

Science Confirms Tattooed Women Are Indeed Broken

October 17, 2014 | 156 upvotes | by [redpillschool](#)

My theory on tattoos has always stayed the same. I believe tattoos are an embodiment of risk-seeking behavior, which inevitably attracts women in droves. I've overheard countless women drooling over so-and-so with tattoos. They always mention the tattoos. It's a big turn on for them. And it makes sense, why wouldn't it? Women are predisposed to be attracted to alphas. Alphas display risk-seeking behavior. Tattoos are a great signal for this.

Enter female solipsism.

Women find tattoos attractive, therefore they falsely believe tattoos *are* attractive.

This would be akin to a man growing tits to attract women, because he's turned on by them.

Women, as clueless as they are, adopt these risk seeking behaviors, hoping these will appeal to men. And since men are thirsty, it appears to work. But what they don't understand is: men are attracted to them in spite of the tattoos, not because of them.

Now I chalk it up to female solipsism, but there are a few theories I've seen floating around about self-handicapping as a sexual strategy. I'm afraid I don't quite understand it beyond it possibly being a slightly more effective filtering mechanism.

Anyhow, looks like there's some research to show that tattoos aren't just pretty pictures on your skin, but are literally signs of risk seeking behavior and signs of poor long-term planning. Exactly what women look for in men, and exactly what men don't want in a woman:

<http://www.returnofkings.com/45944/science-confirms-tattooed-women-are-indeed-broken>

Before anybody chimes in to say they find tattooed chicks sexy, answer this: Would that same exact girl look sexier with or without the tats?

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

[deleted] • 198 points • 17 October, 2014 04:27 PM

This would be akin to a man growing tits to attract women, because he's turned on by them.
or a man being nice and friendly, because he is attracted to nice and friendly women.

[deleted] • 28 points • 18 October, 2014 12:40 AM

Oh TRP, you and your cold, hard truths. Where would I be without you?

IVlaskerade • 21 points • 17 October, 2014 04:23 PM*

If men could grow tits, the human race would go extinct.

I find tattoos sexy, depending on what and where they *aren't*. For example, tramp stamps are something of a turn-off for me, as are girls with tattoos whose placement appears to have been chosen with a dart and a turntable (ie just random tattoos on random areas). Full-back tattoos are another big no, I don't like to feel like I'm boning the sistine chapel, and anything on the head/face/neck is pretty much a flashing neon "this person has *issues*" sign. Other than that, I'm pretty much fine with whatever.

Also, people with tattoos that have half of it on each person, or ones that are matching but don't have deep significance - your platoon's emblem is great, two girls with matching wrist tattoos who got them because "omg sooooo cute!", not so much.

Similar story with piercings - if she's got them anywhere other than her ears, nose, and *possibly* navel, yeah. Also, having more than 4 pieces of metal in your face (apart from the ears) is generally a good indicator.

Edit: Also, tattoos aren't really an indicator of risk-seeking behaviour when they have personal significance to you - memorials, unit insignia, important dates, things that were pivotal to making you who you are today, that sort of thing.

Homosubi • 29 points • 17 October, 2014 08:17 PM

If men could grow tits, the human race would go extinct.

I live in America and I can confirm that men can indeed grow tits.

IVlaskerade • 10 points • 17 October, 2014 08:27 PM

□_□

You know what I meant.

thrway1312 • 2 points • 18 October, 2014 05:51 PM

As a once-fat-kid that got into shape at 19 but still has moobs, this is literally the worst thing in my life.

[deleted] • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 09:21 PM

Sounds like gyno. Have you thought about surgery?

thruway1312 • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 09:41 PM

I've researched gyno extensively and believe that's at least partially the appropriate diagnosis.

For a very long time I've harbored disdain towards those that rely on surgery to fix (non-medically-relevant) issues which they cannot resolve through hard work, i.e. purely aesthetic plastic surgery. As a result, I've held myself to the same standard but my willpower wanes as I continue to hamster away this lack of respect.

This is, restating my previous comment, one of the greatest sources of internal conflict in my life; maybe I should be less judgmental about fake noses and tits.

[deleted] • 3 points • 18 October, 2014 11:17 PM

You have a decent mindset to find what works for you. The resolve to overcome through will power will be the pillar of great success, but abandoning silly values might be the ~~eatapult~~ catalyst that triggers transcendence.

thruway1312 • 3 points • 18 October, 2014 11:32 PM

That's some deep stuff, /u/malthought. Now to find a surgeon that won't fuck up my nipples.

RedBigMan • 5 points • 17 October, 2014 09:28 PM

anything on the head/face/neck is pretty much a flashing neon "this person has issues" sign.

Yeah... tattoo artists call those places as well as the hands 'career enders'.

Also to me the only acceptable piercing is the ears, extra metal jabbed into a woman doesn't appeal to me at all.

IVlaskerade • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 10:00 PM

...I may or may not think that snakebites make a cute girl cuter.

Out of curiosity, what's your stance on multiple ear piercings/piercing things like the tragus or daith/asymmetric piercings (like 3 in one ear 4 in the other)?

RedPillager • 18 points • 17 October, 2014 02:45 PM*

When I was younger, I was exclusively attracted to the chicks with tattoos, glasses (ever since Lisa Loeb, bless her heart), wild and crazy attitudes. I'm still attracted to that type, but it's not as **unique as it was in the past**.

Nowadays I see some women with tattoos, especially in the "Off Limit Areas" such as the chest, breast, or stomach and even if the girls are *gorgeous* I'm immediately turned off. Almost nothing is more disgusting to me than the redneck bullshit of having a name or a rose (blech...) tattoo'ed on a titty.

I will admit that the risk-seeking behavior is a bit of a turn on for me and your typical RP, LTR material chick is far and away too bland, too boring. It's like with smoking, I prefer girls who smoke since they seem less discriminatory about what they put in their mouths.

But hey, I don't want kids.

I still love girls in glasses though...

[deleted] • 5 points • 17 October, 2014 05:00 PM

Nowadays I see some women with tattoos, especially in the "Off Limit Areas" such as the chest, breast,

or stomach and even if the girls are gorgeous I'm immediately turned off.

Girls with ass tattoos are the absolute worst. That's the most Off Limit of Off Limit, right ahead of the chest.

RedPillager • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 08:40 PM

Yep, totally forgot about ass tattoos. Those are bad.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 06:19 PM

Did you follow up on miss Loeb? She might still be in your future.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=By68nqiWuz0>

RedPillager • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 08:42 PM

I haven't, but she's old now so...

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 09:21 PM

Aw well, that's the last time I play matchmaker. Good choice, though. She's over 30 and hasn't learned a fucking thing.

Fzed600 • 66 points • 17 October, 2014 04:52 PM

Haha, I was banned from /r/trashy for saying.

"Tattoos have zero meaning among the civilized society. Men get them because women like the bad boy look and women get them because they have daddy issues."

Women get their loose snatches in a bunch when you criticize tattoos. It obvious because its one of the few things women can hold on to.

Here is the fall out from my comment.

<http://www.reddit.com/r/trashy/comments/2jet1r/classy/clb0qgm?context=3>

Newdist2 • 54 points • 17 October, 2014 05:47 PM

Most of those downvotes were because your opponent said "You seem to have a very 50's mindset, not surprised to see you are a red piller."

Not because of what you actually wrote.

son_of_narcissus • 25 points • 17 October, 2014 06:07 PM

They're disciples of the Anita Sarkeesian school of Debate and Sophistry.

Dev_on • 5 points • 18 October, 2014 11:04 AM

Well his poorly thought out conservative ramblings make no sense.

What someone wears gives you perspective into who they are. Same as wearing a suit.

As far as attractive, a frog on her ankle is not, something unique is. Whether you can hide it or not makes a difference too. Face tattoo means she will not be in corporate. Eg

Op is talking out of his ass, and you're white knightting his hamstring

Fzed600 • 0 points • 17 October, 2014 08:03 PM

That was a reply to my top comment saying that all tattoos are trashy. You cant choose which one are and which one's arent.

son_of_narcissus • 26 points • 17 October, 2014 06:33 PM*

I think your conclusion is correct, but maybe for different reasons. A couple years back I read an essay called *Ornament and Crime*, a non-politically correct, slightly racist and xenophobic case study of Papuan people, who were heavily tattooed, or 'ornamented'. Basically, the author was arguing that the less civilized a culture is by Western standards, the more likely the people of that culture are to spend energy ornamenting themselves and their existing possessions, rather than improving themselves or inventing new things. It was therefore appropriate for 'uncivilized' Papuans to have tattoos, but for a Westerner they were a sign or degeneracy or criminality.

In the same way, the more 'ornamented' a person is today, that necessarily detracts from their energy (however insignificantly) to either improve themselves or contribute something new. Not as a rule, but *in general* the people who have tattoos did not get them to demarcate a significant emotional or symbolic event, but because they need to bolster an otherwise weak sense of identity. **Ornament is the band-aid solution, when the cure is the difficult but rewarding road to self-improvement.** Those who aren't secure enough in their identity, perhaps because they know (whether consciously or not) that their energy rarely goes toward self-improvement or invention, resort to "ornamenting" the image that others see of them.

It's not just physical markings, either; it could be tattoos, humblebrag facebook statuses, endless numbers heavily filtered selfies on instagram, short-but-intense passion for some political cause, armchair activism, etc. To the unfulfilled, it's still better to fool people into *thinking* you have a meaningful and cultivated sense of self, than to not do the above things. **Truly remarkable people tend not to care what others think of them, by virtue of the fact they are fulfilled by the fruits of their precious time and energy. As a result, they find no need for 'ornamenting' themselves to look better, they simply *are* better.**

As it pertains to the title of this post: Tattoos won't tell you the extent to which a woman is broken, but it's usually a sign they certainly aren't whole.

[deleted] • 0 points • 18 October, 2014 01:33 PM

Enough hamsters there to stock a petsmart outlet in a mega mall.

2comment • 24 points • 17 October, 2014 03:25 PM

Tatted chicks are like the training wheels for noobs except the older ones tend to be nastier and crazier all around. Back in WW2, a lot of the planes painted bombs or whatever on them to indicate missions (if bombers) or kills (if fighters). Waiting for the fad when women get small dicks tatted all over themselves to document their count along with a few Ace in a Day inscriptions.

Anyway, tats back in the day used to be primarily a male bonding thing, popular in the services. As long as it was covered up for formal events, no one blinked twice when a marine got something. Even my druggie friend just got his first tat to commemorate his best friend dying. Really no different than when students in european universities got dueling scars that would be on their face their entire lives. Mark of honor and fraternity. It's a male thing, women wouldn't understand so they can try to imitate the ink, but rarely emulate the sentiments.

But all that individualistic shit around? Not for me. Not the same person I was 5 years ago, let alone 15-20. Wouldn't want my youthful dumbassness mark me lifelong.

OKJaded • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 10:35 PM

Brilliant and insightful. I say this fad is already happening. Covered in tattoos? Shes seen lots of action.

Although it would be nearly impossible to test I ponder the possibility that specific areas correlate to partner count. For example what is the "floor" for a chest tattoo? 50? 75? 100? Neck/Hands? The data could get skewed due to pure emotional trauma...

dicklord_airplane • 37 points • 17 October, 2014 03:58 PM*

ugh. i live in Denver and there are so many girls who ruin their bodies with mods and tats in the downtown area. so many shitty tattoos, so many disgusting gauged-out earlobes, so many of those bovine nasal septum piercings. those fucking septum rings remind me of yaks and goats.

you're absolutely correct that leftist girls are confused or in denial about what men want. they are just emulating the traits and behaviors that they find attractive in men, and they've been brainwashed to abhor conventional feminine wiles. this is fine while they are still young and skinny as guys will still fuck them just because they are young, skinny, and female.

those girls are going to be very frustrated once they get older. they may have thought that guys wanted them for their style, personality, and whatnot all along because that's what always attracted them to men. in reality, those girls were getting attention from men just because they were young and were willing to put out. the nature of male sexuality and desire is completely lost on so many women. just stating what men are attracted to is taboo in a lot of contexts, and women will suffer the most because of it.

if you're thinking about a career, i think that plastic surgery for tattoo removal and earlobe gauge repair will be in high demand over the next few decades.

[deleted] • 40 points • 17 October, 2014 02:41 PM

I've always agreed with this as well. There have, however, been many times that I have wanted to or did hook up with a girl because she had tattoos. I can't say if she would have been better without them, because I don't have a before to contrast it with, but I did choose them out of a group of girls.

Here's the kicker...they were all hookups and I would not have wifed any of them, nor would I want a girl with a lot of tattoos as my wife.

Edit: to add to this...the tattoo/crazy chick sex isn't they best I've had either, so we can put that myth to rest.

[deleted] • 17 points • 17 October, 2014 02:47 PM

You may have intuitively deemed them risk-takers and thus more profitable for your gaming efforts.

On another note, I think this applies mostly to large, conspicuous tattoos moreso than small, hidden ones. The impact on the girl's appearance is a much greater the risk for large/multiple tattoos.

[deleted] • 9 points • 17 October, 2014 02:57 PM

Without a doubt. The girls I'm talking about also had sleeves and large visible tat's. And I'm sure I subconsciously knew they would be easier.

vandaalen • 8 points • 17 October, 2014 04:47 PM

Tattooed => Thrill Seeking => Slutty => Kinky => Fun.

[deleted] 17 October, 2014 05:44 PM

[permanently deleted]

vandaalen • 4 points • 17 October, 2014 06:33 PM

According to Reuters it's 60% of US women who are tattooed. So there's still enough left without.

I don't care about relationship material, but only have two categories: Fuckable or not fuckable so I don't really have an opinion on it. I've got tattooed sleeves, chest and neck so it's not disgusting to me, that's all.

hagenvontronje8 points 17 October, 2014 08:49 PM **[recovered]**

60% is a lot, especially considering that a lot of the remaining 40% are going to be women who are old and never got tattoos because it wouldn't be thinkable. The number is probably higher than 60% among fuckable women from 18-30

vandaalen • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 09:25 PM

A Fox Poll says it's 47% for women under 35.

Interestingly enough it is twice as much as men at this age.

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 08:02 PM

jesus 60% wtf it's worse than I thought. I see what you are saying and agree, but I still have a tough time telling a woman there is no chance for relationship and giving her that is a reason. I'm sure it burns them so bad, but it's great for reason to spin them as plates.

vandaalen • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 09:23 PM

Why tell them the reason? You've got no obligation to justify yourself.

[deleted] • 5 points • 17 October, 2014 09:27 PM

I like to field test everything. I probably cause some damage, though. I remember years back people telling me never tell girls you have other girls in your life. Then I field tested and learned girls don't give a shit. Even when you tell them straight up. They seem to try harder to be last girl standing. I'll adjust if things go horribly wrong.

Christian_Kong • -2 points • 17 October, 2014 05:41 PM

Tattoos are really, really, really trendy. I would say you were right during the 90's tramp stamp era, but now almost every girl has at least one.

vandaalen • 0 points • 17 October, 2014 06:30 PM

almost every girl has at least one

A tiny star on the hip is not what I consider "tattooed" at least not enough to trigger my kink radar. Also it's only about 60 % of the women in the US.

Unicorns are hard to find...

Cyralea • 6 points • 17 October, 2014 05:42 PM

Of course, if your endgame is to find the lowest barrier of entry to acquiring pussy, finding someone who engages in risky behaviour is the way to go about it. Same thing goes with slutty, drug-using or smoker women.

Of course, the result is that you don't really care if she's broken. Her vag feels the same either way.

Tattoos are pretty much a stamp of disqualification for LTR's though.

Dev_on • 2 points • 18 October, 2014 11:06 AM

Going on divorced with the tattoos beside me in bed...

Lots of divorced friends with clean girls though

Dev_on • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 11:07 AM

Six years... Phone autocorrect

[deleted] • 14 points • 17 October, 2014 03:49 PM*

Having a plate with tattoos keeps her at plate status. I already have to deal with guys hitting on them in public, at times in front of me. I know how easy it is to approach a girl with exposed tattoos. It's an easy opener (haha). I've had guys tap me and say "hey what's her tattoo mean?" I look at them almost disgusted and say "hell if I know, I don't like them."

**Edit, man did you see those Facebook comment? Like 5000 women, couple white knights and guys sticking up for their girlfriends tattoos. Almost everyone accusing the author of being bitter, dumped by a tattoo'd girl, and TA-DA "small dick" accusations. Guess we should be able to judge them on the size of their tits after all.

redpillbanana • 19 points • 17 October, 2014 03:09 PM

Tattoos are seen as edgy because the guys who used to get them were prisoners, gang members, soldiers, and other generally tough guys who had to stick together and mark themselves as part of the same team.

Having a tattoo wasn't socially acceptable back then so you had to have a good reason to get one, and the usual reason was to show others that you were not to be messed with.

Even though it is socially acceptable to get a tattoo now, people still associate tattoos with being a badass.

As tattoos became a trend, women started jumping on the bandwagon. The smarter and more socially aware girls probably stay away from tattoos because they realize that guys are generally not attracted to the "badass motherfucker" quality in women.

I saw an article by one women who talked about how painful laser treatment is. Her tattoo didn't come out how she wanted and she had to get it removed. She said that the removal process is infinitely more painful and expensive than getting the tattoo. This is a 50+ year old woman - she should know better than to be messing around with tattoos.

These days there are even more extreme body modification trends such as scarification, human branding (NSFW), corneal tattooing, corset piercing, tongue splitting, 3D-printed implants, and body suspension. These can be seen as an edginess arms race where people with no other redeeming characteristics compete to see who can have the most extreme body.

If this trend continues, people will be amputating fingers, ears, arms, and legs to be fashionable (and, of course, donating their limbs to someone who actually wants to have a fully-functioning body). We'll start seeing RoK articles about how you should avoid dating voluntary amputees.

Tattoo regret will pale in comparison to the regret of these more extreme body modifications as these people age and become wiser.

curiousthis • 6 points • 17 October, 2014 03:43 PM

I guess I will never understand what drives some people to mutilate their bodies. I can understand getting surgery for a life-threatening or disfiguring condition, but just because of the feeelz? WTF?

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 03:43 PM

Great response. It's frustrating sometimes. I don't actively seek a LTR but I'm always open to it. But, I live in an area in the south with great year around weather, and tattoos are extremely popular. So are tank tops and flip flops. Especially by people with unattractive bodies that you might not want to see. But, it is what it is. Anyways, as a man I always thought it was good to make a list of attributes you desire in a woman. That list

shrinks extraordinarily when you add tattoos. And if you include tiny tattoos or little quotes, your options are even more limited.

One of my plates is an older divorcee. She's got a few pretty interesting tattoos that could be covered up, but she chooses to show off one of them almost all the time (except at work). Sure enough, she got them after getting a divorce in her 30's. I fear it will look awful in 15 years and she is starting to realize it.

Check it out though, I think we are the first generation to see a lot of grandma tattoos. I suspect women will change their minds once they see more of this.

anonlmouse • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 03:30 PM

These days there are even more extreme body modification trends such as scarification[1] , human branding (NSFW)[2] , corneal tattooing[3] , corset piercing[4] , tongue splitting[5] , 3D-printed implants[6] , and body suspension[7] . These can be seen as an edginess arms race where people with no other redeeming characteristics compete to see who can have the most extreme body.

If you're into S&M and like submissive girls, then that's exactly what you want. Tattoos also tell you that the girl is OK with pain.

Doctor_Mayhem • 5 points • 17 October, 2014 08:26 PM

I'd say that men are attracted to tattooed women, not in spite of the tattoos, but because it signals that the women are low-class trash who will put out the minute they get an excuse.

Source: <http://no-maam.blogspot.com/2009/02/bonecrker-19-tattoos-and-piercings.html>

The second biggest influence on the Manosphere.

[deleted] • 5 points • 18 October, 2014 12:57 AM

I find women's attraction to tattoos a great indication of how little removed we are from the animals - Women attracted to the bird with the brightest plumage. I actually find it pretty depressing.

An example from my life:

I personally participate in some extreme sports, and thus actually am a risk taker, except I look like a perfectly normal guy. I have no tattoos, or piercings, and don't dress or talk like some surfer dude. (In sports that don't involve the water at all you still get people who talk like that.)

Yet I know plenty of guys where the riskiest thing they do is get wasted on the weekend, and simply because they have full sleeve tattoos women are attracted to them and think they're dangerous.

FLFTW16 • 19 points • 17 October, 2014 03:16 PM

I see a woman with tattoos as being lower class. Doesn't think about the future. Doesn't have a plan for her life, or if she does it's not a very ambitious one. Maybe she doesn't plan to live to old age or can't even think that far into the future to think what those tats will look like on her 70 year old skin. Yeah maybe some risk taking seems sexy but chicks never actually take *real risks*. They are almost always posers. They want the tough edgy look but they didn't do anything to earn it.

whatsazipper • 5 points • 18 October, 2014 01:19 AM

The upper class girls looking to 'rebel' engage in tattoo acquisition too. So it's not necessarily confined to the lower class.

The area I work in can be described as being composed of the: "rich, rich, and wealthy". Yet I've seen girls walking around with tattoos on the back of their necks. It's like they're screaming to be fucked by bad boy

alphas even though they're in a secure position set for life.

LD_in_MT • 1 point • 22 October, 2014 02:54 PM

I agree in general, but there's obviously a huge difference between a Hello Kitty tat below the panty line and a large visible piece.

Zuglife99 • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 02:53 AM

I agree with everything you have said, except for the part about what their tattoos will look like when they are 70. Everybody's skin is ugly at 70. It's stretched, discolored, has growths appearing etc. A tattoo won't make you any less attractive at that age.

Mechbiscuit6 points 17 October, 2014 06:29 PM **[recovered]**

Huh, this reinforces my theory about tattoos. I absolutely loath them.

To me it's in the same vain of colouring your hair. A certain kind of person dyes their hair red and decorates their skin.

Burner1701 • 2 points • 18 October, 2014 06:14 AM

In the same vein (unless you were making a deliberate play on words, in which case bravo).

TRP-Talk • 5 points • 17 October, 2014 09:25 PM

I know a retired social worker whose personal experience convinced him that there is a strong correlation between tattoos and piercings, and traumatic abuse. His opinion is that tattoos and piercings are a form of self-harm, and the motivation for self-harm is to gain control of the pain and suffering being inflicted by forces outside of one's control. So, the child whose parents are abusive and neglectful experiences pain, and the self-harm involved in getting a tattoo or a piercing allows the child to be in control of that pain. In other words, kids who get fucked up fuck themselves up in order to gain control of how fucked up they are.

So when he sees the young people of today who have an abundance of tattoos and piercings, all he sees are the traumatized and abused kids he used to work with, and he can't accept the narrative that tattoos and piercings are positive expressions of artistic creativity. He acknowledges that this opinion is likely to be extremely unpopular amongst trendy hipsters who love getting tattoos and piercings in order to look chic, but the association between body-modification and childhood trauma is too fixed in his mind for him to ignore.

I asked a girl once why she had so many tattoos. She replied that she got one for every major traumatic event in her life. She didn't know how to deal with the emotional pain of losing a friend in a car accident, or having her mother die of cancer, so she transmogrified that emotional suffering into the physical pain of getting a tattoo. Unlike emotional trauma, physical pain is something that she can deal with.

I know another girl whose parents fucked her up with a religion they didn't even believe in. She had the word DOUBT tattooed on her stomach, where the T in DOUBT was drawn in the shape of a crucifix.

Happy healthy kids don't get tattoos.

Azothlike • 22 points • 17 October, 2014 02:43 PM

Before anybody chimes in to say they find tattooed chicks sexy, answer this: Would that same exact girl look sexier with or without the tats?

With. Is it hard to understand that some guys also think tattoos are sexy?

Interesting analysis on risk-behavior and LTR potential and all, but I think it's going a good deal too far to draw

the conclusion that all guys don't find tattoos attractive.

redpillschool[S] • 30 points • 17 October, 2014 02:46 PM

Rollo has a theory that men will develop preferences that match positive reinforcement. That is, if brunettes tend to respond well to a man, he may develop a preference for brunettes.

It's obvious that outliers exist. For instance, there's a fetish and a kink for just about anything. And yes, some people are into them.

But I think the pattern as a whole should be analyzed. Even if one or two girls look better with tattoos, it doesn't change the fact that most don't. And even if one or two guys have a preference for them, it doesn't mean it's a good bet. It'd be like me getting fat, hoping to attract the one or two women who just happen to like fat dudes.

Azothlike • 15 points • 17 October, 2014 03:01 PM

Comparing women with any amount of tattoos to fat men is beyond tenuous.

Insinuating that I, or others, only find tasteful tattoos on women attractive because tattooed women respond better to me, is insulting.

The number of guys that like tattoos is astronomically higher than the number of women that like fat guys. You don't have to want to date a comic book, to enjoy the occasional one or two well-done pieces on a plate.

When it comes down to it, tattoos ARE just a symbol of risk and impulsivity, and whether or not you find them physically more attractive is generally on the back seat to, and influenced by, whatever mental stigmas and/or perceptions of tattoos you have.

For some people, perhaps, their idea of a downright awful date/plate is a girl with tattoos who smokes and impulsively leaves them, etc. For me, because I could give a shit if somebody walks out, my idea of an awful date/plate is a WASP that bores the shit out of me. And thus, for me, some level of tasteful ink is indicative that they will be LESS boring, that they will participate in impulsive, risk-potential activity with me, if I want them to. Like going on a vacation. Or learning how to snowboard. Or sex on a beach. Etc.

I don't know exactly what you or RoK is out to prove, other than "girls with tattoos tend to be sluttier". Everyone kind of knew that already, and this article is just responding to SJW and entertaining their delusions. But he didn't go that extra step, and attempt to tell guys that think tattoos are attractive, that they don't think tattoos are attractive, like you did.

redpillschool[S] • 14 points • 17 October, 2014 03:28 PM

Comparing women with any amount of tattoos to fat men is beyond tenuous.

It's a handicap on dating and affects one's SMV. Clearly I used hyperbole to make the point, but I'm sure you can derive the meaning from my analogy, and not take it literally.

I don't know exactly what you or RoK is out to prove, other than "girls with tattoos tend to be sluttier". Everyone kind of knew that already, and this article is just responding to SJW and entertaining their delusions. But he didn't go that extra step, and attempt to tell guys that think tattoos are attractive, that they don't think tattoos are attractive, like you did.

I'm glad you're already aware of the slutty nature of tattooed women. The subject is still worthy of discussion.

anonlmouse • -5 points • 17 October, 2014 03:24 PM

Comparing women with any amount of tattoos to fat men is beyond tenuous.

Yeah, that's jumping the shark.

causemose • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 09:15 PM

Makes me sick when I bang a girl doggy and she has a tramp stamp

Even worse are the ones below the "Lower Hip Tattoos" just so trashy when a girl has a butterfly there

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 11:05 PM

The worst are the obligatory small ankle or wrist tattoos that EVERY FUCKING GIRL gets in highschool/college.

Alternative girls might not be for everybody but at least they're fully committing to a coherent "look". But that random butterfly, flower or infinity symbol slapped on every girl's ankle is just a retarded, generic, unnecessary blemish on a woman's nude form.

LD_in_MT • 1 point • 22 October, 2014 02:56 PM

That's hilarious. There doing it "to be different" but they are really just conforming to the new normal.

User-31f64a4e • 3 points • 18 October, 2014 03:43 AM

Black Dragon has a great piece that touches on this, which he lays out in

<http://www.blackdragonblog.com/2013/09/12/benefits-of-dating-an-older-woman/> He calls it "Gender Myopia" - thinking that what appeals to you and your gender, will appeal to the opposite sex.

Men like sensitive, caring women. They try to be that way, when women want Alpha instead.

Women like strong, independent men (aka Alphas). So they become strong, independent womyn, because men want that too, right?

It's a very human thing - assuming other people are like you. Men and women are very different, and it's easy for each gender to assume the other looks at things the way they do.

Jessie_James • 4 points • 17 October, 2014 06:08 PM

Has anyone noticed almost all of the women in /r/gonewild have tattoos?

I don't recall seeing many without tattoos. Not that I was looking ...

anonlmouse • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 10:56 PM

I'd say the majority don't. Maybe you gravitate towards the tattooed chicks.

Jessie_James • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 11:05 PM

Hey, I click all links equally! Those thumbnails are too small to see any detail ...

anonlmouse • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 11:20 PM

I have RES open all the images automatically, and just keep scrolling down.

xwm • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 05:07 PM

Note too that there is a difference between a tiny ankle tattoo that "represents them" and a tramp stamp or a

sleeve.

anonlmouse • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 10:56 PM

How is *that* the difference?

xwm • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 11:46 PM

Because one tiny little ankle or somewhere discrete tattoo shows how "creative", "spontaneous", and "free spirited" they are, and generally doesn't mean shit because a majority of them think this.

With today's context, girls who get a tramp stamp know exactly the stereotype they're putting out there.

With a full on sleeve/multiple tattoos/etc, they fall right into op's article's context.

Clicks_Anything • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 08:30 PM

Would that same girl look sexier with or without tats? I have to say yes. Not everyone, and not flash pieces. But people that have good art that has meaning, are significantly more attractive. I like being able to see a little bit of someones mind right away. They're fun to talk about. Great conversation starter.

To each theyre own though I suppose. If the body is a temple why not decorate the walls?

ThisShitRules • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 09:30 PM

I'm actually attracted to girls with tattoos. Given they would be hot without them.

[deleted] 17 October, 2014 09:57 PM

[permanently deleted]

Ochreata • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 10:22 PM

Women find tattoos attractive, therefore they falsely believe tattoos are attractive.

I really don't think this works as a premise.

If women find tattoos attractive then they *are* attractive, to them. The only falsity is the subjective difference between the parties viewing the object. One's idea of attractiveness does not trump an opposing one.

I don't disagree with your overall assessment. Your argument however would be strengthened by removing inconsistencies.

redpillthrower • 2 points • 18 October, 2014 07:10 AM

I always found women with tattoos attractive as a niche. Tattoos can definitely enhance the looks of certain women, but the sort of women they enhance the look of have a specific look to them in the first place. Most women for sure look worse with tattoos, and makes a decently ok woman often look trashy.

mordanus • 5 points • 17 October, 2014 03:39 PM

In addition to all that I view the tats and piercing and strange hair colors as desperation. These are the girls with almost no personality and are boring so they do anything they can to bring attention to themselves. I think it's a lot more about projection like you said though.

LS_D • 2 points • 18 October, 2014 09:37 AM

tats and piercing and strange hair colors as desperation. These are the girls with almost no personality and are boring so they do anything they can to bring attention to themselves

hmmm, the last female I spoke to with purple streaks in her hair and some pretty cool tats has personality plus ... she is a tad 'unusual' but far from without personality or 'boring'

She's a Drs receptionist which is where I spoke with her

My jury is still out re; some RP generalizations and this is one of them, although I agree with many of the posts here regarding *certain* types of tats and modifications

But I'm a weird sigma, who, for unknown reasons, was incredibly sexually turned on by pierced ears and tattoos when I was a child!

I no longer feel this way but from almost as far back as I can remember, (3-4yo and being *totally excited* by the babysitters pierced ears ... my mother's weren't, *and* tats did something similar, shit just reading the word 'tattoo' used to buzz me out!) this used to be the case! Go figure!?

I have no tats ... for two reasons, primarily they could be used as ID, and then becoz I could never decide "what to put where"

I think the fact there are so many tattooed people out there has taken away much of the novelty of tats for me, although I love to see great art on someone, all styles!

To close, I was at a train station and this DHB(DemureHotBabe ,, you know, your grandma would approve of her style! but still very pretty)7-8, who had this *amazing* tattoo of maybe 20 roses on a 'vine' type thing ... and I just *have to know why* she put this amazing art *on her fucking back* where *she can't even see it!*?

It's a complex enough piece to have cost heaps and taken several hours! No 'background', only 1cm pink roses on a fine green vine, brilliantly done!

And so I ask her straight up "why have you got such a beautiful tattoo on your back?" ,, and she replies along the lines of "I got it for *other people* to look at" I was astonished and not surprised at the same time! She had no other visible tats either, just the back awault!

anonymouse • 5 points • 17 October, 2014 03:23 PM

Before anybody chimes in to say they find tattooed chicks sexy, answer this: Would that same exact girl look sexier with or without the tats?

Depends on the tattoo. Ass antlers obviously aren't sexy - particularly when they're naked, but other tats can increase the sexiness.

Alegretron • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 06:29 PM

I love pretty tats(feather,butterfly,humming bird, artsy writing) on the ribs/obliques of a skinny fair skinned broad... Something sexy as hell about it.

anonymouse • 0 points • 17 October, 2014 06:37 PM

Yeah, side tats work pretty well. Back and front not so much.

Philhelm • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 04:28 PM

Generally, tattoos appear trashy to me (not to say that the individual actually *is* trashy), aside from perhaps a WWII Navy veteran with an anchor on his arm, or a 17th century pirate with tattoos. But then, I'm old school and look down upon men with earrings and other jewelry. What I'm trying to say is that if you're going to paint your skin and wear jewelry, it's only cool if you've killed someone.

If you look at the most powerful men in the world, most of them do not have tattoos (at least visible), although I'm sure the trend is shifting. Regardless, I doubt that I will ever see a president of the United States with a Mike

Tyson tattoo, an arm sleeves and a tongue ring. That would be cause for open rebellion.

svogliate • -1 points • 17 October, 2014 07:46 PM

aside from perhaps a WWII Navy veteran with an anchor on his arm, or a 17th century pirate with tattoos

I was going to post exactly this. These are places where traditionally you expect to find a tattoo. Hamsters who spout on and on about traditional nautical tattoos or Maori tribal this or that - honestly, lady, do you think the men who got anchors tattooed on them in the 19th century knew the word 'nautical'?

Zuglife99 • 3 points • 18 October, 2014 02:36 AM

There are different kinds of people, who get tattoos for different reasons. I live in an area where tattoos are very popular and every day more and more people are getting them.

What I've noticed is that, men are much more likely to get big tattoos (sleeves, back/chest pieces) and women are more likely to get small tattoos (wrists, back of neck, under boob). It also seems that men are more likely to pick something they want and go all in, and women will pick multiple little things (possibly because they can't fully commit).

The biggest thing with tattoos is the reason a person got them in the first place. I'd say guys are getting them because they are 'cool and attractive' to women, and women get them because they believe guys want girls with tattoos. However, there are people who get tattoos that have meaning to them, and don't use them as an accessory to increase SMV.

I got a tattoo on my 21st birthday of a German Shepherd. It's on my arm close to my shoulder, about the size of a closed fist. The tattoo has meaning to me, and I didn't get it to show off. I barely ever wear sleeveless shirts so the only time people even see it is if I am at the beach/pool or at home with nothing on. When people do see it for the first time (plates, new friends, etc) they are usually interested and ask about it. This is why I think people are getting those really big beautiful pieces on their bodies. It gets people asking about them, admiring the work and proves to be a bit of an ego boost. (Remember that many women are attracted to tattoos).

With that being said, look at the girls who get lots of big tattoos that men typically get. If you have them on social media, 80% of their photos will include 'tattoo slips'. People will comment and ask about their tattoos. It's an attention seeking method that proves effective. Men get the same attention for their tattoos, but men usually don't upload 20 photos of them like the girls do.

I think your view on tattoos also depends on whether you have any yourself. "Tattooed people don't care if you don't have tattoos" is a quote I see thrown around a lot, and it's true. It seems people without tattoos always have to voice their opinion when it has nothing to do with them.

Cyralea • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 05:48 PM

This kind of female solipsism extends to so many things. Being aggressive, loud, promiscuous, etc. Having that 6-figure paycheck from a career that requires 12-hour days. It's simply insane how feminism convinced women that these are things men *should* be attracted to, just because they *feel* that way.

I'll say this though; I do find tats on a woman sexually appealing, but it's the quickest way to disqualify herself for committing myself to.

lycanthr0py3 points 18 October, 2014 02:32 AM* **[recovered]**

My wife has two tasteful tattoos and comes from a strong family with an alpha dad who is a stand up guy. This is a bunch of bullshit pop psychology and generalizations. Be smarter than that. I find her just as sexy and maybe more with the tats. We both enjoy our tattoos. Not everything is part of a grand sexual game and needs to be

viewed through a redpill lens. Sometimes people just like tats, sometimes people find them sexy. You should allow for some nuance in your thoughts. One of hers commemorates the memory of a dead family matriarch who was important to her and another one is of her favorite animal she works to preserve as a passion. They are both black and white and done with precision and care by the only artist we use. They are beautiful. I have a bunch too and they are all custom and represent significant events and influences etc in my life. They don't affect my career as I am a skilled tradesman in high demand.

Kyfhomyoba • 1 point • 19 October, 2014 03:55 PM

NAWALT ALERT!

Did you read the studies?

tl;dr, You're wrong.

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 06:29 PM

Tattoo's are like pseudo-risk taking. Like taking a risk for people who afraid to take REAL risk. Kind of like how people think attacking christianity is risky/rebellious when it's accepted and even encouraged in the mainstream and often have no consequences. That's why women are so into it.

Christian_Kong • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 05:39 PM

Full disclosure: I think 99% of tattoos are awful, pointless and they downgrade the natural beauty of the female body.

Tattoos are one of the most trendy things of the past decade. Like every girl under the age of 25 has at least one. Why do 99% of people get them?..... because they think they look cool. That is the main reason people get them and I dont think you can label the majority of young people as broken because of them. Its just a passing trend.

As for women covered in tattoos I agree that they are (at least mostly) broken. I've known a fair amount of girls that have lots of tattoos and they are usually (blatently)mentally fucked. They are mental wrecks. They fuck everyone. They end up dating people and cheat constantly(this often validated because their equally tattooed up BF cheats on them all the time.)

I dont know if it is just coincidence, but I would wager to say the more/larger tats girls have the more likely they end up being blatantly fucked in the head. I havent dealt with one exception. Guys with tats on the other hand seem to be on a normal mix of characters.

iluminatiNYC • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 06:47 PM

I am never comfortable with thinking that any one sign equals problems. It can lead to lazy thinking and get you caught up much more than you would know otherwise. The only way to get to know a girl is to get to know a girl. Besides, you could just, ya know, talk to a woman. Most bad women have no problem identifying themselves if you think about it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 05:22 PM

Lets talk about the beta males who get as many visible tattoos as possible.

Just screams "trying to fit in".

Funny cuz I think probably 90% of tatts are garbage, yet Im a tattood bro myself.

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 06:26 PM

Man I work in IT and I see it more and more. Young guys will just come in one day with arm tattoos (guess eventually they will be "sleeves") but it's so out of character. These are quiet, shy skinny nerdy guys. I guess

I'm a little bitter for some reason, as I see how quickly they are losing meaning and I used to want one.

Burner1701 • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 06:15 AM

I'm all for them if they are tightly inked Lego bricks. :-)

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 06:35 PM

Totally.

Which is why I get all mine in non-visible areas. Because mine DO mean something to me and are very revealing of my inner workings. They are not meant to be shared with the world.

Basically there are two types of tattoos:

1. Tatts with the explicit intent to be seen. They have no meaning, they are simply cliché attempts at social signalling.
2. Artistic tatts. Tatts that are an expression of feelings.

But only too often shallow people get the two completely switched. "Oh you got an edgy piece of poetry on your forearm?! You are sooo artistic!". Not.

[deleted] 18 October, 2014 12:10 AM

[permanently deleted]

Burner1701 • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 06:17 AM

Times have changed, buddy, they are all getting tattoos. Take a look over on /r/tattoo.

[deleted] • 0 points • 18 October, 2014 03:37 AM

Two thirds of young people have tattoos. Not having tatts would be the thing to do if you want to be different.

Not bizzaro world at all.

And I'm not hypocritical. It would be hypocritical if I was saying this then getting the same fucking 10 tatts in the same 5 places as everyone else. The reality is all my tattoo are original artwork in places which can't be seen with normal cloths on. I'm serious trying to impress noone with them. They are for me and me only.

How insecure are you with your two full sleeves bro? Ultra-mega beta huh?

[deleted] 18 October, 2014 04:18 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] • 4 points • 18 October, 2014 05:33 AM*

Bbaaaaaahahahahahahahahahaaaaaa

LMAO.

Yup. I'm scared. That's why I don't get cliché poetry and owls tatted on my forearms like you do.
LOL

How's your flower colage turning out? Gotta cover up that barbwire I know.

Sorry that you don't like it but tons of visible tattoos and body mods is a textbook show of insecurity.

9 times out of 10 guys covered in ink are straight pussy. Deal with it. I love punking kids who think their coyfish sleeve makes them tough. I fucking love it.

Now go ahead. Curse at me some more little beta bitch.

[deleted] 18 October, 2014 05:40 AM

[permanently deleted]

Burner1701 • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 06:20 AM

Owls and now elephants, and octopi. There are so many owls over on /r/tattoos that you can use them as a drinking game.

[deleted] • 1 point • 21 October, 2014 05:53 PM

Have many hipster friends across the states, can confirm, it's the illuminatic influences brahs.

Burner1701 • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 06:19 AM

Getting a wrist tattoo isn't an example of being badass, it's an example of shopping.

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 06:42 PM

I find tattoo to be attractive on a girl.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 08:04 PM

Agreed. A couple of my previous girlfriends have had some really nice, classy ink. I find it really attractive, but that doesn't mean I find all tattoos attractive.

DumpyLips • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 07:42 PM

enter female solipsism...

I just want to comment that I think this is largely the reason for "nice guys" they're acting in the way they want women to act.

JP_Whoregan • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 09:28 PM

Of course they don't have a sense of long term planning. Because they have no clue that the nautical star they get on their upper titty at 24 is gonna look like a scraggly starFISH at 44.

johnnyann • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 09:38 PM

I like a really well done sleeve though, as long as the chick has no problem admitting that it was done for purely aesthetic reasons.

Maybe Im just weird, but I think some tats are hot as fuck, just like I like short hair on the right girl.

hairaware • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 01:02 AM

Id still find her sexier with the tattoos depending on what they are, the quality, and the positioning. Now you cant turn an ugly girl sexy by slapping some tats on her and I like untatted women as well but it usually gives them a +0.5-1 out of 10 based on the above factors.

Burner1701 • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 06:13 AM

What's risky about getting a tattoo?

whitey_male • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 07:54 AM

I think it's just a sign of the downfall of society. They remind me of when whitey was running around in the woods and shooting arrows, like some celtic tribe back when they were getting fucked over by the Romans and then later the Saxons.

Whitey has become so confused that they've regressed. They want to go back into the woods rather than face reality. Tatts are a sign of cowardice to me.

They sure work though, in getting puss that is, but you're still a coward, just hiding like a little vermin.

Heidiisablond • 1 point • 5 January, 2015 02:24 PM

Hmmm. This is interesting. I'm 28 with no tattoos. I'm a fairly attractive girl I think, I get hit on everyday, but my standards are high. My partner count is 2, including my current boyfriend. I have several tattoos I want to get, but I want them in places where I can hide them if I don't feel like being the girl with a tattoo. They're all Fandom concepts. I don't have any yet, because I'm scared I'll regret them.

I came across this by googling "submissive babygirl tattoos". I'm a feminist but also in a bdsm relationship with a daddy. I'm his babygirl. He's expressed an interest in marking me, so I've been considering it. Of course it would be somewhere no one would ever see but daddy and I. What's ironic though, is that when we first met, he was very turned on by the fact that i have no tattoos. He said it made me pure, innocent and special compared to other girls. But I think when a girl gets a tattoo, they're thinking it's going to make them special. But because practically every girl has them, they're really just sheepish.

[deleted] • -1 points • 17 October, 2014 03:25 PM

My sister and her co-ed volunteer group all got the same tattoo after they volunteered for two months during Katrina. I don't see how that makes her 'broken'.

let_terror_reign • 6 points • 17 October, 2014 04:08 PM

No statement ever is a blanket one covering each example in an infinitely large data set. It's a generalization. Doesn't imply there aren't outliers.

[deleted] • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 04:13 PM

I'm all about generalizing I mean that's what we do here but the ROK article says "Science confirms" and lists these dumb, irrelevant facts like:

Psychiatric patients with tattoos are much more likely..

Someone who is in a mental instituion is fucked up in the head but that has nothing to do with having a tattoo

let_terror_reign • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 04:31 PM

Isn't that the problem with correlation studies? They don't prove anything. They do find a reasonable similarity indicating that the presence of Y is frequent where, oddly enough, X is also present.

At no point does it say either one's presence explains the presence of the other.

This is why I'm not fond of correlation. You can't use it to make inferences.

WaterPotatoe • 14 points • 17 October, 2014 03:33 PM

Just a bit subject to group think

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 03:56 PM

They got it because it has a meaning not because it was attractive.

enticingasthatmaybe • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 05:32 PM

Myself along with every female I know who *fucking survived* Katrina; none of us felt the need to commemorate the event with permanent body ink.

If all her friends jumped off a bridge, would she do the same?

My sister and her **co-ed** volunteer group all got the same tattoo

100% guarantee one of the male volunteers had more than one pussy vibrating and they were all more than eager to follow him down to the tattoo parlor.

Claim 2: Tattoos indicate lack of foresight

Are women with tattoos less likely to oversee the consequences of their actions?

Science says:

Tattoos indicate impulsiveness (Kim, 1991). In students, tattooing is associated with risk-taking behaviors, including smoking and cannabis use (Heywood, 2012). Participants with tattoos or body piercings were more likely to have engaged in risk-taking behaviors and at greater degrees of involvement than those without either. These included gateway drug use, hard drug use, sexual activity, and suicide.

Gateway drug use was associated with younger age of both tattooing and body piercing. Hard drug use was associated with number of body piercings (Carroll 2002). In Croatian prisoners, tattoos correlated with lower IQs and those possessing them demonstrated significantly higher levels of impulsiveness than the non-tattooed group (Pozgain 2004). An overview of autopsy reports also revealed that persons with tattoos appear to die earlier than those without. A negative tattoo may suggest a predisposition to violent death, but is eclipsed by the presence of any tattoo (Carson 2014).

Verdict: TRUE

Impulsiveness, increased risk taking behavior, increased chance of death = Lack of foresight

alisonstone • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 08:56 PM

If successful people were to add a tattoo every time they succeed, they would be covered from head-to-toe. Why do young people in their 20s feel like they have experienced so many significant things that they need to commemorate them with so many tattoos? Chances are, they just want to rationalize getting the tattoo so they set the bar too low on what is important.

[deleted] • 0 points • 17 October, 2014 05:39 PM

Those studies have selection bias. Drug users, psychiatric patients, criminals, etc. are fucked up in the head for whatever reason. Some/alot of them also have tattoos.

But just because you have a tattoo does not mean that you are fucked up in the head.

enticingasthatmaybe • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 06:08 PM

Just because you're wearing a dress doesn't mean you're a woman.

rodepil • 4 points • 17 October, 2014 03:48 PM

They could have memorated that fact with something else like: - a groupphoto - something to wear (matching bracelets) - something to decorate the space where they live
Instead they choose a tattoo and are stuck with it for the rest of their lives.

[deleted] • 3 points • 17 October, 2014 04:05 PM

That's the entire point of a tattoo - to last the rest of their lives

callmeChopSaw • 1 point • 18 October, 2014 12:23 PM

As a heavily tattooed male that doesnt like tattooed women, im gonna go ahead and assume he means heavily tattooed women. Trust me, at least in the south there is a stigma.

ThousandTruths1 points 17 October, 2014 03:33 PM **[recovered]**

Yet somehow it's always the thin, sexy girls that get body and sleeve tattoos.

CNThrow • 6 points • 17 October, 2014 05:08 PM

I think this has to do with the fact that fat chicks always dream that someday they will lose the weight, and a tattoo that you got when you had lots of extra skin will look terrible afterwards.

alisonstone • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 07:50 PM

And most people add to their tattoos over time. People who are fat as adults tend to have been slowly gaining fat over time through their younger years. So if they got a small tattoo at age 18, and it looks all stretched and shitty at 21, they are not going to continue adding tattoos.

Snivellious • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 06:01 PM

This makes a lot of sense, but I think two caveats may apply to the point about tattooed chicks being less attractive.

1. Tattoos are a good indicator of things men may want *for hookups*. Damaged and risktaking can make for a way more interesting fling, which produces and association between tattoos and "freaky" girls.
2. Well placed tats can occasionally be attractive as art. I personally find a well-done sleeve beautiful not as a social symbol but in it's own right. It's decoration just like a necklace, but to a much higher degree. That said, this goes for extremely artistic tats in "acceptable" places.

Those are basically just summaries of why guys are sometimes drawn to tats, though. I realized reading this that even done as art, significant tatting would hugely turn me off for a LTR.

GIGANTIC_NIGGER_DICK • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 06:01 PM

I have a couple women I know, who have tattoos, that I'm extremely attracted to. One of them in particular, shes fucking sexy as hell.

That's said, I would not date them but I most definitely would fuck them.

Philhelm • 1 point • 17 October, 2014 08:28 PM

And another thing. I hate how everyone shows off their godawful tattoos like a bunch of attention starved whores. Look lady, I don't give a shit that you have a tattoo of some shitty song lyrics from a shitty pop song to commemorate the fiery automobile death of your cousin.

You keep using that word ("science"). I do not think it means what you think it means.

redpillschool[S] • -2 points • 17 October, 2014 07:25 PM

Oh cute, somebody didn't read the article...

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22153289>

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22616886>

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22726901>

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1781185>

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12042538>

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15119003>

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24926092>

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19651696>

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23938068>

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2333825>

[deleted] 18 October, 2014 12:03 AM

[permanently deleted]

DrXaos • 2 points • 18 October, 2014 01:12 AM

| Are we going to argue that men with tattoos are "broken?"

From a woman's point of view for a stable long-term relationship, yes.

"showed greater current instability with regard to accommodation and employment. Tattoos were significantly associated with a current diagnosis of personality disorder and alcoholism and past treatment for drug abuse and parasuicide."

One difference is that recently, male tattooing may be done by rational men to increase SMV on account of irrational SMV evaluation by women. As tattoos on females don't provide any SMV boost, a woman's self-tattooing is a more reliable signal of internal mental & emotional configuration.

Elim101 • 2 points • 18 October, 2014 11:38 PM

You're adopting an MRA-type of frame with this argument (Men and women are equal/similar). Just as men and women are attracted to different things, their actions can also have different meanings. In this case, risk-seeking behaviors for males is perceived as positive, while risk-seeking behavior in females is perceived as negative.

While I am personally opposed to all tattoos (unless you come from a culture in which it holds some special traditional meaning), the conclusion that men with tattoos are also "broken" could only be accurate if similar quality and quantity of evidence is provided.

[deleted] 19 October, 2014 12:02 AM

[permanently deleted]

Elim101 • 1 point • 20 October, 2014 06:24 AM

The conclusion that risk-seeking behaviors (and, for that matter, many other behaviors) are perceived differently based on sex is part of evolutionary psychology. Read the sidebar. If it is

a "prejudice" to point out that biological differences manifest in actions and perceptions, then I am proudly prejudiced on this matter.

[deleted] 20 October, 2014 01:30 PM

[permanently deleted]

Elim101 • 1 point • 20 October, 2014 03:09 PM

Tattoos are a form of body mutilation, so yes.

And you're a terrible troll.

Bucky_Goldstein • 0 points • 17 October, 2014 05:36 PM

well that makes a lot of sense, seeing as I was just dating a tattoo artist, so many of these things are true.

fuck

she was the first girl I dated with more than one or two tattoos, she had a chest piece and a sleeve, and her legs were covered. It initially seemed a bit intimidating, but after a while, its the same insecure girl underneath a tough looking facade.

And the constant desire for attention, her instagram profile was constantly flooded with guys complimenting her tattoos, and her going out of her way to find other tattooed people to compliment her while we were dating.

I'd say she had #1, 2, 3 and 5 from that list, although the sex from #1 was fun :D

yeahweewee • -1 points • 17 October, 2014 04:30 PM

only reason chicks looks sexier with tats because tats=dtf or they are super plain and makeup + interesting tats are the only thing they got going for them

Idle_Reddditing • 0 points • 17 October, 2014 05:18 PM*

Fuck it, I should get some tattoos. Ones where I would use low-quality ink on purpose so they can be easily removed with a laser.

I'll need to come up with some designs that are meant to look good with blurry edges as well as sharp ones.

EDIT: Get the tattoos so that easy chicks become easier. Then remove them later.

[deleted] • 2 points • 17 October, 2014 06:33 PM

haha. Yeah you just got me thinking, though. Holy shit. A lot of guys I know with these tattoos are soft spoken, kinda shy. Even out at bars I see descent looking guys, some definitely lift, but they don't approach women. It could definitely increase your chances of being opened by a female, be it eye contact or verbal.

But, every single guy friend that is good at approaching, are all void of tattoos. I think I'm going to refrain from getting one myself and just work on social skills.

BeatYourBunssss • 0 points • 17 October, 2014 06:18 PM

I think this depends on the size, location, personal significance, and amount of tattoos a chick has. One of my plates has three very small black tattoos on her person and she does not seem to be any more broken (strong family life, good relationship with her dad, low partner count, well educated) than any other chick I've messed around with.

Would I plate a chick that was covered from head to toe in colorful ink? Hell no, but 3 small black tats with personal significance don't bother me.

iiMSouperman • 0 points • 17 October, 2014 06:48 PM

Not gunna lie, I find a nice thigh tattoo/upper leg sleeve hot, but only on a hot leg.

It can add a bit of colour/difference/uniqueness to an otherwise fairly bland body part, good legs are good legs - but I have to agree. Women are hot anyway, adding the tattoo can either improve or decrease attractiveness; but it can't make it magically appear from zero.

TheCarm • 0 points • 18 October, 2014 04:47 AM

honestly i think girls with tats are sexy.. tells me they have a bit of a crazy, rocker side which i dig