

I think I broke her...

August 6, 2014 | 1123 upvotes | by [tsudonimh](#)

So the wife and I were out to dinner last night with some interstate friends of hers from school. Both girls were spinsters, one by choice after swearing off marriage early, the other the typical cc - riding empowered girl.

After a few reds, the conversation swung around to how men are clearly intimidated by sexually experienced women. No points for guessing which of the three women at the table held that view. My snort of amusement attracted her ire like a laser.

She railed at me for a while, making no particular point beyond the fact that no guy she dates wants to marry her and that was proof that I was wrong.

I just flat out stated that a woman who had sex with a thousand guys a year was not marriage material, but a woman who had sex with one guy a thousand times a year would have men lining up to marry her.

Cue goldfish face.

The guys at the next table offered to buy me a beer.

The remainder of dinner was not really enjoyable, because of the rampant misogyny at the table, I was informed. I don't know, I enjoyed it. The tuna tartare was to die for.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[deleted] 7 August, 2014 12:33 AM

[permanently deleted]

LostontheAverage • 17 points • 7 August, 2014 05:42 PM

That made so much sense and was so to the point

grayman12 • 14 points • 7 August, 2014 01:54 AM

I'm a little less concerned than some around here with a woman who's had a lot sex. With that said, this comment hit the nail right on the head. Saved.

awesomesalsa • -1 points • 24 August, 2014 07:00 PM

There are studies which show you should be more concerned

grayman12 • 1 point • 25 August, 2014 12:38 AM

Would love to see them, send em my way.

awesomesalsa • 1 point • 25 August, 2014 12:44 AM

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2733220/Women-don-t-sleep-wedding-happier-marriage-s-men-play-field-without-worry-study-finds.html>

this is on the front page of TRP

HonestyReigns • 0 points • 20 October, 2014 06:44 AM

Delightfully honest, even a little eye opening for me

GeneralCal • 185 points • 6 August, 2014 11:20 PM

She can't spot a pattern that she keeps getting dumped? I'm glad she's so sure of her strategy...

chzblck • 136 points • 6 August, 2014 11:47 PM

Exactly. When women say "All men are _____" *they usually mean "all the men I seem to attract are _____"*

If you have Facebook I'm sure you know that girl who complains about men all the time, but every few weeks it's a new profile pic and "the best guy ever" statuses.

GraphicSeniorNudity • 74 points • 7 August, 2014 12:03 AM

the ugliest girl I know is a single mom who complains about male privilege and unrealistic beauty standards.

frasfralla • 22 points • 7 August, 2014 11:28 AM

That is how i spot the feminist in any new setting i get to. Like my university class.

Pick the two ugliest girls with the ugliest clothes.

It never fails.

[deleted] • 15 points • 7 August, 2014 12:20 AM

I...I really hope you never have a relevant username.

MustangGuy • 8 points • 7 August, 2014 12:28 AM

I'm sure there's a sub for that.

[deleted] • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 12:28 AM

Well, there go my nipples again.

AEther_Flux • -1 points • 7 August, 2014 01:42 AM

Captain Murphy! Get back on your radio station!

[deleted] • 12 points • 7 August, 2014 02:01 AM

"All the men I'm attracted to are _____"

Just wanted to make an adjustment there.

malmn • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 02:19 AM

That describes my ex to a tee.

theozoph • 46 points • 7 August, 2014 01:59 PM

Man : I can't get a girl, what am I doing wrong?

Woman : I can't get a man, what is *wrong* with them?

Sturmgeist781 • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 07:47 PM

Best summary of how the male/female dynamic works.

[deleted] • 490 points • 6 August, 2014 11:18 PM*

Haha. That sounds like an old Chinese proverb..."Woman who love a thousand men prevents suitable harvest, woman who love a man a thousand times is blessed under heaven" - Confucius (aka: tsudonimh)

RedSunBlue • 72 points • 7 August, 2014 01:26 AM

FYI, roosh just tweeted this comment:

<https://twitter.com/rooshv/status/497182768242360320>

elchoma90 • 63 points • 7 August, 2014 01:45 AM

Not that it matters a whole lot but that mofo needs to start giving credit to people. I stopped reading him very long ago he always does shit like that. He always came off like a try hard to me to be honest.

26ounce • 22 points • 7 August, 2014 02:24 AM

r/redpill continues to grow in influence. Even heartiste references material from here.

curveball21 • 28 points • 7 August, 2014 01:54 AM

We might be taking it a little too far if we insist on crediting people who are quoting someone else to begin with.

BluepillProfessor • 44 points • 7 August, 2014 04:38 AM

The problem is Roosh attributed this quote to Confucius and I think NA just made it up. Confucius said no such thing. Right?

[deleted] • 10 points • 7 August, 2014 09:24 AM

You are correct.

Zanford • 7 points • 7 August, 2014 05:06 PM

Genius. "Watermarking" your quote via a false attribution to Confucius (or just changing the wording up) - then you know anyone who quotes it verbatim got it from you.

Roosh was too lazy to do a single Google search to see if it was really Confucius. If he were smart he would have done that, and-or waited to tweet so it was less obviously from here.

curveball21 • 4 points • 7 August, 2014 12:24 PM

Well, if that is the case then for sure what Roosh did was absolutely wrong. You can't just steal people's ideas and then present them in a way that leads others to believe they are your own. It says nothing positive about your character if you do that. I don't know what the truth is. Everything I know about Confucius I learned from a book report in 3rd grade, fortune cookies and reruns of Kung Fu.

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 03:37 PM

Who the fuck cares? It is funny so he twat it. Obviously it is made up.

BluepillProfessor • 4 points • 7 August, 2014 06:34 PM

I care cuz I was considering taking a look at Confucianism until I learned it was not really what the master said. I could have wasted minutes on Wikipedia.

finitely_eclectic • 5 points • 7 August, 2014 04:35 PM

Well, he IS a twat. So there's that.

[deleted] • 11 points • 7 August, 2014 03:22 AM

Well he didn't credit either of us...Sooooo...

Psycho_Delic • 4 points • 7 August, 2014 02:25 AM

Yeah, the guy has always seemed like a RP version of an SJW. Which is as shameful as being an SJW to begin with.

awesomesalsa • 2 points • 24 August, 2014 07:03 PM

Yep. Its not a coincidence Roosh rhymes with douche. I dont care much for Roissy either. Rollo, however, is smart and seems like a truly nice guy

the_d3vils_advocate • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 02:02 AM

Wait so was that actually a confuscious (?) Quote?

tsudonimh • 15 points • 7 August, 2014 03:46 AM

I seriously doubt it.

dandeezy • 26 points • 7 August, 2014 11:17 AM

I seriously doubt it. -- Confucius

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 02:59 AM

It's pretty difficult to cite your sources in 140 characters or less I would guess. That or it's the internet so we should all just assume everything is stolen.

itwasninjas • 11 points • 7 August, 2014 04:11 AM

A lot of people don't know that I was the original inventor of the word "plagiarism".

Ill_mumble_that • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 11:19 AM

No hard to say I came from TRP at least. -redpill if theres enough room for it.

omnipedia • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 01:56 PM

Not really, he could post the full URL- Twitter shortens it well

[deleted] • -6 points • 7 August, 2014 03:24 AM

He did. He attributed the saying to Confucius.

suRubix • -2 points • 7 August, 2014 09:26 AM

Didn't he give Confucius credit?

[deleted] • 16 points • 7 August, 2014 02:40 AM

Who is Roosh and why should I care? (Honest question)

Facha669 • 8 points • 7 August, 2014 03:05 AM

rooshv.com Is how i initially found everything around 2009 on these topics and eventually end up here

Ill_mumble_that • 15 points • 7 August, 2014 11:21 AM

When you discovered this community is 100x better than most the shit roosh writes. He has some good articles, but RoK is a fucking joke and now he's stealing content from TRP without attributing it to TRP.

nsummy • 5 points • 7 August, 2014 06:24 PM

TRP doesn't have content good enough to steal. This story is a prime example. While its a good lesson, it probably never even happened. How many times have you been in a heated discussion at a restaurant table and a group of guys yells over and says, let me buy you a beer! Never happened.

dvrzero • 5 points • 7 August, 2014 10:59 PM

I've had heated discussions about how many syllables the letter "W" has and gotten free beer. You just have to be quite funny.

nsummy • 1 point • 8 August, 2014 03:25 AM

A stranger bought you a beer because of that? Either way, giant difference between a

fun, stupid argument in the bar, and a heated discussion at the dinner table over wine about sleeping with men.

dvrzero • 2 points • 8 August, 2014 03:31 AM

"I'll be damned if they're not the same thing" he said, after giving me the beer.

Etched in my mind, it is.

ArrantPariah • 1 point • 25 August, 2014 02:11 AM

<http://sexualobjectification.blogspot.com/2014/05/feminists-versus-roosh-v.html>

[deleted] • 131 points • 7 August, 2014 01:32 AM

The thing is that it's so easy for women to get laid. If they have a guilt-free reaction to casual sex then it's a complete turn off for men (relationship wise). It represents a lack of discipline that most likely is not going to disappear just because she is in a relationship. She's still hot for other men and they're the ones who hit on her. So her staying faithful means she has to constantly reject men she would normally fuck. If she doesn't have practice rejecting men she finds attractive then she won't be able to do it as easily as other women.

Women have greater sexual power and when they exercise it, men lose relationship interests. Just because contraceptives erase the biological consequences does not mean we don't view them in a similar light as the women who aren't sure who the father of their kids are. They're the same. Only difference is contraception.

Look at all the highest status men. They get so much pussy that almost all of them can't help but cheat.

Decent looking women can get laid almost as easily as these men. The difference is that, even with infidelity, high status men are still way more desirable than other men. A slut on the other hand, has no positive value as a trade-off for her becoming a slut. So her being a slut can only be a bad thing.

[deleted] • 91 points • 7 August, 2014 02:19 AM

As a woman, I 100% agree with this. If you slut it up, you devalue the coin you were blessed with. I like to think of it as inflation. If a woman's coin is sex, and she's throwing out a lot to tons of different people, then she has inflated her coin/ devalued it by a shitload. As opposed to taking that coin and banking it with one man(bank?) where it will only experience appreciation. (Higher coin with the bank will enjoy higher interest rates. Please fuck your spouse accordingly, terms and conditions may apply)

IAmTheIlluminatiAMA • 35 points • 7 August, 2014 02:50 AM

Do you find that your female friends realize it is easier for a woman to get laid? I have never got a woman to admit that.

BoyMeetsHarem • 47 points • 7 August, 2014 01:23 PM

Because in their mind, "getting laid" = "getting laid by someone I'm extremely attracted to who is likely out of my league"

awesomesalsa • 8 points • 24 August, 2014 07:06 PM

Well to be fair, most guys can get laid easily if they lower their standards enough

[deleted] 7 August, 2014 01:12 PM

[permanently deleted]

Zanford • 8 points • 7 August, 2014 05:10 PM

Bragplainig.

They will never admit in a way that shows sympathy for the work guys have to put in.

ben0wn4g3 • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 06:05 PM

I find a lot of girls admit it actually.

Akordia • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 11:28 AM

Some do realise it, others do not.

nicknameminaj • -25 points • 7 August, 2014 05:02 AM

non-RPer here, I will take the honor of 'admitting' that it's easier for women to get laid. How much easier it is, however, is blown out of proportion way too often.

I have an honest question though. Why is it a big deal if they choose to use that advantage? Number one: it gets more men laid (good for men). Number two: if you were thrown a check for some amount, large or small, why would you not cash that shit?

I do understand that that's the reason many men will shy away from a girl, but I want to see around the circular logic (being a slut is bad --> why? --> because men think it's bad).

I ask because I believe that the cause and effect gets confused by some people. People who slut it up are not bad-long term partners. Bad long-term partners tend to slut it up (for many reasons- desperation, thinking fucking means commitment, mad crazy head problems etc). Then it gets confusing when people are free with their sex until they decide to settle down (many many people I know including myself - and without infidelity).

[deleted] • 26 points • 7 August, 2014 05:20 AM

It is all about statistics. Anecdotes aside, slutty people tend to have higher rates of stds, mental illness, divorce rates, etc (I'm not going to dig up citations). Relationships are investments of time, money, energy, and emotion. I would not invest any of those things in someone who is slutty because the probability of it being a good investment is much lower.

Now this is not the same thing as saying that sluts are bad. Sluts are simply who they are. They are not bad people, but they are people that I would not spend much energy toward because it would be a waste. In answer to your question, it is not a big deal if they choose to slut it up. But it undermines other women's prerogatives because they are "selling" the same thing. It changes the market place and devalues them. For good looking men, it is more of a boon for getting laid.

nicknameminaj • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 08:00 PM

Slutty *people* or slutty *women*? I ask because trp tends not to think that way about slutty men.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 August, 2014 04:39 AM

Women. Men and women are different and experience different reactions by others when being promiscuous. It may not be "right" but it is truth. Reality doesn't care about our fucking feelings and notions of rightness

nicknameminaj • 1 point • 8 August, 2014 04:45 AM

Well I mean it sounds like it's more about your feelings. It's very common for men

to feel insecure about their partner's sexual history, especially if it is more extensive than their own. Around here you insist that the problem is not you, but women. The problem should be dealt with by dealing with the insecurity, not by insisting women change. Women are whores. Men are whores. Now *that's* reality. Deal with it.

[deleted] • 2 points • 8 August, 2014 02:32 PM

You are arguing points that I am not making. Sluts are fine. They exist. They are fine people just doing their thing. They make it easy to get laid, but this changes the sexually market dynamic where sex, intimact, etc is cheapened. They are fun for sex, but have a higher likelihood of being bad investments of time and energy if you want a stable, long term relationship. I am not speaking in hard terms but in generalities. Some people may slut it and have great relationships in the future. However, the past, while not a perfect predictor of the future, is the best that we have, and given my limited time on earth, I am going to seek out only the best people for me to have relationships with.

In response to your earlier question about women or men, it is probably both. But men and women seek different things from sex and relationships sometimes so they are not direct corollaries

[deleted] • 19 points • 7 August, 2014 06:20 AM

Phenylethylamine (PEA) loses a bit of its potency each time it's applied to a new man. So by the time a girl has bounced on a sufficient number of pelvises, she simply lacks the brain chemistry to bond with a man the way she would have otherwise.

elevul • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 01:16 PM

Any source on that?

Cyralea • 7 points • 7 August, 2014 02:16 PM

More sexual partners increases infidelity risk.

Further, it's something that primarily affects women rather than men.

Pair-bonding occurs when women have sex, it's a combination of neurochemicals, but largely oxytocin and vasopressin. This regulation pathway becomes increasingly less and less effective with each additional partner, hence the increased propensity to cheat.

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 03:42 PM

It is my personal theory on the matter.

MDMA (Ecstasy) is similar to PEA. MDMA dispels feelings of distrust, suspicion and jealousy, and replaces those with a general sense of love. [side note: molly is different in that the emotional/love aspect is absent]

And anyone who has rolled many times (on pressies) knows that MDMA loses a bit of its potency each time -- the first pill is memorable for a lifetime and it tapers off from there. Oh sure, it's still enjoyable every time -- but your 200th pill, while still wonderful, simply cannot rock your world the way the first one did.

Likewise with sex partners -- the first is memorable for a lifetime and it tapers off from

there.

nicknameminaj • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 07:55 PM*

Brb, looking up PEA. Stand by

Edit: The best I could find was that PEA accounts for "good feelings" along with dopamine when we are attracted to someone ... nothing about bonding and especially nothing about it losing potency when different men cause the good feeling and **especially** nothing about the drugs effects differing between men and women?

IAmTheIlluminatiAMA • 8 points • 7 August, 2014 08:32 AM

I don't care if an adult wants to sleep around. That's their business. I just find it mind blowing how women will argue to death it is not easier for a woman to get laid.

nicknameminaj • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 07:56 PM

Oh, fasho. Yeah that would be a patent lie. I mean come on. If more women slept around id say the whole world would be a lot happier

Ill_mumble_that • 10 points • 7 August, 2014 11:28 AM*

it is blown out

So is most women's vaginas. Because it is INCREDIBLY easy for a woman to get laid. The ones that complain about it being hard aren't telling the truth, they aren't telling you how high their standards are set. A woman can get laid in 2 seconds if she lowers her standards from the top 20% of men down to the top 50% of men, but even the fatties don't want to do that, they still feel entitled to the top 20%.

Point is, any woman can get laid at any time. They choose not to by either being chaste, or by having higher standards than the currently nearby men have to offer.

Men on the other hand cannot get laid on demand unless they are in the top 10% SMV scale where there is no doubt that they are attractive. Think movie stars, models, body builders, executives, athletes. Normal guys have to put a substantial amount of work into getting laid and have to deal with shit tests and other bullshit that the top 10% of guys don't, also women don't deal with shit tests.

[deleted] • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 03:41 PM

"I don't always lower my standards but when I do my dick gets wet." --Matty FX

nicknameminaj • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 07:57 PM

Women tend not to want to lower their standards when they run the risk of receiving vicious judgment from potential SOs for doing so ..

Soultrane9 • 12 points • 7 August, 2014 07:15 AM

Why is it a big deal if they choose to use that advantage?

It's really not. But i can choose between a 28 year old woman with a gaping pussy having entitlement and attitude and a 22 year old woman with a tight body, a will to serve and only 2-4 dicks have been in her.

I have an honest question also. Why the hell would i choose the first? Having casual sex is more than okay. But every dick in you decreases your marriage value.

nicknameminaj • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 07:44 PM

But why would a pussy be looser after having sex, say, 30 times with 15 different dicks versus 30 times with two different dicks? That's not really how it works is all. And how does it magically give a girl entitlement issues?

every dick in you decreases your marriage value

Why?

Soultrane9 • 1 point • 8 August, 2014 06:42 AM

A slut will be more used up i don't think you need math here. It's a strong enough correlation.

And how does it magically give a girl entitlement issues?

Because after the good party years when she had every attention in the form she wanted (dominant alpha cocks) and riding 50-100 of them, she decides it's time for settle. She shifts her need of attention in another direction in order to get some moron to marry her. It won't be met. It's her turn to get constantly rejected from what she wants and that drives a woman rampant after years of getting everything in the form she wanted. She will shout where are the real men at. She will think men are afraid of experienced women. She will say men are afraid of commitment.

So here is a man with the choice i wrote before: a 28 year old woman who decided her body is not a temple but a pub, emotionally unstable from the rejections and thinking she is entitled to marry a value man WHEN she decides it's time for stop fucking. But a value man is not a moron and knows very well that he won't change her. And you cunts dry up after marriage because you got what you wanted and there is no need to use your pussy anymore. Ask every married men before you start denying this fact.

My suggestion: imagine the man you want to marry one day. It's up to you how many dicks you need to fulfill your attention needs, but no value man will marry a slut. Because he is wiser than that and can choose anybody. He will choose somebody who is still a temple. Why? Because fuck you, that's why.

nicknameminaj • -1 points • 8 August, 2014 05:13 PM*

I wanna marry a man who thinks I'm cool and likes my cooking and knows how to eat pussy. Until then I'll keep myself entertained and avoid a man who is extremely concerned about the "purity" of my body above (instead of?) anything else, like yourself. I'll let you know whether I end up the sad used slut with a thousand cats you just made up (I mean really, have you ever met even one woman just like you described? If so, how do you know her so intimately that you know all this about her?)

i don't think you need math here

lol yeah fuck logic.

And what, men don't have to treat their body like a temple? Men actively *try* to

trash that place using the logic you described. Oh but wait men and women are different.

I'm sorry to push this point but it really just seems like TRPers are jealous of how much more sex women can theoretically get (men can have as much sex as they want BUT its harder for them to get //// for women it is easy to get laid so they should limit themselves). If you really wanted to embrace the mantra TRP claims to use, you should really *accept that reality*.

Shirye • 10 points • 7 August, 2014 07:59 AM

"being a slut is bad --> why?"

if 50 men spit on a rag, would you wipe your face with it? Whats the glory in being the 31th guy, ejaculating on the same woman?

I'll wait.

4nn1h1l4tor • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 12:50 PM

Honest question: Wait? What are you waiting for? Are you telling us that you're a virgin waiting for the right woman? Because then Ive got some bad news in store for you.

Volt • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 05:46 PM

Waiting for an answer, I assumed.

nicknameminaj • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 07:38 PM*

I mean that analogy has literally nothing to do with women. Unless of course women have the same value to you as a rag does? If sex to you is about conquest/status/achievement/ejaculating on a woman and not sex, then I see what you mean.

lucifey • -7 points • 7 August, 2014 06:16 AM

It does seem like a double standard somewhat. Slutty women are frowned upon whereas slutty (but successful and rich) men are something to be respected and admired.

Also this got me wondering: can a woman take the red pill? Instead of men using these red pill principles, can women learn how to maintain their own "harem" and stress their rejection of monogamy? It seems like if a woman really wanted to, she could build up her own harem of extremely beta pathetic men, maybe even a cuckold, who will do anything to please her.

[deleted] • 19 points • 7 August, 2014 07:05 AM

TRP is not a moral framework.

The fact is, men who can and do get laid a lot are sexual desirable to women (due to social proof).

The fact also is that women who are very promiscuous are not very desirable to men as recipient of their commitment.

So men being being slutty is not good if they're not interested in getting laid (which is obviously paradoxically pointless), and women being slutty is not bad if they're not interested in getting a man's commitment.

JackPallino • 6 points • 7 August, 2014 08:49 AM

Of course a woman could, but I would not think it would be a very gratifying endeavor for her. It is natural for a woman to act hypergamously and try for the best male she can, while establishing this harem that you propose would be filled mostly with males that she would see as "pathetic."

Also it cannot be considered a double standard if the two parties involved have separate sets of inherent/biological and indoctrinated forms of conduct and thought.

This is where much of feminist ideation presents its fallacious basis. It assumes that men and women are equal in many fundamental aspects, while this is undoubtedly not the case, especially in the realm of sexuality. One needs only to observe the general behavior of each sex.

Cyralea • 4 points • 7 August, 2014 02:23 PM

The double standard exists because a man who can have a lot of sex is a desirable man, whereas a woman who has a lot of sex is simply indiscriminate. This is rooted in our evolutionary biology, I can go into it further if you like.

Instead of men using these red pill principles, can women learn how to maintain their own "harem" and stress their rejection of monogamy

This is essentially what all women do. Get a ton of beta men to orbit them for validation, while having sex with as many attractive men as they can. It's the default behaviour of women in the absence of moral frameworks like the one from the 50's.

vaker • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 03:57 PM

like the one from the 50's.

Like the one in the entire existence of human civilization.

Fixed it for ya.

[deleted] • 7 points • 7 August, 2014 06:30 AM

/r/RedPillWomen

TRP is about maintaining a relationship equality with your SO and not playing the 'games' and 'tests' given out during the worse relationships. Of course you can, relationship equality applies to both sexes.

nicknameminaj • 0 points • 8 August, 2014 04:51 AM

that's interesting, because it seems like all you guys brag about is all the different head games you play on your girls. I feel bad for them.

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 August, 2014 05:26 AM

Not exactly, they're more-so equally counter-games for when girls decide to do what are generally called 'shit-tests' and generally avoiding bullshit that generally happens in a relationship.

This isn't about making people miserable. It's about an equal relationship that bites back if someone tries to get overly controlling.

nicknameminaj • 1 point • 8 August, 2014 05:14 PM

Ah so it *is* about playing games then.

equal

?!?!?! In my TRP? B-but men and women are different????

nicknameminaj • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 07:55 PM

True, if she wanted to. The fact is, and feel free to judge me here, I love casual sex as much as the next person but when I am in a committed relationship I am absolutely faithful. Really everyone is the same in many ways and different in many more and it is harmful to your sense of reality to deny the many many exceptions to every sweeping generalization you make. I know you guys make generalizations to get a general idea of human behavior (not to say I agree with very much of it, but that's irrelevant) but you don't seem to have a gauge of how often that is the case. The conclusion seems to come first and you look for data to support it when it should be in the reverse. Look for reality, not for reasons women suck.

[deleted] • 9 points • 7 August, 2014 05:58 PM

"if pussy was a stock, it would be plummeting, cause you're flooding the market with it!" - Dave Chappelle

whoops_fap11 points 7 August, 2014 10:50 AM* **[recovered]**

Any tips on mining slutcoin?

[deleted] • 13 points • 7 August, 2014 03:31 PM

1) be attractive

2) don't be unattractive

That's about it in my experience. Or if you are hideous, be smart and in shape. That slutcoin doesn't have a lot of standards.

Cyralea • 16 points • 7 August, 2014 02:12 PM

The inverse is of course true for men. A man that spends his coin (in the sense of time and validation) with many women has devalued it. This is why 'nice guys' get friendzoned and made into orbiters.

Making your time and resources scarce only increases their value.

floppymammaryland29 points 7 August, 2014 03:01 AM* **[recovered]**

I thought the whole "as a woman" thing was highly frowned upon here.

There are no women on the internet.

[deleted] • 9 points • 7 August, 2014 03:09 AM

If you go look in my submitted you'll find some definitive photographic proof in my step by step procedure to beat a hair follicle test for marijuana!

Edit: fucking mobile. Anyways, maybe as a woman is frowned on when you're disagreeing with your I enlightened views. Maybe it's okay if you've swallowed that great red pill. I don't really

know how it works. I mean, i hadn't even seen the matrix until like a month ago.

mcdehuevo • 26 points • 7 August, 2014 03:46 AM

I don't see a problem with "as a woman" if you're not trying to use it as a trump card or going off on some rant about your feelings being hurt by someone's failure to pay proper homage to the gods of political correctness. Doesn't appear you were doing either of those things, so cheers.

[deleted] • 6 points • 7 August, 2014 03:56 AM

Yeah, I was just kind of using it to state where my viewpoint was coming from (slightly unique in this sub I guess).

Thanks!

itsmehobnob • -3 points • 7 August, 2014 03:45 PM

Truth is truth regardless of view point.

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 07:08 PM

Facts are, truth much less so.

BluepillProfessor • -1 points • 7 August, 2014 04:47 AM

I always thought we needed more nuance to the 'as a woman' semi-rule. Lots of times it is relevant as with M'Ladies post. In fact, wouldn't it be easier to have icons identifying the posters gender and perspective? The little Red Numbers is an awesome quality check and similarly, having RPW or RPM or BPidiot etc is useful information (and eliminates the usually annoying...'as a woman.' Bonus points if we can filter responses. Sometimes I just don't want to hear what the women feeeel.

ArrantPariah • 4 points • 7 August, 2014 06:13 PM

Wow, a woman with an economist's perspective. You must have enjoyed that "Economics of Sex" video that came out a couple of months ago.

<http://sexualobjectification.blogspot.com/2014/07/feminists-versus-economics.html>

[deleted] • 4 points • 7 August, 2014 06:24 PM

I haven't actually seen it before. Thanks for linking it! I'm at work right now, but I'll take a look later! Thanks! But yeah. I mean, if lots of guys are trying to bone me, then I should take advantage of the selection to choose the cream of the crop while I can right? Doing otherwise is just foolish for long term health and happiness. I don't have any fear of missing out on one night stands or that shit. It is more sexually and financially profitable for me to invest in the best "deal" I can get. Because the mindset of almost everyone in everything is getting the best deal they can for their wants and needs, based on their value of the product. (I work in car sales. It might show a bit.)

ArrantPariah • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 07:11 PM

You're definitely a smart one.

[deleted] • 10 points • 7 August, 2014 08:00 PM*

Okay read over the article and the feminist disagreements. She must be mad because she

has a high number. No one gets that vitriolic without taking personal offense. Also, they keep going back to the birth control thing where it doesn't matter because we're not getting knocked up as much. BITCH, HERPES DOESN'T CARE ABOUT THE PILL OR CONDOMS. STDs can be passed in other ways, and it is foolish of any woman to think she can sleep with 50+ guys and come out unscathed. My friend who is upwards of 100+ guys? Yeah, HPV. She didn't get tested for over 4 years. Who knows when the fuck that came up and who she gave it to. So nasty.

edit: the real reason, I think, though, that feminists get really mad about this shit and cry that "why doesn't my personality count? our supply isn't all the same" is because after you've given so much away and oxytocin bonded with that many guys, and opened yourself up to that many diseases, and taken birth control for that long, and gotten to the age where you start wondering if its time to settle down because the biological clock is a-tickin', your value has decreased to something similar to other women in your predicament. It's happening to more and more women. I think you guys call it a wall. Women hit the wall because they didn't plan ahead. Also, all those walks of shame and guys they fucked, is that what you want your daughters to do? Are you proud of the train of dicks you've rode? If you can honestly look in the mirror and say that's what you want for your little girl, then I think she should be taken away from you. Because it's irresponsible and doesn't teach your children to respect themselves enough to share their intimacy with someone who cares. Because it's not just sex. Ever. You can have sex with whomever you want, but practice some discernment.

theredpillager • 5 points • 7 August, 2014 09:57 PM

Lol, you've got BP SJWs downvoting this fantastically accurate comment. Have my upvote to restore a little balance.

[deleted] • 1 point • 12 August, 2014 04:38 PM

Right but as a guy who has had several FWB, at what point is it okay to enjoy casual sex for a chick?

[deleted] • 2 points • 12 August, 2014 05:23 PM

I don't know, man. The risk is up to you. Just remember that condoms won't save you from genital herpes. When you fuck a woman you are vicariously fucking everyone she has fucked (in the terms of STD-openness). If a woman is casual sexing with you, she maybe casual sexing someone else. Or a few other people. You can't police her actions, but it's opening yourself up to a lot of potential issues.

That being said, if reward outweighs risk to you, and these aren't women you are trying to marry and make the mother of your own daughters and sons, then please continue. You can only control yourself and if these women want to be shit gatekeepers to sex, then you can enjoy the pilferage from their lax watch. Doesn't mean you have to commit or anything back.

I just don't think women should continue having casual sex beyond a certain point. and that "wall" is actually way sooner than women think it is. I can count all the dicks I've touched on one hand. You shouldn't have to count fingers and toes when counting out your sexual partners. That's just gross.

[deleted] • 2 points • 12 August, 2014 05:54 PM

I certainly agree for the most part. I think there's certainly some nuances and/or exceptions but

the gist of what you wrote is correct. May post a followup later when I'm not at work.

alvydavos • -27 points • 7 August, 2014 11:28 AM

As a person, I think you and everyone else in this subreddit may be fucking stupid. If a woman's coin is sex-- it's not. By saying this fucking nonsense, you're devaluing yourself and your gender. You're reducing your gender to its ability to have sex, despite men having that same ability and being spared the gross marginalization.

If OP weren't such a woman hating faggot, he'd realize that only idiots judge other people by how much sexual partners they've had. The number implies nothing. Nothing at all. If you could find more than one sexual partner, maybe you'd have the worldly experience to learn such things? I don't know.

Fuck all of you.

OldMuckyTerrahawk[M] • 13 points • 7 August, 2014 01:03 PM

Okay see ya.

[deleted] • 11 points • 7 August, 2014 03:47 PM

You sound like someone who has a lot of slutcoin. I'm sorry.

Now, just to elaborate on why women get this rap and men don't, is because genetically/biologically speaking women keep men from having sex. They are the gatekeepers. They can allow these horny dudes to earn that sweet release.

But men are the gatekeepers of commitment. It's the male biological imperative to spread thy seed. Women release a fuckton of oxytocin after sex to emotionally and chemically bond them to their sex partner, making it difficult to let them go. This is of course because if the woman becomes pregnant then she is bonded to the would be protector of her offspring. This is biological fact that this occurs. Fucking a ton of dudes is going against the sexual biology of a woman.

This has a negative connotation for a number of reasons. The primary one being when woman fuck a lot of guys, the issue of inheritance and property rights if she does get pregnant is a huge, legal headache. This is why marriage was created in antiquity (Greco-Roman times). The secondary one, which I think resonates more, is that when a woman fucks a lot of guys, she isn't showing respect for herself. I say this because why is a woman going against her own nature and Chemical balances to change partners so casually? There are always underlying issues, from alcoholism to being lonely(maybe you can't find a partner because you keep fucking strangers?), from depression to daddy issues.

Yeah, we are sentient beings so we don't have to give in to the biological imperatives of our species. But that does not mean they for affect us. Humans are animals, too. We are not immune from the consequences of our geneology.

I'm also Catholic and regularly engage in premarital sex. This isn't coming from some repressed place. That being said, I can count my sexual partners on one hand and I prefer to keep it that way. The more people you sleep with, the higher your chances of STD are no matter what gender you are. That's a fact.

I have family members with AIDS and opening myself up to any kind of risk like this is so imprudent. You're kind of stupid for saying all that.

[deleted] • 25 points • 7 August, 2014 01:38 AM*

If they have a guilt-free reaction to casual sex then it's a complete turn off for men (relationship wise).

I'm going to preface this by disclaiming that I'm new here, but I've noticed that there are women who are very talented at putting on the "innocent" act until they're in the bedroom.

For instance, one of my first girlfriends back in my teens was a virgin. Then she had a "talk" with me to explain that she got herpes from a towel in the girl's locker room. Riiight. Naturally I don't buy it, but her hamster, while young, was still spinning full speed.

I'm not going to lie though -- the whole innocent thing until behind closed doors -- it's pretty hot.

platochronic • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 03:21 AM

Correct if I'm wrong, people can be born with the disease, so just because someone has it doesn't mean they've had sex or that they've ever been sexually active.

[deleted] • 21 points • 7 August, 2014 04:27 AM*

It's medically possible, but if that's the case you don't hear a wheel turning about how it was from some gym towel.

edit: or should I say "Jim" towel.

platochronic • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 04:34 AM

Yeah, I understand that's a not a great excuse, but I'm just saying just because someone has an std doesn't mean that someone was sexually active. If she was smart, she could say she was born with it. I know people who were born with it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 08:17 AM

My brother was born with it on his eyes!

[deleted] 7 August, 2014 09:14 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 09:21 AM

half brother, different mom. And yeah, I know lol. My dad is the one that has it and gave it to the different mothers (7 kids, 4 moms). My dad has had a lot of women

sailorJery • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 10:36 AM

it's only bad news if he's interested

Zanford • 4 points • 7 August, 2014 05:09 PM

Great analogy. A slut is like the downsides of a super-status male (rampant cheating, or always close to it) with none of the upsides (the high-status traits that make him super-attractive...it's not like the average slut is a 10). Now factor in that men hate sexual infidelity worse than women...

angryqueerfeminist • -10 points • 7 August, 2014 02:32 AM

So...if she has casual sex but feels sufficiently bad about it...then that's ok? I'm just failing to see the logic in your choice of phrasing with "a guilt-free reaction to casual sex."

trplurker8 points 7 August, 2014 04:29 AM* **[recovered]**

In order for there to be a functioning society there needs to be social repercussions from having casual sex. In the past it was the loss of social capital coupled with the exclusion from many group activities. This creates a disincentive for the women to follow her tinges and fuck her value up.

[deleted] • -1 points • 7 August, 2014 04:12 PM

You and I have very different definitions of "functioning society" there are many societies who believe in partable(sp?) paternity. That a fetus is composed literally of accumulated sperm. In these societies rape and infanticide are nonexistent. There are societies who would describe a prude as one who is stingy with their genitals. Female promiscuity increases biological diversity in all species.

[deleted] • 6 points • 7 August, 2014 03:27 AM

Poor phrasing indeed. English wasn't my first language (or second for that matter). My English writing skills aren't at a level that properly articulate my thoughts. Because of this, you have to make a lot of intuitive leaps with what I write. Basically what I'm intimating is that women putting out does not automatically equal slut. There is a difference between a woman who likes a guy and has sex with him in the (naive) hopes of him committing to her and a woman who just fucks any guy she can. Usually the second woman has daddy issues, some mental disorder, and/or almost all male friends. I don't understand the feminist rush to defend sluts. Sluts don't even get along with other women.

tsudonimh • 47 points • 6 August, 2014 11:36 PM

I just shot coffee through my nose. Thanks for that!

[deleted] • 18 points • 6 August, 2014 11:49 PM*

I knew that someone would post a comment about shooting some form of liquid out of their nose...it was only a matter of time...glad to have been of service in the involuntary expulsion of fluids from your orifices.

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 02:29 AM

Hey, I shot air out of my nose as well. Just a little excess fluid in my body I needed to get rid of, is all.

Yeah, I know that isn't original either

But that for the proverb haha. This is a good post.

three29 • -17 points • 7 August, 2014 02:17 AM

I knew that someone would post a comment commenting about shooting some form of liquid out of their nose...it was only a matter of time...glad to have witnessed you to being of service to the involuntary fluids from OP's orifices.

[deleted] • 12 points • 7 August, 2014 03:26 AM

No. Save this crap for circlejerk.

otivito • 8 points • 7 August, 2014 05:02 AM

A lock opened by many keys is a bad lock. A key that opens many locks, is a master key.

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 06:25 AM

I think this should have bad changed to worthless and good changed to valuable.

ogalvan • -12 points • 6 August, 2014 11:44 PM

"Woman who love a thousand men prevents suitable harvest, woman who love a man a thousand times is blessed under heaven" - Confucius

Posting quote to facebook. :)

[deleted] • 6 points • 7 August, 2014 02:03 AM

Good luck with the barrage of feminists railing on you for that one.

Floridaisondrugs • 5 points • 7 August, 2014 04:28 AM

I've seen many women post similar statuses about men. "Don't go for a man who loves a hundred women. Go for a man who loves one woman a hundred ways." On a picture of Ryan gosling or some shit. But a man would get heat for saying that.

scarfox1 • -1 points • 7 August, 2014 03:47 AM

Were you trying to write pseudonym? lol

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 03:49 AM

No. That is the username of the author of this article...but I'm sure that was his clever way of attempting make his account name resemble that term.

dissinmouse • 0 points • 13 August, 2014 05:50 PM

reminds me a lot of "A key that can open many locks is called a master key, but a lock that can be opened by many keys is a shitty lock."

[deleted] • 35 points • 6 August, 2014 11:14 PM

I wish I could have seen the face. Nice comeback!

[deleted] • 122 points • 7 August, 2014 12:25 AM

An artist's rendition of the incident

[deleted] • 10 points • 7 August, 2014 02:05 AM

http://www.yesnomaybe.co.uk/Admin/Upload/194_blog_image.png

RedBigMan • 36 points • 7 August, 2014 07:13 AM

Moar like...

<http://i.imgur.com/zD4X8.gif>

VegasHostTre • 4 points • 7 August, 2014 01:43 AM

Now you made ME shoot liquid from my nose!

tsudonimh • 70 points • 6 August, 2014 11:39 PM

I have never in my life managed to come up with a witty line on cue. It was only her long winded ranting

that allowed me the time to formulate that line.

I've posted here before that I'm gold - medal class in the shit - I - wished-I-thought - of Olympics.

[deleted] • 18 points • 6 August, 2014 11:59 PM

Hindsight is the first step. You should remember some comebacks and proverbs. They'll be useful as witty comebacks when the time comes.

genghistom34 points 7 August, 2014 01:18 AM **[recovered]**

There's a term for that: l'esprit d'escalier, literally "spirit of the staircase" in french. It refers to the things you think of when you're leaving some situation that you wish you could've thought of at the time.

HahahahaWaitWhat • 22 points • 7 August, 2014 01:40 AM

Staircase wit is the usual translation.

Psycho_Delic • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 02:28 AM

Alright man, check this out.

Bring it up anyway. As a person, equally afflicted with hindsight. I've found people are more than eager to bring a subject up again. Then, you have a new nugget to inject in to the conversation.

kick6 • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 06:26 PM

Thank the TRP for knowing exactly what she was going to say so you could check the fuck out 3 words into her rant, and start formulating a response.

elysius • 9 points • 7 August, 2014 03:26 AM

Probably looked a lot like the face this woman does around 3:14 -

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T0xoKiH8JJM&t=2m55s> (video starts a little earlier for context)

Fulgidus • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 08:06 AM

And now I'm laughing my ass off like a mad person in the middle of a coffee shop...

You made my day bro!

Idle_Reddditing • 39 points • 7 August, 2014 01:20 AM

I'm not intimidated, I'm just not going to commit.

I'm also not afraid of commitment in general, though I am rightfully afraid of commitment to one of these "strong, liberated, sexually experienced women."

Red_August • 90 points • 6 August, 2014 11:42 PM

Across borders, across oceans, I have witnessed carousel-riders spew out that exact same *hamsterisation*: "men are intimidated by experienced women". They all had that faintly quizzical face seeking validation from their captive audience for their poor life choices. That ancient lizard part of their brain damn well knows they fucked up.

I'm borrowing your "thousand" cock line - brilliant.

RedBigMan • 26 points • 7 August, 2014 07:11 AM

If she's taken more yards of dick than an NFL quarterback has rushing yards... she might be a slut.

Imagine it in the tone of Jeff Foxworthy doing his 'you might be a redneck routine' for full lulz effect.

UncleDisgusting • 34 points • 7 August, 2014 10:31 AM

"She's seen more helmets than Hitler" - heard from a Scottish dude years ago

McMurphyCrazy • 15 points • 7 August, 2014 01:04 PM

Jeff had a great bit that stuck with me since I was a kid.

"Guys, if a woman says to you "I think we should start seeing other people," trust me, she has already cut a pony from the herd, and if she ain't ridin' him yet, she *has* pulled the saddle out of the barn."

Science_isthenewcool21 points 7 August, 2014 12:55 AM [\[recovered\]](#)

Fantastic line, excuse me if I steal it. May also include the 1000 cock stare.

[deleted] • 10 points • 7 August, 2014 02:31 AM

May also include the 1000 cock stare.

I'm picturing a self righteous indignant puss mixed with a sour faced "someone just dropped ass" look.

Good2Go5280 • 2 points • 8 August, 2014 03:01 AM

clap clap clap clap clap clap

Isaiah4verse1 • 43 points • 7 August, 2014 01:39 AM

Who'd pay mortgage on a Porto-potty?

don't delete me reddit bot

deadcow5 • 27 points • 7 August, 2014 02:41 AM

don't delete me reddit bot

Shit like this is why that bot is an inherently dumb idea.

If you can say it with fewer words, why use more to say the same?

[deleted] 7 August, 2014 03:00 AM

[\[permanently deleted\]](#)

deadcow5 • 10 points • 7 August, 2014 03:09 AM

Yeah, you know, it wouldn't be too hard to write a bot that just deleted answers with the word "this", or one-word answers.

Isaiah4verse1 • 5 points • 7 August, 2014 04:06 AM

Agreed.

Don't delete me Reddit bot.

:-D

RedBigMan • 5 points • 7 August, 2014 07:15 AM

I think it also leaves you alone if you have delta points or endorsed status as I haven't had any of my posts gobbled by the bot.

tallwheel • 30 points • 7 August, 2014 02:39 AM

She knows the real reason men won't commit to her. She's just hamstering a way to shift the blame to men. What she really should have said is that men clearly are not attracted to sexually experienced women as long-term partners. She needs to accept reality.

TomHicks[] • 16 points • 7 August, 2014 06:33 AM

The guys at the next table offered to buy me a beer.

Honestly, this sounds like that /r/thathappened joke (and then he gave me \$100 under the sink).

knitrol6 points 6 August, 2014 11:29 PM [recovered]

I know it's pointless to highlight the errors in someone like that's ways (they won't really have any epiphany or anything), but damn is in not self-satisfying?

[deleted] • 14 points • 7 August, 2014 01:12 AM

Sometimes its fun to get real when you have nothing to loose.

[deleted] • 10 points • 7 August, 2014 02:33 AM

You have nothing [that matters] to lose and maybe they learn something from it. Seems win-win to me

whitey_male • 8 points • 7 August, 2014 07:08 AM

Also no one likes a cc rider who takes herself so seriously and acts like cc riding = working for the UN or doing some really important job.

Maybe if they had a bit of humility they might fare a bit better.

[deleted] 13 September, 2014 09:39 PM

[permanently deleted]

Sniff_NopeThasDoody • 8 points • 7 August, 2014 08:16 AM

Ah nothing like the smell of raw tuna, surrounded by the smell of raw tuna

[deleted] • 17 points • 7 August, 2014 03:15 AM

The guys at the next table offered to buy me a beer.

That sounds a lot like an "It was my privilege" ending.

jadedspade • 40 points • 6 August, 2014 11:39 PM

REKT

Seriously I would have bought you a beer too bro.

blfstyk • 16 points • 7 August, 2014 02:44 AM

That is right on. You can reverse it though.

This may be merely legend, but when Rembrandt, who was supposedly devoted to his wife, was asked, "Rembrandt, with your fame, you could have any woman you want, why don't you have many mistresses?", replied: "If you sleep with many women, you have none, if you sleep with only one, you have all the women in the world."

Ah, to find that one woman who is all the women in the world.

blazingcopper • 8 points • 7 August, 2014 05:02 AM

Back then it wasn't hard to find

[deleted] • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 05:50 AM

ha, never knew much about Rembrandt aside from his paintings.

slfnflctd • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 02:04 PM

'That one woman' has likely been more myth than reality for the majority of men through most of human history (at least post-agriculture, anyhow). One partner, if not each, will always be more likely to betray the other than either fully realize in certain circumstances, for reasons that are in large part subconscious. I do think there's a spectrum, though, and some people have had better luck. Problem is, you just can't guarantee that kind of result (although you can bump your odds).

In any case, I have a theory that compromise and commitment both seem to come more naturally for some people with age (perhaps as they realize their time is short?). This is why I try to maintain more regular contact with people I consider to be of a higher caliber, male and female-- there will be fewer of us with long-standing ties as time goes on, and those ties will mean more. I guess what I'm saying is that your chances of finding a unicorn are absurdly low, but if you filter well you can have a fair shot at finding a woman who will grow into a stellar partner eventually.

sir_wankalot_here • 9 points • 7 August, 2014 01:59 AM

I thought this post was a kinky sex post. I am disappointed. Oh well.

El_Shakiel • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 08:34 AM

Man, it is stories like this that make me love r/TRP and make me come back every day. Thanks for the tale !

ColdEiric • 7 points • 7 August, 2014 08:58 AM

You should have told it to young girls. Young girls who aren't beyond the point of no return.

GrilledCheezus71 • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 01:29 PM

Tuna tartare is fucking delicious dude. Fine choices all around.

HeinousFu_kery • 20 points • 7 August, 2014 12:29 AM*

Edit: OP meant misogyny.

One of my rules of women says: "If she says she's been dumped a lot (or left with no promised phone call), you're about to find out why..."

postuk • 47 points • 7 August, 2014 01:44 AM*

No, he means misogyny. Read it again:

The remainder of dinner was not really enjoyable, because of the rampant misogyny at the table, I was informed.

They told him that they weren't enjoying themselves because of the [his?] "misogyny".

I don't know, I enjoyed it.

He enjoyed the "misogyny".

The tuna tartare was to die for.

The food wasn't so bad either!

Edit: down voted for making the OP even clearer?! Cunt!

Rugnardl • 15 points • 7 August, 2014 01:59 AM

Up voted for the final, obligatory "Cunt!"

[deleted] • 11 points • 7 August, 2014 02:29 AM

I upvote most comments with the word "cunt" in it.

tsudonimh • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 02:54 AM

Damn it, the bot deleted my first response...

RedBigMan • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 07:18 AM

I upvote anything with George Carlin's 7 words. /s

Cunt is pretty much the only word that's retained it's effectiveness as an insult/swear word. Lets try not to overuse it like we did with fuck, motherfucker and cocksucker.

Overkillengine • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 02:09 PM

Thus the irony of people using anti-censorship to indulge. When you overuse something you end up diluting it.

postuk • 4 points • 7 August, 2014 02:01 AM

Lol. I returned to make a brief edit (added a '?') within seconds of posting, to see it has been down voted to zero. It angered me more than meaningless Internet points should.

itwasninjas • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 04:25 AM

yeah, but at least righteous anger is somewhat enjoyable

HeinousFu_kery • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 03:51 AM

You are correct, sir. Edited.

IllimitableMan • 5 points • 7 August, 2014 02:05 PM*

Hahahaha the fact those guys were willing to buy you a beer is indicative of one thing: a lot of guys feel the same way about women and their bullshit but are too afraid to speak up. Criticising women or saying anything negative has become such a taboo it's a joke. Even in their (a woman's) eyes laying over and taking their shit wins you no respect.

[deleted] • 31 points • 6 August, 2014 11:27 PM

A lock that can be opened by any key is not a very good lock, but the key that can open any lock is a master key.

lightfire409 • 28 points • 7 August, 2014 02:38 AM

I always chuckled how the feminists are always like "Oh not the lock and key analogy again!" while they never manage to refute the point it makes.

elysius • 21 points • 7 August, 2014 03:33 AM

I believe the default rebuttal is that women are not objects blah blah blah. Same thing with the rape issue: you can't put yourself in harm's way and expect nothing bad to happen*, just like you wouldn't leave your car or house door unlocked and expect nothing bad to happen. BUT OUR BODIES ARE NOT CARS etc.

They know they can't refute the argument so they hamster it away.

Note that this is entirely different from the "blaming the victim" fantasy they drummed up.

FA_in_PJ • 20 points • 7 August, 2014 04:20 AM

I've moved to an analogy about missionaries who get themselves killed. It happens. Civil war, disease, superstition/misinformation among the natives, lots of reasons. People doing good in the world get murdered.

There are two approaches one could take to this problem: (1) Start a "don't kill whitey" campaign targeted at third world denizens or (2) Make sure that potential starry-eyed do-gooders are informed about the dangers they are walking into. Which approach is more likely to save lives?

I've yet to hear a feminist retort to this argument. Any retort, let alone a good one. B/c what can they say?

Of course, I have the advantage of a personal anecdote, which always scores points with the bleeding hearts. My childhood preacher's whole family was wiped out on a mission to Africa. They never even got off the airplane.

So yeah, curious to learn if it still works without the tear-jerker. And the tear-jerker element is total BS, I was too young to know those people; my parents passed the story on to me when I was older.

RedBigMan • 10 points • 7 August, 2014 07:22 AM

Same thing with the rape issue: you can't put yourself in harm's way and expect nothing bad to happen*

Whenever women pull this victim blaming shit I say 'Ok... that's basically like saying some white dude with a megaphone going through harlem shouting 'here nigger nigger nigger nigger nigger' and acting surprised when he gets beat within an inch of his life and/or shot.

ComteDeSaintGermain • 7 points • 7 August, 2014 03:26 PM

...except that 'putting yourself in harm's way' can mean as little as 'being pretty and stepping outside after 9pm'....

[deleted] • 1 point • 8 August, 2014 12:26 PM

Our bodies are not cars

It's a physical vessel that has its kinks, requires maintenance, fuel, and allows my brain to travel.

I'm not sure if I just did an Oxford comma. Did I just do an Oxford comma?

[deleted] • -3 points • 7 August, 2014 10:54 AM

You are not strengthening your argument by presenting it with different words (in an analogy).

[deleted] 7 August, 2014 12:32 AM

[permanently deleted]

aparctias00 • -12 points • 7 August, 2014 01:51 AM

Actually this is the more philosophical and elegant saying

[deleted] 7 August, 2014 05:34 AM

[permanently deleted]

Sleep-less • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 06:56 PM

Locks protect assets, for example, half of the marital home, child support, alimony, your car. If any man's "key" can take these assets from you, I guess you picked a pretty shit lock didn't you. (Disclaimer: don't get married. There is no tangible benefit for men.)

[deleted] • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 10:58 AM

Rewording an argument in an analogy does not make it more valid or invalid. It only makes it *seem* more valid because dumb people are going to conclude that the argument is valid just because the analogy is valid.

brons104 • 7 points • 7 August, 2014 03:27 PM

What man in his right mind wants to marry a woman who admits to having more men than a battleship? If you follow the logic on it, this woman wants a man to risk most of his possessions by committing himself solely her, a person who has shown that she can't commit herself to a single man. What a double-standard backed up by such a sense of self-entitlement.

thisisnotatoaster • 4 points • 7 August, 2014 04:07 PM

Women would look at a man who had thousands of women in the same fashion. "Someone who couldn't commit"

I grew up in a world where women weren't entitled to shit and they couldn't win no matter what they did, and now I am in one where it's almost the opposite sometimes. It's weird.

MajorStyles • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 06:03 AM

Bravo, sir! I will buy you a beer if I ever meet you.

usku • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 03:53 PM

Nobody wants a shitty lock.

Zanford • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 05:04 PM

Haha I love the guys at the next table. Hope you swapped info with them to make some new drinking/fishing/bowling/lifting buddies.

Dr_Wally • 2 points • 19 November, 2014 08:24 PM

I'm late to this party, but I loved it.

rporion • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 12:20 AM

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q2H4yqVN4as>

Very relevant video.

insane_crazy • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 05:12 AM

The proverb comes to mind. "A key that opens a thousand locks is a master key, but a lock that opens to any key is not a lock" wonderful!

FelixTheLeo • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 01:37 AM

Well done! I don't think I could've handled that situation any better.

HeadingRed • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 08:56 PM

Can't settle for just one cock- even for a little while and still wonders

"Why doesn't anyone want to marry me?"

Hmm so far you have shown you don't want to be loyal- why should I take a chance that this time it'll work.

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 09:25 PM

Since when did repulsed turn into intimidated?

[deleted] • 1 point • 7 August, 2014 11:08 AM

Your answer fits perfectly with her statement. Having multiple previous partners gives her more people to compare you to and would therefore be more intimidating than having a single previous partner.

myepicdemise • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 08:04 AM

I just flat out stated that a woman who had sex with a thousand guys a year was not marriage material, but a woman who had sex with one guy a thousand times a year would have men lining up to marry her.

Too bad she's too dumb to even understand this, which is simple math. For every guy she has sex with, it's 1 guy out of the line. This proportional relationship makes so much sense.

thisisnotatoaster • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 04:04 PM*

Just because women are "empowered" to explore their sexuality now (actually in many places we still aren't, lest we want to be written off as the "whore" or be given the tramp stamp) doesn't mean it's a good idea to sleep with a thousand men and pull the misogyny card when someone calls us on our clearly stated lack of commitment. I'm all for folks turning the "walk of shame" into the "walk of pride", but if I were like that which you just described, I would have to admit it doesn't mean I should expect men everywhere to adore me for my resolve while also expecting them to deem me the pillar of a serious relationship. But I guess I'm just logically consistent like that. ~shrug~

Tuna tar tar is delicious.

Good2Go5280 • 0 points • 8 August, 2014 03:04 AM

I have a chick friend that's literally a model (looks like Megan Fox). She fucks everything and it disgusts me.

[deleted] • -33 points • 6 August, 2014 11:48 PM

I don't get it. She was saying she can't find a man because she's slept with too many different guys? Why doesn't she just not tell them how many people she slept with? I have a friend like this. She's late 30's and beyond frustrated that she can't seem to keep a guy/find a guy to marry. Her exact problem? She's preoccupied by fitting herself into a certain stereotype, "good girls don't sleep with a guy on the 1st date", a proper girl does x, and y, not z. A guy has to woo her a certain way, she has to be courted, etc, etc. the fact that she believes all this is not the problem, the problem is that she's preoccupied with all this stuff, she's preoccupied with playing the certain

role and being perceived in a certain way. No one cares about that shit but her. For Christ sake people. Stop trying to be a certain something/someone. Just be.

I don't think I completely understand the story here, bc I don't really get why you're annoyed that this poor woman is miserable and getting in her own way. I'd feel bad for her. She's obviously sad and not happy with how her life is going (a little self awareness goes a long way).

squishles • 10 points • 7 August, 2014 01:11 AM

Why doesn't she just not tell them how many people she slept with?

It's a symptom, not the cause. Plenty of men out there perfectly fine with that number; those men just add to it and find out why no one else has stuck around.

CrackpotPatriot • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 01:26 AM

Exactly this. Either own your sexuality and don't concentrate your efforts on those who have a problem with it, or shut up about it so they don't attempt to use it against you.

[deleted] • 20 points • 7 August, 2014 12:22 AM

I believe you're lost friend...the bluepill sub is back in the other direction...

[deleted] • -7 points • 7 August, 2014 12:39 AM

Oh. Haha. Guess I don't know what red pill is. Oops. I didnt realize this was about a specific thing.

fuckpasswordrecovery • 6 points • 7 August, 2014 03:12 AM

Please read the sidebar. It well improve your life exponentially

[deleted] • 10 points • 7 August, 2014 12:55 AM

Relevant

blazingcopper • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 05:06 AM

Sidebar. Immerse yourself. I highly recommend "book of pook"

tsudonimh • 8 points • 7 August, 2014 02:46 AM

Well, as she's spent the last several years religiously recording all her conquests on social media, it's a bit hard to hide from anyone who wants to friend her.

And I'm not annoyed at her. I'm ambivalent. I simply took her statement that men are intimidated by women who have a lot of sex and showed her that it was wrong. She has been ascribing her failure to score a relationship to that faulty premise. She had to then either own up to it, or double down and yell at the messenger. She couldn't face what amounts to her own decisions have caused her circumstance, so she went on the attack. I didn't give a shit, so it was a fruitless thing to do.

She and my wife were in the same class at school, and now they're 40, and my wife has been with me for over 20 years. Yeah, she missed out on all the sleeping around in her 20s, but she's got a life these spinsters dream of now.

Actions have consequences. It's a tough lesson to learn for some.

[deleted] • 16 points • 7 August, 2014 12:36 AM*

She's late 30's and beyond frustrated that she can't seem to keep a guy/find a guy to marry. Her exact

problem? She's preoccupied by fitting herself into a certain stereotype, "good girls don't sleep with a guy on the 1st date", a proper girl does x, and y, not z.

No her prblem is that she didn't exercise her control over her sexuality by fucking tons of men. It is 100x easier for a woman to get sex than a man. Women are the gatekeepers to sex. She was a shitty gatekeeper. Also another problem for her is that she hit the wall and can't go back to the cock carousel. She should have kept in mind that riding random alpha's cocks would have shot her SMV through the floor when she is wanting to be married. In a way she is seeking to be rid of the consequences of her own actions.

For Christ sake people. Stop trying to be a certain something/someone. Just be.

Improvement start off with trying to be someone who is better than you.

MightyTaint • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 02:35 AM

Improvement start off with trying to be someone who is better than you.

I think it's "something", not "someone". I work towards an ideal "me" in mind; I don't need someone else to be better than me to give me a guide of something to work towards. That works too, but I think it's more efficient to just decide what virtues I aspire to have and work towards them, than to search endlessly and try to find virtues in potential role-models when the population, as a whole, generally sucks.

[deleted] 7 August, 2014 01:00 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • -4 points • 7 August, 2014 01:40 AM

What? Wait what? I think you misinterpreted my comment. It sounds like you're describing someone you know (you go girl?). Someone that you obviously can't stand. Why don't you just stop associating with them? There's a lot of people in the world and a lot of them have issues. The best thing to do is to not associate with toxic people who get you all bent out of shape. It's not worth your energy. Good luck. I hope you can put all the crazy in your past :)

rondeline • -42 points • 7 August, 2014 02:08 AM

Why does it matter how times she rubbed her lady parts with some other dudes parts?

Think about this, how often do you shake other men's hands?

Granted there are risks with sexual promiscuity, but how many of you motherfuckers don't care how many women you hook up with, right? And you could probably get Ebola with a long handshake.

My point is that no one likes someone that bends over and let's others use them, but I'm not prepared to say that if I slept with a thousand women, that I would have less worth as a human being if I dutifully slept with my onitus a thousand times.

Im sure that was fun dinner conversation, but your on the wrong side of that equation. Only weak men give a shit what women did in their past. The only thing that matters is what is she doing for you, today. The rest is just history and bullshit.

sagacioussage • 16 points • 7 August, 2014 02:31 AM

99% chance you are a BP troll -- but in case you are actually wondering why it matters:

It comes down to children. The entire point of sex is reproduction -- specifically making sure your genes

are passed on.

Women are forced to invest a ton in their offspring, nine months of pregnancy right off the bat, and then being the primary caregiver. Since having a kid is such a big deal for women she must be very discerning in her choice of mate. (Also because her eggs will limit her possible number of offspring way more than men are limited by their sperm. So each of her kids are more "important" to her in the sense of making sure her genes are passed on.) The most successful women are the most picky, only mating with the highest quality males they can get thus ensuring their offspring is as high quality as possible.

A man doesn't have to invest much in his offspring other than a few minutes of pleasure. His incentive is quantity over quality. Since he can fuck everyday and doesn't have to put up with pregnancy his best reproductive strategy is to inseminate as many women as possible.

The reason why men value monogamy and loyalty so highly in their mates is because they cannot be 100% sure their kids are theirs. If a man is going to commit to a monogamous relationship with a women he needs to know that the kids he's investing in are actually his, so he has an enormous incentive to not wife up a slut. A women doesn't have the same urgent incentive. Although she may very well prefer a low number in her partner her preference cannot compare to the visceral need men have to make sure their wives are sluts.

Again the point of reproduction is to make sure your genes are passed on. Investing in another man's kids is literally the worst thing you can do from your genes' perspective. And what is good for your genes are what we are programmed to do. People with genes that didn't code for behavior conducive to reproductive success didn't reproduce, so those genes no longer exist.

The point is men will never stop caring about sluttiness in a LTR-material girl. Women will never feel as strongly on the issue. That's guaranteed by our genetic code

Ap_Ercino • 13 points • 7 August, 2014 02:25 AM

You need to look at the bigger picture. How easy is it for women to get laid compared with men? Think about that for a minute.

rondeline • -1 points • 7 August, 2014 02:47 PM

Sure, it's easy for cute ones, I'm not so sure for the ugly ones. I had problems getting laid until I realized it was my own bullshit hangups and limiting beliefs that had my jerking off too much. Once I got that into gear, stopped being depressing asshole, exercised, ate better, took chances, stopped accepting bullshit, etc. etc. blah blah blah...surprise, surprise, I had more ass than I could handle.

I don't know the problems most of you face on the daily basis, I'm not saying it's easy for everyone but at what point is us contributing to the problem vs society's entitlement problems? We're not going to be able to change society's culture over a reddit sub, so probably more effect to work on ourselves.

SuperStalin • 8 points • 7 August, 2014 02:36 AM

the past defines the present, and thus the future.

Being a man who banged a lot of women is a strategic and tactical life skill, being a gay dude, or a woman who banged a lot of men is just a matter of spreading legs.

Burner1701 • 3 points • 7 August, 2014 11:11 AM

I'm guessing you are new here.

rondeline • 0 points • 7 August, 2014 02:35 PM

Let me see if I got this one. TRP has two levels I think...

There's the guys that just want a drama free life, removing neediness from their behavior and charting down a less common life with a perspective of clarity and awareness.

Aaaand, then there's the rest of them that just can't seem to pass up any attempt to rub in whatever point of view they have because they feel under siege from a life full of opportunistic misandry.

'bout right? I'm calling this dudes dinner conversation the latter. So he "broke" her, big fucking deal.

http://i2.kym-cdn.com/photos/images/original/000/049/164/Bravo_Bravo.gif

lorddeathhhh4 points 7 August, 2014 02:55 PM **[recovered]**

"Let me see if I got this one. TRP has two levels I think...

There's the guys that just want a drama free life, removing neediness from their behavior and charting down a less common life with a perspective of clarity and awareness.

Aaaand, then there's the rest of them that just can't seem to pass up any attempt to rub in whatever point of view they have because they feel under siege from a life full of opportunistic misandry. 'bout right?"

No, not right, you inerudite, low market value mook: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/False_dilemma.

If you aren't a troll, then your genes will most likely die out in the long run. For your own edification, child of gormlessness: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Selfish_Gene.

rondeline • 2 points • 7 August, 2014 04:19 PM

I guess that hits a little close to home.