

This is just how entitled to your money the average woman feels.

August 29, 2013 | 77 upvotes | by [Whisper](#)

http://np.reddit.com/r/AskWomen/comments/1l9oka/if_you_found_out_your_long_term_so_had_a_savings/

Archived from theredarchive.com

Comments

delta_hedge • 80 points • 29 August, 2013 05:29 PM

In the savings account is more than enough to cover a small wedding.

so .. this is what the savings should be used for?

makes a pretty good point why it was a good idea to hide the account from her.

MockingDead • 68 points • 29 August, 2013 05:48 PM

I can think of a hundred better uses for that money than a wedding, including burning it to keep warm.

But to a woman, it's not useful money unless it's used on her.

VZPurp • 28 points • 29 August, 2013 06:18 PM

They can only think terms of or in relation to themselves.

McMurphyCrazy • 21 points • 29 August, 2013 07:23 PM

I recently experienced this firsthand with my friend's girlfriend. While we were sitting around with another friend of his shooting the shit about work, anytime my friend would mention anything about his job to us his girlfriend would chime in with "oh yeah I met him, I know he's a good guy" or "Yeah you showed me that place" about everything. All I could think was who gives a shit? What does your personal experience add to this conversation? After listening to all of The Black Phillip Show with Patrice O'Neal and listening to other things from him about women my eyes have been opened to just how god damn simple these creatures are.

VZPurp • 13 points • 29 August, 2013 07:57 PM

Once you notice certain aspects of women, you see how they essentially apply to all women. It is no wonder game is pretty much universal. They don't deviate terribly much from expected properties.

Purpledrank • -1 points • 30 August, 2013 06:38 AM

Yes, women.

Purpledrank • 12 points • 30 August, 2013 06:36 AM

a small wedding

wow. Not only does she feel entitled to this money, but it isn't enough and he needs to buy her a big wedding. Even though there is money in the account, it isn't enough for her standard of a wedding... yet she's holding it over him now about how he has enough money for a wedding but won't spend it. What a fucking bitch.

jaketesnake76 • 12 points • 29 August, 2013 09:40 PM

Thus Socialism , if you have money saved you ought to spend it on me because i want it.

[deleted] • 6 points • 30 August, 2013 02:56 PM

They are already living socialistic, by him earning more and them having a joint account.

jaketesnake76 • 3 points • 30 August, 2013 04:48 PM

And most women that actually earn more just want to move on and trade up, my buddy is getting a divorce by some bitch that makes more than he does, he is to Beta , (i am trying to help him with red pill)

forgot_the_pw • 3 points • 30 August, 2013 02:04 PM*

Something about the guy still strikes me as a pussy though. I get sick of guys bitching out and telling women they can't get married b/c they can't afford a wedding or can't afford a ring. Bitch, yes you can. They can all be done on the cheapo-cheap. You just don't want to get married. Make your life easier and just say no.

He needs to boss up with his life.

Funniest about all of this is that it looks like a woman in the comments that did the same thing to her husband is getting kudos from the crowd, so this kind of behavior comes fully endorsed. edit: this comment lady

http://np.reddit.com/r/AskWomen/comments/1l9oka/if_you_found_out_your_long_term_so_had_a_savings/cbx2wz0

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 02:58 PM

The OP isn't getting any kudos. The post is heavily downvoted.

forgot_the_pw • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 03:20 PM

I'm referring to this post from the comments:

http://np.reddit.com/r/AskWomen/comments/1l9oka/if_you_found_out_your_long_term_so_had_a_savings/cbx2wz0

My original reply was vague and poorly written.

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 03:23 PM

And iconocast deserves kudos for that. What a jackpot to find a woman like that.

But the entitled bitch that started the thread didn't get much kudos (that's the current state of the thread though, maybe she had upvotes yesterday).

forgot_the_pw • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 03:31 PM

yeah, we're on the same page.

What I was getting at in a shitty-worded way is that what the woman in the comment is doing would be poorly received if it were reversed and reframed. "I manage my woman's decisions and money because she's too much of a child to do it herself. AMA."

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 03:50 PM

Ah, I get you now

Yeah, that reversal would probably sting the feminists too much

Clauderoughly • 78 points • 29 August, 2013 06:22 PM

Story time !

I used to live with a woman, whom I will call S.

S was my room mate and she was pretty much the stereo typical entitled woman you are always hearing about. I

Had zero interest in her as she was nutty as a fruit cake. I cut her some slack because she grew up in a fucked up household and was making a honest effort to put her life back together.

So I am in my room and I over hear S and her friends having a conversation. Apparently another girl (We'll call her P) has found out that her BF has a secret account with the good part of about \$50K stashed in it.

They spent the whole afternoon planning how P could spend that on a wedding.

My gf and I (now wife) both over heard this and facepalmed pretty damn hard.

My wife politely suggested that maybe he was keeping that for something like a house deposit, and it would be a far better use of the money.

She was summarily shouted down by that gaggle of entitled princesses.

I took the liberty of informing P's Bf that she knew about the account via an autonomous email, and two weeks later P had been kicked to the curb.

[deleted] • 38 points • 29 August, 2013 08:44 PM

The best part about this story was how it ended.

gekkozor • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 03:28 PM

Thank goodness he had the good sense. Some betas would have tolerated that sort of bullshit.

[deleted] • 11 points • 30 August, 2013 12:45 AM

You're a good person.

madstatistician • 17 points • 30 August, 2013 12:03 AM

Why the fuck does he even have a joint account with a woman who isn't his wife?

mdadm • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 01:14 AM

Because if she was his wife, she could divorce him and take away the money in his personal account as well. Better to know now.

Purpledrank • 4 points • 30 August, 2013 06:48 AM

because he wouldn't have this girl if it weren't for the joint bank account ;)

Moxiecontin • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 04:12 AM

They probably live together and split expenses. Maybe the bills are being paid right from the account?

[deleted] • 27 points • 29 August, 2013 05:50 PM

"He allows you to spend as much as you want from [the joint account.]"

Wait, what? She can just spend however much she wants??? What kind of lunacy is that? What about a budget?

chem_troll • 12 points • 29 August, 2013 06:51 PM

I think the account was his budget. Put the play money into the account, and keep the savings where she cannot spend it.

[deleted] • 8 points • 29 August, 2013 07:22 PM

But then that leads to, "Whoops honey, I just had to have that Gucci purse, now put more money in so we can buy groceries."

chem_troll • 4 points • 30 August, 2013 12:01 AM

and that is why he did not tell her it exists.

SnakeInTheAss • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 02:15 AM

Shrug 'guess it's kd for the next couple weeks'

And then he pulls a couple bucks out of his account and goes for steak without her.

[deleted] • 0 points • 30 August, 2013 03:00 PM

"If you want to eat until the next paycheck, you're gonna have to sell that purse"

t21spectre • 24 points • 29 August, 2013 06:08 PM

Name one woman you know who has a planed budget, and sticks to it.

DingFuckinDong • 24 points • 29 August, 2013 07:04 PM

My 90 year old grandmother.

That's about it though.

IllimitableMan • 23 points • 29 August, 2013 07:20 PM

Those women are proper women, pre-feminist women, not entitled little princesses. Men in the past had it good.

[deleted] 29 August, 2013 07:24 PM

[permanently deleted]

IllimitableMan • 6 points • 29 August, 2013 08:04 PM

I dunno, single guys might get the sex but if everyone was having flings nobody would have any family/intimacy except a single mother and shit would be pretty mentally unstable. I guess this is why so many people try to build up a good friendship network, to replace a non-existent family.

Purpledrank • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 06:39 AM

Go to a pre-feminist country. You'll be glad you did.

IllimitableMan • 0 points • 30 August, 2013 10:59 AM

Any suggestions? I've been told Poland but I know firsthand from Polish people that the countries slowly getting feminist since they all started going west for work.

Purpledrank • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 03:36 PM

Dial it back further than that. South east asia is awesome. The people are really nice. I was drinking beer with friends (all women, married) and they insisted each time to pour me a glass of beer. It's just like they really want to treat men well instead of having this bitter contempt over them that feminisists has instilled into the west. Femnazis have gotten women, men and children to hate and resent males. Oh also those countries aren't nanny states. I guess if you're not into asian chicks then you better get into them lol cause all the west countries are shit.

Hypnot0ad • 6 points • 29 August, 2013 08:14 PM

My younger sister put her husband on the Dave Ramsey plan, and paid off all their debt. My bro-in-law is terrible with money (even though he makes 3x what she does), so she is in charge of the finances. They've also been together since high school, and stayed together all through college. She just had her first baby. I'm proud of her.

veggie_girl • 3 points • 30 August, 2013 05:41 AM

What is your younger sisters background? Did she have to buy her own stuff from an early age?

Hypnot0ad • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 04:46 PM

Yes, my parents divorced when she was only 5. My father went through a period of depression and drug abuse, where he didn't work for almost a decade. We didn't get birthday presents from him half the time, and came to not expect them.

My mother eventually remarried but my stepfather was super cheap, and then they divorced when she was a freshman in college.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 August, 2013 07:21 PM

My friend's girlfriend, but she's also a workaholic.

VZPurp • 2 points • 29 August, 2013 08:32 PM

I know one, but even she went a bit overboard with the wedding, and splurges on expensive vacations around the world.

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 01:31 PM

I know a few.

There's a saying in my country; Nød lærer naken kvinne å spinne.

It roughly translates; Strife teaches naked woman to tailor.

[deleted] • 59 points • 29 August, 2013 07:56 PM

I wouldn't even say that he's lying. His private money is his fucking business. I see no reason for him to disclose his emergency fund/whatever else it may be, or to spend it on a wedding just because it's what his girlfriend wants. He's already given you god knows how much money through that joint account, get over yourself.

[deleted] • 14 points • 29 August, 2013 09:02 PM

Who are the bpers downvoting this? This man with the unfortunate name is absolutely correct.

veggie_girl • 13 points • 30 August, 2013 05:37 AM

Agreed.

And on the subject of his username. I'm glad usernames like his are allowed. While I may not agree with what it describes, the fact that he is allowed to say it makes me proud to be on reddit.

rebuildingMyself • 12 points • 30 August, 2013 09:07 AM

SRS is working night and day to take your pride away.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 10:05 AM

I couldn't agree more

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 03:05 PM

Maybe not all of the downvotes are real:

http://www.reddit.com/wiki/faq#wiki_how_is_a_comment.27s_score_determined.3F

rebuildingMyself • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 09:07 AM

There was a hamster leak in this thread. Maybe r/the bluepill?

[deleted] • 5 points • 30 August, 2013 02:44 AM

It might also be likely that he just doesn't think its important to tell her / anyone, as he sees it as casual thing everyone has.

Moxiecontin • 6 points • 30 August, 2013 04:06 AM

That's what I thought. No one keeps *all* of their money in a joint account, that's just silly!

rompastomp12 • 1 point • 31 August, 2013 08:35 AM

Fucking spit my drink when I read the username. LOL

thebears1986 • 23 points • 29 August, 2013 07:51 PM

She doesn't understand "they" don't have the money for a wedding. "He" does, but "they" don't. She takes no responsibility for her lack of a savings account. He is an ATM to her.

So now she is conflicted. She just discovered that her live in BF has even more money than she knew. Even more provider points for him and now she wants to be married even more than before. Simultaneously she is realizing she isn't even on the marriage track.

Hopefully this guy sticks to his guns. 0% chance this girl can acknowledge her deficiencies in the potential wife department and fix those.

OutsideTradingIn • 9 points • 30 August, 2013 12:59 AM

He might not have enough money for a wedding either. Just because you have money doesn't mean you can afford something. The ones who don't realize this are the ones who don't have enough money.

JoshtheAspie • 3 points • 31 August, 2013 08:30 PM

For all we know he's got that money set away for his father's Kemotherapy, or he's saving up to replace his current junker of a car, so he doesn't have to buy one with loans, in order to keep getting to work.

[deleted] 29 August, 2013 06:05 PM

[permanently deleted]

VZPurp • 28 points • 29 August, 2013 06:23 PM

Women only understand money in the context of spending it.

[deleted] 29 August, 2013 08:36 PM

[permanently deleted]

VZPurp • 11 points • 29 August, 2013 08:38 PM

No, they can be convinced to spend on many useless items. Most sales and marketing have caught on and thus advertise to women, who have access to their own money and that of men, and spend it wastefully and without thought.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 07:31 AM

Indeed. There's a reason most commercials are about makeup, body products, clothes collections etc.

mechakingghidorah • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 01:30 PM

Yes, but only if it brings them status or benefits them. Women will spend hundreds of dollars on skin creams and the like, but suggest you want to buy an expensive collector's edition video game and watch how they turn their noses up.

VZPurp • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 04:54 PM

Nah, they'll buy something equally frivolous. The importance is who it's for. That expensive video game is for you, not her, so she's unimpressed.

gekkozorz • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 03:34 PM

So true.

In my city, the local jewelry store has commercials running on the radio seemingly 24/7. They are some of the worst commercials I have ever heard in my entire life ("Want to buy her a sparkling gift that will last for a *lifetime*?" and horseshit like that) but I have to imagine they get played so much is because that shit actually works on their target demographic.

[deleted] 30 August, 2013 12:55 AM

[permanently deleted]

veggie_girl • 11 points • 30 August, 2013 05:29 AM*

When you are making more than that per year come talk to me. In the meantime, you are bringing up personal details to deceptively appear that you are adding to the conversation when all you are doing is broadcasting noise in the shape of self-promotion.

You want validation because you are a woman who saves money? Try /r/frugal.

There is no point in bringing up "but I'm a NAWALT even though the generalization is true!" It doesn't add value to conversation and everyone here is very aware that good habits exist in the world.

People aren't going to upvote a woman seeking validation here because this is a space tailored for men and everyone here can see right through that.

VZPurp • 5 points • 30 August, 2013 01:14 AM

Congrats

[deleted] 30 August, 2013 01:47 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 30 August, 2013 03:34 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 30 August, 2013 03:38 AM

[permanently deleted]

[deleted] 30 August, 2013 04:11 AM

[permanently deleted]

rebuildingMyself • 6 points • 30 August, 2013 09:04 AM

Yeah who knew a hamster could spin three paragraphs from one word? I'm impressed honestly.

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 12:47 PM

This place isn't for you. We don't give a fuck about your feelings. As far as we are concerned your just another dumb cunt. Get out of here you harpy.

SnakeInTheAss • 6 points • 30 August, 2013 02:13 AM

Yawn. You need more words for that essay. I'm happy you are a woman though. It was good of you to inform us about that so quickly.

[deleted] 30 August, 2013 02:49 AM*

[permanently deleted]

SnakeInTheAss • 3 points • 30 August, 2013 03:58 AM

I'm sorry, I can't seem to sort through the comprehensive set of words you've utilized in an effort to impress us with your intellect. Seriously, calm the fuck down and drop the thesaurus for a second. You aren't writing a thesis here.

OutsideTradingIn • -4 points • 30 August, 2013 04:06 AM

better if i talk lik diz?

SnakeInTheAss • 7 points • 30 August, 2013 04:12 AM

Yup. Too many letter though. Tone it down a bit. You're still writing things.

VZPurp • 3 points • 30 August, 2013 02:57 AM

I meant good job. I did not intend for luck to be implied, although I'm sure "luck", in the sense of better conditions and opportunities occurring in place in time, is present in all of our successes.

[deleted] • 3 points • 30 August, 2013 12:45 PM

STFU. You should have never brought up your personal life at all you solipsistic bitch. It proves nothing. It changes nothing. You can shove your tired NAWALT argument where the sun don't shine.

foamster • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 11:25 AM

You're trying so hard to sound like you're intelligent and sophisticated and yet you just aren't.

Uncle_Creepy123 • 1 point • 6 September, 2013 08:52 PM

Sounds like you.

[deleted] 30 August, 2013 03:47 AM

[permanently deleted]

OutsideTradingIn • -7 points • 30 August, 2013 03:56 AM

Well, \$120k actually but it's practically the same; I know it's not wealth yet. I'm working on it :)

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 12:43 PM

It ain't all about you dear. We don't give a fuck about your personal situation.

[deleted] 30 August, 2013 04:44 AM

[permanently deleted]

OutsideTradingIn • -5 points • 30 August, 2013 04:46 AM

Thanks :)

dnietz • 10 points • 29 August, 2013 11:21 PM

I'm actually guessing that she is minimizing a few things in her favor because revealing it fully would make her look bad in AW.

I bet he makes way way more money than her. not:

""He earns more than you because he's full time and you're only part time.""

I'm guessing that she makes something like 20k a year and is holding on to dear life for the day they get married so she can quit.

I'm guessing he makes a high income and that is why he can afford to give her so much play money.

I'm also guessing that her phrase ""more than enough to cover a small wedding."" is really describing something like \$100k. So, she is salivating at her potential future life style and is trying to think of a way to guilt him into marrying her.

If you think about it, let's say he makes 200k a year and she makes 20k. It is almost pointless for her to work after they get married. She is obviously not carrier minded if she is already part time.

I suspect that one of the main qualities that has attracted her to him is his income and lifestyle. I bet he knows it too, and is wisely keeping some insurance against a total wipe out on the side.

Say that someday a breakup comes. If she has access to everything, she could potentially wipe it all out/spend it/transfer it somewhere within a day.

rebuildingMyself • 4 points • 30 August, 2013 09:09 AM

It was a mistake to have a joint account in the first place. If I was him I'd look up common law information in his area.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 03:09 PM

If she has access to everything, she could potentially wipe it all out/spend it/transfer it somewhere within a day.

I've never had a joint account, but aren't there limits and both signatures would be necessary to go over those limits?

dnietz • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 04:13 PM

Typically no. If your name is on the account, you spend it all with one check.

Perhaps the ATM has a limit, but you can show up at the teller and withdraw all of it in cash immediately.

There are some limitations, but those are for some things like closing the account or changing features and such. Certain actions of those types would require both to approve.

That is typically what I have seen as I have had several friends go through divorces.

otisofo • 6 points • 29 August, 2013 06:17 PM

LooooooooL that is hilarious. If the man doesn't want marriage he doesn't want it. I have respect and feel sorry for him a bit. Respect for having his own savings though. I agree lying may not have been the best way but there might not have been a better way that wouldn't cause him drama

vengefully_yours • 7 points • 29 August, 2013 06:40 PM

The savings account is on a need to know basis, she doesn't need to know. It isn't lying when you don't provide details or information that was not specifically requested, as long as you are truthful about everything else that was specifically asked.

I would save money with both women I was married to, care to guess what happened when they found out about it, or when I got a lump sum from something? It's far better to keep a woman completely in the dark about your finances than to allow her access to it with full visibility. They will spend everything you have and more.

otisofo • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 06:58 PM

First point. I misunderstood I skimmed and thought she asked why they couldn't get married and he said they didn't have the money when in fact they technically did. My bad bro

Second point: I agree with the last point

[deleted] • 7 points • 29 August, 2013 07:22 PM*

The money could have been budgeted or earmarked for something else, in which case they do not have enough money for a wedding. Maybe he is planning to start a business or make an investment. Maybe he inherited it and he has special instructions on what he can do with it.

I have a handful of accounts, some of them have six figure sums in them, but they are not for spending. The account for spending has between \$5k-\$10k in it. It is not uncommon at all for people who are well off (I'm not even talking about being 1%, more like being in the top 1/2) to have multiple accounts and to have substantial positive networth, but not have "spending" money. Retirement accounts, business accounts, college savings plans, trusts, etc, are not spending money to be frivolously thrown away at a big party. For all we know, the guy could be putting his entire paycheck into the joint account and he isn't lying about anything.

A financially sane person's definition of "afford" doesn't mean that they have enough money on hand, it is having enough money after meeting all other obligations. A financially crazy person can always "afford" because you can borrow money. Unfortunately most people are financially crazy.

vengefully_yours • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 07:02 PM

Not your bad, just trying to clarify for the edification of anyone else reading along at home.

SnakeInTheAss • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 02:19 AM

HE does. Not they. They have a joint account that he puts more money into then her. If the bitch wants a wedding, she should stop spending money out of that account so that they can have one.

His savings aren't to be pissed away on one stupid princess day.

Max___Power • 10 points • 29 August, 2013 06:25 PM

Yeah you don't lie about it. You say "This savings account is full of my money and you get zero access to it. Don't like it? Tough shit."

otisofo • 0 points • 29 August, 2013 06:41 PM

You Damn skippy!!!

Although I understand he doesn't want to hear her bullshit so he may keep it a little secret

Max___Power • 10 points • 29 August, 2013 07:02 PM

I literally cannot comprehend that line of thinking. If she gives you shit for not giving her all your hard earned money to spend, you throw her out on her ass and make her fend for herself.

veggie_girl • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 05:54 AM*

This is something all parents need to remember with their kids when they start getting 15-16 years old.

When I asked for money my dad he instead gave me two things: A book on how to start your own business, and job listings... It was one of the best lessons I ever received.

Parents that give their kids everything are setting them up for failure. Because aside from your parents, nobody else in the world will give you things for free with no strings attached.

Max___Power • -1 points • 30 August, 2013 12:05 PM

You really gotta stop with the "I agree. Here, have a completely unrelated anecdote about meee" posts. They add nothing to the conversation. You can contribute without weaseling in a story about yourself every single time.

veggie_girl • 0 points • 30 August, 2013 01:18 PM

Thanks!

father_fig • 2 points • 29 August, 2013 06:41 PM

I agree lying may not have been the best way but there might not have been a better way that wouldn't cause him drama

Did I miss something? I did not see them say he lied. I saw that he did not tell her about it which I assume they were equating to a lie. I don't see not telling someone about my past banking habits as a lie.

Also, from a standpoint of marriage (which is another good reason why marriage is a bad idea) any man that has kept money away from his wife will be found out when they research his entire financial life to ensure she gets the size of his pie that they feel she is due. Apparently, girlfriends don't have a right to know this.

On a side note, there was a post earlier about a woman who lost her bf when he found out she had an abortion in the past. They all said it was none of his business and it had nothing to do with him. There is a small chance, however, of future birth defects or pregnancy complications due to past abortions so in a sense it could have affected his decision to make babies with her.

Women and men simply see stuff like this differently. We hide what can make us vulnerable to the opposite sex.

otisofo • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 06:56 PM

Mhmm

SnakeInTheAss • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 02:16 AM

I don't see where he lied? He didn't tell her about an account that was none of her fucking business. That isn't lying.

[deleted] 30 August, 2013 02:07 AM

[permanently deleted]

AboveAFC3 points 30 August, 2013 05:30 AM [recovered]

Why is it everyone assumes he "lied"? Omission is not a crime with a GIRLFRIEND.

InfraredPillpusher • 4 points • 29 August, 2013 08:12 PM

The problem with revealing additional money is the potential for the joint account to no longer be viewed as the limit of funds. The temptation, or just the option, to spend more of the joint account is introduced.

I save money with every paycheck. I know that savings is there if I need it (peace of mind, future plans), but I pretend like it doesn't exist so I'm not tempted to spend beyond my non-savings budget. How likely is someone else to respect that, especially if they didn't earn it in the first place?

tomganks • 4 points • 29 August, 2013 09:17 PM

In it is a very substantial sum of money.

In the savings account is more than enough to cover a small wedding.

I think we have different definitions of "very substantial" dear. She's probably all over his ass over this and the account probably doesn't even have 5 figures in it. Poor fella just probably wants a small little money for fun that she doesn't have to approve.

Mouthpiece • 9 points • 29 August, 2013 09:46 PM

This man is no more obligated to share his savings account against his will, than she would be to share her body against her will.

[deleted] • 5 points • 30 August, 2013 01:40 PM

But it's about the trust not the money, ya know? Because trust is this tangible thing that takes the form of money, ya know?

puck_marln • 9 points • 29 August, 2013 08:08 PM

They aren't married. His finances are none of her business as long as he's paying for his half of your joint living expenses (assuming they are living together).

Even if they do get married that savings account is none of her business beyond "I have it and \$XXXX is in it.) It's money he earned on his own, before marriage and he should be able to do what he wants with it.

The WORST thing you can do when you get married is combine finances. Strike that, the worst thing you can do in any relationship, marriage or not, is combine finances. There's no reason to do it. You have your account, she has hers, and there's a 3rd joint account for household expenses/fun money(dining out, etc...) that you both contribute to **equally**.

[deleted] • 8 points • 29 August, 2013 08:59 PM

"I have it and \$XXXX is in it.

I don't even think that part is her business. That is all his. There is absolutely no reason that his personal financial situation needs to be shared with anyone. The female expectation that he needs to disclose this (and equating omission to lying) is way out of line.

puck_mar1n • 0 points • 29 August, 2013 09:09 PM

I think the amount in the account might be her business if they were in a serious relationship/living together/married. If only because it might be useful information to know in an emergency. For example, dude gets in a bad automobile accident, ends up in a coma, etc... and the money is needed to pay his bills.

I don't see a problem with disclosing the amount of money, it's her expecting access to it that bothers me.

[deleted] • 4 points • 29 August, 2013 09:22 PM

That's what parents and relatives are for.

I suppose I can see the point you are making, but the level of commitment would have to be extreme for me to make any mention of it. And even then, I would make sure to point out that it is all mine and she has no voice over its use what so ever.

puck_mar1n • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 09:25 PM

Obviously every situation is different. I'm not going to tell the chick I just started dating a month ago that I have \$10k in savings. The girl I've been living with for a year on the other hand...

Regardless, you are correct in saying that you must make the point that she has no control over the money and under no circumstances would I put her name on the account, even for emergency purposes.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 August, 2013 09:14 PM*

Ease up tommy turbo. If I make 140k and she makes 40k, I think it's unreasonable she contributes equally. If you disagree, you shouldn't get married. There's nothing wrong with that. It's a voluntary financial agreement that you don't have to enter.

I still agree that combined finances is an absolute mistake, though, even if you're married and sharing everything. Also it's smart because it's one of the few prenups that would actually hold up in divorce - we pooled our money, paid the necessary bills, then split the rest down the middle. The fact that after 10 years of marriage, I have \$250,000 in savings and she has \$11.17 is no accident. Even in a community property state, this would hold up.

EDIT: I'm not pretending to be an attorney, but I did specifically ask this question to a veteran divorce attorney in Scottsdale, AZ, a community property state.

puck_mar1n • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 09:23 PM

If I make 140k and she makes 40k, I think it's unreasonable she contributes equally.

Yes and no. I think it depends on your living situation and I think that the only household expense where should couldn't equally contribute would possibly be the mortgage. Everything else should be a small enough bill where it wouldn't matter.

I just happen to be a fan of economic equity in terms of contributions to a relationship but I can understand where other people might not agree with that.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 09:43 PM

Believe me, I'm on board with the RP, but don't turn this into the He-man Woman Haters Club.

There's a huge difference between what I make and what my gf makes. If we got married, there's no way I'm going to have her eating ramen while i feast on the finest lobsters boiled in clubbed baby seals' tears. At the same time, she isn't going to take over finances and live like Marie Antoinette either. If I decide to marry her, we split things - that is the legal financial agreement of marriage. For my own protection and to encourage her budgeting, she will have her own finances. She will have an allowance, to buy her own car, to buy her clothes, to pay for her insurance. She'll see a much more direct connection between getting a ticket and having less. She'll see a much more direct connection between trading a car in for a new one every two years and having less. I guarantee she (any girl) will manage and budget money better when it directly affects themselves by having to take ownership of their own allowance.

If they still suck at budgeting, then it's fair and I'm punished less. Sure, I'd have less money than if I wasn't married, but I chose to get married. And if we did get divorced, this would allow me some protection for the assets I'd accumulated during the marriage.

puck_mar1n • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 09:49 PM

If we got married, there's no way I'm going to have her eating ramen while i feast on the finest lobsters boiled in clubbed baby seals' tears.

I'm not saying that. I'm saying that I'd settle for the happy medium that was affordable for both people.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 10:19 PM

I'm not sure what you're asking. In the example I gave, starting point is 35k and 118k after tax incomes. You're asking us to both live on 35k each? What happens to the other 83k/year? Charity? Retirement? Her divorce settlement?

It seems like a really non-pragmatic and spiteful move that only increases risk for yourself. If you put that money away, believe me, she's legally entitled to half of it. You get to live like a pauper putting money away for a nest egg, but you never get the nest egg because she divorces you and takes it.

Don't get me wrong, I think a man has to take a lot of precautions to defend himself financially. But the attitude shouldn't be adversarial or spiteful in nature. What's the point of that.

puck_mar1n • 0 points • 29 August, 2013 10:34 PM

Yeah, I'm not sure I'm making myself clear.

If I were to get married I'd always make sure there was a pre-nup. That's common sense and marriage 101 these days.

Additionally, regardless of difference in income, I'd push to live in such a way that we could split the important bills equally. Obviously I would have more left over at the end of the month that she did and I'm not saying don't spend that on her/us/whatever, I'm just saying that bills should be split 50/50.

I'm not saying split the bills and put your extra money in a savings account she can't touch. If I make more than she does then maybe I'm responsible for paying for more of the "fun" stuff we do. I'm fine with that as long as we share the bills equally.

What I want to avoid is a situation where I make \$150k, she makes \$60k and I'm paying the majority of the bills because she's using the "You make more than me" excuse.

[deleted] • 3 points • 30 August, 2013 02:54 PM

I fear the backlash, but I am kind of in this situation, but reverse. I am the secret money hoarding liar. **I make more than the mister** (about 30k) more, and I am the money freak between the two of us. **He thinks that I earn considerably less than he does**, and that the vast majority of my income is tied up in repaying student loans. I also take care of his finances, because he is bad at it. I can answer questions about how I do it, and even why, but given that you want reactions from the deceived, all I can do is explain how I hope he will react when I tell him about some details in December. Because he has absolutely no idea about the state of his or my finances, **he doesn't know that his 30 year mortgage (in December) will have been paid off in just 6 years**. We haven't had vacations or new cars or nice things since we bought the house because (among other things) **I have thrown so much money at the house. I hope that he will be happy when I tell him that he is officially debt free.**

What an angel. What a lucky guy.

the_red_scimitar • 5 points • 30 August, 2013 01:23 AM

I don't see it. First off, OP is asking, not claiming she has any right to it.

Secondly, after reading the first 10 or so responses, they were mostly very rational, telling her, in essence, it was his money, he's subsidizing her lifestyle, and he has no obligation to share all his money, especially as she makes less.

So... not a great blue pill example.

thenewbiehomemaker • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 12:13 PM

At first glance, you are right. She is just asking.

However, in my experience women do that a lot. They ask a question that is really just a statement in disguise. The question/statement in this particular post is "Am I wrong for being concerned about this, because I don't think I am!" Women ask questions that they already have created an answer for in order to attain validation from other women ALL THE TIME. The question is only asked to create the illusion of humility.

I think that's what the guys in this thread are seeing in her question about her SO's bank account.

Source: I have a vagina.

the_red_scimitar • 0 points • 30 August, 2013 06:08 PM

To be fair, all people do that. Not just women.

Source: I have human DNA. Ok, so it's in a jar, along with those tissue samples, but I HAVE SOME!

thenewbiehomemaker • 1 point • 31 August, 2013 07:37 PM

You are right. I've seen it in both genders, i've just happened to see it more frequently in women. Also, i'm glad you have DNA. I thought the subreddit was letting cyborgs in here. : D

JoshtheAspie • 1 point • 31 August, 2013 08:47 PM

Technically, cyborgs are a hybrid of living tissue and mechanical parts. The "borg" from Star Trek are a common example.

That which has no DNA is a robot (of which "android" is a subtype).

thenewbiehomemaker • 1 point • 31 August, 2013 10:48 PM

I just got schooled.

soapjackal • 7 points • 29 August, 2013 06:31 PM*

To be fair the two top rated comments are about it being fuckd up to lie. They make the caveat that this outrage is only legitamate if they did not find out via crafty schemes. So it's unlikely that it matters that he lied.

I'm not going to give an RP strategy, but as a man you shouldn't have said we can't afford it, you should have said I don't won't to. Hiding behind lies is not always a very sound plan.

EDIT:

I'm not saying I like what she did or wants, what I'm saying is that he was deceitful and that should not be applauded. If he didn't want to get married he should just say no.

kesa_maiasa8 points 29 August, 2013 07:02 PM **[recovered]**

As a man, you shouldn't have a joint bank account, ever. Especially since you're not even married to this person yet.

soapjackal • 3 points • 29 August, 2013 07:04 PM

I never said he should. My only point being that if you don't want to get married, don't say 'we can't afford it' just say you don't want to. If you're giving someone false expectations, I don't see it being a worthwhile strategy.

It's like having a harem with a girl who wants things to be one on one. Unless she asks you have no reason to say anything, but if she does and you lie there probably will be consequences.

[deleted] • 5 points • 29 August, 2013 08:18 PM

It might be that really he thought 'they couldn't afford it' due to the fact that his saving are not enough for a wedding. For example, let's say his saving are \$50K and he is planning to buy a house, 20% for a down payment on a \$200K house is \$40K, plus closing costs. He has no money for a wedding, even a small one. Technically he was not lying, the wedding is not his top priority, so they cannot afford it.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 August, 2013 09:16 PM

good point. We don't know what his plans for that money are. Really, there is a minimum about of money that should be saved up waiting specifically for emergencies. This amount could be anywhere from 10-20 thousand. Alternatively, it could be based on cost of living for 6 months to a year.

At no point should an account be depleted below this amount for anything other than an emergency. Not even a house or other legitimate purpose, let alone a wedding.

soapjackal • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 09:48 PM

Good points all around

vengefully_yours • 3 points • 29 August, 2013 06:43 PM

Its not really a lie they cant afford it, because having money put away where nobody will touch it makes good sense. It is in his budget and plan for the future that a certain amount is saved and not touched for anything short of dire emergencies or retirement.

When you consider the money that goes in is not in the daily living budget which is what the party for her getting a ring, it isnt lying. She simply is unaware of everything that goes on to support her part time ass.

soapjackal • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 07:06 PM

I concur, but that's not what I was saying.

I'm any saying that he should not have given her the impression that he did want to get married but couldn't afford it, rather than the truth that he doesn't want to, or he doesn't want to be tied to her financially. There is no need for the dishonest behavior. I would also add that I disagree with the dishonest behavior she probably used to acquire the knoweldge in the first place. I'm not pro-greedy girl, I'm pro-honesty.

vengefully_yours • 2 points • 29 August, 2013 08:03 PM

One of my other replies in here addressed that. Its different when you are married, but still if you want to save something you do not let a woman know it exists.

The savings account is on a need to know basis, she doesnt need to know. It isnt lying when you dont provide details or information that was not specifically requested, as long as you are truthful about everything else that was specifically asked.

I would save money with both women I was married to, care to guess what happened when they found out about it, or when I got a lump sum from something? Its far better to keep a woman completely in the dark about your finances than to allow her access to it with full visibility. They will spend everything you have and more.

soapjackal • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 08:08 PM

I concur. My only thing I would highlight is the last sentence of the first paragraph

InterNetting • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 04:32 AM

I'm just shocked at how many dudes let their women control their finances.

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 01:11 PM

Hard copy:

If you found out your long term SO had a savings account with lots of money in, that he'd never told you about, how would you react? (self.AskWomen) submitted 1 day ago by ta337760 Say you've been with your partner for a while, you live together and share a bank account, etc; you are both fairly open with your money. He earns more than you because he's full time and you're only part time. As far as you're concerned, he puts all his wages into the joint account, and he allows you to spend as much as you want from it. Somehow, you find out he has a savings account that you knew nothing about. In it is a very substantial sum of money. He had never told you about this account. As a sidenote, he has said he doesn't want to get

married because it's a luxury we can't afford, even though marriage is something that means a lot to you. In the savings account is more than enough to cover a small wedding. How do you react? Would you be pissed because you're supposed to be open about finances, and this savings account shows that he's obviously secretive? Or do you let it go, because hey it's his money, and the money that goes into our joint account is enough to cover our lifestyle?

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 03:21 PM

In these threads:

If you think having money means you can afford it -> He lied about not being able to afford marriage and should have just told her that he doesn't want to marry her.

If you think having money **doesn't** mean you can afford it -> What a stupid bitch.

kingofdonairs • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 02:52 AM

The funny thing about all this is that she didn't stop to think that maybe he was saving enough money to one day marry her? Or that maybe he would like to retire someday?

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 02:40 PM

I wonder why she never explained how she found out about his secret account and how much was in it..

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 02:50 PM

This woman is so self centered and idiotic that even the hamsters downvote her (assuming people here are sticking to NoParticipation).

ssddnc • 1 point • 1 September, 2013 09:41 PM

I had an account that from each check a super modest \$50usd (per week) was being deposited in. I had a nice nest egg for whatever may occur. My ex wife did not have a job had every excuse in the book as to why she didn't or shouldn't need to get one. Fast forward a bit wife is out with her sister and friends at the bar spending 150 ish every week. And was putting it on a credit card. She finds out i have another bank account loses her shit makes me close it. I transfer the money to another account I already had again without her access and close the other account. I find out she has been seeing an ex bf we separate She no long has access to my account hates life with no money. New wife and I split bills have separate accounts and are financially independent and its amazing!

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 August, 2013 08:38 PM

Am I the only person that read the comments and the consensus seems to be that the women would be upset due to the deceit?

Why is everyone here getting so upset and defensive when none of the women are saying they're entitled to the money?

Whisper[S] • 14 points • 29 August, 2013 09:00 PM

"I don't like this because he is lying" is a rationalization.

First of all, failing to mention something that isn't her business is not a lie.

Second, if he had a bunch of old furniture in storage that he never mentioned, do you think they would be upset about that?

Third, if you start making hypothetical plans for that money, you don't get to claim that it's about the lie, not

the money.

Ksight3-1 points 29 August, 2013 09:44 PM* [recovered]

On phone so I'll be editing for each point.

1. From what I've read, the couple in question have a joint account together and it was at the very least assumed the finances were shared, with both parties being open with money. When a new account appears out of nowhere, I would feel I have been duped. If our finances were kept separate, then yes, it would be none of my business.
2. No, because old furniture isn't really significant / relevant to a relationship
3. No woman over there has commented "well now that the money exists, it better be spent on me!"

xAorta • 1 point • 31 August, 2013 01:31 AM

If old furniture is not significant, the money should not be either. He is already paying over his share of the bills so I see no issue with him choosing to do whatever he likes with anything outside of the joint account.

The openness about money is completely assumed and is never outright stated in a "he said he had this amount, he actually had this amount". He would not be treated this way if a distant family member that he failed to mention had shown up, so this is clearly about the money and not the lack of disclosure.

Either you are saying he needs to sit down and tell her every minute detail about his life that is not her business or you are saying she has some right to this money when she does not. Or there is further information that she has not shared such as him explicitly stating that the money did not exist prior to her finding out about the account.

[deleted] • 1 point • 31 August, 2013 01:41 AM

Yeah somehow life isn't "there should be full disclosure of every detail, or none at all"

Nor do you understand the concept of disclosure vs. entitlement

Literally NO woman over there has stated she feels entitled to something that isn't hers which by the way is the point of this thread. All of you on the other hand seem to think "oh that's just a woman's way of saying she is entitled to XYZ" which I disagree because it's spelled out if you read what they wrote.

[deleted] • 7 points • 29 August, 2013 09:01 PM

Omitting information about your personal assets is neither deceit nor lying. None of those woman have any right to that information.

[deleted] • -4 points • 29 August, 2013 09:50 PM

I would agree with you, but in the example, the finances between the couple were shared. Kind of becomes their business if that's the case wouldn't you agree?

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 12:37 PM

No at all. What he earned is his.

[deleted] • 3 points • 29 August, 2013 09:16 PM

Don't take offense, but have you ever argued with a woman?

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 09:49 PM

Yes. Your point being?

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 August, 2013 10:02 PM

They're going rogue. This is about trust, not about wanting to spend your money on a wedding. O'rly. You can't just say gimme ur goddamn money.

What deceit? They're all clamoring for that, but it only makes sense if you start with the assumption that she is entitled to spend his money, specifically in this case, on a wedding. This is classic girl arguing.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 10:12 PM

So you're telling me...you and your partner have a joint account together, you are both open about BOTH of your finances, and when an account full of money suddenly gets found, there's no deceit whatsoever?

If I was the woman in this scenario, I would lose my trust as well.

[deleted] • 2 points • 29 August, 2013 10:28 PM

The only way I would feel there was deceit is if I was in a serious relationship with a woman and we had a serious pre-marriage conversation about financial disclosure.

"Look, we're going to be getting married soon, so let's put all our cards on the table so there's no surprises and we can organize our financial plan of attack: My credit score is 740, I have \$8,400 left in student loans, I have ~\$85,000 in my IRA, I have an emergency fund with \$12,500, I have a housing down payment account with \$42,000, I don't have any credit card debt, but I carry ~\$1200 on my amex."

If the girl then withheld the fact that she was hugely in debt or had a huge nest egg, then I would lose trust. That's not at all what happened here. And what makes you think they were open about their finances. She makes less than him so he opened a joint account and subsidizes her lifestyle. Did he ever say, "this is all I make, my money is your money." No. She just feels entitled to it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 10:51 PM*

The opening line of that thread is that they live together, share finances, and the OP specifically stated they are open about money. No mention about marriage sure, but that sounds like a pretty serious relationship to me.

[deleted] • 1 point • 29 August, 2013 11:01 PM

She may have indeed made all sorts of assumptions, but unless they have a financial disclosure conversation, it is just assumptions. Specifically the assumption that she is entitled to everything he has simply because he subsidizes her lifestyle.

AboveAFC1 points 30 August, 2013 04:37 AM **[recovered]**

Where did it say they were expected to be open about all their finances? It seems he only agreed to the one shared account. That's not a proclamation of all finances to me.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 04:51 AM

It LITERALLY says, "you are both fairly open with your money"

AboveAFC2 points 30 August, 2013 04:58 AM [recovered]

Uhh, "fairly open". Even the use of the word "fairly" denotes that it is not COMPLETELY open.

From Google's thesaurus: synonyms: reasonably, passably, tolerably, adequately, moderately, quite, relatively, comparatively

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 05:06 AM

And it also says they share a joint account...you're telling me the couple that live together, have a bank account together and yes *fairly* open with their finances should have something like this come up?

AboveAFC2 points 30 August, 2013 05:13 AM [recovered]

Yup.

If I haven't asked her to be my wife (legally combining ourselves), then I am under no OBLIGATION to tell her my financial situation, even if I am nice enough to have a joint account I let her access.

I would expect no less of a woman I was seeing. Matter of fact, a woman I'm seeing has over \$50k worth of debt she hasn't told me about (her brother mentioned it in passing), and it's not my business. We both mutually agree to what we can afford together and what we can't. It's none of my business how much debt she has unless she can't uphold her side, and it's none of hers how much I have unless the same.

Now, if we were to decide to get married, that's a whole different story.

ballsonfireouch • 2 points • 29 August, 2013 09:05 PM

nope, I noticed that, too. people here read what they want to hear quite often, not what is actually written.
had to go through all the posts to try and figure out who the hell he was talking about lol

[deleted] • 0 points • 29 August, 2013 08:33 PM

I'd be upset about the lack of trust being displayed

Fuck trust. Don't allow anyone to have leverage over you. It will not add to your relationships. Rather it will create problems that are best avoided entirely.

cooledcannon • -2 points • 29 August, 2013 10:04 PM

Clicked the link. Weirdly enough, I agree with most of the responses. Why bother lying about your money?

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 02:39 PM

because your money isn't really any of her buiseness

cooledcannon • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 06:39 PM

As far as you're concerned, he puts all his wages into the joint account

If the guy actually said that, then its sorta bad to lie about it.

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 06:44 PM

He most likely didn't say that because if he did op would have made sure to quote him. She most likely assumed this.

cooledcannon • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 06:59 PM

I dont understand why she would assume something like that though. But yh i cant really judge based on that post. Also, i tend to on occasion not quote people because its easier to say what they meant rather than what they said

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 07:02 PM

I dont understand why she would assume something like that though

Because it lets her rationalize her anger about not having full access to her bf's money. It's also an attempt at getting more people on her side.

[deleted] • -6 points • 29 August, 2013 10:11 PM

This is ridiculous. There's nothing "entitled" about two married partners being transparent about finances.

[deleted] • 2 points • 30 August, 2013 02:40 PM

They aren't married

[deleted] • 1 point • 30 August, 2013 02:43 PM

Ah, then never mind, I was incorrect.