

A TRP professor is followed on FaceBook by special snowflakes to find something to be offended and get him fired. Does not go as planned as Professor sued school administrators earlier on free speech and won.

May 31, 2015 | 742 upvotes | by [TerriChris](#)

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

Wolf_A • 281 points • 31 May, 2015 10:30 PM

They follow strangers on Facebook in the hopes of becoming “harassed.” They go to the media saying they have been threatened by people they have never met or spoken to.

Precisely how feminists try to be in the constant state of victim-hood, this time in the online world.

[deleted] • 55 points • 1 June, 2015 07:14 AM*

Just like that idiot who walked around a black ghetto for hours fishing for cat-calls and then tried to shame all men as if Harlem is representative of the Western world or men in general.

Disingenuous, racist, toxic and fucking pointless.

[deleted] • 30 points • 1 June, 2015 12:27 PM

That 10 hour bitch? As I was watching that video it became more and more clear she was trying to walk through the most shit hole areas she could find. Last time I went to NYC I walked almost 20 miles and never saw a single person even get talked to outside of "can you spare some change" and "hey brotha want to buy my hip hop cd".

Ibex3D • 8 points • 1 June, 2015 02:58 PM

Now you wanna talk street harassment? Those wannabe rappers pushing their CD's are the worst haha

[deleted] • 9 points • 1 June, 2015 03:07 PM

Most people haven't seen harassment until they go to a place like Jamaica for a few days...

In Jamaica, cruising in a taxi through the hills and we happen upon a water fall. Now you can't see this waterfall, theres a fence in front of it, which causes you to have to get out and peek through gaps in the fence. Theres clearly no one around, no people, no cars, nothing so we stop and I get out to peak through the fence, while everyone else stays in the van. I look in, back up, and maybe 3 seconds have passed. Theres over 15 people surrounding me. "Whats your name brotha" "Whats your name brotha" "Whats your name brotha" "Whats your name brotha"

I panic and start to try and get back in the car. Dad just goes "don't fucking say your name, to anyone that asks". I just say "I don't want anything were trying to leave" and slam the van door while freaking the hell out.

Turns out that they all carry around little trinkets and statues and shit made out of wood and if you say your name, they start carving it into the product as fast as they can to guilt you into buying it because "oh now its ruined brotha, that'll be 20 bucks, I can't sell it to anyone else ". Kind of like how people spray shit on your car and try to clean it then guilt you into paying since they already did it. Except on a whole new level of getting in your face about it, as well as making you feel helpless and outnumbered.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 04:17 PM

Same thing in Havana. Lot's of people drawing pictures who then try and guilt you into buying drawings of yourself.

Masonjarteadrinker2 • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 08:01 PM

Hell yea, I can't stand those damn CD pushers.

[deleted] • 1 point • 19 July, 2015 04:24 AM

What about those bastards that try and wash your car while you're waiting at traffic lights?

Dr_Morsu • 64 points • 1 June, 2015 12:42 AM

I'm for opening private white CIS male clubs right across the street from these universities. I'm sure they will do quite well financially. It'll be the new skull and bones.

Batemanx • 122 points • 1 June, 2015 01:49 AM

Got room for a black guy? This shit is fucking nuts man.

Steve_Wiener • 127 points • 1 June, 2015 02:15 AM

Yeah... but you'll have to sit in the back.

Dr_Morsu • 18 points • 1 June, 2015 03:10 AM

I really want too but you're going to have to eat a mayonnaise sandwich in front of us and keep it down. No hot sauce!

[deleted] • 9 points • 1 June, 2015 04:28 AM

In season 6, episode 13 of friends what did Rachel leave on her bedside table ?

king_of_red_alphas • 5 points • 1 June, 2015 11:16 AM

I... Am not ... A SIIIISSSSSSAAAAYYY!!!!

Shade_Raven • 2 points • 1 June, 2015 12:23 PM

Never though I'd see reference to the best movie ever on TRP

Tryptic97 • 1 point • 2 June, 2015 04:02 AM

I vaguely remember that movie, but I can't for the life of me remember what it's called

tuxedoburrito • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 07:46 AM

I understood that reference

trpdownunder • 16 points • 1 June, 2015 03:41 AM

They tried to open one at my university. It was going to be called "bro-soc". Got shut down because "bro" is apparently alienating.

Dr_Morsu • 24 points • 1 June, 2015 03:47 AM

Yeah....no.... The way you play this game is get your own off campus but damn close space that you either buy or rent with the explicit approval of the landlord. Once you're off campus land you're out of their jurisdiction. They will then play all sorts of exclusionary games but trust me... There will be a support network that will work to ensure the survival of this concept.

spectrum_92 • 2 points • 1 June, 2015 11:35 AM

You from usyd hey? Great to know I'm not alone

trpdownunder • 1 point • 3 June, 2015 07:39 AM

hey there comrade, did you by any chance catch last week's edition of honi soit? It made me want to gag.

spectrum_92 • 1 point • 3 June, 2015 11:03 PM

jesus christ, every issue of that cum rag of a newspaper makes me want to gag. wom*n's issue is always a goldmine of crazy shit.

EvigSoeger • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 01:49 PM

I'm genuinely curious about what would happen if women became the dominant sex one day. Who would be 'oppressing them', the aliens?

rpreader • 7 points • 1 June, 2015 03:47 PM

They are the dominant sex. Women outnumber men yet somehow we still keep them down and stop them from achieving positions of high power

One_friendship_plz • 165 points • 1 June, 2015 12:24 AM

This is the gold gem

Now, potential employers know what to do when they see that a job applicant majored in Women's Studies or was in a campus feminist organization in college. It speaks volumes about their potential to coexist in the workplace and contribute to our economy. Clearly, these women are suited for only one line of work.

If we could reduce the amount of female Human Resources, then we could improve society by throwing any applicants with Women's studies on their resume in the trash. Any intelligent businessman would realize THE BS drama that could potentially arise from hiring one of these monsters.

Claude_Reborn • 107 points • 1 June, 2015 12:35 AM

If we could reduce the amount of female Human Resources, then we could improve society by throwing any applicants with Women's studies on their resume in the trash.

There is a trend within the business community to break up HR and push hiring back to the managers. They are splitting HR back up into payroll and pushing the legal advisory functions back out to the legal departments, and saving themselves a shit load of money and time by doing it.

dnietz • 33 points • 1 June, 2015 04:26 AM

Yep, that is what is going on with the large company I work for.

There is now a "payroll" person. They removed HR from her job title and duties.

The second person is the "corporate director of HR" and she doesn't even know how to do payroll or any of those types of functions. She strictly works with department heads and executives to help them with their issues and is the go between with the attorneys.

And beyond that, much of the hiring is now outsourced to an agency that handles applications and background checks. That is what it looks like on the surface, but in reality they do much more than simply "check applicant references".

[deleted] • 11 points • 1 June, 2015 05:58 AM

There is a reason I don't have a facebook, twitter, snapchat, or myspace. The vanity posts about you holding your liquor at that wild party where your friend shat in between the drywall? That looks great on your resume.

648262 • 11 points • 1 June, 2015 08:49 AM

You better check your **[privacy settings and do the occasional Google search of your]**self, before you wreck yourself. That shit ain't hard.

Squeezymypenisy • 8 points • 1 June, 2015 03:32 AM

Wait legal matters were handled by HR? Whose bright idea on the board was that? They may hate lawyers but we did 3 years of law school so they can utilize law knowledge.

Claude_Reborn • 14 points • 1 June, 2015 03:36 AM

Things like sexual harassment complaints are, when really they should be handled by legal.

AFPJ • 27 points • 1 June, 2015 04:15 AM

Anyone in HR who isn't also a lawyer is just a fucking clown. Source: business owner

Claude_Reborn • 21 points • 1 June, 2015 04:47 AM

Anyone in HR who isn't also a lawyer is just a fucking clown

Which describes 90% of corporate HR nowadays.

real-boethius • 18 points • 1 June, 2015 07:10 AM

Too dumb to be a lawyer?

Too lacking in drive for sales?

Can't math?

I recommend HR as a career.

648262 • 15 points • 1 June, 2015 08:50 AM

It's funny how many women end up in HR.

Actually not funny - it's becoming scary.

[deleted] • 8 points • 1 June, 2015 01:06 PM

Unqualified for the work you do yet given the authority to judge if you're doing it appropriately. Scary indeed. It's actually very similar to how bullies become police - the mean bitches in high school become HR.

648262 • 6 points • 1 June, 2015 01:30 PM

There is no doubt in my mind that women are overrepresented in HR, yet a topic these days is the lack of women in leadership positions. But since women are the recruiters then I don't really understand what is going on.

exuals • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 06:40 PM

It's abhorrent in the tech sector.

I've had phone screens where they ask if I am familiar with x technology, y framework, etc

If you don't talk in keywords that they can match to job desc., you get a x next to the simple forms they fill out.

Infuriating.

drallcom3 • 2 points • 1 June, 2015 12:17 PM

The hiring decisions in my companies were always done by the department managers. HR only does the logistics and scouting, based on my criteria.

CuilRunnings • 63 points • 1 June, 2015 01:31 AM

I serve as de facto HR director at my company. If I get any inclination that an applicant is active with social justice it gets trashed immediately.

[deleted] • 8 points • 1 June, 2015 06:00 AM

It's the risk of legal liability as soon as someone gets offended or doesn't like being fired and decides to be a victim about it?

CuilRunnings • 29 points • 1 June, 2015 06:44 AM

That is a major risk that we just don't need to deal with. On top of that, these people also seem to have very low productivity, and take constructive criticism very poorly. Nothing about it makes it seem like a smart decision.

Venicedreaming • -2 points • 1 June, 2015 05:32 PM

Wouldn't advocating RP ideals also a kind of SJW thing? Just saying haha

KurrKurr • 12 points • 1 June, 2015 07:44 AM

You forgot the juicy part of this paragraph:

... are suited for only one line of work.

Thank you for your order. Drive through.

He's saying they'll all end up at McD's :D

Lakey91 • 5 points • 1 June, 2015 07:59 AM

Pfff. As if McDs doesn't require its employees to work together well.

KurrKurr • 7 points • 1 June, 2015 08:11 AM

I didn't want to dis food workers, sorry if it seems like it.

I just find the article's author's point funny, that even though they "studied" at a university and got a degree, they'll be bound to have a low wage job, bc nobody voluntarily hires a troublemaker.

Lakey91 • 2 points • 1 June, 2015 08:12 AM

Don't worry, mate. I know what you mean.

[deleted] • 7 points • 1 June, 2015 12:30 PM*

My work has had HR be run by one man for the last 55 years, since the birth of HR. At one point it was a 40m/y company, shrunk now to about 30m since 2009 economy shit.

When I got hired he had to go over all the discrimination shit and as he said "now you can come to me if you feel threatened, harassed, or discriminated against in any way" he looked up at me as I cracked a partial laugh and we both laughed. Knew I was going to like it here.

ElNegrucho • 98 points • 1 June, 2015 01:06 AM [recovered]

Mike Adams is great. He actually spent 7 years of his life in a lawsuit against University of North Carolina (Chapel Hill?) because they tried to deny him promotion based on the fact that he writes columns like these. He's definitely sacrificed a lot trying to fight the insanity in the University systems, and he's gotten good results.

[deleted] • 66 points • 1 June, 2015 03:14 AM [recovered]

He also sued UNCW, my former scumbag school and won because they tried to not hire him because of his conservative leanings (free speech). I just had him last semester for Criminal Procedure. He's the best professor I've ever had.

rpreader • 12 points • 1 June, 2015 03:49 PM

Just imagine how outraged everyone would be if they didn't hire a woman because she wrote feminist blogs.

boatist • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 11:33 AM [recovered]

Just to be clear, Chapel Hill is in North Carolina. I prefer to not have USC's name sullied like that.

ElNegrucho • 2 points • 1 June, 2015 11:44 AM [recovered]

Shit, that's right. I'm going to fix it. Thanks.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 10:19 PM* [recovered] [recovered]

he sued UNCW (wilmington) It's a shittier satellite school. for chapel hill rejects. May I ask how you know that?

ElNegrucho • 2 points • 1 June, 2015 11:40 PM [recovered]

I've read his columns since he started them 12 years ago.

[deleted] • 4 points • 2 June, 2015 02:38 AM [recovered]

That's crazy, so you were up on his lawsuit against UNCW? Yeah that school is a fucking bully. They pull shit like that all the time and it took Dr. Adams finally sticking it to them to shut them up (temporarily). Super fucking liberal school as you might have guessed. But I just had him last semester for Criminal Procedure, he is the best professor ever man.

ElNegrucho • 3 points • 2 June, 2015 02:50 AM [recovered]

I like how he's not stopping, either. Did you see him on the news, talking about how he was going to defy the administration's order to immediately report any mention of rape up to the administration?

During the summer though, he tends to spend a lot of time with his Christian friends (Summit Ministries in Colorado, etc.), and that can get a bit boring to read... but if it gives him the strength to fight the good fight, then more power to him.

[deleted] • 3 points • 2 June, 2015 02:56 AM [recovered]

Whoa whoa, there was a fucking order to pass the mention of rape up to administration?!?! what in the fuck?!

Yeah even during school he travels around the country. He went to Harvard during the semester. He gaeb me an A-!

ElNegrucho • 2 points • 2 June, 2015 04:36 AM [recovered]

Yep. Two columns about it.. found the second. You can dig up the first through the archive:

<http://townhall.com/columnists/mikeadams/2014/10/27/university-deans-gang-rape-black-sorority-n1909768/page/full>.

Enjoy!

[deleted] • 3 points • 2 June, 2015 04:57 AM [recovered]

Oh yes one of my teachers told me during the first day "I am required to report any sexual offense above me, I am a mandatory reporter"

Even rape and harassment claims that a professor suspects or knows to be false must be reported by the professor.

Are they fucking out of their mind?!?! That's fucking RIPE for lying!! This is goddamn insanity dude. Jesus Christ.

His last line was GENIUS! Where he used hearsay to fuck them over! I want to comment on it, but he'll know my facebook, lol. I don't wanna seem like I'm kissing ass.

What do you think about that shit? God why did I enroll in that school

[deleted] • 88 points • 31 May, 2015 11:59 PM

Warning: The contents of this column are likely to be deemed offensive by radical feminists and the emotionally handicapped. Please pardon the redundancy.

I knew I'd love it from the first damn sentence. Thanks /u/TerriChris for the post

[deleted] • 0 points • 2 June, 2015 08:49 AM

On a grammar note, the 'and' after radical feminists is arguably incorrect. Instead it ought to be removed and the phrase 'the emotionally handicapped' put in brackets. But that's being picky.

Rugnardl • 1 point • 6 June, 2015 12:29 AM

The joke is that he listed them separately, and then mentioned in a latter comment that he knew they were the same.

guitarjob • 44 points • 1 June, 2015 12:14 AM

Dude has a column about a dude that asked girls straight up for sex. The campus police were called.
<http://townhall.com/columnists/mikeadams/2014/05/07/hello-stranger--want-to-have-sex-n1834202>

[deleted] • 5 points • 1 June, 2015 10:23 PM

He said "thankfully it was walking distance from dunkin donuts so the police were there in under an hour" dude those uncw campus cops are fat fucks and bitchy women who told me to pick up my cigarette butts to display power.

SilentForTooLong • 2 points • 1 June, 2015 08:20 AM

Any idea what happened to him?

[deleted] • 15 points • 1 June, 2015 08:45 AM

Article said it took police an hour to show up so I'm assuming he was long gone when they arrived.

DrScientist812 • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 10:14 AM

Ah, a little of the old in-out

dr_warlock • 80 points • 31 May, 2015 09:25 PM*

they asked the administration if they could accuse me of sexual harassment.

Think about that.

LGBTQIA (Used to be LGBT) edit: use to be LGB

'Top' Definition from Urban Dictionary: *"Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, questioning, intersex, and asexual LGBTQIA people are the only minority not federally protected."*

The acronym is getting ridiculous. Why don't we just throw in a leopard man, attack helicopter kin, lizard man, wolf man/woman, xenomorph, and Meat Popsicle while we're at it?

[deleted] • 34 points • 31 May, 2015 11:17 PM

Don't worry about people that are caught up in the bullshit. Look out for yourself first and last.

ioncloud9 • 32 points • 1 June, 2015 12:02 AM

I want to be an attack helicopter kin. I long to penetrate those foreigners with 30mm DU loads.

jolly--roger • 60 points • 1 June, 2015 12:19 AM

srsly, fuck attack choppers. I identify as an A10 <https://i.imgur.com/131sOse.png>

IronMeltsinmyHands • 5 points • 1 June, 2015 01:19 AM

I identify as Ultron.

I like that. The geometry of belief.

_eskimo_brothers_ • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 03:42 PM

Hey man, I've already identified myself as an apache. Haha I seriously didn't know this stuff was already posted, outstanding. Now I want to gender switch to an A10!

jolly--roger • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 07:46 PM

I guess you're shit out of luck, closeairkin. A closeairkin to hogkin surgery might do wonders but you might end up as an abomination.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 12:26 AM

what do you think the A in LBGTQIA stands for?

colucci • 9 points • 1 June, 2015 12:12 AM

Used to be LGBT? Man, I remember when it was LGB.

[deleted] • 5 points • 1 June, 2015 04:03 AM

So do I. They should just rename it "other" at this rate.

juanqunt • 10 points • 1 June, 2015 06:34 AM

I remember when it was BLT... wait wot

Batemanx • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 01:47 AM

I refuse to believe this is serious, this has to be some kind of twisted satire. I mean c'mon now this shit is getting silly. Even they have to be able to see how ridiculous this is getting.

kick6 • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 03:31 PM

When the currency of your culture is a combination of both being offended and oppression, you have to continually subdivide said culture in order to find new sources of said currencies to enrich yourself. This is legit. This is the new victimconomy.

RationalistFaith1 • 2 points • 1 June, 2015 02:56 AM

I was actually thinking of starting a new trend. "Ear-sexual". Yup you heard me right, I like to touch my ears.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 04:01 AM

Don't forget Meat Popsicle

rojo-pildora • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 04:43 AM

Whoa whoa whoa... Xenomorphs get their own category! Don't put them in with any human category! That'd be game over man!

_eskimo_brothers_ • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 03:34 PM

You're not wrong. Game over, man. Game over!

I don't really look forward to movies, but hey, I have some high hopes for the next one:

<http://www.imdb.com/title/tt4462546/>

[deleted] • 2 points • 1 June, 2015 02:12 AM

Wolf Man/Woman?

juanqunt • 2 points • 1 June, 2015 06:31 AM

Phaguettes ruining the name of lettuce, guacamole, bacon tacos.

real-boethius • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 07:11 AM

As otherkin, the exclusion of folk like me is highly triggering!

skoobled • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 10:13 AM

That way to the freak show

Borpilux • 24 points • 1 June, 2015 01:06 AM

I love his writing. If you click on his name, it takes you to archives. He has a long history battling feminists, laughing and skewering them all the way.

fewforwarding • 37 points • 1 June, 2015 01:53 AM

Clearly, these women are suited for only one line of work.

Thank you for your order. Drive through.

I don't want radfems handling my food. They probably couldn't even get that right. This was an unnecessary dis against food workers.

[deleted] • 23 points • 1 June, 2015 03:11 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 9 points • 1 June, 2015 04:56 AM

What did you do to get thrown out?

Newdist2 • 10 points • 1 June, 2015 05:34 AM

Probably more about what he didn't do.

[deleted] • 1 points • 1 June, 2015 06:55 AM

[permanently deleted]

IllimitableMan • 15 points • 1 June, 2015 08:16 AM*

I got suspended for 2 semesters for falling asleep in class, doing a poor presentation and drink driving into a chicks car.

It sucks and maybe the penalty was too much but you fucked up. Either way you cut it you're fucking it up. Now you are paying the price for fucking it up. Don't blame the college, blame yourself for doing this dumb shit.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 10:25 PM

I did not drunk drive into a chick's car. They SHOULD throw someone out for that.

[deleted] • -4 points • 1 June, 2015 08:33 AM

[permanently deleted]

fred_durst_rapgod • 15 points • 1 June, 2015 11:50 AM

what mitigating factor could there be for drunk driving, legal retardation?

Sadpanda596 • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 01:25 PM

lol "mitigating factors," I think you need to check the inner hamster.

Calanen • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 02:08 PM

The mitigating factor was he was drink driving in the Google car. So it's Google's fault.

[deleted] • 36 points • 31 May, 2015 11:18 PM

Please, upvote and share this. I truly feel like too many on asktrp and trp don't realize how dangerous the movement is becoming. And how much doing nothing can still be deadly.

IronMeltsinmyHands • 9 points • 1 June, 2015 09:10 AM

"feminism that's hot"

I'll never understand why women try to buy men over with this tactic. But telling them what they find hot and that they have a chance is obviously a ploy.

Attraction is not an overt thing, you do not have control over it. But obviously the beta boys who want a girl more than anything will be happy to lend a hand to these fempsychos so long as they say that the betas have a shot.

Mother warned me about women like these. She was right.

popthatpill • 5 points • 1 June, 2015 10:04 AM

I'll never understand why women try to buy men over with this tactic. But telling them what they find hot and that they have a chance is obviously a ploy.

You answer your own question:

But obviously the beta boys who want a girl more than anything will be happy to lend a hand to these fempsychos so long as they say that the betas have a shot.

This ploy serves two purposes: first, it causes men to enlist themselves voluntarily in the service of women/feminists, and second, it serves as a good heuristic to detect betaness - an alpha's not going to fall for it.

So basically it's a way of getting men to publicly sort themselves into alpha and betas.

TerriChris[S] • 6 points • 1 June, 2015 09:48 AM

Solid wisdom from your mom. How often do we see great leaders lose their strength by a woman.

IronMeltsinmyHands • 8 points • 1 June, 2015 09:52 AM

These feminists of today would say my mother has "internalized misogyny."

My mother is aware of the fact that women play life, like James Bond plays poker.

They've got on such a steel face, that you don't know you're fucked until you're fucked.

rebuildingMyself • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 02:41 PM

Standard marketing to men is to make your product appear to increase your sexual market value should you buy into it or consume it. Axe Body Spray is a blatant example of this (although I think they do it more as a joke, though).

IronMeltsinmyHands • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 02:49 PM

Axe body spray.. I bought into that shit. I mean, I knew in the back of my head, "this is bullshit," but as a teenager, desperate for female attention, Axe body spray was to me, what the fountain of youth was to... Everyone.

I was high on hormones and allowed myself to be bought. Funny. I don't gamble but when women are involved, I'm ready to lose my shit.

Jon_Tren_Yin • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 08:59 PM

There's actually a scientific study about the effects of beautiful women on men taking risks/gambling. It's as you'd expect.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 10:05 PM

I find what you're saying on the same level as those tomboy girls that display manly traits and expect men to still find it attractive.

tksmase • -2 points • 1 June, 2015 11:30 AM

attraction you do not have control over it

Yes you do. You can make yourself more attractive in a variety of ways from just taking good care of your hygiene, to actually being fit instead of eating trash food and sitting on your ass all day, to dressing appropriately. Then comes your manner of speech, ethics, voice, haircut, etc

Have you never seen a variety of human beings before, examined them and figured out which ones you would like to know better?

We're animals after all and the ways we choose a partner should be known to you instinctively. I'm really sorry if you feel lost on such a simple, primal topic.

IronMeltsinmyHands • 6 points • 1 June, 2015 02:34 PM

I meant you cannot help what you find attractive. I did not suggest you couldn't make yourself more appealing.

This thing you do, putting words in the mouths of others, knock it off.

Nihilist401 • 6 points • 1 June, 2015 05:30 AM

someone give that man a medal!

RationalistFaith1 • 12 points • 1 June, 2015 02:55 AM

So feminism and these *professionally offended* types are really pushing their jobs to new *shit* grounds.

87GNX • 2 points • 3 June, 2015 04:54 PM

This dude is a remarkably good columnist. Surprised I hadn't heard of him.

ZackCross • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 02:23 AM

Hit the mark well, except the last sentence.

I wouldn't put it past a feminazi to get my order wrong on purpose to fulfill their goal of reviving cathulhu or Xenu or whatever preposterous agenda they fight for with such futility.

Rorta • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 03:22 PM

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=U0pXhDCoZ4c>

Here's a great clip of him talking about socialism and grades. I'd have killed for a professor like him, as opposed to the Marxist, feminist idiots who taught me.

thefisherman1961 • -34 points • 1 June, 2015 02:24 AM

Clearly, there is no greater threat to freedom today than the ever-broadening definitions of sexual harassment

and hate speech.

I stopped reading when I read that statement.

DaNiceguy • 12 points • 1 June, 2015 05:29 AM [recovered]

Was it too triggering for you?

thefisherman1961 • -6 points • 1 June, 2015 05:42 AM [recovered]

No, it's bullshit because the greatest threat to freedom is the state.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 07:00 AM

No it's not. In every day life, you are faaarrr more likely to be fucked over by some bitch lying that you harrasssseedddd her. If you're a boss or in a higher-up position, you'd understand how often this shit occurs.

thefisherman1961 • 0 points • 1 June, 2015 07:08 AM

That bitch doesn't have control over a police force to kidnap you at gunpoint. I am fucked over by the state on a daily basis.

[deleted] • 6 points • 1 June, 2015 07:19 AM

You make a good point man, but you definitely should have explained your opinion in the original post.

The reason being, a lot of asstards will post "I stopped reading right there", especially radfems. You likely wouldn't have gotten downvoted if you had described how the state creates all these threats, including the insta-jail rape claim with zero repercussion even when proven false.

[deleted] • 3 points • 1 June, 2015 07:21 AM

No she doesn't. They'll just fire you from your job for no reason. A job you worked for decades to get where you were. And then some stupid 22 year old pixie-cut dyke puts you out on your ass on a lie. How does the state infringe on FREE SPEECH?

648262 • 5 points • 1 June, 2015 09:01 AM

What you call State is just the entity with the most amount of power in a collection of people.

Give it whatever name you want - the concept will never go away as long as people exist.

Because of this it doesn't make sense to talk about a freedom outside the confines of what we call society.

thefisherman1961 • 0 points • 1 June, 2015 03:36 PM

What you call State is just the entity with the most amount of power in a collection of people.

That's not true. The state is an institution which arbitrarily claims a monopoly on violence.

Give it whatever name you want - the concept will never go away as long as people exist.

Baseless assertion

Because of this it doesn't make sense to talk about a freedom outside the confines of what we call society.

Inaccurate conclusion based on faulty premises.

648262 • 0 points • 1 June, 2015 03:57 PM

What you call State is just the entity with the most amount of power in a collection of people.

That's not true. The state is an institution which arbitrarily claims a monopoly on violence.

Of course it's true!

They not only claim a monopoly on violence, they also have a monopoly on violence. The reason they have the monopoly is because there are no one around more powerful than them.

Go back to r/conspiracy or wherever you came from.

thefisherman1961 • 1 point • 1 June, 2015 04:16 PM

Of course it's true!

No it isn't. Suppose we have a society that consists entirely of two private businesses, one of which controls 50.1% of the wealth and the other that controls the other 49.9%. Just because one of the private businesses has the most amount of power doesn't make it a government.

They not only claim a monopoly on violence, they also have a monopoly on violence. The reason they have the monopoly is because there are no one around more powerful than them.

Another inaccurate statement. If the state had a monopoly on violence, then I wouldn't physically be capable of committing violence against anyone without approval of the state.

Go back to r/conspiracy or wherever you came from.

Got 'em

648262 • 0 points • 1 June, 2015 07:29 PM

You are delusional.

Just because one of the private businesses has the most amount of power doesn't make it a government.

Correct. It makes it a company who still have to play by the rules of a bigger entity - a government\state\'"violence monopoly entity".

They not only claim a monopoly on violence, they also have a monopoly on violence. The reason they have the monopoly is because there are no one around more powerful than them.

Another inaccurate statement. If the state had a monopoly on violence, then I wouldn't physically be capable of committing violence against anyone without approval of the state.

Your right about this - fair point.

[deleted] • 0 points • 1 June, 2015 04:02 PM

[permanently deleted]