

Revenge Story: This was in my Quora this morning, I thought I'd share (copied and pasted)

February 27, 2019 | 666 upvotes | by [Ascend_Daily_305](#)

[What was the best revenge you've ever gotten?](#) ☐

[Manuel Navarette](#), former Research Associate at United States Geological Survey (2006-2010)

[Updated Feb 11](#) · Upvoted by [Jeremi Shearon](#), Assistant Manager at Casey's General Stores (2017-present)

Originally Answered: [What is the “sweetest” revenge you’ve ever taken?](#)

I caught my fiancée cheating on me with some other guy whom she met at the gym. I had built the house we lived in from the ground up, and was smart enough to have bought and installed a surveillance system that not only covered the entire yard, but the inside of the house as well.

The system included HD video, audio recording, and even came with night vision. I did not tell her about the system and she never suspected that she was being watched inside the house.

I caught her calling the guy and giving him our address and inviting him over to “fuck” in her own words. Everything was caught on camera, with audio to boot, and I made sure to make extra copies and save them as evidence.

The next day, she went to work as if nothing happened. I left for work as I normally do but once I was away from the house, I called my boss and told them I was taking a few days off. I’ve been with this company for over 12 years and was good friends with the owner, so he had no problem with me taking time off. I waited till she came back to the house to begin my plan.

The first thing I did was to call all her credit cards and cancel them. Since I paid for everything and they were in my name, I had no problem getting those cancelled. The next thing I did was to take the money from our joint checking account out to put it into my own personal checking account. I then collected all of her clothes, jewellery, shoes, etc. and stuffed them into garbage bags and tossed them into my truck. I had a couple of buddies meet me that morning at the house to help me out.

I also changed the codes to get into the house, locking her out. We finished loading everything into my truck and one of my buddies’ trucks and left the house. The first stop was at her job where I used the extra key to her car to get in and drive it away back to my house, while my buddy followed in my truck. The car was in my name and I was not going to let her have it.

We then drove to her mom's house which was about an hour away and left all her stuff on her mom's porch. I explained to her mom and her sister what she did and told her that it was over and I didn't want her in my house any more.

It took about 20 minutes after leaving her mom's house before I got the first phone call from my now ex. I ignored her phone calls until about call number 10. By this point she was screaming at the top of her lungs about her stuff being dumped at her mom's house. She demanded an explanation and started threatening to call the police. I laughed and sent her a clip of her sex video that was caught on the home surveillance camera. Just to be petty, I also sent the video to her mother and her two sisters as well.

The shit really hit the fan when she got off work and discovered that her car was no longer there. She had to have her mom pay for a Lyft to carry her back home since none of her credit cards worked and her debit card was useless. I continued to receive regular phone calls for the next three to four days which I ignored. I kept her car in the garage where it sits to this day. I've driven it a grand total of twice and I am thinking of selling it once it's paid off.

She never bothered coming back to the house, and I heard that she had a lot of blow-back from her family regarding her extra-curricular activities. She was forced to get a full time job to make ends meet since she was only working part time when she was living with me.

I have since moved on and dated a couple of times but I'm holding off getting into something serious until I can find somebody I can truly trust.

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

AutoModerator[M] [score hidden] 27 February, 2019 02:19 PM stickied comment

Why are we quarantined? The admin don't want you to know.

Register on our backup site: <https://www.trp.red> and reserve your reddit name today.

I am a bot, and this action was performed automatically. Please contact the moderators of this subreddit if you have any questions or concerns.

Irtotallynotrobot • 284 points • 27 February, 2019 03:25 PM

Don't discuss, take action. Great example. This is levying consequences in a way a lot of men don't nowadays.

ex_addict_bro • 74 points • 27 February, 2019 10:27 PM

discuss

I remember a quote about communication from TRP.

"Don't communicate. Communication is validation-seeking behaviour"

points 28 February, 2019 12:58 PM*

[permanently deleted]

ex_addict_bro • 20 points • 28 February, 2019 05:16 PM

Action is the best form of communication, agreed.

Irtotallynotrobot • 5 points • 27 February, 2019 11:06 PM

That's a good point, I hadn't thought of it like that.

AllahHatesFags • 301 points • 27 February, 2019 02:30 PM

Epic story. Apparently every married guy needs to have his entire house under surveillance these days.

[deleted] • 110 points • 27 February, 2019 03:05 PM

Not only his house, but his vehicle and his person aswell.

Overkillengine • 94 points • 27 February, 2019 02:58 PM

"Trust but verify"

Trainmasta • 35 points • 27 February, 2019 07:33 PM

Useful for dealing with Russians over nuclear disarmament and your significant other...

[deleted] • 12 points • 27 February, 2019 10:18 PM

same same but different

but still same

points 27 February, 2019 11:59 PM

[permanently deleted]

Zech4riah • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 01:24 PM

Yes, if you try monogamy that may be good thing to do.

campfire451 • 174 points • 27 February, 2019 02:28 PM

fiancée

Why would you have joint credit cards and bank accounts with a fiancée? Good on him not marrying her and finding some last-minute balls but his behavior leading up to this is utterly moronic. You don't treat a girlfriend like a wife.

Ascend_Daily_305[S] • 78 points • 27 February, 2019 02:32 PM

It's going around like crazy. My fiancee was angry with me because she had to move in with her family because I would not move in with her. I told her that I don't believe in cohabiting before marriage. Been there, did that, got burned. That was a constant uphill for 4 years but im glad I kept my ground. She knows that when I believe in something I dont give in

Robster25 • 55 points • 27 February, 2019 03:22 PM

I can understand you don't want to cohabit with your fiancée, because it would restrict your freedom. But don't you think it would be smart to live with her for some time, to check if you two are compatible in the same household, before you marry her? (I assume you want to get married, otherwise you wouldn't have proposed).

Ivabighair1 • 27 points • 27 February, 2019 05:48 PM

"Oh baby, I don't believe in living together before marriage."

Translation: "Fuck all if you think I'm marrying you!"

Garathon • 40 points • 27 February, 2019 03:29 PM

Do you know which sub you're on? Married, lol!

mutageno • 9 points • 27 February, 2019 08:26 PM

A better test is a multi-week trip. Got breakup for 2 relationships right after that.

Eldudearino89 • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 09:06 PM

Went on 1.5 month trip around the USA. All I needed to know when I got back was to DTB.

points 27 February, 2019 05:49 PM

[permanently deleted]

Omnidempotent • 11 points • 27 February, 2019 03:34 PM

It makes even less sense if he's fucking her. The reason cohabiting before marriage was taboo was because it would lead to premarital sex. That's it. If you're already fucking her, it doesn't make sense.

vengefully_yours • 35 points • 27 February, 2019 06:30 PM

He should have zero intention of marrying her. Do not live with them. Do not get married. I rarely give themmy real name and lately they dont even know where I live..

There is zero benefit for men to marry. It's nothing but risk with zero reward, and it's a serious fucking risk. I was stupid and did it twice, I'm just now getting my life back from the abyss and out of debt. It's been 6 fucking years since I kicked her lying cheating ass out. She got anything she wanted from the judge, I had to pay and went to jail for shit I didn't do.

If you want to marry, firstly you're a fucking idiot. Secondly you're delusional if you think it can't happen to you.

Never marry., ever

points 27 February, 2019 07:40 PM

[permanently deleted]

Chaddeus_Rex • 9 points • 27 February, 2019 08:09 PM

I am Pablo Escobar in the streets and hold a gun to her head in the sheets

Ascend_Daily_305[S] • 3 points • 27 February, 2019 10:54 PM

I have 5 middle names, I offer the 2nd

jorgander • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 09:47 PM

Never marry., ever

I get that you want to help others avoid the pitfalls that happened to you, but be careful about assuming that just because something happened to you, even multiple times, that it is *guaranteed* to happen to others. You are right - a man is delusional if he thinks it can't happen to him - *can't* being the operative word, not *won't*. Marriage is difficult, even very difficult, but not impossible.

destraht • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 03:19 AM

I'll marry a woman someday in a foreign country to get some easy residency papers. That means not needing to do a border run every 90 days for a country that I already like a lot. A big point though is that it doesn't mean that I need to always be there, bank there, make my money there, etc. Marriage can be a huge bureaucratic boon. Its only USA-context suck if I were to stop taking advantage of the larger world. On a related note there was once a time when the only way for me to reliably clean up my driving record was by living abroad for years at a time.

swimminginblue • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 05:47 AM

22 year old guy here... wow damn that's rough . So happy I discovered this place at 21

ryandiy • 14 points • 27 February, 2019 05:27 PM

The reason cohabiting before marriage was taboo was because it would lead to premarital sex.

The people on this sub don't object to cohabitation because of taboos about premarital sex. It's because it causes a man to give up far too much freedom and power in the relationship. Read the sidebar.

J_St0rm • 12 points • 27 February, 2019 06:57 PM

And it gives them legal rights to your property in some places.

nombre1 • 5 points • 1 March, 2019 12:58 AM

Cohabiting before marriage is actually one of the strongest indicators of future divorce. There are lots of theories about why this is the case, including an increased sentiment that marriage is just a piece of paper, to obtain or dissolve at will.

Ascend_Daily_305[S] • 1 point • 27 February, 2019 03:28 PM

I agree Rob, but I can honestly say I don't know how to do that without moving into a Husband mindset. Wouldn't it be easier to enter Husband mode with a fresh start versus turning it on at a future date?

By easier I mean more logical

Malnourished1 • 16 points • 27 February, 2019 03:59 PM

Starting off as married when you begin to live together won't make a difference. It's just a title. It's actually less logical, instead of checking compatibility as co-inhabitants prior to marriage you're willing to roll the dice. If marriage is the path you want to go down then I'd advise you to allow her to move in because all being married will do is make it harder to get away in event that you guys are incompatible house mates. Does she leave her things out and expect you to clean them up or maybe her parents have never required her to clean so she's not willing to do it. Some of these things may be small but are make or break in some cases and you want to gamble with them. I personally have a (male) bestfriend who I get along with better than anyone on this planet but we've lived together before (holiday) I could never live with that motherfucker permanently and I love the bloke. Reconsider marriage but if you're adamant at least know what you're walking into!

Ascend_Daily_305[S] • 6 points • 27 February, 2019 04:17 PM

That's actually great advice and a great example. I have time to consider my choice. She's a good woman, hard worker, no social media, loves her family but she's no snowflake either. Compared to the bulk of what's out there she's been down for a while but has hiccups

Robster25 • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 05:30 PM

Very well said. Being married is just a label, just as being in a relationship is. It should not change who you are as a person.

campfire451 • 27 points • 27 February, 2019 04:05 PM*

This guy's story would have gone very differently if he'd been married rather than engaged. The living together wasn't the problem. He was playing the blue pill game of feeding her BB strategy by provisioning (house, financial support, car, and etc). Live-in-fiancée is pretty much the best BB play there is. The mixing of finances was too far, though. That's too much unearned comfort and commitment. She hasn't even committed her womb to him. This guy's "revenge" story isn't really much of a revenge because he didn't actually have the noose of marriage around his neck. It's more of a dodging-a-bullet story.

The long and the short of red pill is never treat any woman like a "wife". Yes, you don't treat girlfriends like wives. But if you're already married before you find the red pill, the lesson is to give up your blue pill vision of a wife and go back to treating her like a girlfriend.

monsieurhire2 • 6 points • 27 February, 2019 08:44 PM

I lived with my ex-GF for a period of 3-4 months, quickly became convinced that it would never work because of her toxic lifestyle and inability to take control of it, so I kicked her out. We got back together with boundaries for a month, but she kept violating them, so that was the end of that. When you live with someone, it is very difficult for them to hide who they are, especially if they have toxic habits.

max_peenor • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 06:03 PM

My fiancée

You have fixed this problem, yes?

redpillobster • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 03:35 PM

Conventional knowledge, but stupid nonetheless. If I'm not mistaken, people who live together before marriage have higher rates of divorce. It doesn't help.

Marriage 2.0 is bullshit anyway. If you don't marry a conservative girl/religious girl, it's not marriage.

do_it_or_leave • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 04:20 PM

You should read more. AWALT.

__ROOSTER__ • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 04:43 PM

you should try statistics..

KumonRoguing • 6 points • 28 February, 2019 01:22 AM

Gotta find those Asian, active catholic, virgin, masters degree holding women to reduce your chance of divorce to 20%. Seems doable lol.

__ROOSTER__ • 7 points • 28 February, 2019 03:33 AM

The Red Pill is about reality and facts. Hyperbole doesn't help anyone.

BTW if you're white marrying asian doesn't help, in fact it hurts.

The current 46% divorce rate includes a LOT of sub 20 year old women, sub 25 year olds men, and a LOT of multi divorced people.

The actual divorce rate among white/white who havent been divorced before and who are educated and relatively squared away isn't even 20%

Be a top 10% man and the odds change even more.

Want a guarantee? Doesn't exist.

KumonRoguing • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 12:37 PM

I was referring to one of the studies typically touted around here. Also, squared away?
Does someone have a little America in them.

max_peenor • 5 points • 27 February, 2019 06:12 PM

Russian roulette is a numbers game too.

Imperator_Red • 2 points • 2 March, 2019 07:21 AM

Actually 90% of the retards in this world quoting stats should just shut the fuck up because they have no idea what they are talking about. Correlation does not imply causation. For example, just because cohabiting and divorce are correlated does not mean that cohabitation causes divorce. There could be one or more other variables causing both.

__ROOSTER__ • 1 point • 4 March, 2019 08:09 AM

and if you ignore correlation you might be one of the retards

Imperator_Red • 1 point • 4 March, 2019 08:12 AM

Bahaha. So far over your head.

redpillobster • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 04:08 PM

Of course AWALT. My point is that marriage is a religious structure, so if you're marrying a woman who isn't religious or doesn't value the structure, you're not actually getting married. Marriage 2.0 is useless.

It's not that religious women are different - they are the same. But they have more reasons to be faithful. Men and women BOTH act outside their nature when they are religious.

ex_addict_bro • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 10:28 PM

Good on him not marrying her and finding some last-minute balls but his behavior leading up to this is utterly moronic

This. He didn't give her any chance to behave better: gave her credit cards, gave her car, probably hardly hold her accountable until that one particular situation.

HumanSockPuppet • 7 points • 27 February, 2019 07:04 PM

You don't treat a girlfriend like a wife.

Assuming you're stupid enough to get married, you don't treat a wife like a wife, either.

KeffirLime • 112 points • 27 February, 2019 03:03 PM

There's a couple really big lessons to be learnt from this.

Don't get married obviously - She hadn't even said her vows and she was already lining up extra dick. When you give her excess comfort, she won't value you for it, she'll use that comfort and security to springboard onto the next best thing. She knows you're heavily invested in her and know's you're not going anywhere, she'll treat you as such.

Don't fund her - Some guys think by funding their women they're locking them in. Women don't view it this way. They just see some over-invested schmuck willing to pay for her lifestyle. She'll use her free time to get railed by Brad from the Gym who gives her a toblerone once every 3 months. Once a woman knows she has a mans provisioning and commitment locked in her automatic response is to seek for that new, exciting thrill again. She probably would have married this guy, while fucking other guys and eventually divorce raped the shit out of him.

The only exception I have to this is if you have kids and you want a mother to stay at home and be an involved mother so you don't have to spend money on a nanny.

points 27 February, 2019 03:52 PM*

[permanently deleted]

KeffirLime • 51 points • 27 February, 2019 04:16 PM

Solid vetting process, you should know what you're getting yourself into. She should have shown exceptional loyalty, commitment and treated you well for years on end. She should have motherly qualities, like nurturing, caring, loving etc.

Then you need to mentally prepare yourself to lose your place as her top priority. Your child will become the most important thing in the world to her and your relationship will never be the same. It's a family now, no longer a pair, roles change.

Marriage is not required, but you will want to be supporting the mother of your child to spend as much time being a good mother to your child, I personally would like to enable her to put attention on the home unit as much as possible.

The man should provide, look out for the families best interest, work and earn while she takes care of the home. Throughout this process the man needs to lead, guide and be the pillar of strength and reason. Be involved as much as possible in your child's life, in today's society it will need a strong masculine figure to guide it to navigate the modern world.

While doing this you still need to maintain your value as a man, although you're a family, she still needs to be aware that you're not that you can and will leave her (even though you don't intend to) She still needs to know you have the money, value and ability to still game and get other women. This will drive her to respect and value you and the fact that you stick around.

Excess comfort only leads to worse treatment for you and a more unstable environment for your child to grow up in.

Lastly have the awareness to know that this could possibly all come crashing down, there's no guarantees, and she'll probably get custody this is a risk you're taking and you can only take the best possible steps to make it a success.

points 28 February, 2019 09:55 AM*

[permanently deleted]

KeffirLime • 3 points • 28 February, 2019 11:40 AM

It's why I firmly believe getting good at that initial vetting is so important. You have to be able to recognize red flags early on so that you're not expending unnecessary time on unstable women. Either way, one should never go in with the expectation of forever, it goes as long as it's good.

Kids is always a toss up though, because of the vast changes mother hood has in a women. You can only make the best possible selection for your future offspring and try your best to keep it together, there's no guarantees.

points 27 February, 2019 04:35 PM*

[permanently deleted]

tunsku • 13 points • 27 February, 2019 06:48 PM

Yeah, thats not the point, the point is to raise a child.

ALLTHEUSERSRUFUKI • 14 points • 27 February, 2019 07:24 PM

Yeah the child is suppose to be the win. Now whether you think having a child is a win is up to the individual. Some do, some don't.

ChrimsonChin988 • 1 point • 4 March, 2019 12:53 PM

Excess comfort (for her) only leads to worse treatment (for you)

That one sentence is 90% of everything you must know.

MattyAnon • 12 points • 27 February, 2019 04:33 PM

How do you have kids without getting fucked down the road?

There are no certainties here. Our society hates men and hates fathers, so you're walking a tightrope. One mistake and it's all downhill from there.

Primarily don't get married. Marriage is a one-sided provisioning contract that benefits only women. It kills attraction, it kills respect and it kills male options. When you separate (and 99% chance you will or you should), you'll be glad you don't have to give her everything, pay for your lawyer, pay for her lawyer and then pay alimony for life.

Other than that, read this:

<http://blackdragonblog.com/2016/07/28/the-12-steps-have-kids/> (not affiliated)

NightFire45 • 16 points • 27 February, 2019 04:02 PM

Vet properly. Waaay too many RPer's think with the wrong brain. The better question is why in 2019 would you marry a woman that makes significantly less than you?

MattyAnon • 28 points • 27 February, 2019 04:35 PM

Do not marry

Her temporary high income is irrelevant: she can (and likely will) leave her job when she's pregnant and may never want to work full time again. Divorce laws will favour her even if she's richer than you: "Why didn't you earn more? Why are you living off a woman? what's wrong with you?".

NightFire45 • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 06:12 PM

Well...this reallllly depends on your local laws.

MattyAnon • 10 points • 27 February, 2019 06:40 PM

Your local laws are irrelevant if she moves somewhere else.

NightFire45 • -3 points • 27 February, 2019 07:00 PM

Look at the armchair lawyer here. Please enlighten the class on where you studied law and what type of law you currently practice?

MattyAnon • 17 points • 27 February, 2019 07:32 PM

Because you totally need a law degree to see that men get shafted in 99% of marriage and divorces.

Totally.

My absence of a law degree clearly means that I should get married to the next ho I meet.

Totally.

Thankyou for your stunningly useful contribution.

Idiot.

smartsience • 1 point • 27 February, 2019 11:21 PM

Pretty sure we deal with facts rather than credentials here, otherwise we'd be listening to Michael Kimmel of Stony Brook University.

Brazilian_Slaughter • 3 points • 27 February, 2019 04:04 PM

Good question. I need to know this as well.

points 27 February, 2019 04:06 PM*

[permanently deleted]

max_peenor • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 06:10 PM

(In most states), just don't get married. Keep your finances separate other than what you contribute into a joint household account. Write a separate will for yourself that spells out who gets what money (like I would never stiff Legal Cohabiting Female if I were to die--she needs to take care of the kids). Use trusts. There is a long list of things you can do to protect yourself, but ultimately the most important thing is what you shouldn't do--put the gun to your head, pull the trigger and put a ring on your finger.

I've said this before. If I could go back in time, I probably would still have kids with LCF. She is a very good mother. I would absolutely not marry her. I would put massive boundaries around the situation (some listed above). The kids would be tested for paternity. She would not use my money to ride other dick. She would get tested for STDs frequently, even if she's telling me she isn't doing anything. Ditto for me. Cohabiting would be best for the kids, so that would be fine, up until she crosses a boundary. And I would be super clear about these expectations from the start. You can't trickle this sort of shit into any sort of arraignment you make with a woman. The hamster would softball these right out of the park.

sezamus • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 02:37 PM

Your comment explains a lot of what I am dealing with in Poland right now. Even tough Poland is very conservative, I tend to get a lot of attention from young, hot, just married women. I look thugish, very manly and they just throw themselves. All I have to do is to ask for her name and the rest goes by itself. It does not stop amusing me how the world works when you have red glasses on.

monsieurhire2 • 23 points • 27 February, 2019 10:04 PM

Eh, this kind of has a "cool story bro" feel to it. The guy has literally no emotional reaction to discovering infidelity. Also, he just happens to have built the house from scratch and installed a security system, which is quite an accomplishment, like something you'd see in a Hollywood film. All that other stuff he did is all fine and dandy, but I'm sure there would probably be litigation over it, or even white knights deployed.

However, I do agree with the spirit of preparation.

MentORPHEUS • 11 points • 27 February, 2019 11:38 PM

"MGTOW revenge porn" feel, in my opinion. Feels good, but not actionable information for the typical man in most jurisdictions and with most American women and their FSM.

points 28 February, 2019 03:41 AM*

[permanently deleted]

MattyAnon • 74 points • 27 February, 2019 04:26 PM

I'm holding off getting into something serious until I can find somebody I can truly trust

Wow, some people really are determined to be slow learners.

VasiliyZaitzev • 12 points • 28 February, 2019 12:03 PM

Yeah, I read that and thought, *"This guy has learned nothing."*

That said, it reads more like "Revenge Porn" than something that really happened, but whatevs.

redpillschool • 39 points • 27 February, 2019 05:24 PM

Wow, some people really are determined to be slow learners.

Says the frog to the scorpion: Why did you sting me?

I will simply hold off until I find one that does not sting...

MattyAnon • 29 points • 27 February, 2019 05:26 PM

I will simply hold off until I find one that does not sting...

Turn gay

SuperCrazy07 • 19 points • 27 February, 2019 09:37 PM

You'll definitely be getting the stinger then.

ex_addict_bro • 5 points • 27 February, 2019 10:31 PM

"They turn frogs gay"... now scorpions?!

EveryGodDamnDay • 67 points • 27 February, 2019 04:18 PM

This story reads like a high school revenge porn fantasy. He anticipates the reader calling bullshit at every turn and offers a neat handwaving explanation for every little thing.

Besides that, why all the drama? Kick her out and move the fuck on.

And not seeing women until he finds one he can trust? Jesus Christ.

RealMcGonzo • 15 points • 27 February, 2019 05:24 PM

Yeah, seems like revenge porn. Plus, you cannot legally just kick somebody out. My dad's best friend allowed his GF to move in. They broke up but he had to fucking have her evicted!

PM_Me_OK • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 05:34 PM

That doesnt mean he didnt kick her out...maybe she didnt fight it cuz she totally fucked up.

OutsideTheCage3 • 23 points • 27 February, 2019 05:26 PM

Virtually all jurisdictions have processes for evicting someone from their apartment or home. If she had

called the police and said that she wants to re-enter her home because she has been unlawfully evicted, they would likely help her. She would also be able to sue him for unlawful eviction.

Now, it's possible that the chick in this story was sufficiently humiliated to just "go away," but, if she hadn't, he would not be writing a smug story.

doyoufuckwiththewar • -1 points • 1 March, 2019 06:39 PM

Wouldn't there need to be a lease or contract for this to be considered an eviction? I would assume the police would be unable to help her without a legal right to access the property. If you have any further insight I'm curious to hear it.

points 2 March, 2019 02:57 AM

[permanently deleted]

doyoufuckwiththewar • 1 point • 2 March, 2019 10:47 AM

I was assuming the police would be present while she reclaimed her property. I don't include my reputation in this community though. My brother is in a tough situation and I was only hoping to gain some insight.

suxxos • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 10:42 PM

I thought he's a total loser. Okay, cheating is bad, I get it. But I can see why women want a total financial independence when a guy treats his fiancée like she was and object in his possession.

PM_Me_OK • 0 points • 27 February, 2019 05:35 PM

You sound like a cynical bastard.

LordMarkStark • -2 points • 27 February, 2019 08:24 PM*

Lol yeah even night vision! She still rode the cock. What's the point of this fellow other than pride. Sounds made up as in that's what he wanted to do instead of did.

And he contributed majorly to her actions of course.

Then he filmed her without knowledge for ages.

Maybe we should say good on her. If that's his personality. Was probably very paranoid anyway. And a bad match. Yes that can excuse her if he was bad to her and the story was real. Just maintaining skepticism. It's unverified.

Ascend_Daily_305[S] • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 09:32 PM

"Just to be petty, I also sent the video to her mother and her two sisters as well."

Revenge porn charge?

himsenior • 3 points • 1 March, 2019 02:24 AM

Well the story doesn't claim he caught them having sex, only that she invited him over on unambiguous terms.

Ascend_Daily_305[S] • 11 points • 27 February, 2019 03:13 PM

I wonder if while this guy was at work, he spent all his free time running tape of his girl. What are the odds of

many unless this was something she did constantly that he would find gold? I kind of feel that the Op contributed to his girlfriend's actions with little cues that attract that kind of stuff.

Reading this again, is a real slippery slope. The man in me sees his view point but the alpha in me see's a this would have never happened if ABCDEFG... was different.

MattyAnon • 21 points • 27 February, 2019 04:35 PM

I kind of feel that the Op contributed to his girlfriend's actions

Don't blame the victim here.

He provided for her and she cheated. That's all you need to take away from this.

Eldudearino89 • 1 point • 27 February, 2019 11:47 PM

Exactly. Or at best she could have been like peace I'm out

LordMarkStark • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 08:30 PM*

I say above essentially he's probably a creep of sorts. He could be very rich but it's not the most common or believable story. Even if not a creep he probably drove her away from him as it is. Can anyone blame her beyond the massive breach of trust, since she was obviously over it.

For him though if true I'm glad he got out unscathed. If he's going to support a girl where it hinges on loyalty to that level he'll need cameras.

Really he just needs to make better choices or up his game. This mofo even had audio!!! Amazing.

Though there's probably a lot of cheating in long relationships in general. It just sounds like she wanted a whole new bed instead of just a new dick now and then. Which is too much even for the most open of people.

I think he was a very foolish man or liar. I don't believe the entire story though. Or he glossed over details, maybe there was a fight etc.

He basically was weak and secretive. Hardly endearing quality's to tie a pussy to for the Long haul. Imagine her life having everything given to her and he's a schmuck. It's debilitating. Sure she also has no respect, she could have told him how she felt, but also it seems oppressive once the novelty wears off. You can't buy love. If it's a true story I like how he maintained a semblance of honesty but it's all so wrong.

I wouldn't read into this story, it has little value. He should front load his love life with alpha values instead of relying on others to keep their end of the bargain just because - and it's disingenuous to rely on so many gifts as a backbone, it's human nature she would stray as nothing is actually keeping her there. He was foolish and even he probably would admit that. But since he gave her so much, beta fool or not, or waking up to what she was like, whatever, I'm still on his side. But it doesn't sound like there was much there for her anyway. If he had any sense and maybe he did, he was at least flirting with others. She was leeching. He must have known.

Telling a woman she is a kept woman when she's not is only lying to yourself.

Imagine the level of protection he had over his investment in her. This guy in their love was essentially spinning his wheels a lot and getting no where. It would have turned her off in a major way, and giving someone that much is manipulation, it's not 1920 anymore. He got what was coming to him.

NightFire45 • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 04:06 PM

Considering he had all of her assets under his name and was video recording her he seems like a very insecure bitch. I'd bet he mate guarded like a sperg.

LordMarkStark • 1 point • 27 February, 2019 08:59 PM

Lol that's it. When she gets a rise from some guy a car means nothing and any mate guarding is in vain, it's all set up wrong. As guys we'd probably do it too, so I don't know why he expected differently. For him it's just an open and shut transaction. But if he wants to keep a girl he needs to change next time.

NightFire45 • 0 points • 27 February, 2019 10:11 PM

Of course I get downvoted. It seriously feels like this sub is full of bitter incels.

Garathon • -6 points • 27 February, 2019 03:30 PM

Yeah, kinda creepy he was keeping her under surveillance. True beta move.

IRunYourRiver • 18 points • 27 February, 2019 03:48 PM

It's a conundrum. If you are in an LTR and go the surveillance route, you are by definition ceding the frame of the relationship. If you don't go the surveillance route, something like this is always a distinct possibility. If I had to put money on it, he was already suspicious and that's why he started checking out the footage.

MattyAnon • 15 points • 27 February, 2019 04:36 PM

He is creepy, but she's ok calling a guy up and getting him over to fuck her?

She cheated, he found out. He didn't cause her cheating by videoing it.

ffffuckyoureddiit • 10 points • 27 February, 2019 03:54 PM

It certainly beats getting fucked around on behind your back -- the true beta position.

SwoleyMoleyFrijoley • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 04:48 PM

Trust but verify is my motto. I have a voice recorder running 24/7 and live in a one party state. No false rape or abuse accusations for me.

boywonder200 • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 05:01 PM

So is it creepy keeping my belongings under surveillance? I must be a huge fucking beta being worried that someone would come steal my stuff. I just need to maintain frame and no one would dare break into my house and take my stuff. Real solid advice, man.

There is NOTHING wrong with keeping eyes on your girl. Insecure? Please. The only way to catch these things is to keep an eye out for suspicious activity. Was the guy constantly watching videos of his wife to catch her cheating? Probably not. THAT would be creepy. But the guy most likely was able to pick up on cues that were very suspicious of her cheating, leading him to check his tapes to see what she has been up to.

In this case, I'd rather be labeled a beta creep by some dude on the internet than get cucked behind my back.

LordMarkStark • 1 point • 27 February, 2019 08:57 PM

His major problem was trying to manipulate her into staying and being with him via a lot of gifts. We

don't know how she contributed to that effort beyond her pussy. But it would have been oppressive. I'd never do it but he can't be faulted for keeping an eye on things to that extent really.

__ROOSTER__ • 4 points • 27 February, 2019 04:44 PM

he was keeping his house under surveillance. Good move. Not beta at all.

points 27 February, 2019 08:48 PM*

[permanently deleted]

Garathon • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 09:34 PM*

Lots of betas here. It's one thing to have security, but not informing the girl he lives with? Reeks of being an insecure, needy, controlling boy who is with a girl who he doesn't trust and doesn't have abundance.

justtenofusinhere • 22 points • 27 February, 2019 03:35 PM

I strongly suspect this is nothing more than a masturbatory fantasy. Almost every jurisdiction makes it a significant crime to secretly record conversations you are not a part of (that why most/all CCTV cameras are video only). Most/all places make it a serious crime to secretly record a person to obtain naked/sexual pictures of that person. Many, not all, places make it a crime to distribute images of sexual activity without permission of those on camera/for revenge.

If the person writing really did this and wasn't hammered with multiple felonies, then he is lucky beyond belief. The fact that it was in his home is irrelevant.

flapjacksrbetter • 32 points • 27 February, 2019 03:47 PM

u can have surveillance in your home. its private property

okuli • 9 points • 27 February, 2019 05:07 PM*

u can have surveillance in your home. its private property

Washington law requires the consent of all parties to a private communication in order to record. It doesn't have an exception for private property.

Not sure why people upvoted comment above, privacy laws have nothing to do with private property.

BTW, story above is a BS, it's just some justice porn fantasy.

Dubalicious • 6 points • 27 February, 2019 05:20 PM

Ok so the OP from the story committed a crime because he recorded A STRANGER COMING INTO HIS HOME TO FUCK HIS GIRLFRIEND without that strangers permission? or maybe im missing something?

okuli • 3 points • 27 February, 2019 05:28 PM*

Yes, that's how law work.

Washington's wiretapping law is a "two-party consent" law. Washington makes it a crime to intercept or record a private telephone call, in-person conversation, or electronic communication **unless all parties to the communication consent.** See Wash. Rev. Code § 9.73.030(1).

points 27 February, 2019 07:47 PM

[permanently deleted]

Zabaoth • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 02:15 AM

Yep. Crazy world. She could have destroyed him in court. They cannot make an audio and video recording of you behind your back. I mean, they can, but it's A) Not valid evidence. B) A crime.

That's why tv shows cover the brands and faces of people unless they sign a release and all those "real hidden XXX" porn is fake.

If you add that it was sex, and he sent it to third parties, oh god, the most incompetent lawyer would have a field day with him.

Now what kind of insecure idiot gives his fiancée a credit card, a car, full access to a house where she doesn't live, and then laughs triumphantly after he gets shafted (which he found out with an elaborate wiring of the house - insecure), after returning to her the things she most likely bought with his money? I mean, dude, you just got her off your tit after she got careless enough to be telling a dude to come to your house to fuck. Do you think affairs start like that? And, no they fucking don't. Get tested. Stop being a paranoid bitch. If you cannot trust her enough to be getting dick somewhere else and you're paying for her expenses, I don't care that her blowjobs give you divine revelations. It's not worth it.

civilizedfrog • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 11:30 PM

Washington law requires the consent of all parties to a private communication in order to record.

Things Washington politicians do to protect themselves lol

justtenofusinhere • 1 point • 27 February, 2019 03:51 PM

Generally, not for the purposes he used. Think about it, would it be legal to hide a camera in your toilet or shower and record guests?

rekabis • 16 points • 27 February, 2019 03:48 PM*

Almost every jurisdiction makes it a significant crime to secretly record conversations you are not a part of (that's why most/all CCTV cameras are video only).

False.

What you are talking about is called dual-consent, and it exists in only 12 out of the 50 states in America. The other 38 states and Canada have just single-consent, allowing you to record in full video and audio both inside and outside the home.

The only reason why the vast majority of systems are video only is because it is much cheaper for a company to release one product that is compliant across 100% of all jurisdictions than have two separate products that need to be carefully monitored to ensure that customers in the wrong jurisdiction don't buy the wrong product (because it could result in a lawsuit).

Plus, security cameras inside the home only become a problem where there is a *clear and expected assumption of privacy*, such as the washroom or bathroom.

Even bedrooms can have full audio and video, because otherwise tens of millions of parents across America would be instant criminals for using baby monitors.

justtenofusinhere • -8 points • 27 February, 2019 03:54 PM

No. Even with single consent, at least one participant must be aware and approve. He recorded his fiance and her fuck buddie. He didn't tell either party-and he recorded them. That is no consent and a felony even in single consent jurisdictions.

rekabis • 8 points • 27 February, 2019 03:57 PM

No. Even with single consent, at least one participant must be aware and approve. He recorded his fiance and her fuck buddie. He didn't tell either party-and he recorded them. That is no consent and a felony even in single consent jurisdictions.

On his own legally owned property, in a single-consent area, absolutely no crime took place. Otherwise thieves who break into homes and don't see security cameras could sue. Or *anyone* who fails to see a doorbell monitor could sue. There is no logic in your statement.

FixYourselfFirst • 0 points • 27 February, 2019 10:09 PM

The law is very clear here. Google "Video Voyeurism Prevention Act" (*). It's illegal to record someone naked without their consent when there is an expectation of privacy. (like in a public restroom, but not a nude beach). Sure, you can have a camera on your own property recording the doors and other ingress points. There isn't an expectation of privacy on your front porch. Yet inside your bedroom or bathroom, most people expect privacy. You couldn't put a camera in the guest bedroom to record your GF's sister, for example.

Someone breaking and entering your house loses all rights to "reasonable expectations of privacy". They are trespassers.

Note that businesses typically will have a sign "Video & Audio Surveillance In Progress" which is considered consent. Some home security systems will also include such a sticker, to be placed on a window. I'm unsure if it has ever been tested in court.

On AUDIO, Federal law and many state wiretapping statutes permit recording if one party (including you) to the phone call or conversation consents. These are "one-party states". "Two-party states" would require permission of all participants. Just to be clear, you have to be a member of the conversation to count yourself as "one party". You setting up a recording someone else's conversation is definitely illegal (without their consent).

(*) There are many other laws that cover the case at hand besides that specific law

justtenofusinhere • -1 points • 27 February, 2019 04:02 PM

Again. You aren't reading what I'm writing. Video is Ok, if there is no sound and not designed to obtain nude or intimate pics/video. Camera on the yard-OK. Camera pointed on outside doors-OK. Cameras in the home placed so as not to capture intimate images, probably ok.

He intentionally used the camera to catch her having sex-it was not accidental/incidental-and he recorded conversations without permission of any if the participants. Major felonies. He then distributed the sex video for revenge--a crime in most jurisdictions.

tallwheel • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 05:36 AM

If you notice in the story, though, he never explicitly says he got footage of them naked or fucking. The important part is that he had footage of her making a booty call and him coming over. And, it would be hard to prove that he intentionally set up the cameras in his own home in order to record naked people.

LordMarkStark • 1 point • 27 February, 2019 09:03 PM*

You need to tell the other party in many places but not all. Which let's face it, it's what he should have done to limit her hamster to her place of work at the least. He could say he did mention it to her I suppose.

But just think of how much cock she rode in that car. She called him from home saying come ride me baby, I bet she was comfortable with this guy while also having zero respect for whatever the relationship had descended to.

He drove it twice since then, there's probably cum on the seat

account_rp • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 05:44 PM

Why didn't she have any of her own money since she had a job?

suxxos • 0 points • 27 February, 2019 10:56 PM

That's what bothered me... did he lay his hands on that too?

Bing_Bang_Bam • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 07:30 PM

I don't think it's safe to live with any woman.

kellykebab • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 08:42 PM

This was clearly better than just ghosting. Guy made the right call.

[deleted] • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 09:28 PM

This is amazing! I was smiling all the way! No petty revenge, no pointless arguing. He dealt with it like a man. Action speaks louder than words. Arguing with a woman is just a waste of time.

8380atgmaildotcom • 2 points • 28 February, 2019 01:37 AM*

I also believe everything from the internet.

I'm unsure what value this has or how this is redpill other than if you need some cathartic release from anger.

fryhldrew • 2 points • 28 February, 2019 03:21 AM

Yeeeeeeaaaasssssss!!!!!!! Party time!!!!!! Bring your buddies, today's beer Thursday

AriesAsF • 2 points • 28 February, 2019 07:48 PM

And that sir, is how its done. Bravo!! Wish all were a smart and well prepped as you. The best part was her total inability to claim squatter's rights, cause none of her shit was there and she had no access to the house. Brilliant. My only advice would be to never let anyone regularly drive a car that's in your name. HUGE potential financial and legal liability.

Ascend_Daily_305[S] • 1 point • 1 March, 2019 12:36 AM

Haha it wasn't my story but I agree

Imperator_Red • 2 points • 2 March, 2019 07:28 AM*

Lol 95% upvotes for this obvious fake story with no actual value to the community. What a shithole TRP has become. The average person is a moron, so the more mainstream you go, the more your organization will trend towards imbecility.

FatmanO • 3 points • 27 February, 2019 03:36 PM

This could be all avoided if he wasn't in LTR

javiercer20 • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 06:58 PM

Ohh he felt entitled to that pussy, now trying to have a “sweet revenge” will help him be a better man... he thinks he’s teaching that woman a lesson for breaking his heart, nothing more far from the reality than this. Maybe he should teach himself a lesson first.

USELESS POST.

Ascend_Daily_305[S] • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 07:25 PM

Lol you do know that this isn't authored by any Redpill member right?

javiercer20 • 1 point • 27 February, 2019 08:11 PM

Yes I know but still don’t get why you post this. What’s beneficial about another cheating and dumping story?

Ascend_Daily_305[S] • 3 points • 27 February, 2019 08:58 PM

No benefit, I got a laugh out of it. Thought I'd share

javiercer20 • 0 points • 27 February, 2019 09:21 PM

Everything is to laugh when it comes to women.

MatrixofLe3adership • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 07:49 PM

he didn't take revenge he took action

javiercer20 • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 09:20 PM

If he wanted to take action he would grab his balls, dump her ass(which he did) and shut up. He would take the blame for not being a strong male figure to his wife what caused her to loose respect for him and cheat on his ass, instead of going to quora and show the world what kind of evil woman he was married to.... that’s called being butthurt.

MatrixofLe3adership • 2 points • 27 February, 2019 09:33 PM*

Yeah, writing/dwelling on it afterwards is not smart.

You can be as strong of a male figure as is possible...and they'll still go out and do whatsoever they choose anyway. Which is good, I'm ok with that. I'm not saying you cannot ***make her want what you want***, but taking responsibility for other people's actions is lame—and in my opinion not a healthy way to live.

javiercer20 • 0 points • 27 February, 2019 09:50 PM

“A woman’s actions are the reflection of their man’s” if you’re not sure about controlling your bitch and being the leader then you most likely to fail my friend. That’s why LTRs and marriage should be only for experts.

LordMarkStark • 0 points • 27 February, 2019 09:09 PM

I agree it's useless. Especially if many guys here are not empowered. Let's not be naive. That weekend she would have ridden that dude's cock again and it would have been great revenge sex for her too. She's no idiot

I bet. I hope she did and had a good time to boot.

javiercer20 • 0 points • 27 February, 2019 09:41 PM

100% agree...

Yeah but that's not what they want to hear here lol.. Men here praise AWALT when they get a girl who has a bf to suck their dicks at the bar lol... but when talking about stories like this one, all of the sudden they all think the one loosing here is the cheating wife.... LOL COME ON GUYS!

Ascend_Daily_305[S] • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 12:51 AM

One thing I can't get over is why do all the dirty shit like you're angry. I mean hindsight....but, the best way to teach her a lesson would have been to legally hook her. Evict her, repo the car, divorce her. That leaves a paper trail that a real man can follow in the internet age. Google her. That's why I agree with laws against revenge porn being hard on men bc it's what women do. Its not right when they do it but its wrong when we do

1v1crown • 1 point • 27 February, 2019 03:39 PM

You gotta be methodical in these situations. Good for him. If you are married, consult a lawyer first first first.

MattyAnon • 5 points • 27 February, 2019 04:37 PM

If you are married, consult a lawyer first first first

Consult a lawyer BEFORE you get married, then don't bother

1v1crown • 1 point • 27 February, 2019 06:58 PM

haha obviously dont get married in this day and age but if you ARE and shtf like OP story... talk to a lawyer.

banana_apple_pear • 1 point • 27 February, 2019 10:52 PM

I wonder if this story is true or not, but suppose it is, then this guy better watch his back. Revenge often leads to revenge from the other party. She could burn the house, hire a murderer, accuse him of rape or of being a pedophile, you name it. Hence I'm not sure if something like this would be the best response to a cheating girlfriend.

FreeBeerandHotWings • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 03:18 AM

Spend more money on the toilet paper that wipes your asshole.

whataccent • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 05:01 AM

This totally popped up in my Quora feed as well. Good seeing it again.

SKRedPill • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 11:43 AM

Who knows how many stories on quora are real and how many aren't. I had to stop going there, it's overrun with stories of infidelity. I don't know when this quora poster will understand that "trust" is not a quality known in the purely animal kingdom. :)

DCMike01 • 1 point • 28 February, 2019 04:12 PM

You are a true hero. I wish I had a fucking surveillance system.

111Dx • 1 point • 19 March, 2019 10:35 AM

It was more of a "how to save your self from a hoe" story.

threadripper_07 • -1 points • 27 February, 2019 04:14 PM

Pretty sure posting quora links is against Reddit rules.

Xexitar • 0 points • 27 February, 2019 04:52 PM

I wish there was a sub that just had these stories.