

Why you need to shut up and listen: A litmus test you all failed.

July 24, 2014 | 359 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

Recently I posted a controversial post [Women are Incapable of Change](#), which interestingly helped me identify some weaknesses here on TRP that should be focused on, but also disappointed me a little.

As anybody who has been here for even a short while should know, our language is coarse and offensive, our target audience is men, not children or women. Our language is shorthand and cuts out the bullshit disclaimers and politically correct modifiers that would make every possible fringe group happy with it.

We make generalizations, not because all women are like that- but because it gives us a framework to understand those around us. Even if a behavior only appears 3% of the time in a small fraction of women- you are prepared for it because you understand it can happen... and you understand that the culture is in place *for it to happen*.

And anybody reading the damn side bar or taking a few weeks to digest what we do here, they'd understand that. So what is your excuse?

how do you explain fat girls who stop eating kfc everyday and then get hot ?

I can see the point they are trying to make; they just went about making it in an asinine way by speaking in imprecise absolutes

Why is this stickied with a less than 70% approval rating in the RP community.

This is an extreme view. Men behave this way too. I have seen women change immediately or drastically in a short amount of time. This view is narrow minded and sexist.

My problem with your post is that all your statements depend on your own observations, which you extrapolated to the whole female gender.

I am as RP as they come. This post is nonsense and shouldn't be stickied.

This is absurd. You have simply come to the conclusion you wanted to come to and warped the evidence in your mind to fit your view.

You guys wonder why Reddit hates you? That's incredibly sexist.

Get your heads out of your asses

Or do I need to explain that the phrase "get your head out of your ass" does not literally mean I think your head is in your ass?

Gentlemen, I am ashamed of the behavior of our newer members. Instead of comprehending the piece that I wrote, what they've done is precisely what you expect from a liberal arts major feminist hipster: **Misinterpret, willfully ignore the point, and police tone.**

This might be a game some people are willing to play, but let me speak in no-uncertain terms to drive this point home: **This is not a game I play, and the mod team won't either.**

When the rules were announced not to police tone, we meant it. This continues to this day. We will delete on sight; repeat offenders will be banned.

Speaking in absolutes, generalizations, hyperbole, and analogy are all ways to communicate a basic set of concepts. The context of [/r/theredpill](#) already implies that generalizations *are generalizations*, we do not bother with or care for the standard disclaimers that make women studies majors and transgendered what-nots with bits and pieces of whatever fucked up sex they wanted feel fuzzy inside.

If you cannot read a piece and attempt to understand what the point was, then [/r/theredpill](#) is not the place for you. If you cannot comprehend the meaning, and instead get tripped up on tone and phrasing, [/r/theredpill](#) is not the place for you. If you cannot understand that generalizations and sexual strategy is probabilistic not deterministic, [/r/theredpill](#) is not for you.

But, but, but, you said 'women are incapable of change' and you spoke in absolutes!

If I wanted to fucking cater to each and every troll that wants to twist words into other meanings, we'd end up nothing but a handful of meaningless words.. and *even then* they'd still find a way to deem it offensive and demand we temper, censor, and moderate the tone.

Tempering tone and modifying the message **IS A RACE TO THE BOTTOM** and it will not be tolerated.

"But, if we just change xyz, then maybe people would understand...?" **No.**

In a completely ironic twist, our female readers from RPW understood the takeaway from the article: If/when a situation like this arises, if a woman says she is "trying" to change, it's a warning sign that cannot be ignored. Change cannot be expected, and progress must be monitored.

People too busy trying to police tone missed the point, but our lovely red pill women didn't skip a beat and instead took a look inside and asked themselves- "hey, have I ever done that?" They didn't say to themselves, "If I process this article like an illiterate fuck, I can imagine he means that all I'm capable of is excuses and never changing..." No, they used their heads and understood the lesson to take away from it. Heck, they might use the essay as a *catalyst to change!*

[RPW here](#). I realized I use "I can't help it, I'm trying" as an excuse more than I thought. I'm ashamed that after reading this I was actually shocked because of this understanding. So, because of this I reflected and am now changing.

[RPW here](#). This is so true it's painful. Honestly, whenever I was required to change all my excuses were valid and accepted, heck, I was even pitied and patted on the back, with apologies for hurting my feelings. If a male counterpart did the same he'd have been kicked in the ass very hard.

I'm definitely more reactive than I'd like to be. It's not one of my qualities I'm most proud of. I lack will power to things on my own. It's not that I can't do things, it's that going against my will is hard, and it's harder to do it constantly, continually making the right choices.

If you're new here, take a seat, read the side bar, and shut up for a while.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

theozoph • 204 points • 24 July, 2014 04:35 PM

I'll repost a recent comment of mine :

Male-to-male communication is often over-the-top, designed to make an impression rather than rally a soft consensus. Think about you chilling with your bros, all the bragging, jokes, the way you chide each other and make your stories entertaining with exaggerations and off-color humor... That's what I keep in mind when reading TRP : it's a male space, with a male style of communication. Everything is meant to be taken with a grain of salt, and calibrated to the core ideas.

Half the reason why people hate TRP is because they don't understand male communication, and insists on taking everything at face value, even if half of what we say is said for shock value and humor. If just goes to show how much masculinity has been marginalized and schooled out of boys, that younger guys don't even recognize it, or can appreciate it for what it is.

Seriously, n00bs, listen to the mods, shut the fuck up and lurk moar.

lalicat25 points 25 July, 2014 09:18 AM **[recovered]**

Being in the military in all male environments is fucking wonderful. Before they started this women in combat and women on subs bullshit you'd be amazed the kind of shit v antics we'd get up to. I was on a SOCOM ship they actually replaced a female on a team that was supposed to protect the ship with me because it was an all male ship ... It was great I spent a month at sea and loved it. We had a fucking crate full of porno mags walked around half naked and talked shit with no hushed tones ...

I get in trouble with my language often in mixed environments because I'm a product of the old school. My first 5 years in the military were spent in an all male unit of Marines and nothing was off limits. We'd crack racist jokes WITH the minority Marines and they'd crack them right back. The stories we'd tell about conquests ... Hell the home videos and pics we'd share of chicks we banged should have been illegal in 50 states ...

The whole thing is we had to have a thick skin, you never took anything personal ... If you were picked on you were part of the group and we liked you, if we all left you alone and didn't include you in the antics we fucking hated you. Most male groups are like this ...

The thing is, for all the racist jokes, the blatant sexism and rampant vulgarity ... It didn't turn us into racist bigots who ran around raping everything and burning crosses ... When we went home to our wives and girlfriends we treated them with respect because they'd earned it. They weren't some hussy we met at a bar in the stumps who had no self respect and let herself get tag teamed by 4 Marines with no rubber - of course you're going to treat a girl like that like a worthless whore and call her one to her face while you're choking her with your dick ...

99% of the negative shit we'd say was for shock value and it wasn't what we really thought or felt and when feelings would get hurt because someone went too far and called someone's mother a cock holster they'd fight then make up like nothing happened, then we'd call the guy a pussy for getting offended and the entire group would make fun of the dudes mom until he stopped being offended or joined in ... It's really the purest form of male communication ...

This is a male space and if you want to get offended you deserve to be punished till you thicken that hide of yours. Ever wonder why Marines are so cocky? I had every single insecurity verbally and sometimes

physically beaten out of me since I was 19 ... There is nothing you could say that's going to offend me and this translates into a RP skill ... Agree and amplify ... No wonder women find male only spaces threatening, because they build our confidence as men!!

theozoph • 7 points • 25 July, 2014 09:43 AM

There is nothing you could say that's going to offend me and this translates into a RP skill ... Agree and amplify ... No wonder women find male only spaces threatening, because they build our confidence as men!!

Preach it brother!

cheetah_swirley1 points 25 July, 2014 04:44 PM **[recovered]**

what do you think about women in mens spaces if they accept and conform to the culture without trying to get special privileges?

Cyralea • 44 points • 24 July, 2014 07:47 PM

Half the reason why people hate TRP is because they don't understand male communication, and insists on taking everything at face value, even if half of what we say is said for shock value and humor.

There are a lot of haters of GLO's writing style, but I have to admit I derive massive enjoyment seeing them get their panties in a bunch over some of the things he says. This is a male space where one can be unabashedly male, one of the places where we will not be ashamed to simply talk as men.

Haters can get the fuck out.

puaSenator • 23 points • 25 July, 2014 06:23 AM

I once had an argument with a feminist SJW type about male spaces. They were trying to argue that male only spaces are sexist. That the only reason men want men only spaces so they can say sexist, misogynistic, and other such stuff about women and get away with it. They argued that, "What are men saying that they can't say in front of women? If they weren't being sexist the whole time, why bother hiding away from women?"

She simply couldn't understand that men behave and act differently when women aren't around. We are more vulgar, hyperbolic, and off colored. We talk about our shits, past lays, men problems, and so on... Just shit we dont like to talk about around women all the time. It's why men like their own spaces away from women. Needless to say, she just wasn't having it. She was convinced that the real reason men like mens only golf clubs is so they can talk shit about dumb inferior women all day.

Cyralea • 20 points • 25 July, 2014 11:16 AM

It largely stems from the fact that women only see the top 20% of men. It's why they feel like men have so much more privilege than they do, they're only looking at successful men. Why would a successful man who has everything given to him on a silver need a male space? Of course he wouldn't, why he's only at golf clubs with his other elite friends looking down and laughing at pathetic, weak women!

The other 80% of men? They don't exist. Their problems are inconsequential.

iluminatiNYC • 7 points • 26 July, 2014 05:02 PM

I remember having a conversation about that same point with a woman. When I remarked about the men she ignores on a day-to-day basis, she realizes that she doesn't see them as "men" but as

generic people, and she seemed to be genuinely interested in change. A few months later, she got into a relationship after being single for a while, and last I've heard, she's happily engaged.

Human_v2 • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 07:45 AM

Same reason women go to the bathroom together, you think they'd say half the things they say in 'women only' contexts as they would with men around?

Maybe if they considered the men in question completely beneath them sexually but even then I don't think it'd be exactly the same.

hungoverseal • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 10:47 PM*

The problem I have with this is that what you're calling masculine communication sounds to me more like anything I hear on Feminist spaces than anything I hear on forums with a mainly male population.

I'm right, here's the truth, anyone who disagrees or tries to debate is simply uneducated.

Christopher Hitchens who's (quite rightly) loved on here didn't hold any blows, he'd rely on his wits though to put his point across rather than simply shouting down everyone else.

BrazenK • 2 points • 26 July, 2014 11:44 PM

I wager, though, that the difference when Hitchens does it is that he was actually intelligent and tended not to say dumb shit. That cannot be said about newbies, in any field really.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 12:28 AM

[permanently deleted]

RedPillington • 8 points • 25 July, 2014 02:16 AM

i think this might be what they're talking about when they say "policing tone"

just give it a fucking rest. if you don't like it, don't read it. let's not talk about something being said in the wrong way. i am still involved in such a conversation every time i accidentally talk to my ex again.

vengefully_yours • 9 points • 25 July, 2014 01:50 AM

It may sound that way, but in reality we are simply stating reality over and over. There are new people all the time, they still believe the Disney fantasy that women are sugar and spice. They think if a woman cheats its because of something he did wrong, other than not being a strong enough male, and staying in good shape.

We knock women off the pedestal, we call it like it is, and even if it sounds like whining, its more of a warning. Be aware because it will happen to you, watch for this behavior and act accordingly rather than 'woe is me, womeniz are evil.' Education to inoculate newbs against the indoctrination they have lived with for years.

roparker2014 • -12 points • 25 July, 2014 01:55 AM

You don't knock women of the pedestal though. Most of the posts here are about how to attract women, and how to keep them. 'woe is me, womeniz are evil' could practically be the subtitle of this sub. Man the fuck up. Talk about sports, cars, guns, martial arts ... just stop talking about fucking women all the time. That's all. I'm out. I'm not even really a member here, I just came in to look around over the last few weeks because I'm interested in the ideas behind the philosophy.

I'm not sticking around though. You guys are losers. Sort it out. Bye.

vengefully_yours • 9 points • 25 July, 2014 02:06 AM

We do talk about guns cars and shit, but we like to fuck women. Anyone can buy a gun, car, or watch sports, obviously some men can't fuck women on a steady basis. One thing is easy, one thing is hard. You need to learn how to do something that is hard to do before you can do it. Having a mentor is a huge step up.

Would you know how to build an automatic transmission without someone showing you how? If you have a natural aptitude for it and a strong will to learn, plus no shortage of intellect, you could reverse engineer it and figure it out.

Some guys want to build that transmission, but they don't have the tools, the place, and no knowledge to do it. So they come here and learn the pitfalls of doing the job. Yes its a metaphor where women are transmissions. Seemingly complicated and a complete mystery, but once you know how they work and why, its easy to diagnose problems you have with them. Some of us a well versed in this, and we get newbs who come in here thinking they know better than us, but they can't make a transmission shift

I make them shift so fucking hard it rattles the windows and snaps your neck. Trial and error eggs you close to that, but being shown how speeds the process up so you can do the same thing when you are twenty instead of fifty.

nrjk • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 06:14 AM

Most of the posts here are about how to attract women, and how to keep them

...by knocking them off a pedestal. People in general will walk all over anyone they can if they get the chance. Women have their own way and that is what this sub deals with.

Women act and behave in a certain way, as do men. Laying out those things and having a general framework for how to deal with them is something that all people should have. There are plenty of articles and resources for women to read that tell them "how to deal with your man", but the the converse is nowhere to be found. Hell, I'd be willing to bet that a healthy portion of articles targeted towards men are written by women.

Talk about sports, cars, guns, martial arts ... just stop talking about fucking women all the time.

Not sure how to read this-is "fucking" being used as a verb here? Are you mad because people talk about having sex with them a lot or is "fucking" just an expletive?

Either way /r/sports, /r/cars, /r/guns, /r/martialarts, I would assume, have discussions mainly in the vein of their sub. TRP talks about women and dealing with them and how to handle yourself. You complaining about TRP talking about women too much is like complaining that NFL commentators talk about football too much.

Man the fuck up.

I would suggest you directing that towards /r/MensRights. They, if anything, are more whiny despite some overlap. I've never gotten a whiny vibe from this sub. /r/MensRights is the one trumpeting the "it's not fair!" slogan, and sometimes they're right, other times they're not and sometimes they're whiny.

I'm interested in the ideas behind the philosophy

Care to elaborate on that. I'm curious. I started here back a few months as I started going on all the "gender politics" subs-Everything from to SRS to Men's Rights to Feminism, etc.

A lot of the stuff here I already knew and have lived it, but it codified some of the things I had no name for. However, many of the philosophies are not only relevant to women but to everyone. Just because the main focus is sexual strategy for men to attract women, it also bleeds over into other aspects of life.

"Maintaining frame" is a constant phrase used here-can be applied in any situation. Basically don't fly off the handle and remain composed and disciplined.

"Go lift"-while I don't lift, I have started taking better care of myself, eating better and exercising more. Sure, I might nab me a little bit of that snootch, but even if I don't, I'll feel better and be happier in the long run.

"Improve yourself"-Self explanatory. Develop and grow your passion and don't be a sad sap if you can't get a girlfriend-there are other things in life. Pay attention to finances, get your shit together.

All these things can have either everything or nothing to do with women. But because TRP focuses on women, they are used in conjunction with how to deal with (date, argue, pickup, impress) women. Fair enough? Do you die on me roparker2014. Don't you do it.

theozoph • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 08:36 AM

Guys, I think imablowhard2014 just out-alpha'ed us all. You've all been schooled, take it home to Mama.

TempestTcup • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 05:25 PM

LOL, I had to ban him from RPW yesterday for being rude and talking down to us, and then he came to mod mail whining about it, telling us we were censoring, and questioning our ability to moderate. We were totally schooled on how to run our sub! /s

gg_s • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 01:41 AM

Whose problem is that? Most men here can distinguish between *whiny* and a whine.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 01:44 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 01:48 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 69 points • 24 July, 2014 05:05 PM

Think about you chilling with your bros, all the bragging, jokes, the way you chide each other and make your stories entertaining with exaggerations and off-color humor... That's what I keep in mind when reading TRP : it's a male space, with a male style of communication.

I think that is the real problem: There are too many emasculated men. They have never actually had the "chilling with your bros" in reallife that you describe here. They don't brag and chide each other. They are all

sensitive and are afraid to hurt each other.

It's not that they suddenly turn pc when they come here. They are faced with male communication they never knew existed. Or they might have a slight idea of it, but think that only "assholes" communicated that way. Simply because the only masculine men *they* know actually are assholes.

joncho • 23 points • 24 July, 2014 05:23 PM*

Honestly, it might be my scientific background, but I like to talk with exactitude and logic sometimes. I enjoy "bro shit talk" too, but any of the two all the time bores me.

The problem is the Internet does not allow to convey more than just the idea, no tone, facial expression, etc... And you have no clue if the other person is making an important serious point, joking, generalizing,... We might need emoticons and trigger warnings here on trp for that (joking, joking...).

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 12:07 AM

[permanently deleted]

vengefully_yours • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 01:40 AM

Women with jowls trigger me as well. RUN FROM THE BUFFORILLA!

[deleted] • 5 points • 24 July, 2014 05:41 PM

Honestly, it might be my scientific background, but I like to talk with exactitude and logic sometimes. I enjoy "bro shit talk" too, but any of the two all the time bores me.

Of course there is no need for bro-talk all the time. In this case I thought about guys who have never had bro shit talk *at all* in their life.

I can relate to them. The first time I understood what a real male space and male communication is, was when I went to the gym. About 18 years old. It was a complete eye opener for me.

The problem is the Internet does not allow to convey more than just the idea, no tone, facial expression, etc

True, but for the guys I have in mind that wouldn't help. They'd be equally shocked in real-life.

I am not saying we should cater to them (hell no), but I think it explains their reactions. It might be the first time they see something other than care-and-share, I don't want to hurt anyone's feelings, when they come here.

otiswild • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 01:39 AM

I don't believe in trigger warnings: if my finger's on the trigger there will be no warning.

(Can't wait 'til my WASR gets to my FFL!)

[deleted] • 0 points • 30 July, 2014 03:11 AM

Trigger-SLAP! Are you getting the upgraded trigger package?

thegman84 • -4 points • 25 July, 2014 04:48 AM

(Can't wait 'til my WASR gets to my FFL!)

Have an upvote sir.

theozoph • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 08:24 AM

I've just had some faggot PM me that my comment was *really* stupid. Man, if you can't take the heat to tell me that in a public forum because... what? you fear for your oh-so-precious karma point?... then you're exactly who I'm talking about. An insecure bitch afraid to get called out *on a freaking anonymous forum!*

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 04:02 PM

you fear for your oh-so-precious karma point?... then you're exactly who I'm talking about.

Haha!! "I am more alpha than you...just look at my karma!" Lol

8n0n • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 02:40 AM

On the opposite end of the spectrum I've actually complemented a poster via PM on some of their posts. I chose to PM them so as to not distract from the thread of thought presented alongside those comments.

As to stupid comments, I either down vote (and for the rare troll worthy gem a click on 'report') or reply to them publicly.

Downvote/upvote/whatever, so long as I can post here I'm not worried about the repercussions of my posts.

ColdEiric • 10 points • 24 July, 2014 08:03 PM*

Simply because the only masculine men they know actually are assholes.

Men *are* assholes. Assholes who make their friends try harder and make sure that they do not fuck it up. Men *are* assholes, who do not let their boys do the mistakes, in school, in work, in life. Men *are* assholes who make sure that their loyal, respectful women are defended.

Babies and betaboys, do not act as those assholes, because they haven't been taught how men behave. After all, how many of you have met a betaboy would threaten you, use you, or scare you, and follow through with that cruel act?

[deleted] • 9 points • 24 July, 2014 08:07 PM*

Friends don't let friends skip leg day.

Yeah, only we wouldn't see the things you describe as assholery.

Babies, and betas, do not act as those assholes, because they haven't been taught how men behave.

That's what I am trying to say.

So that's the first test for their alpha potential when they come here.

ColdEiric • 9 points • 24 July, 2014 08:31 PM*

Manly men live in gangs. What these gangs do, that is irrelevant. And anyone who haven't been okayed by the gang of men, will be envious of the perks of membership. The outsiders will call them assholes, just as the critics of TRP claim that we are this and that. Do we here at TRP care? No, but we here are, or try to become, men.

Yesterday a friend called me a stupid asshole-monkey, because he was insulted when I said that I would prefer to practice BJJ instead of listening to him. It's true, I am an asshole. But do I care

about his opinion of BJJ? No, because I know that he is a cowardly beta who's afraid of fighting. But the saddest part is that he, the fool, is too proud to understand that BJJ-practicing monkeys like me understand some things which his mother can't teach him.

And that's why I say that men *are* assholes.

Edit: I didn't read your post clearly, GuitarsForTheWin. I thought you questioned my arguments.

[deleted] • 3 points • 24 July, 2014 08:40 PM

Edit: I didn't read your post clearly, GuitarsForTheWin. I thought you questioned my arguments.

Not at all! You are spot on.

Edit: I am relatively new to the whole male communication stuff.

Have been an emasculated beta for a long time.

vengefully_yours • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 01:43 AM

If I ever give you a middle finger, and say fuck you, its because you're my friend and that's how I say hello to friends.

ColdEiric • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 11:41 AM

Fuck you too, vengefully_yours.

vengefully_yours • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 05:06 PM

And now we are friends. See how easy that was?

GreatWhite_Buffalo • 1 point • 24 July, 2014 11:25 PM

My friends and I are complete assholes, there have been plenty of times that our conversations/banter would be a dealbreaker if we ever ran for president. My last LTR told me that she was attracted to me because of it.

CornyHoosier • 13 points • 24 July, 2014 09:25 PM

That is nail-on-thy-head perfect. There are things I disagree with on TRP, and usually if I do there are a slew of people telling me I'm a bitch or still "plugged in". Who gives a fuck? Be a man, explain what you meant, insult them back and go on with your life.

I enjoy the way men speak (obviously I'm biased). I remember first seeing it when, as a child, my grandfather used to take me out for coffee on Saturday mornings (after cartoons of course). He would swear and smoke and joke about dumb stuff with the other guys; things I'd never seen him do before around grandma or mom. At first I was shocked, but then when I saw the dynamic of the group, I realized that's just how things worked.

Mikesapien • 10 points • 24 July, 2014 11:44 PM

Your example with your grandfather reminds me of this scene in Clint Eastwood's *Gran Torino*.

Walt (Eastwood) has taken his neighbor's son under his wing to learn how to be a man. Part of his lesson involves male vernacular. For a demonstration, he visits Martin's barbershop. Walt and Martin trade swearwords and racial slurs as terms of endearment, leaving the boy bewildered.

diyarchit5 points 25 July, 2014 04:11 AM [recovered]

Why havent I seen this movie before faggot? Seems something my bitch father should have done.

ColdEiric • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 07:27 PM

Why havent I seen this movie before faggot? Seems something my bitch father should have done.

Men watch movies where men are men, who get shit done. Where the huge dump of shit tries to shit on the men. But you have to look for those movies.

Remember, these societies we live in, they do not want us to watch the movies or read the books with manly rhetoric.

Hell, even women, alpha widows watch these old movies where men *are* men. After all, do they want to look at betaboys?

thegman84 • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 04:50 AM

There are things I disagree with on TRP, and usually if I do there are a slew of people telling me I'm a bitch or still "plugged in". Who gives a fuck? Be a man, explain what you meant, insult them back and go on with your life.

This.

So much this.

nrjk • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 05:28 AM

Half the reason why people hate TRP is because they don't understand male communication.

Thanks for this. I'm so tired of of the culture we live in that assumes women know how and what men are thinking and take active steps to "correct" it through mainly language and tone. "Oh nrjk yelled at and shamed that guy because he just dropped an entire 12-pack on the kitchen floor. He must hate that person." No, I make fun of people when they do stupid shit and expect the same when I do stupid shit. It's how we men bond and what women, as a whole have done is inserted their presence in our circles and have been trying to make it passive aggressive, gossipy, secretive like they are with their own friends. Men are blunt- women are secretive. Which sex is it that wears concealer? Oh.

Johnny10toes • 5 points • 24 July, 2014 07:10 PM

I agree with you on lurking more. I'm more than 6 months in and just starting to post things other than questions and trying to help out where I think I can. I know I'm not perfect RP so my opinion may not be gospel on this topic or that topic so I attempt to police myself as to not give a 1 month guy the wrong advice. But I've seen people post things like "after 2 days with RP I'm so buff and awesome and..." No. You're not. You haven't had enough time to internalize a fraction of RP. What I "knew" after a month. What will I "know" at 6 months when I look back after a year?

God gave you 2 ears and one mouth for a reason. Shut one and open the others.

puaSenator • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 06:28 AM

To be honest, at least for me, the top of the S-curve comes in at around 6-9 months. After that, you've really learned all there is to learn and intellectual progress essentially halts to a real slow pace, other than some rare posts which slightly improve and shed more light on a subject -- other than that it's really all

just reposts from different perspectives.

After the 6-9 month curve, it's all about application and trial and error. So while you'll be able to look back in 6 months and see clear progress, it'll be nothing like those first 6 months or even the first month for that matter.

Overzealous_BlackGuy • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 05:57 AM

So when males dislike our vernacular what would you say about that? Or would you say that its just more of a general dislike for us?

MachiavellianRed • 0 points • 26 July, 2014 06:36 PM

Half the reason why people hate TRP is because they don't understand male communication, and insists on taking everything at face value

What you're exposing here is how the feminine style of communication is completely dominant within our society, political correctness in many ways complements a feminine communication style, saying not what you believe to be true or really think, but what appears to be polite and acceptable to be said amongst strangers. With more intellectual and manipulative people, powertalk is used in place of self-constraining political correctness.

The more PC and feminized modern society becomes, the more shock value male communication style garners and likewise the less acceptable it becomes. Men very much appreciate this straighttalking, graphic, aggressive and passionate communication style and this reason among many others is why male spaces are so incredibly important as a facilitator of masculine creative and emotional expression.

SmellyJelly22 • 0 points • 27 July, 2014 02:43 AM

This exaggerated, angry, childish emotional style of communicating has nothing to do with "male to male communication." Feminists do the same fucking shit. They make broad generalizations, they pepper everything they say with anger and sarcasm and condescension, and they focus on "putting people in their place" rather than having a logical, thoughtful dialogue.

Half the reason why people hate TRP is because they don't understand male communication, and insists on taking everything at face value, even if half of what we say is said for shock value and humor.

You can't expect people to know when you're joking or not. It's also a lame defense when people stay stupid or fucked up things. Whenever I argue with a feminist and she says something stupid like "kill all men" she always try to walk that statement back by saying "it was just a joke." Uh, hello? There are really feminists that believe that shit so when a radfem yells and is clearly emotional and says something like that I'm going to assume she's being literal.

Seriously, n00bs, listen to the mods, shut the fuck up and lurk moar.

Here's a crazy idea: maybe the n00bs might ... just know something you don't

theozoph • 2 points • 27 July, 2014 09:37 AM

Or maybe you just don't get it. Common problem with n00bs.

For example, you talk about "anger, sarcasm and condescension", which is not what it is. But since all you're used to is effeminate discourse, that's what it looks like to you, just like it looks like "hate" when we don't take pains to couch our ideas in a let's-not-offend-anyone manner.

You're projecting feminine bitchiness onto confidence and humor, because you don't recognize them. I've

often thought that Reddit had a wide problem with irony and humor, but I see that it's really insecurity-fueled sensitivity. You're the equivalent of a female "it's not funny" shit test.

Why so tense? Can't you be confident you can suss out the real meaning if you stay calm and don't react with immediate outrage? The problem isn't that you can't tell if a radfem (is that what we are to you?) is joking when she says "Kill all men", the problem is that it's a problem for you at all.

N00bz gotta man up!

SmellyJelly22 • 1 point • 27 July, 2014 08:22 PM

For example, you talk about "anger, sarcasm and condescension", which is not what it is.

Wow that's amazing that you've generalized every single post on The Red Pill. Have you read them all and determined that none of them are angry and sarcastic.

I'm not going to respond to the horseshit you wrote. I will just say this: from your post it sounds like you are very angry and bitter and emotional. In other words, like a feminist. I personally am not sensitive. I don't care if people make generalizations. But ... if you want people to care what you say, its a good idea to not sound like a moron.

theozoph • 1 point • 28 July, 2014 09:27 AM

Wow

...

I'm not going to respond to the horseshit you wrote.

...

I personally am not sensitive.

...

its a good idea to not sound like a moron.

...

OK, mister I'm-not-sensitive. FYI, it's pretty obvious who sounds like a little bitch here.

UnimpressedAsshole • -2 points • 25 July, 2014 03:17 AM

eh...

William Blake once said "To generalize is to be an idiot"

DannyDemotta • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 03:46 AM

Sounds like a generalization to me...? Is it not? Generalizationception.

Generalizing can be very useful, depending on your expected outcome: eliciting a reaction vs having an intellectual debate, for example.

[deleted] • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 10:33 PM

And sometimes, people talk so much that their words no longer have meaning.

[deleted] 24 July, 2014 07:29 PM

[permanently deleted]

bicepsblastingstud • 14 points • 24 July, 2014 11:09 PM

And in a male environment in real life, where you can't just run away by not responding? It's way worse.

If a dude gives you shit and you get all pissy about it, all you've done is make yourself a target.

[deleted] • 1 point • 22 August, 2014 12:05 PM

This goes back to "making your weaknesses appear as strengths and your strengths appear as weaknesses", doesn't it?

SmellyJelly22 • -1 points • 27 July, 2014 02:38 AM

You are going to get bashed, flamed, and put in your place on this subreddit a lot of the time.

Eh, no thanks. If you can't articulate your point calmly and rationally, you're no better than a hypersensitive, raging feminist. There is no reason to ever speak disrespectfully to another person, especially when you are selling an idea that is way outside the mainstream and requires a lot of nuance and thought to understand. I am not interested in this internet mob mentality of anger, emotion, and hyperexaggeration following every half-decent point people make. If you can't act like an adult, don't expect to be taken seriously like one.

eccentricrealist • 11 points • 24 July, 2014 06:36 PM

I've never understood people who get offended over every single little detail. It just makes you look weak.

[deleted] • 4 points • 24 July, 2014 08:24 PM*

Good point. Reminds me of what this comedian says about being offended.

<http://youtu.be/fHMoDt3nSHs?t=3m21s>

jobs33ker • 67 points • 24 July, 2014 04:40 PM

I agree since we have seen a spike in membership there has been a lot of posts saying we should "tone it down". What bullshit. The signal to noise ratio has certainly taken a hit, and I fear for soul of the RP as a result.

redpillschool[S] • 133 points • 24 July, 2014 04:42 PM

Not on this mod team's watch.

Lok_Die • 6 points • 24 July, 2014 08:19 PM

It's a fact of life that as the sub grows you will need more manpower and structure to keep it from turning into a massive shit statue.

I hope you find enough mods thoroughly versed in rp to spot the trolls and otherwise disingenuous Dick holsters.

Olipyr • 9 points • 25 July, 2014 04:52 AM

We, as members, need to report the trolls and bullshit.

Lok_Die • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 05:47 AM

True.

I would believe that endorsed contributors and those who are otherwise flared to take it upon themselves to do cleanup.

Although that is something they'd have to want to do.

erqos • 13 points • 24 July, 2014 09:15 PM

"Not on my watch" is a good motto to live by in terms of TRP thinking, as in "think globally, act locally". That doesn't mean you become some kind of TRP policeman, enforcing your TRP standards on others in real life. After all, there are far more manginas and white knights out there than TRPers, so you'd probably get your ass kicked on a regular basis. Now I have an idea what Christ faced back in the day. That's why He said to "be wise as serpents and innocent as doves." In other words, don't do things to invite persecution from the unrighteous, but do what you can. One way is helping out other men through TRP online, anonymously, so as to not be persecuted.

JP_Whoregan • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 03:02 AM

This is something being discussed on /r/alreadyred right now, glad you brought it to the forefront. The SNR is indeed getting to critical mass. I lurked here for months before I even started posting comments, nevertheless threads. Any new subs first months should be spent reading (particularly the sidebar), not yapping.

[deleted] • 1 point • 27 July, 2014 02:29 PM

Indeed, it takes time to digest the pill, go through the stages (including the extended anger stage which seems to drive so many of these "look at what the feminists did now!" posts) and begin to understand the red pill.

[deleted] • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 02:43 AM

Hey RPSchool, I think it's time for some brutal love. Ban commenting for the first 6 months of subscription. If that means I can't comment as well, then so be it.

teeelo • 2 points • 27 July, 2014 08:13 AM

Ha! Better yet make it so you are the only person that can see your own comments; after a couple months of no up votes down votes or replies and you still haven't learned to stop seeking validation from others the red pill isn't for you ;)

[deleted] • 1 point • 28 July, 2014 05:51 AM

I like this idea also. Seriously, I welcome military style tough love. It will weed out so many soft cunts and keep only those who really want to be men, not just getting pathetic revenge sex.

FreshGold • 1 point • 24 July, 2014 09:17 PM

Thanks based mods. Was worried it would be hard to keep a handle on the fuckloads of threads made by recent redpillers echoing each other on having their minds blown.

[deleted] • 4 points • 24 July, 2014 09:46 PM

I agree. we need to think about the quality of people who have come over in those spikes...some may truly want to change for the better but I think there's a solid group that came over out of curiosity and are still too held in their ways. Sometimes it's those people, the ones who say to "tone it down" who need a rp life lesson before taking this stuff seriously

We_Are_Legion • 15 points • 24 July, 2014 05:54 PM*

Since we're temporarily doing away with discrete tests, allow me to go on a tangent on a pet theory of mine.

One of the most infamous and most referenced articles ever posted on Red-Pill is "**Woman; the most responsible in the house**". Though I've always agreed with it(or the gist of it rather), I've never been convinced that was the best way to say it. I've always been convinced its click-bait. Funny and hyper-bole, sure. But hyper-bole for the sake of being click-bait. It is the *one* side-bar link that is not like the others.

A quote of yours (<http://redditlog.com/snapshots/891721>) that circulates on RP and elsewhere I think makes it pretty obvious but I want a confirmation on it if I can.

So, the pet theory of mine; is it the less obvious cousin of that part of the side-bar that says "Here to troll, this is how we spot you"? Because sure enough, newbies do miss the point of it, and I've seen a few get deleted or banned.

You guys are usually consistent with the bans so I checked the rules, to see if those posters were breaking any... because besides being dumbasses(I've seen worse on red pill), it wasn't apparant.

I noticed there is a clause there that allows the mods to post a "test" article to see how you respond to it and if you fail, that lets the mods ban you. You've never posted any such article... and all *those* guys did was reference that specific link and took it literally(either in agreement or disagreement). Is "Women the most responsible teenager in the house" something like that article? With the double function of scaring sensitive BPs away?

Feel free to confirm or deny. Or if I'm grasping at straws.

EDIT: I also notice you're using re-directs off most sidebar links... I'm guessing woman the most responsible teenager is clicked on most by far...

redpillschool[S] • 14 points • 24 July, 2014 05:58 PM

Ever wonder why I posted the ROK article about women shouldn't vote?

M_rafay • 15 points • 24 July, 2014 06:11 PM*

You know I was always suspicious after that "on reading comprehension and literal interpretations" post.

EDIT: adding link for convenience: <http://redditlog.com/snapshots/891749>

But I don't know if I'm naive and just biased to believe you backtracking(because you're possibly one of the best mods on RP) or this sounds exactly like the type of thing you'd do to get TBP posters to self-identify.

Hell, it reminds me of how someone posted about how to treat sluts. Something like "In terms of sexual strategy, RP men, when meeting a woman, should ideally be very open-minded and accepting of her sexual past... or at least **seem** to, to encourage them to open up so you can disqualify them as LTR material. And also have sex easier and sooner(less "slutshield")."

You guys are devious.

EDIT: if the quote is wrong(and it might be, its a shitty paraphrase), clarify and i'll correct it. But it made me laugh at the time.

redpillschool[S] • 10 points • 24 July, 2014 06:14 PM

I do this every few weeks. Always gets them out of the woodwork, and helps set the tone for the next few weeks.

ZAQXSWCDEVFR1 • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 01:31 AM*

So all of TRP writing is hyperbole and not intended to generate rational discussion? That's extremely disappointing.

And if it isn't, how do you expect regular users to be able to tell the difference between one mode of communication and the other? Judging by the shit show that happened with your last post, most of us do not feel the same way.

My problem with your post is that all your statements depend on your own observations, which you extrapolated to the whole female gender.

This is an example of a good critique. Personal experiences are not enough to form sweeping opinions. And you labeled it "tone policing" because you don't want anyone to contradict poorly thought out statements as long as they agree with your message.

Edit: I figured it out. TRP is supposed to be an echo chamber. There was too much dissent because your message was factually wrong and now you're trying to stamp it out. I even fell for it at first. God damn I feel retarded. No. I'm done, I'm out. You can have your manipulation tactics and I'll go to another self improvement sub. There are tons of them that aren't so cultish. When I reread this thread from the perspective that you and the mods want to prevent anyone from sharing a dissenting opinion it all made way more sense than protecting the right to "communicate like a man".

puaSenator • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 06:44 AM

It is intended to generate rational discussion. However just for the sake of argument we have to use hyperbolic language, else everyone would be spending multiple hours per comment to make sure it's "clear" and defensible. But since none of us care to do that, we have our own little "language" that is clear and easy to understand by people who have done the mandatory side bar reading first. Because the side bar is what goes into it deeply and can set up that foundation. Because if we didn't require reading the side bar first, then every single fucking post would require going back to the fundamentals to make all of our points easy to understand.

If the regular user is incapable of understanding this "mode of communication" then they simply haven't spent enough time on it, simply are too far gone and tremendously rooted in their bias to "get it".

There is also the second reason for the hyperbolic writing. Again, everyone here "get's it" so we don't need to water it down for academic level discussions. It makes sure that those contributing and being part of TRP are part of "fight club" so to speak. It makes sure the ones here genuinely are here for the right reasons, and all the other people want nothing to do with TRP because they see it as extremely toxic that could never be changed. A perfect example of this is /r/seduction which started out as a very serious pickup community, but as more and more casual users came in, so did the language. Feminists started coming in, approving of certain language and not. This lead it to change from "this is what you say and do to fuck hot bitches" in a masculine form to, "All it takes is confidence! Just be yourself!" By letting others dictate the language, it completely changed the sub and it's now ruined.

ZAQXSWCDEVFR1 • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 07:11 AM

So the major problem as I see it is that this kind of strategy is not going to be widely understood by the majority of users. That means that the ones that are here are going to be people who take what is being said at face value. The hyperbole created will become the true face of TRP. I like rational discussion, I like probing into things that academics don't like to talk about. This is one of the reasons I'm subscribed here. But none of that is

possible when hyperbolic witticisms like AWALT get thrown around. As it is, I wouldn't be surprised if you could say something like "women would eat their babies if it wasn't illegal", point to a single instance of cannibalism and then get upvotes for saying AWALT (or bashing a user for saying NAWALT).

GLO is I think the best example of a good writer who writes in such a way that most people know he's not serious. He's one of the best writers here at TRP from a purely stylistic standpoint. And still there are tons of users who take everything he says at face value and then add their own justification. Even to obviously false statements that are there purely for parody.

Basically, what I see happening is this method of communication, far from stimulating discussion, will destroy it. Sure the useful concepts will still be there, but they'll be overtaken by a tidal wave of less useful quips and rhetorical devices. Eventually we'll be banning and downvoting people who post studies debunking bad theories for "concern trolling" or "tone policing". And without a rational weeding out of bad ideas, TRP can never move forward.

puaSenator • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 07:34 AM

So the major problem as I see it is that this kind of strategy is not going to be widely understood by the majority of users. That means that the ones that are here are going to be people who take what is being said at face value.

No, just the uneducated new users. After actually hanging out here for a few days most people get it. Most people part of TRP understand what is actually being said. And the ones that do take it entirely at face value will eventually start figuring it out. If they don't well then they are a lost cause and they can go elsewhere.

It's not our job to make sure everyone gets it. Nor do we care if TRP is properly viewed by the rest of Reddit. Honestly, it doesn't matter even remotely, and in fact, actually helps us by keeping the casuals out and preventing it from become another seduction.

As it is, I wouldn't be surprised if you could say something like "women would eat their babies if it wasn't illegal", point to a single instance of cannibalism and then get upvotes for saying AWALT (or bashing a user for saying NAWALT).

I get your point, but I've been here a very long time and whenever that type of shit gets upvoted, it's usually just male circlejerking. There are a few here who do take it very serious and it all goes over their head, but they are quickly downvoted and/or ignored. Most of the comments are filled with serious discussion masked in a veil of hyperbole. Again, if you or others are incapable of noticing these distinctions, well maybe TRP isn't meant for them, because we aren't going to adapt and change how we operate just to appease these people. The sub is already too large as it is.

GLO is I think the best example of a good writer who writes in such a way that most people know he's not serious. He's one of the best writers here at TRP from a purely stylistic standpoint.

Again, another case of why should we give a shit? GLO is obviously over the top, and that's clear to most people here. However, those that do take it at face value? Well fuck

them. I have nothing to gain by convincing them that he's just a hyperbolic humorous lunatic. Let them remain ignorant.

Again TRP isn't some sort of social movement. You either get it or you don't, and if you want TRP distilled in a more mainstream fashion then you get weekly posts all over Reddit filled with TRP truths but reworded in a palatable mainstream fashion -- then usually cross posted here to remind people how Reddit actually supports much of TRP once the language is altered.

Basically, what I see happening is this method of communication, far from stimulating discussion, will destroy it.

Na... I've been here a while, and it's always been fine. In fact, our language is what is keeping it alive and well. It keeps the morons and newbs away. It prevents them from the casuals to come in and start shifting the narrative.

Also TRP isn't really too concerned about weeding out the bad ideas any more -- sure there are some I disagree with. But that's really not the concern as it's been worked on and iterated for ages. Most of the stuff here is here to stay, and now is only focused on small gradual improvements. But if you want more mature and stimulating discussions of TRP, go check out /r/AlreadyRed -- it's heavily moderated and allows dissent so long as the commentator is able to show they actually understand TRP concepts

ZAQXSWCDEVFR1 • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 07:54 AM*

Thanks, I'll check out AlreadyRed.

I really hope you're right about most users getting it. Because the alternative is a completely unusable sub.

gg_s • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 03:39 AM

TRP's writing is hyperbole intended to generate rational discussion. Sometimes they spike the intensity to shit test the readership, but the underlying thoughts are no less valid. Readers who self-destruct from difficult language are not fit to participate here, simple as that.

We_Are_Legion • 6 points • 24 July, 2014 08:03 PM*

So you've been posting those test articles all the time.....

You clever bastard... I never knew... I'm wondering now whether I should delete my post for giving it away. Hopefully it'll probably be buried anyway.

For what its worth, I think that's a pretty effective method. If being hated is what it takes to avoid the PC crowd, then that's a price worth paying.

redpillschool[S] • 12 points • 24 July, 2014 08:37 PM

I think what I find most interesting is the number of people commenting on this post... this very post with this here thread between us in plain sight, and still don't get it. They're arguing semantics with me, like they're going to win some prize by being overly pedantic.

Kubomi • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 04:37 AM

There also seems to be a strange amount of gold being given out in this thread...

Krackor • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 06:01 AM

Trying to socially validate their way into "winning an argument" or whatever nonsense goal they have in mind.

LasherDeviance • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 08:59 PM

SJW's give gold to asuage their broken egos.

[deleted] 24 July, 2014 06:57 PM

[permanently deleted]

redpillschool[S] • 11 points • 24 July, 2014 07:17 PM

Ask yourself, "does this have relevance in my life? Have I seen any behavior matching these descriptions?" If the answer is no, then it's not relevant.

GreatWhite_Buffalo • 0 points • 24 July, 2014 11:35 PM

That article turned me off from RoK... I feel like most of the articles are on point, but I don't agree that the suffrage movement is the reason for the issues we are dealing with today. RoK is too extreme sometimes.

MockingDead • 8 points • 25 July, 2014 12:42 AM

I suspect we are being brigaded (not that reddit will do anything about it)

Every post overkill engine posted got gold.

TRPsubmitter • 43 points • 24 July, 2014 05:02 PM

I picture /u/redpillschool brandishing a whip and herding these new subscribers into the pen.

And if you are someone who constantly says "But not everyone...", "That's not always true...", "there are exceptions out there!", then you are annoying as hell.

Generalities are true because they are...*generally true!*. Just because you cite the 5% exception in some Gaussian distribution doesn't make the exception into the rule. Bell curves will by definition have a majority segment which you can generalize.

And what is wrong with being extreme? "*Moderation is the refuge of the mediocre*"

Demonspawn • 55 points • 24 July, 2014 05:43 PM

Like I've said before and likely will say again:

Generalizations exist.

A bigot thinks there are no exceptions.

An idiot thinks everyone is an exception.

I am neither.



GameboyPATH • 13 points • 24 July, 2014 07:46 PM

The generalities are *assumed* to be true. The generalities are *assumed* to represent the larger portion of a bell

curve. TRP accepts them as truth, and interprets any examples or information opposing these generalizations as "the exception" to the assumed true generalization. Because of this, TRP has set up a system in which their generalization is logically infallible: any evidence to the contrary of their generalization can only be evidence of outliers, which does not disprove their generalization. I can easily make the statement that "Men are incapable of change". Any evidence you'd have otherwise could easily just account for the exceptions.

RedPillington • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 02:33 AM

women have strategies to deal statistically with men. society does not forbid these strategies on the assumption that women of value will still be able to deal with individual men as individuals.

trp discusses strategies to deal statistically with women. people don't seem to like this, based on the assumption that no men will be able to deal with individual women as individuals.

smfh

GameboyPATH • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 03:39 AM

I don't understand what you mean to "deal statistically" with men/women. Could you explain?

society does not forbid these strategies on the assumption that women of value will still be able to deal with individual men as individuals.

"Deal with" how? And what's this distinction of "dealing with individual people as individuals"?

RedPillington • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 03:49 AM

meaning that a woman is validated for treating a man as though he is a statistical probability. if a man approaches, she can treat him poorly because she assumes based on her own fuzzy statistical analysis that he is going to be problematic. she can justify her behavior because a man represents the statistical possibility of rape. a woman can say "men just want to get in your pants," and it is understood as a generalization that does not have bearing on how they feel about individual men.

in other words, people believe that women can code switch at will between treating men as a statistical feature of the terrain and treating them as humans.

a man giving advice to other men based on his fuzzy statistical observations is seen as someone who dehumanizes/objectifies women. it's not okay to treat women as statistical features of the terrain! it is not respectful to the individual! how could you imply without proof that OP's gf probably cheated on him?

men who exhibit the willingness to treat women as a statistical feature of the terrain obviously cannot code switch! they of course do not treat individual women as individual humans!

EDIT: in other words, the same people who rant on tumblr about men in fedoras rant on tumblr about the return of kings article advising men to not date women with short hair.

Misteralcala • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 09:23 PM

TL/DR: What he means is that the Double Standard is alive and well. Women can generalize men, but men are not supposed to generalize women. Also, just because you generalize people doesn't mean you don't view or treat them as individuals when interacting directly with them.

redpillschool[S] • 4 points • 24 July, 2014 08:53 PM

Feel free to posit your own theory.



tiredoflibs • 1 point • 24 July, 2014 09:05 PM

Well, people posit their own theories all the time here. The problem is they get down voted into to obscurity, deleted, or banned, for all the exact reasons gameboyPATH described.

So don't act like you are benevolent and tolerant of different opinions or arguments while you argue with everyone in your thread entitled "Why you need to shut up and listen."

redpillschool[S] • 5 points • 24 July, 2014 09:06 PM

If the theory has merit, people will discuss it.

If the theory is womyn's studies 101 "this is why you're a misogynist.. everybody is a snowflake" well, you can see why nobody wants to hear it.



tiredoflibs • -1 points • 24 July, 2014 09:10 PM

If the theory has merit, people will discuss it.

This is exactly what gameboyPATH referred to. Tautological delusions.

If the theory is womyn's studies 101 "this is why you're a misogynist.. everybody is a snowflake" well, you can see why nobody wants to hear it.

No, that's not the case. We all know that's not the case. gameboyPATH just said that's not the case, I came to agree. And you came here to offer the fact that you do exactly what gameboyPATH said you do. So, great job!

[deleted] • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 02:20 PM

Who pays for all this gilded credibility?!

[deleted] • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 07:22 PM

Another concern troll with some money to spare.

"Guys, forget what TRP says and listen to tiredoflibs. Trust him, I paid to put a gold star next to each of his comments!"

Opioidus • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 02:37 PM

Triggered Trannies.

redpillschool[S] • 13 points • 24 July, 2014 09:13 PM

You misunderstand. It's like bringing up why Sin is still wrong in an atheist forum. It's simply off topic.

No, we won't entertain it. It's nonsense.

xwm • 1 point • 29 July, 2014 12:21 AM

No, we won't entertain it. It's nonsense.

To be honest, I'm surprised given his trolling that he hasn't been deleted and/or banned yet.

[deleted] • -1 points • 25 July, 2014 12:26 AM

Because of this, TRP has set up a system in which their generalization is logically infallible: any evidence to the contrary of their generalization can only be evidence of outliers, which does not disprove their generalization.

Bingo. One of the few to get to the heart of the problem. Congratulations, mister.

Dream4eva • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 09:52 AM

If you cannot read a piece and attempt to understand what the point was, then /r/theredpill is not the place for you. If you cannot comprehend the meaning, and instead get tripped up on tone and phrasing, /r/theredpill is not the place for you. If you cannot understand that generalizations and sexual strategy is probabilistic not deterministic, /r/theredpill is not for you.

This is exactly what this fucking thread was about. How about developing your own analytical skills to understand the broader spectrum of the topic being discussed.

Don't waste your time worrying about other peoples perceptions of the topic.

If you somehow get yourself in a logic loop you have yourself to blame.

[deleted] • 8 points • 24 July, 2014 06:47 PM

And more excuses are being made in the comments. New subs mean more misinterpretations or downright ignoring facts they don't like. Happy hunting, mods.

BetterToBeFeared • 7 points • 24 July, 2014 08:29 PM

Big point redpillschool makes that I think a lot of guys can take away beyond this forum - "don't police tone" means the style or way something is said or done does not matter. The only thing that fucking matters in the content. We're men, we don't act in the female social matrix which requires you to tip toe and coddle people's egos when speaking. We value other men for their ideas that help the group regardless of how they portray that idea.

If you're moping/in a bad mood with your friends and you're buddy calls you out on it what difference does it make if he says "hey man cheer up a bit" vs. "hey man stop being a bitch and get your shit together"? Both get the same point across and both show that your friend cares. Get over your ego getting sensitive when you're called a bitch, get over the "non-PC" terms and take the communication at face value.

You know what happens when people attack style or pick on the delivery or wording? They miss the damn point and they spend all day trying to hijack the discussion and next thing you know most of the people in the room are missing the original great idea.

I see this shit is business so much it infuriates me - especially with guess which sex? That's right, women. Women sitting in meetings saying things like "well his idea kind of makes sense but it just doesn't sit right with me, I think he's too arrogant, too overconfident." That's right some guy comes up with a great idea and the female in the room changes the conversation from the merit of the idea to the "arrogant, overconfident" delivery of the guy.

So here's some in-your-face style for some of you guys STOP ACTING LIKE FUCKING BITCHES AND HAVE A REAL CONVERSATION ABOUT THE MERIT OF THE IDEA AT HAND AND STOP HARPING ON THE STYLE/TONE OF THE OP.

PaulRivers10 • 3 points • 24 July, 2014 10:44 PM*

Except redpillschool is hamstering to say the exact opposite of that. He's saying that tone is the #1 priority, the facts behind that don't matter as much as it does that things have the right tone.

He wrote a whole bunch about how you need to read the sidebar above. I have read the sidebar.

Here's from the sidebar article titled "Red Pill Antibiotic Nuke, Come and Feed" -

Women are irrational and inconsistent, they have a capacity for logic but it is not their modus operandi, that is to say that they must exert effort to be logical as it is not their factory setting. A logical woman is easily baited into becoming emotional; women are easy to compromise. Their decisions are based on their current emotional state rather than the abstraction of logic. **It's this proclivity to change so quickly which causes them to act inconsistently and in contradiction.**

His article title is **"Women are Incapable of Change."**

In other words being logically absurdly inconsistent doesn't matter as long as you're promoting the "correct" TRP tone.

bicepsblastingstud • 6 points • 24 July, 2014 11:13 PM

The former is talking about a change of opinion, the latter about change in key behaviors.

Yawn.

PaulRivers10 • 2 points • 24 July, 2014 11:31 PM

Feel free to quote any part of that article that actually backs up the idea that it's only a change in opinion, rather than a change in everything they do.

Krackor • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 06:17 AM

Feel free to quote

Even with it spelled out for you as literally as it gets around here, you still missed the OP's point.

PaulRivers10 • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 04:16 PM*

Yeah, eventually all forum groups fall back into hamsterizing, shaming tactics and grandstanding. The leader said something - don't think for yourself! It's disappointing - had hoped The Red Pill would be the one place there was less of this herd mentality on everything with no critical thinking of your own. Guess not. You still didn't provide any quote, new argument, etc - if you spent time around actual women you'll find they're usually always changing. That's exactly what's annoying about them. In fact, the more they change to conform to you is a mark of how attractive they find you.

Krackor • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 04:59 PM

I'm not interested in proving the hypothesis right or wrong (because I wouldn't get anything out of it either way) and I'm certainly not interested in doing so by doing a quote search. This entire post is about the value of subtext and non-literal communication, and about the value derived from communication rather than the literal accuracy of it. You are completely missing the point.

vandaalen • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 07:49 PM

His article title is "Women are Incapable of Change."

By reading your comment I assume that you didn't read more than the title of the post, or didn't fully understand it.

Women are incapable of ultimate change. They will *try* to change and they will *do their best* for some time, but that won't last forever.

The simplest and most obvious application of this is in the core TRP teachings on LTRs: In a relationship there is no rest, no point where you can lean back and become a fucking blue pill beta again, because you taught your women right from wrong and all her natural traits will magically puff away.

You need to be constantly teaching and educating her and stay the alpha you are.

If what you think applied this wouldn't be necessary and after a year or so in the relationship you could go all honeymoon and full Disney.

And you could retire from your job as captain, get rid of your responsibility to run the relationship and let her take over the steering wheel.

Have fun then.

[deleted] • 16 points • 24 July, 2014 07:44 PM

Could you, as an exercise, give an example of someone disagreeing with you substantively, which you do not consider "tone-policing"?

You are treading in an area that is dangerously close to suggesting that you will ban people who disagree with you, which, frankly, would be petulant and BP of you.

Krackor • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 06:13 AM

Basically if you post with obvious intent to cultivate understanding (whether your own, or another reader's), it should be easy to stay on the right side of the OP's litmus test. As soon as you start straying towards trying to win an argument (nitpicking details) or champion a cause (cry "misogyny" or something) then you're out of line.

redpillschool[S] • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 01:26 PM

As soon as you start straying towards trying to win an argument (nitpicking details) or champion a cause (cry "misogyny" or something) then you're out of line.

Spot on, ♂

trpbot[M] • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 01:27 PM

Confirmed: 1 point awarded to /u/Krackor by redpillschool. ^[History]

[This is an Automated Message]

redpillschool[S] • 15 points • 24 July, 2014 07:54 PM

http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2bi9ne/women_are_incapable_of_change/cj5l0b6

http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2bi9ne/women_are_incapable_of_change/cj5wjjc

http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2bi9ne/women_are_incapable_of_change/cj5l7sj

http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2bi9ne/women_are_incapable_of_change/cj5pjfk

http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2bi9ne/women_are_incapable_of_change/cj5ql3v

http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2bi9ne/women_are_incapable_of_change/cj6cob1

http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2bi9ne/women_are_incapable_of_change/cj5yd6j

http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2bi9ne/women_are_incapable_of_change/cj6kfc7

http://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/2bi9ne/women_are_incapable_of_change/cj5oe11

It was a bunch of people who read my point and just disagreed. They understood my point, but disagreed-not tone policed, just disagreed. Some of it turned out to be a really interesting discussion.

[deleted] • 7 points • 24 July, 2014 08:06 PM

Awesome. Glad to see this place can have a healthy exchange of ideas.

puaSenator • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 06:51 AM

Jesus fucking Christ dude.... This whole thread is ironic as you try and strengthen the signal and stop tone policing from all the noobs, all the noobs come out of the woodwork and start doing the exact bitching you told them not to do. You should just chemo this whole thread.

It's like they all came here and now think it's their sub and it should change to suit their uneducated noob needs. Fucking casuals.

You should consider requiring a subscription time limit required before you can post even in the comments. That way it'll force them at least to get through the side bar before they start bitching. They act like we just made all this shit up last month and the theory is all up for debate, rather than being actively iterated on for years -- like we haven't tested all the other theories and decided to just settle for whatever one fell into our lap.

redpillschool[S] • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 01:26 PM

I have literally banned over 50 people over the past 48 hours. These threads are great for bringing them out of the wood work.

kinklianeKoff • 2 points • 24 July, 2014 11:37 PM

You and another commenter above point out this important point. It would really be a shame if this turned into a hivemind. The individuality is so ingrained in RP culture that it may never happen.

As long as we understand what we are discussing and we have gotten familiar with central concepts, we shouldn't be afraid of adding to the discussion.

While we are on the subject, I know I have sometimes seen constructive comments with controversial opinions(controversial here) get downvoted because they did not qualify their comments towards TRP acceptance. With this I mean starting your comment with pleb shit like

I hate X as much as the next guy, but...

This is rare, but it never hurts to remind people to follow basic rediquette(dont downvote because you disagree). TRP is one of the few subs where rediquette is actually practiced so keep that up.

[deleted] • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 02:20 AM

I am basically one of the newcomers here. Let me begin by saying that in my nearly 50 years, I've seen the decline of manhood, or at least the manhood I learned from my father, happen gradually. It seems to have hit the point of critical mass though. There is a marked lack of a transition from child to man in many western cultures. I am talking about things like ordeals that native american men endure, or even natives from central american tribes as well.

Television commercials are rife with the portrayal of men as bumbling, stupid, sports-obsessed man-children.

Now, I guess let me chime in a bit. There seems to be a very vocal set that advocates being a loud, obnoxious peacock and call it "alpha" and I dig where they are coming from, but that's not how I like to frame things. I think there is as much room here for quiet gravitas as there is for firebrands. I am in no way suggesting anyone moderating their tone here, because I can respect someone passionately driving their point home with whatever is needed to do so. I've done it, but these days, I identify with the old story of the young bull and the old bull: One day a young bull was standing next to his dad, the old bull, surveying the pasture below crowded with cows. The young bull says "Hey dad, let's run down there and fuck one of those cows" The old bull pauses a second, looks semi-reproachfully at his son and says " No son. Let's walk down and fuck all of them"

DianaDewAsmr • 10 points • 24 July, 2014 09:25 PM

Damn, these posts are why I also visit the male side of RP.

I don't come here to feel like a special snowflake but to read what I do exactly like all other women that men don't like about us without "asking the fish". It's a shortcut, a textbook, something my mother should've told me if we had lived 150 years ago.

Never stop being like that because harsh words are much more effective than soft talk. Soft talk solicites solipsis and feeds the hamster.

And in all honesty a man who tells me how things are shows me he is not so afraid to lose me he has to kiss my butt and he is confident enough, aside caring about me to risk offending me. I'll get angry at first if I know he is right but I'll thank later.



Overkillengine • 49 points • 24 July, 2014 05:37 PM*

And you cherry picked only part of my comment and left off the portion that identified and predicted this very reaction. Go you. Sounds like you could take a spoonful of your own advice. I could give two shits if the information is PC, I care about accuracy.

[deleted] 24 July, 2014 11:55 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 12:56 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 05:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 07:19 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 07:34 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 02:50 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 02:55 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:57 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 11:23 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 06:58 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 11:24 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 07:14 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 09:02 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 03:56 PM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:23 PM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 26 July, 2014 12:06 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:09 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:31 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:53 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 05:10 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 02:31 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 02:53 AM*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 03:18 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 03:24 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 03:29 AM

[permanently deleted]

redpillschool[S] • -35 points • 24 July, 2014 05:40 PM

I used your comment as an example of being either willfully or ignorantly obtuse. It was critical of my work, not positive.



Overkillengine • 24 points • 24 July, 2014 06:48 PM

If the point is good and valid, it will stand up to critique and possibly even be improved by it.

And here comes the reply about it being a generalization. No shit. There is a difference between a well executed generalization and a poorly executed generalization. A poorly executed one leaves a person with the handy excuse that they never have to evaluate and adapt their behavior to the situation, then "TRP failz dem". Blaming the student only goes so far.

redpillschool[S] • -23 points • 24 July, 2014 06:54 PM

That's not really the case. If the point is politically correct or avoids anything slightly edgy, it will be upvoted and discussed. How do you suppose moderators battle that?



Overkillengine • 10 points • 24 July, 2014 07:09 PM

By all means, post edgy non PC stuff. (Especially since anything that does not fall under "women are wonderful" is considered edgy and non-PC in modern society)

People should just be prepared to defend/discuss the accuracy *and* precision of what they say instead of auto filing critiques under "concern trolling".

Not doing so has the potential to encourage people to wallow in the anger stage and comfortable bigotry which is just exchanging one delusion for another.

For example:

"All people are shit" vs "All people have the potential to be shit"

Both are generalizations. Both have very similar content. One however, has a greater tendency to engage critical thinking and situational evaluation that the other does not.

redpillschool[S] • -21 points • 24 July, 2014 07:15 PM

Because you may not have noticed my point- when you start arguing about whether it's all, most, or some when somebody makes a generalization on a board about generalizations- you are detracting from the point.

You can say that, no, female psyche is prone to taking responsibility and is often marked by strong wills and a desire to modify behavior. Then we've got ourselves an interesting discussion.

What you've done here is added literally nothing to the conversation, and instead critiqued language and tone.

"All people are shit" vs "All people have the potential to be shit"

Not all people have the potential to be shit, I know of quite a few people who could never be shit, they were saints. It should be "Some people have the potential to be shit..."



Overkillengine • 7 points • 24 July, 2014 07:23 PM

As far as the observed female behavior in the original post, my observation is that it often runs hand in hand with a weak father figure or no father figure. Thus they look for a replacement.

But that is separate from my observation that the method of conveying the point was not of peak utility if the goal was to relay the point.

Now if the goal was to troll for reactions, ok, goal met.

redpillschool[S] • -7 points • 24 July, 2014 07:26 PM

So, you've noticed that this effect happens specifically in girls with weak father figures-- or perhaps your theory is that the girls exhibiting this behavior may have had weak father figures.

Now that's interesting.

Care to elaborate on that?

Because that's how you contribute to a discussion.

The mods (and myself) do use this coarse language to weed out trolls, it's true. The question is whether or not you can understand the point and discuss it, or whether you'll see red and go SJW so we can ban you.



Overkillengine • 2 points • 24 July, 2014 07:39 PM

It's a theory I have based on some child psychology.

Children crave boundaries. They act poorly when you don't provide them. They never grow up all the way into adults without them.

Think about how often women get raised as "Daddy's Princess" when a father figure is present. (weak father figure)

Or the frequency of single mom house holds. (no father figure, and most likely a mother figure that did not place boundaries on her own behavior and thus cannot adequately put them on a child as well.)

So they end up physically maturing, which is unavoidable, but not mentally maturing, because they were not provided with a key portion of child development.

Hell, I've seen it in males. It's getting pretty damn frequent there too. Think about how tamely many men these days follow a strong leader instead of their own compass.

They were never taught to lead themselves properly.

TheOpposingView0 points 24 July, 2014 08:48 PM* [recovered]

Oh dear lord, first the petulance and then the dramatic swing to obsequiousness. Look at how quickly he turned you from fighting into what you really craved: acceptance, approval, appreciation.

Now that, to me, is fun psychology.



creativepositioning • 4 points • 24 July, 2014 07:17 PM

Not all people have the potential to be shit, I know of quite a few people who could never be shit, they were saints. It should be "Some people have the potential to be shit..."

And the slightest understanding of even non-formal logic would reveal to you that in fact "not all" and "some" are the same exact categories.

Isn't funny how the things we don't know make us look stupid?

redpillschool[S] • -9 points • 24 July, 2014 07:23 PM

And the slightest understanding of even non-formal logic would reveal to you that in fact "not all" and "some" are the same exact categories.

Isn't funny how the things we don't know make us look stupid?

And so here it stands where the point flew over your head. Why do you suppose I said that? Really think about it for a second.

Take a deep breath, relax, and think to yourself, "Gee, creativepositioning, am I being needlessly pedantic and missing the point entirely?"

Yes, yes you are.

To quote Whisper on our functioning definition of troll:

Basically, someone who assumes you are sane, tries to understand your argument in the most compelling interpretation he can, and then tries to refute it, is a debater.

Someone who assumes you are insane, or tries to find weak interpretations of your arguments that will be easy to refute, or reifies metaphors, is a troll.



creativepositioning • 1 point • 24 July, 2014 07:31 PM

Honestly, I have no fucking idea why on earth you would say that or what your point is. I'm not a mind reader and I'm not going to try and guess what you mean. Why would I want to play a stupid game like that.

But it's you that's being needlessly pedantic because the words literally mean the same fucking thing. I don't think you are insane as much as obviously not a thinker. It's not a weak interpretation of what you said, it's literally the only fucking interpretation. I'd love for you to provide me with an example where "not all" and "some" don't mean the same exact thing.

[deleted] • 5 points • 24 July, 2014 07:42 PM

I could be wrong, but I think he was being needlessly pedantic on purpose to hold up a mirror to the poster he was replying to. His entire point is the delivery shouldn't matter, it is the content that should be discussed.

redpillschool[S] • 1 point • 24 July, 2014 07:44 PM

But it's you that's being needlessly pedantic because the words literally mean the same fucking thing.

My job as moderator is to set the rules, enforce the rules, explain the rules, and ensure members understand and abide by them.

I'd love for you to provide me with an example where "not all" and "some" don't mean the same exact thing.

And that's my point. I corrected you to show you *by example* how pointless the correction was, and to show you how fruitless discussing it was. To demonstrate by example why the needless correction did not add to the conversation, or bring it forward in any way.

ILU2 • 11 points • 24 July, 2014 07:44 PM

I'm replying to RPS, can I get some gold?

tiredoflibs • 2 points • 24 July, 2014 09:29 PM

I guess this law didn't hold true?

ozymodeus • 14 points • 24 July, 2014 05:19 PM*

I hate it when people talk shit about generalizations. The entire world functions on generalizing things

I remember when I was in college I was very liberal and considered myself a feminist. I was even a member our version of the gay/straight alliance. I went to a seminar about the wage gap and got into an argument with a stereotypical thundercunt bulldyke about how women are GENERALLY the ones who desire children more and when they do they need to take responsibility for the effect it has on their career especially with the growing trend of single motherhood.

YOU CANT SAY THAT! THATS A GENERALIZATION RAR RAR RAR FROTHING AT THE MOUTH!

Generally(hehe) it wouldn't have been that big of a deal but here is the kicker. I went to school for biology and several of my teachers were present at this meeting. Women who taught subjects such as biology, wildlife sciences, and animal behavior. They were the very women who quite literally taught me the procedures for how to make generalizations about populations of creatures. I looked at them begging for support, I knew they knew my statements were justified and I received nothing but silence.

All of science deals in generalizing and somehow it has become politically incorrect to engage in the same behavior as one of the most respected fields of study.

Gami_Lon • 5 points • 24 July, 2014 10:16 PM

I have a friend that's a teacher, and NOT being politically correct can literally be the difference between being employed and unemployed. Teachers absolutely hate this shit, but it is reality, and the PC police aren't just bullying students, they're bullying the faculty too.

theredpillager • 6 points • 24 July, 2014 05:35 PM

A-fucking-men, brother. Those comments were a shit show. But you also need to consider that we have a lot of shitty followers now who read, upvote bad shit, downvote good shit and contribute specifically to tone down the content and ruin the place. Not sure what can be done about it. We obviously don't want to remove all dissenting opinions, but we're getting flooded with BPers and white knights solely here to make noise.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 11:02 AM

[permanently deleted]

redpillschool[S] • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 01:34 PM

Thanks. The team does great work!

zpatriarchy • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 02:27 PM

i agree with this thread & the other one 100% but i think the dumb bp responses is the result of the heavy moderation that takes place here.

by deleting bp comments & bp users, the stupid things they say don't get downvoted & they don't get refuted. so new bp guys keep coming here & other guys don't see why bp thoughts are wrong. if they see a dumb bp thought being challenged then they will learn why it's dumb.

and no, they aren't reading the side bar. it takes months to read those sidebar blogs. i know because i did it.

i discovered those sidebar blogs a couple of years ago & read through most of them, pouring over old posts & reading ancient comments. through them is why i recently joined reddit.

most rp redditors discover rp through reddit. they are not going to leave the walled garden of reddit to read those sidebar blogs. so they mostly get their info here, so bp comments shouldn't be deleted that's what the downvotes are for.

& having all the rp subreddits also contributes to this problem because they don't see how most of this stuff also applies to LTR, parenting, newbies, etc.

redpillschool[S] • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 02:38 PM

In general, I like to see other members addressing blue pill comments and correcting them.

However, there's a difference between misunderstanding *and trying*, versus straight-up trolling.

As whisper once said:

Basically, someone who assumes you are sane, tries to understand your argument in the most compelling interpretation he can, and then tries to refute it, is a debater.

Someone who assumes you are insane, or tries to find weak interpretations of your arguments that will be easy to refute, or reifies metaphors, is a troll.

[deleted] • 3 points • 24 July, 2014 05:57 PM

Thanks for the wake up call. I am guilty of this. Qualifying my statements, and worrying about making sure it is not too absolute. I will change that.

mcdehuevo • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 03:13 PM

This is the real line in the sand that needs to be drawn. Modern culture has gotten so sensitive, so self-censoring, so *feminine*. Forget about pickup, getting laid, or anything else. If men as a whole continue to allow women as a

whole to control language, none of it will matter; we'll end up like Denmark. We'll all be bland, beige little pansies parroting whatever saccharine euphemisms the mistresses of political correctness dispense to us. If we accept the dictates of feelings-protection even in a forum specifically dedicated to male self-improvement and goal achievement, we're building on a foundation of sand. Remember: the core of the female biological imperative is to gain control over the allocation of male resources, and leftism, which is now completely dominated by female sensibilities, is about transferring wealth from those who produce it to those who consume it. Leftist political strategy is built on using linguistic sleight-of-hand to control the political and cultural narrative, and as we all know, feminism is far and away the worst offender when it comes to this particular form of disingenuous manipulation.

If you allow yourself to be censored, you're part of the problem.

If you try to censor other men, you're part of the problem.

Being alpha is not just about lifting weights and improving your skills; it's also about being direct, honest, and clear in your speech. Equivocation, euphemism, and the use of neutral language in order to avoid offense are female and beta behaviors. For the sake of clarity, this does not mean you need to be an asshole all the time in order to be alpha, but you *do* need to say what you mean and mean what you say.

redpillschool[S] • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 03:15 PM

If you allow yourself to be censored, you're part of the problem.

If you try to censor other men, you're part of the problem.

Well said, ♂

trpbot[M] • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 03:16 PM

Confirmed: 1 point awarded to /u/mcdehuevo by redpillschool. ^[History]

[This is an Automated Message]

eljh2 • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 04:06 AM

Fucking awesome.

Good job mods.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 04:11 PM

"Tempering tone and modifying the message IS A RACE TO THE BOTTOM and it will not be tolerated."

I LOVE THIS!!!!

Doctor_Mayhem • 2 points • 26 July, 2014 06:20 PM

This kinda reminds me of a Return of Kings article that called for RP members to quit screaming that bullshit about how we hurt our "credibility" or some such nonsense when articles like this are posted. That article basically said, "Hey, retards! Remove heads from asses! Haven't you been paying attention! We are speaking truth in a leftoid, fascist, post-modern, post-feminist world! WE HAVE NO FUCKING CREDIBILITY TO BURN!"

I think it was a good and necessary message.



nofaprecommender • 5 points • 24 July, 2014 05:53 PM

I was critical of your post for a different reason.

In a completely ironic twist, our female readers from RPW understood the takeaway from the article: If/when a situation like this arises, if a woman says she is "trying" to change, it's a warning sign that cannot be ignored. Change cannot be expected, and progress must be monitored.

This is a good point and worth the time to make.

People too busy trying to police tone missed the point,

I think you are missing a point as well. The way it was framed and presented, it was difficult to learn anything from the majority of the post. To me it seems like you sacrificing instructional value for shock value. One of the commenters reframed your post and made it about what men should do in response to this behavior and I think that is useful. But your entire post was about how women can't change... and that was it. If that had been the intro to a post about what a man's response should be, I think it would have been much more helpful.

but our lovely red pill women didn't skip a beat and instead took a look inside and asked themselves- "hey, have I ever done that?" They didn't say to themselves, "If I process this article like an illiterate fuck, I can imagine he means that all I'm capable of is excuses and never changing..." No, they used their heads and understood the lesson to take away from it. Heck, they might use the essay as a catalyst to change!

And I think this supports my criticism. It might well have been instructional for RPW, but it was just commentary for me.

redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 24 July, 2014 05:56 PM

One of the commenters reframed your post and made it about what men should do in response to this behavior and I think that is useful. But your entire post was about how women can't change... and that was it. If that had been the intro to a post about what a man's response should be, I think it would have been much more helpful.

The point was that these are the factors leading to the behaviors- and the reasons for them, and why to expect/lookout for them.

The comments beneath the post rightfully took the baton and continued discussion from there. There's nothing wrong with that.

I'm addressing specifically the tone policing and concern trolling.



GameboyPATH • 5 points • 24 July, 2014 07:37 PM

Perhaps I'm misunderstanding here, please correct me if I'm wrong:

The upset comes from the fact that people interpreted the sweeping generalizations in your last post as genuine, because when you said "women are incapable of change", you really meant that "some women lack conviction and ought to work on it." And if anyone points out that the argument you made is different from the argument you meant, it's tone policing? Making a completely different argument than what's intended is no longer tone. If so, these couple posts have demonstrated the ineffectiveness of generalizations, because they're conveying a vastly different message than what's supposedly intended.

redpillschool[S] • -2 points • 24 July, 2014 07:57 PM

The problem is that people got so caught up in the hyperbole, they let themselves get pissed at the language

and failed to read the point and disagree with its intent.

To say that generalizations shouldn't be made, or should be properly excepted each time they're made ignores the point of our subreddit and ignores the spirit of most of the sidebar (not just my post).

It demonstrates to me that these people either have not yet learned to put aside their visceral reaction and emotions to properly consider something they disagree with, or are straight up social justice warriors.



[deleted] 24 July, 2014 09:20 PM*

[permanently deleted]



redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 24 July, 2014 09:45 PM

Plenty of people understood and disagreed.

Listen, I'm not going to beat around the bush here, the style is on purpose for the intended audience.

Don't like it? Ignore it or go elsewhere.

[deleted] • 3 points • 24 July, 2014 05:37 PM

Our society is so overly saturated with feminism and egalitarianism that its antidote is often a concentrated form of its opposite. This is how the courtroom of opinion works. There are multiple voices aggressively clamoring for the higher ground. When the minority and underdog voice arrives, it may use extremism to demonstrate the starkness of its dissent.



[deleted] • 5 points • 24 July, 2014 08:22 PM

You're not a good listener.

Generalizations are employed to give the listener an accurate overview of a certain subject. They can be absolute ("All cats are mammals") or at least significant in most cases - e.g. "Cats like milk", which is valid because most of the cats you'll meet do like to drink milk, even tho there are those who do not, i.e., exceptions. A generalization is not rendered null by exceptions, but confirmed by them.

Are the women who can change themselves exceptions? Is the inability to change yourself a feminine quality?

Are the men who can't change themselves feminine? Among men, are they an exception?

Those are the questions you needed to answer, instead of resorting to a - dare I say - feminine reaction by throwing a catty fit, telling people to shut up and cherry picking their comments, conveniently stripping them of their criticism. It reeks of intellectual dishonesty.

According to my experience, the answer would be either "No" or, most likely, "I lack the means to know the answer". I'm a med student, and I see men failing to change themselves everyday. Classmates who are too lazy to study, patients who don't take their medication as prescribed, or refuse to stop smoking, drinking, or doing dope, to start dieting and exercising. The bitch thing is that they know that adopting such behaviors would increase their life quality and health considerably, but they refuse to do so.

But my experience is not the truth. And neither is yours. People who disagreed with you probably felt different because their personal experiences led them to believe so.

Saying that "women can't change themselves" imply that the fact that they're women has something to do that

they are unable bring themselves to self-improve. In my opinion, gender is probably not as important as the culture and the environment you grew up in, in regard to this matter.

Even if a behavior only appears 3% of the time in a small fraction of women- you are prepared for it because you understand it can happen... and you understand that the culture is in place for it to happen.

According to you, no generalization can be incorrect. It is as if I said that RP members like to literally suck cock because some of them are gay. Wouldn't you say that this statement is incorrect because gay men are not a majority here?

Shit like this does matter because this is supposedly a place of discussion. Let's make an effort to make it a high quality one, at least.

The "tone" of your posts is irrelevant, to me at least. The attack was aimed at your reasoning. Don't pretend otherwise.

puaSenator • 7 points • 25 July, 2014 07:04 AM*

In my opinion, gender is probably not as important as the culture and the environment you grew up in, in regard to this matter.

It doesn't fucking matter if it's gender, culture, or fucking beamed into their heads by space aliens. I'd probably argue culture has a lot to do with women being treated as victims, innocents, and fragile -- above the rigorous judgements of disposable men. However, the historical reasoning is moot in this case. The point is that "it is this way." And it's important to understand how women think in this regard, so we can better navigate the world and social interactions. It helps us manage our expectations and further progress, rather than blindly going into the situation thinking she likely thinks and values like a man -- Because most times she won't think like a man and I don't care if it's cultural or genetic. The point is that that's the reality of the situation.

And we aren't going to go around as social justice warriors here. We don't give a shit, and if you do, go to /r/MRActivism -- We are just trying to navigate through the world, not change it.

Saying that "women can't change themselves" imply that the fact that they're women has something to do that they are unable bring themselves to self-improve.

You obviously are very new here because you're missing the entire point, education on the subject, and the way we communicate. Again, women ARE less likely to self improve than men. I don't give a shit if it's cultural or has to do with their inherent gender. It's moot. It just needs to be reminded so when a man gets into a situation with a woman, he needs to keep in mind that "For whatever reason, she's less likely to self improve than my male friends. So I need to watch the signs and potentially motivate her a little more than I would normally find adequate." That way, men don't just get into the situation blind and can know it's a larger possibility than they are accustomed to. That they don't get confused and think, "I don't get women. She keeps saying she'll improve but she never actually tries hard. That when I have a character flaw, I actively work on it, but when she does, she just insists it's who she is and I need to accept it."

I mean, how many male fat activists do you know? Not many, I'm sure. Because men recognize the need to change if we want people to stop judging us by our weight, and if we refuse, we accept the consequences, where women refuse to change but insist others change their standards instead. Or how many men do you know that have "triggers" that they expect people to be sensitive over, rather than resolve the root of the "trigger"? You don't see this behavior in men very frequently. That's why the generality exists. It happens enough to a degree which clearly distinguishes itself as a behavior found predominately in the other gender.

redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 24 July, 2014 08:36 PM

Are the women who can change themselves exceptions? Is the inability to change yourself a feminine quality? Are the men who can't change themselves feminine? Among men, are they an exception?

And I suspect you're not a good reader, because I do address quite a bit of that. But if you were curious, or needed elaboration, you know how to ask. *Right?*

Those are the questions you needed to answer, instead of resorting to a - dare I say - feminine reaction by throwing a catty fit, telling people to shut up and cherry picking their comments, conveniently stripping them of their criticism. It reeks of intellectual dishonesty.

I have always, and will always call out tone policing. This is not new. If you didn't like it, go elsewhere.

According to my experience, the answer would be either "No" or, most likely, "I lack the means to know the answer". I'm a med student, and I see men failing to change themselves everyday. Classmates who are too lazy to study, patients who don't take their medication as prescribed, or refuse to stop smoking, drinking, or doing dope, to start dieting and exercising. The bitch thing is that they know that adopting such behaviors would increase their life quality and health considerably, but they refuse to do so.

According to you, no generalization can be incorrect. It is as if I said that RP members like to literally suck cock because some of them are gay. Wouldn't you say that this statement is incorrect because gay men are not a majority here?

You can continue to ignore the context of the subreddit, and the context of my point. My job is just to get people in the right frame of mind, and turn away those who refuse. Which is it going to be, mack?

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 12:59 AM

And I suspect you're not a good reader, because I do address quite a bit of that. But if you were curious, or needed elaboration, you know how to ask. *Right?*

You mean, your poorly elaborated statements of how women and men are like, which reads a lot like a Black people/White people standup routine? Those questions had a rhetoric quality to them, and thinking about them exposes the flaw in your reasoning. IMO, of course.

I have always, and will always call out tone policing. This is not new. If you didn't like it, go elsewhere.

Again with this drivel? Nobody is "policing your tone". I'm saying that the content you posted is misguided. I don't give two shits about how you choose to formulate it.

You can continue to ignore the context of the subreddit, and the context of my point. My job is just to get people in the right frame of mind, and turn away those who refuse. Which is it going to be, mack?

Frankly, I don't even know what you mean by "context of this subreddit". I disagreed with your reasoning and your statements and explained why they are flawed. And now you've ignored my points and threatened me for having a different opinion. Is that the kind of moderator you want to be?

redpillschool[S] • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 01:30 PM

Frankly, I don't even know what you mean by "context of this subreddit".

I mean, learn how the others communicate here before you chime in.

The_Juggernauts_Dad • 1 point • 27 July, 2014 05:18 PM

Thank you. OP needs to calm down. Shit like this makes me roll my eyes at how overboard some of these people go.

Gami_Lon • 0 points • 24 July, 2014 11:01 PM

Saying that "women can't change themselves" imply that the fact that they're women has something to do that they are unable bring themselves to self-improve. In my opinion, gender is probably not as important as the culture and the environment you grew up in, in regard to this matter.

I think that a person's ability to change has more to do with **necessity** than culture or gender. Here's an example: I am one of five siblings. One of my older siblings has been a life-long fuckup. But every time she fucks up **daddy has come and rescued her**.

So I think that's one of the reasons that there's a perception that women are incapable of change. There's a huge number of safety nets designed to improve the welfare of women, everything from homeless shelters to AFDC to Section 8 housing.

Most of these safety nets didn't exist fifty years ago.

It's similar to what happens when you wear a helmet on a bicycle; studies have shown that people who ride bicycles without helmets are more cautious.

trias_e • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 12:32 AM*

"Saying that "women can't change themselves" imply that the fact that they're women has something to do that they are unable bring themselves to self-improve."

Yeah, that's a good implication, although it certainly doesn't mean that ALL women are like that (haha). Human beings are a sexually dimorphic species. Women are less likely to take risks and more likely to be concerned with social consensus. Women have little motivation to change themselves from the ground up, because such change isn't likely to be beneficial to them. Change is a fundamentally risky behavior.

"In my opinion, gender is probably not as important as the culture and the environment you grew up in, in regard to this matter."

In my opinion, as someone who has traveled the world, I disagree. Though culture and environment matter quite a bit, no doubt.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 01:07 AM

Yeah, that's a good implication, although it certainly doesn't mean that ALL women are like that (haha)

Shh, don't say that out loud, ~~the Gestapo~~ redpillschool might hear you and post another thread calling people beta feminist hipsters.

Maybe you're right, but, as I said, the best we can come up regarding this subject is conjecture, and it can go either way. I'd like to hear about your travelling experiences, tho.

Mihawk01 • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 01:31 PM

Awesome post.

This tone police can go fuck itself.

If you don't agree with something, just say so, and explain properly, don't start making up shit as to why you are offended, that is the feminist game.

This way you actually add something to the community, you enrich it and grow it.

[deleted] • 2 points • 24 July, 2014 08:57 PM*

Holy shit these mods are on point.

EDIT: I forgot something else. That thread about women not changing is something that anybody who's ever listened to the Black Phillip Show (basically required listening at this point) would never argue with since it's something that's talked about constantly.

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 04:23 AM

newcomers will learn or get offended and leave anyway.

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 11:21 AM

I like this! I myself have also fallen in the trap to police tone. I don't know why, because I kinda feel "superior" I guess, because I am oh, so rational. Whatever, that is something that I have to figure out myself. But the thing about a good Subreddit is: They have a strong force behind it, shaping it in the way it should be shaped. And this is happening here. And I am ah-okay with it.

JovianTrainWreck • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 01:48 PM

I read your other post and not only agreed, but saw no reason for it to be "controversial" by our standards.

And I thought I was right - all I saw from skimming the comments of that other thread was the majority of people agreeing with you, including the RPW you mentioned.

I might look at the thread again later, maybe I just didn't read them thoroughly enough to see a problem here...

redpillschool[S] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 01:49 PM

There isn't a problem. Just a lot of new-comers who don't understand the red pill getting themselves banned.

Opioidus • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 02:49 PM*

I want to thank you m8, I remember almost a year ago I had concerns about the sub being overrun by BP bullshit and you said "not on my watch", and you've done a wonderful job so far. So Thanks!

And a suggestion: is it possible to prevent new members to post/comment/vote for a month or so? Putting a time constraint on it might smoke out the less persistent trolls.

HandsomeXan • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 07:33 PM

Yes! You new fuckers should shut up and listen. I didn't fucking post a damn thing until I had lurked for three months.

You cannot possibly think you'd contribute meaningful points as a newbie.

Shut the fuck up and fucking listen.

indiez • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 01:25 PM

So instead of debating the issue at hand, which is what forums are for(?), we should shut up and blindly agree because you're a mod and obviously more alpha, and everyone that disagreed are noob/betas? If there's anything I've learned here it's that men debate, women listen. While you may speak this way to women, the people here are men.

idgaf ban me, whoop-de-doo, 5 seconds to make another account

Almost_legit • 1 point • 27 July, 2014 11:00 PM

Did you just have a stroke there? Stop displaying your own insecurities. Nobody was questioning whether you are a man/alpha/beta.

redpillschool[S] • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 01:33 PM

So instead of debating the issue at hand, which is what forums are for(?), we should shutup and blindly agree

I'm sorry that's all you took away from this.

5 seconds to make another account

And a lot longer to realize your new account can't post here.

wall-of-meth • 1 point • 24 July, 2014 05:14 PM

I'd like to know if there is a availability to comment or post only after a certain time span has passed. I suggest to introduce or extend that time span, since there is such a huge influx of new subscribers.

Whoever bothers to cling onto single words of a message and wants to twist them (instead of seeing its place in the big picture) misses the point of this sub's communication/intention and needs more time of learning how we communicate about TRP concepts. This implies learning and trying to apply TRP philosophy; you don't actually learn until you do what you theorized about.

Generalizations are necessary to state a fucking point and prevent some stupidly imprecise statements.

AlmostRP • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 02:33 AM

I guess I was gone for a couple days and missed your litmus test... to respond to it in this thread, my pre trp days, my wife said to me way to many times that "while she isn't perfect, she had gotten better." Wow, what a way to exactly example what you are saying.

She was unwilling to say that she had failed WHILE ADMITTING TO IT.

There is no change. Even today, the best way to make sure she runs around making sure the house is perfect is to invite friends over. She'll keep it perfect for weeks just knowing that they might come by in a few days.

bz1028 • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 01:07 AM

The thing is the noobs are taking the post far too literally. Here at TRP we employ many sweeping generalizations and hyperbolic statements in order to make a point and provide a masculine tone.

Instead of saying women are incapable of change he could have said: Women, in general, not all women, have a tendency to become complacent in an environment where they are reasonably satisfied with their existence.

They lack the motivation to make changes in their lifestyle even if it would have a profound positive effect in their lives. Now there are, of course, exceptions to this rule, they can often get said motivation from their SO. But if a woman unexpectedly starts making changes to her lifestyle, you should take it as a warning since this is abnormal behavior, even if it's beneficial.

The statement I just wrote isn't as hyperbolic, and it always clarifies generalizations and it perhaps better conveys the points /u/redpillschool was trying to make. But that isn't how we operate here. We make sweeping generalizations and hyperbolic statements because it creates a masculine atmosphere and it helps end male hamstering (but my girl is different because blah blah blah). If you don't like it either deal with it or unsubscribe, because if you're expecting the mods to accommodate your delicate sensibilities, you got another thing coming.

tylertgbh • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 08:03 PM

And what happens when a generalization or statement that's made (opinion) is blatantly wrong?

Of course it makes sense to generalize something which is common, something most men can agree on -- but to generalize something that "only appears 3% of the time in a small fraction of women" is not only pointless, but stupid. That defeats any attempts to develop a strategy or discuss anything worth learning. I don't want to implement strategy in my life which is based on something only 3% of women do.

Josh_The_Boss • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 11:15 PM

Is there any way to disable commenting for new users? If so I think they have to be a member of the community for a few weeks or so to be able to input their thoughts.

MajorStyles • 1 point • 27 July, 2014 10:24 PM

When guys white knight online, to a woman they will never meet, then that is some beta shit.

Duderson • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 06:05 AM

I haven't read your first post or even all of this one or any of the comments here because it didn't seem worth my time. However, if one must interpret what you say beyond simply properly defining the words you use, you have not communicated in a clear, direct manner. If you want to communicate that every woman does X, then say, "Every woman does X." If want to communicate that some women do X and you should be aware of this because it could be any woman and there's no way for you to know so be prepared, then say that. You can't say something other than what you mean and then whine when people didn't interpret it the way you wanted. I don't have your secret encoder ring. If your writing requires one, then improve your writing. If you did, in fact, write clearly and directly, this does not apply. However, in the first few paragraphs of this post, you make it seem as though you did not do that.

In short, say what you mean and mean what you say.

redpillschool[S] • -2 points • 25 July, 2014 01:35 PM

Ask yourself- why do guy friends make fun of each other? Wouldn't it make more sense for them to say what they mean?

Duderson • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 04:12 PM

In the context of trying to communicate a message, yes it would. In the context of messing around with your friends, sometimes not.

QQ_L2P • 0 points • 26 July, 2014 06:14 PM

The way I think of TRP is like a den of ravenous wolves, frothing at the mouth as they argue back and forth over topics of interest and their own personal experience. They cut their teeth on one another so that when they go out into the real world, they can grab it by the throat and put it down. Originally, TRP may have been a gathering of these beasts to discuss hunting strategies and improve themselves.

However, over time as TRP grew, younger wolves who may have been lost in the forest, hear the noises and wander into the den. They begin the process a little bit behind the others but will soon catch up. As the den grows, more creatures in the forest hear the noises made by it. They become curious and wander over, creatures who are not as aggressive as the wolves that first made the den, they are different. They are the domesticated dogs of the forest. They are weaker, have different priorities and have had a different upbringing.

Now, I'm not saying a dog will ever be a wolf, it's pretty obvious to everyone that a you can never be something

you're not. However, you can unlearn old behaviours that are holding you back and insert new ones in their place. Ones that will help you improve, that when you see something that tries to step on your manhood, your pride, you will bare your teeth and growl rather than run away whimpering.

[deleted] • -7 points • 24 July, 2014 04:47 PM

this is no accident, those guys are paid. no i am not being sarcastic, there is an elite for which the stuff presented here is a problem, and they have unlimited resources to fight it.

elevul • -5 points • 24 July, 2014 04:38 PM

I'm really weirded out by TRPW's reaction.

Aside from that, I agree with the post.

Orm_Tostesson • 6 points • 24 July, 2014 05:34 PM

Interpreting male communication and recognizing the actual intent rather than reacting emotionally and being instantly offended is weird for modern women. Taking criticism of bad behavior and using it as a catalyst for positive change is weird for modern women. RP women are weird compared to modern women. RP women are not like "normal" women and I am very happy this is the case.

redpillschool[S] • 8 points • 24 July, 2014 05:37 PM

The red pill women really showed up the red pill men on comprehension and understanding. Men here could take a lesson.

elevul • 0 points • 24 July, 2014 07:23 PM*

What bothered me is lower than that, aka the easy acceptance they had of the concepts expressed, or at least their ease of stating to have internalized and accepted those concepts, despite them being damaging to their own sexual strategy.

Even if I do agree with the TRP, for a moment I had the very strong sensation of witnessing a cult.

Thomas_Crapper • 5 points • 24 July, 2014 07:56 PM

You had the sensation of witnessing a cult, when you observed women acknowledging their own dishonest and procrastinating behaviors? We are a cult because we encourage women to be responsible for what they say and accountable for what they do? Think about that.

This is how ingrained the feminized programming is. This is why the language here has to be harsh and unrelenting. It has to shake loose a lifetime of programming for those who enter. It has to be the rawest POV from which you now look at things, and then you can begin anew, with eyes open.

Are all women traitorous cunts? No, of course not. Are all women angels of their word. I have some property to sell ya...

elevul • 0 points • 24 July, 2014 08:15 PM*

You had the sensation of witnessing a cult, when you observed women acknowledging their own dishonest and procrastinating behaviors? We are a cult because we encourage women to be responsible for what they say and accountable for what they do? Think about that.

No, I felt like I was witnessing a cult because TRP itself shows us just how incredibly beneficial is being a woman in today's society, and TRP itself is Male Sexual Strategy, opposite to the Female Sexual strategy that runs deep within the current society.

So why would a woman acknowledge and follow TRP when it's detrimental to her own Sexual Strategy?

Why would she bother changing her behavior when there is so little to gain for her from doing so, and a lot of pain and work?

It makes perfect sense for Males to follow TRP, because it's only beneficial for them, but not for females. It goes against their best interests, which is why it felt like a cult, since making people do and think what's against their own best interest it's one of the basics of a cult.

redpillschool[S] • 5 points • 24 July, 2014 08:50 PM

I think that women might follow the red pill because it does help them effectively with a purposeful strategy.

For instance, women have an upper hand in our culture, but only tend to unwittingly to serve their innate hypergamy. This isn't actually advantageous per se, as many women hitting the wall find out they've been lied to about optimizing the second portion of their strategy (beta bucks).

Now, they are *free* to do what ever they want to optimize their strategy, which still gives them the upper hand.

Some women choose red pill because they want a relationship/family/husband and they realize this is the best way to effect these goals.

Gami_Lon • 0 points • 24 July, 2014 10:23 PM

Wait a second, I'm a woman, and there's plenty in TRP that's good for women.

Women do not want men to be complete pussies. Stand up for yourselves! Know what you want and how to get it

A life devoted to women's studies is a wasted life

feminism is not good for families. Feminism is the reason that women no longer raise their children because they're too busy working.

robby123 • -4 points • 24 July, 2014 04:44 PM

Agreed.

SmellyJelly22 • -1 points • 27 July, 2014 02:37 AM

Sorry, OP, I can't ride with you on this one.

Or do I need to explain that the phrase "get your head out of your ass" does not literally mean I think your head is in your ass?

Here's the thing: when a lot of people make generalizations (black people are lazy, men are rapists, etc...), THEY DO LITERALLY MEAN IT. There is a legitimate ambiguity in those statements. Even if they don't literally mean it, they act as if that generalization was true for everybody in the group. When a person says "black people are lazy," he may not literally mean that every black person that ever lived is lazy, but he will probably not hire black people, so he is effectively punishing ALL black people for the sins of some black people. When feminists say "men do X" and then they pass laws that affect all men, they are effectively generalizing all men. When a feminist starts a sentence with "men" I cut her off because I am legitimately allowed to take her words at face value and assume she is talking about every single man.

My thinking is this: it's not hard to qualify your language so you say "some women" or "certain women" rather

than just "women." So why don't you just do it? Yes, its annoying, and your "audience" should know better but just put that little effort in and save yourself a bunch of headache.

When people make broad generalizations like "America is evil" or "women can't change" or whatever, it indicates, at least to me, a certain sloppy way of thinking and a degree of emotion and irrationality. Let's not stoop that low. It's easy to wipe out those misunderstandings, so let's just do it.