young guys who are very intelligent have literally never met anyone as intelligent as they are, or at least have never met anyone and got to know them well enough to accurately gauge that. This gives them a distorted perspective of how intelligent they actually are, and makes them overconfident in their natural ability and can cause them to not work as hard as they should, trusting that they will always be the smartest person in the room. I am guilty of this on more than one occasion, but recognizing that failure allows you to not repeat it. Remember a high IQ is just a number letting you know that if you don't use it, you've wasted your potential. I can certainly relate to getting bored easily and wanting to change careers often. A big thing I struggle with is resenting work that I feel is 'beneath' me, but sometimes you have to grind through work you hate to earn work you enjoy.

Anyway, hope you've found something you enjoy.

ringostardestroyer • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 04:14 AM

I also have that issue of seeing certain work as beneath me. I'm working a job straight out of college in which I feel I am not being intellectually challenged whatsoever. However I need it to survive currently in hopes of gaining a more fulfilling position.

betterdeadthanblue • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 04:30 AM

Try to get out of debt. Debt will keep you in 'slave mode' where you work to survive and stave off the debt collectors.

If you want an intellectual challenge, apply to grad school. If your grades werent great, work for a few years and re-apply. Brush up on skills you learned then forgot. Be excellent at what you do knowing that it will only last as long as you choose for it to last. I only really have experience in engineering so it may be different in other fields, but professors value students with industry experience who are interested in research.

Also know that being poor for a while is not the end of the world. It makes it a lot harder to get laid, but a guy who is doing something he loves and has genuine passion has his own charm and draw. Just never complain about being poor to girls.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 12:46 AM

What are you working on nowadays..?

AFPJ • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 06:29 PM

Is this where we start implying that there's a realistic correlation between education and intelligence?

I'm not knocking your education, I'm just knocking education. It's often worse than useless nowadays

[deleted] • 12 points • 7 April, 2015 08:37 PM

[permanently deleted]

IllimitableMan • 14 points • 7 April, 2015 11:17 PM

They really are different people.

Too much abstraction to be good socialisers. Note how dumb women are, and how excellent they are at socialising. Super smart men are extraneous variables, genetic accidents.

[deleted] • 7 points • 8 April, 2015 12:39 AM

I always picture Peter Gregory from Silicon Valley for guys with 150+
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KUxMY77i0q4>

I'm a lowly 135 and that's... just fine. Any smarter and I think I'd go crazy.

Nantafiria • 2 points • 7 April, 2015 11:56 PM

Thinking women are dumb for the things they're bad at can cause you great pain if you forget where in turn they are genius-level clever. You of all people should know that.

IllimitableMan • 2 points • 8 April, 2015 12:01 AM

You of all people should know that.

That's why your transformation into Captain Obvious was unnecessary.

__ROOSTER__ • 8 points • 7 April, 2015 08:34 PM

IIRC the stats are something like 50:1 men to women at 150 IQ

__ROOSTER__ • 8 points • 7 April, 2015 08:38 PM*

Of course male retardation rates are similar. XX vs XY explains 80/20 better than anything else. The Y is the wildcard, sometimes it works, but it horribly fails just as often. XX is the harbinger or protector of the species genes.

IQ improves in humans generationally, because in general, women breed with the top 1/3 of men, not the bottom 1/3, and the top 1/3 of men breed with multiple women.

ScumbagBillionaire • 13 points • 7 April, 2015 10:37 PM

men breed with the top 1/3 of men, not the bottom 1/3, and the top 1/3 of men breed with multiple women.

You assume that IQ is a primary factor being selected for by women or that a "top man" includes a high IQ.

__ROOSTER__ • 3 points • 7 April, 2015 11:00 PM

Yes of course it assumes that. All else being equal the higher IQ individual will on average outperform the competition, and men can be above average in multiple areas, which happened regularly, just like deficiencies pool at the other end.

ScumbagBillionaire • 4 points • 7 April, 2015 11:07 PM

Historically, women select for Height/size/physical prowess, access to resources, and social status.

None of these preclude having a high IQ.

Nantafiria • 12 points • 7 April, 2015 11:53 PM

Hijacking this tangent, in modern-day America, every point of IQ above 100 increases the chance a man is a virgin with about 3%.

The idea that people with high IQ have more children who make it through would be true in an apocalyptic everyone-for-themselves wasteland, but that isn't what we live in. In our society, any given child's life expectancy is over 60 at birth.

So, instead of asking whose kids are going to do better, ask yourself who are having more kids.

Is it physics professors, asperger dudes with freakishly high IQs, and high-IQ women who use their smarts to get ahead of everyone?

Or is it idiotic women who can't figure out birth control, thugs knocking up randoms, and people who are dumb enough to think having a baby will save their marriage?

ScumbagBillionaire • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 12:14 AM

Exactly. Stupid people outbreed intelligent people by far.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 12:37 AM

Yeah. Best case is having a high IQ but still being well-adjusted and successful.

I do think plenty of guys hit this combination, but unfortunately they don't tend to have a lot of kids.

Nantafiria • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 02:11 AM

The problem is really that in our society, well-adjusted \neq (seen as) person with a ton of kids. What we see as well-adjusted is people who have good jobs, get married eventually, have maybe three kids at most, and go on with their lives. Well-adjusted isn't got pregnant at fifteen and tried to get a bunch of lowlife men to commit or highly religious and thinks having kids by the dozen is great because reasons.

(I'd seriously love to see some kind of campaign to get off the ground where

people encouraged the freakishly smart to get breedin' though. Shit would be hilarious.)

copralalic • 0 points • 7 April, 2015 11:42 PM

All other factors being equal, height/resources/physical prowess, etc...

If one man is much smarter than the other, similar, yet less intelligent man...

Over time, which man will find more success in an industrial or post-industrial society?

ScumbagBillionaire • 2 points • 7 April, 2015 11:56 PM

All other factors being equal, height/resources/physical prowess, etc...

I hate that phrase... All other factors being equal.... NO SHIT with all other factors being equal, then IQ becomes a deciding factor.

We already live in a post industrial society. Plenty of high IQ corporate drones are sexless betas while prison inmates and drugdealers have babies with multiple women at once.

__ROOSTER__ • 0 points • 8 April, 2015 12:28 AM

Are you adding something to the discussion or just here to pick at things ?

My comments concern the last few MILLION years of evolution, I made no comments about the specificity of the last .1% of the hominoid timeline.

So again, do you have value to add to the conversation ?

copralalic • 0 points • 8 April, 2015 12:39 AM

I hate that phrase... All other factors being equal.... NO SHIT

An overemphatic response is suggestive of a perceived weakness in your logic. It is necessary that we use generalizations to escalate the dialogue. Anyways...

If you then admit that intelligence is an advantage, the next step is to determine to what extent is it an advantage? Is it similar to a full head of hair later in life, or more similar to height advantage? Etc. etc.

Or, you could just say fuck it, it's too hard to measure, simply state that *ALL ELSE BEING EQUAL* smarter is generally better, and then just be done with it.

GuitarHero07 • 2 points • 8 April, 2015 12:36 AM

In modern society the more intelligent man does not necessarily outperform the competition. A geek from MIT might marry at age 30 and have 2 children. On the other hand Joe Meathead who works as a bouncer at the bar might have 4 kids with 3 different baby mamas.

High intelligence and academic achievement is correlated with lower fertility rates. Countries with higher average IQs have slower growing populations compared to those with lower average IQs.

From a purely reproductive standpoint, intelligence is not a major determining factor in

"success" as long as one is not too far from average.

copralalic • 3 points • 7 April, 2015 11:40 PM

More than 145 is crazy, in my book. I mean, you probably need pills. You're too smart, sorry.

Sir_Shitlord_focker • 3 points • 9 April, 2015 03:28 PM

it's my IQ exactly and I hate the human race, can't even imagine what it would be like to hit 170 or 180.... must be horrible.

Sir_Shitlord_focker • 1 point • 7 April, 2015 11:17 PM

Whereas one is a monkey to the other.

Newdist2 • 1 point • 9 April, 2015 05:41 AM

I'm roughly 5 standard deviations above the normal

No, you aren't.

But, just for fun, how'd you do on the SAT?

Niketi • 2 points • 8 April, 2015 08:57 AM

There are numerically far more "smart" men and men are much smarter, men are also much dumber.

This is also a bit of a red herring. I refer you to the most comprehensive analysis ever done on the vast amount of IQ data we have available:

http://www.uam.es/personal_pdi/psicologia/pei/download/Lynn2004.pdf

A meta-analysis of 57 IQ studies of sex differences in general population samples showed that males obtain higher means from the age of 15 through to old age. Among adults, the male advantage is 5 IQ points.

This proportionally equated to 2x more females than males at or below the mentally disabled IQ threshold; 2x more males than females at above IQ 130, and 15x more males than females at IQ 145+.

[deleted] • 16 points • 7 April, 2015 07:28 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 13 points • 8 April, 2015 12:43 AM

So do you know everyone's IQ, or do you just assume that everyone who bores you is more than 10 or 15 points below your IQ?

innotsupersure • 6 points • 7 April, 2015 10:31 PM [recovered]

I'm right there with you, my girlfriend is a physics major who is on her way to working at NASA. I don't get all the games and bull shit with her, I'm above average intellect as well and we're equal in that regard. I actually prefer it that way. I can't stand a ditz. I've always found the smart girls attractive and in my experience they aren't riding the cock carousel. They don't cause drama or argue either.

IllimitableMan • 5 points • 7 April, 2015 11:21 PM* [recovered]

my girlfriend is a physics major who is on her way to working at NASA. **I don't get all the games**

and bull shit with her,

She might be autistic. Autist chicks are great at science/math and don't seem to understand the concept of a shit test.

BadgerBurger • 7 points • 8 April, 2015 01:46 AM

I dated a girl who was good at math/science (engineer) and might've been somewhere on the spectrum. Some of her behaviors were odd. She hadn't been with many guys. Thought about everything in a very logical way -- though there were certainly downsides to that, especially in the bedroom.

The positives: no bullshit. Very straightforward about everything.

Downsides: Logical emotions are cold, even when they're supposed to be hot.

john1443 • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 11:03 AM

So basically you have dated a guy in a girl's body.

BadgerBurger • 2 points • 8 April, 2015 01:17 PM

It was really too bad she didn't have the sex drive of a guy.

IllimitableMan • 7 points • 8 April, 2015 04:31 PM

If you experience this again, with any woman, get her working out to fix her sex drive. If she's stubborn/exercise shy, use fear as an incentive: "to prevent you becoming flabby as you get older." Bam. Sometimes contraceptive pills destroy their libido, got to be careful with these things. Having a girlfriend who never fucks is like having a car that never drives. Other than for aesthetic, it's pointless. Drive your girl.

copralalic • 6 points • 7 April, 2015 11:52 PM

Ugh, don't do it. I thought about having a LTR with an Asperger chick, that one was just waaaaaaay too much work. Much more exhausting than a "normal" woman. If you want a project to undertake, be my guest, don't let me stop you, but it is not a solution by a long shot.

You think you have good frame? Try getting an Asperger girl to enter your frame, when they don't even realize what the fuck a frame is. (Reality is just reality; there are no interpretations of it.)

Grimmov • 5 points • 8 April, 2015 01:12 AM

The correct way to bring an Asperger girl into your frame is to indoctrinate them into your philosophical worldview. The primary factor is intelligence and the ability to project your intellectual self-assuredness. Typical alpha stuff will barely phase them.

vengefully_yours • 8 points • 7 April, 2015 08:59 PM

Second wife was the most intelligent female I've met, close enough to me to be interesting. Finding one smart enough to keep up is damn difficult, and the majority are boring. It's the rare female who can not only keep up, but actually contribute. No matter how intelligent they are, they are still female and prone to bad decisions and impulsive behavior.

She went seriously stupid and decided a halfwit was her soulmate. I kinda wonder how she feels about him after two years with him. He is less intelligent than your average cop, homeschooled, and socially inept. The social thing is their common ground. The dynamic would be interesting for a study.

ScumbagBillionaire • 19 points • 7 April, 2015 10:38 PM

Second wife? Maybe you're not as smart as you thought...

vengefully_yours • 4 points • 8 April, 2015 12:38 PM

Vetted her for 8 years, married so she could get health care for the first time in her life. I was exposed to a chemical that fucked me up for six months and she went stupid. AWALT.

garlicextract • 3 points • 8 April, 2015 01:03 AM

What kind of topics do you talk about to your current LTR that other girls can't talk to you about? I ask only because I doubt you are discussing quantum mechanics or circuit design with your current LTR on a daily basis.

Sir_Shitlord_focker • 8 points • 7 April, 2015 10:57 PM*

I've got an IQ of 145, it's good but not genius good, I can HONESTLY say I've never met a woman as smart as me, I've met plenty of guys who are smarter than I am, but no woman.

Women can be brilliant at a lot of things, met this girl who is a cryptanalyst, can do math that gives me nightmares. But when you talk with her, she's far from captivating.

I've never met that really uniquely bright, fascinating woman, like some men can be. Never.

One the other hand I can recall meeting a guy much smarter than me (doesn't happen daily but still) only three months ago, An insurance actuary bloke I worked with.

The guy had an absolute mastery of math, corporate finance, market finance, software, music, politics, history, speaks 8 languages, subtle and brilliant conversationalist, lightning quick understanding of what you're trying to convey.

I am not being sexist if I tell you I honestly don't remember EVER meeting a woman of this league.

TheGillos • 3 points • 8 April, 2015 03:28 PM

145 is well into genius territory.

Sir_Shitlord_focker • 1 point • 9 April, 2015 03:36 PM

Yeah but only because they didn't have enough geniuses in the 90's to fill their genius only private schools so they marketed them to kids with IQ's above 130. With the help of an army of developmental experts (logopedists, pedo psychiatrists, psychologists, etc)

I truth, that was more about making idiots like my parents pay through the nose for what turned out to be substandard education in a lovely alpine setting, supported by (paid) follow up sessions with these above mentioned experts.

There's an INDUSTRY dedicated to this BS you'd be amazed.

But now that I'm 28 and that I've learned a little more about myself I can tell you I'm definitely not a genius. In fact I'm kind of an idiot. I'm a chronic underachiever, super lazy, terrible memory, ridiculously short attention span and not super creative.

What gives me a score around 140+ (I've taken it several time with various results) is that I'm good a logic (very) and fast for the symbols problems and have good spacial thinking. All those get massive points in the test. But it's the test that's too narrow....

Some day brain experts will look at IQ to measure intelligence and treat it like a modern doctor would leeches.

[deleted] • 4 points • 8 April, 2015 10:38 AM

This thread is an absolute joke. Jesus. Most of these posts are frankly laughable and embarrassing. They read woefully hopelessly, full of the naivety of a teenager who once took an online IQ test from some tragic facebook quiz and now lauds whatever the result was as some divine sign for the righteous justification of their existence and mindset.

redpillschool[S] • 0 points • 8 April, 2015 01:58 PM

You sure told us!

Sir_Shitlord_focker • 0 points • 9 April, 2015 03:45 PM

While I admire the flourish in your prose I feel obligated to point out where they took the test is entirely irrelevant, I've done it on facebook and I've done it on free IQ and I've done it on Mensa and I've scored within 7 points of the first result each time.

Kill_Your_Ego • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 12:59 AM

A girl I had some relationship with one summer in college ended up getting a PhD. She's gorgeous as well. We had discussions all night about determinism and the ethical implications of free will. We helped each other with our thesis papers. It's too bad she was a massive slut.

She got married last week. To a great BB. But I know many of the guys she plowed through in college. Top alphas. She was just sampling and honestly seemed confused that I didn't understand exactly what cock she was moving on to next. Definitely a BB situation. Hopefully they are successful.

But I've never ever been able to have anything approaching that level of conversation with any other woman. And she frequently found women to be completely vapid and boring.

batfish55 • 1 point • 8 April, 2015 11:20 PM

I was in MENSA for a time. I met a lot of intelligent women. Then I went to college. I met a lot of educated women.

You don't need an education to be intelligent. In most cases, a degree a woman has does NOTHING to indicate her intelligence. How hard do you really think it is to get a degree in english or liberal studies? You can read Shakespeare and/or bash men at home. Bam! She just saved tens of thousands on a degree.

Personally, I can't stand vapid bitches. But I find it to be more important that she's inquisitive about stuff. That has nothing to do with intelligence, though it seems those qualities are probably correlated. If she never asks, "How does XXXXXX do that?" or, "Can you explain that?" then she's never going to catch my serious attention. Are you paying attention, Lady Trolls?