

# "The Crumbling Post-35 Pregnancy Myth"

24 upvotes | 29 June, 2014 | by InscrutablePUA

---

Archived from [theredarchive.com](http://theredarchive.com)

---

## Comments

---

whatsazipper • 56 points • 29 June, 2014 09:36 PM

If the bar is lowered to simply being 'able' to have a child, then yeah, they can be successful.

However, if you want the child to be successful and not have a fucked up life, try to pair up with a man during peak fertility and stick with him so that you can raise a healthy child when you're in the best shape to do so.

Is the cock carousel so good that you're willing to breed disabled children and not be able to provide optimum care for them? God damn.

RiceDatCar • 20 points • 29 June, 2014 10:43 PM

This right here man. All they want is another 10-15 years of jumping from cock to cock

InscrutablePUA[S] • 2 points • 30 June, 2014 05:16 AM

The hamstering at the end about how the author was in a better position to raise a child because of greater income, income rising for ever year a child is delayed, etc. is ridiculous.

JP\_Whoregan • 48 points • 29 June, 2014 10:14 PM

What a selfish, solipsistic cunt this authoress is. The whole article is written from the perspective of the woman only, and speaks nothing to oft-proven statistics concerning the sky rocking probability of Downs, Autism, and other birth defects when spinsters try to get pregnant after 35 or 40.

Can a woman get preggo after 40? Yes. Can I drive a car after a case of beer and a few bong hits? Yes. Doesn't mean either is a good idea.

Strict\_Vagitarian • 14 points • 29 June, 2014 10:27 PM

We are dealing with women here, it's only a matter of time before the pussy pass applies to DUI.

Oh wait...

JP\_Whoregan • 27 points • 29 June, 2014 10:36 PM

I've known women who've literally cried their way out of a DUI. Then laughed about it with friends the next day.

salami\_inferno • 2 points • 1 July, 2014 10:48 AM

A girl I used to know reamed into a light post while loaded. The fireman advised her not to mention to the cop that she is drunk. She still admits to the cop that she's wasted. All he does is rake her home and tell her father what happened, she was fucking 19 at the time and the only thing that happened was getting in shit with her father. And she still managed to turn it all into a pitty party.

InscrutablePUA[S] • 2 points • 30 June, 2014 05:14 AM

The whole article is written from the perspective of the woman only

Exactly - that really jumped out at me early in the article.

InscrutablePUA[S] • 18 points • 29 June, 2014 08:12 PM

Key points:

"About 80 percent of women 35-39 will get pregnant naturally in a year of trying."

"Women's fertility is fairly high through their late 30s. The real reductions come only after age 40; 42 percent of 40-year-old women's embryos were normal, which sunk to 12 percent by age 44. The percentage without any normal embryos to transfer was virtually unchanged between the ages of 29 and 37, rose slightly for 38-to-40-year-olds, and was not over 50 percent until age 44."

"IVF with chromosome screening virtually eliminates the risk of miscarriage and chromosomal abnormalities such as Down's syndrome, both of which are higher among older women who conceive naturally. Even so, these risks are not as high as one might expect. At early genetic testing, 99 percent of fetuses are normal when a woman is 35, and 97 percent at 40. Miscarriage rates rise from about 15 percent for those under 35 to 27 percent in a woman's late 30s."

So... feel free to ride the carousel even longer than have babies... but, it's gotta be IVF with chromosomal screening (which I'm guessing is pretty damn expensive) and you'll be 62 when your kid graduates from college.

trpalternate • 4 points • 30 June, 2014 08:25 AM

In other words, at 35, the risk of a birth defect is ~ 1 in 100. Past 35, it's 1 in 33. The risk of miscarriage goes from 1 in 6 to 1 in 4. Of course, she completely neglects the statistics for women under 30.

The Government of Canada recently published a report about the complications and associated public health costs of increasing maternal age. It's well worth reading.

[https://secure.cihi.ca/free\\_products/AIB\\_InDueTime\\_WhyMaternalAgeMatters\\_E.pdf](https://secure.cihi.ca/free_products/AIB_InDueTime_WhyMaternalAgeMatters_E.pdf)

dancingwithcats • 6 points • 29 June, 2014 08:41 PM\*

IVF *without* screening costs about \$10,000 a pop. I can only imagine what the screening adds to the cost.

EDIT: Spelling

TangoAlphaBravo • 9 points • 29 June, 2014 08:21 PM

Nice post-wall hamster spinning article

[deleted] • 5 points • 30 June, 2014 12:53 AM

This should be a negative thing to read, but I love it. The more these feminist publications spread misinformation about fertility, the more foolish women will end up terminating their genetic legacy by following the advice. It could be self-correction from mother nature: you want your carousel, career, and consequence free sexuality (i.e. birth control)? Cool, but good luck having kids later.

The women who are smart enough to ignore this and start early? We need them spreading their genes.

[deleted] • 7 points • 30 June, 2014 01:13 AM\*

My gf is turning 30 this year.

I have been with her for 6 years and she is what is considered a keeper and not like most women of this generation, hasn't ridden the carousel, is extremely loyal and fairly old fashioned with her views.

I wish she had another 5 years before fertility started to drop and we could start having kids. I just want a bit more time don't want a family yet. She is completely aware of the declining fertility rates and risks and has wanted kids with me for the last few years but I have been saying no.

I wouldn't say all the people who have kids early are smart in fact most of them seem kinda stupid. Sure it might be biologically smarter.

whatsazipper • 2 points • 30 June, 2014 08:18 PM

I wouldn't say all the people who have kids early are smart in fact most of them seem kinda stupid. Sure it might be biologically smarter.

Well, how smart can people who let themselves die out from lack of breeding really be? The 'biologically smarter' will outbreed the ones waiting to  $\geq 30$  years of age to start families.

Even if the conditions and capabilities of the latter group are greater on paper, missing the reproductive window spells generational failure.

Not that any of it matters to me, but it's still worth noting.

[deleted] • 1 point • 1 July, 2014 12:04 AM\*

Life isn't so simple to say someone is smart or dumb. Life gets in the way you know.

I am 30 and really I am torn between settling down and having kids or not.

Even if the conditions and capabilities of the latter group are greater on paper, missing the reproductive window spells generational failure.

Doesn't mean they are stupid, educated people tend to push this back for multitude or reasons. Part of the reason we have been waiting is because of our economic situation plus the fact I don't want to deal with little screaming people. Hell we are considered rich by most and easily in the top 5-10% and we can afford it but I don't see us being comfortable enough.

Guess the woe's of living in one of the most expensive places in the planet. You can be worth a million bucks at 30 and still don't feel like you have enough cash.

satchmole • 5 points • 29 June, 2014 11:09 PM

The women who postpone having children are able to do so by being affluent, which is the number one predictor of long life. How is that not obvious. Idiots.

trpMilo • 1 point • 30 June, 2014 01:23 AM

Isn't there some rule about how the most passionate articles by female journalists are about them and how their choices are awesome? This article falls into that rule

JadeNimbus16x • 1 point • 30 June, 2014 07:06 PM

Yet all studies are showing that births after 35 dramatically increase the possibilities of birth defects and autism. People get all hyped up about vaccines and foods causing autism but it kind of seems like the reason for more autism is directly related to people having children at an older age than previously in history.

SgtBrutalisk • 1 point • 1 July, 2014 01:32 PM

One redditlog comment even said: "What's the big deal with autism and Down, it's not like we all need to be aryan supermen". I am not joking. Jesus F Christ.