

Cross posted from TRP-- Idiot manginas and SJWs raise their kids "gender neutral"

September 2, 2015 | 15 upvotes | by [theultmatecad](#)

https://www.reddit.com/r/TheRedPill/comments/3j7vwg/the_latest_trend_in_childrearing_gender_neutral/

This post probably would get better mileage over here. The BluePill training starts at birth. Personally, I think any parent raising their sons as a the opposite sex should be jailed

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[deleted] • 7 points • 2 September, 2015 03:27 PM*

<WARNING--COFFEE FUELED RANT COMING>

Me and my daughter bonded really well, when she hit 3 she wanted to do all sorts of "boyish" things, and of course I was all for it since if I had my choice between talking Barbie play or setting up tracks for hotwheels I'd grab the cars.

We always figured a balance was good. She was a bit tomboyish which we didn't give a shit about. But at some point it started to take a different path.

She started to hate anything girly and girls. She started talking about how girls were stupid, etc. she'd throw a fit if we'd call her supergirl vs. superman. She generally started to associate negative things with girls. She'd totally shun hanging out with girls in pre-k.

We're a one kid family and biological grand kids would be nice (yes, I get you can do all sorts of wonderful science and I'm sure that in the future it'd be even easier, fuck the easy way right?) She was born a girl and we felt like we were misdirecting her to cause her angst even at 3-4. The part that bothered us most is that she seemed upset about who she was born as. These were all little opinions formed from her interaction with world. And she was starting to define a gender as negative by it's lack of positive influence in her life.

Again, not that it needs to be stated: I'd still love my daughter to death if she was a lesbian and not give a shit, but we felt too much like we were pushing her into a different gender just by playing along with whatever was fun at the moment. The negativity is what really got our attention.

KIDS ARE FUCKING MALLEABLE.

One night when she went to bed we went through and bagged up any and all toys that were strictly "boy" toys and clothes. What we were left with wasn't necessarily 100% girly, but we felt it was close enough.

We didn't brow beat her to act or be OK with being a girl. But with the "boyish" toys, clothes books gone. My wife started including her more in a lot of the female type activities and tasks etc. we had to start actively pointing out prominent females with accomplishments. We gradually started to see her shift back to being comfortable with who she was. THE SAME BUT OPPOSITE DIRECTION OF WHAT TRANSGENDER ADULTS COMPLAINED ABOUT FEELING AS A KID.

Several years later and she still is a bit rough and tumble but she gravitates more towards hanging out with girls and has taken a distinctly hetero interest in boys.

The douchebag SJW's will tell you this would have happened anyway. But the, yes, "anecdotal" evidence from our own inadvertent experiment with our daughter told me she was still heavily under environmental influence and is proof enough in my case trying to force gender neutrality or opposition to standard gender roles will cause angst in even a 3 year old.

So take that their step further and let a kid who can't decide what they want to eat for dinner *decide* they want gender reassignment and start pumping them full of hormones? Remove gender from discussions and pretend it "naturally" wavers back and forth? Now who the fuck has an agenda??!? Any wonder all of that shit is getting pushed for in higher percentage areas of transgender and non-standard sexual orientation populations like San Fran? Of self-serving bias to create more of the same type of people? Gender confused or "fluid" (fuck that term by the way).

My kid was born a girl.

If she wants to play more rough and tumble : fine.

If she likes the color blue over pink : fine.

When we saw her having any gender issues as a kid we steered back towards her biology.

But to ignore that biology and gender don't exist and can be influenced one way or another by social pressure and to CHOOSE to go against 200k years of natural inclination and obvious reproductive organs is social eugenics. Fuck them. It's a SJW agenda.

Replete with emasculation of males in service to what is statistically a biological abnormality.

An abnormality, which is fine by me unless forced (I think there's a lot to the gay-uncle theory). If you're different than the norm what would make you feel better? Making your template the norm.

Nothing more sinister to me than trying to hijack kids away from their parents and enforce a belief system that undermines individual parental influence.

If you're a fucktard that subscribes to screwing with how your kid was born in order to be seen as progressive to your bisexual gender fluid peers, then it's your gene pool you're fucking with. But keep your values the fuck away from mine. Until a kid naturally progresses without chemical aid through puberty, ANY additional hormones or surgery will change who they would have been. There's a small percentage of instances where there is a reason for hormone therapy (biologically based) but it crosses a line when it's ideology based.

So the war becomes who defines abuse and maltreatment so that they can influence the other kids in the village. I just don't see their dynamic holding up to scrutiny over time.

Since we're not hanging out at a bar shooting this shit over beers I'll stop now before I cause eye fatigue.

alphabet49 • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 10:45 PM

I agree with you and like hearing the way you handled that situation with your daughter.

The longer I have kids, the older they get, and the more impact my actions have on them are telling me that there's much more to be said for nurture over nature, especially when its done intentionally. Fuck letting kids find their own way. I wasn't raised that way, and I'm grateful.

we steered back towards her biology

Your view on the degree to which you'll let your kid cross genders is about the same as mine. How did your daughter react when she realized you took away her boy stuff? The sensitive part of me would say she could be traumatized or some bullshit, but I think that's some leftover conditioning still rattling around in my head.

One of my wife's friends are raising their boys fluid. The younger one especially has swung more feminine, bringing purses and wearing high heels to church (he's 6 or 7, I think). The mom (my wife's friend) is an intellectual progressive, very meek, and her husband is even more meek than her. Perfect example of a self-neutered man, and their kids are confused as hell because they have no strong male role model. I'm steering my wife away from that friend.

keep your values the fuck away from mine

I would have no problem with parents doing this to their kids. It only guarantees a sooner extinction of their genetic lines, which is fine by me. But don't fucking think for a second that you have the right, much less the obligation, to say ANYTHING to me about it or shame me for not "accepting" their lifestyle. No, if you're going to choose to become something so abnormal, you need to accept the consequences of such a choice. Its just the truth. If you get fat, you're less healthy. If you are a beta, your wife will despise you. If you choose to become "fluid", you're going to be the oddball out and people might look at you funny.

Let me know if you're ever in Central California and we'll shoot some shit over beers.

[deleted] • 1 point • 3 September, 2015 12:20 AM

She was upset she lost some toys, but we mitigated by replacing with new toys.

It's funny, when you described your xycrossfire "friends" I thought to myself.. must be Cali! I'm very far from you but I'll shoot you a pm if I'm ever out that way.

swmich73 • 3 points • 2 September, 2015 03:18 PM

The Who predicted this in 1967 http://m.youtube.com/watch?v=h4_eSW6D6sc

[deleted] • 6 points • 2 September, 2015 02:56 AM

I put these fucks in the 'anti-vaccine' group. It's a minority, but they're so fucked up they get attention.

Also, solid use of the word *Mangina* it doesn't get enough usage on here.

Squeezymypenis • 0 points • 2 September, 2015 03:13 AM

They said they home schooled. As soon as anyone says that I stop listening.

[deleted] • 8 points • 2 September, 2015 03:17 AM

You should be more open. Home school doesn't always mean psycho and you may learn something you would have otherwise missed due to a bias.

[deleted] • 4 points • 2 September, 2015 11:36 AM

Can you provide some examples? My initial impression is similar....home schooling parents fear socializing kids because their personal views are extreme

fatalbinoninja • 8 points • 2 September, 2015 12:20 PM

This is just a personal anecdote but just about every home schooled person I've met has been ferociously intelligent and very social. Since home schooling is a lot more widespread there are actual home school associations where that the families are involved in and provide lots of opportunities for healthy socialization. Some of the bigger ones even have a limited athletics team as well.

The two caveats being that I'm from Texas and most of them I met while going to Texas A&M so I can't really account for the super religious/nutty ones or anti-social ones who don't go to a major public university.

alecesne • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 02:22 PM

I had a roommate freshman year of college, was homeschooled until 16, went to 1 year of community college, and started at an ivy league school at 17. He was awkward as fuck then, but an incredibly nice guy. Now he runs his own programming company with 18 employees in SF and is very RP about women.

[deleted] • 4 points • 2 September, 2015 12:18 PM

Personally, I only know of one family who home schooled. They did so because they believed that their local school district didn't do enough, they couldn't afford private school, and they didn't/couldn't(?) move.

This was in VA and the district they lived in was full of ghetto kids and a poor school system that was just shit.

So, the mother quit her job and basically became the family 'teacher'. She had schedules, assignments, extra work, dress code, the works. She followed some sort of guideline that replicated the learning level and topics that their age should be at.

She also included Latin as a part of their foreign language which I thought was pretty cool.

They did have their kids be a part of a community homestead (farm/garden type thing) and they were allowed to play sports and were signed up for a few 'socializing' activities.

On a not so personal level I've discussed it with a few redditors (take that for what it's worth) who also seemed to have their heads on straight and weren't keeping their kids locked away from big bad society.

I don't home school, but I think when people tune out as soon as they hear something they don't agree with they are missing out on an opportunity for personal growth.

shifty4321 • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 12:17 PM

My niece and nephews are home schooled (4 total) and they are some of the most well rounded, well adjusted kids I've ever come across. They are also some of the smartest.

Whats great is, (assume its you) its YOUR kids, who knows your kids better than you? You know their interests, you know what they love to do (music, history, etc) you can create education programs that are tailored to their passions.

My brother just took his kids on a 3 week trip, where they visited all sorts of historical sites throughout the eastern US. They learned so much more by being there, and seeing the sites where history actually took place, than they ever would sitting in a classroom with 35 other kids, bored to tears.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 01:32 PM

Several of my buddies are home schooled. They have their lives more together then i at 2-3 years younger. They make mistakes, i.e. arent perfect, but they are no worse off then anyone else, especially at socializing.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 02:16 PM

I've homeschooled my 3 kids since birth. I have many reasons for doing so but the red pill reason is I don't want my sons hen-pecked by discontented post-wall misandrists.

alphabet49 • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 03:22 PM

I was homeschooled, from K to 12. I took music lessons and performed very regularly in church and public concerts and recitals. I was involved in community theater for several years. The homeschool group in my town had about 45 families (over 150 kids). Many of us were involved in weekly "park days", field trips, and educational activities. About 4 dozen kids trained weekly for (and many achieved) the Presidential Fitness Test every year. They didn't all go to the same church, and we had several Catholic families, so there was a good deal of diversity. Not enough, as my mind was blown when I went to college. But my parents did a pretty good job giving me opportunities to socialize, at least until I hit high school. Then I entered my supposed "rebellion stage" (cussing, wanting to hang out with friends more; needless to say I had hangups for a long time after that).

If you want more info I can talk awhile about this. We plan on homeschooling for at least the first few more formative years until we feel we have taught them enough of our family worldview to

survive without being absolutely creamed.

enfier • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 08:38 PM

My neighbors partially home school their kids. Assuming you are intelligent, educated and motivated parents you can create a curriculum that is much more involved and designed for your child's interests. They are always working on fun and interesting projects and it's not like they are missing out on math or anything.

As for the social experience, they are part of a club where all the home schooled kids get together for normal interaction. As of right now, I think their kids are back in a more normal classroom experience.

The flip side would be why would you send your kid off to a stranger to get spoon fed a watered down curriculum? Especially in a public school you have very little control over the quality of that education.

fdsdfs89 • 1 point • 3 September, 2015 05:48 AM

I was home educated. I will, however, be the first to admit that homeschooling has earned it's reputation. There were a lot of kids that ended up... Different. But, you can definitely tell when a home schooled person was given a proper education and their parents realized that sheltering is a poor decision. I am one of the fortunate ones, along with my wife. She is truthfully one of the most intelligent people I know, and that's not me putting her on a pedestal, she finished grad school in 9 months while suffering from a compound concussion. And we were both homeschooled up to college level. If used properly, home educating children gives you the ability to dictate what your child is taught, and not put through the feminist brainwashing that is the public school system. If anyone has any questions, shoot.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 11:45 AM

But I will say, everyone who leads the conversation with it tends to be the type who will fuck it up. It's just like anything, the ones who are good at it don't brag about it, they just do it also, hard to be social if parents don't have them around kids unattended...

[deleted] • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 12:38 PM

It's just like anything, the ones who are good at it don't brag about it, they just do it

Solid point

Squeezymypenisy • -5 points • 2 September, 2015 03:22 AM

I will politely disagree, every homeschool kid I met was socially stunted.

Ochreata • 8 points • 2 September, 2015 05:25 AM

And your yardstick for normality is the children of the Prussian education system? I think Johann Fichte's vision has come true... "Education should aim at destroying free will so that after pupils are thus schooled they will be incapable throughout the rest of their lives of thinking or acting otherwise than as their school masters would have wished."

The_Litz • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 07:09 AM

The parents motivation to home school is the crux of the matter. What are they trying to

achieve?

I've met home schoolers that are: Right wing extremist, left wing extremist, people that live hundreds of km from *decent* schools, people that don't have a home language school option close by, religious reason etc etc.

Depending on the parents motivation it is a nay or a yah.

Personally I have observed kids asking their parents if they cannot go to public school to finish high school.

itstartstoday123 • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 02:36 PM

Im laughing right now. Look at all these men Who have done what all of society has done in regards to marriage, men Who are indoctrinated by the feminists who run the public school system and they are in favor of this system still? Most of us are here because feminist ideologies have destroyed what we believe in here to be Masculine male attributes.

But go ahead..... Send your sons and daughters into a system that destroys individualism and teaches your daughters to ride the cock carousel and your sons to tuck there dickks between there legs while they do housework.

Ochreata • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 12:55 PM

Sure. That is true. But the right to teach your children...should it lie with the parents, or should the collective have the right to use force to have the children educated into the collective?

Squeezymypenis • 0 points • 2 September, 2015 01:57 PM

No its society's. You can home school if you want, but a kid needs to learn how to be in the rat race because that's what our system is.

itstartstoday123 • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 02:41 PM

The rat race is also full of beta boys and feminist CC riders that have been churned out en mass since the 70's. Go ahead, gamble with your children in the name of convenience. I'm sure your better than the rest if the country and can undo 8 hours of programming a day with a few minutes of quality time with dad. Why would you send your child to a system that puts you at odds with your own children?

TheRedDildo • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 04:06 PM

Kids should learn that the rat race is an option.

Some people are really into the rat race metaphor. Usually because they need someone to lead them.

itstartstoday123 • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 02:20 PM

Statistically homeschooled children test higher than any education form. Private school follows that followed far behind by public schools. Use the statistics and you start to see a picture that a mainstream media outlet will not paint for you.

thisjibberjabber • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 05:59 PM

I wonder how it would look if you adjusted for education level/class of the parents, because that seems likely to be the underlying cause. I bet if you look at hillbillies who homeschool the results are quite different.

Edit: that's not to say there is anything wrong with homeschooling and I can see how letting a child learn at their own pace could be a fine thing.

itstartstoday123 • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 06:50 PM

I knew what you were saying, no worries.

When you look at rural areas the introduction to trades is much higher. Whereas in suburban areas the acceptance to college is astoundingly high versus public and private schools. The only issue I have identified in my research is that overt peer pressure is not as well handled in college I.e. drugs and alcohol.

Yes if you adjust for education the results do change, but testing of the adults after schooling there own kids has proven to raise education levels of the parents also. As I mentioned before the "hillbillies" as you called them are much more prevalent in trades such as mechanics and construction(farmers is high on the list also). Whereas suburban homeschooling kids end up in white collar jobs and management positions with a high number going to start there own business and IT work. Starting their own business likely comes from being able to pursue their own interests more fully on the homeschool path.

TheRedDildo • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 03:55 PM

Schooling your own children isn't necessarily a bad thing.

I was home schooled K-12 and am homeschooling my children.

My parents are deeply religious weirdos, but I was testing post high school in all subject areas by the age of 12 and was able to work 40+ hours a week starting from 14. I was well on my way to getting my head around what my priorities in life were when all my peers were spending their time following orders and watching tv.

I'm homeschooling my kids because I want to ensure they're able to learn and think for themselves. I want them to be producers, sending them to any public school and most private schools practically guarantees the opposite of that.

Squeezymypenisy • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 04:00 PM

What about social interaction? It doesn't stunt them or make it harder? Is your wife the main teacher? I figured most men are working

TheRedDildo • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 04:18 PM

They get to interact with other children in our neighborhood and our social circle. They get along just fine. My observation of age divided schooling leads me to believe that isolation with just peers and a teacher who oftentimes hasn't matured far beyond their students is one of the most harmful elements of "traditional" schooling systems.

My wife and I split things as our schedules allow. My income sources afford a good amount of free time I get to spend with the kids.

Squeezymypenisy • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 04:28 PM

Do you hire tutors as well? Or keep it with just parents?

TheRedDildo • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 04:42 PM

Kids are still fairly young, once they're old enough to be interested in something requiring specialization we don't have we'll find the resources for them. Tutors if it's purely academic, but we won't be encouraging college so unless we've got a herd member or savant I haven't identified yet I doubt we'll need any purely academic tutors. Apprenticeships would be my preferred option for specialized training.

Squeezymypenis • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 04:57 PM

Tradeschool? Or family business?

TheRedDildo • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 05:23 PM

As far as what I'd encourage them to pursue?

It'll depend on their priorities, skill sets, interest, and risk tolerance.

Either of those options could be potentialities

leftajar • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 06:32 PM

Hey, I'm in the field of education, working with bright kids in rich school districts. Take it from me -- schools are *bad*. Most of the parents in my district are sending their kids to supplemental after-school math programs, because the new Common Core standards have made math even less comprehensible. And this is in a district with home values in the \$2m-\$6m range. Now, a substantial part of that is shitty, permissive parenting. But if rich districts are getting those results, what hope is there for middle or lower income districts?

I actually think that home-schooling, done well, is the best alternative to expensive private schools.

TheRedDildo • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 08:00 PM

Common Core math is utter bullshit.

As far as I can tell public "educational" institutions are fundamentally designed to make work for over qualified babysitters and program dependent drones for prison or shitty jobs.

So glad none of my income comes from that sector anymore, always felt dirty depositing those checks.

itstartstoday123 • -2 points • 2 September, 2015 02:25 PM*

A majority of neurologists and subsets of scientists that study brain development are anti vaccine or delay vaccers.

Couple that with a heavy condemnation on TV watching and many other common activities that parents think are OK due to herd thinking, popular opinion and you might open your mind a bit.

Edit: edited to take out my opinion and Just present where my opinion came from after a bit of research.

[deleted] • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 02:32 PM*

I really don't care what you do or don't do with yourself or your kids, but for the sake of discussion, are you against *all* vaccines? If so, why? If not, which ones are you for/against?

EDIT

I laugh that people think anti-vaccine is so bad.

What is funny about catching a possibly deadly disease?

itstartstoday123 • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 02:51 PM

Anything that's considered a live virus vaccine I will let my children decide for themselves. Older vaccines, like whooping cough, that use a particular element of the viruses shell to teach your body to attack it are more successful.

I am waiting until 7 years old to vaccinate my child at all due to how a child's brain develops in early childhood. More connections are made before seven than any other period of time in your life.

The only thing more damaging in my mind than synthetic vaccines, and viruses being injected into a not fully formed immune system and developing brain is TV.

[deleted] • 3 points • 2 September, 2015 02:57 PM

Well, it's a good thing your child is protected by the herd of others who *have* already been vaccinated.

Also, I agree with your point on the TV.

Ochreata • -8 points • 2 September, 2015 05:33 AM

Except the driving force behind the anti-vaccine group is science, or the lack thereof produced by vaccine advocates...

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 11:35 AM

Yea..measles.and mumps are soooooooo common now

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 11:47 AM

it wen't from 0 to >0, and only the kids not vaxxed are getting it
why take any risk really

BluepillProfessor • 3 points • 2 September, 2015 03:16 PM

No it didn't. It went from several THOUSAND children dying every year (several MILLION with Smallpox and Polio thrown in the mix) to a few dozen.

[deleted] • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 03:36 PM

I meant its resurgence in southern California. you're right, before vaccinations, I would read about historical figures, parents losing at least one of their 5 kids to some disease, it was so normalized, creeped me out.

I've always thought the problem was that boomers weren't old enough to remember kids in iron lungs, so they didn't get the same scare into action the greatest generation had.

Ochreata • 0 points • 2 September, 2015 12:42 PM

You forgot smallpox. That horrible disease that "was eradicated worldwide by 1980 the result of an unprecedented global immunization campaign." (Mayo clinic quote)

What an amazing vaccine! They managed to totally eradicate a disease worldwide by immunizing 10% of the world population. The other 90% were miraculously protected too..... Can't figure out why they tell us we need 95%+ for "herd immunity" though. It obviously wasn't the case with smallpox!!

TheRedDildo • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 04:29 PM

It's funny to watch the numbers and reasoning change over time, all to defend a premise who's origins no one can remember.

It's like watching religious apologists but alot more scary.

Ochreata • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 10:27 PM

It's like watching religious apologists but alot more scary.

Especially when they think it's ok to use the guns of the state force vaccination on others. Got to protect their children against the unvaccinated.....which is of course logic twisted into a pretzel.

TheRedDildo • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 11:39 PM

The logic is pretty clear, bought and paid for politicians working for their corporate funders instead of their tribes.

herpy_McDerpster • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 12:07 PM

Might want to archive the link and link to that instead. Don't want to give the crazies any opportunities to get us banned too.

mrpCamper • 2 points • 2 September, 2015 01:22 PM

The extremes they go to here are outrageous. I do believe that enforcing ALL western gender stereotypes can pigeonhole children but this is a bit crazy.

Additionally, I have neighbors who have a son who is clearly gay. He's 10. He was not allowed to wear dresses out in public when he was younger but in the home can act however he wants and has dolls etc. He has pretty much learned that there are ways to behave in public so that he doesn't draw attention to himself and it was a bit difficult for him at first. I have 4 girls and no sons so he plays at our house a lot too. It's very interesting to see how his masculinity still shines compared to my girls and helps evolve the play structure.

The bottom line is to raise healthy kids both physically and emotionally. I mean, if they made this kid go out and play football he'd probably become a psychopath. Additionally, he has no sisters and two brothers and his dad involves him in all the masculine activities he involves his other boys in.

Point is, even in that situation you can raise a kid and allow them to grow learn and experience life on their level. The good and the bad with some guidance.

Just throwing that out there NOT as a counter example but as someone faced with a situation where gender roles are challenging and they've figured out how to deal with it without fucking their kid up.

BluepillProfessor • 1 point • 2 September, 2015 03:12 PM

I think any parent raising their sons as a the opposite sex should be jailed

It is clear and obvious child abuse but it furthers the Feminine Imperative so it is fine. Look how they lionize and pedestalize a murdering weirdo like that Jenner creature.

SexistFlyingPig • 1 point • 3 September, 2015 07:30 PM

There was lots of research done in the 70's about gender formation. They gave Barbie dolls to little boys and tanks to little girls. The boys would throw all of Barbie's clothes away and use her legs and body to make a gun

and shoot each other with these guns. The girls would take the tanks and have them gather around for tea parties. This was research from 40 years ago. These SJWs who claim to be so educated and cutting edge have probably not read any of the research are mindlessly repeating history, condemned to repeat it.

RedPillForever • 1 point • 3 September, 2015 12:44 PM

How to ruin your son's life for progressiveness points, in 10 easy steps.