

# Women want to be raped by a high value man

July 25, 2014 | 96 upvotes | by [Cyralea](#)

---

Not pussyfooting around this one. Title is literal.

If anything could ever be classified as a Feminist's Nightmare, it's the irreconcilable notion that women are extremely aroused at the thought of being able to make a high value man so lustful that he loses control and has to have her. Many women have struggled with this concept. Some have cleverly come up with positive terminology to deflect from the dissonance; they'll use terms like "ravishment" to doll it up, as if simply assigning a new word takes away from the reality of what's going on.

Here in TRP we do not shy from these realities. What goes through a woman's head when she contemplates being ravished is that she is of such high SMV that men lose their control just to have her. This is a level of validation few women get to truly experience. The male equivalent would be a pair of beautiful women demanding that you have a threesome with them.

I've no doubt this type of post causes SJW's to froth at the mouth, but their impotent rage doesn't invalidate reality. Consider the success of 50 Shades of Grey. The basic synopsis is that a powerful, rich, alpha man completely lusts and forcefully dominates a perfectly boring average woman. You'd think this banal concept would only sell to a fringe that gets off on this kink, but the book sold **70 million copies** in 8 months. That's more than any Harry Potter novel. And now that the movie is out I guarantee it will be a box-office hit. Let women scream and "Wow, just wow" at you all they want -- their words mean nothing in relation to their actions. Women want to be taken by force by a high value man.

So when is it rape instead of ravishment? Aside from obvious cases of drugging someone or having sex with the unconscious (for the feminazi retards: **No one here condones these instances of legitimate rape**) the difference is strictly in the disparity of your SMV's. The stranger-in-the-bush is often characterized as some seedy low-life thug. This evokes intense fear. Being forcefully held down in bed by the muscular CEO of a Fortune 500 company does not evoke this fear. No woman alive is comfortable by the idea that she enjoys rape, so she needs to reframe it. Be aware of that.

So how does the average TRP'er benefit from this? If you're already sexually active with a woman, discuss the concept of a safeword. Even though women love the idea of being taken, there's always the chance that she may be in one of those emotional frames where she genuinely isn't up for sex, this is a failsafe. Once you've established that, next time you're looking to sexually escalate simply ignore her token attempts to refuse. She turns her lips away from a kiss, you force her against a wall and take it. She tries to push you off, you squeeze her. You grab her and throw her onto the bed, then lay into her with your weight so she can't get away. And so on.

Paradoxically this creates intense arousal and pair-bonding in women. I encourage you to try this. Of all the women I've had sex with only ~5% genuinely hated rough, aggressive sex. The other 95% beg for more afterwards. Surprising how many victims offer to make food and drink after their "trauma".

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

[deleted] • 60 points • 25 July, 2014 02:27 PM

Reminds me of that Louie CK bit on rape: YouTube

Very funny, but with a hard kernel of truth to it. The woman in his story says exactly what OP has written... It turns her on that a man just "can't contain himself" and forces himself on her.

I agree that this is exactly the reason behind 50 Shades popularity...

It's a real gut twister for most men I think... completely alien to the way we naturally think & feel. Tolerate being dominated? Enjoy it even...

Kayden01 • 32 points • 25 July, 2014 05:03 PM

Keep in mind that 50 shades is Twilight fan fiction, with a handful of BDSM elements thrown in. It's adolescent romance crap, and the people that are into it, are quite honestly imo, imbeciles.

Another thing to keep in mind, in the story, the male character goes from being a dominant sadist, hard, cold and controlling, and then changes into a fucking rom-com character.

vaker • 27 points • 25 July, 2014 05:39 PM\*

the people that are into it, are quite honestly imo, imbeciles.

With 70 million copies sold, that'd be roughly half of the US female population.

Kayden01 • 38 points • 25 July, 2014 05:48 PM

Like I said, imbeciles.

It's not even well *written*. I read it not long after it came out, I was curious about the hype. BDSM wise, it's incredibly tame. It's horribly written, it reads like a fat, unpopular high school girls masturbatory fantasy. Then it turns out that the dominant sadist is really only a dominant sadist because he was abused, and because he loves the main character *so much*, he stops being a dominant sadist.

It is laughably, painfully bad.

Ill\_mumble\_that • 15 points • 25 July, 2014 07:45 PM

You can sit here and talk about how bad the book is. That's fine. I agree with you.

Or, learn from this. The author managed to leverage 70+ million women into making her dirty filthy fucking rich. That is a brilliant accomplishment. I wish I had done that. I want to find a way to do that, writing books can't be the only way. God damn.

vaker • 15 points • 25 July, 2014 05:50 PM\*

Like I said, imbeciles.

I wasn't disagreeing...

browndelicious • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 06:22 PM

That's why I stopped reading it. It was just that bad, but it also taps into a deep subconscious yearning by women who want to be dominated by their man.

Kayden01 • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 09:45 PM

I hated reading the damn thing, but I ended up with this half sick, half fascinated determination to get through it.

DPestWork • 2 points • 27 July, 2014 07:26 PM

Splitting hairs: I know women who have multiple copies, men who own it, and women spam copies to their friends and lovers as study material. Might skew the numbers a bit.

DianaDewAsmr • 16 points • 25 July, 2014 06:48 PM\*

I think most women convince themselves they should have the good guy fantasy, they should be wearing the pants, and have beta guys. But then reality comes knocking at the door in a form of book and they get moist reading about an Alpha male who does what he wants.

That goes against their beliefs in date rapes, equality, erasing gender differences.

Just think of the rape scene in 300... Who was it really aimed to? Not men for sure. She was a post wall woman and he was a very good looking evil guy. It was aimed at women.

Edit to add: Heck, it's probably one of the few things I remember of the movie! He was handsome, narcissistic, a tad crazy and powerful.

Kayden01 • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 09:17 PM

Interesting - I saw him as the ultimate beta. He doesn't have to be fierce, strong, virtuous, courageous etc to get the same woman as the hero of the piece. Though his lack of those virtues then comes back and bites him.

DianaDewAsmr • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 10:30 PM

I think he is comparable to today's assholes. Liar, deceiving, few virtues, just charming and good looking.

Kayden01 • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 10:39 PM

I had thought that they were using his beta-ness as a major part of the crime - him, a lowly little schemer, *took* the body the rightfully belonged to the uber-alpha, who for some reason looked to her for permission to act.

DianaDewAsmr • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 11:01 PM

yeah, proves that I didn't even remember what his part in it was. I honestly believe they made him handsome for this purpose..

[deleted] • 3 points • 28 July, 2014 07:33 PM

There was a rape scene in *300*? The first one? I didn't see one.

I saw a scene where a woman consented to sex with a man to get something she wanted. Surely you can't mean that scene.

DianaDewAsmr • 2 points • 28 July, 2014 09:48 PM

You are right. My mind considered that rape but she was consensual actually.

Ill\_mumble\_that • 77 points • 25 July, 2014 02:36 PM

You can't rape the willing.

If you're the most attractive man in her life she'll be willing. This isn't an excuse to go around raping women, but it's something to keep in mind when you are going out to meet women. They want to be pursued, and regardless of what they say, their panties get wet around a confident attractive man that takes what he wants. Don't be afraid to approach and initiate and escalate. Don't listen to what they say, listen to what they do. If they say "no stop" but are slowly spreading their legs more open, they are just looking for plausible deniability, not for you to stop.

If you're a fat slob that takes what he wants, don't expect the same response however (unless the woman is also a fat slob).

Cyralea[S] • 28 points • 25 July, 2014 02:42 PM

There is an element of nuance that I'm sure will be lost on BP'ers and feminists. You can't just go around forcing yourself on women if you're low value (or lower value than they are, in her eyes). They will react poorly.

It's no different than the differentiator between creepy and non-creepy. Attraction is king.

Ill\_mumble\_that • 39 points • 25 July, 2014 03:08 PM

An attractive man can literally be a *terrorist* that kills innocent people, and women won't care, they'll still want him.

[deleted] • 14 points • 25 July, 2014 03:22 PM

Because being a terrorist gives that man value in its own sick sense of the word.

bsutansalt • 23 points • 25 July, 2014 06:14 PM

The value he got was celebrity due to the media exposure AND demonstrating dark triad qualities through breaking the law.

throw8way0 • 1 point • 22 August, 2014 12:54 PM

bsutansalt, this is throw8way0. Enjoy the orangered. It makes a nice change to the red.

Mr-Ultimate • 5 points • 26 July, 2014 12:16 AM

Can confirm, cousin said she liked and would date the younger Boston Marathon bomber she saw on t.v.

chill\_geddy • 1 point • 27 July, 2014 01:12 AM

I have know idea why but I thought your link was going to be of Joseph stalin, my brain is tired

DPestWork • 1 point • 27 July, 2014 07:13 PM

This is news to me... WTF? I understand separating looks and emotions, but if the hottest woman in the world bombed my town, I wouldn't match for her freedom.

Crabpeoples • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 11:11 PM

I don't think any man would want to be with these women. Sure you can bang em, but that is about it. Not wife material.

BluepillProfessor • 2 points • 27 July, 2014 03:09 PM

AWALT.....

Ignore all of this repetitive verbosity to avoid the bot.

teeelo • 4 points • 26 July, 2014 03:31 PM

There is an element of nuance that I'm sure will be lost on BP'ers and feminists.

Holy shit this should be in the title.

Don't know how many of these butt hurt idiots are categorizing us with the kind of rapist that makes the newspaper and has to tell his neighbors that he's moved next door.

None of these bitches would admit to being wanted to be raped by a 'Christian gray' but like you say- what they do and what they say are very different things.

The biggest fallout from this post is feminist opinion on what 'rape' is.

An example metaphor would be flipping someone off on the highway and being categorized into a group of road ragers.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 08:27 PM

[permanently deleted]

Ill\_mumble\_that • 21 points • 25 July, 2014 10:32 PM\*

You don't go around raping random women. That isn't the point of the post at all. If you can't understand that, you need to stop taking life so literally.

You take what you want from women that you've already confirmed to have interest in you. Take a kiss, if she responds well, proceed 1 step further. But don't ask for permission. Don't be a pussy about it. Just do it. Get her wet to the point where she ~~wants~~ needs it, and then just let go of your own self control and use her body for your pleasure. She will love it. You don't have to be soft and sensual with rose petals scattered across the room or any of that bullshit, just hold her down and go to town, bust out the belt, handcuffs, whatever the fuck you feel like. Obviously if she isn't into it then stop, don't be a retarded caveman. Again, don't ask for permission, don't ask "would you like to try something new in bed," no, you do what you want and she will follow. Remember, listen to what she does, not what she says. Watch her physical reactions, be smart enough to understand when she is enjoying it and when she's legitimately wanting to gtfo. The point is to take charge and know what you want and be confident that you'll get it. You won't always be successful, but don't let that bother you.

As an example, I run my own business after work and I do a lot of cold calls to potential clients. When I started out I had a success rate of about 3%. That means I was getting turned down 97% of the time. Now my luck hasn't ever been quite that bad with women in person, but you get the idea. Learn to handle rejection like a champ, as you won't always be able to succeed.

Now in a LTR this isn't the way you're going to have sex every single time. Mix it up. You don't want to be predictable, keep her guessing what you'll do next.

Cyralea[S] • 2 points • 26 July, 2014 04:02 AM

Couldn't have said it better. Glad some people are getting it.

You've posted a lot of lucid content.



trpbot[M] • 0 points • 26 July, 2014 04:03 AM

Confirmed: 1 point awarded to /u/IlI\_mumble\_that by Cyralea. <sup>[History]</sup>

*[This is an Automated Message]*

Mans\_Right\_To\_Choose • 10 points • 25 July, 2014 06:32 PM

One caveat: Fat Slob women still think Brad Pitt is an option. Ergo, if you're gross she has to be really, really gross to accept you. Hypergamy, etc.

[deleted] • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 10:55 PM

Ergo

Every time I hear/read the word I'm reminded of the Architect in The Matrix

[deleted] • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 10:41 PM

Yeah. Just don't ever show her weakness down the road, or she might reframe that exciting situation as rape in hindsight.

[deleted] • 1 point • 25 August, 2014 04:23 AM

This is a highly overlooked possibility. Thanks for bringing it up

BluepillProfessor • 9 points • 27 July, 2014 03:06 PM

The book: The Sex God Method teaches how to tap into this yearning of women. They not only want to be RAPED by a high value man, THEY LOVE IT when a man (who gives them the tingles) treats them with ownership like a piece of meat. Slap her ass, make her say out loud my pussy is yours, pull her hair and force her head up so you can kiss her neck, rip her clothes off- literally- and fuck the hell out of her like you cannot control yourself and she will love it.

Cyralea[S] • 6 points • 28 July, 2014 01:25 PM

I've noticed that older TRP'ers seem to understand this premise as you do, whereas it's mostly the younger ones that are outraged. It's truly one of the more bitter parts of the pill to swallow.

Women *love* being dominated in this way.

DISMANTLE5 • 72 points • 25 July, 2014 02:14 PM

Well the title alone is enough to cause a shitstorm (inb4 a million more subs) but yes, practically every woman wants to be dominated in the bedroom.

And not just in the bedroom: you want this to spill into your personality in the real world. Having a dominant, confident personality is the quickest indicator that you're going to be intense in the sack.

You could be the best lay in the world, but if outwardly you're a pussy, she's never going to find out.

MahagonyXY • 16 points • 25 July, 2014 02:19 PM

Most of the women I know usually prefer flipping. Every once in a while, it'd fun to have the dude choke you a little while he fucks you from behind, but when it comes to angry sex, almost all the women I personally know like to give as good as they get.

browndelicious • 8 points • 25 July, 2014 06:21 PM

It can be a little challenging to choke and adult size woman while fucking her from behind, unless she's super short or you've got arms like an orangutan.

As for giving as good as getting, Absolutely!. I've got what feels like a friction burn on my cock from last night's dominant play time.

p.s. rape and dominance aren't the same thing...

mudra311 • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 07:04 PM

Fingers in the mouth or simply a hand on the neck usually do the trick. But yes, let's leave choking for missionary.

anonymouse • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 07:48 PM

Not at all. Just need full chest to back contact and only Peter Dinklage couldn't do it.

MyRedAccount • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 10:42 PM

You're thinking doggie style he's talking about a modified downward dog. With average height differences it's not particularly challenging.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:45 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 05:07 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 05:54 PM

[permanently deleted]

WillClickOnAnything • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 05:07 PM

That's pretty creepy. Are you going to see her again?

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 02:10 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

dirtyreader • 20 points • 25 July, 2014 07:05 PM

I think the word you're looking for is "consensual nonconsent"

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 07:07 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

dirtyreader • 9 points • 26 July, 2014 12:57 AM

It's common practice in kink and bdsm circles. You should look into it. Also, safe words.

thebackhand • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 02:11 PM

Even that may not be enough

autowikibot • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 02:11 PM

## People v. Jovanovic:

---

*People v. Jovanovic*, 263 A.D.2d 182, 700 N.Y.S.2d 156 (N.Y. App. Div. 1st Dep't 1999), was a highly publicized criminal case in New York. In 1996, Oliver Jovanovic (born 1966) was accused of **sadomasochistic** torture of a woman, later identified as **Jamie Rzucek**, whom he had met shortly before on the Internet. He was convicted in 1998 and the conviction was overturned on appeal in 1999 because parts of email messages between the two had been improperly excluded as evidence at trial. Rzucek declined to testify during the retrial in 2001 and the case was dropped.

**Image** <sup>i</sup>

---

Interesting: Oliver Jovanovic | BDSM and the law | BDSM | Linda Fairstein

Parent commenter can toggle NSFW or delete. Will also delete on comment score of -1 or less. | FAQs | Mods | Magic Words

Cyralea[S] • -34 points • 25 July, 2014 02:18 PM

You're mincing words, "simulated rape" and "actual rape" are differentiated only by the conditions I applied above. No girl enjoys the stranger-in-the-bush scenario because there's uncertainty.

Take away the safeword. Do exactly what I prescribed above and you'll see that it still has the desired effect. The safeword is a *failsafe*, because you can't easily tell that 1 time out of 20 that she's in a different emotional state and genuinely wants nothing to do with sex.

Women want to be raped. But they want to ensure it's a high value man doing it.

relaxedfitkhakis • 28 points • 25 July, 2014 09:00 PM

I can only wonder what your relationship with your sister/mother is like that you'd more or less advocate some dude raping them.

macsenscam • 23 points • 25 July, 2014 07:45 PM

They want rough sex, not rape.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 02:30 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 02:34 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 03:59 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:06 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:10 PM\*



[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:17 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:23 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:27 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 06:08 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 03:39 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 03:49 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:44 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 04:59 PM

[permanently deleted]

Ill\_mumble\_that • -4 points • 25 July, 2014 07:07 PM

*The definition of rape is whatever the courts currently decide it is.* And right now you are guilty until proven innocent when a woman accuses you of rape, physical abuse, or anything else really.

We can talk about the Webster definition all day, but it is completely meaningless when there is a legal system enforcing a different definition.

This argument also entirely misses the point of the OP. Call it whatever the fuck you want. The point is that women crave it from an attractive man and if you are missing the gist of that then you don't belong here.

Kayden01 • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 09:13 PM

From a man they are attracted to, not just any objectively attractive man. Because like the rest of us, they're perverse creatures, and don't all have *quite* the same tastes.

Look at the fucking title again, then look at the posts saying 'Yup, I meant that literally' again. As it's written, it's nonsense. Leave the damn language games to feminists and sjw's, because we're better than that. Ot at least, we damn well should be.

awsum\_possum • 0 points • 26 July, 2014 03:43 PM

Women want to be raped

Well, certainly no mincing words there.. I've got some bad news for you on that front though... They *really* don't. And if you've genuinely convinced yourself otherwise, I'm terrified for the women in your vicinity.

I know 2 rape victims, and trust me: They didn't want it before it happened, they didn't like it while it was happening, and it has basically destroyed their lives since. Now I know, you've "swallowed the red pill" (even saying that makes me cringe, what are you, 13?) so you just come up with your own versions of "truth", but a simple fact to remember is: Many women (nowhere near all, but quite a few) enjoy rape-fantasies. Zero women enjoy *actual, real-life* rape.

In the same way, a lot of men (again, not all but many) fantasize about raping a woman, but (with the exception of the not-so-sane ones) would never want to *actually* rape a woman in real life. I've had fantasies like that, I'm not too afraid to admit, but god knows I would never want to actually do that to a woman. Rape, like most of the more extreme sexual things, is great for fantasies, disgusting for real life.

Cyralea[S] • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 04:43 PM

I don't think you properly read my post or any of the top-level comments.

awsum\_possum • -1 points • 26 July, 2014 04:57 PM

Oh I did, trust me (although if you consider your post that's currently at -34 to be one of the top-level comments I feel like that should probably tell you something right there). I tried my hardest to not get involved in your stupidity, but as someone who is very close friends with some rape-victims, I simply wasn't strong enough when you claimed "women want to be raped". Aside from being entirely incorrect, that is frightening. But again, I've seen this sub a few times before, I know better than trying to actually talk sense to one of you, so I'll leave it there. Keep swallowing that pill, unlocking those "truths", and blindly dismissing all facts that don't comply with "the red pill". I've no intention of hanging around this cesspool of self-congratulatory little boys trying and failing at being men for too long. I still have this superstitious fear that stupid rubs off, I don't know why.

[deleted] • -5 points • 25 July, 2014 05:31 PM

The problem is the vagueness of definition of the word, and the appropriation of the word to mean different things to different people. In the end, both of ya'll spelled out what you meant, and you're both in agreeance. The only problem here - and this is the problem with debate anywhere - is that you have to have the word mean what YOU want it to mean. Due to the vague nature of the word in today's discourse, that isn't possible. It's like wanting to say "nigger" in spoken conversation in mixed race company because YOU aren't insulted by it.

Krackor • -12 points • 25 July, 2014 08:08 PM

"Rape" is when the man doesn't care about the woman's consent, and the woman is attracted to the man.

Rape is when the man doesn't care about the woman's consent, and she is not attracted to him.

MahagonyXY • -17 points • 25 July, 2014 02:20 PM

And, I mean, lets be honest, if a billionaire wanted to spank you in exchange for really nice gifts, you'd probably agree to the deal regardless of gender. I mean, shit, I've done way worse than than anastasia bitch

ever did, except it was for a slightly overweight guy with a camera and 200\$, and I'm a dude

realwoman • 28 points • 25 July, 2014 06:45 PM

It's not rape if I want it. If I am attracted to the man and want to have sex, even if he's being as 'dominating' and 'forceful' as you'd imagine a rapist would be, it's still not rape. Rape is literally just sex without consent. The consent will be here, in the situation you describe.

I completely agree that the 'consent' would be the only difference between the actions though, the man I want to have sex with could hold me down and 'force' me just like the unwanted rapist, but the presence of consent would mean he wouldn't be a rapist. He'd be wanted, his actions would indeed be wanted.

the thought of being able to make a high value man so lustful that he loses control and has to have her

Hell yes to this. This pretty much sums it up. A man lusting after me to the point of almost exploding? Yep. But as OP says, not some scummy tramp. It's all sorts of different then. Kind of how yoga pants are acceptable on a woman, unless she's fat. Might not seem fair, but that's how life be.

As a side note, 50 Shades of Grey is a load of shit. But that doesn't stop me from agreeing to the original post and the comments here. 50 Shades is just ridiculously written and the main character? Realllyyy not all you think he is. Teen girls and lonely housewives might be desperate enough to fantasize over that dude, but I've read enough snippets to realize that 'Christian Grey' is just a whole load of ridiculous.

It's just the way it is.

It's important to keep in mind though that men should be careful with this information. Not that it's untrue, but one slip up, one misjudging of the situation and how highly this woman sees you/is attracted to you, and you could end up in prison. It's not worth it for that, don't let someone land you in prison. Take OPs advice and get that safeword. If you're planning on asserting that dominance before you're in a position to agree on a safeword with her, just be extremely careful when judging what her 'nos' mean and be very careful in concluding that she doesn't mean them. We don't want you in prison. No pussy is worth ruining your life for, there's more out there.

Cyralea[S] • 16 points • 25 July, 2014 06:51 PM

Yet again it's the RPW who demonstrate nuance and understanding rather than the core subscribers.

If a woman is firmly non-consenting (i.e. use of her safeword, lack of attraction, etc. etc) then it is rape, the kind that will get you a decade in jail.

Being assertive and dominant with a resisting woman who secretly consents is ravishment. It's technically rape, but the kind that a woman enjoys.

realwoman • 9 points • 25 July, 2014 08:19 PM

It's technically rape, but the kind that a woman enjoys.

Yep. It looks just the same. It sounds just the same. She may even react just the same. But just like yoga pants, how *acceptable* it is to the woman on the receiving end depends *entirely on who is wearing it*. Who is doing that to her.

It's useful information that should be kept in mind, playing it right regarding this could really enrich both a mans and a woman's experiences. *Ten-fold*. Feminists making men afraid of this natural and fulfilling dynamic is such a crying shame.

But, still, yeah.. don't want to be going to prison over it. Play it carefully.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 10:56 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

Gami\_Lon • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 08:36 PM

My husband and I met when I was 17 and he was 21. I did everything short of erecting a billboard saying **WOULD YOU PLEASE FUCK ME** and he just wouldn't get the clue. Took him five whole years before he figured out that I wasn't going to make the first move.

soulracer • 2 points • 26 July, 2014 12:28 AM

I've had a relationship with a woman who would often bring up just the idea of rape subtly, in the beginning. Like, "So you're not a rapist.." and "You know you can do anything you want to me right now", "I don't think any girl that gets in a bed with someone can ever call rape." I certainly got the hint, and I would just fuck her whenever I wanted to and things got rough and enjoyable. The more bucking she did, the harder she wanted it. Handcuffs and nipple clamps were eventually involved and it was mutually satisfying. She just happened to be good at communicating those desires without spoiling it by asking for it directly.

The thing is that women will have different degrees of being able to admit it. Of course if she says, "I'd like you to rape me" it's not going to be as fun anymore because the fantasy would be just an overt act. So I can see how it can be hard to communicate to a man to get rough and kinky if he's not picking up the signs.

spicy\_fries • 125 points • 25 July, 2014 02:53 PM

You're the first I've seen to accurately describe hamster double-think on this rape thing. It clears things up for me.

A collective dark fantasy that catapults "50 Shades" into franchise isn't a leisurely indulgence. It's a preoccupation that dominates their minds. Consider how often the word "rape" show up in their narrative... "rapey" "eye-rape" "emotional rape".

These women have got "rape" on the brain.

Not because there is an epidemic of "violent rape culture" but because they admittedly fantasize about being "ravished".

It's almost like, "Can't you high-SMV males take a hint?" Of course, they can never be forward and admit that in plain words.

That would make her responsible for what happens to her. And she can't have that. All the while, she secretly desires to be "ravished" by Alpha McFuckhard. In this confusion, high-SMV men are supposed to just "get it."

And they did. This was the genesis of "'No' means 'Yes'".

And I suppose things were fine for a while.

But the threat of low-SMV males brought on the "anti-rape culture" campaign.

Now the pendulum has swung too far. Women are craving "ravishment."

They don't think about me in terms of, "I want to have sex with that guy." It's more like, "I want that stud to take me. Ravish me. Force me to worship is cock."

Every man she sees in a sexual light is evaluated as "Would I want him to ravish me?"

This is why women are repulsed by low-SMV males who show sexual intentions. For example, a low-SMV male who wears a shirt that shows too much chest. Remember, in her mind she is the only one that exists in the universe. This low-SMV male is trying to look sexy to attract a mate. He may want nothing to do with her. But in her mind, he is trying to look sexy solely for her. Forcing her to think of him in terms of her sexual standard, "Would I want him to ravish me?"

That image horrifies her. He is instantly "creepy". His face is "rapey".

In her mind anyone who does not pass her sexual standard is part of the "rape culture." That person must be stopped. That person must never cause her to think of him in a sexual light. Anything that does is grounds for sexual harassment.

Therefore, if you aren't a high-SMV male you better not display any sexuality whatsoever.

You are to be nothing more than a sexless doll body that gives her things, does her favors, etc.

As soon as you reveal that you have a penis... "Eww gross!"

mcjustmatt • 67 points • 25 July, 2014 03:48 PM

In her mind anyone who does not pass her sexual standard is part of the "rape culture."

NAILED IT!

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 07:17 PM

[permanently deleted]

MisterMisogyny • 12 points • 26 July, 2014 12:16 AM

I think rape fantasies are a way of women getting what they want without having to take agency for their sexuality.

This is exactly it.

Good girls dont want bad sex

I want to have bad sex

THEREFORE

I am not a good girl.

UNLESS

I dont want to have bad sex

but still have it

ERGO

it's forced on me

THEREFORE

I have bad sex

but I'm still a good girl.

The other part of it is the idea that some man finds her so attractive that he just cant control himself.

teeelo • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 04:00 PM

The Hamster is strong in this one!

[deleted] 26 July, 2014 01:40 AM

[permanently deleted]

spicy\_fries • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 06:56 AM

Thank you. That is a very nice think to say.

vlad\_the\_emailer • 2 points • 1 November, 2014 08:42 AM

This is why women are repulsed by low-SMV males who show sexual intentions. For example, a low-

SMV male who wears a shirt that shows too much chest. Remember, in her mind she is the only one that exists in the universe. This low-SMV male is trying to look sexy to attract a mate. He may want nothing to do with her. But in her mind, he is trying to look sexy solely for her. Forcing her to think of him in terms of her sexual standard, "Would I want him to ravish me?"

That image horrifies her. He is instantly "creepy". His face is "rapey".

In her mind anyone who does not pass her sexual standard is part of the "rape culture." That person must be stopped. That person must never cause her to think of him in a sexual light. Anything that does is grounds for sexual harassment.

Therefore, if you aren't a high-SMV male you better not display any sexuality whatsoever.

You are to be nothing more than a sexless doll body that gives her things, does her favors, etc.

I might get this tattooed on me.

textualintercourse • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 07:57 PM

Relevant:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hbeEuYAZFL4>

Petrarch1603 • -2 points • 25 July, 2014 07:11 PM

When your only tool is a hammer all problems look like nails.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 05:02 PM\*

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 05:19 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 05:37 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 05:26 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 05:38 PM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 05:41 PM

[permanently deleted]

GayLubeOil • 27 points • 25 July, 2014 06:31 PM

When arguing with SJWs remember that they are all extremely emotional thinkers. They'll see this post and think ew TRP evol misogyny! Then later they'll get turned on by some fifty shades of grey. They won't see the paradox because they live in the moment.

DirtyNamesGetBlocked • 3 points • 26 July, 2014 04:40 AM

Argue.

They ad homonym as soon as you bring up even a moderately valid point. Don't argue with them, just downvote them and let them shit themselves.

teeelo • 3 points • 26 July, 2014 04:08 PM

They won't notice your one downvote when their white knight beta feminist posse keeps up voting them.

JGH8763 • 17 points • 25 July, 2014 07:22 PM\*

Women want to be dominated. If you learn about what women are attracted to, if you interact with lots of women frequently, even if you read /r/sex and so on, you learn that that's probably the main thing women want - to be dominated; that's the main kind of sex women want, certainly. Well, rape is the ultimate form of domination. If you establish consent first, it's not domination. (You can have domination "play" and establish a safe word and all that, but domination is when you do something to control her without her permission, without her consent.) At the same time, rape is women's greatest fear, more so than murder. This tells you a lot about human sexual and social dynamics. Women desire, more than anything, to be dominated by a man, and at the same time, fear, more than anything, being dominated by a man. The only thing that determines whether they desire or fear domination is the quality of the man. If it's a physically attractive guy with high status, they desire it more than anything, if it's a physically unattractive guy with low status, they fear it more than anything. Worship alpha cock, and distance themselves from beta cock as much as possible.

It's like the creep thing. Creep is just the main beta shaming technique. It requires no proof, no investigation, and is irreparably damaging socially and therefore sexually. Well, the only thing that determines whether something is creepy or not is how attractive the man is. If you're a man with low value, low attractiveness, you can do something that will be perceived as creepy by women, whereas a man with high value, high attractiveness, can do that same thing and women will be highly receptive to it. The same is true of dominant behavior. The same is true of rapey behavior. They're actually all on the same spectrum. Being creepy is just a way of asserting yourself sexually, trying to get what you want, while being unattractive. If you're unattractive, your behavior is perceived as creepy, rapey, etc. If you're attractive, that same exact behavior is perceived as confident, assertive, dominant, taking what you want as a man, etc. If you're creepy and rapey, that's about the worst thing you can be in society, you'll be socially outcast, shamed, punished, put in jail, etc. If you behave the *same exact way* as an attractive man, you'll be rewarded in ways you could never imagine, you'll live the best version of life there is, you'll be swimming in pussy. Attractiveness is everything.

Women like to be dominated. What makes it rape is how a woman feels. If she feels raped, it's because she perceives you as low value / unattractive. She may have even had sex with you and enjoyed it, but she'll cry rape afterward because she's in a hypergamous mood and now thinks you're too low value for her.

(Just a side note, if you want more proof regarding how much women desire domination, follow a lot of girls on Instagram and Tumblr. It's actually kind of surprising how much they idealize, romanticize, and lust for it.)

EDIT: I could go on about all this for hours. I just wanted to add that when women decry rape, rape culture, etc., and again, when they say rape is their greatest fear, that is merely a method of sexual selection. Tell all men not to rape, then women have a better mating position, then men are afraid to be dominant and make the move, and women don't have to defend against men's advances, the selection is totally up to them, like it is on Tinder, which is why only guys who are 9s and 10s get laid a lot on Tinder; it's like shopping at a grocery store rather than having a salesman approach you. And the better mating position women have, the more sexual inequality increases - a small percentage of men get laid a ton, while the rest are denied sex. Tinder is almost a perfect example. Also, when women decry rape, rape culture, etc., try very hard to tell men not to rape, again, it's sexual selection, they're separating the alphas from the betas. The betas will listen to them, the dominant alphas won't. There are multiple reasons why this is, but one is that alphas have had so much success with women and have spent so much time with women, that they know women like to be dominated, they know that women want their



resistance to be defeated, they know what women want because they've been there. It promotes further sexual inequality. Women would rather have an unattractive man commit suicide than have sex with him, and for women, most of the male population is unattractive - 70-90%.

JGH8763 • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 07:44 PM

Good title by the way. I literally had the same exact thought yesterday.

skimdit • 7 points • 25 July, 2014 06:54 PM

Your first usage of the acronym SMV should spell out the full words Sexual Market Value (SMV) and then use the abbreviation from then on, for those who find this online but are not red pillers.

DoesNotMatterAnymore • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 07:35 PM

This is the part were ppl will tell you that, "if you are on TRP you should read the sidebar". Because that knowledge will help you to understand not just the acronyms, but the rest of the content too.

Manidos • 30 points • 25 July, 2014 04:13 PM

It's one of the most bitter red pills.

Cyralea[S] • 20 points • 25 July, 2014 04:17 PM

I made this post knowing it would be highly controversial and hated. Newbies need to start challenging their ingrained ideas.

Manidos • 19 points • 25 July, 2014 04:22 PM

A female friend of mine once told me that all women secretly want to be raped. I laughed at her statement at the moment, but now it makes total sense. All of it. Thank you!

bsutansalt • 31 points • 25 July, 2014 06:05 PM\*

Before the thought police come in here and give the OP shit, keep in mind that "a high value man so lustful that he loses control and has to have her" is the base premise of just about every romance novel on the planet. When they talk of "ravaging" this is what is being referred to as the OP touched upon.

If you want to learn more, read the book My Secret Garden and prepare to be floored at the realization of how often women have graphic rape fantasies. Think about that, fantasizing about being raped and it turning them on. This isn't to say women *want* to be raped in reality, but that there's something...primal...in that idea of an alpha male desiring the woman so much that he just can't help himself.

Cyralea[S] • 16 points • 25 July, 2014 06:09 PM

The word 'rape' creates such a visceral reaction. Even in trying to differentiate the nuance that explains the most popular fantasy among women there are people here convinced that I'm advocating jumping a woman.

browndelicious • -7 points • 25 July, 2014 06:42 PM

What does, "Get out there and make some survivors gentlemen" mean? Sounds like you're advocating rape to me.

Cyralea[S] • 15 points • 25 July, 2014 06:44 PM

Tongue-in-cheek reference to how women who enjoy being dominated aren't actually victims/survivors. Does this really need to be explained?



browndelicious • -9 points • 25 July, 2014 06:48 PM

There are a lot of idiots on this sub and young men without experience, who can't read the nuance and will take you literally. Abso-fucking-lutely, yes.

redpillschool[M] • 17 points • 25 July, 2014 07:08 PM

Yes, because most men don't know not to rape until you explain it to them. Jesus fuck, we have a sticky about avoiding this pedantic bullshit.

throw8way0 • 1 point • 22 August, 2014 12:54 PM

bsutansalt, this is throw8way0. Enjoy the orangered. It makes a nice change to the red.

cR3dd1t • 6 points • 26 July, 2014 04:45 AM

You hit the nail on the head. I couldn't agree with you more...

[deleted] • 9 points • 25 July, 2014 07:11 PM\*

[deleted]

What is this?

kingofpoplives • 19 points • 25 July, 2014 02:21 PM

Rape fantasies are a legit thing. Always have been. I find it entertaining that this is the one pretty lie most TRPers can't seem to accept, perhaps because the ugly truth is just that ugly. The high SMV rapist, evil bastard that he may be, is the ultimate alpha.

ButterMyBiscuit • 15 points • 25 July, 2014 02:48 PM\*

The most common complaint about boyfriends/sex life I hear from taken girls I talk to is they don't get choked or slapped hard or often enough.

Ill\_mumble\_that • 19 points • 25 July, 2014 03:36 PM\*

It's scary as a dude who lifts to do that stuff to fragile little women. I'm afraid I'll kill them on accident. I much prefer to just slap some ass which I know isn't going to cause any permanent damage.

outercourse • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 07:08 PM

Try choking them. They fucking love it. Slow, even pressure

Ill\_mumble\_that • 8 points • 25 July, 2014 07:31 PM

Don't feel comfortable with that. Just one wrong twist of the wrist and I could easily snap something. They are fucking insane if they get off on that. Which I believe they are.

djvital points 25 July, 2014 08:49 PM **[recovered]**

i pinch the back of the neck, gently but firmly, a little safer imo

myschadenfreude • 13 points • 25 July, 2014 03:10 PM

## SAFE WORD

I cannot over state this enough for your safety as much as her safety. As "50 Shades of poorly written erotica" stated, women clearly love dominance.

## THIS IS IN NO WAY ADVOCATING RAPE OR ACTS AGAINST ANYONE'S WILL

frequentlywrong • 7 points • 25 July, 2014 07:06 PM

See that green post at the top of TRP right now? Read it.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 09:56 PM

[permanently deleted]

draketton • 0 points • 26 July, 2014 02:36 AM

it defeats the point of the fantasy if she has to tell the man exactly what degrading act he should perform, doesn't it?

esproductions • 10 points • 25 July, 2014 02:49 PM

Pretty sure rape isn't the right word here. Of course all girls like to be dominated, and have rape fantasies, but you can't "rape" the willing. If a girl wants to be surprise+forcefully sexed by a high SMV dude, it isn't really rape.

Cyralea[S] • 15 points • 25 July, 2014 02:50 PM

Which is entirely the point of my post. How rape is defined is actually rather murky, since it's contingent partially on the woman's desire. It's not something clear-cut based on the actions of the man.

JackPallino • -1 points • 25 July, 2014 03:42 PM

I would disagree. Rape is rather strictly defined and its determinant lies in the absence of willingness from either party. It's seeming vagueness arises due to the fact that minds cannot be read.

[deleted] • 9 points • 25 July, 2014 04:18 PM

Rape is rather strictly defined and its determinant lies in the absence of willingness from either party.

This is no longer true. Rape can now be defined as a sexual experience a woman desires at the time but regrets months later for whatever reason at all.

JackPallino • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 04:42 PM

This is how it is beginning to be defined by the courts. I was using the colloquial definition of rape.

This is unfortunate in any case, seeing that woman are the ficklest motherfuckers out there. Is this ruling anomalous or rather common?

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 08:07 PM

It has happened several times recently. So, I would say it is *becoming* common, although I have not specifically been keeping track. California recently drafted a law that says that a woman may give an enthusiastic "yes" for sex, but if in her mind she is simultaneously thinking "no" it is rape. Yes, that law actually requires men to be literal mind-readers.

It is possible that these cases will become less common as men fight them more and actually win.

vox\_veritas • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 07:49 PM

Rape can now be defined as a sexual experience a woman desires at the time but regrets months later for whatever reason at all.

This is how it is beginning to be defined by the courts.

As a lawyer, I'd love to see a source for this. I think you'll be hard pressed to find one, in the United States at least.

JackPallino • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 04:35 AM

I would like to say that I found that ridiculous as well. I just did not dismiss it, as my point relied on a different definition of the word, and arguing otherwise would have been effectually moot, regarding the point I was trying to make at least.

Soyala • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 05:44 PM

Now just because you're afraid of that happening, or there are a few wackos in the world. Doesn't mean that's how it is actually defined. That is why those rape accusations months later never say "I had willing sex and now I wish I hadn't" they say "I was forced and wasn't brave enough to say so until now." Because the definition remains a lack of consent during the act.

[deleted] • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 08:04 PM

Not true. There have been cases recently where the woman has consented prior to the act—in fact, specifically sought the man out for sex—and later decided to accuse him of rape. What they say down the track is irrelevant, because their words do not match the facts.

Soyala • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 08:05 PM

Just because some idiot decides to force a stupid accusation doesn't mean the definition has changed.

nofaprecommender • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 04:24 PM

I would disagree. Rape is rather strictly defined and its determinant lies in the absence of willingness from either party. It's seeming vagueness arises due to the fact that minds cannot be read.

Such a definition is quite precise, but is mostly inapplicable to the real world. It's very rare for people to have a strong preference about anything and even harder to have an absence of willingness for an experience that is physically pleasurable. If "absence of willingness" was the definition, many legitimate rapes would not be counted simply because there might be some element of willingness during the process.

Ill\_mumble\_that • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 03:53 PM

If you're a high SMV male, there is little vagueness. Women will generally want to be "raped" by you. But women are irrational and their attraction isn't always predictable, so there's no sure way of knowing.

JackPallino • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 04:30 PM

Well then technically you are not using the word "rape" correctly. What you are actually saying is that women want to be dominated/fucked/taken advantage of by a high SMV male without his actions being contingent on the woman's consent. In effect, you are applying the word "rape" as if

the value of the consent were variable, while the strict definition of the word sets this value at a constant "0" consent. While I completely agree with you guys relating to this issue, I think it would be wise to use a more precise description. Women want to be fucked by a high SMV male that shows no regard for her feelings and desires when making the decision to fuck her or during the act. This is not rape. By definition, you cannot rape the willing. It is oxymoronic.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 06:00 PM

[permanently deleted]

JackPallino • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 06:55 PM

I agree this is how women think and argue, but that is not the issue. I'm saying we should avoid using the word "rape" when describing these inherent, but less than overtly expressed, desires to be dominated by a male they see as valuable. "Rape" has broad and intense connotations that cause women and white knights to halt in their willingness to understand or even hear your point of discourse, however logical that may be (although using "rape" in this context still lacks precision). And it is in this sense that I am actually taking into account their perspective. If you are intending to inform someone on such a controversial topic without them throwing up barriers, you must tread lightly as to not hurt their feelings.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 08:09 PM

[permanently deleted]

JackPallino • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 04:27 AM

What you have just said shows that we are actually on the very same page in this conceptual understanding, it is just getting lost in semantics. Women can still bear no responsibility without the act being considered rape. Like I said before, women want to be fucked without the man regarding her own desires (or lack thereof).

This simulates a rape situation in that the man is acting the same as he would even if she had not conceded. Then a woman can apply the hamster and convince herself that the sex was not warranted by her. I can see where we lose each other regarding the use of the word "rape," as when the act becomes considered so is dependent on the instant the woman begins convincing herself that it was against her will. So in essence, what women want is to be "raped," but it isn't rape until she gets to spinning and construes it as such. I was referring to the prior circumstances, you, the latter.

Also I realize we are in the perfect environment to disregard all feelings. I was referring to when you are not in TRB, and you are trying to convince others that do not generally subscribe to our views and agenda.

This may come across as condescending, but you come across (with my limited exposure to your rhetoric) as very oppositional. It would do you well to understand exactly what a person is intending on communicating before outright argumentation.

PaulRivers10 • -1 points • 25 July, 2014 07:04 PM

So you're basically suggesting men become like women and argue insanity based on

however they're feeling right now. Great.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 05:50 PM

[permanently deleted]

Cyralea[S] • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 07:00 PM

Honest question: if a woman says "I want you to rape me", is it still rape?

secret\_barber • 13 points • 25 July, 2014 05:44 PM

Domination ≠ Rape

You're being grossly sensational. Rape is non-consensual sex, end of fucking story. Eliminating your ridiculous attempts to redefine the word, there is a fine discussion to be had on the modern woman's reluctance to (publicly and privately) admit their submissive desires. At the same time, it's critical to recognize that not all women share this desire.

browndelicious • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 06:43 PM

Grossly sensational like a NY Post headline. Sad, really.

frequentlywrong • -3 points • 25 July, 2014 07:06 PM

See that green post at the top of TRP? Read it.

secret\_barber • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 08:21 PM

Read the context of OP's post, my post and then engage in discussion.

Or continue to police the area around my ballsack.

bama79rolltide • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 05:51 PM

The safe word I always use is Kansas.

I don't know why.

[deleted] • 3 points • 26 July, 2014 04:03 AM

Some have cleverly come up with positive terminology to deflect from the dissonance; they'll use terms like "ravishment" to doll it up, as if simply assigning a new word takes away from the reality of what's going on.

I've pointed this out in another thread on here before.

[dictionary.reference.com/browse/ravish](http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/ravish)

to fill with strong emotion, especially joy. 2. to seize and carry off by force. 3. to carry off (a woman) by force. 4. to rape (a woman)

Although in the past year or two there has been a pretty strong and effective attempt to re-write the meaning of the word by redefining the word on wikipedia.

Willravel • 21 points • 25 July, 2014 05:27 PM

Your title is correct neither figuratively nor literally. Women don't want to be raped. No one wants to be raped. Rape, by definition, is not consensual. You're using the wrong term. What you're trying to describe, but failing to understand, is a coercive sex fantasy.

What people fantasize about in a fictional environment does not reflect what they actually want in a real-life relationship. I doubt most women would be interested in marrying a man who's constantly about to murder her by drinking her blood or getting tortured in a sex dungeon. I enjoyed *The Lord of the Rings*, but I have no wish to fight the Nazgul or walk hundreds of miles to a volcano. It's important that we understand the obvious separation between enjoying fiction and reality, something OP seems to be missing while trying to make the case that women somehow want to be raped.

So why is *50 Shades* popular? Several reasons.

- 1) Smut novels have been popular for a long time among women because of the different ways that men and women are aroused. There's a tendency for men to be aroused more visually, while women are aroused more emotionally. It's not about one being more complex than the other, and women can and do enjoy erotic imagery, but there's not a big market for smut novels for men for good reason.
- 2) Culture has a tendency to put a level of shame on sexuality, particularly for women (the most obvious example being men being celebrated for having sex while women are considered 'sluts', especially here frankly). Because of this, smut novels allow women to explore sexuality in a consequence-free environment. There's no shame when you are reading by yourself, lost in a story. Basically, it's a response to repression.
- 3) Relating to #2, sexual assault adds an additional level of blamelessness in sexuality. The fantasy of sexual assault allows a person within a sexually repressive society to vicariously enjoy sex without having to bear the responsibility and thus the shaming of enjoying sex. This in no way, however, means that women want to be raped, of course, but rather reflects what happens to people when they've internalized sexual shame all their lives.
- 4) Everyone wants to be wanted, to be the object (not objectified) of someone's sexual desire. If nothing else, this subreddit verifies this. To be desired to strongly is enjoyable, and builds one's sense of self-worth, even if only in the confines of a fictional environment. Ask yourself if you want to be the object of a beautiful person's desire. You do? Does that automatically mean you want to be raped by them?
- 5) While many smut stories start with what might be considered around here an alpha (a complete asshole who treats the heroin like she's less than human), by the end of the story a truer image of what women tend to want emerges: a *caring* assertive man. In the case of *50 Shades*, this is less obvious, but it's a trope among smut novels for the gruff assertive man to reveal over time that he was an asshole in the beginning because he was afraid of how strongly he felt. If the guy is a complete asshole at the end of the book, the two don't end up together.

It bears repeating that what people find arousing in fiction they do not necessarily find arousing in real life and no one wants to be raped by anyone, regardless of 'value'.

DoesNotMatterAnymore • 10 points • 25 July, 2014 06:53 PM

One girl used the term of "forcefully taken". She doesn't want to be raped by her BF, but she wants that situation where her BF is so much turned on because of HER that he cannot control himself and wants to fuck her. So she wants to be the source of her BF's unstoppable sexual energy.

Willravel • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 06:56 PM

Right, which seems totally fine and actually relatively healthy (relatively because it likely is influenced to some degree by internalized societal stuff about women and sex). I think the issue is misusing the term "rape", which means non-consensual sex. Coercive sexual play is fine and can be part of a normal, healthy sexual relationship so long as it's fully consensual.

DrXaos • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 06:57 PM

| she wants to be the source of her BF's unstoppable sexual energy.

and that's the biological delusion, no? their blue pill

DoesNotMatterAnymore • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 07:19 PM\*

I'm not sure how BP it is, but women wants to be desired by men, and his desire is so high he can't control himself.



DarkCircle • 13 points • 25 July, 2014 05:49 PM\*

Some of the posts by 'shock jock' contributors are really bringing the place to shit. If they are called out on their bullshit it's 'tone policing'. They are putting a huge target on the subs back.

The title is completely irresponsible and is a form of attention whoring (I am sure "the woman you are already having sex with, might want to try rough sex" is not quite so catchy). The actual content is that a woman who has already consented to sex, may want to be sexually dominated. You should establish a safe word in case she is not in to it.

However the impression given (until you reach paragraph 3) is that any tall good looking guy, with lots of money should go around forcing women to have sex with him even if they protest because they really want it. Bullshit.

draketton • 2 points • 26 July, 2014 02:42 AM

What do you call it if the woman wants to have sex, but she never states that in clear terms to the man, because a key pillar of her fantasy is that she wants the man to be bold enough to commit literal, legally defined rape?

Willravel • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 02:57 AM

I'd call her someone who doesn't get to indulge that fantasy in full.

Sometimes when I'm driving and someone does something incredibly dangerous because of inattentiveness or apathy, I get the momentary impulse to fish-tail them, but I don't. I can imagine it, but carrying it out would be disastrous and would have very real consequences. We don't always get to indulge our fantasies.

What I'd recommend to someone like that is to find a way to work her fantasy into a consensual sexual relationship. She can play the resistant role while her partner plays the dominating role, but with precautions in place like a safe word and with clear boundaries agreed upon beforehand. Is it exactly the same as her fantasy? Perhaps not, but it's a fair compromise.

It's also worth mentioning that I question the usage of the word "bold" in reference to someone who commits an act of rape. I'd characterize it as quite the opposite, that rape is an act of supreme cowardice. Rapists are so intimidated by their victims that they don't dare try and connect with them on a human level, to build a relationship. Instead they seek to steal what they want, through use of coercive force (often with a weapon). I posit they're actually terrified and feel powerless, and the rape is a pathetic manifestation of their fear.

Cyralea[S] • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 05:53 PM

You mostly get it. What you call 'coercive sex fantasy' is what I referred to as 'ravishment by a high-value male'. Of course no woman wants to be legitimately raped against their will.

Women simultaneously want that ravishment but with the added element of security. There's a reason why



50 Shades isn't a short story going over how Christian Grey waited in the bushes for her. It's why safewords are necessary.

I really hope no one is stupid enough to read my post and think to themselves to wait outside a bar and lure someone unsuspecting. Then again, BP'ers are likely reading this, so it's possible.

Willravel • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 06:21 PM

The thing is, though, the concept of 'high value' can't really be given to the man. As I understand it, high value means wealthy, attractive, assertive to the point of being dominating, and a decent degree of selfishness. That's not the true archetype used in smut novels. The objects of affection in smut novels tend to be assertive more as a kind of confidence that comes from knowing himself, they're normally not particularly wealthy (though they're often partially defined by their work), they end up being largely selfless, and they have additional positive attributes like compassion, kindness, honesty, and empathy. And I think we can dismiss 'attractive' as a part of an archetype, because regardless of race, gender, or orientation, we all would like to be with people who are attractive. It's so universal it goes without saying.

How do you define 'high value'?

Christian Grey breaks a few of the archetypes in part because *50 Shades of Grey* is fan fiction for *Twilight*, which features a man who's murderous, but also in part because by the end we realize he's not the ideal man. He's not marriage or settle-down material, he's a fling that the protagonist has because she's naive. As I understand, by the end she realizes he's an asshole, she's been sucked into an incredibly unhealthy relationship, and she leaves him. This is atypical of smut novels.

Of course no woman wants to be legitimately raped against their will.

The problem, though, is that your title is "Women want to be raped by a high value man" and that's followed immediately by

Not pussyfooting around this one. Title is literal.

You are saying in no uncertain terms that women literally want to be raped. Rape by definition is against one's will, so you are saying women want to be legitimately raped against their will. That was the point I was making in my first paragraph. Women don't literally want to be raped. Some women, due to a number of factors, enjoy the safe fantasy of coercive sex within the right context. Those are quite distinct.

Cyralea[S] • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 06:26 PM

That was the point I was making in my first paragraph. Women don't literally want to be raped. Some women, due to a number of factors, enjoy the safe fantasy of coercive sex within the right context. Those are quite distinct.

I suppose I wasn't clear enough with my definition of 'ravishment as good rape'. Being that women want to be ravished I posit that women want to be raped. Admittedly this could have been more clear.

Under no circumstances am I suggesting that women want to experience genuinely non-consensual rape, as per the 'passed out at a party' or the 'jumped in an alleyway' variety. There's no way any man could arouse a woman in those kinds of scenarios.

Willravel • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 06:37 PM

non-consensual rape

What I feel like we're not agreeing on is that "non-consensual rape" is redundant. It's like saying



"we're going to descend downward" or "I should postpone this until later". *Rape is universally non-consensual*. There has never been nor will there ever be a rape that's consensual. This is why semantics matter in language and communication. What you're trying to say, based on the context, is coercive sexual play. That's not the same thing as rape because coercive sexual play exists within the context of consent, whereas rape absolutely does not. They're mutually exclusive.

Also:

How do you define 'high value'?

I'm genuinely curious because your definition of this term has significant bearing on your thesis.

Cyralea[S] • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 06:59 PM

What I feel like we're not agreeing on is that "non-consensual rape" is redundant.

Not really, since there is a word to describe consensual rape: ravishment. Bear in mind that one of the definitions of ravishment is sexual rape. It's clearly differentiated from unpleasant rape, so it stands that we need additional descriptors.

I believe I understand your point of contention though. It seems to me that you feel I'm suggesting that non-consensual rape is desired by some or all women, which is not the case. What I am saying is that if you're of sufficiently high value then some women will want to be ravished (re: consensually raped) by you.

Willravel • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 07:05 PM

Not really, since there is a word to describe consensual rape: ravishment. Bear in mind that one of the definitions of ravishment is sexual rape. It's clearly differentiated from unpleasant rape, so it stands that we need additional descriptors.

From your link, with added emphasis: "ravishment - the crime of forcing a woman to submit to sexual intercourse **against her will**"

We have to be careful what words we use. I think (though I'm by no means certain) you used the word rape because it's more controversial, it's bound to get attention. As you've said repeatedly, though, you do not mean non-consensual sex. You seem to mean something more akin to "consensually taken", which could be substituted in your title.

And, once again, can you define high value?

Cyralea[S] • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 07:27 PM

You seem to mean something more akin to "consensually taken", which could be substituted in your title.

We're not known for being soft-spoken around here.

A high value man is one with high SMV. There are hundreds of posts on what constitutes SMV, so I'll briefly gloss over some -- aesthetics, height, power, wealth, status, confidence, pre-selection. Not an exhaustive list, but you get the idea.

suloco • 1 point • 27 November, 2014 02:23 PM

The whole post is irresponsible, misleading click-bait.

Most of the hate this sub gets is totally childish and undeserved. Posts like this are a real menace to this community. OP is putting TRP in serious threat by misleading the readers and false narrative in the first part of the post just to satisfy his fucking need for attention.

If this continues without any of the mods' addressing this, I will go back to Rational Male and leave this behind. I do not subscribe to attention-whores damaging a great community for their own validation. The fact that OP is TRP endorsed only underlines how damaging his behavior is.

OP if you would call the post what it is: Women want to be desired and dominated by high SMV men, you would do us all a favor, but that wouldn't generate high enough traffic and comment count now, would it? I am disappointed, man..

Catch11 • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 06:08 PM

People who are saying this post need to stop thinking it's illogical that woman want to be raped. Things like this aren't about being logical. They are about being biological. Also in cavemen day's the alpha clearly killed the other alpha and raped their mate -\_- so yeah this makes sense.

Cyralea[S] • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 06:11 PM

For the record I think it's a bit messed up that this is the way the world works. But I'm not here to prescribe female desire, only to describe it.

[deleted] • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 03:03 PM

They want a high value man to have that lust for her. They don't want to be raped. They are connected, but are two different things.

RedEcstasy • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 06:13 PM

How would the average guy benefit from this if he isn't sexually active with a woman (but wants to be)?.

So far, i tried dropping hints of BDSM during conversations with women I'm not fucking with very mixed responses.

Cyralea[S] • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 06:15 PM

Won't likely work. You need a disparity between your SMV and hers. Imagine the way a lot of women respond to unattractive, insecure men approaching them. If you're not at the point where you can even have vanilla sex this is probably a little out of your league for now.

cooltrip • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 11:12 PM

A high value man doesn't need to rape women, women want to rape him.

ProfessorD2 • 2 points • 26 July, 2014 07:06 AM

What goes through a woman's head when she contemplates being ravished is that she is of such high SMV that men lose their control just to have her.

The same sort of thought process is present for Dominants and submissives alike. The big turn-on for a Dom is the idea of being so desired that a sub would do anything, endure anything, suffer anything, just to please and keep Master/Mistress happy.

At the end of the day, pretty much everyone's greatest need is the need to feel appreciated.

For some that means being so completely desired that the other person loses their self-control and does things

they know aren't socially acceptable.

DPestWork • 2 points • 27 July, 2014 07:24 PM

Rape vs Ravishment is a point I have been contemplating for years. It does seem most women like rough sex, and after 50 Shades and True Blood it seemed like a lot of women in my age range became more vocal about it. Watch an episode, reenact scenes immediately after became the norm for me with a few women. I was always weary of crossing the line, fully knowing the risk and the legal bias against men. I was in the Navy and met multiple succesful admitted "rape baiters" Several male Sailor's lives were ruined for having consensual sex with the wrong females. I recently was reminded of the risk when a girl "implied" I ravish her after work. Without sounding cocky, she enjoyed getting bruises around her neck and everything that went into that act. Then a short time later I neglect her for a prospect in the same town and receive texts about her "picture evidence of rape" The same bruises she bragged and Snap Chatted me about were being used against me. Just a friendly reminder... try not to leave a mark.

drewbaccha • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 06:11 PM

This is the kind of thread that gets the sub banned. Women desiring rough kinky sex is NOT the same as rape. Trying to frame it any other way is retarded. The op is conflating a bdsm relationship with the average persons sex life.

Yes many women enjoy rough sex. Esp from high value men. This isnt the same as all women want to be raped by high value men. FFS op you done fucked up.

TestosteroneFilled • 2 points • 26 July, 2014 08:31 AM

If /r/greatapes is still alive, when it's purpose is to literally just be racist, I'm sure we don't have to worry a lot about that.

browndelicious • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 06:45 PM

Exactly. Is it possible to unendorse someone?

[deleted] • 8 points • 25 July, 2014 05:06 PM

The title alone is completely ridiculous. More ammo for the anti\_RP crowd.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 05:38 PM\*

Yea, I get all that in the side bar about not being caught up with political correctness, but this is inviting trolls.

Sometimes I wonder when someone will look at my post history and completely derail a discussion by calling me a sexist. Posts like this, while technically there is plenty of truth to it, are why people want to use throwaways here, which isn't even permitted.

EDIT: Removed that point thing. Just wanted to see how that works.

trpbot[M] • -2 points • 25 July, 2014 05:39 PM

You cannot award OP a point because you are not an Endorsed Contributor or your point score is below 5.

*[This is an Automated Message]*

browndelicious • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 06:17 PM

Whoa, whoa, whoa.

Dominance and rape are not the same thing, and you're clearly confused. Even aggressive dominance is not rape. Even hard core, public humiliation style dominance is not rape.

I read a bit of 50 Shades. It was horrible, so I stopped reading after a few chapters but but one thing is clear. She submits to it with a contract. That's not rape, it's permission to dominate. Try reading the book before building an argument from it.

happybuddyclub5 points 25 July, 2014 06:22 PM [recovered]

I think OP just used the word rape to stir up a storm.



shadymilkman\_ • 10 points • 25 July, 2014 05:40 PM\*

I'm just going to go ahead and downvote this idiotic bullshit. Consensual sex is not rape, you're talking about rough sex, and you're clearly trolling non-TRPers.

Just more intentionally provocative shit written by misguided retards to give TRP an even worse reputation.



PaulRivers10 • 9 points • 25 July, 2014 06:53 PM

Seriously. Concern trolling is saying "I'm afraid how TRP will look when we talk about women liking dominance, because someone might think that means rape!". It's called "reality" when someone thinks TRP is about rape because some asshole writes articles titled "Women want to be raped".

Now you're just feminist hamstering "Oh, I didn't 'really' mean rape when I wrote 'rape', I meant something else!".

genericusername80 • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 05:35 PM

Overdoing it, BDSM is not equivalent to rape. Yes, rape is the most common female fantasy... but your title is just trying to be edgy for the sake of being edgy.

ADDvanced • 8 points • 25 July, 2014 03:48 PM

Consensual sex isn't rape, you idiot.

happybuddyclub5 points 25 July, 2014 06:08 PM [recovered]

I don't see why this was downvoted, when he's entirely right. What OP is describing is *consensual* sex, not rape.

HUGE\_WART\_ON\_MY\_NUTS • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 07:22 PM

It's rape then consensual. It's like a Cobb salad.

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 03:28 PM

I don't know... maybe if your SMV is primarily derived from being an aesthetically pleasing criminal, you could live out a woman's rape fantasies no problem. Most normal functioning men of value in our society get that value from other, more endearing traits such as their profession, their charisma, their hobbies, yadda yadda yadda. Guys like that who enjoy success with women I can't imagine have ever needed to rape a single person in their lives to satisfy himself (and maybe the woman too) in bed. It's that point where you ask yourself "If what I'm doing is working out for me, who cares what women want?" and just fuck them however you want to fuck

them.

Cyralea[S] • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 03:32 PM

Because it causes them to want more. Fucking a woman really good does things to her head.

Search this forum for posts about Sex God Method, you'll find more conversations about it.

Stationarity • 5 points • 25 July, 2014 06:22 PM

Wasn't there a high SMV convict in the news a couple months ago? Women were going nuts for the guy.

Mikesapien • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 04:15 PM

You know those pulpy romance novels you see littering the shelves of grocery stores and supermarkets near the checkouts? Nearly ALL of them have at least one scene in which the gorgeous, helpless protagonist is "raped" by a powerful, attractive male because he simply "can't help himself." Yes, this is a *real* fantasy with *real* math backing it up. Those softcore porn books don't buy themselves, you know. And it's sure as hell not men reading those things.

hunotquite • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 05:10 PM

You're wrong when you say "women" (implying the rape fantasy is universal, which is not. It's the number one fantasy yeah, but psychiatric studies revealed a lot of women don't feel it) and "high value" which is very objective in today's society. That's exactly the kind of thread that would get us banned for openly violating the chart of reddit. Well done fucktard.

[deleted] • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 05:43 PM

We get it, not all women are like that. People here don't care to be concise and PC. It's all implied without the OP having to make an even bigger wall of text to clarify. It's all in the side-bar.

I admit, I'm a little put off by how hard the title tries to be controversial, but let's not get too caught up in correcting generalizations.

Right\_Wing\_Elitest • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 04:08 PM

Reminds me of the rape scene in the fountainhead

Cyralea[S] • 6 points • 25 July, 2014 04:14 PM

I need to read more Ayn Rand. I feel like a lot of her ideas would align with TRP.

DianaDewAsmr • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 06:39 PM

I was hoping someone would make a post about this. I agree on everything, and this applies to LTRs too, heck, I think that it's when it mostly applies! We know that we are Always the same woman and it's unlikely that our man will have to do this to hve sex.

And here is another truth I feel inside I am ashamed of - if I ever found out my SO raped someone my first reaction wouldn't be horror but anger and jealousy because fuck, did you really want her that much to push yourself onto her?

ayotechnology4 points 25 July, 2014 05:20 PM **[recovered]**

I think the title alone is going to be screen capped, and shown to people who think this sub is full of misogynists. I get what you're saying, but the title could've been written much better.

Cyralea[S] • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 05:32 PM

Not having made this post would not get those who hate us to stop hating us. I'm not concerned with their attitudes of us.

Someone will mention TRP elsewhere on reddit as a result of this post, and the subscriber count will rise.

Soyala • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 05:45 PM

How is what he's saying different from the title? His first sentence is reaffirming that the title is literal.

[deleted] • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 05:30 PM

They won't screen-cap the comments...

DanG3 • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 03:44 PM

"... Consider the success of 50 Shades of Grey. The basic synopsis is that a powerful, rich, alpha man completely lusts and forcefully **dominates** a perfectly boring average woman. You'd think this banal concept would only sell to a fringe that gets off on this kink, but the book sold 70 million copies... Women want to be taken by force by a high value man."

**Women want "an offer they can't refuse." A Man must, however, have the "resources" (SMV) to make that offer and have the "cred" (Frame) that it can't be refused.**

Even if the worlds greatest PUA landed a helicopter with "Grey" letting on the side in the parking lot of a top tier club and stepped out, bare chested, looking exactly like Christian the hotties would Shit Test his Frame before "allowing" themselves to flip their mental switch from "rape" to "ravishment" - if for example he would try to pull-off that elevator scene in the movies trailer with them.

The danger around here in using the term "rape" in a subject heading is that passing browsers go ballistic and newbs try to pull shit they don't have the resources and/or cred for. Some of these guys can't even pull off Dread without fucking it up! Some can't even keep their mouths shut about reading TRP!

WillClickOnAnything • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 05:18 PM

IDGAF

jkshdfkjsahdfkjsahdfkjsahdfkjahsdckfasd

[deleted] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 05:46 PM

This is hilarious . I'm from the upper east side of new york city, I com from a well to do family, but by no means ridiculously wealthy.

Where I grew up, the private school girls were generally very wealthy, and so didn't necessarily need others for their money.

It wasn't until hs, when I got a fake id and started going to bars and clubs did I realize how crazy women thirsted for it

Now at my state school, girls know me as " the boy from the upper east side", among other things. Igrew up far from the gossip girl bullshit world you're probably imagining, but my mom made around 160-300k depending on the year.

Girls die for it, I dress well, play college lax and girls beg me to be dirty with them.

It's on a different level, when you're viewed as affluent and athletic, bitches go str8 psycho.

One girl told me she could convince her friend to fuck me for a bottle of greygoose, I was happy to oblige.

mydarkmeatrises • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 06:18 PM

This will be linked on Shit Reddit Says...guaranteed.

Acx3 • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 08:11 PM

Back in High school, I had a girlfriend who told me she often had fantasies of being raped. At the time, this "counterintuitive" desire had completely baffled me and up until only recently has it begun to make any sense. Thank you for explaining this.

suloco • 2 points • 27 November, 2014 02:01 PM

OP, you are adopting the bent definition of rape the feminists introduced. In their viewpoint rape isn't rape.

Sex with retrospective approval withdrawal *is not rape*.

Sex without explicitly worded consent *is not rape*.

And a dominant behavior (causing desire) a woman succumbs to *is not rape*.

Rape is (in the original, true sense of the word) sex that is forced and unwanted on every and any level by one of the parties. Anything else is bullshitting.

Rape fantasies are not about rape, despite being called so. They are about highly, extremely dominant behavior on the part of the male.

This is a simple click-bait. What you are saying is women want to be dominated by high SMV men. If it was rape, there (in true sense, not feminist narrative) would be zero attraction and desire for the act itself. But there is, hence it is not rape. By crafting a title like this you are simply creating a (seemingly) controversial click-bait.



Tombstone31 • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 03:43 PM\*

Yeah, who cares whether she says no or if she tries to stop you? Just rape her. You probably won't get arrested anyway.

<http://www.latimes.com/science/sciencenow/la-sci-sn-paradoxical-thinking-20140715-story.html>

Edit: happy to see my point was appreciated, thank you for the gold.

dave\_is\_not\_here • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 06:09 PM

No woman alive is comfortable by the idea that she enjoys rape, so she needs to reframe it. Be aware of that.

BDSM. Be aware of that.

WomenAreAlwaysRigh • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 09:20 PM

What about the potential, desire, or existence of a relationship as a condition for this desire to be "raped". It seems to me that these fantasies that involve an alpha male "ravishing" or "raping" a woman, all happen in the context of a relationship, where at least the potential of marriage or a serious relationship exists. What do you think about this?

Cyralea[S] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 10:36 PM

Women desire security, even in these kinds of scenarios. There's a tiny part of them that wants to still feel like everything will be normal after the "rape". It's still a fantasy, not a death-wish.

Crabpeoples • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 11:31 PM



While this post rings true I hope you realize that most of what women consider dominant and forceful sex is desired, however the way they want it is not as simple as what is being noted in 50 Shades of Grey. First and foremost, novels like that are pure fantasy for women. This is akin to online pornography for men, both mediums warps the brain into thinking these are things that happen normally. They DO happen but they aren't as graphic, and real as the books and the videos make them out to be.

j0hnan0n • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 04:52 PM

make some survivors

fucking love it. My experience backs up your thesis. Hadn't instituted a safeword until now. Will try and provide field reports.

Cheers.

LostProsody • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 02:48 PM

Remember if you are shifting from your blue pill habits to your red pill frame that last minute resistance is a vanilla form of this desire. Don't let it confuse you. Squeezing the legs together is an invitation for you to try to pull them apart.

[deleted] • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 05:35 PM\*

Well the aspect of 50 shades of grey deals with in S and M is the willing and trust to relinquish control to someone and the contrast of pleasure and pain to help heighten pleasure. It's not about being violently fucked by a stranger it's about opening yourself up to someone. At least that what I took away from it. Men have S and M fantasies like that as well. Also when someone says stop in S and M you stop, unlike rape. Consent also is a major difference.

It's legitimately frightening that your post ends with "go out and make some survivors" and the mods here and the posters here condone this!

Boobs4RedditSilver • -1 points • 25 July, 2014 07:50 PM

...I can't wait to see this brought up at someone's rape trial...

"But your honor, she wanted it! She's just changed her mind! Fucking femnazi"

Jesus you lot are scary...

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 06:10 PM

[permanently deleted]

Keninishna • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 09:54 PM

I remember watching the show "scandal" and in one scene the president just takes the girl into a machine room closet and bangs her even though he is married and she doesn't approve.

[deleted] • 1 point • 26 July, 2014 10:52 PM

"Women want to be raped by a high value man" No actually they don't.. that doesn't even make sense

Cyralea even says the title is literal.. I ain't BP, trust me! And as a man with some integrity I gotta call out stupid shit when I see it

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 03:47 PM\*

[permanently deleted]



workunit13 • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 07:57 PM

Rape isnt rape if they are willing and wanting, then its something else but NOT rape. Think you need to get that dictionary out!

[deleted] • 0 points • 26 July, 2014 01:33 PM

I know this is here for shock value. And thats fine i guess, we will see another newcomer spike soon. But OP, please realize that you're wrong. Don't try to argue. What you're talking about is not rape - there's an element of trust on both sides, a safeword alone makes it not rape.

bayls123 • -3 points • 25 July, 2014 06:11 PM

I think this explains it best: <http://www.theproblemismen.com/rants/fiftyshades>

I don't think any women will enjoy being "Raped" whether the man is powerful, or attractive, or ugly and creepy. Its not about simply being dominated, its the trust and passion that go along with it. That's why you'll see a women enjoy a domineering man in bed, but be completely happy with a sensitive kind man outside of the bedroom.

Cyralea[S] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 06:13 PM

Security is definitely a factor. I don't think any woman has a stranger-in-the-bushes fetish.

What I'm describing is better known as ravishment, which is simply rape re-labelled.

browndelicious • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 06:44 PM

no, it's not. Ravishment implies consent. The moment you allow someone to rape you, it's not rape - by definition. How the fuck did you get endorsed?

Cyralea[S] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 06:46 PM

The moment you **allow** someone to rape you

I think you're starting to get it.

bayls123 • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 06:49 PM

I think you're just missing the point. Btw the word "Ravish" has two meanings, one being "fill (someone) with intense delight; enrapture". I think women who use the word "Ravish" connect it to passion. Just my opinion though

Cyralea[S] • 3 points • 25 July, 2014 06:53 PM

And one of the definitions is sexual rape.

I'm glad you're starting to see the nuance here.

bayls123 • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 07:51 PM

But do you think women who correct you with the word "rape" and use "ravish" instead, actually mean it in that sense? Come on now. The idea of literally getting raped, whether its by a good looking guy or ugly guy makes women want to throw up. A fantasy, now that's something else.

happybuddyclub • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 06:26 PM

But not really? Rape would imply that it's non-consensual. The word you're looking for is consensual

dominance fantasy, *not* ravishment, or something stupid like that.

Cyralea[S] • 0 points • 25 July, 2014 06:30 PM

Ravishment is understood to have a positive connotation, do you not agree? By all accounts it's really not so different from rape, aside from the fact that the target is sufficiently attracted enough to be aroused by it.

There are varieties of rape that are entirely bad, I don't dismiss this.

happybuddyclub • 1 point • 25 July, 2014 07:05 PM

I can't say I've ever heard of ravishment before, but based off of your explanation of it, I wouldn't start drawing parallels to rape. Lack of consent is *intrinsic* to rape.

Cyralea[S] • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 07:24 PM

It's the number 1 fantasy among women.

There is of course nuance, the purpose of this post being to highlight that.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 07:53 PM

[permanently deleted]

ArtificialN • 4 points • 25 July, 2014 09:47 PM

No it means they haven't consented. They can still want it. Rape is the right word.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 09:56 PM

[permanently deleted]

ArtificialN • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 10:10 PM\*

No, you're wrong. Look it up with a simple google search there are studies done and across cultures women admit to saying no when they want the man to keep going.

[deleted] 25 July, 2014 10:20 PM

[permanently deleted]

ArtificialN • 2 points • 25 July, 2014 10:27 PM

It is rape by definition. You are not using the usual definition:

- 1) the unlawful compelling of a person through physical force or duress to have sexual intercourse.
- 2) any act of sexual intercourse that is forced upon a person.

Regardless of whether or not she wants it, if it's forced upon her it's rape.

WillClickOnAnything • -1 points • 25 July, 2014 05:06 PM

Fuck it, I'm wiring my house and car for sound. I live in a single party consent state so fuck em.

verossiraptors • -1 points • 25 July, 2014 06:36 PM

The answer to your question in what separates "rape" from "ravishment"?

Consent. It's not really that difficult man. In every example of "ravishment" you give, the female was into it, and

consenting.

They weren't fighting or screaming for the person to get off of them. Is this concept really that hard to understand that you need to waste 800 words here?

TimeDoesDisolve • -1 points • 26 July, 2014 01:58 AM

What I see way too often on here is the idea that "value" or "attractiveness" is equal to and demands women having a lot of sex with you. Sure, you can have a lot of sex (if you're not too busy forcing yourself on women) but will it mean anything? Will you have finally found something with meaning in your life? I don't think you will at all.

If TRP is really about improving yourself why base it around having sex? Why frame it in a way that tries to take women's own thoughts about sex and make a rule book out of it? In the real world people don't fit into generalizations, they don't fit into gender narratives, and they (hopefully) can learn to have enough courage not to buy into "get sex from women" scams.

Here is where your logic falls short most obviously:

"So when is it rape instead of ravishment? Aside from obvious cases of drugging someone or having sex with the unconscious (for the feminazi retards: No one here condones these instances of legitimate rape) the difference is strictly in the disparity of your SMV's. The stranger-in-the-bush is often characterized as some seedy low-life thug. This evokes intense fear. Being forcefully held down in bed by the muscular CEO of a Fortune 500 company does not evoke this fear. No woman alive is comfortable by the idea that she enjoys rape, so she needs to reframe it. Be aware of that."

Rape is when someone has sex with you without consent. It doesn't matter if he has enough money to rule the world, looks like a grecian god and has the largest most satisfying cock she has ever seen. If she doesn't want to have sex with you it's rape.

If you're just trying to explain to yourself and every other person on this sub how "well because I am so attractive she wanted it anyway" then please, please get away from this subreddit and get therapy. You will have raped someone.

(also blaming the "femnazi's" for your promotion of any time of rape is just... well its a huge scapegoat.)

[deleted] • -1 points • 26 July, 2014 04:30 PM

How the fuck is Cyralea TRP endorsed? This post sensationalist horseshit.

Brightwinter • -7 points • 25 July, 2014 06:27 PM

This is probably the most disturbing post I have ever read. Lot of guys love to wind other men up to the extend of the other man having to be held back, that doesn't mean they like to be beaten up.